

The new uploaded .pdf only changes the approved value in the table with respect of the previous version. The exchange below is included as proof.

De: "Vitaly Muravyev" <vitaly.muravyev@copernicus.org>

Para: "MARTI LOPEZ Belén" <belen.marti@meteo.fr>

CC: editor@mailarchive.copernicus.org, "Groh, Jannis" <j.groh@fz-juelich.de>, "Aaron Boone" <aaron.a.boone@gmail.com>, "Yongze Song" <yongze.song@curtin.edu.au>

Enviados: Martes, 27 de Enero 2026 11:00:16

Asunto: Re: egusphere-2025-1783 Typo detected

Dear Belén,

Thank you very much for your email.

Please send me the corrected paepr in PDF format as soon as possible. I will then reupload it to the system.

Kind regards,

Vitaly Muravyev



Vitaly Muravyev
Editorial Support

Copernicus GmbH
Bahnhofsallee 1e
37081 Göttingen
Germany

Phone: +49 551 90 03 39 47

Copernicus GmbH
USt-IdNr.: DE216566440
Based in Göttingen, Germany
Registered in HRB 131 298
County Court Göttingen
Managing Director Thies Martin Rasmussen

Data protection remark: emails sent by us might include the email address editor@mailarchive.copernicus.org as CC. When replying to such emails and keeping this email address in CC, your replies will be archived in the online system CO Editor alongside the manuscript identified through the manuscript number in the subject line. Such archived emails are accessible for the respective handling editors, journal's chief editors, as well as Copernicus Publications' staff members.

From: MARTI LOPEZ Belén <belen.marti@meteo.fr>

Sent: 26 January 2026 10:09

To: Copernicus Publications Editorial Support <editorial@copernicus.org>

Cc: editor@mailarchive.copernicus.org <editor@mailarchive.copernicus.org>; Groh, Jannis <j.groh@fz-juelich.de>; Aaron Boone <aaron.a.boone@gmail.com>

Subject: egosphere-2025-1783 Typo detected

Dear editorial team and editor,

One of my coauthors has detected a typo and I've verified it. In what is now table 2, the value for sand at 0-10cm La Cendrosa should be 55.8 instead of 52.8 (see screenshot below). It does not impact the discussion or results as the other sand value was used for the simulations and the difference between values is large, but it should be corrected if possible as this data is being used for other studies.

Would it be possible to correct this issue since the article is still waiting for the editor approval?

Thank you in advance,

Belén

Table 2. SURFEX parameters characterizing the soil properties of the Els Plans and La Cendrosa sites. The columns, in order, represent the depth (d), the sand and clay content, the water field capacity (w_{fc}), the wilting point (w_{wilt}) water content, the saturated water content (w_{sat}), the saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}), the b parameter of the CH78 pedotranfer function and the soil water potential at saturation, Ψ_{sat} .

Site	d (cm)	sand	clay	w_{fc}	w_{wilt}	w_{sat}	$K_{sat} (*10^{-5})$	b	Ψ_{sat}
La Cendrosa	0-10	38.2/52.8	24.4/19.95	0.34	0.10	0.45	0.452	6.84	-0.33
La Cendrosa	10-30	56.0	15.5	0.28	0.10	0.43	1.488	5.62	-0.23
Els Plans	0-10	16.3	35.1	0.38	0.03	0.48	0.176/0.059	8.31/4.89	-0.51/-0.33
Els Plans	10-20	15.0	36.6	0.38	0.03	0.48	0.163/0.030	8.52/5.41	-0.52/-0.52
Els Plans	20-30	35.3	30.6	0.35	0.10	0.46	0.283/0.177	7.69/5.60	-0.35/-0.34
Els Plans	30-40	28.6	37.2	0.37	0.10	0.46	0.184/0.258	8.60/5.04	-0.40/-0.18