

Black carbon aerosols in China: Spatial-temporal variations and lessons from long-term atmospheric observations

Huang Zheng¹, Shaofei Kong², Deping Ding^{3,4}, Marjan Savadkoobi^{5,6}, Congbo Song⁷, Mingming Zheng⁸, and Roy M. Harrison^{9,10}

¹College of Resource and Environmental Engineering, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, 430081 Wuhan, China

²Department of Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Studies, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, 430078, China

³Beijing Weather Modification Center, Beijing, 100089, China

⁴Beijing Key Laboratory of Cloud, Precipitation and Atmospheric Water Resources, Beijing, 100089, China

⁵Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research (IDAEA-CSIC), 08034, Barcelona, Spain

⁶Department of Mining, Industrial and ICT Engineering (EMIT), Manresa School of Engineering (EPSEM), Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC), Manresa, 08242, Spain

⁷National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS), Department of Earth and Environmental Science, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, U.K.

⁸School of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Wuhan Polytechnic University, Wuhan, 430023, China

⁹School of Geography, Earth and Environment Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.

¹⁰Department of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Meteorology, Environment and Arid Land Agriculture, King Abdulaziz University, PO Box 80203, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence: Shaofei Kong (kongshaofei@cug.edu.cn) and Deping Ding (zytddp@vip.sina.com)

Abstract. Using ~~13-year~~ 13 years (2008–2020) of continuous measurements of equivalent black carbon (eBC) in China, this study ~~reports~~ reported the spatial-temporal variations of eBC and its sources, including solid fuel (eBC_{sf}) and liquid fuel combustion (eBC_{lf}). The results ~~show~~ showed that eBC and its sources ~~exhibit spatial heterogeneity~~ exhibited spatial heterogeneity, with higher concentrations in eastern and northern China compared to western and southern China. Seasonal variations of eBC and eBC_{sf} generally ~~show low~~ showed lower values during summer and ~~high~~ higher values during winter ~~in at~~ at most stations. Long-term trends ~~indicate~~ indicated that eBC and eBC_{lf} decreased most rapidly at urban stations, while eBC_{sf} declined faster at rural stations. Comparisons of eBC concentrations and trends between this study and other observations ~~reveal~~ revealed higher eBC levels but lower reduction rates in China. Comparison between surface eBC observations and model simulations ~~indicates~~ indicated that models performed well in simulating spatial distribution but poorly in simulating inter-annual variations. Weather-normalized eBC concentrations were compared to several emission inventories, revealing higher correlations and suggesting that normalized eBC concentrations can be used to adjust emission estimates. Long-term observations of eBC and its sources ~~show~~ showed decreasing trends in China, primarily driven by emission ~~reduction~~ reductions. Further analysis ~~suggests~~ suggested that the reduction of eBC was mainly attributed to decreased emissions from solid fuel combustion ~~in at~~ at rural and baseline stations. This study provides insights for reducing uncertainties in black carbon emission inventories and improving model performance in simulating surface concentrations.

1 Introduction

Despite being a small fraction of the aerosol chemical composition (Bond et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014; Tao et al., 2017), black carbon (BC) is important for climate, air pollution, and human health. Globally, BC can cause up to $+0.41 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ effective radiative forcing (Szopa et al., 2021). At the regional scale, BC aerosol can suppress the deepening of the planetary boundary layer ~~and therefore enhance~~, thereby enhancing haze pollution (Ding et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018) ~~as well as~~ and radiative forcing (Peng et al., 2016). Personal exposure to BC can cause adverse health effects, such as carcinogenic risks and elevated blood pressure, and BC can reach the fetal side of the human placenta (Mordukhovich et al., 2009; Bové et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2019). As a short-lived climate forcer, the atmospheric lifetime of BC is about 5.5 days $\pm$ 35% (median $\pm$ 1 standard deviation, hereafter), according to an ensemble of 14 models (Gliß et al., 2021). Therefore, the study of BC aerosol is important to reduce its adverse impacts.

To better understand the climate, environmental, and health effects of BC, ~~modeling it is important to model~~ its atmospheric abundance ~~is important~~. Although Chemistry Transport Models (CTMs) can capture the spatial-temporal variations of BC, ~~the~~ their simulation accuracy is often poor, and there are discrepancies among different simulations. For instance, 17 global models overestimated the abundance of BC, with the ratio of simulated to observed values ranging from 0.5 to 10 in Europe (Koch et al., 2009). Similarly, Ikeda et al. (2022) evaluated the performance of six BC emission inventories and found an overestimation of modeled BC ~~concentration~~ concentrations by a factor of 1.24 to 2.16 in China. ~~On the contrary,~~ In contrast, some simulations underestimated the annual mean BC levels in China, with normalized mean bias ranging from -83% to -22% (Mao et al., 2016). The high uncertainties in the simulation of BC arise from the emission inventory and physical mechanisms. One method to constrain the model results is to use long-term observation datasets to evaluate the emission inventory, transport, and removal processes (Bond et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Alvarado et al., 2016; He et al., 2016; Evangeliou et al., 2018). For instance, Evangeliou et al. (2018) coupled BC observations with ~~the~~ a top-down method to constrain ~~the emission~~ emissions, and the posterior simulated BC concentrations showed better agreement with observations. Choi et al. (2020b) utilized long-term observations of BC to CO ratios to validate the regional emission inventory in Asia. They found that emissions in East and South Korea were overestimated, while emissions in North Korea were underestimated. Using long-term observations of BC and CO from 2009 to 2015 at Fukue Island, Kanaya et al. (2016) constrained the wet removal rate of BC and proposed a stretched exponential decay equation to describe the wet removal rate. Based on BC observations from 2010 to 2016 at three representative background sites in East Asia, Choi et al. (2020a) estimated the average transport efficiency of BC to be 0.73, which was lower than the mean rate of 0.91 from the FLEXible PARTICle Lagrangian transport model (version 10.4).

Long-term observation of BC aerosol also contributes to a better understanding of the effectiveness of air quality management (Chen et al., 2016; Font and Fuller, 2016; Fuller and Font, 2019). For instance, a decreasing trend ~~of in~~ BC from 2010 to 2014 in London was attributed to the application of diesel particle filters (Font and Fuller, 2016). Long-term observation of BC at 16 sites from 2009 to 2018 in Germany showed the ~~highest~~ greatest decreases at roadside and urban background sites, implying strong evidence of reduced traffic emissions in urban areas (Sun et al., 2020). The decreasing trend of BC at traffic ~~site~~ sites in the Helsinki metropolitan area suggested the success of vehicle exhaust particle mitigation (Luoma et al., 2021). In

China, ~~there were similar conclusions~~ similar conclusions were drawn. For instance, a 38% reduction in BC concentration was observed in Beijing between 2005 and 2013, primarily due to the relocation of industries and a decrease in ~~consumption of~~ consumption (Chen et al., 2016). Continuous observations of BC in Beijing from 2012 to 2020 revealed a 71% reduction, with the largest decrease occurring at ~~nighttime~~ night. This suggests that pollution control measures implemented since 2013 have effectively reduced primary emissions (Sun et al., 2022). The long-term trend of BC in Wuhan suggested ~~the~~ positive role of a positive role for pollution control measures across China ~~on BC reduction in reducing BC~~ at the observational site (Zheng et al., 2020). Continuous observation of BC at Mt. Waliguan showed a decreasing rate of 2% yr⁻¹ from 2008 to 2017, ~~which reflected the emission~~ reflecting the reduction of BC emissions in China (Dai et al., 2021).

As summarized above, long-term observation of BC is important ~~to improve~~ for improving CTM simulations and ~~evaluate~~ evaluating the effectiveness of air pollution control measures on air pollutants. Long-term surface observations of BC aerosol have been widely reported (Hirdman et al., 2010; Boreddy et al., 2018; Kutzner et al., 2018). For instance, the earliest continuous in situ observation of BC was launched at 150 urban and rural stations in 1962 in the United Kingdom (Novakov and Hansen, 2004). Compared to long-term observations at a single or a small number of stations, a monitoring network consisting of many stations can provide more information about the spatial-temporal variations of air pollutants. However, long-term in situ observation networks are few. At present, the ~~world-wide~~ worldwide monitoring networks include the World Meteorological Organization Global Atmosphere Watch (WMO-GAW) (Bond et al., 2013), European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) (Yttri et al., 2007), German Ultrafine Aerosol Network (GUAN) (Birmili et al., 2016), UK Black Carbon Network (Singh et al., 2018), National Air Pollution Monitoring Network (NABEL) in Switzerland (Grange et al., 2020), Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments network (IMPROVE) in the US (Malm et al., 1994), Canadian Aerosol Baseline Measurement (CABM) (Chan et al., 2019), Aerosol Radiative Forcing over India Network (ARFINET) in India (Manoj et al., 2019), and China Black Carbon Observational Network (CBNET) (Zhang et al., 2019b). Research from these observational networks is mainly focused on the spatial-temporal variations of BC (Birmili et al., 2016; Manoj et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019b; Savadkoobi et al., 2023). More valuable information from long-term observations, however, remains untapped.

Therefore, this study ~~presented~~ presents a comprehensive BC dataset collected from CBNET covering the period from 2008 to 2020. Spatial-temporal characteristics, sources, and long-term trends of BC are reported. The information behind the results is explored with a focus on three questions: (1) ~~what~~ What can we learn from the comparison between BC ground observations and CTM simulations; ~~?~~ (2) ~~whether~~ Can the inter-annual variations of BC ~~can~~ be used as an indicator of BC emissions; ~~?~~ (3) ~~which~~ Which factors dominated the variations of BC in China during the past 13 years. ~~By digging into?~~ By analyzing the data from continuous measurements of BC in China, this study is expected to provide insights into reducing the uncertainties in BC emissions and simulations from CTMs.

2 Methodology

2.1 Observation sites

The CBNET, established in 2006, is a part of the China Atmosphere Watch Network (CAWNET). There are 68 stations across China, and the number of stations has increased recently. In this study, 48 sites with observations during 2008–2020 were selected (**Fig. S1**). According to the land type and surrounding environment, these 48 sites can be divided into baseline ($N = 7$), urban ($N = 23$), and rural ($N = 18$) stations (Guo et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2008, 2019b). The baseline stations are situated around 100 km distant from local sources or nearby major cities, and they are positioned at a moderate height above the local elevation of the area. For the urban stations, the sampling heights are deliberately set to be 50–100 m higher than the average elevation of the city. This strategic placement aims to collect samples that represent the entire region rather than just the immediate local surroundings (Zhang et al., 2008). More details about the selected stations can be found in **Table S1**.

2.2 Instruments and data preprocessing

The instruments used ~~in~~at each monitoring site are provided in **Table S1**. The BC mass concentration was measured by ~~the~~ filter-based absorption Aethalometers. Most ~~of the~~ stations utilized the AE31 to measure ~~the BC through~~BC throughout the entire period (i.e., 2008–2020), and some stations used both the AE31 and AE33 (**Table S1**). ~~The details~~Details about the principles, operations, and algorithms of AE31 and AE33 can be found elsewhere (Drinovec et al., 2015; Rajesh and Ramachandran, 2018). Briefly, ambient aerosol is drawn through a cyclone with a cut-off size of $2.5\ \mu\text{m}$ at a flow rate of $2\text{--}5\ \text{L min}^{-1}$ and deposited on a quartz fiber tape (AE31) or tetrafluoroethylene-coated glass filter (AE33). Changes in attenuation before and after sampling are measured ~~to be and~~ converted into aerosol absorption coefficients (b_{abs})~~and~~, then into mass concentrations using the pre-defined mass attenuation cross-section (for AE31) or mass absorption cross-sections (for AE33) at 7 channels (370, 470, 520, 590, 660, 880, 950 nm). It should be noted that we adopted the acronym MAC throughout this paper to collectively denote both the mass attenuation cross-section and mass absorption cross-section~~throughout this study~~. The BC mass concentration reported by the Aethalometer is ~~reported~~referred to as equivalent black carbon (eBC) (Petzold et al., 2013; Savadkoohi et al., 2024). However, due to ~~the~~ multiple scattering and loading effects, the reported eBC mass concentration ~~is different from the~~differs from that in ambient air. To correct the loading and multiple scattering effects, the parameterization scheme developed by Weingartner et al. (2003) was used for b_{abs} reported by AE31. For AE33, the filter loading effect is compensated by a new real-time loading effect compensation algorithm, and the multiple-scattering effect is corrected by a multiple-scattering enhancement correction (C_0) (Drinovec et al., 2015). The C_0 values ~~are different~~differ between AE31 ($C_0 = 2.14$) and AE33 ($C_0 = 1.39$) due to ~~the difference~~differences in tape materials (quartz fiber for AE31 and tetrafluoroethylene-coated glass filter for AE33). Another factor that influences eBC mass concentration is the MAC, which is determined by ~~the~~ particle size, the mixing state of aerosol components, and the morphology of BC particles (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006; Bond et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2021), and it shows spatial-temporal variations (Pandolfi et al., 2014; Zanatta et al., 2016; Grange et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2020; Savadkoohi et al., 2024). Therefore, using the nominal MAC from default settings (e.g., $16.6\ \text{m}^2\ \text{g}^{-1}$ for AE31 and $7.77\ \text{m}^2\ \text{g}^{-1}$ for ~~AE-33~~AE33 at 880 nm) without considering ~~the~~ MAC spatial-temporal

variability can result in misleading eBC estimations (Savadkoobi et al., 2024). To minimize the impact of MAC on the eBC mass concentration, the station-specific MAC values (**Table S1**) from previous studies (Zhang et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2021), which utilized synchronous measurements of b_{abs} and elemental carbon (EC) to derive MAC values, were used.

Before data analysis, the raw data (5-min for AE31 and 1-min for AE33) acquired from the Aethalometers was checked and the outliers were removed according to the following procedures: (1) negative values of attenuation at time t and the next observation time (e.g., $t + 5$ min) were removed at all 7 wavelengths; (2) the observations which failed to comply with the principle that the light absorption decreases with increasing wavelength were removed and hourly mean values of remaining observations were calculated; (3) the outliers of the hourly time-series time series during the entire observation period were removed using the seasonal decomposition algorithm (Dancho and Vaughan, 2023). The-It should be noted that our method to process the negative value differed from the manufacturer's protocol, which recommends retaining negative values and applying smoothing techniques to exclude anomalous data points. In our method, the negative values were directly removed. This is because the Aethalometer employs the time-differential method to measure the optical attenuation (ATN) at the sampling point and subsequently converts ATN into BC mass concentration. The time-differential method implies that if the difference between the ATN in the current observation period (ATN_t) and that of the previous observation period (e.g., $\text{ATN}_{t-5 \text{ min}}$) is negative, the calculated BC mass concentration for period t will be negative. Furthermore, the difference between the ATN in the next observation period (e.g., $\text{ATN}_{t+5 \text{ min}}$) and that at time t (ATN_t) will be overestimated, consequently resulting in an overestimation of the BC concentration at time $t+5$ min. The absorption Ångström exponent of aerosol was calculated using the power law fitting between b_{abs} and wavelengths at seven wavelengths and reported as $\text{AAE}_{370-950}$. Observations with a p -value for fitting higher than 0.001 were considered as outliers and excluded from further analysis.

After data quality control, the data availability in each station of each year was calculated (**Fig. S2**). To get robust spatial-temporal variations of BC, the stations with data-availability-higher-than-annual data records exceeding 50% were used. The 50% threshold was selected to maximize the inclusion of monitoring stations while ensuring the collected data effectively captures the temporal variations of BC. To ensure data robustness, systematic screening criteria were applied: (1) long-term trend analysis required stations with more than 8 years of eBC observations, each year maintaining >50% data availability; (2) spatial-temporal pattern analysis included stations with more than 2 years of valid observations during 2015 and 2017, where annual data completeness exceeded 50%. According to these rules, 34 and 25 stations were selected for spatial-temporal and long-term trend analysis, respectively (**Fig. 1**). It should be noted that data from other stations with data availability higher than 50% was-were also used (e.g., **Section 4.1** for validation of the BC model simulations).

2.3 Aethalometer model and eBC source apportionment

BC is formed via the combustion processes of carbonaceous matters-and-it-matter and is mainly from residential, power, industrial, and transport sectors (Bond et al., 2013; Li et al., 2017; McDuffie et al., 2020). To apportion the sources of BC, an Aethalometer model using aerosol absorption measurements at two wavelengths was developed by Sandradewi et al. (2008). This method has been widely used in eBC source apportionment (Harrison et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2019, 2020, 2021;

Savadkoobi et al., 2023), and the results of this method are generally consistent with other methods, e.g., receptor models (Favez et al., 2010; Herich et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014). In this study, eBC was apportioned into solid fuel combustion (eBC_{sf}) and liquid fossil fuel combustion (eBC_{lf}) rather than biomass burning (eBC_{bb}) and fossil fuel combustion (eBC_{ff}) as reported by previous studies conducted in China (Zheng et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2024; Fan et al., 2025). The rationale for differentiating eBC into solid fuel (e.g., coal and biomass) combustion and liquid fossil fuel combustion (e.g., vehicle emissions) was based on the following reasons. (1) Bottom-up BC emission ~~inventory-inventories~~ showed that BC from coal, solid bio-fuel, and liquid fossil combustion contributed 28.6%, 19.6%, and 28.0%, respectively ~~to its~~, to total emissions (**Fig. S3a**). The discrepancy between ~~fractions~~ the fraction of solid fuel combustion ~~emission-emissions~~ and the attribution of eBC to eBC_{sf} results (48.2% vs $48.2 \pm 7.14\%$) was smaller than that between biomass burning ~~emission-emissions~~ and the attribution of eBC to eBC_{bb} results (19.6% vs $48.2 \pm 7.14\%$). (2) Based on experimental measurements of the AAE conducted on various aerosol types (**Fig. S3b**), the discrepancy between AAE values for aerosols from biomass burning and coal combustion (1.76 ± 0.40 ~~vs~~ 1.47 ± 0.13) was smaller than that between liquid fossil fuel and solid fossil fuel combustion (1.00 ± 0.04 vs 1.47 ± 0.13). Given these two reasons, eBC was apportioned into eBC_{sf} and eBC_{lf} in this study.

The two key issues of using the Aethalometer model are the selection of the wavelengths and the AAE for aerosol from liquid fossil fuel combustion (AAE_{lf}) and solid fuel combustion (AAE_{sf}). According to Zotter et al. (2017), wavelength of 470 nm as a near-ultraviolet wavelength is recommended while the choice between 950 nm and 880 nm in the near-infrared is less critical. Following previous studies (Sandradewi et al., 2008; Zotter et al., 2017), wavelengths of 470 nm and 950 nm were chosen in this study. The selection of AAE_{lf} and AAE_{sf}, however, is more complicated. The AAE is used to describe the wavelength dependence of aerosol absorption. Although the aerosol AAE is impacted by particle size (Gyawali et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2018), chemical composition (Flowers et al., 2010; You et al., 2016), and morphology (Liu et al., 2008; Li et al., 2016), it can act as a proxy of aerosol composition (Yang et al., 2009; Russell et al., 2010). As summarized in previous studies (Helin et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2021), aerosol from liquid fossil fuel combustion has an AAE value of 1.0, while aerosol from solid fuel combustion is characterized by a higher AAE value. ~~The different~~ Different methods were used to constrain the optimal AAE combinations, and it showed spatial heterogeneity (Fuller et al., 2014; Becerril-Valle et al., 2017; Zotter et al., 2017; Helin et al., 2018; Mousavi et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2021). Due to the lack of auxiliary measurements (e.g., radiocarbon, levoglucosan, and potassium) to constrain the station-specific AAE combination, the measured aerosol AAE frequency distribution (Tobler et al., 2021; Rovira et al., 2025; Navarro-Barboza et al., 2025; Savadkoobi et al., 2025) was used to select the station-specific AAE_{lf} and AAE_{sf} values here. Specifically, the AAE_{lf} and AAE_{sf} were determined as the 1st and 99th percentiles of aerosol AAE, respectively. It should be noted that aerosol AAE was determined by power law fitting between light absorptions and wavelengths, and the fittings with the determinate coefficient (r^2) less than 0.99 were excluded (Savadkoobi et al., 2025). By this method, the station-specific AAE values for the Aethalometer model were determined (**Table S2**).

Compared to the default AAE values (~~i.e.~~, AAE_{lf} = 1.0 ~~and~~, AAE_{sf} = 2.0), ~~the Aethalometer model results with applying~~ station-specific AAE values ~~increased and decreased~~ resulted in a mean change of $22.3 \pm 8.10\%$ in the fractions of eBC_{sf} and eBC_{lf} ~~by $96.5 \pm 72.3\%$, and $26.3 \pm 16.9\%$, respectively across most stations~~ (**Table S2**). The ~~eBC source apportionment results using the~~ source apportionment using station-specific AAE values ~~were more consistent with the fractions~~ better matched the

proportions of BC from different ~~types of fuel combustion~~ fuel types in China (Fig. S3a). Validation against dual-carbon isotope data from previous studies (Fang et al., 2018; Ni et al., 2018) supports the use of station-specific AAE values. As shown in Fig. S4a, compared to results using default AAE values, the eBC_{sf} fraction calculated with station-specific AAE values showed a stronger Pearson correlation ($r = 0.37$ vs. 0.20) with the solid-fuel combustion fraction (coal + biomass) determined by dual-carbon isotopes. Seasonal patterns of eBC_{sf} derived from the Aethalometer model were consistent with those from carbon isotope analysis (Fig. S4b). Therefore, station-specific AAE values were adopted for BC source apportionment in this study.

While using station-specific AAE values derived from percentiles improved agreement with dual-carbon isotope results, the Aethalometer model still has several uncertainties. These include sensitivity to wavelength selection, the choice between fixed and variable AAE values, measurement noise, interference from non-BC absorbing components, source-specific variability, and limitations in temporal resolution (Zheng et al., 2021; Savadkoobi et al., 2025). The percentile-based approach is especially sensitive to sources with high brown carbon content (such as coal combustion) and complex source mixtures (Savadkoobi et al., 2025). Despite these limitations, this method provides a practical solution for eBC source apportionment in situations where ancillary data (e.g., radiocarbon) are not available.

2.4 Weather normalization of eBC and its sources

To normalize the ~~impacts~~ impact of meteorological conditions on variations ~~of in~~ eBC and its sources, a machine learning method (Grange et al., 2018) was used in this study. According to ~~a previous study~~ previous research (Zheng et al., 2023b), the random forest (RF) model with ~~hyper-parameters tuned in~~ hyperparameters tuned during model training and temporal variables excluded in weather normalization can reduce the bias ~~of in~~ long-term trends of air pollutants. We adopted these recommendations to normalize the ~~impacts~~ impact of weather on BC and its sources at each station. The entire observation dataset was divided into two parts: 70% ~~of the dataset~~ was used to train the model, and the remaining 30% ~~dataset~~ was used to ~~check the~~ evaluate model performance. The variables used to train the model included ~~the~~ meteorological conditions, temporal variables, and a transport indicator. Specifically, ~~the~~ temperature at 2 m (t2m), surface pressure (sp), wind components at 10 m (u10, v10), relative humidity (rh), accumulated precipitation along the trajectory (apt), boundary layer height (blh), surface downward solar radiation (ssr), and total cloud cover (tcc) were used ~~here~~. The temporal variables included Unix time (number of seconds since 1 January 1970) ~~acting~~ as a linear trend term, Julian day (day of the year) as the seasonal term ~~and the~~, and day of the week. The ~~transportation~~ transport indicator was the cluster category of air masses reaching ~~observational site calculated by the~~ the observational site, calculated by HYSPLIT (Stein et al., 2015). Three key ~~hyper-parameters~~ hyperparameters, including the number of ~~the tree~~ trees (ntree), the number of variables that may split at each node (mtry), and the minimum size of ~~the~~ terminal nodes (min.node.size), were tuned by random search with 5-fold cross-validation after 100 ~~times evaluation~~ evaluations. The search space was 100–1000, 1–13, and 1–13 for ntree, mtry, and min.node.size, respectively. After tuning, the optimal parameter combination (Table S3) with the lowest root mean square error (RMSE) was used to train the RF model. ~~Despite~~ Although the differences in ~~statistics~~ statistical metrics between the RF model with ~~parameters~~ tuned and untuned ~~parameters~~ were not significant (Fig. S4S5), the tuned model ~~could increase the correlation coefficient~~, increased the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and index of agreement ~~and reduce~~, and reduced the RMSE. For instance, the ~~Pearson correlation coefficient~~ (r

) between observations and modeled eBC increased from 0.64 ± 0.07 (untuned) to 0.66 ± 0.07 (tuned). Therefore, the tuned parameters in **Table S3** were used to train the RF model. After training, the weather normalization of the daily eBC and its sources was achieved by repeatedly re-sample-resampling predictors and predicting with the trained RF model. For each prediction, the explainable-explanatory variables (meteorological conditions) in model training were randomly sampled from the entire dataset without replacement. This predictive process was repeated 1000 times, and the arithmetic mean values of these predictions were considered as was considered the emission-related concentrations-concentration (Zheng et al., 2023a, b).

2.5 Auxiliary dataset, data analysis and visualization

The auxiliary dataset-datasets used in this study included the-meteorological-conditions-reanalysis-meteorological reanalysis data, surface BC mass concentration-from-the-concentrations from CTM simulations, and BC emission inventories. The meteorological-conditions-were-Meteorological conditions were obtained from the fifth generation of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) reanalysis for the-global climate and weather (ERA5) (Hersbach et al., 2023). Monthly mean values-in-surface BC mass concentrations between-from 2008 and-to 2020 were sourced from the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2) (Global Modeling And Assimilation Office and Pawson, 2015) and Tracking Air Pollution in China (TAP) (Liu et al., 2022),-respectively. Additionally, monthly mean concentrations-of-BC-from-AeroChemMIP-endorsed-in-BC concentrations from AeroChemMIP, endorsed by the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 6 (CMIP6), were used in this study (**Table S4**). We utilized the historical experiment from CMIP6, which incorporates time-varying emissions of greenhouse gases, aerosols, and ozone, as well as volcanic and solar forcing, spanning the period from 2008 to 2014. This dataset provides a comprehensive representation of the key drivers of climate change, allowing us-to-assess-assessment of the impacts of both anthropogenic and natural factors on global climate patterns during this period. Yearly BC emission inventories in China were from-several-data-sets-including-obtained from several datasets, including the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service-Service (CAMS (Granier et al., 2019)), Community Emissions Data System (CEDS (Hoesly et al., 2018)), Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR, version:-versions V4.3.2 (Crippa et al., 2018), version:-V5 (Crippa et al., 2019), version:-and V6 (Monforti Ferrario et al., 2021)), Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution (HTAP, version :-V3 (Crippa et al., 2023)), and the Multi-resolution Emission Inventory model for Climate and air pollution research (MEIC, version :-1.4 (Geng et al., 2024)).

Data analysis was conducted using the R language (R Core Team, 2024). The-outlier-detection-was-conducted-by-Outlier detection was performed using the “anomalize-” package (Dancho and Vaughan, 2023). The “rmweather” package (Grange et al., 2018) and “mlr3” (Lang et al., 2019) (Grange et al., 2018) and its extensions was “mlr3” (Lang et al., 2019) packages and their extensions were used for weather normalization analysis. The-model-Model performance statistics were calculated using “openair” (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012). The-figures-Figures in this study were generated by-using “ggplot2” (Wickham, 2016) and its extensions. Other packages (e.g., “lubridate”, “”, “plyr”) used in this study are available at-from the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN, <https://cran.r-project.org>, last accessed: 2025/06/03).

3 Results

3.1 Spatial-temporal variations

Table S5 summarizes the statistics of eBC mass concentrations, source apportionment results, and $AAE_{370-950}$ values at 34 monitoring stations during the study period (2015–2017). The average mass concentrations of eBC, eBC_{lf} , eBC_{sf} mass concentrations and $AAE_{370-950}$ were averaged as and eBC_{sf} , as well as $AAE_{370-950}$, were $2.05 \pm 2.85 \mu g m^{-3}$, $1.08 \pm 1.73 \mu g m^{-3}$, $0.97 \pm 1.52 \mu g m^{-3}$, and 1.33 ± 0.29 , respectively. **Fig. 2** shows the spatial distributions of eBC mass concentrations and their source apportionment results between 2015 and 2017. As shown in **Fig. 2a**, BC from MERRA-2 showed higher levels in the east of the "Hu-Line" compared to those in the west of the line. As shown in **Fig. 2b**, ground observations also showed higher levels in eastern China ($2.25 \pm 2.82 \mu g m^{-3}$) than those in western China ($1.51 \pm 2.86 \mu g m^{-3}$). Similarly, the spatial distribution of eBC observations showed a higher level higher levels in northern China ($2.19 \pm 3.45 \mu g m^{-3}$) than those in southern China ($1.91 \pm 2.05 \mu g m^{-3}$). The eBC concentrations in the at different types of stations also showed spatial heterogeneity, with the highest values in urban at urban stations ($2.67 \pm 3.41 \mu g m^{-3}$), which were significantly higher than that in those at rural ($1.25 \pm 1.50 \mu g m^{-3}$, $p < 0.05$) and baseline ($1.07 \pm 1.15 \mu g m^{-3}$, $p < 0.01$) stations. The percentages of solid fuel combustion to eBC ranged between in eBC ranged from $33.5 \pm 24.9\%$ and to $65.2 \pm 24.8\%$, with the highest mean value in at baseline stations ($49.9 \pm 10.9\%$). Regarding the spatial distribution (**Fig. 2c**), eBC_{sf} in eastern China ($49.7 \pm 7.43\%$) and northern China ($50.0 \pm 7.25\%$) were higher than those in western China ($44.4 \pm 4.55\%$) and southern China ($46.5 \pm 6.77\%$) without statistical differences. As shown in **Fig. 2d**, the station-specific $AAE_{370-950}$ values were in the range of 1.11 ± 0.13 ~~1.66~~ 1.66 ± 0.40 and the urban stations had the lowest mean values (1.29 ± 0.11) ~~than compared to~~ those in baseline stations (1.40 ± 0.09) and rural stations (1.40 ± 0.16). Similar to the spatial distribution of eBC_{sf} , $AAE_{370-950}$ in northern China (1.43 ± 0.12) ~~were was~~ significantly higher ($p < 0.001$) than those in southern China (1.24 ± 0.07) and $AAE_{370-950}$ in western China (1.44 ± 0.13) ~~were was~~ also significantly higher than those in eastern China (1.30 ± 0.12) at 0.01 levels.

The monthly variations of eBC, eBC_{sf} , and $AAE_{370-950}$ are shown in **Fig. 3**. Generally, the lowest values of eBC ($1.46 \pm 1.72 \mu g m^{-3}$), eBC_{sf} ($36.3 \pm 24.7\%$), and $AAE_{370-950}$ (1.21 ± 0.28) occurred during the summer (JJA) ~~and~~, ~~while~~ the highest values ~~presented were observed~~ during winter (DJF). The higher values during in winter were related to unfavorable meteorological conditions that hindered the dispersion of surface BC. ~~The higher Increased~~ demand for heating also contributed to the higher BC levels in winter, as evidenced by higher percentages of eBC_{sf} ($60.2 \pm 22.7\%$) and higher $AAE_{370-950}$ ~~value values~~ (1.45 ± 0.26). Some stations (e.g., 58370 and 58448), however, showed the highest eBC mass concentrations during May and July. These anomalously high eBC levels were related to biomass burning during the summer harvest season ~~proved~~, ~~as indicated~~ by high eBC_{sf} percentages. Previous studies also showed that these stations or regions were impacted by biomass burning during the summer harvest (Zha et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2019). Another station with high levels of $AAE_{370-950}$ during summer was Tazhong (51747), which was largely impacted by dusty weather from March to July (Zhou et al., 2023).

To investigate the drivers of spatial-temporal variations in BC, we performed a correlation analysis between BC concentrations, emissions, and meteorological parameters. BC emissions from different fuel types were obtained from CEDS-MAPS, which divides sources into solid bio-fuel, coal, liquid fuel plus natural gas, and process emissions (McDuffie et al., 2020). Meteorological

data for 2015–2017 were sourced from the ERA5 reanalysis dataset (Hersbach et al., 2023). As shown in Fig. S6, mean BC concentrations during 2015–2017 were positively correlated with emissions at most stations ($N = 32$), with Pearson coefficients of 0.65 for eBC, 0.56 for eBC_{sf}, and 0.58 for eBC_{lf}. This significant correlation indicates that the spatial distribution of BC closely follows its emission patterns, which are higher in northern and eastern China (Wang et al., 2012). On a monthly scale, BC concentrations were positively correlated with emissions and negatively correlated with ambient temperature, boundary layer height, and wind speed at most stations (Fig. S6). Elevated BC levels in colder months were attributed to increased heating-related emissions and less favorable dispersion conditions (lower boundary layer height and wind speed) (Zhang et al., 2019b; Xie et al., 2020). Overall, both emission patterns and meteorological factors jointly controlled the spatial-temporal variability of BC in China.

3.2 Long-term trends from 2008 to 2020

Figure 4 shows the time-series of eBC, eBC_{lf}, and eBC_{sf} from 2008 to 2020. Despite eBC and its sources showing overall decreasing trends from 2008 to 2020, the year with peak values differed between among the different types of stations. As shown in Fig. 4a, the annual mean concentrations of eBC and its sources at urban stations increased from 2008 to 2012 and then showed a downward trend to 2020. The inter-annual variations of eBC, eBC_{lf}, and eBC_{sf} at rural stations showed peak values in 2009 and then continuously decreased until 2020. At baseline stations, eBC and its sources also showed downward trends with less-fewer variations compared to those at urban and rural stations. Using the Theil-Sen method, the slopes of eBC and its sources in-at each station were calculated. As listed in Table S6, most stations had-decreasing-trendswith-showed decreasing trends, while only a few sites (e.g., Yushe in Shanxi province) exhibited an increasing trend. The stations with decreasing trends had a mean slope of $-0.17 \pm 0.20 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for eBC, $-0.12 \pm 0.14 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for eBC_{lf}, and $-0.06 \pm 0.09 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for eBC_{sf}, respectively. Regarding the trends in the different types of stations (Fig. 4b), eBC in urban stations ($N = 14$) showed the fast-decreasing-fastest decreasing rate with a slope of $-0.20 \pm 0.23 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which was quicker than that in rural stations ($-0.17 \pm 0.21 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $N = 6$) and baseline stations ($-0.10 \pm 0.07 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $N = 5$). Similarly, as shown in Fig. 4d, eBC_{lf} also showed the fastest decreasing rates in urban stations ($-0.15 \pm 0.16 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$), followed by rural stations ($-0.09 \pm 0.12 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and baseline stations ($-0.05 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). The decreasing rates of eBC_{sf} in baseline stations ($-0.05 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and urban stations ($-0.06 \pm 0.10 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) were larger than those in rural stations ($-0.08 \pm 0.09 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). The differences in trends of eBC, eBC_{lf}, and eBC_{sf} between southern/western China and northern/eastern China showed no statistical significance but-with, but higher decreasing rates were found in southern China and western China (Fig. S5S7).

3.3 Comparison with other observations

To better understand the levels of eBC and its characteristics in China, the results in-from this study were compared to other regions-with-those from other regions during the same observational period (2015–2017) at the global scale (see Text S1 for details).—2017) on a global scale. Specifically, the observations were sourced from published papers, observation networks, and publicly accessible websites. Data for European countries and the US were obtained from EBAS (<https://ebas-data.nilu.no/Default.aspx>, last accessed: 2025-07-18) and the US EPA (https://aqs.epa.gov/aqswweb/airdata/download_files.html, last

accessed: 2025-07-18), respectively. The location, station type, and instruments used in these observations are listed in **Fig. 5** **Table S7**. The data processing procedures for these observational datasets are described in **Text S1**. Briefly, by harmonizing wavelengths, MAC, and AAE combinations, biases were minimized to ensure reliable comparisons.

Figure. 5 shows the global distributions of mass concentrations of eBC, eBC_{sf} percentages, and AAE values from previous studies or observation networks during 2015 and 2017. Despite differences among the sampling inlets and monitoring instruments (**Table S7**), the mean values of eBC observations in China ($2.04 \pm 1.53 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, $N = 35$) were significantly lower than those in India ($6.30 \pm 4.12 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, $N = 23$) at the 0.001 levels, but significantly higher than those in the UK ($1.22 \pm 1.10 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, $N = 14$, $p < 0.05$), Spain ($1.07 \pm 0.98 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, $N = 9$, $p < 0.05$), US ($0.83 \pm 0.63 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, $N = 65$, $p < 0.001$), Germany ($0.74 \pm 0.64 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, $N = 13$, $p < 0.001$), and Switzerland ($0.61 \pm 0.47 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, $N = 5$, $p < 0.001$). Regarding the BC from solid fuel combustion derived from the Aethalometer model, the results are influenced by the choice of wavelength pair and AAE combination. The other factors such as station types (e.g., background vs traffic), instruments, and sampling inlets also have impacts on the Aethalometer model results. The comparison here used the AAE combination of 1.0 for AAE_{lf}, 2.0 for AAE_{sf}, and the wavelength pair of 370 nm and 880 nm to minimize the influences of AAE combination and wavelength pair selection on source apportionment results. As shown in **Fig. 5e**, eBC_{sf} had the highest contribution in the US ($30.2 \pm 12.8\%$, $N = 25$), which was higher than that in this study ($28.1 \pm 10.9\%$, $N = 34$). The lowest contribution of eBC_{sf} was found in Spain ($13.3 \pm 5.51\%$, $N = 3$), which was lower than that in China ($p < 0.05$). Similar to the spatial distribution of eBC_{sf}, AAE also showed the highest value in the US ($1.40 \pm 13.7\%$, $N = 26$) and the lowest value in Spain (1.21 ± 0.06 , $N = 3$).

The comparison of eBC trends between this study and other observations from 2008 to 2020 is shown in **Fig. 6**. Results from 88 stations (34 in this study and 54 from other observations) showed the widely widespread decreasing trends of eBC in most stations ($N = 83$), with mean trends of $-4.36 \pm 3.06\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The slopes for eBC from 2008 to 2020 showed the fastest decreasing trend in the UK ($-5.94 \pm 2.26\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $N = 12$) and the slowest decreasing trends in the US ($-2.61 \pm 2.04\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $N = 18$). The eBC decreasing rates in Germany ($-4.93 \pm 2.51\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $N = 16$) and Switzerland ($-5.66 \pm 5.44\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $N = 7$) were also faster than this study of those in this study for China ($-3.98 \pm 3.41\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $N = 25$). Compared to the

This international comparison provides a global context for evaluating China's BC concentrations and trends, allowing for direct comparison with both developing countries (e.g., India) and developed countries (UK, Germany, and Switzerland). China showed higher BC levels but low decreasing rates, suggesting the huge BC emission reduction potential in China (e.g., the United States, United Kingdom, Germany, Switzerland). The results highlight that while China achieved reductions in BC concentration, its concentrations still remain higher, and its rates of decline were slower than those observed in developed nations. In these countries, rapid BC reductions have been achieved through the implementation of stringent regulations (Luoma et al., 2021) and the adoption of cleaner technologies (Font and Fuller, 2016). These findings underscore the need for continued policy efforts in China, particularly targeting industrial and residential sources, and support the refinement of emission inventories to further reduce BC emissions.

4 Discussion

4.1 Comparison with CTM simulations

Due to the lack of long-term global-scale observations, knowledge of atmospheric BC abundance continues to rely on CTM simulations. Therefore, it is important to evaluate the performance of CTMs in simulating the spatial-temporal variations of BC. Owing to the availability of long-term BC observations in China, the BC simulation results from different models (see **Section 2.5** for details) were evaluated in this study. Regarding model performance in simulating the spatial distribution of BC, these models showed high values in East China, which were consistent with ground observations (**Fig. 7**). The r between mean values of simulations and observations from 2008 to 2014 ranged from 0.51 (MRI) to 0.70 (TAP). Compared to the observations, the CTMs underestimated the surface BC mass concentrations by 80.8% (GISS) to 42.1% (UKEMS1) in China from 2008 to 2014. The underestimation of surface BC concentrations by CTMs has been widely reported elsewhere (Koch et al., 2009; Fu et al., 2012; Mao et al., 2016; Qi and Wang, 2019). Among the different types of stations, the CTMs showed the highest performance in reproducing the surface BC concentrations at baseline stations ($r = 0.83 \pm 0.07$, slope = 0.88 ± 0.42), followed by urban ($r = 0.65 \pm 0.10$, slope = 0.44 ± 0.26), and rural stations ($r = 0.20 \pm 0.10$, slope = 0.13 ± 0.08). The higher performance of CTMs in simulating BC in urban areas compared to rural areas is related to uncertainty in BC emissions. For instance, BC is mainly from vehicle exhaust in urban areas and is dominated by biomass burning and coal combustion in rural regions. The uncertainty in BC emission factors for gasoline and diesel vehicles is lower than that for biomass burning (Rönkkö et al., 2023). As a result, BC emissions in urban areas show less uncertainty (Zhao et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2020), and simulations from CTMs produce less deviation from observations in urban areas.

Regarding the temporal variations of BC in China, the simulations from CMIP6, MERRA-2, and TAP showed similar monthly variations, with higher concentrations in winter and lower values in summer (**Fig. 8a**). The r values between CTMs and observations ranged from 0.63 (GISS) to 0.87 (MERRA-2) on a monthly resolution. On the annual scale (**Fig. 8b**), except for TAP, annual concentrations of BC from CMIP6 historical experiments and MERRA-2 showed increasing trends from 2008 to 2014, while the ground observations and TAP showed decreasing trends in China. Except for TAP and MERRA-2, the correlations between observations and CMIP6 results were negative at the annual scale (**Fig. 8d**), suggesting these models failed to reproduce the yearly variations of surface BC. The upward trends of BC from CMIP6 historical experiments and MERRA-2 were related to their inter-annual BC emissions, which also showed increasing trends from 2008 to 2014 in China (**Fig. S6S8**). Similarly, the downward trends of surface BC concentrations from TAP were also in accordance with their emissions (MEIC), which showed a decreasing trend from 2008 to 2014 (**Fig. S6S8**). The opposite BC trends between ground observations and model simulations from CMIP6 in this study were similar to previous studies (Zhang et al., 2019b; Ramachandran et al., 2022). For instance, aerosol optical depth from observations showed a decrease, while CMIP6 simulations showed increasing trends during 2002–2018 in China (Ramachandran et al., 2022). Therefore, caution should be exercised when interpreting the temporal variations of aerosols using simulations from CMIP6.

Due to the chemical inertness of BC particles, the deviations between ~~observation~~-observations and simulations arise from uncertainties in two aspects: emissions and deposition (Fan et al., 2018, 2022). The underestimation of BC emissions in China

results in lower simulations of BC by CTMs. For instance, BC simulations were underestimated by 35%–60% in different seasons using the bottom-up BC emissions in China, while the CTM showed better performance with BC underestimated only by 22%–9% using the top-down constrained emissions (1.59 times higher than bottom-up emissions) (Fu et al., 2012). Similarly, the RMSE for annual BC simulation decreased by 31.6% if the BC emissions in China increased by 1.8 times (Wang et al., 2016). Using the MEIC instead of the emissions inventory for IPCC AR5 experiments (BC emissions increased by 13.4%), the CTM improved the BC concentrations by 42.6% (Fan et al., 2018). Despite the increase of BC emissions in CTMs, the models still underestimated the BC concentrations, suggesting an overestimation of BC deposition within CTMs. For instance, a better agreement between observations and simulations was achieved by reducing the BC dry deposition velocity by 50% (Huang et al., 2010). Similarly, using the lower dry deposition, the CTM simulations resulted in a lower global mean BC dry deposition flux and a higher correlation between simulations and observations (Wu et al., 2018). The observations also suggested an overestimation of the BC aging degree in the CTMs, and sensitive simulations showed that slowing down the BC aging degree in model simulation can reduce the large model bias in the simulation of surface BC concentration over China (Shen et al., 2023).

To identify which factor (uncertainty in emission or deposition) makes a ~~higher~~ greater contribution to the uncertainty of BC simulation, we used the importance of each variable in RF model building (Section 2.4). As shown in Fig. S7S9, generally, date_unix was the variable with the greatest importance for the prediction of eBC, eBC_{lf}, and eBC_{sf} in the RF model. Additionally, Julian day (seasonal term) had the third largest importance for the prediction of eBC and eBC_{lf}, while temperature showed higher importance for the prediction of eBC_{sf}. These explanatory variables can serve as proxies ~~of~~ for emissions of BC, e.g., more biomass burning during colder months for heating. The explanatory variables related to deposition (e.g., wind speed, precipitation), however, had less importance for prediction. Therefore, ~~the~~ emissions were more important than deposition when explaining BC concentrations.

The comparison between the observed BC concentration values and simulations suggests that to improve the model's accuracy, more attention should be given to updating the BC emission inventory. Here, we only discuss how to use BC observation data for updating the BC emission inventory, rather than attempting to complete this task. In practice, the BC emission inventory, observed concentrations, FLEXPART simulations, and Bayesian inversion framework can be integrated to update BC emissions (Evangelizou et al., 2018, 2021; Jia et al., 2021). For instance, Evangelizou et al. (2021) used BC observations, outdated prior BC emissions, and an inversion framework to estimate BC emissions during the COVID-19 lockdown in Europe. Their results showed a 23% decline in BC emissions during the lockdown compared to the same period in the previous five years (2015–2019). Similarly, Jia et al. (2021) estimated a maximum weekly emission reduction of 70% in eastern China and 48% in northern China from January 1 to March 10, 2020. These studies showed improved accuracy of model simulations using posterior BC emissions compared to the prior emission inventory, highlighting the potential to reduce BC simulation uncertainty by incorporating BC observations and prior emissions.

Using the weather normalization method, the concentrations of eBC and its two sources (eBC_{lf} and eBC_{sf}) were normalized. Compared to the eBC observations, a higher correlation between weather-normalized eBC concentrations and BC emissions was found (Fig. S8S10), suggesting that the normalized eBC concentration can better reflect emission variations. Therefore, the weather-normalized eBC concentration was used here to discuss its relationship with BC emissions. As shown in Fig. 9a, except for MEIC, BC from the other emission inventories showed increasing trends since 2008, reaching peak values in certain years and then decreasing. In contrast, BC emissions from MEIC showed a continuous decrease since 2008, and their inter-annual variation was more consistent with weather-normalized eBC concentrations. In 2010, China began to fight against air pollution, and the Action Plan on the Prevention and Control of Air Pollution and the Three-Year Action Plan were implemented during 2013–2017 and 2018–2020, respectively. As a result of these actions, emission standards and activity levels changed over time. These factors are considered in the MEIC framework, which employs a technology-driven methodology to track China's energy statistics and technological advancements, reflecting shifts in emission characteristics across various sectors, fuels, products, combustion/process technologies, and emission control technologies in recent years (Li et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2018; Geng et al., 2024). Therefore, the BC emissions from MEIC coincided more closely with weather-normalized concentrations. The BC emissions from other inventories, such as CEDS, are not calibrated; instead, they are derived from default emissions using the Speciated Pollutant Emission Wizard, due to the limited availability of national BC inventory estimates in other countries. The similar reduction rates of surface BC concentrations and emissions (53.6% vs 51.9%) and their similar inter-annual variations from 2008 to 2020 in China also suggest that the normalized BC concentrations can be used as a proxy to scale BC emissions in recent years. Updating bottom-up BC emissions is time-consuming, as it requires collecting activity data (e.g., energy consumption). As summarized in Table S7 and mentioned above, there are several BC observation networks worldwide (e.g., UK, US, Germany, and India); the normalized BC observations can be used to calibrate the total BC emissions in these countries.

To further explore whether the weather-normalized BC source contributions can be used as an indicator of BC emissions from different fuel types, the BC emissions from MEIC were used here. The sectors in MEIC (industrial, power, residential, and transportation) were further broken down into fossil fuel (liquid fuel + coal) and biomass burning according to (Wang et al., 2012). As shown in Fig. 9b, the variations of eBC_{lf} concentrations from the Aethalometer model aligned with their emissions, showing a continuous reduction from 2008 to 2020. In contrast, the inter-annual variation of eBC_{sf} was not in line with its emissions, with a small peak in emissions in 2013, while its concentration continuously decreased since 2008. The higher correlation between eBC_{lf} and its liquid fuel combustion emissions compared to that between eBC_{sf} and solid fuel emissions (Fig. S9S11) suggests higher uncertainty in BC emissions from solid fuel combustion, as discussed in Section 4.1 and previous studies (Zhao et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2020). Therefore, to further improve the accuracy of BC emission inventories in the BC emission inventory, more attention should be paid to the solid fuel combustion sub-sector, e.g., focusing on surveys of activity rates and measurements of local emission factors (Li et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2024).

Accuracy in sectoral emissions is important to improve the performance of CTMs. Previous studies have demonstrated that uncertainties in BC emission inventory, originating from incomplete activity data, unrepresentative emission factors, and sectoral inconsistencies (Li et al., 2017), will propagate significant biases into chemical transport models. For instance, sectoral uncertainties are pronounced for residential and industrial sources in developing countries due to inconsistent emission factors and activity data. From a modeling perspective, these uncertainties dominate over other processes (e.g., aging, deposition) in near-source regions, while transport and removal uncertainties amplify errors in remote areas (Vignati et al., 2010). Another study showed that BC simulation was overestimated by a factor of 2.22, which can be attributed to the highest domestic emissions during winter in East Asia (Ikeda et al., 2022). Results from a top-down study showed the largest bias in residential and transportation sectors during January and July, and the bias of BC was reduced using the posterior emission inventory compared to the prior emission inventory (Zhao et al., 2019).

4.3 Drivers of black carbon variations in China

Using the ~~weather-normalized~~ weather-normalization method, the contributions of emissions and meteorology to the inter-annual variations of air pollutants can be quantified (Chen et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2020, 2023b). As shown in Fig. S12, compared to the observations, the emission-related (weather-normalized) concentrations of BC were higher in each year. For instance, the national mean concentrations of emission-related and observed eBC in 2008 were $3.59 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and $3.47 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$, respectively, yielding meteorology-related concentrations of $-0.12 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$. The negative value of the meteorology-related concentration indicates favorable meteorological conditions for reducing BC concentrations. Similarly, meteorology also contributed to lowering the concentrations of eBC_{lf} and eBC_{sf} at different types of stations (Fig. S12). To quantify the contributions of emission and meteorology to the reduction of BC concentrations, the slope between weather-normalized and observational trends was used. Results from Fig. 10a indicate that the reductions of eBC, eBC_{lf} , and eBC_{sf} were dominated by emission-related reductions, with relative contributions of 89%, 87%, and 62%, respectively, implying the effectiveness of human efforts in BC emission reduction. ~~The results here are in line~~ These results are consistent with previous studies showing that the inter-annual variations of air pollutants were dominated by emission ~~variations~~ changes (Chen et al., 2019; Cheng et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019a; Zheng et al., 2020, 2024). Compared to eBC and eBC_{lf} , eBC_{sf} was more strongly impacted by variations in meteorological conditions, e.g., more coal and biomass were consumed for heating during colder months. To better understand which sources dominated the reduction of BC, the results from the Aethalometer model were used ~~here~~. As shown in Fig. 10b, the slopes of the fittings between eBC_{sf} and eBC_{lf} in baseline and rural stations were ~~higher~~ greater than 1, suggesting the dominant role of BC emission reduction from solid fuel in the decreases of ambient eBC concentrations in these two types of regions. In contrast, the slope between eBC_{sf} and eBC_{lf} in urban stations was ~~lower~~ less than 1, suggesting the dominant role of BC emission reductions from liquid fuel (e.g., vehicle emissions) in the decrease of eBC concentration in urban areas. The control measures related to BC emission reductions include stricter vehicle emission ~~and~~ and industrial standards, eliminating outdated industrial capacity, prohibiting agricultural residue burning and scattered coal combustion, and replacing coal with natural gas and electricity ~~, etc.~~ (Zheng et al., 2018). The focus of BC mitigation measures, however, differs between rural and urban areas. In urban areas, BC emission reductions are related to stricter vehicle emission standards, improved fuel

quality, and eliminating outdated industrial capacity (Xu et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021), which result in less BC emissions from liquid fuel. In rural areas, BC emission reductions result from prohibiting agricultural residue burning, household stove upgrading, and energy switching, e.g., from coal to natural gas and electricity (Zhu et al., 2019; Meng et al., 2021; Shen et al., 2022). These measures lead to BC emission reductions from biomass burning and coal combustion. The results here are in-line-consistent with the differences in BC emission reduction measures between city-cities and rural areas, with fast-rapid decreases of eBC_{sf} in rural areas and eBC_{if} in urban regions. Due to the limitation of the Aethalometer model, the BC sources are apportioned into eBC_{sf} and eBC_{if} , while the contributions of solid fossil fuel (e.g., coal) and biomass cannot be further separated from eBC_{sf} (Zheng et al., 2021). To further understand which types of fuels (e.g., biomass, coal, liquid fuel) dominated the BC reductions in China, we used the estimated BC emissions from different fuels derived from MEIC. As shown in Fig. 10c, BC from coal combustion decreased by 0.38 Tg from 2008 to 2020 in China, followed by liquid fuel (0.16 Tg), and biomass burning (0.09 Tg). Therefore, emission reduction from coal combustion dominated the BC reduction in China, with a contribution of 60.3%.

In line with previous long-term observations of BC conducted in urban areas (Chen et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2022; Xie et al., 2023), these studies identified the predominant driving factor for BC reduction was the reduced emissions from fossil fuel combustion (e.g., coal and petroleum). Representative examples include studies in Wuhan (Zheng et al., 2020), Beijing (Xie et al., 2025), and Nanjing (Abulimiti et al., 2025). Unlike previous studies, this research also analyzed the driving factors behind BC reduction at rural and background sites. The results demonstrate that the decrease in BC concentrations is mainly attributed to reduced emissions from both coal and biomass combustion in rural and baseline areas. These findings represent novel conclusions not previously reported in earlier research.

5 Summary and implications

In this study, 13 years of continuous measurements of black carbon aerosol were analyzed from 48 stations in China. Using station-specific AAE_{if} and AAE_{sf} , the sources of eBC were apportioned. The levels, spatial-temporal characteristics, and trends of black carbon aerosol were reported, and the key findings of this unique dataset are listed as follows.

(1) Observations of black carbon aerosols from 2015–2017 showed averages of $2.05 \pm 2.85 \mu g m^{-3}$, $1.08 \pm 1.73 \mu g m^{-3}$, $0.97 \pm 1.52 \mu g m^{-3}$, and 1.33 ± 0.29 for eBC , eBC_{if} , eBC_{sf} , and $AAE_{370-950}$, respectively, in China. Long-term trends of eBC , eBC_{sf} , and eBC_{if} indicated reductions from 2008 to 2020, with mean slopes of $-0.17 \pm 0.20 \mu g m^{-3} yr^{-1}$ for eBC , $-0.12 \pm 0.14 \mu g m^{-3} yr^{-1}$ for eBC_{if} , and $-0.06 \pm 0.09 \mu g m^{-3} yr^{-1}$ for eBC_{sf} on a national scale. Compared to the eBC levels and trends from other countries, China had high eBC levels with a slow reduction rate.

(2) Spatial distributions of eBC and eBC_{sf} were higher in eastern and northern China compared to western and southern regions. Among different types of stations, urban stations exhibited the highest eBC values, while baseline stations had the highest fractions of eBC_{sf} and $AAE_{370-950}$. The seasonal variations of eBC , eBC_{sf} , and $AAE_{370-950}$ typically showed the lowest values in summer and the highest in winter. However, some stations recorded abnormally high eBC levels during summer,

which were associated with biomass burning and dusty weather events. The spatial-temporal patterns of BC in China can be explained by its emission variations and meteorological conditions.

(3) ~~Long-term trends of eBC~~, By applying weather normalization to the eBC concentrations, we quantified the relative contributions of meteorological variability and emission reductions to the observed decreases in eBC. The analysis showed that emission reductions were the primary driver, accounting for 89% of the decrease in eBC, 87% in eBC_{sf}, and eBC_{lf} indicated reductions from 2008 to 2020, with mean slopes of $-0.17 \pm 0.20 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for eBC, $-0.12 \pm 0.14 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for eBC_{lf}, and $-0.06 \pm 0.09 \text{ g m}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for eBC_{sf} on a national scale. These trends 62% in eBC_{sf}. The trends also exhibited spatial heterogeneity across among station types. Urban stations ~~experienced the fastest declines for~~ showed the most rapid declines in eBC and eBC_{lf}, ~~while rural stations showed the highest rate of decline for eBC with the ratio of eBC_{sf}.~~ By normalizing concentrations to account for weather effects, the ratio of eBC_{sf} to eBC_{lf} trends exceeding 1, indicating that reductions in liquid fuel combustion emissions were the contributions to eBC reductions varied by station type: emission reductions from eBC_{sf} were the primary driver at baseline and rural stations, while at urban stations, main contributor to BC decreases in urban areas. In contrast, rural and baseline stations exhibited the fastest declines in eBC_{sf}, with the reduction rate of eBC_{sf} surpassing that of eBC_{lf}, suggesting that emission reductions from eBC_{lf} had the greatest impacts solid fuel combustion were the dominant factor in these regions.

This study provides valuable insights from China's long-term observations of BC aerosol, with significant implications for refining emission inventories and reducing model uncertainties, as detailed below.

(1) The comparison between eBC observations and simulations from various CTMs revealed that most models performed poorly due to inadequate ~~estimations~~ estimation of inter-annual variations in BC emissions. In contrast, the TAP simulation showed strong performance in capturing both the spatial and temporal variations of BC. Therefore, using BC emissions from MEIC is recommended for modeling BC concentrations in China. Although all models effectively simulated the spatial distribution of BC, they generally underestimated BC levels, especially at rural stations. This underestimation suggests that ~~enhanceing~~ improving the representation of BC emissions and reducing BC deposition rates could ~~improve~~ enhance model accuracy.

(2) Compared to eBC observations, the inter-annual variations of weather-normalized eBC concentrations showed a stronger correlation with BC emissions, suggesting that these normalized BC observations could be used to refine the calibration of total BC emissions in recent years. Further analysis revealed a higher correlation between eBC_{lf} and BC from liquid fossil fuel emissions, while the correlation between eBC_{sf} and BC from solid fuel combustion was weaker. To reduce uncertainties in BC emissions, greater attention should be given to the solid fuel combustion sub-sector, including the collection of ~~real~~ accurate BC emission factors from biomass and coal burning, as well as updating activity data in rural areas.

Data availability. The authors do not have the right to share the ground BC observations dataset; The BC from TAP can be downloaded from <http://tapdata.org.cn>. BC mass concentrations from MERRA-2 can be downloaded from <https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets>; Surface BC observations in UK and USA can be accessed via <https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk> and <https://www.epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data>. The light absorption coefficient to calculate BC mass concentration and AAE values are from EBAS (<https://ebas-data.nilu.no/Default.aspx>); Historical

simulations of surface BC during 2008–2014 from CMIP6 are available from <https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/projects/cmip6/>. The BC emission inventory used in this study can be downloaded from <https://eccad.aeris-data.fr>, <http://inventory.pku.edu.cn>, and <http://meicmodel.org.cn>. Surface meteorological parameters from ERA5 are available at the Climate Data Store (<https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home>).
550 GDAS1 to drive HYSPLIT is available at <https://www.ready.noaa.gov/data/archives/gdas1/>.

Code and data availability. The code and data used to produce all figures are available from the Huang Zheng (zhengh@wust.edu.cn) and corresponding authors under reasonable request.

Author contributions. HZ conducted the data analysis, data visualization, and wrote the paper. SK designed and edited the paper. DD provided the eBC observations in China and MS processed the pan-European eBC observations. CS, MZ, and RMH reviewed and commented
555 on the paper. All co-authors reviewed and commented on the paper.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper

Acknowledgements. This study is financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42307147, 42307151, 41830965), the Key Program of Ministry of Science and Technology of the People’s Republic of China (grant nos. 2016YFA0602002). This study uses
560 data that has received funding from the RI-URBANS project (Research Infrastructures Services Reinforcing Air Quality Monitoring Capacities in European Urban & Industrial Areas), European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, Green Deal, European Commission, contract 101036245. We greatly thank the staff from the Chinese Meteorological Administration for maintaining the instruments.

References

- 565 Abulimiti, A., Zhang, Y., Yu, M., Hong, Y., Lin, Y.-C., Gul, C., and Cao, F.: Sources and trends of black carbon aerosol in the megacity of Nanjing, eastern China, after the China Clean Action Plan and Three-Year Action Plan, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 25, 6161–6178, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-25-6161-2025>, 2025.
- Alvarado, M. J., Lonsdale, C. R., Macintyre, H. L., Bian, H., Chin, M., Ridley, D. A., Heald, C. L., Thornhill, K. L., Anderson, B. E., Cubison, M. J., et al.: Evaluating model parameterizations of submicron aerosol scattering and absorption with in situ data from ARCTAS
570 2008, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 9435–9455, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-9435-2016>, 2016.
- Becerril-Valle, M., Coz, E., Prévôt, A., Močnik, G., Pandis, S., de la Campa, A. S., Alastuey, A., Díaz, E., Pérez, R., and Artíñano, B.: Characterization of atmospheric black carbon and co-pollutants in urban and rural areas of Spain, *Atmos. Environ.*, 169, 36–53, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.09.014>, 2017.
- Birmili, W., Weinhold, K., Rasch, F., Sonntag, A., Sun, J., Merkel, M., Wiedensohler, A., Bastian, S., Schladitz, A., Löschau, G., et al.:
575 Long-term observations of tropospheric particle number size distributions and equivalent black carbon mass concentrations in the German Ultrafine Aerosol Network (GUAN), *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 8, 355, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-355-2016>, 2016.
- Bond, T. C. and Bergstrom, R. W.: Light absorption by carbonaceous particles: An investigative review, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 40, 27–67, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820500421521>, 2006.
- Bond, T. C., Doherty, S. J., Fahey, D. W., Forster, P. M., Berntsen, T., DeAngelo, B. J., Flanner, M. G., Ghan, S., Kärcher, B., Koch, D.,
580 et al.: Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118, 5380–5552, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50171>, 2013.
- Boreddy, S. K., Haque, M. M., and Kawamura, K.: Long-term (2001–2012) trends of carbonaceous aerosols from a remote island in the western North Pacific: an outflow region of Asian pollutants, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 1291–1306, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-1291-2018>, 2018.
- 585 Bové, H., Bongaerts, E., Slenders, E., Bijmens, E. M., Saenen, N. D., Gyselaers, W., Van Eyken, P., Plusquin, M., Roeffaers, M. B., Ameloot, M., et al.: Ambient black carbon particles reach the fetal side of human placenta, *Nat. Commun.*, 10, 3866, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11654-3>, 2019.
- Carslaw, D. C. and Ropkins, K.: openair — An R package for air quality data analysis, *Environ. Modell. Softw.*, 27–28, 52–61, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.09.008>, 2012.
- 590 Chan, T. W., Huang, L., Banwait, K., Zhang, W., Ernst, D., Wang, X., Watson, J. G., Chow, J. C., Green, M., Czimczik, C. I., et al.: Inter-comparison of elemental and organic carbon mass measurements from three North American national long-term monitoring networks at a co-located site, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 4543–4560, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-4543-2019>, 2019.
- Chen, Y., Schleicher, N., Fricker, M., Cen, K., Liu, X., Kaminski, U., Yu, Y., Wu, X., and Norra, S.: Long-term variation of black carbon and PM_{2.5} in Beijing, China with respect to meteorological conditions and governmental measures, *Environ. Pollut.*, 212, 269–278,
595 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.01.008>, 2016.
- Chen, Z., Chen, D., Kwan, M.-P., Chen, B., Gao, B., Zhuang, Y., Li, R., and Xu, B.: The control of anthropogenic emissions contributed to 80% of the decrease in PM_{2.5} concentrations in Beijing from 2013 to 2017, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 13 519–13 533, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-13519-2019>, 2019.

- Cheng, J., Su, J., Cui, T., Li, X., Dong, X., Sun, F., Yang, Y., Tong, D., Zheng, Y., Li, Y., Li, J., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Dominant role of emission reduction in PM_{2.5} air quality improvement in Beijing during 2013–2017: a model-based decomposition analysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 6125–6146, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-6125-2019>, 2019.
- Choi, Y., Kanaya, Y., Park, S.-M., Matsuki, A., Sadanaga, Y., Kim, S.-W., Uno, I., Pan, X., Lee, M., Kim, H., et al.: Regional variability in black carbon and carbon monoxide ratio from long-term observations over East Asia: assessment of representativeness for black carbon (BC) and carbon monoxide (CO) emission inventories, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 83–98, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-83-2020>, 2020a.
- Choi, Y., Kanaya, Y., Takigawa, M., Zhu, C., Park, S.-M., Matsuki, A., Sadanaga, Y., Kim, S.-W., Pan, X., and Pissò, I.: Investigation of the wet removal rate of black carbon in East Asia: validation of a below-and in-cloud wet removal scheme in FLEXible PARTicle (FLEXPART) model v10.4, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 13 655–13 670, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-13655-2020>, 2020b.
- Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Dentener, F., Van Aardenne, J. A., Monni, S., Doering, U., Olivier, J. G., Pagliari, V., et al.: Gridded emissions of air pollutants for the period 1970–2012 within EDGAR v4.3.2, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 10, 1987–2013, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1987-2018>, 2018.
- Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., and Oreggioni, G.: EDGAR v5.0 Global Air Pollutant Emissions, European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC) [data set], 2019.
- Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Butler, T., Keating, T., Wu, R., Kaminski, J., Kuenen, J., Kurokawa, J., Chatani, S., Morikawa, T., et al.: The HTAP_v3 emission mosaic: merging regional and global monthly emissions (2000–2018) to support air quality modelling and policies, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 15, 2667–2694, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-2667-2023>, 2023.
- Dai, M., Zhu, B., Fang, C., Zhou, S., Lu, W., Zhao, D., Ding, D., Pan, C., and Liao, H.: Long-Term Variation and Source Apportionment of Black Carbon at Mt. Waliguan, China, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 126, e2021JD035 273, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035273>, 2021.
- Dancho, M. and Vaughan, D.: anomalize: Tidy Anomaly Detection, <https://github.com/business-science/anomalize>, r package version 0.2.3, 2023.
- Ding, A., Huang, X., Nie, W., Sun, J., Kerminen, V.-M., Petäjä, T., Su, H., Cheng, Y., Yang, X.-Q., Wang, M., et al.: Enhanced haze pollution by black carbon in megacities in China, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 2873–2879, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067745>, 2016.
- Drinovec, L., Močnik, G., Zotter, P., Prévôt, A., Ruckstuhl, C., Coz, E., Rupakheti, M., Sciare, J., Müller, T., Wiedensohler, A., et al.: The “dual-spot” Aethalometer: an improved measurement of aerosol black carbon with real-time loading compensation, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 1965–1979, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-1965-2015>, 2015.
- Evangelizou, N., Thompson, R. L., Eckhardt, S., and Stohl, A.: Top-down estimates of black carbon emissions at high latitudes using an atmospheric transport model and a Bayesian inversion framework, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 15 307–15 327, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-15307-2018>, 2018.
- Evangelizou, N., Platt, S. M., Eckhardt, S., Lund Myhre, C., Laj, P., Alados-Arboledas, L., Backman, J., Brem, B. T., Fiebig, M., Flentje, H., Marinoni, A., Pandolfi, M., Yus-Díez, J., Prats, N., Putaud, J. P., Sellegri, K., Sorribas, M., Eleftheriadis, K., Vratolis, S., Wiedensohler, A., and Stohl, A.: Changes in black carbon emissions over Europe due to COVID-19 lockdowns, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 2675–2692, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-2675-2021>, 2021.
- Fan, T., Liu, X., Ma, P.-L., Zhang, Q., Li, Z., Jiang, Y., Zhang, F., Zhao, C., Yang, X., Wu, F., et al.: Emission or atmospheric processes? An attempt to attribute the source of large bias of aerosols in eastern China simulated by global climate models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 1395–1417, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-1395-2018>, 2018.

- 635 Fan, T., Liu, X., Wu, C., Zhang, Q., Zhao, C., Yang, X., and Li, Y.: Comparison of the anthropogenic emission inventory for CMIP6 models with a country-level inventory over China and the simulations of the aerosol properties, *Adv. Clim. Change Res.*, 39, 80–96, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-021-1119-6>, 2022.
- Fan, X., Ye, S., Zheng, H., Han, B., Zhang, G., Zheng, Z., Zhao, X., Kong, S., Wang, X., and Yang, W.: Source apportionment of black carbon using an advanced Aethalometer model in a typical industrial city of China, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 151, 42–53, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2024.03.036>, 2025.
- 640 Fang, W., Du, K., Andersson, A., Xing, Z., Cho, C., Kim, S., Deng, J., and Gustafsson, Ö.: Dual-isotope constraints on seasonally resolved source fingerprinting of black carbon aerosols in sites of the four emission hot spot regions of China, *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.*, 123, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jd028607>, 2018.
- Favez, O., El Haddad, I., Piot, C., Boréave, A., Abidi, E., Marchand, N., Jaffrezo, J.-L., Besombes, J.-L., Personnaz, M.-B., Sciare, J., et al.: Inter-comparison of source apportionment models for the estimation of wood burning aerosols during wintertime in an Alpine city (Grenoble, France), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 5295–5314, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5295-2010>, 2010.
- 645 Flowers, B., Dubey, M., Mazzoleni, C., Stone, E., Schauer, J., Kim, S.-W., and Yoon, S.: Optical-chemical-microphysical relationships and closure studies for mixed carbonaceous aerosols observed at Jeju Island; 3-laser photoacoustic spectrometer, particle sizing, and filter analysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 10 387–10 398, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-10387-2010>, 2010.
- 650 Font, A. and Fuller, G. W.: Did policies to abate atmospheric emissions from traffic have a positive effect in London?, *Environ. Pollut.*, 218, 463–474, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.07.026>, 2016.
- Fu, T.-M., Cao, J., Zhang, X., Lee, S., Zhang, Q., Han, Y., Qu, W., Han, Z., Zhang, R., Wang, Y., et al.: Carbonaceous aerosols in China: top-down constraints on primary sources and estimation of secondary contribution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 2725–2746, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2725-2012>, 2012.
- 655 Fuller, G. W. and Font, A.: Keeping air pollution policies on track, *Science*, 365, 322–323, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw9865>, 2019.
- Fuller, G. W., Tremper, A. H., Baker, T. D., Yttri, K. E., and Butterfield, D.: Contribution of wood burning to PM₁₀ in London, *Atmos. Environ.*, 87, 87–94, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.12.037>, 2014.
- Geng, G., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, S., Cheng, J., Yan, L., Wu, N., Hu, H., Tong, D., Zheng, B., Yin, Z., He, K., and Zhang, Q.: Efficacy of China’s clean air actions to tackle PM_{2.5} pollution between 2013 and 2020, *Nat. Geosci.*, 17, 987–994, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01540-z>, 2024.
- 660 Gliß, J., Mortier, A., Schulz, M., Andrews, E., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S. E., Benedictow, A. M. K., Bian, H., Checa-Garcia, R., Chin, M., Ginoux, P., Griesfeller, J. J., Heckel, A., Kipling, Z., Kirkevåg, A., Kokkola, H., Laj, P., Le Sager, P., Lund, M. T., Lund Myhre, C., Matsui, H., Myhre, G., Neubauer, D., Van Noije, T., North, P., Olivie, D. J. L., Rémy, S., Sogacheva, L., Takemura, T., Tsigaridis, K., and Tsyro, S. G.: AeroCom phase III multi-model evaluation of the aerosol life cycle and optical properties using ground- and space-based remote sensing as well as surface in situ observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 87–128, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-87-2021>, 2021.
- Global Modeling And Assimilation Office and Pawson, S.: MERRA-2 tavgM_2d_aer_Nx: 2d,Monthly mean,Time-averaged,Single-Level,Assimilation,Aerosol Diagnostics V5.12.4, <https://doi.org/10.5067/FH9A0MLJPC7N>, 2015.
- Grange, S. K., Carslaw, D. C., Lewis, A. C., Boleti, E., and Hueglin, C.: Random forest meteorological normalisation models for Swiss PM₁₀ trend analysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 6223–6239, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-6223-2018>, 2018.
- 670 Grange, S. K., Ltscher, H., Fischer, A., Emmenegger, L., and Hueglin, C.: Evaluation of equivalent black carbon source apportionment using observations from Switzerland between 2008 and 2018, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 13, 1867–1885, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-1867-2020>, 2020.

- Granier, C., Darras, S., Denier van der Gon, H., Doubalova, J., Elguindi, N., Galle, B., Gauss, M., Guevara, M., Jalkanen, J.-P., Kuenen, J., Liousse, C., Quack, B., Simpson, D., and Sindelarova, K.: The copernicus atmosphere monitoring service global and regional emissions (april 2019 version), <https://doi.org/10.24380/D0BN-KX16>, publisher: Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service, 2019.
- Guo, B., Wang, Y., Zhang, X., Che, H., Ming, J., and Yi, Z.: Long-Term variation of black carbon aerosol in China based on revised aethalometer monitoring data, *Atmosphere*, 11, 684, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11070684>, 2020.
- Gyawali, M., Arnott, W., Lewis, K., and Moosmüller, H.: In situ aerosol optics in Reno, NV, USA during and after the summer 2008 California wildfires and the influence of absorbing and non-absorbing organic coatings on spectral light absorption, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8007–8015, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-8007-2009>, 2009.
- Harrison, R., Beddows, D., Hu, L., and Yin, J.: Comparison of methods for evaluation of wood smoke and estimation of UK ambient concentrations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 8271–8283, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-8271-2012>, 2012.
- He, C., Li, Q., Liou, K.-N., Qi, L., Tao, S., and Schwarz, J. P.: Microphysics-based black carbon aging in a global CTM: constraints from HIPPO observations and implications for global black carbon budget, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 3077–3098, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-3077-2016>, 2016.
- Helin, A., Niemi, J., Virkkula, A., Pirjola, L., Teinila, K., Backman, J., Aurela, M., Saarikoski, S., Ronkko, T., Asmi, E., and Timonen, H.: Characteristics and source apportionment of black carbon in the Helsinki metropolitan area, Finland, *Atmos. Environ.*, 190, 87–98, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.022>, 2018.
- Helin, A., Virkkula, A., Backman, J., Pirjola, L., Sippula, O., Aakko-Saksa, P., Väättäinen, S., Mylläri, F., Järvinen, A., Bloss, M., Aurela, M., Jakobi, G., Karjalainen, P., Zimmermann, R., Jokiniemi, J., Saarikoski, S., Tissari, J., Rönkkö, T., Niemi, J. V., and Timonen, H.: Variation of Absorption Ångström Exponent in Aerosols From Different Emission Sources, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 126, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD034094>, 2021.
- Herich, H., Hueglin, C., and Buchmann, B.: A 2.5 year’s source apportionment study of black carbon from wood burning and fossil fuel combustion at urban and rural sites in Switzerland, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 1409, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-1409-2011>, 2011.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Biavati, G., Horányi, A., Sabater, M., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Rozum, I., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Dee, D., and Thépaut, J.-N.: ERA5 hourly data on single levels from 1940 to present. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS)., <https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.adbb2d47>, 2023.
- Hirdman, D., Burkhardt, J. F., Sodemann, H., Eckhardt, S., Jefferson, A., Quinn, P. K., Sharma, S., Ström, J., and Stohl, A.: Long-term trends of black carbon and sulphate aerosol in the Arctic: changes in atmospheric transport and source region emissions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 9351–9368, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-9351-2010>, 2010.
- Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., Bolt, R. M., et al.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 11, 369–408, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018>, 2018.
- Huang, L., Gong, S., Jia, C., and Lavoué, D.: Importance of deposition processes in simulating the seasonality of the Arctic black carbon aerosol, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 115, D17 207, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013478>, 2010.
- Huang, R.-J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K.-F., Cao, J.-J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G., Platt, S. M., Canonaco, F., et al.: High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China, *Nature*, 514, 218–222, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13774>, 2014.

- Ikedo, K., Tanimoto, H., Kanaya, Y., and Taketani, F.: Evaluation of anthropogenic emissions of black carbon from East Asia in six inventories: constraints from model simulations and surface observations on Fukue Island, Japan, *Environ. Sci. Atmos.*, 2, 416–427, <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EA00051A>, 2022.
- Jia, M., Evangeliou, N., Eckhardt, S., Huang, X., Gao, J., Ding, A., and Stohl, A.: Black Carbon Emission Reduction Due to COVID-19 Lockdown in China, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 48, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL093243>, 2021.
- Jiang, K., Xing, R., Luo, Z., Huang, W., Yi, F., Men, Y., Zhao, N., Chang, Z., Zhao, J., Pan, B., and Shen, G.: Pollutant emissions from biomass burning: A review on emission characteristics, environmental impacts, and research perspectives, *Particuology*, 85, 296–309, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.partic.2023.07.012>, 2024.
- Kanaya, Y., Pan, X., Miyakawa, T., Komazaki, Y., Taketani, F., Uno, I., and Kondo, Y.: Long-term observations of black carbon mass concentrations at Fukue Island, western Japan, during 2009–2015: constraining wet removal rates and emission strengths from East Asia, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 10 689–10 705, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-10689-2016>, 2016.
- Koch, D., Schulz, M., Kinne, S., McNaughton, C., Spackman, J., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Bernsten, T., Bond, T. C., Boucher, O., et al.: Evaluation of black carbon estimations in global aerosol models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 9001–9026, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-9001-2009>, 2009.
- Kutzner, R. D., von Schneidmesser, E., Kuik, F., Quedenau, J., Weatherhead, E. C., and Schmale, J.: Long-term monitoring of black carbon across Germany, *Atmos. Environ.*, 185, 41–52, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.04.039>, 2018.
- Lang, M., Binder, M., Richter, J., Schratz, P., Pfisterer, F., Coors, S., Au, Q., Casalicchio, G., Kotthoff, L., and Bischl, B.: mlr3: A modern object-oriented machine learning framework in R, *J. Open Source Softw.*, <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01903>, 2019.
- Li, J., Liu, C., Yin, Y., and Kumar, K. R.: Numerical investigation on the Ångström exponent of black carbon aerosol, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 121, 3506–3518, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024718>, 2016.
- Li, M., Liu, H., Geng, G., Hong, C., Liu, F., Song, Y., Tong, D., Zheng, B., Cui, H., Man, H., et al.: Anthropogenic emission inventories in China: A review, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 4, 834–866, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwx150>, 2017.
- Lin, W., Dai, J., Liu, R., Zhai, Y., Yue, D., and Hu, Q.: Integrated assessment of health risk and climate effects of black carbon in the Pearl River Delta region, China, *Environ. Res.*, 176, 108 522, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.06.003>, 2019.
- Liu, C., Chung, C. E., Yin, Y., and Schnaiter, M.: The absorption Ångström exponent of black carbon: from numerical aspects, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 6259–6273, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-6259-2018>, 2018.
- Liu, D., Allan, J. D., Young, D. E., Coe, H., Beddows, D., Fleming, Z. L., Flynn, M. J., Gallagher, M. W., Harrison, R. M., Lee, J., et al.: Size distribution, mixing state and source apportionment of black carbon aerosol in London during wintertime, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 10 061–10 084, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-10061-2014>, 2014.
- Liu, L., Mishchenko, M. I., and Arnott, W. P.: A study of radiative properties of fractal soot aggregates using the superposition T-matrix method, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, 109, 2656–2663, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2008.05.001>, 2008.
- Liu, S., Geng, G., Xiao, Q., Zheng, Y., Liu, X., Cheng, J., and Zhang, Q.: Tracking daily concentrations of PM_{2.5} chemical composition in China since 2000, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 56, 16 517–16 527, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c06510>, 2022.
- Luoma, K., Niemi, J. V., Aurela, M., Fung, P. L., Helin, A., Hussein, T., Kangas, L., Kousa, A., Rönkkö, T., Timonen, H., et al.: Spatiotemporal variation and trends in equivalent black carbon in the Helsinki metropolitan area in Finland, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 1173–1189, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-1173-2021>, 2021.
- Malm, W. C., Sisler, J. F., Huffman, D., Eldred, R. A., and Cahill, T. A.: Spatial and seasonal trends in particle concentration and optical extinction in the United States, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 99, 1347–1370, <https://doi.org/10.1029/93JD02916>, 1994.

- Manoj, M., Satheesh, S., Moorthy, K. K., Gogoi, M. M., and Babu, S. S.: Decreasing trend in black carbon aerosols over the Indian region, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 2903–2910, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081666>, 2019.
- Mao, Y.-H., Liao, H., Han, Y., and Cao, J.: Impacts of meteorological parameters and emissions on decadal and interannual variations of
750 black carbon in China for 1980–2010, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 121, 1822–1843, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024019>, 2016.
- McDuffie, E. E., Smith, S. J., O’Rourke, P., Tibrewal, K., Venkataraman, C., Marais, E. A., Zheng, B., Crippa, M., Brauer, M., and Martin, R. V.: A global anthropogenic emission inventory of atmospheric pollutants from sector-and fuel-specific sources (1970–2017): an application of the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 12, 3413–3442, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3413-2020>, 2020.
- 755 Meng, W., Shen, G., Shen, H., Chen, Y., Yun, X., Li, J., Ma, J., Liu, J., Cheng, H., Hu, J., Wan, Y., and Tao, S.: Synergistic Health Benefits of Household Stove Upgrading and Energy Switching in Rural China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 55, 14 567–14 575, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c04242>, 2021.
- Monforti Ferrario, F., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Lo Vullo, E., Solazzo, E., Olivier, J. G., and Vignati, E.: EDGAR v6.0 Greenhouse Gas Emissions. European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), <http://data.europa.eu/89h/97a67d67-c62e-4826-b873-9d972c4f670b>, 2021.
- 760 Mordukhovich, I., Wilker, E., Suh, H., Wright, R., Sparrow, D., Vokonas, P. S., and Schwartz, J.: Black carbon exposure, oxidative stress genes, and blood pressure in a repeated-measures study, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 117, 1767–1772, <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0900591>, 2009.
- Mousavi, A., Sowlat, M. H., Lovett, C., Rauber, M., Szidat, S., Boffi, R., Borgini, A., De Marco, C., Ruprecht, A. A., and Sioutas, C.: Source
765 apportionment of black carbon (BC) from fossil fuel and biomass burning in metropolitan Milan, Italy, *Atmos. Environ.*, 203, 252–261, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.02.009>, 2019.
- Navarro-Barboza, H., Rovira, J., Obiso, V., Pozzer, A., Via, M., Alastuey, A., Querol, X., Perez, N., Savadkoobi, M., Chen, G., Yus-Díez, J., Ivancic, M., Rigler, M., Eleftheriadis, K., Vratolis, S., Zografou, O., Gini, M., Chazeau, B., Marchand, N., Prevot, A. S. H., Dallenbach, K., Ehn, M., Luoma, K., Petäjä, T., Tobler, A., Necki, J., Aurela, M., Timonen, H., Niemi, J., Favez, O., Petit, J.-E., Putaud, J.-P., Hueglin, C., Pascal, N., Chauvigné, A., Conil, S., Pandolfi, M., and Jorba, O.: Characterization of brown carbon absorption in different euro-
770 pean environments through source contribution analysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 25, 2667–2694, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-25-2667-2025>, 2025.
- Ni, H., Huang, R.-J., Cao, J., Liu, W., Zhang, T., Wang, M., Meijer, H. A. J., and Dusek, U.: Source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosols in Xi’an, China: insights from a full year of measurements of radiocarbon and the stable isotope ^{13}C , *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 16 363–
775 16 383, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-16363-2018>, 2018.
- Novakov, T. and Hansen, J.: Black carbon emissions in the United Kingdom during the past four decades: an empirical analysis, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 4155–4163, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.04.031>, 2004.
- Pandolfi, M., Ripoll, A., Querol, X., and Alastuey, A.: Climatology of aerosol optical properties and black carbon mass absorption cross section at a remote high-altitude site in the western Mediterranean Basin, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 6443–6460, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-6443-2014>, 2014.
- 780 Peng, J., Hu, M., Guo, S., Du, Z., Zheng, J., Shang, D., Levy Zamora, M., Zeng, L., Shao, M., Wu, Y.-S., et al.: Markedly enhanced absorption and direct radiative forcing of black carbon under polluted urban environments, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 113, 4266–4271, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1602310113>, 2016.

- Petzold, A., Ogren, J. A., Fiebig, M., Laj, P., Li, S.-M., Baltensperger, U., Holzer-Popp, T., Kinne, S., Pappalardo, G., Sugimoto, N., et al.: Recommendations for reporting “black carbon” measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 8365–8379, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-8365-2013>, 2013.
- Qi, L. and Wang, S.: Fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning sources of global black carbon from GEOS-Chem simulation and carbon isotope measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 11 545–11 557, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11545-2019>, 2019.
- R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, <https://www.R-project.org/>, 2024.
- Rajesh, T. and Ramachandran, S.: Black carbon aerosol mass concentration, absorption and single scattering albedo from single and dual spot aethalometers: Radiative implications, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 119, 77–90, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2018.02.001>, 2018.
- Ramachandran, S., Rupakheti, M., and Cherian, R.: Insights into recent aerosol trends over Asia from observations and CMIP6 simulations, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 807, 150 756, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150756>, 2022.
- Rovira, J., Savadkoohi, M., Chen, G. I., Močnik, G., Aas, W., Alados-Arboledas, L., Artiñano, B., Aurela, M., Backman, J., Banerji, S., Beddows, D., Brem, B., Chazeau, B., Coen, M. C., Colombi, C., Conil, S., Costabile, F., Coz, E., de Brito, J. F., Eleftheriadis, K., Favez, O., Flentje, H., Freney, E., Gregorič, A., Gysel-Beer, M., Harrison, R., Hueglin, C., Hyvärinen, A., Ivančič, M., Kalogridis, A.-C., Keernik, H., Konstantinos, G., Laj, P., Liakakou, E., Lin, C., Listrani, S., Luoma, K., Maasikmets, M., Manninen, H. E., Marchand, N., dos Santos, S. M., Mbengue, S., Mihalopoulos, N., Nicolae, D., Niemi, J. V., Norman, M., Ovadnevaite, J., Petit, J.-E., Platt, S., Prévôt, A. S., Pujadas, M., Putaud, J.-P., Riffault, V., Rigler, M., Rinaldi, M., Schwarz, J., Silvergren, S., Teinmaa, E., Teinilä, K., Timonen, H., Titos, G., Tobler, A., Vasilescu, J., Vratolis, S., Yttri, K. E., Yubero, E., Zíková, N., Alastuey, A., Petäjä, T., Querol, X., Yus-Díez, J., and Pandolfi, M.: A European aerosol phenomenology – 9: Light absorption properties of carbonaceous aerosol particles across surface Europe, *Environ. Int.*, 195, 109 185, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2024.109185>, 2025.
- Russell, P., Bergstrom, R., Shinozuka, Y., Clarke, A., DeCarlo, P., Jimenez, J., Livingston, J., Redemann, J., Dubovik, O., and Strawa, A.: Absorption Angstrom Exponent in AERONET and related data as an indicator of aerosol composition, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 1155–1169, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-1155-2010>, 2010.
- Rönkkö, T., Saarikoski, S., Kuittinen, N., Karjalainen, P., Keskinen, H., Järvinen, A., Mylläri, F., Aakko-Saksa, P., and Timonen, H.: Review of black carbon emission factors from different anthropogenic sources, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 18, 033 004, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acbb1b>, 2023.
- Sandradewi, J., Prévôt, A. S. H., Szidat, S., Perron, N., Alfarra, M. R., Lanz, V. A., Weingartner, E., and Baltensperger, U.: Using aerosol light absorption measurements for the quantitative determination of wood burning and traffic emission contributions to particulate matter, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42, 3316–3323, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es702253m>, 2008.
- Savadkoohi, M., Pandolfi, M., Reche, C., Niemi, J. V., Mooibroek, D., Titos, G., Green, D. C., Tremper, A. H., Hueglin, C., Liakakou, E., et al.: The variability of mass concentrations and source apportionment analysis of equivalent black carbon across urban Europe, *Environ. Int.*, p. 108081, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.108081>, 2023.
- Savadkoohi, M., Pandolfi, M., Favez, O., Putaud, J.-P., Eleftheriadis, K., Fiebig, M., Hopke, P. K., Laj, P., Wiedensohler, A., Alados-Arboledas, L., Bastian, S., Chazeau, B., María, A. C., Colombi, C., Costabile, F., Green, D. C., Hueglin, C., Liakakou, E., Luoma, K., Listrani, S., Mihalopoulos, N., Marchand, N., Močnik, G., Niemi, J. V., Ondráček, J., Petit, J.-E., Rattigan, O. V., Reche, C., Timonen, H., Titos, G., Tremper, A. H., Vratolis, S., Vodička, P., Funes, E. Y., Zíková, N., Harrison, R. M., Petäjä, T., Alastuey, A., and Querol, X.: Recommendations for reporting equivalent black carbon (eBC) mass concentrations based on long-term pan-european in-situ observations, *Environ. Int.*, 185, 108 553, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2024.108553>, 2024.

- Savadkoobi, M., Gherras, M., Favez, O., Petit, J.-E., Rovira, J., Chen, G. I., Via, M., Platt, S., Aurela, M., Chazeau, B., De Brito, J. F., Riffault, V., Eleftheriadis, K., Flentje, H., Gysel-Beer, M., Hueglin, C., Rigler, M., Gregorič, A., Ivančič, M., Keernik, H., Maasikmets, M., Liakakou, E., Stavroulas, I., Luoma, K., Marchand, N., Mihalopoulos, N., Petäjä, T., Prevot, A. S., Daellenbach, K. R., Vodička, P., Timonen, H., Tobler, A., Vasilescu, J., Dandoci, A., Mbengue, S., Vratolis, S., Zografou, O., Chauvigné, A., Hopke, P. K., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., and Pandolfi, M.: Addressing the advantages and limitations of using Aethalometer data to determine the optimal absorption Ångström exponents (AAEs) values for eBC source apportionment, *Atmos. Environ.*, 349, 121 121, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2025.121121>, 2025.
- Shen, G., Xiong, R., Tian, Y., Luo, Z., Jiangtulu, B., Meng, W., Du, W., Meng, J., Chen, Y., Xue, B., Wang, B., Duan, Y., Duo, J., Fan, F., Huang, L., Ju, T., Liu, F., Li, S., Liu, X., Li, Y., Wang, M., Nan, Y., Pan, B., Pan, Y., Wang, L., Zeng, E., Zhan, C., Chen, Y., Shen, H., Cheng, H., and Tao, S.: Substantial transition to clean household energy mix in rural China, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 9, nwac050, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwac050>, 2022.
- Shen, W., Wang, M., Liu, Y., Dong, X., Zhao, D., Yue, M., Tian, P., and Ding, D.: Evaluating BC Aging Processes in the Community Atmosphere Model Version 6 (CAM6), *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 128, e2022JD037 427, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037427>, 2023.
- Singh, V., Ravindra, K., Sahu, L., and Sokhi, R.: Trends of atmospheric black carbon concentration over the United Kingdom, *Atmos. Environ.*, 178, 148–157, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.01.030>, 2018.
- Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA’s HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 96, 2059–2077, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1>, 2015.
- Sun, J., Birmili, W., Hermann, M., Tuch, T., Weinhold, K., Merkel, M., Rasch, F., Müller, T., Schladitz, A., Bastian, S., et al.: Decreasing trends of particle number and black carbon mass concentrations at 16 observational sites in Germany from 2009 to 2018, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 7049–7068, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-7049-2020>, 2020.
- Sun, J., Wang, Z., Zhou, W., Xie, C., Wu, C., Chen, C., Han, T., Wang, Q., Li, Z., Li, J., and others: Measurement report: Long-term changes in black carbon and aerosol optical properties from 2012 to 2020 in Beijing, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 22, 561–575, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-561-2022>, 2022.
- Szopa, S. et al.: Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis*, edited by Masson-Delmotte, V. et al., IPCC, 2021.
- Tao, J., Zhang, L., Cao, J., and Zhang, R.: A review of current knowledge concerning PM_{2.5} chemical composition, aerosol optical properties and their relationships across China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 9485–9518, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-9485-2017>, 2017.
- Tobler, A. K., Skiba, A., Canonaco, F., Močnik, G., Rai, P., Chen, G., Bartyzel, J., Zimnoch, M., Styszko, K., Nęcki, J., Furger, M., Rózański, K., Baltensperger, U., Slowik, J. G., and Prevot, A. S. H.: Characterization of non-refractory (NR) PM₁ and source apportionment of organic aerosol in Kraków, Poland, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 14 893–14 906, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-14893-2021>, 2021.
- Vignati, E., Karl, M., Krol, M., Wilson, J., Stier, P., and Cavalli, F.: Sources of uncertainties in modelling black carbon at the global scale, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 2595–2611, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-2595-2010>, 2010.
- Wang, H., He, X., Liang, X., Choma, E. F., Liu, Y., Shan, L., Zheng, H., Zhang, S., Nielsen, C. P., Wang, S., Wu, Y., and Evans, J. S.: Health benefits of on-road transportation pollution control programs in China, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 117, 25 370–25 377, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1921271117>, 2020.
- Wang, P., Wang, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, X., Gong, S., Xue, M., Zhou, C., Liu, H., An, X., Niu, T., et al.: Inverse modeling of black carbon emissions over China using ensemble data assimilation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 989–1002, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-989-2016>, 2016.

- 860 Wang, R., Tao, S., Wang, W., Liu, J., Shen, H., Shen, G., Wang, B., Liu, X., Li, W., Huang, Y., et al.: Black Carbon Emissions in China from 1949 to 2050, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 7595–7603, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es3003684>, 2012.
- Wang, X., Heald, C., Ridley, D., Schwarz, J., Spackman, J., Perring, A., Coe, H., Liu, D., and Clarke, A.: Exploiting simultaneous observational constraints on mass and absorption to estimate the global direct radiative forcing of black carbon and brown carbon, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 10989–11 010, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-10989-2014>, 2014.
- 865 Wang, Y., Ju, Q., Xing, Z., Zhao, J., Guo, S., Li, F., and Du, K.: Observation of black carbon in Northern China in winter of 2018–2020 and its implications for black carbon mitigation, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 877, 162 897, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162897>, 2023.
- Wang, Z., Huang, X., and Ding, A.: Dome effect of black carbon and its key influencing factors: a one-dimensional modelling study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 2821–2834, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-2821-2018>, 2018.
- Weingartner, E., Saathoff, H., Schnaiter, M., Streit, N., Bitnar, B., and Baltensperger, U.: Absorption of light by soot particles: determination of the absorption coefficient by means of aethalometers, *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 34, 1445–1463, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8502\(03\)00359-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8502(03)00359-8), 2003.
- 870 Wickham, H.: *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*, Springer-Verlag New York, ISBN 978-3-319-24277-4, <https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org>, 2016.
- Wu, M., Liu, X., Zhang, L., Wu, C., Lu, Z., Ma, P.-L., Wang, H., Tilmes, S., Mahowald, N., Matsui, H., et al.: Impacts of aerosol dry deposition on black carbon spatial distributions and radiative effects in the Community Atmosphere Model CAM5, *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, 10, 1150–1171, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017MS001219>, 2018.
- 875 Xie, Y., Zeng, L., Hu, S., Wang, T., Du, Z., Tan, T., Xu, N., Chen, S., Mao, J., Xu, F., and Hu, M.: Long-term trends of black carbon levels, sources, and radiative effects from 2013 to 2022 in Beijing, China, *Npj Clean Air*, 1, 10, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44407-025-00010-z>, 2025.
- 880 Xu, B., Fan, M., Lu, X., Zhang, Z., Li, M., Tao, J., Chen, L., and Song, S.: Light absorption properties and source contributions of black and brown carbon in Guangxi, southern China, *Atmos. Res.*, 302, 107 317, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2024.107317>, 2024.
- Xu, Y., Shen, H., Yun, X., Gao, F., Chen, Y., Li, B., Liu, J., Ma, J., Wang, X., Liu, X., Tian, C., Xing, B., and Tao, S.: Health effects of banning beehive coke ovens and implementation of the ban in China, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 115, 2693–2698, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1714389115>, 2018.
- 885 Yang, M., Howell, S., Zhuang, J., and Huebert, B.: Attribution of aerosol light absorption to black carbon, brown carbon, and dust in China—interpretations of atmospheric measurements during EAST-AIRE, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 2035–2050, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-2035-2009>, 2009.
- You, R., Radney, J. G., Zachariah, M. R., and Zangmeister, C. D.: Measured wavelength-dependent absorption enhancement of internally mixed black carbon with absorbing and nonabsorbing materials, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 50, 7982–7990, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01473>, 2016.
- 890 Yttri, K. E., Aas, W., Bjerke, A., Cape, J., Cavalli, F., Ceburnis, D., Dye, C., Emblico, L., Facchini, M., Forster, C., et al.: Elemental and organic carbon in PM₁₀: a one year measurement campaign within the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme EMEP, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 5711–5725, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-5711-2007>, 2007.
- Yuan, J., Modini, R. L., Zanatta, M., Herber, A. B., Müller, T., Wehner, B., Poulain, L., Tuch, T., Baltensperger, U., and Gysel-Beer, M.: Variability in the mass absorption cross section of black carbon (BC) aerosols is driven by BC internal mixing state at a central European background site (Melpitz, Germany) in winter, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 635–655, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-635-2021>, 2021.
- 895

- Zanatta, M., Gysel, M., Bukowiecki, N., Müller, T., Weingartner, E., Areskoug, H., Fiebig, M., Yttri, K. E., Mihalopoulos, N., Kouvarakis, G., and others: A European aerosol phenomenology-5: Climatology of black carbon optical properties at 9 regional background sites across Europe, *Atmos. Environ.*, 145, 346–364, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.09.035>, 2016.
- 900 Zha, S., Cheng, T., Tao, J., Zhang, R., Chen, J., Zhang, Y., Leng, C., Zhang, D., and Du, J.: Characteristics and relevant remote sources of black carbon aerosol in Shanghai, *Atmos. Res.*, 135, 159–171, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.09.002>, 2014.
- Zhang, H., Yin, S., Bai, L., Lu, X., Wang, C., Gu, X., and Li, Y.: Establishment and evaluation of anthropogenic black and organic carbon emissions over Central Plain, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 226, 117 406, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117406>, 2020.
- Zhang, Q., Zheng, Y., Tong, D., Shao, M., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Xu, X., Wang, J., He, H., Liu, W., et al.: Drivers of improved PM_{2.5} air quality in China from 2013 to 2017, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 116, 24 463–24 469, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1907956116>, 2019a.
- 905 Zhang, S., Wu, X., Zheng, X., Wen, Y., and Wu, Y.: Mitigation potential of black carbon emissions from on-road vehicles in China, *Environ. Pollut.*, 278, 116 746, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116746>, 2021.
- Zhang, X. Y., Wang, Y. Q., Zhang, X. C., Guo, W., Niu, T., Gong, S. L., Yin, Y., Zhao, P., Jin, J. L., and Yu, M.: Aerosol monitoring at multiple locations in China: contributions of EC and dust to aerosol light absorption, *Tellus B: Chem. Phys. Meteorol.*, 60, 647–656, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.2008.00359.x>, 2008.
- 910 Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Guo, J., Wang, Y., Chen, D., and Chen, H.: The climatology and trend of black carbon in China from 12-year ground observations, *Clim. Dyn.*, 53, 5881–5892, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04903-0>, 2019b.
- Zhao, X., Zhao, Y., Chen, D., Li, C., and Zhang, J.: Top-down estimate of black carbon emissions for city clusters using ground observations: a case study in southern Jiangsu, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 2095–2113, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-2095-2019>, 2019.
- 915 Zhao, Y., Nielsen, C. P., Lei, Y., McElroy, M. B., and Hao, J.: Quantifying the uncertainties of a bottom-up emission inventory of anthropogenic atmospheric pollutants in China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 2295–2308, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-2295-2011>, 2011.
- Zhao, Y., Zhang, J., and Nielsen, C. P.: The effects of recent control policies on trends in emissions of anthropogenic atmospheric pollutants and CO₂ in China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 487–508, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-487-2013>, 2013.
- Zheng, B., Tong, D., Li, M., Liu, F., Hong, C., Geng, G., Li, H., Li, X., Peng, L., Qi, J., Yan, L., Zhang, Y., Zhao, H., Zheng, Y., He, K., and Zhang, Q.: Trends in China’s anthropogenic emissions since 2010 as the consequence of clean air actions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 14 095–14 111, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14095-2018>, 2018.
- 920 Zheng, H., Kong, S., Wu, F., Cheng, Y., Niu, Z., Zheng, S., Yang, G., Yao, L., Yan, Q., Wu, J., et al.: Intra-regional transport of black carbon between the south edge of the North China Plain and central China during winter haze episodes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 4499–4516, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-4499-2019>, 2019.
- 925 Zheng, H., Kong, S., Zheng, M., Yan, Y., and Qi, S.: A 5.5-year observations of black carbon aerosol at a megacity in Central China: Levels, sources, and variation trends, *Atmos. Environ.*, 232, 117 581, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117581>, 2020.
- Zheng, H., Kong, S., Chen, N., Fan, Z., Zhang, Y., Yao, L., Cheng, Y., Zheng, S., Yan, Y., Liu, D., Zhao, D., Liu, C., Zhao, T., Guo, J., and Qi, S.: A method to dynamically constrain black carbon aerosol sources with online monitored potassium, *npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.*, 4, 43, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-021-00200-y>, 2021.
- 930 Zheng, H., Kong, S., He, Y., Song, C., Cheng, Y., Yao, L., Chen, N., and Zhu, B.: Enhanced ozone pollution in the summer of 2022 in China: the roles of meteorology and emission variations, *Atmos. Environ.*, 301, 119 701, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119701>, 2023a.
- Zheng, H., Kong, S., Zhai, S., Sun, X., Cheng, Y., Yao, L., Song, C., Zheng, Z., Shi, Z., and Harrison, R. M.: An intercomparison of weather normalization of PM_{2.5} concentration using traditional statistical methods, machine learning, and chemistry transport models, *npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.*, 6, 214, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-023-00536-7>, 2023b.

- 935 Zheng, H., Kong, S., Seo, J., Yan, Y., Cheng, Y., Yao, L., Wang, Y., Zhao, T., and Harrison, R. M.: Achievements and challenges in improving air quality in China: Analysis of the long-term trends from 2014 to 2022, *Environ. Int.*, 183, 108361, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.108361>, 2024.
- Zhou, Y., Luo, B., Li, J., Hao, Y., Yang, W., Shi, F., Chen, Y., Simayi, M., and Xie, S.: Characteristics of six criteria air pollutants before, during, and after a severe air pollution episode caused by biomass burning in the southern Sichuan Basin, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 215, 116840, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.116840>, 2019.
- 940 Zhou, Y., Gao, X., and Lei, J.: Characteristics of Dust Weather in the Tarim Basin from 1989 to 2021 and Its Impact on the Atmospheric Environment, *Remote Sens.*, 15, 1804, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15071804>, 2023.
- Zhu, X., Yun, X., Meng, W., Xu, H., Du, W., Shen, G., Cheng, H., Ma, J., and Tao, S.: Stacked Use and Transition Trends of Rural Household Energy in Mainland China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 53, 521–529, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b04280>, 2019.
- 945 Zotter, P., Herich, H., Gysel, M., El-Haddad, I., Zhang, Y., Močnik, G., Hüglin, C., Baltensperger, U., Szidat, S., and Prévôt, A. S.: Evaluation of the absorption Ångström exponents for traffic and wood burning in the Aethalometer-based source apportionment using radiocarbon measurements of ambient aerosol, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 4229–4249, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-4229-2017>, 2017.




Figure 1. Spatial distribution of ground-ground-level black carbon monitoring stations. The circles-dots with white circular frame and white crosses represent the stations used for spatial-temporal variation analysis between 2015 and 2017 ($N = 34$) and long-term analysis from 2008 to 2020 ($N = 25$), respectively. The colors of the dots indicate different types of stations. The black diagonal line represents the “Hu-Line,” which separates China into eastern and western parts. The red polyline represents the “Qinling-Huaihe Line,” which separates China into northern and southern parts. The full names of acronyms can be found in Table S1.




Figure 2. Spatial distributions of mean values of BC concentrations, source apportionment results sources of eBC including solid fuel (eBC_{sf}) and liquid fuel (eBC_{lf}) combustion, and absorption Ångström exponent between 2015 and 2017 (a), and their box plots (b–d) showing station-specific values for different types of stations, including baseline (B), rural (RU), and urban (U), as well as different regions in China: eastern China (EC), western China (WC), southern China (SC), and northern China (NC). The sizes and colors of the dots in panel (a) correspond to the mean values of AAE and eBC, respectively. The donuts in panel (a) represent the source apportionment results of eBC in each station. The data in the filled grid in panel (a) is from MERRA-2. NS, *, **, and *** in panels (b)–(d) indicate differences between two paired groups that are not significant ($p > 0.05$) or significant at the 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001 levels, respectively.




Figure 3. Monthly variations of black carbon concentrations (eBC, **a**, **b**), the fraction of BC from solid fuel combustion (eBC_{sf}, **c**, **d**), and the absorption Ångström exponent calculated by power law fitting at seven wavelengths (AAE₃₇₀₋₉₅₀, **e**, **f**). The filled grids in the left panels represent the scaled monthly values, ranging from 0 to 1 to better show the station-specific monthly variations. Note that the darker the color represents the higher the value.




Figure 4. Annual concentrations of black carbon (a), black carbon from liquid fossil fuel combustion (eBC_{lf}, c), and solid fuel combustion (eBC_{sf}, e) from 2008 to 2020 and box plots (b, d, f) of their trends in different types of stations including baseline (B), rural (RU), and urban (U) stations. The solid line in panel (a) represents the annual mean values, and the filled ribbons represent the 99% confidence intervals of mean values.




Figure 5. Spatial distributions and box plots in black carbon concentrations (**a**, **d**), black carbon from solid fuel combustion (**b**, **e**), and absorption Ångström exponent (**c**, **f**) during 2015–2017. The filled base maps of panels (**a**) and (**c**) are from MERRA-2 and the base map of panel (**b**) is from CEDS-MAPS (McDuffie et al., 2020). The dots in panels (**a**)–(**c**) represent the observation values (see **Table S7** for details) and the size of each dot is mapped to its value. Panels (**d**)–(**f**) are box plots of observations in different countries including India (IN), Switzerland (CH), China (CN), United Kingdom (UK), Spain (ES), Germany (DE), and the United States (US).




Figure 6. Spatial distribution of black carbon concentration trends (a) and box plots of trends in different countries (b) from 2008 to 2020. The size of dots in panel (a) corresponds to the absolute value of slope. The fill color in panel (b) corresponds to the mean slope value in each country. Detailed information about slopes at each station can be found in **Table S7**.




Figure 7. Spatial distributions of surface BC observations and simulations from different chemical transport models during 2008–2014 and the statistical metrics of model performance.




Figure 8. Monthly (a) and inter-annual (c) variations of BC observations and surface BC simulations from different chemical transport models during 2008–2014, and their Taylor Diagrams showing model performance at monthly (b) and yearly (d) scales.




Figure 9. The inter-annual variations of black carbon emissions from different emission inventories and observations (eBC) during 2008–2020 (a) and the BC emissions from solid fuel combustion (EMI_{sf}) and liquid fossil fuel combustion (EMI_{lf}) derived from MEIC and the BC sources including solid fuel combustion (eBC_{sf}) and liquid fossil fuel combustion (eBC_{lf}) derived from the Aethalometer model (b).




Figure 10. The drivers of BC reduction including contributions from emission variations (a), different sources (b), and fuel types including liquid fossil fuel (LF), coal combustion, and biomass burning (BB) (c) in China.