Reviewer 2

Reviewer's comments	Author's reply
This study presents measurements of size-resolved soluble iron (Fe) content in aerosol	We sincerely thank the reviewer for the time and effort you have put into this review. We
particles over the North Pacific Ocean, based on samples collected over a one-year period.	have carefully revised the manuscript with full consideration of the reviewer's comments
The authors distinguish the samples by air mass origin at the sampling site, allowing for	and suggestions. Responses to the reviewer's comments are in black and not indented;
a discussion of the potential mechanisms and sources influencing iron solubility across	corresponding revisions in the manuscript are in red and indented.
different size fractions. While the study is generally well-structured and clearly written,	
several points require clarification or further elaboration before publication. These are	
outlined below.	
Line 130: Please clarify why the extracted solution was evaporated to dryness. What	I apologies for the lack of clarity regarding the water extraction method of Fe in aerosol
proportion of organically bound soluble Fe is likely to be lost during this procedure?	particles. After the water extraction, the aerosol filter is removed from the vial, and the
Additionally, why was the residue re-dissolved in 2% HNO ₃ ? The use of nitric acid could	solution is filtered through a PES filter. Therefore, no additional d-Fe leaches out from the
potentially increase the dissolved iron content, thereby altering the measured solubility.	aerosol during the evaporation to dryness or the subsequent dissolution of the residue in
This methodology seems unconventional and requires justification.	2% HNO ₃ .
	Dissolved Fe in aerosol particles was extracted with 2-4 mL of ultrapure water in
	a polypropylene centrifuge tube and horizontal shaking for one day. After being
	subjected to water extraction, the PTFE filter was removed from the vial, and the
	solution was filtered through a PES syringe filter. The filtrated solutions were
	evaporated to dryness. The evaporated residue was then redissolved in 2% HNO ₃ .
Line 159: What is the EFT-Fe value for the second group? Given that the third group is	We found no difference in EF _{T-Fe} given that T-Fe in groups (i) and (ii) primarily originated
described as being the opposite of the first, it is unclear how the first and second groups	from mineral particles. However, these groups were distinguished by the different
can share the same EFT-Fe range. What differentiates them if not the EFT-Fe?	dissolution processes of d-Fe within mineral particles. While Fe in mineral dust in the first
	group was mainly dissolved through proton-promoted dissolution, that in the second group
	dissolved through ligand-promoted dissolution. In general, organic ligands exhibited
	higher stability constants with Fe than with Al. As a result, the [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratio for
	ligand-promoted dissolution ([d-Fe]/[d-Al]>1.0) was higher than that for proton-promoted
	dissolution ([d-Fe]/[d-Al]: 0.1–1.0).
	By contrast, aerosol particles in the first and third groups were characterized by differences

in anthro-Fe. In the group (iii), T-Fe was affected by anthro-Fe with high T-Fe and T-Al. Consequently, the group (iii) exhibited high EF_{T-Fe}. A diagram of [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratios combined with EF_{T-Fe} are useful tools for evaluating the sources and dissolution processes of d-Fe in aerosol particles because the Fe_{sol}% values of aerosol particles vary depending on the dominant sources of T-Fe and d-Fe (Sakata et al., 2023). T-Fe and d-Fe sources can be categorized into the following five groups (Fig. 2): T-Fe in groups (i) and (ii) originate from mineral dust with EF_{T-Fe} < 2.0. The [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratio varies depending on the different dissolution processes of mineral dust (i.e., proton- and ligand-promoted dissolution processes). The [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratio for ligandpromoted dissolution ([d-Fe]/[d-Al] > 1.0) is higher than that for proton-promoted dissolution ([d-Fe]/[d-Al]: 0.1-1.0) because Fe is preferentially dissolved by organic ligands over Al. T-Fe in groups (iii) and (iv) is derived from anthro-Fe with $EF_{T-Fe} > 2.0$. In group (iii), T-Fe is mainly derived from anthro-Fe, whereas d-Fe is derived from mineral dust because anthro-Fe is present in the form of insoluble Fe, which cannot affect the [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratio of aerosol particles. By contrast, the anthro-Fe in group (iv) is highly soluble, and its high T-Fe/T-Al ratio (i.e., high EF_{T-Fe}) is retained upon dissolution, as reflected by its [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratio. Consequently, aerosols in group (iv) exhibit high EF_{T-Fe} and [d-Fe]/[d-Al]. However, distinguishing between proton- and ligand-promoted dissolutions is difficult because highly soluble anthro-Fe exhibits high [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratios in both processes. Herein, anthro-Fe refers to anthropogenic Fe-rich particles that can increase the EF_{T-Fe} emitted from not only high-temperature combustion (e.g., steel industry and coal combustion; Kajino et al., 2020; Ito et al., 2021), but also non-combusted anthro-Fe (e.g., non-exhaust vehicle particles, such as brake ring and tire wear debris; Sanderson et al., 2016; Li et al., 2022; Fu et al., 2023). Finally, group (v) consists of aluminosilicate glasses emitted from hightemperature combustion, including coal combustion and municipal solid waste incineration. These particles are characterized by low EF_{T-Fe} values (<2.0) and [d-

	Fe]/[d-Al] ratios (<0.1). A detailed description of these five classifications is
	presented in S.1.1 in Supplemental Information.
Lines 376–380: Please elaborate on the mechanisms that explain the higher iron solubility	When the method for a diagram between EF _{T-Fe} and [d-Fe]/[d-Al], the following sentence
observed in fine particles when the d-Fe/d-Al ratio is high. A clearer explanation of the	has been added.
processes involved would strengthen the interpretation.	Factors potentially contributing to an increased [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratio in aerosols
	include ligand-promoted Fe dissolution from mineral dust and the contribution of
	anthro-Fe to d-Fe. However, considering the absence of aerosol samples in area
	(iv) as illustrated in Fig. 5b, ligand-promoted dissolution was not the primary
	cause of the high [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratio in fine aerosol particles. Therefore, the
	elevated [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratio in fine aerosol particles is primarily attributed to the
	influence of anthro-Fe. Indeed, the data for fine aerosol particles plotted along the
	mixing line between proton-promoted dissolution of mineral dust and highly
	soluble anthro-Fe (Fig. 5b) indicate that these two processes are dominant sources
	of d-Fe. The significant contribution of d-Fe from highly soluble anthro-Fe was
	further supported by the correlation between EF _{T-Fe} and Fe _{sol} % of fine aerosol
	particles (Fig. 5c). Furthermore, observations during the COVID-19 lockdown
	period provide crucial insights into the importance of anthro-Fe as a source of d-
	Fe under normal conditions. This is because the [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratio in fine aerosol
	particles collected during the lockdown period was similar to that of mineral dust
	(pink diamonds in Fig. 5b), suggesting a reduced influence of anthropogenic
	sources on d-Fe during the lockdown.
	In addition, additional explanations have been added around the relevant sentences.
Line 444: please remove the "S" from "Saerosol".	Thank you for pointing it out. We have revised it.
Line 493: The statement regarding chemical alterations increasing the solubility of brake	To the best of our knowledge, direct evidence for the chemical alteration of brake rings
ring and tire wear debris requires supporting evidence. Which specific atmospheric	and similar materials in the atmosphere has rarely been reported. Nevertheless, in
processes or reactions contribute to such an increase in solubility? Please provide	agreement with our findings, the PMF analysis of PM _{2.5} sampled in Atlanta showed that
examples or references to substantiate this claim.	approximately 35% of d-Fe was attributable to brake rings (Fang et al., 2015), and some
	reports have indicated that Fe in road dust (inclusive of brake rings) can be dissolved by

thesis suggests that Fe in brake pads demonstrates high solubility when exposed to acidic conditions (Simmons 2022). Consequently, Fe in brake pads has substantial potential for solubilization through atmospheric chemical reactions. The sentence structure of the relevant chapter has been largely modified, but the content is the same as that in the first version. Moreover, we have added further discussion as mentioned above. The revised text regarding the chemical alterations of tire rings is as follows:

As mentioned above, the aged dust fraction contained non-exhaust vehicle.

sulfate from coal combustion (Wong et al., 2020). Furthermore, an unpublished graduation

As mentioned above, the aged dust fraction contained non-exhaust vehicle emissions (e.g., brake rings and tire wear debris), which were mainly present in the form of Fe-rich particles, such as Fe oxides (Sanderson et al., 2016; Li et al., 2022; Fu et al., 2023). Given that the Fe_{sol}% values of brake ring and tire wear debris were less than 0.01% in the absence of chemical alterations, including proton- and ligand-promoted dissolutions (Shupert et al., 2013; Halle et al., 2021), the increase in the [d-Fe]/[d-Al] ratio of the aged dust factor may have been caused by the dissolution of Fe from these materials during chemical alterations in the atmosphere. Previous research suggests that tire wear acts as an emission source of d-Fe in PM_{2.5} (Fang et al., 2015), which can be dissolved by SO₂ emitted from coal combustion (Wong et al., 2020). Although further research is needed, our findings indicate that NEV particles, such as brake ring particles, can also be a source of d-Fe via aerosol acidification in the atmosphere.

Section 3.7: The apparent discrepancy between the lack of a significant iron sulfate source in the PMF analysis and the observed dominance of Fe-sulfate particles in measurements is unclear. If these species play a major role in explaining D-Fe, why are their sources not represented as significant in the PMF results? What is the contribution of iron from the secondary aerosol factor? Could this factor be responsible for the Fe-sulfate signature observed?

We considered that Fe(II)-sulfate in fine aerosol particles mainly formed via aerosol acidification by H₂SO₄ rather than directly emitted from specific primary emission sources. Given that Fe(II)-sulfate forms through the alteration of aerosols involving various factors, like mineral particles and anthro-Fe, the contribution of Fe(II)-sulfate is unlikely to aggregate within a single specific factor. Regarding Fe in secondary sulfate aerosols, the primary emission sources of secondary sulfate aerosols were derived from SO₂ emitted from coal combustion. Therefore, Fe in the factor was likely emitted from coal combustion. As discussed in the main text, the d-Fe concentration in the secondary

sulfate aerosol factor was higher than T-Fe likely due to the inclusion of d-Fe derived from mineral dust and steel industry factors. These findings are attributable to the correlation between d-Fe and sulfate concentrations. We have strengthened these points by adding the following discussion to multiple sections.

In the section of the "Monthly variation and size distributions of Fe species":

The water-soluble Fe species in fine aerosol particles likely formed through the chemical alterations of insoluble Fe, rather than directly emitted from primary sources. Although Fe(II, III)-sulfates are directly emitted from liquid fuel combustion, PMF analysis did not identify these emissions as the dominant source of Fe in fine aerosol particles. Similarly, Fe(III)-oxalate was not detected in the emission source samples of anthro-Fe. PMF results indicated that the total Fe in fine aerosol particles mainly originated from fresh and aged dust and the steel industry, with the dominant Fe species being primarily aluminosilicates and Fe-oxide nanoparticles. These Fe species were consistent with the insoluble Fe species identified in fine aerosol particles through XAFS spectroscopy. These primary sources, mineral dust, and steel industry–derived anthro-Fe typically exhibit low Fe_{sol}% without atmospheric chemical alterations. However, PMF analysis also revealed that aged dust and steel industry factors had a high Fe_{sol}%, highlighting the importance of the chemical alterations of Fe in mineral dust and anthro-Fe as key processes enhancing the water solubility of Fe in fine aerosol particles.

In the section of "Alteration processes and dissolution pH of mineral dust"

M1 spots exhibited low S intensity, and their Fe species were similar to those in mineral dust (aluminosilicates and Fe-(hydr)oxides; Figs. 9a and 9b). These findings were in accord with the μ -XAFS results for coarse aerosol particles. Furthermore, the SEM-EDX of aluminosilicates in coarse aerosol particles collected at the same observation point revealed low amounts of S (Sakata et al., 2021). As mentioned previously, PMF analyses indicated that fresh mineral dust was characterized by the

[nss-SO₄²⁻]/[T-Fe] ratio of 0 (Tables S4 and S5). Therefore, the M1 spots in fine aerosol particles represented fresh mineral dust. By contrast, the XRF spectra of M2–M10 spots showed an intense S peak, and Fe-containing aluminosilicates were found to coexist with Fe(II, III)-sulfates, suggesting that these Fe-sulfates formed through the chemical alterations of Fe in mineral dust by H₂SO₄. This finding is supported by the PMF analysis, wherein the aged mineral dust factor included nss-SO₄²⁻. Therefore, the internal mixing of Fe-bearing aluminosilicates with H₂SO₄ is a dominant process for the secondary formation of Fe-sulfates with high Fe_{sol}%. The average abundance of water-soluble Fe species (i.e., Fe(II, III)-sulfates and Fe(III)-oxalate) in M1–M10 was 46% ± 25%, which was higher than that in mineral-Fe_{sol}% (20.3%). This result is partly due to the small number of measurements of Fe species at points of low S intensity, such as the M1 spot.

In the section of "Alteration processes of anthro-Fe"

A previous study has demonstrated that Fe-rich particles (mainly Fe-oxides) collected directly from steel plants did not contain S but instead acquired a thick sulfate coating over one or two days of transport (Li et al., 2017). Given that the Fe-rich spots exhibited an intense S peak (Fig. 9a), these particles were markedly aged by SO₂ and/or H₂SO₄ in the atmosphere. Consequently, more than half of the Fe in these spots existed in the form of water-soluble Fe species, including Fe(II)-sulfate, Fe(III)-sulfate, and Fe(III)-oxalate (Fig. 9b).

Figure 10c: The panel is unclear. Does it suggest that there is no mineral-derived soluble Fe when pH > 2? If so, which dissolution pathways or processes are inhibited above this pH threshold? Clarification is needed.

The figure in the comment shows the pH dependence of Fe_{sol}% of mineral dust and anthro-Fe (i.e., mineral-Fe_{sol}% and antrhro-Fe_{sol}%). For the sake of clarity, the main text now specifies that Figure 10c presents the pH dependence of mineral-Fe_{sol}% and anthro-Fe_{sol}%, and I have expanded the discussion concerning these aspects.

The pH dependence of mineral-Fe_{sol}% and anthro-Fe_{sol}% is illustrated in Fig. 10c. Notably, when the pH was higher than 1.5, mineral-Fe_{sol}% was generally higher than anthro-Fe_{sol}%, whereas anthro-Fe_{sol}% exceeded mineral-Fe_{sol}% at pH levels lower

	exceeded 1.4 (average pH: 1.8). Therefore, given that mineral dust and anthro-Fe
	were emitted in the form of insoluble Fe, the relationship between mineral-Fe _{sol} % and
	anthro-Fe _{sol} % depended on the pH during reactions (Fig. 10c). This situation implied
	anthro-Fe% depended on the nH during reactions (Fig. 10c). This situation implied
	anthro-Fe% depended on the nH during reactions (Fig. 10c). This situation implied
	anthro-Fe% depended on the pH during reactions (Fig. 10c). This situation implied
	anthro-Fe ₋₁ % depended on the nH during reactions (Fig. 10c). This situation implied
	aning-Fe ₋₁ % depended on the nH during reactions (Fig. 10c). This situation implied
	antino i esolvo depended on the pri daring reactions (i ig. 100). This situation implied
	untillo 1 e ₈₀₁ /4 depended on the p11 daring reactions (1 ig. 10c). This situation implied
	and the solve depended on the pri daring reactions (Fig. 1969). This stead on implied
	that the high Fe_{sol} % often seen in fine particles might not always be linked to anthro-
	and the soliton depended on the pri during reactions (Fig. 100). This statution implied
	anthro-Fe ₋₁ % depended on the nH during reactions (Fig. 10c). This situation implied
	anthro-Fe% depended on the nH during reactions (Fig. 10c). This situation implied
	were emitted in the form of insoluble Fe, the relationship between mineral-Fe _{col} % and
	exceeded 1.4 (average pH: 1.8). Therefore, given that mineral dust and anthro-Fe
	0.60), whereas that of the samples with high mineral-Fe _{sol} % during the EAout period
	mineral-Fe _{sol} % during the JPN period was considerably lower than 1.4 (average pH:
	this pH-dependent behavior, the pH of samples wherein anthro-Fe _{sol} % exceeded
	Fe _{sol} % exceeded mineral-Fe _{sol} % within the pH range of 1.4–1.5 (Fig. 10c). In line with
	Fe _{sol} % gradually increased with decreasing pH (Fig. 10c). Consequently, anthro-
	from roughly 0.1% to 100% as the pH dropped from 2.2 to 1.2. By contrast, mineral-
	orders of magnitude per unit decrease in pH (Eq. 12), leading to a surge in Fe _{sol} %
	nanoparticles, representing anthro-Fe, increased dramatically by approximately three
	than 1.5 (Fig. 10c). This contrasting behavior occurred because the Fe _{sol} % of hematite