Real-time plotting and evaluation of the data quality control from the CSIR- NGRI Magnetic observatories

Vengala. Pavan Kumar, Nelapatla. Phani Chandrasekhar and Potharaju. Sai Vijay Kumar

CSIR-National Geophysical Research Institute, Hyderabad, India

Corresponding author: phaninelapatla@gmail.com

Abstract

Earth's magnetic field, a dynamic shield influenced by internal and external forces, holds critical insights into space weather forecasting and the planet's core dynamics. The Choutuppal (CPL) and Hyderabad (HYB) magnetic observatories in India are pioneering this field by delivering high-resolution geomagnetic data to INTERMAGNET with unprecedented speed and precision. Utilizing a novel, low-cost protocol, CPL transmits 1s resolution data and HYB provides 1min data, both achieving a latency of less than 300s, making CPL as one of the first Indian observatories to send 1s real-time data to GIN (Geomagnetic Information Node). This rapid data transmission enhances global collaboration in space weather prediction, safeguarding critical infrastructure like satellites and power grids from solar storms.

To further elevate data utility, we developed a Python-based software for real-time visualization and quality control at both observatories. This tool generates plots, performs initial quality checks, and computes first differences at 1s and 1min intervals, with a latency under 300s. By enabling daily evaluation of data quality, the software facilitates the identification of anomalies and noise, supporting the preparation of quasi-definitive data essential for geomagnetic research. Our Python server and web applications are designed with the future in mind, integrating artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) capabilities. These advancements at CPL and HYB are set to transform the processing, forecasting, and visualization of geomagnetic data. By improving both the accuracy and accessibility of this data, we aim to revolutionize geomagnetic research, making it more precise, accessible, and actionable.

1. Introduction

Geomagnetic observatories are fixed locations on the Earth's surface that continuously monitor the geomagnetic field. The continuous time series data from these observatories reflect various physical processes associated with solar and Earth activities. The reliability and accuracy of the data are crucial for both scientific research and commercial applications (Matzka et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2016). Each observatory is equipped with at least two tri-axial fluxgates to record variations along with Overhauser magnetometers. Additionally, it has one fluxgate theodolite and Overhauser / Proton precession magnetometer for absolute measurements.

The Hyderabad Magnetic Observatory (HYB) of CSIR-NGRI has maintained 60 years of uninterrupted and stable recordings of magnetic variations. In 2009, with upgraded instruments, HYB became an INTERMAGNET observatory. Due to rapid urbanization and the introduction of the Hyderabad Metro Rail project nearby, it became essential to establish an observatory to ensure the continuity of the geomagnetic data series. The campus of the former Choutuppal (CPL) Geo-electric Observatory provided an ideal location for recording magnetic measurements at one-second intervals. Preliminary 1min observations began in 2012, and 1s data recording was initiated in 2015, and the ongoing data collection has led to CPL being

recognized as an INTERMAGNET observatory in 2019. The high-quality 1s definitive data from CPL is currently being submitted to INTERMAGNET, making it one of the first observatories in this region to achieve this status (Arora et al., 2016). The HYB and CPL observations have made significant contributions to global data, alongside other observatories worldwide, for the main model of the Earth's magnetic field. These observations have also supported various studies of low-latitude magnetic phenomena (Dwivedi and Chandrasekhar, 2024) and regional induction anomalies (Edara and Arora, 2023).

For any observatory, the quality of the data is very important to achieve and maintain INTERMAGNET status (Clarke et al., 2013). Many scholars have developed various quality control methods for geomagnetic data (Curto and Marsal, 2007 and references therein). Clarke et al., (2013) developed an automated data processing software that integrates daily extrapolated baseline values of H, D, and Z, derived from baseline functions, with H, D, and Z variometers data. 1min data are delivered to the Edinburgh INTERMAGNET Geomagnetic Information Node (GIN) in near real-time and on the following day. After implementing a few procedures, the quality data is prepared and delivered to GIN by running the data processing software in manual mode on the next working day. Chandrasekhar et al., (2017) discussed the challenges involved in measuring 1s variations in the geomagnetic field to meet the standards set by INTERMAGNET for quality and data transmission at observatories over extended periods. They also provided a detailed account of the progressive steps that led to the successful establishment of these measurements at the CPL observatory. Khomutov et al., (2017) developed a new method for the noise identification in the data at observatories of IKIR FEB RAS (Russia) and CSIR-NGRI (India). They also presented a review of commonly used methods for noise identification in practical situations, highlighting the potential for reducing the impact of noise on data through various examples. He et al., (2022) proposed a method that combines genetic algorithms and linear regression to evaluate geomagnetic data quality. Their approach considers factors such as observational data, attitude angle, scale factor, long-term drift, and temperature. They highlight that agreement among geomagnetic vector observations is crucial for assessing data quality and utilize Bland-Altman plots, applying a 95% confidence interval to evaluate this agreement quantitatively and qualitatively. Lingala et al., (2022) discussed the observed noticeable differences in the noise levels present in vector and scalar variation data, due to the vehicular noise observed before and during the COVID lockdown period and also discussed the details of increased data quality in the absence of traffic-generated noise sources. Da Silva et al., (2023) developed the Magnetic Observatories and Stations Filtering Tool (MOSFiT), a Python package designed to visualize and filter data from magnetic observatories and magnetometer stations. This tool can also be utilized for quality control of geomagnetic observatory data, similar to the methods implemented by the British Geological Survey as described by Macmillan and Olsen (2013). Several studies have discussed the quality of geomagnetic observatory data and improved protocols for addressing noise in the data (Zhang et al., 2024 and references therein).

Apart from data quality, another important aspect of any geomagnetic observatory is remote site data transfer, which is crucial for various applications, including environmental monitoring and scientific research. All data from INTERMAGNET observatories worldwide is collected by Geomagnetic Information Nodes (GINs), which serve as central points for real-time data collection and are connected to the observatories through satellite, computer, and telephone networks. GINs operate in five different countries: the UK, USA, Japan, Canada, and France.

They utilize four satellites: GOES-E, GOES-W, METEOSAT, and GMS to receive real-time data from INTERMAGNET Observatories worldwide (https://intermagnet.org/gins.html). Although these satellites were used in the past, the preferred way to send data to the GINs now is through the internet, and satellite channels are only used as a backup option.

Numerous observatories around the globe transfer 1min to 1s data in real time to various GINs using different technologies. These technologies include satellite communication, ISDN telephone links, FTP, VPN router servers, in-house built NDL HSS, MQTT, and various third-party software and tools (Torta et al., 2009; Clarke et al., 2013; Chulliat and Chambodut, 2014; Thomson, 2014; Gvishiani et al., 2016; Reda and Neska, 2016; Zhang et al., 2016)

Recently, Potharaju and Nelapatla (2022) addressed the challenges of data transmission for both 1s and 1min intervals. They detailed the step-by-step development process, algorithm creation, function libraries, and the implementation of real-time data transmission from a remote observatory. Using the Python programming language, they developed an algorithm to automate the transmission of high-resolution real-time magnetic data from CPL and HYB to Edinburgh GIN, all while relying on minimal internet connectivity. The automation system securely transfers data in an encrypted manner using SSH keys, while also saving the same dataset on a local server at CSIR-NGRI. Data from both observatories is sent to GIN in real-time within a timeframe of less than 300s. After successfully transmitting 1min geomagnetic data in real-time from the CPL and HYB observatories to Edinburgh GIN, the transmission of CPL's 1s real-time data has also commenced. This achievement marks CPL as one of the first Indian observatories to send 1s real-time data to GIN.

In this paper, we outline the processes involved in upgrading the Python tool package that facilitates real-time data quality control checks at the CSIR-NGRI magnetic observatories, HYB and CPL, for both 1s and 1min data intervals. Additionally, we discuss the various options for installing and implementing our package, ensuring it integrates smoothly with the available resources for real-time data transmission and quality checks.

2. Real-time data transfer to INTERMAGNET GIN

The real-time geomagnetic data, both vector and scalar, are initially collected by the MAGREC-4B logger. This data is then transmitted to a local machine running the CentOS operating system, which is deployed at the observatory (CPL/HYB). A secure communication is established via SSH (Secure Shell) using key-based authentication. Initially, an SSH key pair is generated, and to enhance security, this key is changed every two weeks to prevent unauthorized access.

Once the data is received on the CentOS machine, it is processed and prepared for transfer to the centralized server located at the NGRI-HYB Observatory. The secure transfer to the observatory server is conducted using the same SSH protocol, ensuring a robust and encrypted handshake for optimal data integrity and confidentiality. Upon arrival at the NGRI-HYB Observatory server, the collected data is methodically organized based on its temporal granularity. The data is categorized and stored in specific directories, namely "Minute Data" and "Second Data," facilitating organized data management and easy access for analysis.

The segregated data from the HYB server is then prepared for transmission to the INTERMAGNET GIN located in Edinburgh, UK. This transmission process is automated using Python scripts and daemon processes that run in the background. These scripts are designed to execute every 300s, ensuring timely and regular data updates without duplication.

133 The Python code handles data packaging, error checking, and retransmission logic to ensure
134 reliable data delivery.

135 Throughout the entire process, various security measures are implemented, including regular
136 updates to SSH keys and continuous monitoring of data transfer processes. Logs are maintained
137 to track data transfer activities and to quickly identify and rectify any anomalies or issues. By
138 employing this comprehensive workflow, the system guarantees secure, efficient, and reliable
139 data transfer from the MAGREC-4B logger to the INTERMAGNET GIN, thereby facilitating
140 continuous monitoring and analysis of geomagnetic data (Potharaju and Nelapatla, 2022).

141 **3. Customization of the PHP server for real-time data visualization**

142 PHP (Hypertext Preprocessor) (*https://www.php.net/*) is a widely-used server-side scripting
143 language primarily designed for web development. It is embedded within HTML and executed
144 on the server, generating dynamic content that can be displayed on web browsers. PHP offers
145 flexibility, simplicity, and compatibility with various databases, making it a popular choice for
146 developing interactive and data-driven web applications. Real-time data visualization is
147 essential in various domains, including geomagnetic observatories, seismic monitoring and IoT
148 applications. PHP, in combination with Plotly (a JavaScript-based visualization library)
149 (*https://plotly.com/javascript/*), facilitates the rendering of real-time plots by handling server-
150 side data processing and sending the results to the client.

151 Since data from both observatories is available in real-time, we have developed a PHP server
152 that simultaneously plots the data from both locations. The screen refreshes every 300s to
153 display the updated trends for each component and continues till the end of the day. This server
154 is designed to store initially a weekday's data at 1min sampling rate, as illustrated in Figure 1.

156 Figure 1. Real-time plotting of Vector and Scalar data at 1min sampling interval from the HYB
157 (left) and CPL (right) observatories of CSIR-NGRI

4. Upgrading the PHP server to a Python server

Real-time data visualization is crucial in various fields, including geomagnetism, seismology, seismic, and many other Geophysical applications, where continuous monitoring and dynamic plotting are essential. Traditionally, PHP has been a popular choice for web-based data visualization due to its simplicity and widespread usage. However, with the increasing demand for scalability, performance, and flexibility, Python Django (*https://www.djangoproject.com/*) has emerged as a more powerful alternative.

Upgrading from PHP to Django for real-time plotting provides significant advantages in terms of performance, scalability, and maintainability. Django's powerful framework, combined with Python's rich ecosystem, allows efficient handling of large datasets, real-time updates, and seamless integration with machine learning models. Although the migration process involves challenges such as database compatibility and code refactoring, the long-term benefits in flexibility, performance, and extensibility make Django a superior choice for real-time plotting applications.

Django is a high-level Python web framework that promotes rapid development and clean design. Python's libraries (e.g., Pandas, NumPy) enable complex data analysis and enhances the efficient backend processing. Django channels and WebSockets provide low-latency, real-time data streaming. It's ORM (Object-Relational Mapping) simplifies database interactions. Django provides a modular architecture, making the application easier to scale and maintain.

Django, combined with **Bokeh** (*https://bokeh.org/*), a powerful visualization library, offers an efficient solution for rendering real-time plots. Bokeh's interactive plotting capabilities, when integrated with Django's backend, enable dynamic and responsive data visualizations. Bokeh is a Python library for creating interactive and real-time visualizations, it generates JavaScript-powered visualizations directly in the browser. It supports streaming data sources for dynamic, live-updating plots. It provides interactive tools like panning, zooming, and hovering functionalities for better data exploration.

With the increasing availability of geomagnetic data from observatories and satellites, AI and ML techniques are transforming the field by enabling: a) Real-time monitoring and forecasting of geomagnetic events, b) Anomaly detection for space weather and magnetic storms, c) Data-driven insights for understanding magnetic field variations, d) Predictive models for geomagnetic hazards.

To achieve above mentioned applications, Python offers a robust ecosystem of AI/ML libraries that can be directly integrated into Django-based geomagnetic applications. Django serves as the API layer or backend framework for delivering AI/ML model predictions as web services. Django + Python + AI/ML provides a future-proof, scalable, and efficient framework for geomagnetic data processing, visualization, and prediction. Figure 2 illustrates the schematic diagram of the processes involved in the automated quality control and data transfer pipeline for the HYB and CPL observatories.

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the processes involved in the automated quality control and data transfer pipeline for the HYB and CPL observatories

5. First difference tool for real-time data quality checks

The "first difference" (FD) is a key analytical tool used in time series analysis. It refers to the difference in values between consecutive observations in a dataset. This method helps in identifying patterns, trends, and other dynamic aspects over time more easily in a signal. It is particularly useful for analysing geomagnetic time series data and understanding its evolution. If the difference between two consecutive time periods of a signal is abnormal, it may indicate the presence of noise in the data, often caused by anthropogenic / environmental factors.

We have developed a real-time FD tool in Python that can calculate data for each component of both observatories at 1s and 1min intervals, allowing for a quick and hassle-free assessment of data quality. This computation refreshes every 300s and displays the differences. Here is an example that illustrates the 1min plot (Figure 3) and the 1s plot (Figure 4) for February 7, 2025, for the HYB (left panel) and CPL (right panel) observatories across each component. We have upgraded the server to include several months of data, enabling users to access the desired day instantly as needed. One example is illustrated in Figures 3 and 4.

Figure 3: Real-time plotting of Vector and Scalar data at 1min sampling interval from the HYB (left) and CPL (right) observatories of CSIR-NGRI, with the updated services in the server.

252
253 Figure 4: Real-time plotting of Vector and Scalar data at 1s sampling interval from the HYB
254 (left) and CPL (right) observatories of CSIR-NGRI.

255 Upon reviewing Figures 3 and 4, we observe that the noon hours of the day began with a sudden
256 storm commencement (SSC). This term refers to an abrupt increase or decrease in the
257 northward component of the geomagnetic field and indicates the onset of a geomagnetic storm.
258 The SSC event is noted around 07:14 UT at both observatories. In comparison to 1min, 1s data
259 exhibits more noise in the Z and F components at HYB than at CPL (Figures 3 and 4).

260
261

262
263
264 Figure 5: Real-time plotting of the first differences of vector and scalar data, sampled every
265 1min from the HYB (left) and CPL (right) observatories

266
267

268 Figure6: Real-time plotting of the first differences of vector and scalar data, sampled every 1s
269 from the HYB (left) and CPL (right) observatories

270

271 Figures 5 and 6 present the calculated FD of each component at both the HYB and CPL
272 observatories, measured in 1min and 1s intervals. From these figures, it is apparent that small
273 amplitude spikes were observed at the CPL observatory, particularly in the Z and F components
274 around (12:21:07UT, and 12:24:57UT) during that day. The amplitude of these spikes at CPL
275 are about: 0.4 nT and 1.5 nT. Further, the amplitude of the FD's of all the components are in
276 the range of ±0.5nT in D, ± 1.5nT in H, ± 0.3 in Z and ± 1.5nT in F for 1min data and ± 0.1 nT
277 in D, ± 0.1 nT in H, ± 0.5 nT in Z at CPL, ± 2 nT in Z at HYB, ± 0.5 nT in F at CPL and ± 2
278 in F at HYB for 1s data. The next step is treating the spikes by evaluating the source behind
279 the signal. After checking the logs, the spikes recorded at CPL are a result of human
280 intervention related to data collection from the flashcard of the spare fluxgate magnetometer
281 deployed in the sensor hut. Hence, the observed spikes at the components (D, H, Z, and F are
282 removed from the data set. In contrast, a greater number of spikes were recorded in the Z and
283 F components at the HYB observatory on 7th February 2025. It is important to note that the
284 spikes recorded in the Z and F components at HYB are attributed to vehicular traffic and metro
285 rail operations, as discussed by Lingala et al., (2022). These activities occur regardless of the
286 geomagnetic conditions. Therefore, this data requires a treatment of noise removal before it
287 can be submitted as quasi-definitive data. After treating the noise in the data at both
288 observatories, the final data for HYB and CPL for 1min are shown in Figure7.

289

290

291
292 Figure 7: 1min vector and scalar data from the HYB (left) and CPL (right) observatories after
293 removing spikes identified through real-time first differences.
294
295 The plotting page also offers the following tools:
296
297   (a) **PAN**: This tool allows you to move the view of an image or document around on
298   the screen while maintaining the same zoom level. Essentially, it enables you to "slide"
299   the image to view different parts without zooming in or out.
300
301   (b) **Box Zoom**: This zoom method lets you click and drag to create a rectangular area
302   on the screen, specifying which part of the content you want to zoom in on. This tool is
303   particularly useful for identifying noise in the data.
304
305   (c) **Wheel Zoom**: This tool lets you zoom in and out using the mouse scroll wheel.
306
307   (d) **Reset**: This tool restores the view to the normal plotting window, removing any Box
308   Zoom or Wheel Zoom adjustments.
309
310   (e) **Save**: This function allows you to download the current plot as a ".png" image
311   format.
312
313 **6. The essence of extending the Python based facility to Remote Sites**

314 CSIR-NGRI currently operates remote sites at various locations: Himalayas, Andaman &
315 Nicobar Islands, and Ladakh. Extending the existing data transfer facility to these remote
316 locations offers several key benefits:

317   • **Consistency:** Ensures uniform data collection and transmission across multiple sites,
318   resulting in consistent and reliable datasets.

- **Scalability:** Facilitates easy expansion of the network to include more remote locations without incurring significant additional costs.
- **Resource Optimization:** Maximizes the use of existing infrastructure and resources, reducing the necessity for redundant systems.
- **Enhanced Data Insights:** Provides a broader range of data, leading to more comprehensive analyses and improved decision-making.
- **Cost Efficiency:** By utilizing the same setup, organizations can save on costs associated with deploying and maintaining separate systems for each site.

All remote site recording systems are equipped with maintenance-free batteries that are regularly charged by solar panels. We have identified several potential systems for installing the developed Python-based package, which is designed to implement low-cost and effective data transfer techniques and tools, along with real-time quality control checks in the next few months.

A. Techniques:

1. *LoRaWAN*: A low-power, long-range wireless communication technology that is ideal for remote areas with minimal infrastructure. It is cost-effective and suitable for IoT applications.

2. *Satellite Communication*: Using Low Earth Orbit (LEO) satellites can provide reliable internet connectivity in remote locations. Companies like Soracom and Sateliot offer affordable satellite IoT solutions.

3. *Store-and-Forward Systems*: Data loggers can store data locally and transfer it when a connection is available. This method is beneficial in areas with intermittent connectivity.

4. *High-Frequency Radio Communication*: HF radio can be employed for long-distance communication in remote areas, requiring a clear line of sight, and can be a cost-effective solution.

B. Tools:

1. *Airbyte*: This tool supports incremental data synchronization, making it efficient and resource-effective. It primarily runs on Linux-based operating systems, specifically Ubuntu and Debian. Although it can also be deployed using Docker on Windows and macOS, Linux is the preferred operating system.

2. *Raspberry Pi with SIM Card*: This versatile and cost-effective option is equipped with a SIM card to collect and transmit data. It typically runs on Raspberry Pi OS (formerly known as Raspbian), which is based on Debian Linux, but it also supports Ubuntu, Alpine Linux, Arch Linux, and other Linux distributions.

3. *Omega2 LTE*: This single-board computer (SBC) provides high-speed cellular connectivity with LTE Cat 4 support, making it ideal for remote data transfer. It operates on OpenWRT, a lightweight Linux-based operating system optimized for networking and IoT applications.

4. *Libre Computer Board Le Potato*: While primarily focused on multimedia applications, this board can be adapted for data transfer using SIM card technology. It mainly runs on Ubuntu, Debian, and Armbian (a lightweight Linux distribution designed for ARM-based SBCs).

358 **5. *Orange Pi 5*:** This board supports multiple Linux-based operating systems, including
359 Ubuntu, Debian, Armbian, and Android. It offers better performance than the Raspberry Pi at
360 a similar price point and is compatible with SIM card technology for data transmission.

361 By selecting any of the above approaches will enhance the capabilities of CSIR-NGRI's remote
362 operations, ensuring effective data management and communication across challenging
363 environments

364 **7. Summary**

365 Raw magnetic observatory data often contains noise and artifacts that need to be removed or
366 corrected. Pre-processing steps include the removal of spikes, correction for temperature
367 effects, time synchronization, and baseline adjustments. Data quality control is essential to
368 ensure the reliability of the information, involving visual inspections, statistical analyses, and
369 data flagging.

370 Many researchers prepare quasi-definitive data on a monthly, weekly, or daily basis by
371 incorporating these pre-processing steps and submit their findings to INTERMAGNET GIN.
372 For instance, the IPGP quasi-definitive data method is a monthly process focusing on obtaining
373 the most accurate results for the recent past (Peltier and Chulliat, 2010). The BGS method,
374 while similar, also aims to produce next-day quasi-definitive data using predicted baseline
375 values (Clarke et al., 2013). Both methods are valid for meeting the quasi-definitive data
376 definitions set by INTERMAGNET and offer distinct strengths to benefit various data users.
377 Da Silva et al., (2023) introduced the Python package MOSFiT, designed to work with 1-
378 minute INTERMAGNET definitive and quasi-definitive data, but it can also be applied to any
379 geomagnetic observatory or magnetometer data. The CSIR-NGRI geomagnetic observatories
380 at HYB and CPL participate in and contribute to INTERMAGNET and submitting quasi and
381 definitive data. Although both observatories are equipped with the same magnetometers, the
382 CPL observatory operates in a noise-free environment, while HYB does not. CPL is India's
383 first observatory to provide 1s data, whereas HYB offers 1min real-time data to GIN.

384 Before processing data to produce quasi-definitive results, it is vital to validate its quality. To
385 address this need, we have developed a Python-based plotting service tool as the first step in
386 our data quality control process. This tool not only monitors real-time trends and continuity in
387 observational data but also includes a dedicated review process to rigorously assess data quality
388 regularly. This ensures the accurate preparation of quasi-definitive data for the observatories.
389 Additionally, the tool has indicators that flag data when the FD values exceed specified
390 thresholds (±0.2nT), ensuring accuracy and completeness.

391 Additionally, the specified thresholds (±0.2 nT) are further validated using the Fv-Fs method.
392 This involves calculating the difference between the total magnetic field intensity measured by
393 a vector magnetometer (Fv) and a scalar magnetometer (Fs) at the same location and time. This
394 difference is a critical quality control tool, as it helps detect instrumental biases, calibration
395 errors, or external disturbances in geomagnetic data (Bracke, 2025). At the HYB and CPL
396 observatories, we routinely apply the Fv-Fs method as part of our data validation pipeline to
397 complement the "first differences" method described in the manuscript. Specifically, our
398 Python-based software for real-time visualization and quality control includes a dedicated
399 module that computes Fv-Fs differences for both 1s and 1min geomagnetic data. This module
400 flags discrepancies exceeding predefined thresholds (e.g., ±0.2 nT), which are then reviewed

to identify and mitigate noise or artifacts before publishing quasi-definitive data. The focus on the "first differences" method in the manuscript was driven by its effectiveness in detecting rapid anthropogenic disturbances, which are prevalent at HYB and CPL due to their proximity to urban environments (Chandrasekhar et al., 2017).

Our Python-based tool can be installed on various client-side devices, including LoRaWAN, data loggers, Airbyte, Raspberry Pi, Omega2 LTE, Libre Computer Board Le Potato, and Orange Pi 5. These devices are suitable for deployment in remote locations with limited power availability. On the server side, the system can be configured to connect to a workstation or server to receive data in real-time. The establishment of this real-time quality control system significantly enhances the data quality from both permanent and remote observatory sites, providing reliable support for related scientific research.

Further, our Python-based server is designed to provide a robust ecosystem of AI/ML libraries that can be seamlessly integrated into Django-based geomagnetic applications. Django serves as the API layer and backend framework for delivering AI/ML model predictions as web services. The combination of Django, Python, and AI/ML creates a future-proof, scalable, and efficient framework for processing, visualizing, and predicting geomagnetic data. The website shown in the manuscript is currently inaccessible, but it is accessible within the institute. We plan to make this website available for public access in the future.

8. Conclusions

High-quality magnetic observatory data is vital for understanding the Earth's magnetic field. Observatories in exceptional locations provide valuable long-term data, but assessing data quality requires expertise and can be time-consuming. Producing reliable geomagnetic data is challenging, especially for institutions with limited staff. Rising operational costs can make it difficult to secure necessary funding. Despite these hurdles, many scientific publications rely heavily on high-quality absolute magnetic observatory data.

We have developed a Python-based tool to assist observatory staff in identifying noise in data, which will help reduce their workload. In the future, we plan to create a scalable framework for processing, visualizing, and predicting geomagnetic data using Django, Python, and AI/ML technologies. Additionally, we have established a cost-effective data transfer system that enables reliable data collection and analysis from remote locations without imposing significant financial burdens, thereby benefiting organizations with limited budgets.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Director of CSIR-National Geophysical Research Institute (Hyderabad) for the support and permission to publish this work (NGRI/Lib/2025/Pub-45). The authors wish to thank Dr. Kusumita Arora for her constant encouragement and permission to carry out this research work.

Author contributions

VPK (V. Pavan Kumar), NPCS (N. Phani Chandrasekhar) and PSVK. (P. Sai Vijay Kumar) wrote the manuscript and reviewed the article, NPCS prepared figures.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Arora, K., Chandrashakhar Rao, K., Manjula, L., Suraj Kumar and Nagarajan, N.: The new magnetic observatory at Choutuppal, Telangana, India, J. Ind. Geophys. Union , Special Volume-2/ 2016 pp: 67-75, 2016.

Bracke, S. (Ed.).: INTERMAGNET Operations Committee and Executive Council, INTERMAGNET Technical Reference Manual, Version 5.2.0, https://tech-man.intermagnet.org/_/downloads/en/stable/pdf/,2025.

Curto, J. J. and Marsal, S.: Quality control of Ebro magnetic observatory using momentary values, Earth Planets Space, 59,655 1187– 1196, https://doi.org/10.1186/BF03352066, 2007.

Clarke,E., Baillie, O., Sarah J. Reay, and Chris W. Turbitt.: A method for the near real-time production of quasi-definitive magnetic observatory data, Earth Planets Space, 65, 1363–1374, https://doi.org/10.5047/eps.2013.10.001,2013.

Chulliat, A. & Chambodut, A.: Bureau Central de Magnétisme Terrestre Strategic Plan 2014– 2018 1–23 (Bureau Central de Magnétisme Terrestre), 2014.

Chandrasekhar, N.P, P.Sai Vijay Kumar, Kusumita Arora, KCS. Rao, Leonid Rakhlin, Sergey Tymoshyn, Laszlo Merenyi, Ansuha, CH, Jayashree Bulusu, Sergey Khomutov.: One second scalar and vector measurements at the low-latitude Choutuupal Observatory (India), Copernicus publications, 6, 547-560, https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-6-547-2017, 2017.

Da Silva, M. V., Pinheiro, K. J., Ohlert, A., and Matzka, J.: Analysis of geomagnetic observatory data and detection of geomagnetic jerks with the MOSFiT software package, Geosci. Instrum. Method. Data Syst., 12, 271–283, https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-12-271-2023, 2023.

Dwivedi, D and Chandrasekhar, N. P.: Geomagnetic field variations due to solar tides at the Indian Observatories, Earth, Planets and Space, 76:61, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-024-01996-8, 2024.

Edara,A., and Arora, K.: Annual/Seasonal Variation in Induction Vectors at Different Geological Locations in an Indian Sector, Pure and Applied Geophysics, Volume 180, pages 3527–3543, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-023-03333-8, 2023.Gvishiani, A., Soloviev, A., Krasnoperov, R. & Lukianova, R.: Automated hardware and software system for monitoring the Earth's magnetic environment. Data Sci. J. 15(18), 1–24, http://dx.doi.org/10.5334/dsj-2016-018, 2016.

He, Z., Hu, X., Teng, Y. et al.: Data agreement analysis and correction of comparative geomagnetic vector observations. Earth Planets Space 74, 29, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-022-01583-9, 2022.

Khomutov, S.Y., Mandrikova, O.V., Budilova, E.A., Arora, K., Manjula, L.: Noise in raw data from magnetic observatories. Geosci.Instrum. Methods Data Syst. 6, 329–343, https://doi.org/10.5194/gi-6-329-2017, 2017.

488 Lingala, M.; Nelapatla, P.C.; Arora, K.: Evaluating the Effect of Noise from Traffic on HYB
489 Magnetic Observatory Data during COVID-19 Lockdown. Appl. Sci. 2022, 12, 2730,
490 https://doi.org/10.3390/app12052730, 2022.

491 Matzka, J., Chulliat, A., Mandea, M., Finlay, C., and Qamili, E.: Geomagnetic observations for
492 main field studies: from ground to space, Space Sci. Rev., 155, 29–
493 64,https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9693-4, 2010.

494 Macmillan, S. and Olsen, N.: Observatory data and the Swarm mission, Earth Planets Space,
495 65, 1355–1362, https://doi.org/10.5047/eps.2013.07.011, 2013.

496 Peltier, A. and Chulliat, A.: On the feasibility of promptly producing quasi-definitive magnetic
497 observatory data, Earth Planets Space, 62(2), https://doi.org/10.5047/eps.2010.02.002, 2010.

498 Potharaju SVK, Nelapatla P.C.: Development of a robust real-time synchronized data
499 transmission technique from a magnetic observatory to an INTERMAGNET GIN. Scientific
500 Reports, 12, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-13820-y, 2022.

501 Reda, J. & Neska, M.: The one second data collection system in Polish geomagnetic
502 observatories. J. Ind. Geophys. Union Spec. 2, 62–66, 2016.

503 Torta, J. M. et al.: An example of operation for a partly manned Antarctic Geomagnetic
504 Observatory and the development of a radio link for data transmission. Ann. Geophys. 52(1),
505 45–56, https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-4572, 2009.

506 Thomson, A. W. P. (ed.).: Geomagnetism Review, 1–34 (British Geological Survey, 2015),
507 2014.

508 Zhang, S., Changhua Fu, Yufei He, Dongmei Yang, Qi Li, Xudong Zhao and Jianjun Wang.:
509 Quality Control of Observation Data by the Geomagnetic Network of China, Data Science
510 Journal, 15: 15, pp. 1–12, http://dx.doi.org/10.5334/dsj-2016-015, 2016.

512 Zhang, S, Fu, C, Zhao, X, Zhang, X, He, Y, Li, Q, Chen, J, Wang, J and Zhao, Q.: Strategies
513 in the Quality Assurance of Geomagnetic Observation Data in China. Data Science
514 Journal, 23: 9, pp. 1–11, https://doi.org/10.5334/dsj-2024-009, 2024.