

# Post-glacial reshaping of Alpine topography induced by landsliding

Coline Ariagno<sup>1,2</sup>, Philippe Steer<sup>1</sup>, Pierre G. Valla<sup>2</sup>, Benjamin Campforts<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Université<sup>1</sup>Univ.Rennes, CNRS, Géosciences Rennes UMR 6118, 35000 Rennes, France

<sup>2</sup>ISTerre, Grenoble CNRS

<sup>2</sup>Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, IRD, Univ. Gustave Eiffel, ISTerre Institut des Sciences de la Terre, 38000 Grenoble, France

<sup>3</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, Netherlands

Correspondence to : Coline Ariagno (coline.ariagno@univ-rennes.fr)

**Abstract.** In steep alpine environments, successive glacial-interglacial cycles during the Quaternary led to multiple transient geomorphological phases. In particular, post-glacial periods are key transition phases experiencing rapid geomorphic changes, characterized by intense hillslope processes where ice and permafrost have retreated. Mass wasting is the dominant post-glacial process driving sediment production in steep mountain landscapes. However, its role in shaping topography, particularly in comparison to glacial activity—known for its strong deformational impact—remains poorly understood. By integrating numerical modeling with topographic data, we refine our understanding of how mass wasting shapes an evolving landscape and influences sediment dynamics. In the Ecrins massif (French western Alps), we select three catchments, with particular morphological signatures or inheritance (i.e. from fluvial to glacial), to model their associated topographic evolution driven by mass wasting. Using the landscape evolution model ‘HyLands’, we quantitatively assess their individual response to landsliding by exploring the role of different internal or external factors (e.g., bedrock cohesion and friction, return time of landslides). The model is calibrated with the output landslide area-volume frequency scaling law and the massif-averaged denudation rate, inferred from literature. We focus on the cumulative impact of landslides, over a single post-glacial period, on catchment slope distribution, hypsometry, produced exported sediment volume and erosion rate. Compared to a fluvial ones, landscape, the inherited glacial topography shows a bimodal distribution of elevation for unstable slopes, near the crests and along the U-shaped valley walls. The time evolution of this distribution is characterized by a decrease in the number of unstable slopes as well as a lowering in maximum catchment elevations induced by landsliding, usually attributed to the glacial buzzsaw. Indeed, glaciers may be not the only agent controlling mountain elevation, as we discussed in this study. Despite the stochastic nature of landslides, our modeling results also show that landslide activity and induced erosion rates are maximum greatest at the onset of the glacial retreat and then progressively progressively decay during the interglacial period. On the contrary, In contrast, fluvial catchments show a more stable topography and less intense landslide activity fewer landslides resulting in lower erosion rates. This study quantitatively explores the non-linear interactions between landslides and catchment topographic

**Style Definition:** Bibliography: Indent: Left: 0 cm, First line: 0 cm, Space After: 12 pt, Line spacing: single

evolution and ~~questions~~documents the role of landslides in the erosion pulse during the Quaternary interglacial periods.

## 1. Introduction

The Quaternary period is characterized by oscillations from glacial to interglacial cycles overprinting a global climatic cooling trend over the Cenozoic era (Zachos et al., 2001). These successive climatic transitions have been suggested to be associated with abrupt and transient geomorphologic and topographic changes (~~Champagnac et al., 2014; Peizhen et al., 2001~~)(~~Champagnac et al., 2014; Peizhen et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2022~~). In high-latitude regions and mountain ranges, glaciers are usually considered as the main geomorphic and erosion agents (~~Hallet et al., 1996; Herman et al., 2013, 2021; Herman & Champagnac, 2016; Métivier et al., 1999~~)(~~Hallet et al., 1996; Herman et al., 2013, 2021; Herman and Champagnac, 2016; Métivier et al., 1999~~). The topographic changes resulting from glacial erosion are progressive and spatially variable over a single or multiple glacial-interglacial cycle (~~Seguinot & Delaney, 2021~~)(~~Seguinot and Delaney, 2021~~) of the Quaternary (~~Herman et al., 2011; Pedersen & Egholm, 2013; Sternai et al., 2013; Tomkin & Braun, 2002~~)(~~Herman et al., 2011; Pedersen and Egholm, 2013; Sternai et al., 2013; Tomkin and Braun, 2002~~). Glaciated landscapes have in turn been widely studied to better understand past glacier dynamics and quantify glacial erosion rates and associated topographic changes at the Earth's surface (~~Ganti et al., 2016; M. Koppes et al., 2015; Pedersen & Egholm, 2013; Peizhen et al., 2001; Solomina et al., 2015; Sternai et al., 2013~~)(~~Ganti et al., 2016; Koppes et al., 2015; Pedersen & Egholm, 2013; Peizhen et al., 2001; Solomina et al., 2015; Sternai et al., 2013~~). Glacial and periglacial processes have strongly imprinted mountainous landforms, shaping U-shaped valleys, but also cirques, arêtes and hanging valleys, all characterized by marginal steep slopes and rugged topography (e.g. Anderson et al., 2006; Penck, 1905; Prasicek et al., 2015). In turn, glacial morphological features likely represent transient and mechanically unstable landforms under interglacial conditions (~~Herman & Braun, 2008; Prasicek et al., 2015~~)(~~Herman and Braun, 2008; Prasicek et al., 2015~~), dominated by hillslope and fluvial processes. Understanding the interglacial evolution of formerly glaciated landscapes has remained challenging since it involves complex non-linear geomorphic processes and interrelated spatial/temporal scales. Yet, this is a major need for assessing the ongoing response of mountainous environments to current climate warming (e.g. Zhang et al., 2022).

Interglacial periods are associated with overall warming climatic conditions, leading to a progressive cryosphere degradation (i.e. glacier retreat and permafrost recession), and in turn to a shift of the main geomorphic and erosion processes. Under interglacial conditions, paraglacial (Ballantyne, 2002) and periglacial (French, 2017) processes become more efficient and affect larger mountainous areas. Hillslope processes, including landsliding, rockfall and soil creep, affect formerly glaciated mountain slopes. Rivers transport remobilized and newly-produced sediments (Roussel et al., 2018) and can locally re-incise glacial valleys (e.g. Leith et al., 2018; Valla et al., 2010). Over the Quaternary,

**Formatted:** Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering  
Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Right +  
Aligned at: 0,5 cm + Indent at: 1,13 cm

77 repetitive climatic oscillations between glacial and interglacial periods have caused frequent mismatches  
78 between dominant geomorphological processes and the organization or shape of the landscape on which  
79 they act. This has led to the hypothesis that these transient climatic/geomorphic conditions over the  
80 Quaternary could have led to an increase in erosion, and sediment flux (M. Koppes et al., 2015; M. N.  
81 Koppes & Montgomery, 2009; Peizhen et al., 2001)(Koppes et al., 2015; Koppes & Montgomery, 2009;  
82 Peizhen et al., 2001) and topographic relief (Champagnac et al., 2014), rather than the supposed greater  
83 efficiency of glacial erosion itself (Koppes and Montgomery, 2009).

84 In the following work, we focus on the transient phase from glacial to interglacial conditions, hereinafter  
85 referred to as the post-glacial period, i.e. when alpine glaciers retreated and left uncovered  
86 landscapes dominated by non-glacial geomorphic agents processes. In this context, steep parts  
87 of mountain hillslopes become became more prone to mass wasting processes, favored by glacial  
88 debuitressing (E. Cossart et al., 2008)(Cossart et al., 2008) and permafrost retreat (e.g. Cathala et al.,  
89 2024; Lebrouc et al., 2013). The rapid climate change observed over the last decades has motivated  
90 research on the evolution of permafrost and its impact on high-elevation rockwall dynamics (Gallach et  
91 al., 2020; Magnin et al., 2017; Ravel et al., 2017; Stoffel et al., 2024). In addition, gravitational  
92 instabilities, such as bedrock landslides or rockfalls, are widespread in mountainous landscapes and  
93 appear as one of the most efficient processes to shape them (Keefer, 1984). Taking over glacial erosion,  
94 hillslope Hillslope activity transiently reshapes glacial morphological features leading to a postglacial  
95 increase in both the frequency and intensity (related to the volume) of hillslope mass wasting events  
96 through time (e.g. Korup, 2006; Zerathe et al., 2014). Landslides significantly contribute to catchment-  
97 scale erosion by mobilizing large bedrock volumes, which greatly impact sediment fluxes (Broeckx et  
98 al., 2020; Hovius et al., 1997; Zech et al., 2009). As a positive feedback loop, by decreasing the local  
99 baselevel, fluvial sediment export and local incision of formerly glaciated valleys, can foster the  
100 hillslope response. The postglacial period is also associated to with major changes in the hillslope-  
101 channel connectivity (Brardinoni & Hassan, 2007; Cavalli et al., 2019; Müller et al., 2022)(Brardinoni  
102 and Hassan, 2007; Cavalli et al., 2019; Müller et al., 2022) and in the drainage system (Comiti et al.,  
103 2019; Lane et al., 2017; Pitlick et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022). As such, this period appears complex  
104 due to rapid morphological changes and multiple geomorphic processes that all interact and drive major  
105 changes in both the hillslope domain and the drainage network. However, while previously-cited studies  
106 have already explored the geomorphological role of landslides during the last interglacial period,  
107 understanding which landscape areas are more affected during the postglacial times and how this  
108 landslide activity is distributed through both space and time remains mainly unanswered and not  
109 quantified. Therefore, quantifying the spatio-temporal impact of landslides on evolving postglacial  
110 landscapes is needed to better understand sediment production, transfer and potential storage along the  
111 source to sink pathway and assess the overall topographic evolution in mountainous environments.

Formatted: English (United States)

Field Code Changed

While the landsliding impact on mountain topography appears clear after a single triggering event, such as a storm or an earthquake (Dahlquist et al., 2018; Meunier et al., 2008; Morriss et al., 2023; J. Roering, 2012), their role in long-term shaping of mountain range is not straightforward. For investigating (Dahlquist et al., 2018; Meunier et al., 2008; Morriss et al., 2023; Roering, 2012), their role in long-term shaping of mountain ranges is not straightforward. To investigate the post-glacial period, landslide catalogues (Blondeau et al., 2021; Wood et al., 2015), bedload records (Lane et al., 2017), remote-sensing and geophysical methods have intrinsic limitations and integration times that are too short. Conversely, long-term mountain erosion estimates from geochronological and thermochronological methods (Herman et al., 2013) or large-scale sediment budgets (Kuhlemann et al., 2002) may have too long integration times to investigate interglacial periods. Terrestrial cosmogenic nuclides (TCN) have been commonly used to quantify catchment-wide erosion rates over  $10^2$ – $10^5$  yr timescales (Brown et al., 1995; Delunel et al., 2020; Mudd et al., 2016; Portenga & Bierman, 2011). These approaches also cannot be generally used to disentangle the impacts of individual processes like landsliding from other forms of erosion. Terrestrial cosmogenic nuclides (TCN) have been commonly used to quantify catchment-wide erosion rates over  $10^2$ – $10^5$  yr timescales (Brown et al., 1995; Delunel et al., 2020; Mudd et al., 2016; Portenga and Bierman, 2011), covering glacial-interglacial cycles. Although this approach appears meaningful to address the postglacial period (~10–20 kyr), TCN-derived erosion rates are punctual and averaged in both space (catchment) and time. In turn, this prevents exploring in detail the spatial distribution and temporal evolution of erosion during that period, as well as discriminating the different active geomorphological processes. Moreover, the cosmic ray attenuation depth, (~60 cm), which sets the integration time of TCN (von Blanckenburg, 2005), can be significantly smaller than the depth of large to giant (von Blanckenburg, 2005), can be significantly smaller than the depth of large landslides observed in formerly glaciated catchments (Korup, 2006; Lavé et al., 2023; Korup, 2006; Lavé et al., 2023; Niemi et al., 2005). Overall, this raises the question of the potential limitations of TCN-derived erosion rates in constraining the time evolution of post-glacial erosion in landscapes dominated by bedrock landsliding.

In this context, landscape evolution modelling (LEM) appears as a relevant approach to overcome the limited amount of data/observations and the intertwined spatial and temporal scales involved (Tucker & Hancock, 2010). Numerical modelling can combine complex surface processes, including tectonic uplift, hillslope and river dynamics integrating all the sediment transfer cascade and hillslope-channel connectivity, while allowing predictions of topographic evolution or sediment production rates over large spatial and temporal scales. Different categories of models can be considered to study mass wasting processes (Campforts et al., 2022). Physical-based models produce realistic debris flow (and river) propagation and deposition but may not be adapted for large scale landscape evolution (Croissant et al., 2017; Davy et al., 2017; Dietrich et al., 1995; George & Iverson, 2014; Hergarten & Robl, 2015; Martin et al., 2023). Landscape evolution models (LEM) rather use

Formatted: French (France)

~~reduced complexity geomorphic laws to simulate the evolution topography over possibly long timescales and large spatial scales (Carriere et al., 2020; Langston & Tucker, 2018; Liebl et al., 2021). For instance, linear or non-linear diffusion laws are generally used to simulate hillslope erosion in most LEMs (Heimsath et al., 2005; Perron, 2011; J. J. Roering et al., 1999). Soil-covered models simulate shallow landslides to follow for instance the impact of rainfall variability at large scale (Claessens et al., 2007), while bedrock landslide models allow the distinction between constant and episodic sediment production through landslides (Campforts et al., 2020; Densmore et al., 1998). Therefore, numerical modelling offers multiple ways to simulate hillslopes processes, and their interactions, by highlighting diverse approaches, modeling complexity and spatio-temporal scales.~~

~~. Physically-based models produce realistic debris-flow (and river) propagation and deposition but may not be adapted for large-scale landscape evolution (Croissant et al., 2017; Davy et al., 2017; Dietrich et al., 1995; George and Iverson, 2014; Hergarten and Robl, 2015; Martin et al., 2023). Landscape evolution models (LEM) instead use reduced-complexity geomorphic laws to simulate the evolution of topography over possibly long timescales and large spatial scales (Carriere et al., 2020; Langston and Tucker, 2018; Liebl et al., 2021). Therefore, numerical modelling offers multiple ways to simulate hillslope processes, and their interactions, by highlighting diverse approaches, modeling complexity and spatio-temporal scales.~~

The aim of this study is to explore numerically, using a stochastic and reduced-complexity model, the role of landslides in the postglacial morphological dynamics of mountainous landscapes. We use the HyLands model (Campforts et al., 2020, 2022), which explicitly ~~simulates~~simulates bedrock landslides, to predict associated mass redistribution and the resulting catchment-averaged erosion rates and topographic evolution through multiple timescales. We ~~investigated~~investigate the topographic impact of landslide activity on selected Alpine catchments, located in the Ecrins massif (France), showcasing a gradient of glacial imprint and deglaciation timing. An open question is the role of interglacial processes in erasing the inherited morphological signature of former glaciation, leading to an erosional “hot-moment”. More specifically, we aim to assess the timescales, rates and locations of topographic changes associated with the transient shift from glacial to interglacial periods, with a particular focus on the role of landsliding. Our main working hypothesis is that the different morphological signatures observed for Alpine catchments are evidencing both landslide activity and deglaciation timing. Alpine catchments do show a glacial topographic imprint, and here we test the capacity of landslides to (at least partly) reshape this glacial topographic inheritance over the postglacial period. The first objective of the study is to conduct a spatial analysis of simulated landslides to assess their magnitudes and locations within the investigated catchments. Then, a temporal analysis is performed to investigate ~~whether~~the duration of the postglacial ~~period remains a~~ transient phase ~~and, in other words~~, how long it may require to achieve an interglacial ~~steady-state~~ topography (i.e., referring to the end of the transient phase), and erosion dynamics under landslide activity.

184

## 185 2. Study area

### 186 2.1 ~~Free-selected~~ Selected catchments in the Ecrins massif

187 The Ecrins massif (south-east France, Fig. 1A) forms a high-elevation high-relief area of the  
 188 southwestern Alps, and today still hosts glaciers in its upper catchments. The present-day topography  
 189 was deeply impacted by glaciation (~~van der Beek & Bourbon, 2008~~), and several studies have focused  
 190 on constraining the timing and extent of the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, ca. (van der Beek and  
 191 Bourbon, 2008), and several studies have focused on constraining the timing and extent of the Last  
 192 Glacial Maximum (LGM, ca. 20 ka) and post-LGM glacier fluctuations (Delunel et al., 2010; Le Roy et  
 193 al., 2017). In this context, we select three small (6 – 15 km<sup>2</sup> area) catchments to cover the entire Vénéon  
 194 valley, from the river source, at the heart of the Ecrins massif, to the confluence with the Romanche  
 195 river where tributary glaciers had a lower morphological impact (Fig. 1B). The Pilatte catchment, the  
 196 highest and most glaciated catchment, peaks at ~3600 m above sea level (a.s.l.), ~~with valley bottoms~~  
 197 ~~around and has a minimum elevation of~~ 2000 m. Modern glaciers represent ~14% of the ~~catchment area~~  
 198 (total catchment area of approximately 15 km<sup>2</sup>);<sup>2</sup>. With a downstream direction toward the north, its  
 199 western and eastern parts are made of granitic ~~or and~~ migmatic rocks (gneiss), respectively (source:  
 200 French Geological Survey BRGM<sup>2</sup>, <https://infoterre.brgm.fr/>). The Etages catchment, partially-  
 201 glaciated at present-day (~12 %), displays similar characteristics with an area of ~14 km<sup>2</sup> and an  
 202 elevation range from 3564 to 1600 m a.s.l. at the confluence with the Vénéon river. The catchment is  
 203 mainly underlain by granites with crestlines composed of gneiss in its south-eastern part (Barf  ty et al.,  
 204 1984; Delunel et al., 2014). Both catchments show steep hillslopes (~~>45-60~~(up to ~2.2 m/m, i.e., 65  ,  
 205 Fig. 1C), located on the walls of the main U-shaped valley and along the highest rockwalls, considered  
 206 as nunataks (Delunel et al., 2010; Marx et al., 2017), and a low-relief central valley bottom (Fig. 1D).  
 207 The Etages catchment has been investigated by Delunel et al., 2014, with ~~a~~ detailed geomorphological  
 208 mapping and ~~the~~ use of ~~quartz~~ <sup>10</sup>Be concentrations in detrital material to trace the potential geomorphic  
 209 sources for river sediments. ~~The third~~ Finally, the Pisse catchment, ~~called “La Pisse”,~~ is completely  
 210 unglaciated today and is smaller than the two other catchments (~6 km<sup>2</sup> total area). Its highest elevation  
 211 at ~3050 m occurs at its southern crest, while its lowest elevation ~~of~~ ~1250 m is at the confluence with  
 212 the adjacent Villard catchment. Its lithology is dominated by granites ~~even if the~~ with some Jurassic  
 213 limestones along its southwestern crest ~~shows few Jurassic limestone outcrops~~. Slopes are mostly gentle  
 214 in the upper part of the catchment and get steeper downstream along the valley rockwalls. Despite  
 215 different glacial imprints and elevation, the slope ~~distribution~~ distributions for the studied catchments  
 216 ~~is are~~ relatively similar (Fig. 1C), with a modal slope around 35   (0.7 m/m) for all three catchments.

217  
 218 These ~~3~~ three investigated catchments have experienced a gradual post-LGM deglaciation, following the  
 219 progressive glacier retreat along the V  n  on valley from downstream (Pisse catchment) to upstream

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering  
 Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Right +  
 Aligned at: 0,5 cm + Indent at: 1,13 cm

(Pilatte catchment). Following Delunel (2010), the Pisse catchment ~~has~~ likely started its deglaciation around 15 ka, ~~(based on deglaciation constraints upstream and downstream in the Romanche valley, e.g. Schwartz et al., 2017),~~ while the ~~Etage~~Etages catchment may have been deglaciated between 13 and 7 ka, ~~(Fig.1B).~~ The glacier retreat in the Pilatte catchment has probably started slightly after the ~~Etage~~Etages catchment and can be considered at the end of the post-LGM deglaciation. As a consequence, the observed delay in glacier retreat between the three catchments (Fig. 1A) has likely been associated with a time-transgressive activation of periglacial processes like landslides, resulting in different topographic configurations today.







Figure 1: Geomorphological context of the study area. A) Location of the Ecrins massif (red dot) in France. B) Google satellite image of the Ecrins massif (background) with the three studied catchments and their characteristics: Pilatte (green label), Etages (yellow label) and Pisse (blue label). Blue thin line indicates the contour of the LGM ice extent (Delunel, 2010). Red stars report the estimated deglaciation timing (exposure of glacially-polished bedrocks and erratics; Delunel, 2010). C) Probability density function of topographic slope for the three studied catchments (25-m resolution DEM from the French National Geographic Institute IGN). Similar distributions are observed, with a main slope mode around 0.7 m/m. D) Modern elevation and slope maps for the three studied catchments.

## 2.2 Characteristics of glacial catchment 2.2 Catchment hillslope profiles


To visualize the hillslope morphologies in our studied catchments, we made topographic transects (Fig.2) along the main valley. In the literature or on the field, classical perpendicular to the trunk stream in each catchment. Classical glacial topographic features, including typical U-shape valleys (Fig. 3), are



243 well documented, easily observable and have been suggested to result from the bimodal distribution of  
 244 glacial erosion with elevation (~~e.g. Anderson et al., 2006; Egholm et al., 2009; Herman et al., 2011;~~  
 245 ~~Steer et al., 2012).~~(Anderson et al., 2006; Bernard et al., 2025; Egholm et al., 2009; Herman et al., 2011;  
 246 ~~Steer et al., 2012).~~ The observed bimodal hypsometry of glacial landscapes (~~Brocklehurst & Whipple,~~  
 247 ~~2006)(Brocklehurst and Whipple, 2006)~~ defines the boundaries between the valley overdeepening,  
 248 driven by fast-moving ice with intense erosion by abrasion and quarrying, and areas with slower-moving  
 249 ice exerting less erosive power (Coutterand, 2010; Leith et al., 2014). Alternatively, this bimodal  
 250 hypsometry may be attributed to different patterns of cold-climate erosion around the ELA (Equilibrium  
 251 Line Altitude) (Liebl et al., 2021). In both interpretations, the hillslope shoulder – a slope inflection  
 252 between ~~two~~ steep upper and steep lower hillslopes, ~~known as “shouldering”~~ (Fig. 2 – conceptual figure),  
 253 - is shaped during glacial periods (Louis, 1952; Valla, 2021). This topographic shouldering would result  
 254 in a bimodal distribution of catchment elevations with steep slopes associated to both the glacier valley  
 255 flanks (low elevations) and to the nunataks-crestlines area (i.e. periglacial regions at high elevations)  
 256 (Coutterand, 2010; Liebl et al., 2021).

257 ~~In our studied catchments, the~~Our two upper catchments (Pilatte and Etages catchments) highlight  
 258 ~~the~~show a clear U-shaped valley on each transect, even in the upstream part of the catchment. A slope  
 259 ~~inflection~~inflection is also visible along most of the ~~transect~~transects, which we ~~refer to interpret~~ as  
 260 “evidence of shouldering” (Fig. 3). For most of the transects, the increase in slope ~~furthest~~  
 261 ~~upstream~~upslope of the shouldering corresponds to the glacial trimline (Penck, 1905). It corresponds to  
 262 the highest zone of the glacier extent and usually the limit between prevailing glacier erosion processes  
 263 and periglacial processes, ~~as their elevations~~whose locations match with the upper limits of the glacier  
 264 cirque (~~Rootes & Clark, 2020)(Rootes and Clark, 2020)~~ (Fig. 2). Conversely, the topographic transects  
 265 for the unglaciated catchment (Pisse) tend to reveal a V+~~shape-shaped~~ valley, especially in the lower  
 266 part of the catchment. The upper profile, however, is closer to those of the glacial and intermediate  
 267 ~~catchment~~catchments, showing a clear inheritance from previous glaciations.

268 In the following, we will name the three studied catchments according to their glacial morphology  
 269 imprint, i.e ‘glacial’, ‘intermediate glacial-fluvial’ and ‘fluvial’ for the Pilatte, Etages and Pisse  
 270 catchments, respectively.  
 271






Figure 2: Swath topographic profiles for the three different catchments (Lehmann & Robert, 2024) (Lehmann and Robert, 2024) (DEM, resolution: 50 cm, ESPG2154, from the French National Geographic Institute, Cusicanqui, 2024) (Cusicanqui, 2024): (A) Glaciated catchment (Pilatte), (B) intermediate glacial-fluvial catchment (Etages), and (C) fluvial catchment (Pisse). Profiles are aligned based on their lowest area. The grey dot-dashed line illustrates the 2700 m elevation, a threshold elevation wherearound which the predicted landslides activity is lower (Figs. 6 & 7).

### 3. Modeling framework

Hylands is a reduced-complexity and stochastic landslide model (Campforts et al., 2020, 2022). It simulates both the erosion associated with deep-seated ~~gravitational~~ landslides and the induced sediment transport and deposition resulting from landslide runout. Hylands is part of the Landlab open-source framework (Barnhart et al., 2020; Hobley et al., 2017), which offers tools to combine multiple geomorphic laws on 2D regular grids, ~~potentially applied to either synthetic topographies or digital elevation models (DEMs).~~ In the following, we use the 25-m resolution DEMs from the ~~IGN~~ (BD ALTI of ~~IGN~~ (French National Geographic Institute), ~~Institute~~, <https://www.ign.fr/>), as initial model topographies for the three studied catchments. The catchment boundaries were obtained from the geoprocessing tools (~~“available at the “Eau France” service website:~~ <https://reseau.eaufrance.fr/geotraitements/viewer/bassin-versant>). We first here present the model and then our strategy for model calibration.




Figure 3: Conceptual sketches of theoretical ~~topographie~~-fluvial (A) and glacial (B) landscapes. A) Typical landscape dominated by fluvial erosion processes with V-shaped valley and homogeneous hillslopes slightly above the internal angle of friction ( $\phi = 35^\circ$  in this example). B) Landscape dominated by glacial erosion processes. The main morphological characteristics such as U-shaped valley, periglacial nunatak zone, shouldering ~~or~~ and the trimline zone (yellow circle) are shown (modified from Louis, 1952; Coutterand, 2010). The light-red color indicates potential landscape areas affected by landslide activity: ~~where different failure planes, associated to particular times, are illustrated (dashed black line and  $t_0$ ,  $t_n$ ,  $t_m$ ).~~

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering  
Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Right +  
Aligned at: 0,5 cm + Indent at: 1,13 cm

### 3.1 The HyLands model

#### 3.1.1 Landslide triggering

In HyLands, the landslide source model combines a spatial probability  $P_s$  and a temporal probability  $P_t$  to compute a landslide failure probability  $P_{failure} = P_s * P_t$ . The spatial probability is computed following a modified Culmann criterion (Campforts et al., 2020; Culmann, 1875), which is a Mohr-Coulomb criterion applied to a finite slope analysis:

$$P_s = \frac{H_s}{H_c}, \quad \text{with} \quad H_c = \frac{4C}{\rho g} \frac{\sin \beta \cos \varphi}{1 - \cos(\beta - \varphi)}, \quad (1)$$

where  $H_s$  (m) is the local hillslope height calculated between two adjacent cells of the grid and  $H_c$  is the maximum stable hillslope height (m), which depends on the cohesion  $C$  ( $\text{kg.m}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-2}$ ),  $\rho$  the rock density set to  $2700 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$ ,  $g = 9.81 \text{ m.s}^{-2}$  the gravitational acceleration,  $\beta$  the local topographic angle, and  $\varphi$  the angle of internal friction (Eq. 1). Both  $C$  and  $\varphi$  are parameters that need to be calibrated in our modelling approach.

However, HyLands is not a deterministic model as it combines this spatial probability of failure to a temporal probability. Indeed,  $P_t$  controls the temporal occurrence of landslides and follows a Poisson law (Campforts et al., 2022):

$$P_t = 1 - e^{-t/dt / t_{LS}}, \quad (2)$$

where  $dt = 10 \text{ yr}$  is the simulation time step which remains constant during the model time and runs.  $t_{LS}$  (yr) is the return time of landslides triggering events. In turn, if any slope change occurs, the probability of failure  $P_{failure}$  of a given cell increasesis constant with time, until  $P_{failure}$  becomes greater or equal to one, leading to landslide triggering, and is updated at very model iteration. The simulation time step is set to  $dt = 10 \text{ yr}$ . random nature of landslides is introduced using a grid of cells with a random number  $r$  between 0 and 1. Landslides will occur if  $r \geq P_{failure}$  at a particular cell (Campforts, Shobe et al, 2020). Generating a different grid of  $r$  value, by setting the seed parameter differently, will necessarily induce a different pattern of landsliding.

#### 3.1.2 Landslide erosion and deposition

When a landslide event is triggered, the erosion scar generates a failure plane which plane initiates at the triggering point, generating an erosion scar. Following the Culmann criterion, the dip angle of this plane  $\theta$ , is the bisector of the local topography angle  $\beta$ , and the angle of internal friction of the material  $\varphi$ :

$$\theta = \frac{\beta + \varphi}{2} \quad (3)$$

The failure plane is propagated upstream of the critical node if the elevation of the neighboring cells exceeds the rupture surface. In this case, all the DEM cells above this surface are considered as unstable and mobilized by landsliding.

~~Landslide-derived sediments can either be transported as wash load, determined by the fraction of fines,  $F_f$  in the model, or redistributed using a non-local nonlinear deposition scheme (Campforts et al., 2022). Because our first goal is to study landslide erosion without any potential feedback of deposited sediments, we set the fraction of fine sediments  $F_f$  to 1, meaning that all sediments are instantaneously evacuated. Because our primary goal is to study landslide erosion without any potential feedback of deposited sediments, all sediments are instantaneously evacuated in this setup.~~ It also means that in our set-up no topographic change can occur below the triggering points of simulated landslide sources.

### 3.2 Strategy for model calibration

Our objective is to use a calibrated and physically sound landscape evolution model, based on HyLands, to predict landslide activity during postglacial conditions in our study area. Note that in these simulations, we only consider the role of landslides in landscape evolution and erosion dynamics, without modeling fluvial erosion nor tectonic activity (e.g., uplift rate). We also assume that gravitationally triggered landslides as simulated in HyLands, represent the combination of mass wasting events in alpine topography including rockfalls, debris flows, and shallow to deep-seated landslides, capturing the diverse range of slope failure processes. Our model calibration is performed on the Etages catchment following two steps:

- 1) Calibrating  $\phi$  and  $C$ , which control the spatial probability of landslide occurrence, by comparing the modelled landslides area-frequency distribution (3.3.2) and size-volume scaling relationship (3.3.3), with ~~the ones of those taken from~~ natural landslide datasets elsewhere (Fig. 4; Delgado et al., 2022; Guzzetti et al., 2002).
- 2) Calibrating the landslide return time  $t_{LS}$ , which sets the temporal probability of landslide occurrence, by comparing modelled catchment-averaged erosion rates and observed erosion rates data derived from quartz  $^{10}\text{Be}$  concentrations in stream sediments (3.3.4, Fig. 5), ranging between 0.27 and 1.1 mm/yr in the Ecrins massif (Delunel et al., 2010). For the Vénéon valley and the studied catchments (i.e. Etages catchment), we can reduce ~~the interval from this range to~~ 0.7 to 1.1 mm/yr for our model calibration (Fig. 5). Assuming that a rock sample records quartz  $^{10}\text{Be}$  accumulation over the time period corresponding to ~~removal of the upper 60 cm below the surface of rock~~ (Delunel et al., 2010; von Blanckenburg, 2005), these  $^{10}\text{Be}$ -derived erosion rates record apparent integration times of around 500 to 2500 yr. We thus select a simulation time of 1500 yr for the model calibration phase.

Some combinations of parameters ( $\phi$ ,  $C$ ,  $t_{LS}$ ) lead to too few landslides, preventing a statistical analysis of their resulting size distribution. To overcome this issue, we ~~generated~~ generate a large amount of landslide events and selected a similar number of landslides per simulation. ~~Then To do this, we had need~~

to compile multiple simulations with similar parameters but different stochastic occurrence (different seeds), and reduce the return time (from  $t_{LS} = 1 \times 10^5$  yr to  $t_{LS} = 100$  yr). Because  $t_{LS}$  controls the occurrence of landslides without impacting their geometry, a small value of  $t_{LS}$  induces simulation outputs with large landslide datasets. This is particularly true given that the potential for landsliding remains significant throughout the simulation. The first approach was used for all the parameter calibration (Figs. 4A-C, 5), while the second approach was only used in the landslide size-frequency calibration (Fig. 4A) because the modified return time value can induce changes in landslide volumes and occurrences, and thus in output catchment-averaged erosion rates (Fig. 5).

### 3.3 Model calibration

#### 3.3.1 Calibration of the angle of internal friction: landslide area-frequency distribution

Because we are lacking detailed compilation of alpine mass-wasting events, HyLands will be calibrated against global compilations of landslide data. More specifically, we aim at constraining the cohesion and angle of internal friction parameters. Although not calibrated to our specific field site, this general calibration will allow assessing the impact of gravity driven erosion in high alpine terrain and therefore provides sufficient for this study. For our calibration runs, we run HyLands from existing topography of the three catchments and set model parameters not involved in the calibration equal to those as reported in Table S1.

Field inventories of landslides and rockfalls show a well-known shape for the frequency distribution of landslide area, highlighting several characteristics of a power-law relationship (Delgado et al., 2022; Guzzetti et al., 2002; Jeandet et al., 2019; Malamud et al., 2004; Stark & Hovius, 2001; Tanyaş et al., 2019; Tebbens, 2020) (Delgado et al., 2022; Guzzetti et al., 2002; Coulomb Mechanics and Relief Constraints Explain Landslide Size Distribution - Jeandet - 2019 - Geophysical Research Letters - Wiley Online Library, 2023; Landslide Scaling: A Review - Tebbens - 2020 - Earth and Space Science - Wiley Online Library, 2023; Malamud et al., 2004; Stark and Hovius, 2001; Tanyaş et al., 2019): (1) the rollover value, matching the highest frequency of the landslide-area distribution, (2) the power-law scaling exponent,  $\alpha$ , defined from the slope of the linear regression measured for large landslides events, and (3) the cutoff value, related to the divergence of the distribution from a power-law scaling. With the exception of a few parameter combinations (in the range of tested parameters of friction and cohesion), the simulated landslide size-frequency distributions we obtained did not display any clear rollover. This lack of rollover is probably due to the coarse resolution of the grid (25 m) which makes it impossible to visualize small landslides. Therefore, we do not use this criterion for our model calibration approach. The power-law scaling exponent is a key parameter as it describes the frequency of intermediate to large landslides, which convey most of the eroded volume. This exponent also varies



significantly with the internal angle of friction (Fig. 4B). As no power-law exponent value exists for the French Alps landslide-rockfall inventories, we use as a reference the mean value  $\alpha_{\text{mean}} = -2.3$  suggested by (Van Den Eeckhaut et al., 2007) Van Den Eeckhaut et al. (2007) from a global landslide compilation. (Tanyaş et al., 2018, 2019) also carried out a landslide compilation and analysis of landslide size-frequency distributions, proposing a slightly higher power-law exponent ( $\alpha_{\text{mean}} = -2.5$ ). However, this inventory only considers earthquake-induced landslides. In addition, power-law exponents tend to be smaller for igneous or metamorphic rock (such as present in our study area) (Bennett et al., 2012), so we retain the value of -2.3 for our model calibration. In our calibration phase, we set the cutoff area at  $3 \cdot 10^4 \text{ m}^2$  based on the shape of the linear regression fit and the good value of the Pearson correlation coefficient (Fig. 4A). Our cutoff value seems to be smaller but overall consistent with previously reported values (Tanyaş et al., 2018; 2019).

The simulated landslide size-frequency distribution (Fig. 4A), in a log-log plot, illustrates the decrease in landslide number when increasing landslide size. From all simulated landslides ( $5 \cdot 10^4$  in total; see Section 3.2), we randomly select 20 000 landslides to construct the landslide size-frequency distribution. This method ensures a homogeneous number of events between different combinations of input parameters (Fig. 4B). Therefore, we compare the simulated power-law scaling exponent  $\alpha$ , resulting from different combinations of cohesion ( $C$ ) and internal friction angle ( $\varphi$ ), with the literature expected power-law exponent of -2.3. The power-law regression is computed using a log-log linear fit. The output matrix (Fig. 4B) shows a gradient for the power-law exponent  $\alpha$  with increasing  $\varphi$  values. In our simulations,  $\alpha$  varies strongly, between -1.7 and -3.1, when changing the internal angle of friction (31-39°, Fig. 4B). This range is consistent with global compilations of power-law exponents for landslide-area scaling (Tanyaş et al., 2018, 2019; Van Den Eeckhaut et al., 2007). We also observe little variability in  $\alpha$  with cohesion ( $C$ ). Therefore, we fix the internal angle of friction at  $\varphi = 35^\circ$  which leads to simulated values of  $\alpha$  close to -2.3. As the cohesion parameter seems to not influence the power-law exponent of the landslides size-frequency distribution, we calibrate this parameter using an alternative strategy (see section 3.3.3).




Figure 4: Calibration approach for the internal angle of friction and the cohesion in the HyLands model. Calibration outcomes result from multiple simulations, with similar input parameters, to get a larger dataset of landslides (see text for discussion). A) Landslide size distribution, with a linear fit (dashed black line) on the power-law tail of the distribution. The cutoff value (i.e. minimum size where the linear fit starts) is set to  $3 \cdot 10^4 m^2$ . B) Calibration matrix between the internal angle of friction ( $\phi$ ) and the cohesion (C). The angle of friction is calibrated based on the minimum difference between the power-law exponent of the simulated size distribution and the reference value (-2.3; van den Eeckhaut et al., 2007). Blue colors indicate output power-law exponents smaller than the reference value (-2.3, white colors) and red colors indicate predicted power-law exponents higher than the reference value.

As a verification of our model calibration, we also simulate the area-volume relationship for simulated landslide distributions (Fig. S1). The relevant cloud of landslides events ( $n = 426$ ) shows a power-law scaling similar to those observed elsewhere with an intercept value of 0.84 and an exponent value  $\gamma = 1.49$  (Fig. S1) (Larsen et al, 2010; Wood et al, 2015).

### 3.3.3 Calibration of landslide return time and cohesion: $^{10}Be$ -derived erosion rate

To calibrate the cohesion and the landslide return time parameters, we compare simulated and  $^{10}Be$ -derived catchment-averaged erosion rates (3.2, Fig. 5). Both model parameters impact nonlinearly the

output erosion rate, and increasing  $t_{LS}$  or  $C$  leads to ~~lower output~~ decrease the resulting erosion rates (Fig. 5). Several combinations of parameters predict ~~an output~~ a catchment-averaged erosion rate within the expected range (0.7 – 1.1 mm/yr): ~~1) a high  $C=100$  kPa associated with a short  $t_{LS}=80$  kyr, 2) a small  $C=40$  kPa associated with a long  $t_{LS}=250$  kyr, or 3) ; grey band). In the following, we use an intermediate parameter combination ~~with~~,  $C=60$  kPa and  $t_{LS}=150$  kyr. ~~These three, as the different possible~~ model parameterizations ~~also~~ (Fig. 5) lead to roughly similar spatial and temporal patterns in landslide activity. ~~In the following, we therefore use the intermediate parameter combination (Fig. 5).~~ We also ran simulations for two end-member parameter combinations: a minimum combination ( $C = 20$  kPa and  $t_{LS} = 50$  kyr) and a maximum combination ( $C = 100$  kPa and  $t_{LS} = 250$  kyr). Results show a strong variability in landslides frequency and associated erosion rates but a similar spatial landslide distribution (Fig S2).~~





Figure 5: Calibration of the landslide return time and cohesion parameters based on the simulated catchment-averaged landslide erosion rate. Considering the calibrated angle of internal friction (35°, Fig. 4B), each dot represents a particular combination of landslide return time and cohesion (color code indicating the cohesion value). The selected combination is identified with a ~~starsstar~~ (\*). The simulated erosion rate is an averaged catchment-scale erosion rate over a compilation of 20 different simulations (1500 yr duration). The grey band illustrates the range of ~~observed erosion rates from the literature-values~~ (0.7-1.1 mm/yr; Delunel et al., 2010).

## 4. Results

### 4.1 Spatial ~~and temporal~~ distribution of landslide activity

Using the calibrated model, we investigate the impact of landslide activity on catchment topographic changes over 100 kyr (~~timescales for~~ time scales representing glacial-interglacial cycles). Over this timescale, the calibrated landscape evolution model generates different spatial patterns of landslide erosion across the three studied catchments (Fig. 6). In each catchment, landslide erosion is distributed heterogeneously, ranging from areas experiencing an intense landslide activity and significant topographic changes to overall unaffected areas. For the ~~Pilatte~~glacial catchment (~~glacial~~Pilatte), significant topographic changes, up to 500 m, occur along its northeast ridges. Elsewhere, predicted landslides lead to smaller topographic changes, ~~of up to~~ around 100 m (Fig. 6A). The intermediate glacial-fluvial catchment (Etages) shows erosion patches along its crests and summit walls. In some areas, ~~eroded~~cumulative erosion reaches 350 m, while ~~shallower landslides~~smaller cumulative landslide erosion are observed on low-elevation hillslopes, just above the valley bottom (Fig. 6B). For the ~~Pisse~~fluvial catchment (~~fluvial~~Pisse), landslide erosion is mainly focused on the downstream parts of the catchment where the valley narrows and slopes become steeper. ~~Cumulated~~Cumulative landslide erosion reaches up to 250 m, ~~however, but~~ the upper part of the catchment shows limited landslide activity (Fig. 6C). The final ~~slopes~~distributions of slope across the three catchments clearly highlight the locus of landslide activity. Indeed, landsliding results in ~~homogeneous~~homogenizing slopes ~~which~~ only slightly ~~exceeds~~above the internal angle of friction (~~i.e.~~, 0.7, represented by white color in Fig. 6).

**Formatted:** Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering  
Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Right +  
Aligned at: 0,5 cm + Indent at: 1,13 cm






Figure 6: Simulation results of cumulative landslide erosion and final slope distribution for the studied different catchments (A - Pilatte, B - Etages, C - Pisse). Left panels display landslide erosion patterns with cumulative landslide erosion (red color) over 100-kyr simulation duration on the modern hillshade DEM. The catchment-averaged mean erosion rate is indicated ( $ER_m$ ) Right panels show the final slope distributions where the landslide activity results in more homogenous slope patterns around the input internal angle of friction (0.7 m/m, white colors).

503

#### 504 4.2 Spatial ~~distribution~~location of landslideslandsliding

505 For each catchment, we investigate the simulated evolution of both the ~~hypso~~metrie~~hypso~~metry and  
506 ~~topographie~~-slope distributions (~~i.e. 2D histograms of catchment slope and elevation~~, Fig. 7A,D,E). As  
507 expected from the modern slope distribution (Fig. 1C), the initial catchment topographies (*i.e.*, at 0 kyr)  
508 show a similar modal slope around 0.6 m/m. This modal slope is reached at different elevations for the  
509 different catchments: 2800, 2600 and 2600 m a.s.l. for the glacial, intermediate glacial-fluvial and fluvial  
510 catchment, respectively (Fig. 7A,D,G).


511 The initial model topographies of the ~~glacial~~(Pilatte-~~glacial~~) and ~~Etage~~-(intermediate glacial-fluvial  
512 (~~Etages~~)) catchments show a bimodal distribution of the elevations for steep slopes (Fig. 7A,D et 8) (Fig.  
513 S3).

514 The steepest slopes of the glacial (~~Pilatte~~) catchment range ~~between 2 and up to~~ 4.5 m/m (*i.e.* between  
515  $\sim 63$  and  $77^\circ$ ) and are mostly restricted to the highest elevations (3000- 4000 m) (Fig. 7A). A second  
516 peak of steep slopes, with lower magnitudes (~~1.5 up to~~ 2.5 m/m *i.e.*  ~~$\sim 56^\circ$~~   $\sim 68^\circ$ ), is found around 2400  
517 m. The intermediate glacial-fluvial (~~Etages~~) catchment also shows an initial topography with two similar  
518 'peaks' of steep slopes frequency (Fig. 8C). We observe maximum slopes around 3 m/m ( $\sim 70^\circ$ ) between  
519 2700 and the catchment crestline ( $\sim 3500$  m), and in a narrower elevation range from around 2000 to  
520 2400 m (Fig. 7D). ~~On the contrary~~In contrast, the fluvial (~~Pisse~~) catchment differs ~~from the other~~  
521 ~~catchments due to the relative~~in having a relatively homogeneous distribution of slopes with elevation  
522 (Fig. 7G; Fig.S43). Throughout the simulations, catchment slopes exceeding the friction angle at 0.7  
523 m/m are affected by landslides, especially in the glacial catchment where significant changes can be  
524 noticed already after only 10 kyr simulation (Fig. 7B,E). Overall, after 100 kyr simulation, landslide  
525 activity has erased most of the steep slopes (almost three ~~time~~times less steep slopes, for high elevations,  
526 at the end of the simulation, Fig. 8C)-, *i.e.* above  $\sim 1.5$  m/m, reducing significantly in turn the bimodal  
527 distribution of elevation for the ~~steepest~~steepest slopes (Figs. 7C,F ~~&and~~ 8C). The maximum catchment  
528 elevation has decreased for the glacial and intermediate glacial-fluvial catchments, while it remains  
529 approximately constant for the fluvial catchment after 100 kyr of simulation.

530 During the simulations, we also observe a progressive increase in slope frequency slightly below 1 m/m  
531 (*i.e.*,  $45^\circ$ ), concentrated around 2600 – 3200 m, 2400 – 3000 m and 1900 – 2600 m for the glacial,  
532 intermediate glacial-fluvial and fluvial catchments, respectively (Figs. 7C,F,I & 8B). This new slope  
533 distribution evidences the shift from the initial steep slopes to final intermediate slopes that are closer  
534 to the input internal friction ~~angle~~.

535








Figure 7: 2D histograms of the catchment slope distributions (color scale) with elevation. The temporal evolution of catchment slopes and elevations during simulations is monitored at three different time steps: 0, 10, and 100 kyr (left, middle and right, respectively). Each row shows model results for a particular catchment: glacial (Pilatte (glaciated), A-B-C), Etages (intermediate glacial-fluvial (Etage, D-E-F), and Fluvial (Pisse (Fluvial), G-H-I). For all three catchments, steep slopes are erased and catchment slopes tend toward more homogeneous slopes around and just above the input internal angle of friction (0.7 m/m, i.e. 35°).





Figure 8: For the Etages catchment (intermediate glacial-fluvial), temporal evolution of (A) elevation distribution, (B) slope distribution, and (C-D) Elevation distribution with slope threshold values (above or below 1m/m). The colors illustrate the initial topography (red), an intermediate stage (20 kyr – light blue) and the final topography (100 kyr – dark blue).


### 4.3 Temporal distribution of landslides

We now investigate the relationship between topographic changes and landslide activity (Fig. 9). Here, we identify each landslide by its time of occurrence and its triggering location, corresponding to its lowest elevation (Fig. 9). First, our results highlight the bimodal elevation distribution of the simulated

landslides, roughly above 2800 m and below 2400 m, which appears persistent with time for the glacial and intermediate glacial-fluvial catchments (Fig. 9A,B). These two catchments also show an intense landslide activity for the first 20 kyr of simulation, with an apparent progressive decay with time. Large landslides occur throughout the 100 kyr of simulation time, illustrating the stochastic nature of landslide occurrence in HyLands-simulations. These ~~observations~~model predictions are supported by the cumulative distribution of landslides volume through simulation time (Fig. 10A-C). For the glacial and intermediate glacial-fluvial catchments, more than half of the total landslide volume is predicted before 20 kyr. However, the cumulative number of landslides increases with time for these catchments. This discrepancy between the total landslide volume and the number of landslides ~~may illustrate~~illustrates the preferential occurrence of large landslides within the first 20 kyr. This interpretation is supported by the change in the probability density function of the landslide volumes after 20 kyr simulation time (Fig S5). ~~For these two (intermediate) glacial catchments, the difference in the exponent of the power-law scaling indicates the higher frequency of large landslides within the 20 kyr of simulation time (Fig 7G-H).S54.~~ We do not observe this pattern for the fluvial catchment (Fig S54 C, F), although the largest landslides are still predicted during the first 20 kyr of simulation time.

Another interesting result is the inverse relationship between the predicted landslide volume and the number of landslides at different catchment elevations: at low elevations (<2700 m), landslides are less frequent but large landslides are overrepresented; whereas at high elevations (>2700 m), landslides are more frequent but large landslides are underrepresented. This assessment is particularly true for the ~~glacial~~ (Pilatte-~~glacial~~) and ~~Etages~~-(intermediate glacial-fluvial (~~Etage~~)) catchments (Fig. 10A-B). For instance, the ~~Pilatte~~glacial catchment (Fig. 10A) displays twice ~~moreas many~~ landslide occurrences at high elevations (>2700 m), with only a slightly larger eroded volume above than below 2700 m elevation.

Observations are significantly different for the fluvial catchment as the landslides are rather homogeneously distributed in the catchment (Fig. 9C). However, the high occurrences of landslides in the first 20 kyr are still noticeable (Fig. 10C) and large landslides tend to occur preferentially at low elevation (<2200 m).







Figure 9: Triggering point elevation of each predicted landslide over the total simulation time (100 kyr) and their associated volume (red gradient colors). The landslide distributions with elevation (right panels for distributions) appear bimodal for the glacial and intermediate catchments (A - B) with two main elevation ranges around 2100 and 3000 m and no clear altitudinal distribution of predicted landslides for the fluvial catchment (C). The shaded band in between these two elevations highlights this altitudinal interval with fewer predicted landslides in the Etages catchment and serves as a reference for the other two catchments.



**Figure 10: Cumulative distribution of the predicted landslide volumes over the total simulation time (100 kyr) for the glacial (Pilatte - A), intermediate glacial/fluvial (Etages - B) and fluvial (Pisse - C) catchments. The orange lines are the total cumulative landslide volumes, while the blue lines display the predicted cumulative volumes for landslides located above (light blue) and below (dark blue) an elevation threshold of 2700 m. The yellow vertical dashed lines indicate the simulation time when 50% of the total landslide volume is reached. Insets show the cumulative number of landslides generated during the simulation time for the two defined elevation classes.**


#### 4.4 Temporal evolution of landslide erosion rate

The term 'erosion rate' describes here only the predicted erosion induced by landslides and averaged over the catchment area. For each studied catchment, we compute the evolution of the catchment-averaged erosion rates using a 2-kyr time window, providing different statistics: mean, median, 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles of catchment-averaged erosion rates (Fig. 11). This temporal window emphasizes the general long-term trend of the predicted erosion rate by smoothing its high-frequency variations related to the stochasticity of landslide occurrence (Fig. S65). For all three catchments, the catchment-averaged erosion rates vary roughly between 10<sup>-5</sup> and 10<sup>-1</sup> mm/yr when at least one landslide is triggered during the time window. The predicted mean erosion rate is always significantly higher than the median erosion rate (almost 10 times at the beginning of the simulation and around 100 times after 100 kyr of simulation time), but the same progressive decreasing trend is observed for both two statistical measures. In addition, the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile rapidly becomes null, highlighting that the catchment-averaged erosion rate is driven by large but infrequent landslides.

The glacial (Pilatte-~~glacial~~) catchment (Fig. 11A) shows a particular high mean erosion rate, above 1 mm/yr, with a rapidly decreasing trend during the first 10 kyr. Then, the mean erosion rate decreases more slowly until 60 kyr and gets becomes roughly constant at 0.1-0.2 mm/yr over the last 40 kyr of simulation. A similar trend is observed for the Etages-~~(intermediate glacial-fluvial catchment~~ (Etages,



616 Fig. 11B), but the initial erosion rate is ~1 mm/yr and ~~apparently~~ lower than for the glacial (Pilatte  
617 ~~(glacial)~~ catchment. ~~On~~In contrast, the ~~contrary, the Pisse~~ (fluvial (Pisse) catchment (Fig. 11C) shows a  
618 progressive decrease in the mean erosion rate, from ~0.6 to 0.06 mm/yr after 60 kyr of simulation, with  
619 no observed peak in erosion rate at the beginning of the simulation. The median value for the fluvial  
620 catchment reaches rapidly zero within the first 20 kyr of simulation, illustrating the lower frequency of  
621 landslide occurrence compared to the glacial and intermediate glacial-fluvial catchments. Overall and  
622 for all studied catchments, predicted landslide erosion rates decrease by about an order of magnitude  
623 over 100 kyr, illustrating the progressive erasing of steep slopes associated to glacial morphological  
624 features.






Figure 11: Temporal evolution of the **landslide** (catchment-averaged) **landslide** erosion rate for the three studied catchments: A) **Glacial** (Pilatte-(glacial), B) **Etages** (intermediateIntermediate glacial-fluvial). And (Etage), and C) **Fluvial** (Pisse-(fluvial)). Black dots illustrate the non-zero landslide erosion rates for each time step of one individual simulation, while red and orange lines depict respectively the mean and median (with 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles as dashed orange lines) erosion rates compiled from 20 individual simulations (with a smoothing temporal window of 2 kyr). For all catchments, the simulated landslide erosion rates decrease over time, especially during the first 20 kyr of simulation time, with different temporal trends depending on the catchment.

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1 ~~Model limitations~~ Modeling approach

Our landscape evolution model using HyLands has been designed to explore the **hypothesis that landsliding represent a dominant geomorphological agent impact of landslide activity in reshaping alpine landscapes** during postglacial periods. Our numerical simulations succeed in reproducing a pulse of landslides activity during the postglacial period and its complex (non-homogeneous) impact on catchment hypsometry and slopes. However, this reduced-complexity model represents a simplified version of real hillslope dynamics, with limitations regarding its ability to predict in details all the

**Formatted:** Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering  
Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Right +  
Aligned at: 0,5 cm + Indent at: 1,13 cm

richness of natural landforms, especially under the constraint of long simulation time (~~Tucker & Hancock, 2010~~)(Tucker and Hancock, 2010). Therefore, we made several modeling choices (see Sections 3.2 and 3.3) to minimize potential feedback loops and interaction between erosion processes, which may have impacted our results as discussed below.

#### 5.1.1 Missing processes: rock uplift, -fluvial erosion and sediment transport

As mentioned earlier, the model does not account for the impact of uplift, which can be considered as a limitation. Given the present-day rock uplift rate in the western European Alps, around 1 mm/yr (Nocquet et al., 2016; Sternai et al., 2019), the total uplift over the simulation period (100 kyr) would be around 100 m. This theoretical uplift value is of the same order of magnitude than the average decrease in elevation caused by landslide erosion in the ~~Etages~~-(intermediate glacial-fluvial(~~Etages~~) and ~~Pisse~~-(fluvial (Pisse) catchments (Figs. 6-7). In the glacial (Pilatte-(~~glacial~~) catchment, the mean erosion is around 26 m, with maximum cumulated erosion of ~500 m. Therefore, integrating rock uplift in the model, from either geodynamics, tectonic activity or glacial isostatic rebound (Sternai et al., 2019), could counterbalance the overall decrease in catchment elevation observed in our results. Indeed, post-glacial rebound can occur at km scale, promoting local rock uplift and potentially considered to be a significant factor in triggering landslides in some regions (~~E. Cossart, 2013~~)-(Cossart, 2013). In addition, rock uplift has also been proposed as modulating the post-glacial geomorphic response and landscape transition from glacial to fluvial states (Prasicek et al., 2015), ~~rock uplift~~by allowing faster relief turnover times. In addition to uplift, tectonic activity could be associated with seismicity, another well-known triggering factor for landslides (Keefer, 1984; McColl, 2012). However, ~~our~~despite these limitations, we believe that our modeling approach stays appropriate to assess the hillslope stability over 100-kyr timescales, which is largely dependent on climatically-shaped alpine topography, ~~inherited from glacial-interglacial cycles~~, and bedrock mechanical strength. The impact of local earthquakes would mostly result in changing the timing of landslide activity, not the total volume of landslides.

The catchment-averaged erosion rate of 1 mm/yr, derived from published cosmogenic nuclide data (Delunel et al., 2010) and used for the return time calibration, is integrating a large fluvial network with multiple erosion processes (fluvial, hillslope, landslide) at the scale of the Ecrins massif. Considering effective sediment connectivity in the catchment (in our study area, main fluvial valleys are sediment bypass areas without significant incision but potential transient storage) and only landsliding to derive our catchment erosion rate, 1 mm/yr is likely to be an end member minimum value for our simulations. Therefore, we ran a supplementary simulation with a lower cohesion value (20 kPa) while keeping the same return time (150 kyr), leading overall to a higher erosion rate (about 2-3 mm/yr, Fig. 5). The simulation results show a globally higher frequency of landslides, but with similar patterns in landslide occurrence, topographic changes and temporal trend in erosion rate (Fig.S76).

678 Finally, we did not include fluvial erosion and sediment export in our simulations. Ignoring sediment  
 679 transport over either the postglacial period or a long timescale (100 kyr), integrating which in reality  
 680 should include multiple glacial-interglacial periods oscillations, is a strong model limitation for alpine  
 681 erosion dynamics (~~Sehlmegger & Hinderer, 2003~~). ~~Indeed, sediment transfer dynamics over the~~  
 682 ~~Quaternary period is~~ (Schlunegger and Hinderer, 2003). ~~Indeed, sediment transfer dynamics over the~~  
 683 ~~Quaternary period are~~ associated with glacial dynamics (~~Antoniazza & Lane, 2021~~) (Antoniazza and  
 684 Lane, 2021), coupling between hillslopes and channels (Hovius et al., 2000), local fluvial incision (Leith  
 685 et al., 2018; Valla et al., 2010) and potential transient sediment storage (Buechi et al., 2018). Rivers are  
 686 also considered as the main agent of sediment transport during interglacial period (~~M. N. Koppes &~~  
 687 ~~Montgomery, 2009; Pitlick et al., 2021~~) (Koppes & Montgomery, 2009; Pitlick et al., 2021). Fluvial  
 688 incision also leads to a lowering of the base level, which in turn creates more steep slopes at the hillslope  
 689 foot toe. These changes participate combine to renew the landslide potential of these transient landforms.  
 690 However, in this study we modeled a single interglacial period and provide provided a focus solely on  
 691 hillslopes dynamics (i.e., no fluvial dynamics); both arguments result in a lower influence of the  
 692 sediment dynamics for our results.

### 693 5.1.2 Model ~~parametrization~~parameterization

694 Our modeling strategy and parameterization have inherent limitations, such as our initial input DEM  
 695 (modern alpine topography) with a low resolution (25 m). Such resolution allows long simulation  
 696 periods in a reasonable simulation time with the capability to still capture first-order erosion processes  
 697 and topographic changes (Campforts et al., 2022). However, this relatively low resolution may hinder  
 698 potential the presence of small-scale topographic roughness that could influence both landslide  
 699 occurrence and magnitude. In addition, we ran our model simulations over 100 kyr, which is longer than  
 700 any interglacial period during the Quaternary. This particularly long duration enables to constrain the  
 701 timescale required for the decay of landslide activity, until reaching a state of hillslope stability at the  
 702 catchment scale. The model duration of 100 kyr is not a realistic timescale for a post-glacial phase.  
 703 However, it is an interesting and necessary duration which enables 1) to assess clear temporal trends of  
 704 erosion rates, smoothing out landslide variability, and 2) to quantify the duration required to reshape the  
 705 glacially-inherited topographic imprints and to reach stable catchment hillslopes.

706  
 707 Moreover, we consider the present-day topography of the studied catchments to start the post-glacial  
 708 simulations and to perform our model calibration. Yet, these landscapes have likely been already subject  
 709 to post-glacial hillslopes processes and landsliding since glacier retreat, limiting the number of hillslope  
 710 instabilities in the modern topographies. In turn, this means that the simulated rates of erosion at the  
 711 beginning of the simulations are likely minimum values for representing post-glacial conditions.  
 712 However, extrapolating further is difficult since deglaciation is asynchronous both between and within  
 713 the studied catchments: the U-shaped valley floors and walls, at rather lower elevations, are the first

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Formatted: Normal (Web)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Formatted: Font color: Accent 1

areas to have experienced landsliding, while higher-elevation areas such as ridges and cirques can be protected from instability for longer thanks to active permafrost and only recent glacier retreat.

Another strong assumption is to ignore sediment deposition on the resulting simulated topography. Landslides are among ~~th~~the most efficient processes for producing sediments (Keefer, 1984) in mountainous areas, which can then be available for transport from hillslopes to the drainage network. The sediment connectivity (Cavalli et al., 2019), especially for bedload sediment, from hillslopes to channels is a key indicator to quantify sediment yields and morphological changes along the source-to-sink profile (Comiti et al., 2019; Hooke, 2003; Lane et al., 2017; Micheletti et al., 2015). Thus, we explore the potential feedbacks of sediment deposition on landslide activity by testing the Hylands model with a ~~null fine fraction parameter ( $F_f = 0$ ), i.e. with a~~ complete storage of landslide-produced sediment in the catchment. ~~Coarse~~In that case, ~~coarse~~ sediments are spread over the hillslopes, i.e. downstream of the landslide source, following a non-linear and non-local deposition law (Carretier et al., 2016). This deposition term depends on the transport distance which is driven by the critical slope, settled here equal to the tangent of the angle of internal friction ( $\phi$ ) (Campforts et al., 2022; Carretier et al., 2016). This new setup is the opposite of our main analysis ( $F_f = 1$ , Section 3.2), where we assumed a perfect sediment connectivity within the catchment, leading to instantaneous sediment export (Fig.S8-S9). ~~Simulated sediment storage in the catchment ( $F_f = 0$ ) causes a slight increase in landslide occurrence, especially at low elevations ( $< 2700$  m). We interpret this increase as a remobilization of the sediment deposits, which results in new landslide locations compared to previous simulations. The simulated deposition patterns are indeed mainly located in the valley bottom (Figs. S7-8). When the slopes of the deposits become greater than the internal angle of friction ( $0.7\text{ m/m}$ , i.e.  $35^\circ$ ), landslides can then occur. These landslides trigger in the sedimentary cover may also explain the slight landslides increase in the shouldering elevation zone ( $2400\text{--}2800$  m, Figs. S7-8).~~ However, the spatio-temporal landslide activity remains roughly similar to our previous simulations. Note that we have not computed the denudation rate without exported fine sediment because it would not be possible to compare it with ~~the~~ measured value.

Finally, our model parameterization assumes spatially uniform model parameters both within and between the three catchments. We used a single set of calibrated cohesion and internal angle of friction values (Figs. 3-4), without differentiation based on lithology, vegetation cover, elevation or glacial cover. This assumption may limit the model capacity in capturing the complex terrain roughness of ~~modern~~ alpine ~~modern~~ topographies, which may be a factor in increasing rock resistance to ~~sliding~~landsliding in natural environments. For example, in our catchment, the effect of the tree cover at low elevations ~~may~~is not ~~be apprehended by~~included in the model. Thus, the expected reduction in landslide occurrence due to root reinforcement or changes in soil moisture (Muñoz et al., 2016) is not simulated. In addition, the role of high-elevation permafrost, its spatial variability and temporal evolution, on landslide activity (Magnin et al., 2017), is not captured by our simulations.

## 5.2 The spatio-temporal landslide activity over the Quaternary period

The glacial inheritance of the alpine landforms, with glacial cirques, U-shaped and hanging valleys (Fig. 3), is still a current field of investigation in terms of their formation through multiple glacial cycles of the Quaternary (Seguinot & Delaney, 2021) and their persistence during post-glacial periods (e.g. Herman & Braun, 2008; Prasicek et al., 2015). The relative contribution of glacial and fluvial erosion to Quaternary relief evolution is still debated because of the difficulties to quantify erosion over glacial-interglacial timescales (Fox et al., 2015; Shuster et al., 2005; Sternai et al., 2013; Valla et al., 2011). Moreover, some studies (M. N. Koppes & Montgomery, 2009; Leith et al., 2014, 2018; Montgomery & Korup, 2011) have argued that Late Pleistocene glaciations had only a limited impact on Alpine topography, recent alpine relief evolution being then mainly driven by fluvial and hillslope processes during interglacial. In this context, our numerical results bring some insights into the role of these hillslope processes in the transition from glacial to fluvial morphologies.

### 5.2.1 Spatial landslide distribution and glacial imprint

The present-day catchment morphologies (Fig. 2) leave no doubt about the significant role of the glacial inheritance on alpine landforms (e.g., Penck, 1905; Anderson et al., 2006; Sternai et al., 2013; Seguinot and Delaney, 2021) and the relative contribution of glacial and fluvial erosion to the Quaternary relief are still debated, highlighting especially the impact of fluvial and hillslopes processes during interglacial period of the Late-Pleistocene glaciations (Koppes & Montgomery, 2009; Leith et al., 2014, 2018; Montgomery & Korup, 2011).

In this context, our numerical results bring some insights into the role of these hillslope processes in the transition from glacial to fluvial morphologies.

### 5.2.1 Spatial landslide distribution and glacial imprint

The present-day catchment morphologies (Fig. 2) leave no doubt about the significant role of the glacial processes in shaping the investigated landscapes in the Ecrins massif (Fig. 1). However, our initial hypothesis/question about the role of landslide activity and their potential capacity of landslides to erase/reshape this glacial topographic inheritance over the last post-glacial period is only partly validated by can be discussed in light of the simulation results/outputs.

First, the spatial landslide activity pattern (Fig. 9) reveals that the parts of each catchment with the most obvious glacial morphologies are more subject to mass wasting processes. The steep slopes generated by glacial erosion, along the U-shaped valley walls and at high elevation (crestlines and nunataks), produce a bimodal distribution of landslides with elevation, while the shouldering (Fig. 3), i.e., the gentler slope interval at mid-slope, induces a weak is much less affected by landslides activity. Therefore, our simulation results suggest that the transition from U-shaped to V-shaped valleys, as evidenced by the glacial (Pilatte) and fluvial (Pisse) catchments (Fig. 3), is highlighting the reshaping of the inherited



glacial landscape through hillslope processes. However, the bimodal distribution of landslides with elevation is still noticeable after 100 kyr of simulation time (i.e., roughly ten times longer than the ~~deglaciation~~~~post-glacial~~ period~~for the area~~). Moreover, the number of ~~modeled~~ landslides and their spatial clustering is still significant for the glacial and intermediate glacial-fluvial catchments compared to the landslide pattern in the fluvial catchment (Pisse), which shows a more uniform distribution of landslides on hillslopes (Fig. 9). Thus, if the landslide activity and its spatial distribution can be considered as indicators of the hillslopes transition, our modeling results suggest that the glacial and intermediate glacial-fluvial catchments have not yet completed their post-glacial transition after 100- kyr simulation.

Second, the landslide volume distributions also illustrate a specific ~~dynamies~~~~dynamic~~ of mass wasting events in formerly glaciated catchments. For the upper catchments (glacial and intermediate glacial-fluvial), our modeling results suggest that ~~modeled~~ landslides are more frequent at higher elevations, near the crestlines, than at lower elevation near the valley bottoms (Fig. ~~40~~).~~This10~~). ~~As we are working with a real initial topography (present day), influenced by permafrost, this result~~ is consistent with the recent deglaciation of the upper catchments. Indeed, nunataks and crestlines in this interior part of the massif may still benefit from the stabilizing role of permafrost: ~~and have not been impacted by landslides yet~~. Thus, the higher elevations of the catchment (i.e., above the trimline) still display steep and sharp slopes. Under a warming climate and the degradation of permafrost, these high-elevation and steep hillslopes will potentially be more and more prone to periglacial erosion processes (as ~~it~~ is already the case for the intermediate glacial-fluvial catchment). In parallel, our simulations predict large landslides at the lower elevations (Figs. 9 & 10). These results are consistent with the effect of debuttreasing (i.e., stress variations resulting from glacial unloading) along the U-shaped valley wall following glacial recession (e.g., ~~E~~-Cossart et al., 2008). This is also supported by the temporal clustering of the large landslides in the first 20 kyr. Yet, the occurrence of large landslides, due to their stochastic nature, remains occasional afterwards, which is consistent with other studies (Ivy-Ochs et al., 2017; Schwartz et al., 2017; Zerathe et al., 2014).

Therefore, the persistence of landslide activity in the glacial and intermediate catchments, even after long simulation times, highlights that hillslope processes such as landslides, in response to glacial topographic inheritance, may not be the only factor explaining the fluvial morphology observed in our downstream catchment (Pisse).

### 5.2.2 Temporal landslide activity and transient topography

~~Here, we discuss our initial hypothesis, that all the studied catchments had the same glacial topographie imprint, and~~ We show that the three catchments have a distinct ~~modeled~~ erosion dynamics explained by diachronous landslide activity following different glacial retreat times (Fig. 1). Following the previous spatial analysis (5.2.1), the observed temporal decrease in landslide occurrence and in predicted erosion rates over the first ~20 kyr (Fig. 11) reflects a decline in the proportion of unstable slopes during the

immediate post-glacial period. The faster erosion modeled at the beginning of the simulation for the glacial and intermediate glacial-fluvial catchments, (Fig. 11), compared to the fluvial catchment, highlights the role of landsliding ~~as a main geomorphological agent~~ during the transition from glacial to ~~inter-glacial~~ interglacial conditions. This initial and gradual pulse of erosion in the first 20 kyr of the simulation, which differs between the studied catchments, reflects the distinct topographic states with respect to landslide susceptibility. The glacial catchment (Pilatte) has not experienced intense periglacial processes, such as landslides, for ~~a sufficiently~~ as long period, resulting in this high erosion rate following debulking of unstable glacial hillslopes (~~E. Cossart et al., 2008~~)(Cossart et al., 2008). The slowing down of erosion rates in the two upper catchments (glacial and intermediate glacial-fluvial) is also illustrating this long-term transitional stage, in which hillslope processes may continue to control sediment production, but at a ~~smaller~~ slower pace. With few landslides occurring at the end of our simulations, and associated to a low erosion rate, the ~~Pisse~~ (fluvial (Pisse)) catchment could be considered at the end of its transient phase and close to “post-glacial topographic steady-state” dominated by hillslope processes (i.e., no to few ~~slope destabilizations~~ landslides).

The modelled pulse of erosion for the postglacial phase implies that the Late Pleistocene period, marked by the transition from glacial to hillslope processes, has reactivated alpine landforms by reshaping new steep and unstable hillslopes along the U-shaped ~~valleys~~ valley walls or in the cirque areas. ~~With its~~ These particular morphologies (Fig. 2), ~~the resulting from glacial inheritance sustains, sustain~~ the potential for landslide erosion ~~potential and may become an indicator of the glacial impact on alpine topographies~~. However, our model results also show that simulated landsliding over 100 kyr (duration exceeding the typical interglacial period) is not sufficient for ~~the full transition from a~~ erasing steep glacial to a fluvial steady-state topography ~~morphologies~~. Indeed, the simulated landslide activity - the bimodal landslide distribution (Fig. 9) - and the associated erosion rate are still significant at the end of our 100-kyr simulations, meaning that the hillslope system alone requires longer timescales than the typical Milankovitch cycle to reach a steady “interglacial-state” topography (i.e. ~~a topography without where glacial imprint has been erased, no longer inducing~~ hillslope instabilities). A longer simulation of 1 million years shows that ~~a plateau is reached in the first 100 kyr but that the~~ the modeled erosion rate reaches a plateau in the first 100 kyr but then gradually decreases ~~gradually~~ until 400 kyr (Fig. S109). ~~At this~~ This is the characteristic time, the probability of failure is so small (probably because of no slope above required to obtain stable hillslopes in the internal angle of friction), that ~~the absence of external forcing. Note that after a characteristic time, maybe more than 100 kyr, the landslide-derived erosion rate is~~ final topography would result in a simple threshold slope model, close to ~~zero~~ the critical slope. However, compared to a simple slope threshold model, the stochastic aspect of our model enables to describe both individual landslide distribution and their timing during a post-glacial period.

First, results from this observation long term simulation can be compared to fluvial processes, which also have long timescales for reaching steady-state topographic conditions (Whipple, 2001). Since

hillslope processes are also largely contributing to sediment production in alpine settings, the topographic response time to landsliding may play a role in the sediment ~~easeade~~-transfer ~~cascade~~ which in turn would influence fluvial dynamics and erosion rates. Second, this relatively long activity of the hillslope system during the interglacial period – calibrated from cosmogenic-nuclide derived erosion rates (section 3.3.3) - is also consistent with the absence of uplift and fluvial incision in our modeling approach. Including these model components in our simulations may decrease the duration of post-glacial landsliding activity for the studied catchments, ~~with rock~~ uplift promoting faster response of the hillslope-fluvial system as observed for natural settings (Prasicek et al., 2015).

Given the long persistence of landsliding from our simulations (>100 kyr), the observed differences in landslide activity between the glacial/intermediate and fluvial catchments cannot be fully explained by the time lag in glacier retreat and the duration of the interglacial period (~10 kyr, Fig. 1). We thus propose that the glacial imprint may have been less intense in the fluvial (Pisse) catchment than in the glacial and intermediate glacial-fluvial catchments (Pilatte and Etages). ~~This confirms, which could be explained by the more external position of the catchment in the massif and its overall lower elevations. This is consistent with~~ the non-uniform impact of glacial processes on mountainous landforms (Herman et al., 2011; Sternai et al., 2013; van der Beek & Bourbon, 2008), resulting from different ice extent/thickness and erosion efficiency over glacial cycles ~~(Pedersen & Egholm, 2013; Seguinot & Delaney, 2021)-(Pedersen and Egholm, 2013; Seguinot and Delaney, 2021).~~

### 5.3 Landsliding and topographic mountain evolution

#### 5.3.1 The glacial/ interglacial transition: a hot moment for alpine erosion

~~Glacial erosion also does not appear to be spatially uniform throughout the glacial period (Seguinot & Delaney, 2021), and field studies have shown increased glacial erosion during the deglaciation period (M. N. Koppes & Montgomery, 2009). Therefore, hot moments of glacial dynamics occur at the end of the glacial period. Following this period, our model results suggest an additional pulse of rapid erosion, associated to landsliding, at the onset of the interglacial period. Therefore, the glacial interglacial transition seems to concentrate the most rapid rates of erosion and in turn, may participate strongly to landscape changes and topographic relief evolution in alpine settings.~~

~~However, our simulations were carried out on the current topography, which is already the results of 2 million years of successive glacial interglacial cycles. Thus, the “hot moment” of erosion that we observe in the post glacial period occurs in U-shaped valleys that are already well marked. As landslide potential is maintained by steep slopes, this shape of mature glacial valley may increase landslides activity compared with early Quaternary activity and increase in the same time the key role of hillslopes processes in shaping long term mountain topography (Burbank et al., 1996; Korup et al., 2007; Larsen & Montgomery, 2012).~~

### 5.3.2 Toward a “landslide buzzsaw” ?

The final landslide patterns from our simulations show interesting similarity with the morphological changes associated with the glacial buzzsaw (Fig. 6). Previous studies have highlighted the impact of glacial erosion on the mountain elevations and reliefs, referred as the ‘glacial buzzsaw’ (Egholm et al., 2009; Herman et al., 2013, 2021; Mitchell & Montgomery, 2006; Thomson et al., 2010; Tomkin & Braun, 2002). This theoretical concept, based on the observed correlation between the position of the Equilibrium Line Altitude (ELA) and the mean and maximum height of mountains. Glacial erosion also does not appear to be spatially uniform throughout the glacial period (Seguinot and Delaney, 2021), and field studies have shown increased glacial erosion during the deglaciation period (Koppes and Montgomery, 2009). Therefore, key erosion moments of glacial dynamics occur at the end of the glacial period. Following this period, our model results suggest an additional pulse of rapid erosion, associated to landsliding within the first 10 kyr after deglaciation. Therefore, the glacial-interglacial transition seems to concentrate the most rapid rates of erosion and in turn, may contribute strongly to landscape changes and topographic relief evolution in alpine settings.

However, our simulations were carried out on the current topography, which is already the results of 2 million years of successive glacial-interglacial cycles. Thus, the hot-moment of erosion that we observe in the post-glacial period occurs in U-shaped valleys that are already well marked. As landslide potential is maintained by steep slopes, this shape of mature glacial valley may increase landslide activity compared with early Quaternary times. Overall, this reinforces the already-demonstrated key role of hillslopes processes in shaping long-term mountain topography (Burbank et al., 1996; Korup et al., 2007; Larsen and Montgomery, 2012).

### 5.3.2 Toward a “landslide buzzsaw” ?

The final landslide patterns from our simulations show interesting similarity with the morphological changes associated with the glacial buzzsaw (Fig. 6). Previous studies have highlighted the impact of glacial erosion on mountain elevations and relief, referred as the ‘glacial buzzsaw’ (Egholm et al., 2009; Herman et al., 2013, 2021; Mitchell and Montgomery, 2006; Thomson et al., 2010; Tomkin and Braun, 2002). This theoretical concept, based on the observed correlation between the position of the Equilibrium Line Altitude (ELA) and the mean and maximum height of mountains (Egholm et al., 2009), suggests that glaciers may have a strong control on mountain relief. In fact, by shaping cirques, glaciers create steep slopes at high elevations (Brozović et al., 1997), ~~increasing erosion above the ELA.~~ Although the “glacial buzzsaw” might be more complex in specific mountain ranges (Banerjee & Wani, 2018; Scherler, 2014), a concentration of surface area is usually observed around the ELA elevation, ~~increasing erosion above the ELA.~~ Although the “glacial buzzsaw” might be more complex in specific mountain ranges (Banerjee and Wani, 2018; Scherler, 2014), a concentration of surface area is usually

observed around the ELA elevation (Egholm et al., 2009, 2017; Liebl et al., 2021; Pedersen et al., 2010; Prasicek et al., 2020; Steer et al., 2012).

For the three studied catchments, most of the landslide scars occur close to the catchment boundaries, i.e. along steep rock walls or ~~en~~along sharp ~~ridges~~ridge crests of the catchments. ~~In addition, and we observed~~observe a decrease in the maximum catchment elevation ~~and such as the glacial buzzsaw. However, we observe~~ a concentration of hillslopes (around the angle of friction) ~~at specific elevations over a larger elevation range (Fig. 7)-) around the shouldering than the glacial buzzsaw would be.~~ Thus, ~~our simulations highlight that the topographic by simulating the strong impact of landslides on slopes above the ELA seem strongly affected by landslide activity, appearing as a potential “landslides buzzsaw”~~shouldering, we can question the role of hillslope erosion and similarly imagine a “landslides buzzsaw” during interglacial ~~period~~periods. Such a “landslide buzzsaw” would be more based on mechanisms presented in Mitchell and Montgomery (2006), with a perhaps more complex dependence on climate and lithology. However, by incorporating additional processes for glacial erosion, such as subglacial hydrology, the arguments underlying the glacial buzzsaw concept may also be debated regarding catchment topographic evolution (Herman et al., 2011) and become more complex. This concept of “landslide buzzsaw” may not be sustainable over long time periods since landslide activity will limit the occurrence of steep hillslopes. However, over Quaternary glacial cycles, successive glaciations and associated glacial/paraglacial erosion may sustain steep hillslopes at high elevations, further promoting the “landslide buzzsaw” during subsequent interglacial periods as proposed for instance for the European Alps (Delunel et al., 2020; Norton et al., 2010). This coupling between glacial and hillslope processes would be reinforced by the topographic impact of landsliding, affecting steep hillslopes at high elevations and producing ~~larger-low~~lower slopes and lower-relief areas at or above the ELA (Fig. 6). This landscape conditioning would favor glacier development and erosion during the next glacial period, maintaining or enhancing the potential for glacial buzzsaw (Pedersen & Egholm, 2013)(Pedersen and Egholm, 2013).

## 6. Conclusions

The successive glacial-interglacial transitions during the Quaternary period have promoted landscape disequilibrium between the inherited topography and the dominant geomorphological processes. The HyLands model was used to study how post-glacial landslides shape alpine landscapes. We focused on landslide rates, locations, and the influence of interglacial processes on long-term landscape evolution.

We modeled the topographic evolution of three distinct catchments located in the Ecrins massif (French Alps, Fig.1), that we identified as glacial, intermediate glacial-fluvial and fluvial catchments based on their morphological characteristics (Fig. 2). For these three catchments, the highest and steepest slopes are the first topographic areas impacted by landslides (Fig. 6). Topographic changes are particularly pronounced in the glacial and intermediate catchments, where we observed a bimodal distribution of

966 landslides corresponding to the bimodal distribution of steep slopes generated by glacial erosion. In this  
967 case, the high and steep slopes are ~~completely erased~~most rapidly modified, inducing a decrease in  
968 slopes to values that are slightly greater than the internal angle of friction (Fig. 7, 8&9). This control of  
969 hillslope processes on the maximum mountain elevations and the topographic reshaping at particular  
970 elevations leads us to propose similarities between the ‘glacial buzzsaw’ concept and postglacial  
971 ~~landslides~~landslide activity.

972 The ~~simulation~~ results also highlight a high frequency of landslides during the first 20 kyr of our  
973 simulations (Fig. 9&10), which is associated with higher erosion rates. Landslide activity and  
974 ~~intensity~~magnitude, and the resulting erosion rates, at the beginning of each simulation ~~is~~  
975 ~~following~~follow the morphological gradient (from glacial to fluvial) observed in our three catchments  
976 (Fig.11). Therefore, glacial topographic inheritance induces an intense period of post-glacial landslide  
977 activity, leading in turn possibly to regular ‘hot-moments’ of landscape dynamics over the Quaternary.

978 Our study also concludes that ~~hillslopes~~hillslope processes, such as landslides, alone cannot drive the  
979 transient shift from glacial to fluvial morphology during ~~the~~ interglacial periods. The ~~intensity~~magnitude  
980 of glacial erosion and the ~~extent~~duration of ~~glacial advance~~glaciation may have been lower in  
981 downstream areas (i.e. in this study, within the fluvial catchment), ~~which~~ may have led to a faster  
982 transition from glacial landscape to a fluvial one.

983 Finally, this study provides a basic ~~but sound~~ model for understanding landslide dynamics and their  
984 impact on alpine landscape evolution. Additional components could be incorporated to enhance the  
985 model, such as fluvial processes, permafrost degradation or non-uniform rock properties to better  
986 capture the complex interactions occurring in mountain ~~environment~~environments. In a future work, we  
987 intend to model the interactions between hillslope processes and glacial processes over multiple glacial-  
988 interglacial cycles to better estimate their relative contributions.

989

990 *Author contributions.* CA conducted the model calibration and analysis with support from BC. CA, PS  
991 and PV conceived the study and developed the methodology. All the authors were involved in writing  
992 and reviewing the paper.

993 *Competing interests.* The contact author has declared that neither they nor their co-authors have any  
994 competing interests.

995 *Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thanks helpers who make this study easier, especially  
996 Diego Cusicanqui for the lidar extraction tool (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13832516>) and Xavier  
997 Robert for his help in adapting the simple-swath Python tool  
998 (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13771754>). Thanks to Boris Gailleton for his advice on coding and to

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

999 Dimitri Lague for his insightful comments on landslide dynamics. -The authors would like to thank the  
1000 two reviewers for their detailed proofreading and very constructive comments.

1001 *Financial support.* This research has been supported by the H2020 European Research Council (grant  
1002 no. 803721). PGV acknowledges support from the French ANR-PIA programme (ANR-18-MPGA-  
1003 0006). BC acknowledges support from the Dutch Research Council (NWO) under the grant  
1004 OCENW.M.23.027.

## 1005 References

1006 Anderson, R. S., Molnar, P., & Kessler, M. A.-(2006)-: Features of glacial valley profiles simply  
1007 explained-. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 111(F1)-.  
1008 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JF000344>, 2006.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1009 Antoniazza, G., & Lane, S. N.-(2021)-: Sediment yield over glacial cycles-. A conceptual model-.  
1010 Progress in Physical Geography, 45(6)-, 842-865-. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133321997292>,  
1011 2021.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1012 Ballantyne, C. K.-(2002)-: Paraglacial geomorphology-. Quaternary Science Reviews, 21(18), Article  
1013 18-, 1935-2017, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791\(02\)00005-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(02)00005-7), 2002.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1014 Banerjee, A., & Wani, B. A.-(2018)-: Exponentially decreasing erosion rates protect the high-  
1015 elevation crests of the Himalaya-. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 497, 22-28-.  
1016 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.06.001>, 2018.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1017 Barf  ty, J. C., P  cher, A., Bambrier, A., Demeulemeester, P., Fourneaux, J. C., Poulain, P. A., Vernet, J.,  
1018 & Vivier, G.-(1984)-: Notice explicative de la feuille Saint-Christophe-en-Oisans    1/50000-.  
1019 Bureau de Recherches G  ologiques et Mini  re: Orl  ans, 1984.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1020 Barnhart, K. R., Hutton, E. W. H., Tucker, G. E., Gasparini, N. M., Istanbuloglu, E., Hobley, D. E. J.,  
1021 Lyons, N. J., Mouchene, M., Nudurupati, S. S., Adams, J. M., & Bandaragoda, C.-(2020)-: Short  
1022 communication-. Landlab v2.0: a software package for Earth surface dynamics-. Earth Surface  
1023 Dynamics, 8(2)-, 379-397-. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-8-379-2020>, 2020.

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1024 van der Beek, P. and Bourbon, P.: A quantification of the glacial imprint on relief development in the  
1025 French western Alps, Geomorphology, 97, 52-72, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.02.038>,  
1026 2008.

1027 Bennett, G. I., Molnar, P., Eisenbeiss, H., & Mcardell, B. w.-(2012)-: Erosional power in the Swiss  
1028 Alps-. Characterization: characterization of slope failure in the Illgraben-. Earth Surface Processes and  
1029 Landforms, 37(15)-, 1627-1640-. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3263>, 2012.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1030 Bernard, M., van der Beek, P. A., Pedersen, V. K., and Colleps, C.: Production and Preservation of  
1031 Elevated Low-Relief Surfaces in Mountainous Landscapes by Pliocene-Quaternary Glaciations, AGU  
1032 Advances, 6, e2024AV001610, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024AV001610>, 2025.

1033 von Blanckenburg, F.: The control mechanisms of erosion and weathering at basin scale from  
1034 cosmogenic nuclides in river sediment, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 237, 462-479,  
1035 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.06.030>, 2005.



Blondeau, S., Gunnell, Y., & Jarman, D. (2021). Rock slope failure in the Western Alps: A first comprehensive inventory and spatial analysis. *Geomorphology*, 380, 107622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2021.107622>, 2021.

Brardinoni, F., & Hassan, M. A. (2007). Glacially induced organization of channel-reach morphology in mountain streams. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 112(F3). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000741>, 2007.

Brocklehurst, S. H., & Whipple, K. X. (2006). Assessing the relative efficiency of fluvial and glacial erosion through simulation of fluvial landscapes. *Geomorphology*, 75(3), 283–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2005.07.028>, 2006.

Broeckx, J., Rossi, M., Lijnen, K., Campforts, B., Poesen, J., & Vanmaercke, M. (2020). Landslide mobilization rates: A global analysis and model. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 201, 102972. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102972>, 2020.

Brown, E. T., Stallard, R. F., Larsen, M. C., Raisbeck, G. M., & Yiou, F. (1995). Denudation rates determined from the accumulation of in situ-produced <sup>10</sup>Be in the luquillo experimental forest, Puerto Rico. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 129(1), 193–202. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X\(94\)00249-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-821X(94)00249-X), 1995.

Brozović, N., Burbank, D. W., & Meigs, A. J. (1997). Climatic Limits on Landscape Development in the Northwestern Himalaya. *Science*, 276(5312), 571–574. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5312.571>, 1997.

Buechi, M. W., Graf, H. R., Haldimann, P., Lowick, S. E., & Anselmetti, F. S. (2018). Multiple Quaternary erosion and infill cycles in overdeepened basins of the northern Alpine foreland. *Swiss Journal of Geosciences | Geosci*, 111(1), Article 1, 133–167. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00015-017-0289-9>, 2018.

Burbank, D. W., Leland, J., Fielding, E., Anderson, R. S., Brozovic, N., Reid, M. R., & Duncan, C. (1996). Bedrock incision, rock uplift and threshold hillslopes in the northwestern Himalayas. *Nature*, 379(6565), 505–510. <https://doi.org/10.1038/379505a0>, 1996.

Campforts, B., Shobe, C. M., Overeem, I., & Tucker, G. E. (2022). The Art of Landslides : How Stochastic Mass Wasting Shapes Topography and Influences Landscape Dynamics. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 127(8), e2022JF006745. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JF006745>, 2022.

Campforts, B., Shobe, C. M., Steer, P., Vanmaercke, M., Lague, D., & Braun, J. (2020). HyLands 1.0: A hybrid landscape evolution model to simulate the impact of landslides and landslide-derived sediment on landscape evolution. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 13(9), 3863–3886. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-3863-2020>, 2020.

Campforts, B., Shobe, C. M., Overeem, I., and Tucker, G. E.: The Art of Landslides: How Stochastic Mass Wasting Shapes Topography and Influences Landscape Dynamics, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 127, e2022JF006745, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JF006745>, 2022.

Carretier, S., Martinod, P., Reich, M., & Godderis, Y. (2016). Modelling sediment clasts transport during landscape evolution. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 4(1), 237–251. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-4-237-2016>, 2016.

Carriere, A., Le Bouteiller, C., Tucker, G. E., Klotz, S., & Naaim, M. (2020). Impact of vegetation on erosion: Insights from the calibration and test of a landscape evolution model in alpine badland

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1077 catchments. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 45(5), 1085–1099.  
1078 <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4741>, 2020.

1079 Cathala, M., Magnin, F., Ravanel, L., Dorren, L., Zuanon, N., Berger, F., Bourrier, F., & Deline, P.  
1080 (2024). Mapping release and propagation areas of permafrost-related rock slope failures in the  
1081 French Alps: A new methodological approach at regional scale. *Geomorphology*, 448, 109032.  
1082 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2023.109032>, 2024.

1083 Cavalli, M., Heckmann, T., & Marchi, L. (2019). Sediment Connectivity in Proglacial Areas. In T.  
1084 Heckmann & D. Morche (Éds.), in: *Geomorphology of Proglacial Systems: Landform and Sediment*  
1085 *Dynamics in Recently Deglaciated Alpine Landscapes* (p. 271–287), edited by: Heckmann, T. and  
1086 Morche, D., Springer International Publishing, Cham, 271–287, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94184-4\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94184-4_16), 2019.

1088 Champagnac, J.-D., Valla, P. G., & Herman, F. (2014). Late-Cenozoic relief evolution under  
1089 evolving climate: A review. *Tectonophysics*, 614, 44–65.  
1090 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2013.11.037>, 2014.

1091 Claessens, L., Schoorl, J. M., & Veldkamp, A. (2007). Modelling the location of shallow landslides  
1092 and their effects on landscape dynamics in large watersheds: An application for Northern New  
1093 Zealand. *Geomorphology*, 87(1), 16–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.06.039>, 2007.

1094 Comiti, F., Mao, L., Penna, D., Dell’Agnese, A., Engel, M., Rathburn, S., & Cavalli, M. (2019).  
1095 Glacier melt runoff controls bedload transport in Alpine catchments. *Earth and Planetary Science*  
1096 *Letters*, 520, 77–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.05.031>, 2019.

1097 Cossart, É. (2013). Influence of local vs. Regional/regional settings on glaciation patterns in the  
1098 French Alps. *Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quaternaria*, 36(1), Article 1., 39–52.  
1099 <https://doi.org/10.4461/GFDQ.2013.36.3>, 2013.

1100 Cossart, E., Braucher, R., Fort, M., Bourlès, D. L., & Carcaillet, J. (2008). Slope instability in  
1101 relation to glacial debuitressing in alpine areas (Upper Durance catchment, southeastern France):  
1102 Evidence from field data and 10Be cosmic ray exposure ages. *Geomorphology*, 95(1), 3–26.  
1103 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.12.022>, 2008.

1104 Coutterand, S. (2010). Étude géomorphologique des flux glaciaires dans les Alpes nord-occidentales  
1105 au Pléistocène récent. Du maximum de la dernière glaciation aux premières étapes de la déglaciation  
1106 [Phdthesis, phdthesis, Université de Savoie]. <https://theses.hal.science/tel-00517790>, 2010.

1107 Croissant, T., Lague, D., Steer, P., & Davy, P. (2017). Rapid post-seismic landslide evacuation  
1108 boosted by dynamic river width. *Nature Geoscience*, 10(9), Article 9., 680–684.  
1109 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo3005>, 2017.

1110 Culmann, K. (avec University of Michigan). (1875). Die graphische Statik. Zürich, Meyer & Zeller (A.  
1111 Reimann). <http://archive.org/details/diegraphischest01culmgoog>, 744 pp., 1875.

1112 Cusicanqui, D. (2024). cusicand/lidarhd\_ign\_downloader ÷ V3.0 (Version: v3.0) [Logiciel]. Zenodo.  
1113 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13832516>, 2024.

1114 Dahlquist, M. P., West, A. J., & Li, G. (2018). Landslide-driven drainage divide migration.  
1115 *Geology*, 46(5), Article 5., 403–406. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G39916.1>, 2018.

|           |          |
|-----------|----------|
| Formatted | ... [1]  |
| Formatted | ... [2]  |
| Formatted | ... [3]  |
| Formatted | ... [4]  |
| Formatted | ... [5]  |
| Formatted | ... [6]  |
| Formatted | ... [7]  |
| Formatted | ... [8]  |
| Formatted | ... [9]  |
| Formatted | ... [10] |
| Formatted | ... [11] |
| Formatted | ... [12] |
| Formatted | ... [13] |
| Formatted | ... [14] |
| Formatted | ... [15] |
| Formatted | ... [16] |
| Formatted | ... [17] |
| Formatted | ... [18] |
| Formatted | ... [19] |
| Formatted | ... [20] |
| Formatted | ... [21] |
| Formatted | ... [22] |
| Formatted | ... [23] |
| Formatted | ... [24] |
| Formatted | ... [25] |
| Formatted | ... [26] |
| Formatted | ... [27] |
| Formatted | ... [28] |
| Formatted | ... [29] |
| Formatted | ... [30] |
| Formatted | ... [31] |
| Formatted | ... [32] |
| Formatted | ... [33] |
| Formatted | ... [34] |
| Formatted | ... [35] |
| Formatted | ... [36] |
| Formatted | ... [37] |
| Formatted | ... [38] |
| Formatted | ... [39] |
| Formatted | ... [40] |
| Formatted | ... [41] |

1116 Davy, P., Croissant, T., & Lague, D. (2017). A precipiton method to calculate river  
 1117 hydrodynamics, with applications to flood prediction, landscape evolution models, and braiding  
 1118 instabilities. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 122(8), 1491–1512.  
 1119 <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JF004156>, 2017.

1120 Delgado, F., Zerathe, S., Schwartz, S., Mathieux, B., & Benavente, C. (2022). Inventory of large  
 1121 landslides along the Central Western Andes (ca. 15°–20° S): Landslide distribution patterns and  
 1122 insights on controlling factors. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 116, 103824.  
 1123 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2022.103824>, 2022.

1124 Delunel, R., van der Beek, P. A., Carcaillet, J., Bourlès, D. L., and Valla, P. G.: Frost-cracking control on  
 1125 catchment denudation rates: Insights from in situ produced 10Be concentrations in stream  
 1126 sediments (Ecrins–Pelvoux massif, French Western Alps), *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 293,  
 1127 72–83, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.02.020>, 2010.

1128 Delunel, R., van der Beek, P. A., Bourlès, D. L., Carcaillet, J., and Schlunegger, F.: Transient sediment  
 1129 supply in a high-altitude Alpine environment evidenced through a 10Be budget of the Etages  
 1130 catchment (French Western Alps), *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39, 890–899,  
 1131 <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3494>, 2014.

1132 Delunel, R., Schlunegger, F., Valla, P. G., Dixon, J., Glotzbach, C., Hippe, K., Kober, F., Molliex, S.,  
 1133 Norton, K. P., Salcher, B., Wittmann, H., Akçar, N., & Christl, M. (2020). Late-Pleistocene  
 1134 catchment-wide denudation patterns across the European Alps. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 211,  
 1135 103407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103407>, 2020.

1136 Delunel, R., van der Beek, P. A., Bourlès, D. L., Carcaillet, J., & Schlunegger, F. (2014). Transient  
 1137 sediment supply in a high-altitude Alpine environment evidenced through a 10Be budget of the  
 1138 Etages catchment (French Western Alps). *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39(7), 890–899.  
 1139 <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3494>

1140 Delunel, R., van der Beek, P. A., Carcaillet, J., Bourlès, D. L., & Valla, P. G. (2010). Frost-cracking  
 1141 control on catchment denudation rates: Insights from in situ produced 10Be concentrations in  
 1142 stream sediments (Ecrins–Pelvoux massif, French Western Alps). *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*,  
 1143 293(1), 72–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2010.02.020>

1144 Densmore, A. L., Ellis, M. A., & Anderson, R. S. (1998). Landsliding and the evolution of normal-  
 1145 fault-bounded mountains. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 103(B7), 15203–15219.  
 1146 <https://doi.org/10.1029/98JB00510>, 1998.

1147 Dietrich, W. E., Reiss, R., Hsu, M.-L., & Montgomery, D. R. (1995). A process-based model for  
 1148 colluvial soil depth and shallow landsliding using digital elevation data. *Hydrological Processes*,  
 1149 9(3–4), 383–400. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.3360090311>, 1995.

1150 Egholm, D. L., Nielsen, S. B., Pedersen, V. K., and Lesemann, J.-E.: Glacial effects limiting mountain  
 1151 height, *Nature*, 460, 884–887, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08263>, 2009.

1152 Egholm, D. L., Jansen, J. D., Brædstrup, C. F., Pedersen, V. K., Andersen, J. L., Ugelvig, S. V., Larsen, N.  
 1153 K., & Knudsen, M. F. (2017). Formation of plateau landscapes on glaciated continental margins.  
 1154 *Nature Geoscience*, 10(8), 592–597. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2980>, 2017.

1155 Egholm, D. L., Nielsen, S. B., Pedersen, V. K., & Lesemann, J. E. (2009). Glacial effects limiting  
 1156 mountain height. *Nature*, 460(7257), 884–887. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08263>

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1157 Fox, M., Herman, F., Kissling, E., & Willett, S. D. (2015). Rapid exhumation in the Western Alps driven  
 1158 by slab detachment and glacial erosion. *Geology*, 43(5), 379–382. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G36411.1>

1159 French, H. M. (2017). The Periglacial Environment. John Wiley & Sons, 565 pp., 2017.

1160 Gallach, X., Carcaillet, J., Ravel, L., Deline, P., Ogier, C., Rossi, M., Malet, E., & Garcia-Sellés, D.  
 1161 (2020). Climatic and structural controls on Late-glacial and Holocene rockfall occurrence in high-  
 1162 elevated rock walls of the Mont Blanc massif (Western Alps). *Earth Surface Processes and*  
 1163 *Landforms*, 45(13), 3071–3091. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4952>, 2020.

1164 Ganti, V., von Hagke, C., Scherler, D., Lamb, M. P., Fischer, W. W., & Avouac, J.-P. (2016). Time  
 1165 scale bias in erosion rates of glaciated landscapes. *Science Advances*, 2(10), Article 10, e1600204,  
 1166 <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600204>, 2016.

1167 George, D. L., & Iverson, R. M. (2014). A depth-averaged debris-flow model that includes the  
 1168 effects of evolving dilatancy. II. Numerical predictions and experimental tests. *Proceedings of the*  
 1169 *Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 470(2170), 20130820.  
 1170 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2013.0820>, 2014.

1171 Guzzetti, F., Malamud, B. D., Turcotte, D. L., & Reichenbach, P. (2002). Power-law correlations of  
 1172 landslide areas in central Italy. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 195(3), 169–183.  
 1173 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X\(01\)00589-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(01)00589-1), 2002.

1174 Hallet, B., Hunter, L., & Bogen, J. (1996). Rates of erosion and sediment evacuation by glaciers:  
 1175 A review of field data and their implications. *Global and Planetary Change*, 12(1), Article 1, 213–235,  
 1176 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8181\(95\)00021-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8181(95)00021-6), 1996.

1177 Heimsath, A. M., Furbish, D. J., & Dietrich, W. E. (2005). The illusion of diffusion: Field evidence  
 1178 for depth-dependent sediment transport. *Geology*, 33(12), 949–952.  
 1179 <https://doi.org/10.1130/G21868.1>, 2005.

1180 Hergarten, S., & Robl, J. (2015). Modelling rapid mass movements using the shallow water  
 1181 equations in Cartesian coordinates. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 15(3), 671–685.  
 1182 <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-15-671-2015>, 2015.

1183 Herman, F., & Herman, F., Beaud, F., Champagnac, J.-D., Lemieux, J.-M., & Sternai, P. (2011). Glacial  
 1184 hydrology and erosion patterns: A mechanism for carving glacial valleys. *Earth and Planetary Science*  
 1185 *Letters*, 310(3), 498–508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.08.022>

1186 Herman, F., & Braun, J. (2008). Evolution of the glacial landscape of the Southern Alps of New  
 1187 Zealand: Insights from a glacial erosion model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*,  
 1188 113(F2), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000807>, 2008.

1189 Herman, F., & Champagnac, J.-D. (2016). Plio-Pleistocene increase of erosion rates in mountain  
 1190 belts in response to climate change. *Terra Nova*, 28(1), 2–10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ter.12186>,  
 1191 2016.

1192 Herman, F., Beaud, F., Champagnac, J.-D., Lemieux, J.-M., Herman, F., De Doncker, F., Delaney, I.,  
 1193 Prasicek, G., & Koppes, M. (2021). The impact of glaciers on mountain and Sternai, P.: Glacial  
 1194 hydrology and erosion. *Nature Reviews patterns: A mechanism for carving glacial valleys*. *Earth &*  
 1195 *Environment*, 2(6), 422–435. and *Planetary Science Letters*, 310, 498–508,  
 1196 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00165-9> 10165–91016/j.epsl.2011.08.022, 2011.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1197 Herman, F., Seward, D., Valla, P. G., Carter, A., Kohn, B., Willett, S. D., & Ehlers, T. A. (2013).  
 1198 Worldwide acceleration of mountain erosion under a cooling climate. *Nature*, 504(7480), 423–  
 1199 426. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12877>, 2013.  
 1200 Herman, F., De Doncker, F., Delaney, I., Prasicek, G., and Koppes, M.: The impact of glaciers on  
 1201 mountain erosion, *Nat Rev Earth Environ*, 2, 422–435, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00165-9>,  
 1202 2021.  
 1203 Hobbey, D. E. J., Adams, J. M., Nudurupati, S. S., Hutton, E. W. H., Gasparini, N. M., Istanbuloglu, E.,  
 1204 & Tucker, G. E. (2017). Creative computing with Landlab: An open-source toolkit for  
 1205 building, coupling, and exploring two-dimensional numerical models of Earth-surface dynamics.  
 1206 *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 5(1), 21–46. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-5-21-2017>, 2017.  
 1207 Hooke, J. (2003). Coarse sediment connectivity in river channel systems: A conceptual framework  
 1208 and methodology. *Geomorphology*, 56(1), Article 1, 79–94, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(03)00047-3)  
 1209 555X(03)00047-3, 2003.  
 1210 Hovius, N., Stark, C. P., & Allen, P. A. (1997). Sediment flux from a mountain belt derived by  
 1211 landslide mapping. *Geology*, 25(3), 231–234. [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1997)025<0231:SFFAMB>2.3.CO;2)  
 1212 7613(1997)025<0231:SFFAMB>2.3.CO;2, 1997.  
 1213 Hovius, N., Stark, C. P., Hao-Tsu, C., & Jiun-Chuan, L. (2000). Supply and Removal of Sediment in  
 1214 a Landslide-Dominated Mountain Belt: Central Range, Taiwan. *The Journal of Geology*, 108(1), 73–  
 1215 89. <https://doi.org/10.1086/314387>, 2000.  
 1216 Ivy-Ochs, S., Martin, S., Campedel, P., Hippe, K., Alfimov, V., Vockenhuber, C., Andreotti, E., Carugati,  
 1217 G., Pasqual, D., Rigo, M., & Viganò, A. (2017). Geomorphology and age of the Marocche di Dro  
 1218 rock avalanches (Trentino, Italy). *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 169, 188–205.  
 1219 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.05.014>, 2017.  
 1220 Jeandet, L., Steer, P., Lague, D., & Davy, P. (2019). Coulomb Mechanics and Relief Constraints Explain  
 1221 Landslide Size Distribution — Jeandet — 2019 — Geophysical Research Letters — Wiley Online  
 1222 Library: <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1029/2019GL082351>, last access: 21  
 1223 September 2023.  
 1224 Keefer, D. K. (1984). Landslides caused by earthquakes. *GSA Bulletin*, 95(4), 406–421.  
 1225 [https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606\(1984\)95<406:LCBE>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1984)95<406:LCBE>2.0.CO;2), 1984.  
 1226 Koppes, M., Hallet, B., Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Wellner, J. S., & Boldt, K. (2015). Observed  
 1227 latitudinal variations in erosion as a function of glacier dynamics. *Nature*, 526(7571), 100–103.  
 1228 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15385>, 2015.  
 1229 Koppes, M. N., & Montgomery, D. R. (2009). The relative efficacy of fluvial and glacial erosion  
 1230 over modern to orogenic timescales. *Nature Geoscience*, 2(9), 644–647.  
 1231 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo616>, 2009.  
 1232 Korup, O. (2006). Effects of large deep-seated landslides on hillslope morphology, western Southern  
 1233 Alps, New Zealand. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 111(F1), Article F1,  
 1234 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JF000242>, 2006.  
 1235 Korup, O., Clague, J. J., Hermanns, R. L., Hewitt, K., Strom, A. L., & Weidinger, J. T. (2007). Giant  
 1236 landslides, topography, and erosion. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 261(3), 578–589.  
 1237 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.07.025>, 2007.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1238 Kuhlemann, J., Frisch, W., Székely, B., Dunkl, I., & Kázmér, M. (2002). Post-collisional sediment  
1239 budget history of the Alps: Tectonic tectonic versus climatic control. *International Journal of*  
1240 *Earth Sciences, Sci (Geol Rundsch)*, 91(5), 818–837. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-002-0266-y>.  
1241 2002.

1242 Lane, S. N., Bakker, M., Gabbud, C., Micheletti, N., & Saugy, J.-N. (2017). Sediment export,  
1243 transient landscape response and catchment-scale connectivity following rapid climate warming and  
1244 Alpine glacier recession. *Geomorphology*, 277, 210–227.  
1245 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.02.015>, 2017.

1246 Langston, A. L., & Tucker, G. E. (2018). Developing and exploring a theory for the lateral  
1247 erosion of bedrock channels for use in landscape evolution models. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 6(1),  
1248 Article 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-6-1-2018>, 2018.

1249 Larsen, I. J., & Montgomery, D. R. (2012). Landslide erosion coupled to tectonics and river  
1250 incision. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(7), 468–473. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1479>, 2012.

1251 Lavé, J., Guérin, C., Valla, P. G., Guillou, V., Rigaudier, T., Benedetti, L., France-Lanord, C., Gajurel, A.  
1252 P., Morin, G., Dumoulin, J. P., Moreau, C., & Galy, V. (2023). Medieval demise of a Himalayan  
1253 giant summit induced by mega-landslide. *Nature*, 619(7968), 94–101.  
1254 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06040-5>, 2023.

1255 Le Roy, M., Deline, P., Carcaillet, J., Schimmelpfennig, I., & Ermini, M. (2017). 10Be exposure  
1256 dating of the timing of Neoglacial glacier advances in the Ecrins-Pelvoux massif, southern French  
1257 Alps. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 178, 118–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.10.010>.  
1258 2017.

1259 Lebrouc, V., Schwartz, S., Baillet, L., Jongmans, D., & Gamond, J. F. (2013). Modeling permafrost  
1260 extension in a rock slope since the Last Glacial Maximum: Application to the large Séchilienne  
1261 landslide (French Alps). *Geomorphology*, 198, 189–200.  
1262 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.06.001>, 2013.

1263 Lehmann, B., & Robert, X. (2024). Simple: simple\_swath, a simple Python code to extract swath  
1264 profile using a shapefile (Version 2.0.0) [Logiciel]. Zenodo.  
1265 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13771754>, 2024.

1266 Leith, K., Fox, M., & Moore, J. R. (2018). Signatures of Late Pleistocene fluvial incision in an Alpine  
1267 landscape. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 483, 13–28.  
1268 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.11.050>, 2018.

1269 Leith, K., Moore, J. R., Amann, F., & Loew, S. (2014). Subglacial extensional fracture  
1270 development and implications for Alpine Valley evolution. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth*  
1271 *Surface*, 119(1), 62–81. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2012JF002691>, 2014.

1272 Leith, K., Fox, M., & Moore, J. R.: Signatures of Late Pleistocene fluvial incision in an Alpine  
1273 landscape, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 483, 13–28,  
1274 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2017.11.050>, 2018.

1275 Liebl, M., Robl, J., Egholm, D. L., Prasicek, G., Stüwe, K., Gradwohl, G., & Hergarten, S. (2021).  
1276 Topographic signatures of progressive glacial landscape transformation. *Earth Surface Processes and*  
1277 *Landforms*, 46(10), 1964–1980. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.5139>, 2021.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic



1278 Louis, H.-(1952)-.: Zur Theorie der Gletschererosion in Tälern-, E&G Quaternary Science Journal, 2(1)-,  
 1279 12--24-, https://doi.org/10.3285/eg.02.1.02, 1952.  
 1280 Magnin, F., Josnin, J.-Y., Ravanel, L., Pergaud, J., Pohl, B., &and Deline, P.-(2017)-.: Modelling rock wall  
 1281 permafrost degradation in the Mont Blanc massif from the LIA to the end of the 21st century-., The  
 1282 Cryosphere, 11(4)-, 1813--1834-, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1813-2017, 2017.  
 1283 Malamud, B. D., Turcotte, D. L., Guzzetti, F., &and Reichenbach, P.-(2004)-.: Landslide inventories and  
 1284 their statistical properties-, Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 29(6)-, 687--711-,  
 1285 https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1064, 2004.  
 1286 Martin, H. A., Peruzzetto, M., Viroulet, S., Mangeney, A., Lagrée, P.-Y., Popinet, S., Maury, B.,  
 1287 Lefebvre-Lepot, A., Maday, Y., &and Bouchut, F.-(2023)-.: Numerical simulations of granular dam  
 1288 break-: Comparison between discrete element, Navier-Stokes, and thin-layer models-., Physical  
 1289 Review, Phys. Rev. E, 108(5)-, 054902-, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.108.054902, 2023.  
 1290 Marx, H. E., Dentant, C., Renaud, J., Delunel, R., Tank, D. C., &and Lavergne, S.-(2017)-.: Riders in the  
 1291 sky (islands)-: Using a mega-phylogenetic approach to understand plant species distribution and  
 1292 coexistence at the altitudinal limits of angiosperm plant life-, Journal of Biogeography, 44(11)-,  
 1293 2618--2630-, https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13073, 2017.  
 1294 McColl, S. T.-(2012)-.: Paraglacial rock-slope stability-, Geomorphology, 153--154, 1--16-,  
 1295 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.02.015, 2012.  
 1296 Métivier, F., Gaudemer, Y., Tapponnier, P., &and Klein, M.-(1999)-.: Mass accumulation rates in Asia  
 1297 during the Cenozoic-, Geophysical Journal International, 137(2)-, 280--318-,  
 1298 https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-246X.1999.00802.x, 1999.  
 1299 Meunier, P., Hovius, N., &and Haines, J. A.-(2008)-.: Topographic site effects and the location of  
 1300 earthquake induced landslides-, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 275(3)-, 221--232-,  
 1301 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.07.020, 2008.  
 1302 Micheletti, N., Lambiel, C., &and Lane, S. N.-(2015)-.: Investigating decadal-scale geomorphic  
 1303 dynamics in an alpine mountain setting-, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 120(10)-,  
 1304 Article 10-, 2155--2175, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003656, 2015.  
 1305 Mitchell, S. G., &and Montgomery, D. R.-(2006)-.: Influence of a glacial buzzsaw on the height and  
 1306 morphology of the Cascade Range in central Washington State, USA-, Quaternary Research, 65(1)-,  
 1307 96--107-, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2005.08.018, 2006.  
 1308 Montgomery, D. R., & Korup, O.-(2011)-. Preservation of inner gorges through repeated Alpine  
 1309 glaciations. Nature Geoscience, 4(1), 62--67. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1030  
 1310 Morriss, M. C., Lehmann, B., Campforts, B., Brencher, G., Rick, B., Anderson, L. S., Handwerker, A. L.,  
 1311 Overeem, I., &and Moore, J.-(2023)-.: Alpine hillslope failure in the western US-: Insights: insights  
 1312 from the Chaos Canyon landslide, Rocky Mountain National Park, USA-, Earth Surface Dynamics,  
 1313 11(6)-, 1251--1274-, https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-11-1251-2023, 2023.  
 1314 Mudd, S. M., Harel, M.-A., Hurst, M. D., Grieve, S. W. D., &and Marrero, S. M.-(2016)-.: The CAIRN  
 1315 method-: Automated: automated, reproducible calculation of catchment-averaged denudation rates  
 1316 from cosmogenic nuclide concentrations-, Earth Surface Dynamics, 4(3)-, 655--674-,  
 1317 https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-4-655-2016, 2016.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1318 Müller, T., Lane, S. N., & Schaeffli, B. (2022). Towards a hydrogeomorphological understanding of  
 1319 proglacial catchments: An assessment of groundwater storage and release in an Alpine  
 1320 catchment. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 26(23), 6029–6054.  
 1321 <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-26-6029-2022>, 2022.

1322 Muñoz, E., Ochoa, A., & Cordão-Neto, M. (2016). Probabilistic assessment of precipitation-  
 1323 triggered landslides: The role of vegetation. *E3S Web of Conferences, Conf.*, 9, 08001.  
 1324 <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20160908001>, 2016.

1325 Niemi, N. A., Oskin, M., Burbank, D. W., Heimsath, A. M., and Gabet, E. J.: Effects of bedrock  
 1326 landslides on cosmogenically determined erosion rates, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 237,  
 1327 480–498, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.07.009>, 2005.

1328 Nocquet, J.-M., Sue, C., Walpersdorf, A., Tran, T., Lenôtre, N., Vernant, P., Cushing, M., Jouanne, F.,  
 1329 Masson, F., Baize, S., Chéry, J., & van der Beek, P. A. (2016). Present-day uplift of the western  
 1330 Alps. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), Article 1, <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28404>, 2016.

1331 Norton, K. P., Abbühl, L. M., & Schlunegger, F. (2010). Glacial conditioning as an erosional  
 1332 driving force in the Central Alps. *Geology*, 38(7), 655–658. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G31102.1>,  
 1333 2010.

1334 Pedersen, V. K., & Egholm, D. L. (2013). Glaciations in response to climate variations  
 1335 preconditioned by evolving topography. *Nature*, 493(7431), 206–210.  
 1336 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11786>, 2013.

1337 Pedersen, V. K., Egholm, D. L., & Nielsen, S. B. (2010). Alpine glacial topography and the rate of  
 1338 rock column uplift: A global perspective. *Geomorphology*, 122(1), 129–139.  
 1339 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.06.005>, 2010.

1340 Peizhen, Z., Molnar, P., & Downs, W. R. (2001). Increased sedimentation rates and grain sizes 2–  
 1341 4 Myr ago due to the influence of climate change on erosion rates. *Nature*, 410(6831), 891–897.  
 1342 <https://doi.org/10.1038/35073504>, 2001.

1343 Penck, A. (1905). Glacial Features in the Surface of the Alps. *The Journal of Geology*, 13(1), 1–19.  
 1344 <https://doi.org/10.1086/621202>, 1905.

1345 Perron, J. T. (2011). Numerical methods for nonlinear hillslope transport laws. *Journal of*  
 1346 *Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 116(F2), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JF001801>, 2011.

1347 Pitlick, J., Recking, A., Liebault, F., Misset, C., Piton, G., & Vazquez-Tarrio, D. (2021). Sediment  
 1348 Production in French Alpine Rivers. *Water Resources Research*, 57(12), e2021WR030470.  
 1349 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR030470>, 2021.

1350 Portenga, E. W., & Bierman, P. R. (2011). Understanding earth's eroding surface with <sup>10</sup>Be.  
 1351 *College of Arts and Sciences Faculty Publications*, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G111A.1>, 2011.

1352 Prasicek, G., Larsen, I. J., and Montgomery, D. R.: Tectonic control on the persistence of glacially  
 1353 sculpted topography, *Nat Commun*, 6, 8028, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9028>, 2015.

1354 Prasicek, G., Hergarten, S., Deal, E., Herman, F., & Robl, J. (2020). A glacial buzzsaw effect  
 1355 generated by efficient erosion of temperate glaciers in a steady state model. *Earth and Planetary*  
 1356 *Science Letters*, 543, 116350, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116350>, 2020.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic



1357 Prasicek, G., Larsen, I. J., & Montgomery, D. R. (2015). Tectonic control on the persistence of glacially  
1358 sculpted topography. *Nature Communications*, 6(1), 8028. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9028>

1359 Raveland, L., Magnin, F., & Deline, P. (2017). Impacts of the 2003 and 2015 summer heatwaves  
1360 on permafrost-affected rock-walls in the Mont Blanc massif. *Science of The Total Environment*, 609,  
1361 132–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.07.055>, 2017.

1362 Roering, J. (2012). Landslides limit mountain relief. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(7), 446–447.  
1363 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1511>, 2012.

1364 Roering, J. J., Kirchner, J. W., & Dietrich, W. E. (1999). Evidence for nonlinear, diffusive sediment  
1365 transport on hillslopes and implications for landscape morphology. *Water Resources Research*,  
1366 35(3), 853–870. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1998WR900090>, 1999.

1367 Rootes, C. M., & Clark, C. D. (2020). Glacial trimlines to identify former ice margins and  
1368 subglacial thermal boundaries: A review and classification scheme for trimline expression. *Earth-*  
1369 *Science Reviews*, 210, 103355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103355>, 2020.

1370 Roussel, E., Marren, P. M., Cossart, E., Toumazet, J.-P., Chenet, M., Grancher, D., & Jomelli, V.  
1371 (2018). Incision and aggradation in proglacial rivers: Post-Little Ice Age long-profile adjustments of  
1372 Southern Iceland outwash plains. *Land Degradation & Development*, 29(10), 3753–3771.  
1373 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3127>, 2018.

1374 Scherler, D. (2014). Climatic limits to headwall retreat in the Khumbu Himalaya, eastern Nepal.  
1375 *Geology*, 42(11), 1019–1022. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G35975.1>, 2014.

1376 Schlunegger, F., & Hinderer, M. (2003). Pleistocene/Holocene climate change, re-  
1377 establishment of fluvial drainage network and increase in relief in the Swiss Alps. *Terra Nova*, 15(2),  
1378 88–95. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3121.2003.00469.x>, 2003.

1379 Schwartz, S., Zerathe, S., Jongmans, D., Baillet, L., Carcaillet, J., Audin, L., Dumont, T., Bourlès, D.,  
1380 Braucher, R., & Lebruc, V. (2017). Cosmic ray exposure dating on the large landslide of  
1381 Séchillienne (Western Alps): A synthesis to constrain slope evolution. *Geomorphology*, 278, 329–  
1382 344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2016.11.014>, 2017.

1383 Seguinot, J., & Delaney, I. (2021). Last-glacial-cycle glacier erosion potential in the Alps. *Earth*  
1384 *Surface Dynamics*, 9(4), 923–935. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-9-923-2021>

1385 Shuster, D. L., Ehlers, T. A., Rusmoren, M. E., & Farley, K. A. (2005). Rapid Glacial Erosion at 1.8 Ma  
1386 Revealed by 4He/3He Thermochronometry. *Science*, 310(5754), 1668–1670.  
1387 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1118519>, 2021.

1388 Solomina, O. N., Bradley, R. S., Hodgson, D. A., Ivy-Ochs, S., Jomelli, V., Mackintosh, A. N., Nesje, A.,  
1389 Owen, L. A., Wanner, H., Wiles, G. C., & Young, N. E. (2015). Holocene glacier fluctuations.  
1390 *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 111, 9–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.11.018>, 2015.

1391 Stark, C. P., & Hovius, N. (2001). The characterization of landslide size distributions.  
1392 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(6), 1091–1094. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL008527>, 2001.

1393 Steer, P., Huismans, R. S., Valla, P. G., Gac, S., & Herman, F. (2012). Bimodal Plio–Quaternary  
1394 glacial erosion of fjords and low-relief surfaces in Scandinavia. *Nature Geoscience*, 5(9), Article  
1395 9, 635–639. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1549>, 2012.

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

1396 Sternai, P., Herman, F., Valla, P. G., & Champagnac, J.-D. (2013). Spatial and temporal variations  
 1397 of glacial erosion in the Rhône valley (Swiss Alps): Insights from numerical modeling. *Earth and*  
 1398 *Planetary Science Letters*, 368, 119–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.02.039>, 2013.

1399 Sternai, P., Sue, C., Husson, L., Serpelloni, E., Becker, T. W., Willett, S. D., Faccenna, C., Di Giulio, A.,  
 1400 Spada, G., Jolivet, L., Valla, P., Petit, C., Nocquet, J.-M., Walpersdorf, A., & Castelltort, S. (2019).  
 1401 Present-day uplift of the European Alps: Evaluating mechanisms and models of their relative  
 1402 contributions. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 190, 589–604.  
 1403 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.01.005>, 2019.

1404 Stoffel, M., Trappmann, D. G., Coullie, M. I., Ballesteros Cánovas, J. A., & Corona, C. (2024).  
 1405 Rockfall from an increasingly unstable mountain slope driven by climate warming. *Nature*  
 1406 *Geoscience*, *Nat. Geosci.*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01390-9>, 2024.

1407 Tanyaş, H., Allstadt, K. E., & van Westen, C. J. (2018). An updated method for estimating  
 1408 landslide-event magnitude. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 43(9), 1836–1847.  
 1409 <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4359>, 2018.

1410 Tanyaş, H., van Westen, C. J., Allstadt, K. E., & Jibson, R. W. (2019). Factors controlling landslide  
 1411 frequency–area distributions. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 44(4), 900–917.  
 1412 <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4543>, 2019.

1413 Tebbens, S. F. (2020). Landslide Scaling: A Review. Tebbens. 2020. Earth and Space  
 1414 Science. Wiley Online Library.  
 1415 <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1029/2019EA000662>, last access: 21 September  
 1416 2023.

1417 Thomson, S. N., Brandon, M. T., Tomkin, J. H., Reiners, P. W., Vásquez, C., & Wilson, N. J. (2010).  
 1418 Glaciation as a destructive and constructive control on mountain building. *Nature*, 467(7313), 313–  
 1419 317. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09365>, 2010.

1420 Tomkin, J. H., & Braun, J. (2002). The influence of alpine glaciation on the relief of tectonically  
 1421 active mountain belts. *American Journal of Science*, 302(3), 169–190.  
 1422 <https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.302.3.169>, 2002.

1423 Tucker, G. E., & Hancock, G. R. (2010). Modelling landscape evolution. *Earth Surface Processes*  
 1424 *and Landforms*, 35(1), 28–50. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1952>, 2010.

1425 Valla, P. G. (2021). A tribute to Louis (1952): On the theory of glacial erosion in valleys. *E&G*  
 1426 *Quaternary Science Journal*, 70(2), 209–212. <https://doi.org/10.5194/egqsj-70-209-2021>, 2021.

1427 Valla, P. G., Shuster, D. L., & van der Beek, P. A. (2011). Significant increase in relief of the European  
 1428 Alps during mid-Pleistocene glaciations. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(10), 688–692.  
 1429 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1242>

1430 Valla, P. G., van der Beek, P. A., & Lague, D. (2010). Fluvial incision into bedrock: Insights from  
 1431 morphometric analysis and numerical modeling of gorges incising glacial hanging valleys (Western  
 1432 Alps, France). *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 115(F2).  
 1433 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JF001079>, 2010.

1434 Van Den Eeckhaut, M., Poesen, J., Govers, G., Verstraeten, G., & Demoulin, A. (2007).  
 1435 Characteristics of the size distribution of recent and historical landslides in a populated hilly region.

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1436 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 256(3), 588–603. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.01.040>,  
1437 [2007](#).

1438 van der Beek, P., & Bourbon, P. (2008). A quantification of the glacial imprint on relief development  
1439 in the French western Alps. *Geomorphology*, 97(1), Article 1.  
1440 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.02.038>

1441 von Blanckenburg, F. (2005). The control mechanisms of erosion and weathering at basin scale from  
1442 cosmogenic nuclides in river sediment. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 237(3), 462–479.  
1443 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.06.030>

1444 Whipple, K. X. (2001). Fluvial Landscape Response Time: How Plausible Is Steady-State  
1445 Denudation? *American Journal of Science*, 301(4–5), 313–325. [https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.301.4-](https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.301.4-5.313)  
1446 [5.313](#), 2001.

1447 Wood, J. L., Harrison, S., & Reinhardt, L. (2015). Landslide inventories for climate impacts  
1448 research in the European Alps. *Geomorphology*, 228, 398–408.  
1449 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2014.09.005>, 2015.

1450 Zachos, J., Pagani, M., Sloan, L., Thomas, E., & Billups, K. (2001). Trends, Rhythms, and  
1451 Aberrations in Global Climate 65 Ma to Present. *Science*, 292(5517), 686–693.  
1452 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059412>, 2001.

1453 Zech, R., Zech, M., Kubik, P. W., Kharki, K., & Zech, W. (2009). Deglaciation and landscape history  
1454 around Annapurna, Nepal, based on 10Be surface exposure dating. *Quaternary Science Reviews*,  
1455 [28\(11\)](#), 1106–1118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.11.013>, 2009.

1456 Zerathe, S., Lebourg, T., Braucher, R., & Bourlès, D. (2014). Mid-Holocene cluster of large-scale  
1457 landslides revealed in the Southwestern Alps by 36Cl dating. Insight on an Alpine-scale landslide  
1458 activity. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 90, 106–127.  
1459 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.02.015>, 2014.

1460 Zhang, T., Li, D., East, A. E., Walling, D. E., Lane, S., Overeem, I., Beylich, A. A., Koppes, M., & Lu, X.  
1461 (2022). Warming-driven erosion and sediment transport in cold regions. *Nature Reviews, Nat Rev*  
1462 *Earth & Environment*, 3(12), 832–851. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00362-0>, 2022.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

|                                           |                       |                            |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [1] Formatted</b>             | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [2] Formatted</b>             | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [3] Formatted</b>             | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [4] Formatted</b>             | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [5] Formatted</b>             | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [6] Formatted</b>             | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [7] Formatted</b>             | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [8] Formatted</b>             | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [9] Formatted</b>             | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [10] Formatted</b>            | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [11] Formatted</b>            | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [12] Formatted</b>            | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic                          |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [13] Formatted</b>            | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic, English (United States) |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [14] Formatted</b>            | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| English (United States)                   |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [15] Formatted</b>            | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
| Font: Not Italic, English (United States) |                       |                            |
| <b>Page 45: [16] Formatted</b>            | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [17] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [18] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [19] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [20] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [21] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [22] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [23] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [24] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [25] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [26] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [27] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

Font: Not Italic

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [28] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [29] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [30] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [31] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

Font: Not Italic

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [32] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

Font: Not Italic

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [33] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

Font: Not Italic

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [34] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

Font: Not Italic

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [35] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [36] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [37] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [38] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [39] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

Font: Not Italic, English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [40] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)

|                                |                       |                            |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Page 45: [41] Formatted</b> | <b>Coline Ariagno</b> | <b>02/09/2025 16:31:00</b> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|

English (United States)