

Mapping benthic marine habitats featuring coralligenous bioconstructions: a new approach to support geobiological research

Giuseppe Maruca^{1*}, Mara Cipriani^{1*}, Rocco Dominici¹, Gianpietro Imbrogno¹, Giovanni Vespasiano¹, Carmine Apollaro¹, Francesco Perri¹, Fabio Bruno², Antonio Lagudi², Umberto Severino², Valentina A. Bracchi³, Daniela Basso³, Emilio Cellini⁴, Fabrizio Mauri⁴, Antonietta Rosso⁵, Rossana Sanfilippo⁵, Adriano Guido¹.

¹Department of Biology, Ecology and Earth Sciences, University of Calabria, 87036, Rende, Italy;

²Department of Mechanical, Energy and Management Engineering, University of Calabria, 87036, Rende, Italy;

³Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Milano–Bicocca, 20126, Milan, Italy;

⁴Regional Agency for the Environment (ARPACAL), Regional Marine Strategy Centre (CRSM), 8890, Crotone Italy.

⁵Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences, University of Catania, 95129, Catania, Italy.

Correspondence to: Giuseppe Maruca (giuseppe.maruca@unical.it); Mara Cipriani (mara.cipriani@unical.it)

Abstract. Seabed mapping represents a very useful tool for seascape characterization and benthic habitat study, and requires advanced technologies for acquiring, processing and interpreting remote data. Particularly, acoustic instruments, such as high-resolution swath bathymetry sounder (*i.e.*, Multibeam Echosounder: MBES), allows to recognize, identify and map the extension of benthic habitats without applying invasive mechanical procedures. Bathymetry and backscatter (BS) data are crucial to perform modern habitat mapping. Although the acquisition and processing of bathymetric data follows standardized procedure (*e.g.*, Hydrographic Organization guidelines), and recent studies proposed recommendation for backscatter acquisition and processing, a broadly validated methodological approach, integrating geomorphometric analysis for benthic habitat mapping, is still lacking. In this work, a new approach for benthic habitat mapping, with focus on coralligenous bioconstructions, was developed using the open-source software QGIS. This methodology, tested within the Isola Capo Rizzuto Marine Protected Area (Calabria, Italy), is designed to be freely reproducible by researchers working in the field of marine ecosystem monitoring and conservation. Through the proposed mapping procedure, it is possible to: i) identify benthic habitats on selected study areas by combining bathymetry and BS data with geomorphological indices performed in QGIS; ii) quantitatively define the 2D and 3D distribution of coralligenous bioconstructions in terms of surface covered, thickness and volume. Moreover, the statistical analysis of quantitative morphometric data allowed for comparison of geometric characteristics of different coralligenous morphotypes. The obtained results, combined with improvement of minimally invasive sampling and geobiological–geochemical characterization, can contribute to the development of protocols aimed at monitoring marine bioconstructed ecosystems, many of which protected by national and international regulations due to their importance for Mediterranean biodiversity preservation, and plan actions for their protection and persistence.

1 Introduction

Bioconstructions are geobiological bodies formed in situ by growth of skeletonised organisms and represent habitats that host a great variety of benthic species. They experience a wide array of dynamic phenomena, resulting from the balance between the action of habitat builders, dwelling organisms and bioeroders over decadal to millennial timescale. Along

the Mediterranean continental shelf, the most conspicuous bioconstructed habitats are represented by coralligenous build-ups (Bracchi et al., 2015, 2017, 2022; Basso et al., 2022; Cipriani et al., 2023, 2024), vermetid reefs (Picone and Chemello, 2023), sabellariid build-ups (Sanfilippo et al., 2019, 2022; Deias et al., 2023) and polychaetes–bryozoan bioconstructions (Guido et al., 2013, 2016, 2017a, b, 2019a, b, 2022), whereas cold–water corals occur in deeper settings (Rueda et al. 2019, Foglini et al., 2019). Coralligenous is known as a biocenosis complex consisting of a hard biogenic substrate primarily generated by the superimposition of calcareous red algae able to form 3D structures, supporting a high biodiversity (e.g., Ballesteros, 2006; Bracchi et al., 2022; Rosso et al., 2023; Sciuto et al., 2023; Donato et al., 2024). Although recent studies highlighted some terminological uncertainty in the definition of coralligenous habitat (e.g., Jardim et al., 2025 and references therein), within the geobiological literature the term coralligenous bioconstructions is widely and consistently adopted to indicate these biodiversity-rich, three-dimensional biogenic structures characterized by several layers of encrusting coralline algae (e.g., Ingrosso et al., 2018; Bracchi et al., 2017, 2022; Basso et al., 2022; Cipriani et al., 2023, 2024; Ferrigno et al., 2024).

Pérès and Picard (1964) and Pérès (1982) identified Coralligenous as the ecological climax stage for the Mediterranean circalittoral zone, with some bioconstructions also occurring in dim–light very shallow settings (Ballesteros, 2006; Bracchi et al., 2016; Basso et al., 2022). Coralligenous produces various morphotypes on the seafloor and plays a key role in the formation and transformation of seascape over geological time (Bracchi et al., 2017; Marchese et al., 2020). Architecture and morphology are mainly influenced by biological carbonate production, that responds to different factors, like physiography, oceanography, terrigenous supply and climate (Schlager, 1991, 1993; Betzler et al., 1997; Bracchi et al., 2017). Based upon the nature of the substrates, coralligenous morphotypes have been categorized in two main groups: i) banks, flat frameworks mainly built on horizontal substrata and, and ii) rims, structures on submarine vertical cliffs or close to the entrance of submarine caves (Pérès & Picard, 1964; Laborel, 1987; Ballesteros, 2006; Bracchi et al., 2017; Marchese et al., 2020; Gerovasileiou & Bianchi, 2021). Moreover, Bracchi et al. (2017) introduced a new classification for coralligenous morphotypes on sub–horizontal substrate using a shape geometry descriptor, in order to obtain a more objective description of these morphologies, classified in: i) tabular banks, *i.e.*, large tabular structures with a significant lateral continuity that completely cover the seafloor, forming an extensive habitat; ii) discrete reliefs, *i.e.*, smaller, distinct structures often arranged in clusters that do not fully cover the seafloor, leaving patches of sediment between them; and iii) hybrid banks, a category grouping morphologies intermediate between tabular banks and discrete reliefs. These structures can coalesce into a larger formation, resembling tabular banks, while still maintain individual characteristics. Hybrid banks often occur alongside other habitats, and their distribution is influenced by local sediment and hydrodynamic conditions (Bracchi et al., 2017).

Although coralligenous bioconstructions occur along almost the entire Mediterranean continental shelf, they have been mapped only in few areas and their distribution is still underestimated (De Falco et al 2010, 2022; Innangi et al 2024). In addition, as known hot spot of biodiversity, along with its low accretion rate of 0.06–0.27 mm/yr and its sensitivity to natural and anthropogenic impacts (Di Geronimo et al., 2001; Bertolino et al., 2014; Basso et al., 2022; Cipriani et al., 2023, 2024), Coralligenous is acknowledged as a priority habitat for protection under the EU Habitats Directive, is part of the Natura 2000 network (92/43/CE), and is subject to specific conservation plans within the framework of the Barcelona Convention (UNEP–MAP–RAC/SPA, 2008; UNEP–MAP–RAC/SPA, 2017). Moreover, together with other vulnerable settings (e.g., Cold–Water Corals), Coralligenous is monitored under the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD, EC, 2008; SNPA, 2024). As a result, non–destructive methods have been developed to assess the health status and ecological quality of this habitat (Bracchi et al., 2022). For all these reasons, seabed mapping can provide a very useful tool for seascape characterization and mapping of Coralligenous and other vulnerable habitats (Chiocci et al.,

2021). In particular, acoustic instruments, such as high-resolution swath bathymetry sounder, side scan sonar and acoustic profiling, enable the quick detection and identification of benthic habitats and thus mapping their extension without any direct contact that might represent a threat for these vulnerable ecosystems (Bracchi et al., 2017; Chiocci et al., 2021). Several studies have demonstrated that such technologies, especially when combined with backscatter (BS) data and geometric descriptors, significantly enhance the study of seafloor properties and the discrimination of benthic habitats, such as coral reefs, improving the understanding of their spatial distribution and ecological significance (Fonseca and Mayer, 2007, Lecours et al., 2015; Brown et al., 2012; Lamarche and Lurton, 2018; Abdullah et al., 2024). In this work, a semi-automated GIS-based approach for benthic habitat mapping was proposed and tested in shallow coastal waters, off Capo Bianco, within the Isola Capo Rizzuto Marine Protected Area (Crotone, Southern Italy). The method combines high-resolution bathymetric and BS data obtained through MBES surveys and geomorphological and geomorphometric indices in order to develop innovative approaches for eco-geomorphological and geobiological characterisation of the seafloor. The benthic habitat mapping here proposed has proven capable not only of identifying marine bioconstructions, but also of quantitatively defining their spatial and three-dimensional distribution in terms of area, volume and height relative to the substrate from which they arise. For these reasons, the procedure represents a powerful tool for accurately delineate the extension of the bioconstructions and evaluate their evolution over time in response to natural and/or anthropogenic changes. Furthermore, the combination of this mapping approach with minimally invasive sampling systems and geobiological-geochemical characterization of marine bioconstructions, may represent a potent tool for monitoring these delicate habitats.

2 Methodological approach

High-resolution acoustic data of the study area offshore Capo Bianco were collected during several MBES surveys (Fig. 1) performed between February and July 2024 as part of the project “Tech4You PP2.3.1: Development of tools and applications for integrated marine communities and substrates monitoring; Action 1: Development of hardware and software systems for three-dimensional detection, sampling and mapping of underwater environments”, in implementation to the previous bathymetric and backscatter data acquisition and elaboration of CRSM-ARPACAL. The approach proposed for benthic habitat mapping and defining of spatial and three-dimensional distribution of coralligenous bioconstruction is shown in Figure 2. In particular, mapping operations were conducted using QGIS 3.34.9 “Prizren”. The most representative morphological indices, represented by slope and seafloor roughness, were extracted from the Digital Terrain Model (DTM). Due to the large amount of data resulting from the need to obtain a high-resolution mapping of benthic habitats, backscatter and bathymetry values, together with geomorphological-geomorphometric indices, were imported and queried into PostgreSQL, an open-source and free relational database management system (RDBMS) capable of executing queries in SQL language.




Figure 1: Study area off Capo Bianco (Calabria, Italy) and location of the MBES tracks and ROV–video transect (basemap from Esri World Imagery).




Figure 2: Conceptual model of the workflow for the development of the proposed benthic habitat mapping approach.

120 Once the spatial extension and distribution of the benthic habitat have been defined by combination of bathymetric,
121 backscatter, slope and seafloor roughness data, the extraction of coralligenous build-ups was performed using the
122 Topographic Position Index (TPI), according to Marchese et al. (2020). Moreover, area, Shape Index (SI), maximum
123 diameter (Dmax) thickness and volume were calculated for each extracted polygon. Finally, the benthic habitat mapping
124 model was ground-truthed by visual analysis of ROV-video transect performed along specific paths ~~identified in the~~
125 within the study area. The underwater video surveys were obtained using a VideoRay Defender equipped with a functional
126 prototype of the optical module dedicated to mapping, comprising a stereo-camera, a high-resolution camera and a
127 lightning system (Severino et al., 2023). Both cameras have been meticulously calibrated to correct for optical distortions,
128 ensuring accurate and reliable data acquisition. The selected cameras were the GoPro Hero 9 Black, serving as the high-
129 resolution camera, and the Stereolabs ZED2i, serving as the stereo camera. The GoPro Hero 9 Black is a small-sized
130 action camera with a 26.3 MP CMOS sensor capable of acquiring videos at a resolution of 5120×2880 at 30 fps, digital
131 stabilization, and a horizontal field of view up to 128°. The ZED2i is a stereo camera with dual 4 MP sensors of 2 μm
132 pixel size, a depth range between 0.3 m to 20 m, capable of acquiring video with a resolution of 2208×1242 at 15 fps,
133 and a horizontal field of view of 110°. The stereo-camera communicates with the surface control unit by means of a
134 single-board microcomputer, a NVIDIA Jetson Nano, which supports the CUDA architecture for parallel elaboration.
135 The GoPro Hero 9 Black features Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) and Wi-Fi communication capabilities. The acquisition
136 parameters for both cameras can be configured via the enclosure using a custom user interface accessible on the surface
137 computer.

138 **2.1 Bathymetric and backscatter data**

139 MBES surveys have been carried out using a pole-mounted, Norbit iWBMS Long Range Turnkey Multibeam Sonar
140 System integrated with GNSS/INS (Applanix OceanMaster), operating with Real Time Kinematic (RTK) corrections,
141 ensuring high positioning accuracy during the surveys. Data were collected in 59 tracks with a swath overlap of 20–40 %
142 performed at an average speed of 4.5 knots. A total of three sound velocity profiles per day were collected before starting
143 the acquisition using a Sound Velocity Profiler–Valeport miniSVP. Considering the absence of freshwater inputs and the
144 relative stability of the water column across the depth range, this was deemed sufficient to ensure reliable sound speed
145 correction.

146 The MBES survey provided both bathymetry and BS data. The processing of MBES bathymetric data was performed
147 using QPS Qimera and included corrections for tide, heading, heave, pitch and roll. The correction of sound velocity was
148 carried out using profiles obtained with the Valeport miniSVP. Subsequently, the soundings underwent manual cleaning
149 to remove spikes. The bathymetric dataset was exported as a 32-bit raster file with a cell size of 0.05 m, obtained through
150 interpolation of soundings using a CUBE surface model.

151 Backscatter data were processed using QPS Fledermaus, based on time series data and applying standard corrections for
152 sonar configuration (e.g., source level, beam pattern, receiver gain) and environmental factors (e.g., absorption, slant
153 range, footprint geometry). The processing was performed according to the general principles outlined in the Backscatter
154 Working Group guidelines (Lurton et al., 2015), which provide detailed recommendations for the acquisition, correction,
155 calibration and processing of MBES-backscatter data. The final output, exported as an 8-bit raster file with a 0.05m cell
156 size, was used to extract morphological and acoustic patterns of the seafloor.

157 2.2 Geomorphological–geomorphometric indices

158 Geomorphologic and geomorphometric indices were obtained using SAGA (System for Automated Geoscientific
159 Analysis; Conrad et al., 2015) Next Gen Provider and GDAL plugins. In particular, the slope, expressed in degrees, was
160 calculated using the dedicated function implemented in the GDAL plugin using a ratio of vertical units to horizontal of
161 1.0 and applying the Zevenbergen–Thorne formula instead of the Horn’s one. Indeed, the Zevenbergen–Thorne method
162 (1987), that considers a second–order finite difference, is more dedicated to geomorphological applications as it uses a
163 particular weighting scheme that emphasizes changes in curvature and terrain shape. Seabed roughness was assessed
164 using the Terrain Roughness Index (TRI), which provides a quantitative measure of terrain heterogeneity (Riley et al.,
165 1999). In particular, TRI values close to 0 indicate fairly regular and uniform surfaces, moderate TRI values correspond
166 to more pronounced irregularities, while high TRI values identify rugged morphologies and/or complex structures on the
167 seafloor. TRI was calculated using SAGA module “Terrain Roughness Index” with the following settings: circle as search
168 mode; a search radius of 0.5 m; gaussian weighting function: a value of 3.00 for the power; a bandwidth of 75.00. The
169 values of these parameters were selected through a trial-and-error method in order to best highlight the heterogeneity of
170 the seabed.

171 2.3 Topographic Position Index

172 The Topographic Position Index (TPI) was calculated at the finest possible scale (min radius: 1.00 m; max radius: 5.00
173 m) according to the DTM resolution and using a Power of 3.00 and a Bandwidth of 150.00. TPI is a morphometric
174 parameter based on neighbouring areas useful in DTM analysis (Wilson and Gallant, 2000). Specifically, positive TPI
175 values indicate areas that are higher than the average of their surroundings, TPI values near zero correspond to flat areas
176 or region with a constant slope, while negative TPI values represent areas lower than their surroundings. In order to
177 facilitate the extraction of coralligenous build–ups from surrounding seafloor and reduce the occurrence of artifact, a TPI
178 threshold of 0.2 was used and all the grid cells below this value were not considered as coralligenous bioconstructions.
179 TPI scale (1.00–5.00 m) and value (0.2) were chosen through a trial-and-error approach in order to preserve the high
180 resolution of the extraction which is crucial for accurate volume computation.

181 2.4 DTM filtering

182 TPI parameters extracted the distribution of the coralligenous build–ups with high–resolution in terms of perimeter
183 boundary. The thickness calculation for each coralligenous build–up was developed by the creation of a “reference
184 surface” (without build–ups) using the SAGA “DTM Filter (Slope–Based)” tool implemented in QGIS 3.34.9. This tool
185 uses concept as described by Vosselman (2000) and can be used to filter a DTM, categorizing its cell into ground and
186 non–ground (object) cell. A cell is considered ground if there is no other cell within the kernel radius where the height
187 difference exceeds the allowed maximum terrain slope at the distance between the two cells. The thickness estimation of
188 each coralligenous build–up was obtained by subtracting the average depth of each polygon extracted using TPI from the
189 average depth value of the reference surface at that specific zone.

190 After estimating the height of each build–up relative to the seabed on which it developed, the Shape Index (SI–McGarigal
191 et al., 1995) was calculated using the module “Polygon Shape Indices” of SAGA in order to describe a seafloor landscape
192 characterized by distinct Coralligenous morphotypes. Finally, covered surface and volume of each polygon were
193 calculated using vector field operation implemented into QGIS.

194 3 Geological setting

195 The study area, located offshore Capo Bianco (Isola Capo Rizzuto, Calabria, Italy), belongs to the Crotona Basin (CB)
196 (Fig. 3), the widest Neogene basin of the Calabria region, partly exposed along the Ionian coast and in part documented
197 offshore. It represents a segment of the Ionian fore arc basin on the inner portion of the Calabrian accretionary wedge
198 (Cavazza et al., 1997; Bonardi et al., 2001; Minelli and Faccenna, 2010). The basin infill is structured into several distinct
199 tectono–stratigraphic sequences, which reflect an extensional to transtensional tectonic regime, occasionally interrupted
200 by transpressional to compressional events (Malinverno and Ryan, 1986; Faccenna et al., 2001; Reitz and Seeber, 2012;
201 Zecchin et al., 2012; Massari and Prosser, 2013; Milia and Torrente, 2014).

202 Since the mid–Pleistocene, a significant uplift (0.70–1.25 m/ky; Zecchin et al., 2004), , combined with glacio–eustatic sea
203 level fluctuations, led to the formation in the Crotona Peninsula of five orders of marine terraces (Palmentola et al., 1990;
204 Westaway, 1993; Westaway and Bridgland, 2007; Santoro et al., 2009; Faccenna et al., 2011; Bracchi et al., 2014;
205 Santagati et al., 2024), which unconformably overlie the Piacenzian–Calabrian marly clays of the Cutro Formation
206 (Zecchin et al., 2004).

207 The Cutro Terrace (1st order terrace), ascribed to MIS 7 by Zecchin et al. (2011), is a mixed marine to continental terrace,
208 consisting of the products of carbonate (algal build-ups and biocalcarene passing into shoreface and foreshore deposits)
209 to siliciclastic (shoreface, fluvial channel fill, lagoon–estuarine and lacustrine deposits) sequences (Zecchin et al., 2011).
210 The 2nd order (MIS 5e), represented by the Campolongo–La Mazzotta terrace, is characterized by bioclastic and
211 siliciclastic sandstones, with local bioclastic deposits and algal patch reefs (Maunz and Hassler, 2000, Zecchin et al.,
212 2011).

213 The Le Castella–Capo Cimiti terrace (3rd order terrace), probably associated to the MIS 5c (Maunz and Hassler, 2000),
214 shows extensive algal reefs and shoreface deposits, with elevations variation due to normal fault displacement (Zecchin
215 et al., 2004; Nalin et al., 2012).

216 The Capo Colonna marine terrace (4th order terrace), correlated to MIS 5.3 (Palmentola et al., 1990; Zecchin et al., 2004,
217 2009), or MIS 5.1 (ca 80 ka; Gliozzi 1987; Belluomini et al., 1988; Nalin et al., 2006; Nalin & Massari, 2009), consists
218 of a planar surface with a sedimentary cover overlaid by a wedge of colluvium tapering (Bracchi et al., 2014).

219 The Le Castella marine terrace (5th order terrace) records an unconformity–bounded transgressive–regressive cycle (Nalin
220 et al., 2007; Nalin & Massari, 2009; Zecchin et al., 2010; Bracchi et al., 2014; Bracchi et al., 2016), with two different
221 facies for coralline algal build-ups and associated bioclastic deposits in the lower portion (Zecchin et al., 2004, 2011).

222 The age of these deposits remains debated, as they have been correlated with MIS 5.3 (Gliozzi, 1987), MIS 5.1
223 (Palmentola et al., 1990) and MIS 3 (Zecchin et al., 2004; Maunz & Hassler, 2000; Santagati et al., 2024).

224 The marine terraces exposed in emerged portion near the study area demonstrated extensive carbonate production due to
225 the development of algal bioconstruction throughout the Late Pleistocene. This production also appears to currently affect
226 the seafloor. However, although the onshore portion of the CB has been well studied, its offshore extension is still less
227 known (Pepe et al., 2010). Nevertheless, data from the MaGIC Project related to Sheet 39 “Crotona” covered a vast area
228 extending from the Neto Submarine Canyon to the Capo Rizzuto Swell. In this section, the continental shelf reaches up
229 to 7 km wide, with the shelf break located at depths of 80–120 m. The slope encompasses the southern portion of the Neto
230 Canyon headwall and the Esaro Canyon along with its tributaries. The average continental slope gradient is less than 5°
231 and is characterised by an undulating morphology including the Luna and the Capo Rizzuto Swell. The southern section
232 of the sheet covers the offshore extension of the Crotona forearc basin (Chiocci et al., 2021). This work aims to enhance
233 the understanding of the Crotona Basin offshore features, with focus on underwater bioconstructed habitats.

234




Figure 3: Conflated geological map of the Crotone peninsula, with the indication of the five order terraces (modified from Bracchi et al., 2014), and physiographic domains identified offshore the area in the frame of the MaGIC Project (modified from Chiocci et al., 2021).

4 Results

4.1 Morpho-acoustic characteristics of the seafloor

The comparison between bathymetric (Fig. 4A) and backscatter (Fig. 4B) data with those related to slope (Fig. 4C) and seafloor roughness (Fig. 4D) allowed for the definition of the morphological and morpho-acoustic characteristics of the study area off Capo Bianco (Calabria, Italy) and the identification of the benthic habitats. In particular, bathymetric data revealed a seafloor with depths ranging from -7.3 m to -49.5 m (Fig. 4A). The transition towards the deeper areas is not gradual but shows an evident break in slope (starting from about -15m depth), especially in the central zone of the study area. The shallower portion is characterized by widespread irregularities, while the deeper areas appear generally more regular, with less pronounced variations. Slope analysis (Fig. 4C) reveals maximum values (up to about 80°) along the break in slope, highlighting a steep and well-defined margin. The surrounding areas show lower slopes, with scattered peaks associated with seafloor irregularities. The Terrain Ruggedness Index showed: i) a higher roughness along the break in slope (where the highest TRI values were recorded) and in its immediate vicinity; ii) the presence of scattered roughness associated with irregularities on the seafloor (Fig. 4D).




Figure 4: Geomorphological characters of the study area expressed through processed bathymetric (A), backscatter (B) data and geomorphometric indices, like slope (C) and Terrain Roughness Index (D).

Combining bathymetric and backscatter (Fig. 4B) data with slope and seafloor roughness values, different morpho-acoustic features were identified (Fig. 5):

- *Posidonia oceanica* meadows, characterized by an intermittent speckled fabric of moderate backscatter. *Posidonia* covers seabed areas characterized by low slopes and slight roughness, spanning a depth range from about -6 m to -25 m. In the depth range from -15 m to -25 m, analysis of ROV-video transects showed that *Posidonia* meadow forms a mosaic with the coralligenous habitat;
- banks of Coralligenous, characterized by a complex fabric of moderate to low backscatter. They covered areas characterized by moderate to high slopes and medium to high roughness, spanning a depth range from about -15 m to -25 m;
- discrete coralligenous build-ups surrounded by medium to coarse sediment and maerl are characterized by a dotted pattern of moderate backscatter. They covered areas characterized by low slopes and medium roughness and occupy the area between the end of the banks and the final depth of the MBES survey, at approximately -40 m depth;
- fine to medium sediment, characterized by homogeneous pattern of medium to high backscatter. It covers scattered portions throughout the study area at various depths and is characterized by very low TRI values.






Bathymetry -7.33  -49.51 m. (b.s.l.)	Backscatter High  Low	Seabed image (ROV–video transects)	Seabed Description
			<i>Posidonia oceanica</i> developing on sub-spherical rocky blocks
			Banks of Coralligenous partly covered with <i>Posidonia Oceanica</i>
			Discrete coralligenous build-ups surrounded by medium to coarse sediment and maerl
			Fine to medium sediment

Figure 5: Morpho–acoustic features identified by bathymetric and BS data, together with ROV videos interpretation. White scale bar is 20 m.

The combination of the various morpho–acoustic features enabled the identification of four main benthic habitats (Fig. 6): i) *Posidonia oceanica* meadows; ii) mosaic of coralligenous and *Posidonia*; iii) Coralligenous *sensu stricto* (i.e., bioconstructions that are not spatially intermixed with *Posidonia oceanica*); iv) fine to medium sediment.

The *Posidonia* habitat, testified by its typical BS signal (intermittent speckled fabric of moderate backscatter), dominate in shallow areas (down to about -15 m depth), where it primarily colonizes rocky substrate. In this area, ROV imagery and bathymetric data also highlight the occurrence of sub-spherical rocky blocks on the seabed, often surrounded by *Posidonia oceanica* (Fig. 5).

Between -15 m and -25 m, the *Posidonia* backscatter signal gradually attenuates and coralligenous bioconstructions start to be discernible. This transitional belt, that occupies about 0.37 km², was classified as a mosaic of Coralligenous and *Posidonia oceanica*. Visual analysis of ROV-video transects, used as ground-truth, indicates that in this zone bioconstructions, mainly belonging to the banks morphotype, develop on a hard substrate that marks the widespread break in slope throughout the study area.

Below -25 m, *Posidonia* is no longer detected and the predominant benthic habitat is represented by Coralligenous *sensu stricto*. These bioconstructions, often associated with fine to medium sediment and maerl, predominantly belong to the discrete reliefs morphotype and tend to align sub-parallel to the shoreline.




Figure 6: Mapping model of the underwater benthic habitats in the study area off Capo Bianco (Calabria, Italy). Note, in the blue and purple boxes, two magnifications of representative areas of the model where coralligenous bioconstructions and rocky blocks on the seabed are depicted in 2.5D.

4.2 Extraction of coralligenous build-ups

The model extracted 12384 polygons, but only 9211 positive morphologies were finally related to coralligenous build-ups considering the hillshade values and validation from ROV-video transects collected within the study area (Fig. 7A). This means that about 25 % of the polygons extracted using the TPI were found to be artifacts after the re-classification and the polygonization of resulting raster. According to Marchese et al. (2020), the artifacts may be due to: i) occurrence of *Posidonia oceanica* (Innangi et al., 2015) (Fig. 8A); ii) bad roll correction (Fig. 8C); iii) artifacts concentration on DTM boundaries (Fig.8E). While artifacts of types ii) and iii) can be reduced by performing more accurate MBES surveys (*i.e.*, larger coverage, greater overlapping, and narrower swath width), those related to *Posidonia oceanica* represent real morphological features that cannot be removed by improving survey quality.

The identification of artifacts was based on specific pattern inconsistent with expected Coralligenous morphologies, and their removal was carried out manually as part of the data cleaning process (Fig. 8B, D, F). The time required for the cleaning phase strongly depends on the quality of the survey execution, the geomorphological and ecological complexity of the study area and the experience of the operator performing the cleaning. These factors can significantly influence the extent and efficiency of manual artifact removal.


Regarding the distinction between coralligenous bioconstructions and *Posidonia oceanica* in the mosaic area, the separation was primarily based on the characteristics of the backscatter signal. Specifically, as discussed previously,

312 *Posidonia* is associated with a moderate, speckled acoustic texture, while coralligenous bioconstructions exhibit a more
 313 complex and spatially structured acoustic signature. These interpretations were supported by ROV video transects, which
 314 help to validate the differentiation.

316 **4.3 Shape index, thickness, surface and volume of coralligenous build-ups**

317 Shape Index (SI) values allowed to distinguish between banks (tabular bank *sensu* Bracchi et al., 2016; $SI \leq 2$) and discrete
 318 reliefs (discrete reliefs and hybrid banks *sensu* Bracchi et al., 2016; $SI > 2$) (Fig. 7B). Following this approach, it was
 319 possible to identify 7001 polygons belonging to the morphotype of the banks and 2210 classified as discrete reliefs. As
 320 shown in Table 1, banks have a greater average thickness (Fig. 7C) compared to discrete reliefs (0.65 m vs 0.49 m,
 321 respectively) and cover an area of 155677 m², which represents about 5.2 % of the seabed in the study area. In contrast,
 322 discrete reliefs cover only 2.6 % of the seafloor, with a surface area of 69830 m². The volume (Fig. 7D) occupied by
 323 discrete reliefs (40806 m³) is also significantly lower than that of the banks (116094 m³). This data is consistent with the
 324 fact that discrete reliefs are characterized by smaller extent and thickness compared to the banks.

325



326
 327 **Figure 7: (A)** Result of build-ups extraction using TPI. **(B)** Differentiation of coralligenous build-ups into discrete relief and banks
 328 based on the SI value. **(C)** Estimation of build-ups thickness. **(D)** Calculation of the volume for each coralligenous polygon.




Figure 8: Examples of artifacts identified during polygon extraction and their manual removal. (A) False positive caused by the presence of *Posidonia oceanica* and (B) the same area after removal; (C) artifact due to bad roll correction and (D) corrected version; (E) artifacts at the boundary of the DTM and (F) cleaned result.

Table 1: Classification of coralligenous polygons, based on SI values, and results in terms of area and volume.

Morphotype	Shape Index Values	Average Thickness (m)	Area (m ²)	Volume (m ³)
Banks	≤ 2	0.65	155677	116094
Discrete Reliefs	> 2	0.49	69830	40806

5 DISCUSSION

Acoustic techniques, such as high-resolution swath bathymetry sounder (including backscatter), side scan sonar and acoustic profiling are optimal tools for quickly recognize and identify the extension of benthic habitats on the seabed and map their distribution without mechanical collection of samples, which would damage this delicate ecosystem (Bracchi et al., 2017).

Traditionally, the segmentation of MBES data sets have been performed manually, despite the process might be inaccurate and subjective (Cutter et al., 2003; Bishop et al., 2012). Initial attempts at automation employed object-oriented methods using object-based image analysis (OBIA) or considered a comprehensive set of remote data to accurately characterize seabed landforms for documenting the extension of benthic habitat (*e.g.*, Lucieer and Lamarche, 2011; Ismail et al., 2015; Janowski et al., 2018; Fakiris et al., 2019). More recently, the growing availability of high-resolution MBES data has encouraged the application of deep learning approaches, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Fully Convolutional Neural Networks (FCNNs), which produce pixel-wise classifications in order to create semantically segmented maps. These methods have proven effective in identifying geomorphological features such as bedrock outcrops, pockmarks, submarine dune and ridges, offering high accuracy and repeatability (Arosio et al., 2023; Garone et

al., 2023). Additionally, 3D CNNs have been applied to automated denoising of MBES data, enhancing the efficiency of bathymetric data workflow (e.g., Stephens et al., 2020). Nonetheless, a universally accepted and standardized methodology for geomorphological classification of the seafloor is still lacking. Indeed, existing approaches remain highly case-specific, depending on the study area, data quality, and research objective. Moreover, relatively limited attention has been devoted to the morphological characterization of Coralligenous bioconstructions, despite their ecological relevance. Indeed, only a few studies have attempt to map these complex biogenic structures in detail. Bracchi et al. (2017) proposed a categorization of coralligenous morphotypes on sub-horizontal substrate based on integrated acoustic data and ground-truthing, defining new morphological classes such as tabular banks, hybrid banks and discrete reliefs across the Apulian shelf. Subsequently, Marchese et al. (2020) proposed a protocol that combines acoustic datasets and geomorphometric analysis, performed using ArcGIS™, in order to define the 2D and 3D complexity of coralligenous build-ups and to quantify how much carbonate is deposited. More recently, Varzi et al. (2022) produced a morpho-bathymetric map for the continental shelf offshore Marzamemi (Sicily, Italy) that contained quantitative description for the distribution and extent of coralligenous reefs. The approach proposed in this work, based on the workflow shown in Figure 2, represents the first attempt to define the benthic habitat in the Isola Capo Rizzuto Marine Protected Area and to quantify the extent and morphometric characteristics of coralligenous bioconstructions present therein using exclusively open-source software during post-processing phases.

5.1 Spatial distribution of benthic habitats and seafloor morphology

The benthic habitat distribution identified in the study area exhibits a clear spatial zonation, which appear to be influenced by both substrate characteristics and geomorphological features. In the shallowest sector (above -15m depth), *Posidonia oceanica* represent the prevalent benthic habitat. In the intermediate depth range (down to approximately -25m depth), a mosaic of *Posidonia* and coralligenous bioconstructions develops, indicating a transitional zone where environmental conditions allow the coexistence of seagrass and algal reefs.

Comparison between the morphological characteristics of the seabed with the alignment and elevation of the emerged marine terraces highlights the presence of a flat, laterally continuous submerged surface, as typically observed in relict marine terraces (e.g., Savini et al., 2021; Lebrec et al., 2022). This sub-horizontal platform is bounded seaward by a break in slope, located at approximately -15 m depth, interpreted as the outer margin of the terrace. Based on these evidences, the submerged surface can be correlated with the 5th order terrace exposed near Le Castella, characterized by a gently seaward-inclined surface and a morphological step interpreted as paleoclipf (Bracchi et al., 2016). The different orientation of the submerged scarp in the study area (NE-SW), compared to the emerged paleoclipf associated with Le Castella marine terrace (NW-SE to E-W), may be reasonably attributed to local coastal curvature and/or tectonic influences. The submersion of this portion of the 5th order terrace in the study area would be justified by the possible presence of a tectonic feature with extensional kinematics located approximately along the coastline, which shows a distinctly straight alignment with a N-S orientation. However, further investigations are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

The inner portion of the submerged surface is characterized by the presence of sub-spherical blocks, often colonized by *Posidonia oceanica*, which possibly result from gravitational processes affecting the adjacent 4th order marine terrace located upslope. This interpretation is supported by their rounded morphology, typically associated with detachment and downslope transport, and by the presence of scarps in the emerged portion of the study area, which could indicate past gravitational instability.

389 The outer portion and the edge of the submerged platform (down to approximately -25m) hosts several coralligenous
390 build-ups, predominantly belonging to banks morphotype. Similar spatial arrangements have been observed in submerged
391 terraces of southeastern Sicily (Varzi et al., 2022) and on wave-cut ravinement surfaces associated with fossil marine
392 terraces, such as the mid-Pleistocene Cutro terrace (Nalin et al., 2006) and the emerged 5th order terrace of Le Castella
393 (Bracchi et al., 2016).

394 In the deeper sector of the study area (below -25m depth), *Posidonia* is no longer present and the benthic assemblages are
395 composed by Coralligenous *sensu stricto* associated with fine to medium sediments and maerl. These bioconstructions
396 mainly belong to discrete reliefs morphotype and tend to follow a sub-parallel orientation relative to the shoreline, a
397 distribution pattern that appears associated with relatively pronounced seafloor structures (as revealed by ROV-video
398 transects). This spatial configuration suggests that environmental or geomorphological factors may influence the
399 development and positioning of build-ups. Particularly, two hypotheses are proposed to explain this pattern: i) the
400 influence of bottom currents and internal waves, which may promote the alignment of coralligenous bioconstructions, as
401 observed in mesophotic carbonate systems of the Maltese shelf by Bialik et al. (2024); ii) an overprint of the build-ups
402 onto inherited seabed morphologies, shaped by sea-level fluctuation and regional uplift during the Quaternary
403 glacial/interglacial cycles, as documented on submerged terraces offshore Marzamemi (SE Sicily) by Varzi et al. (2022).
404 However, further investigations, including in situ hydrodynamic and sediment transport measurements, are necessary to
405 validate these hypotheses.

406

407 5.2 TPI-based feature extraction

408 Coralligenous build-ups were treated as distinct features in both two- and three-dimensional spaces, with the aim of
409 using a geomorphometric parameters for their extraction from the seafloor. Variability of coralligenous morphotypes
410 (Bracchi et al., 2017) poses several challenges to their automated extraction from DTM. Since build-ups raise from the
411 surrounding seafloor, their detection could be performed by slope analysis. However, while slope proves effective for
412 accurately segmenting isolated small-scale features (Savini et al., 2014; Bargain et al., 2017), it struggles to incorporate
413 the inner areas of banks into the segmentation process. The high 3D complexity in these areas makes it challenging to
414 create a continuous polygon. On the other hand, geomorphometric parameters like the rugosity index (i.e., TRI; Riley et
415 al., 1999) are more successful in defining the overall distribution of bank morphotypes, but they fail to provide an accurate
416 estimation of the size of discrete reliefs. Therefore, as noted by Marchese et al. (2020), TPI offers a good compromise for
417 detecting coralligenous morphotypes. Indeed, it assesses the relative topographic position of a central point by calculating
418 the difference between its elevation and the average elevation within a predefined neighbourhood. In this work, the input
419 parameters for the calculation of the TPI have been refined in order to minimize the artifacts during the extraction process.
420 Specifically, the choice of a threshold value of 0.2 (lower than 0.3 used by Marchese et al., 2020), combined with higher
421 values of Power and Bandwidth compared to the default ones, has allowed for a 15% reduction in the artifact percentage
422 compared to Marchese et al. (2020). These adjustments have therefore significantly reduced the manual review time,
423 improving the automatization of the extraction process.

424 The threshold value adopted for the TPI analysis was defined through a trial-and-error procedure, as described in the
425 methodological section. In particular, threshold values lower than 0.2 increased the morphological adherence of the
426 extracted features to seabed forms, but at cost of a higher number of false positives (especially in areas covered by
427 *Posidonia oceanica*, where slight topographic variations were incorrectly interpreted as relevant morphotypes).
428 Conversely, threshold values higher than 0.2 reduced the occurrence of artifacts but led to the omission of low-relief

structures, thus compromising the completeness of mapping. In this work, a threshold value of 0.2 proved to be an effective compromise, ensuring a satisfactory balance between the accuracy of morphotype extraction and the minimization of false positive. This configuration allowed for the preservation of relevant coralligenous bioconstructions, including low-relief build-ups, while significantly limiting the occurrence of artifacts.

The proposed approach, although developed only for a specific coastal area, can be transferred to other regions, provided that adequate calibration is performed. The effectiveness of TPI-based extraction depends on several factors, and no universally applicable threshold value exists, as it must be adapted to the resolution and quality of bathymetric data, as well as to the site-specific geomorphological and geobiological variability. To date, no standardized procedure is available for determining the optimal threshold; however, its selection can be refined through iterative testing supported by ground-truth validation. Once the appropriate input parameter for TPI calculation (e.g., Power, Bandwidth, minimum and maximum radius) and a suitable threshold value are identified, the method allows for the extraction of morphologically distinct features, provided these are sufficiently expressed relative to the surrounding seafloor.

441

442 **5.3. Morphological development of coralligenous build-ups**

443 The quantitative morphometric data (*i.e.*, surface, thickness, volume, maximum diameter and shape indices), extracted
444 from the benthic habitat mapping model proposed in this work, were plotted in the scatterplots of Figure 9, providing new
445 insights into spatial distribution, morphotype variability and growth pattern of the coralligenous build-ups across the study
446 area.


447 Most polygons, representing aggregates of different coralligenous build-ups, are characterized by areas smaller than 200
448 m² and less than 1 m thick (Fig. 9A). However, discrete reliefs and banks display some differences in their distribution:
449 discrete reliefs tend to cluster in the lower part of the graph (smaller areas and lower thickness), whereas banks with
450 similar thickness generally exhibit larger areas on average.

451 The volume of the build-ups is strongly dependent on thickness, suggesting that vertical growth plays a key role in the
452 formation of these structures (Fig. 9B). However, discrete reliefs show a more irregular distribution, with a greater
453 dispersion of data ($R^2 = 0.35$). This trend suggests that volume increase depends not only on thickness but also on a
454 significant lateral growth component. Conversely, banks exhibit a more regular trend, with volume increasing
455 proportionally with thickness. The strong correlation between thickness and volume ($R^2 = 0.83$) aligns with a growth
456 pattern that is almost exclusively vertical for this morphotype.

457 The relationships between area and shape indices (SI) of coralligenous build-ups (Fig. 9C), despite a moderate data
458 dispersion, revealed a positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.61$), suggesting that more irregularly shaped bioconstructions (typically
459 associated with the morphotypes of banks) tend to cover larger areas. Moreover, banks also tend to have larger maximum
460 diameter (Dmax), as suggested by an R^2 value of 0.78 (Fig. 9D). However, the greater variability in area might reflect
461 higher spatial complexity in the distribution of these structures.

462 The relationship between depth and thickness of coralligenous bioconstructions, divided into banks (Fig. 9F) and discrete
463 reliefs (Fig. 9E), reveals that both morphotypes exhibit average decreasing thickness with increasing depth. However,
464 discrete reliefs show greater thickness variability, with higher dispersion of data at depths shallower than -25 m, whereas
465 for the banks, data distribution is more regular. The decrease in the thickness of bioconstructions with increasing depth
466 could be attributed to various causes, including changes in hydrodynamic energy, the characteristics of the substrate on
467 which the bioconstructions develop, or sedimentation conditions.

468 To date, no previous study has provided morphometric analysis of coralligenous build-ups based on quantitative extraction
 469 of 2D/3D parameters (e.g., area, thickness, volume, shape indices) from high-resolution MBES data. Therefore, a direct
 470 comparison of our results with other Mediterranean coralligenous fields is currently not possible. Nonetheless, several
 471 works have described the geomorphological variability of coralligenous morphotypes across the Mediterranean basin
 472 (e.g., Bracchi et al., 2015, 2017, 2022; Marchese et al., 2020). These studies recognize the coexistence of morphotypes
 473 such as banks and discrete reliefs, often occurring over short spatial scale and associated with different environmental
 474 conditions. The same spatial mixing of these morphotypes, which may be due to small-scale variations in substrate type,
 475 hydrodynamic regime, or inherited seabed features, which locally favour distinct growth mode despite spatial proximity
 476 (Bracchi et al., 2017; Marchese et al., 2020; Varzi et al., 2022), was also observed in our study area.
 477



478
 479 **Figure 9:** Scatterplot representing relationships between: area and thickness (A); thickness and volume (B); area and shape index (C);
 480 maximum diameter and shape index (D); thickness and depth for banks (E) and discrete relief (F). These quantitative geometric data
 481 were extracted by the benthic habitat mapping model proposed in this work. SI: shape index; Dmax: maximum diameter.

482 CONCLUSIONS

483 A new mapping approach starting from high-resolution acoustic data acquired through MBES surveys performed offshore
484 Capo Bianco (Isola Capo Rizzuto Marine Protected Area) was developed and presented here. The method represents a
485 step forward, as it builds on an integrated two foundational approaches in coralligenous habitat studies: the morphotyping
486 of Coralligenous based on the shape index, and their spatial and volumetric quantification.

487 The innovation of this work lies in the synthesis of these methodologies, which were applied and refined in a new study
488 area. Moreover, the approach, which integrates bathymetric and backscatter data with geomorphological and
489 geomorphometric indices, was performed using open-source software, providing a detailed workflow that can be freely
490 reproduced and adopted by organizations involved in research, monitoring and conservation of marine habitats.

491 The resulting model proved capable not only in identifying and differentiating the benthic habitats but also in providing
492 new quantitative information regarding the spatial distribution and 2D/3D geometric characteristics of the extracted
493 coralligenous build-ups. This innovative aspect, compared to the traditional mapping protocol, is crucial for the
494 quantification of the structural complexity of these bioconstructions. Moreover, this approach enables monitoring of
495 variations not only in terms of the habitat's areal extent, but also in terms of vertical development of Coralligenous relative
496 to the substrate from which build-ups form. Indeed, the quantitative geomorphometric data obtained from the mapping
497 model of Capo Bianco seafloor were analyzed, revealing significant insights into the covered surface, volume and
498 thickness of build-ups, as well as the relationships among these parameters. In particular, the results highlighted that the
499 discrete reliefs morphotype exhibit a much more pronounced lateral growth component compared to the banks. If
500 confirmed through an accurate geobiological characterization, these finding could provide important new insights about
501 the tempo and mode of the inception and development of these hard-biogenic substrates, crucial for the conservation of
502 Mediterranean biodiversity.

503 Author contributions

504 Conceptualization: G.M., A.G.; Methodology: G.M, A.G., G.I., F.M.; Formal analysis and investigation: G.M., M.C.,
505 G.I.; U.S.; F.M.; Writing – original draft preparation: G.M., M.C., G.V., F.P., A.L., E.C., R.S.; Writing – review and
506 editing: R.D., C.A., F.B., V.A.B., D.B., A.R., A.G.; Funding acquisition: A.G., F.B.; Resources: R.D., F.B., A.L., E.C.,
507 A.G.; Supervision: A.G.

508 Competing interests

509 The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

510 Acknowledgments

511 We would like to express our sincere gratitude to the Geobiology and Marine Laboratories of the DiBEST, University
512 of Calabria, for their invaluable support and contribution to this work.

513 Financial support

514 This work was funded by the Next Generation EU – Tech4You – “Technologies for climate change adaptation and quality
515 of life improvement – Tech4You”, Project “Development of tools and applications for integrated marine communities and
516 substrates monitoring”, PP 2.3.1 – Action 1 “Development of hardware and software systems for three-dimensional
517 detection, sampling and mapping of underwater environments”, CUP H23C22000370006. This work reflects only the

518 authors' views and opinions, neither the Ministry for University and Research nor the European Commission can be
519 considered responsible for them.

520

521 **Open Research**

522 The data sets needed to evaluate results and conclusion in this paper are available at
523 http://geocube.unical.it/gmaruca/Dataset_Benthic_Habitat_Mapping.zip (Maruca et al., 2025). The raw data used in this
524 study were acquired through MBES survey using a pole-mounted, Norbit WBMS Basic multibeam sonar system
525 integrated with GNSS/INS (Applanix OceanMaster). The processing of MBES bathymetric data was performed using
526 QPS Qimera (<https://qps.nl/qimera/>). Backscatter data processing was performed using QPS Fledermaus
527 (<https://qps.nl/fledermaus/>). Figures 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 were made with QGIS 3.34.9 “Prizren” software
528 (<https://qgis.org/project/overview/>). Figures 9 were generated using Microsoft Excel ([https://www.microsoft.com/it-](https://www.microsoft.com/it-it/microsoft-365)
529 [it/microsoft-365](https://www.microsoft.com/it-it/microsoft-365)). Data used to generate the figures are available upon request to the corresponding author.

530 **REFERENCES**

531 Abdullah, M. Z., Chuah, L.F., Zakariya, R., Syed, A., Rozaimi, C. H., Mahmud, S. M., Abdallah M. E., Bokhari, A.,
532 Muhammad, S. A. and Al-Shwaiman, H. A.: Evaluating climate change impacts on reef environments via multibeam
533 echosounder and Acoustic Doppler Current profiler technology. *Environmental Research*, Volume 252, Part 3, 118858,
534 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2024.118858>, 2024.

535

536 Arosio, R., Hobley, B., Wheeler, A. J., Sacchetti, F., Conti, L. A., Furey, T. and Lim, A.: Fully convolutional neural
537 networks applied to large-scale marine morphology mapping. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 10-1228967,
538 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1228867>, 2023.

539

540 Ballesteros, E.: Mediterranean Coralligenous Assemblages: a synthesis of present knowledge. *Oceanography and Marine*
541 *Biology, Annual Review*, 44, 123–195, 2006.

542

543 Basso, D., Bracchi, V. A., Bazzicalupo, P., Martini, M., Maspero, F. and Bavestrello, G.: Living coralligenous as geo-
544 historical structure built by coralline algae. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 10, 961632,
545 <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.961632>, 2022.

546

547 Bazzicalupo, P., Cipriani, M., Guido, A., Bracchi, V. A., Rosso, A. and Basso, D.: Calcareous nannoplankton inside
548 coralligenous build-ups: the case of Marzamemi (SE, Sicily). *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, 63 (1), 89–
549 99, <https://dx.doi.org/10.4435/BSPI.2024.09>, 2024.

550

551 Belluomini, G., Gliozzi, E., Ruggieri, G., Branca, M. and Delitala, L.: First dates on the terraces of the Cortone Peninsula
552 (Calabria, southern Italy). *Italian Journal of Geosciences*, 107 (1), 249–254, 1988.

553

554 Betzler, C., Brachert, T. C., Braga, J. C. and Martin, J. M.: Nearshore, temperate, carbonate depositional systems (lower
555 Tortonian, Agua Amarga Basin, southern Spain): Implications for carbonate sequence stratigraphy. *Sedimentary Geology*,
556 113, 27–53, 1977.

557

558 Bishop, M. P., James, L. A., Shroder, J. F. & Walsh, S. J.: Geospatial technologies and digital geomorphological mapping:
559 Concepts, issues and research. *Geomorphology*, 137, 5–26, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2011.06.027>, 2012.

560

561 Bonardi, G., Cavazza, W., Perrone, V. and Rossi, S.: Calabria–Peloritani terrane and northern Ionian Sea. In Vai, G. B.
562 & Martini, I. P. (eds.), *Anatomy of an Orogen: The Apennines and Adjacent Mediterranean Basins*, Kluwer Academic
563 Publishers (pp. 287–306), http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-9829-3_17, 2001.

564 Bracchi, V. A., Basso, D., Marchese, F., Corselli, C. and Savini, A.: Coralligenous morphotypes on subhorizontal
565 substrate: A new categorization. *Continental Shelf Research*, 144, 10–20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2017.06.005>,
566 2017.

567

568 Bracchi, V. A., Bazzicalupo, P., Fallati, L., Varzi, A. G., Savini, A., Negri, M. P., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, R., Guido, A.,
569 Bertolino, M., Costa, G., De Ponti, E., Leonardi, R., Muzzupappa, M., and Basso, D.: The Main Builders of Mediterranean
570 Coralligenous: 2D and 3D Quantitative Approaches for its Identification. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 10, 910522
571 <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.910522>, 2022.

572

573 Bracchi, V. A., Nalin, R. and Basso D.: Morpho-structural heterogeneity of shallow–water coralligenous in a Pleistocene
574 marine terrace (Le Castella, Italy). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 454, 101–112,
575 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2016.04.014>, 2016.

576

577 Bracchi, V. A., Nalin, R. and Basso, D.: Paleoeecology and dynamics of coralline–dominated facies during a Pleistocene
578 transgressive–regressive cycle (Capo Colonna marine terrace, Southern Italy). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
579 *Palaeoecology*, 414, 296–309, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2014.09.016>, 2014.

580

581 Bracchi, V. A., Savini, A., Marchese, F., Palamara, S., Basso, D. and Corselli C.: Coralligenous habitat in the
582 Mediterranean Sea: a geomorphological description from remote data. *Italian Journal Geosciences*, 134 (1), 32–40,
583 <https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2014.16>, 2015.

584

585 Brown, C. J., Sameoto, J. A., & Smith, S. J.: Multiple methods, maps, and management applications: Purpose made
586 seafloor maps in support of ocean management. *Journal of Sea Research*, 72, 1–13,
587 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2012.04.009>, 2012.

588

589 Cavazza, W., Blenkinsop, J., De Celles, P. G., Patterson, R. T. and Reinhardt, E. G.: Stratigrafia e sedimentologia della
590 sequenza sedimentaria oligocenica–quaternaria del bacino Calabro–Ionico. *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica*
591 *Italiana*, 116, 51–77, 1997.

592

593 Chiocci, F. L., Budillon, F., Ceramicola, S., Gamberi, F. and Orrù, P.: Atlante dei lineamenti di pericolosità geologica dei
594 mari italiani. CNR edizioni, RM: Risultati del progetto MaGIC, 2021.

595 Cipriani, M., Apollaro, C., Basso, D., Bazzicalupo, P., Bertolino, M., Bracchi, V. A., Bruno, F., Costa, G., Dominici, R.,
 596 Gallo, A., Muzzupappa, M., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, S., Sciuto, F., Vespasiano, G. and Guido, A.: Origin and role of non-
 597 skeletal carbonate in coralligenous build-ups: new geobiological perspectives in biomineralization processes.
 598 Biogeosciences, 21, 49–72, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-21-49-2024>, 2024.
 599
 600 Cipriani, M., Basso, D., Bazzicalupo, P., Bertolino, M., Bracchi, V. A., Bruno, F., Costa, G., Dominici, R., Gallo, A.,
 601 Muzzupappa, M., Rosso, A., Perri, F., Sanfilippo, R., Sciuto, F. and Guido, A.: The role of non-skeletal carbonate
 602 component in Mediterranean Coralligenous: new insight from the CRESCIBLUREEF project. Rendiconti Online Societa
 603 Geologica Italiana, 59, 75–79. <https://doi.org/10.3301/ROL.2023.12>, 2023.
 604
 605 Conrad, O., Bechtel, B., Bock, M., Dietrich, H., Fischer, E., Gerlitz, L., Wehberg, J., Wichmann, V. and Bohnert, J.:
 606 System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses (SAGA). Geoscientific model development, 8.
 607 <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-1991-2015>, 2015.
 608
 609 Cosentino, D., Gliozzi, E. and Salvini, F.: Brittle deformations in the Upper Pleistocene deposits of the Crotona Peninsula,
 610 Calabria, southern Italy. Tectonophysics, 163, 205–217, 1989.
 611
 612 Cutter, G. R., Ryzhanov, Y. and Mayer, L. A.: Automated segmentation of seafloor bathymetry from multibeam
 613 echosounder data using local fourier histogram texture features. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology,
 614 285, 355–370. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981\(02\)00537-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(02)00537-3), 2003.
 615
 616 De Falco, G., Conforti, A., Brambilla, W., Budillon, F., Ceccherelli, G. and De Luca, M.: Coralligenous banks along the
 617 western and northern continental shelf of Sardinia Island (Mediterranean Sea). Journal of Maps, 18(2), 200–209.
 618 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2021.2020179>, 2022.
 619
 620 De Falco, G., Tonielli, R., Di Martino, G., Innangi, S., Simeone, S. and Parnum, I. M.: Relationships between multibeam
 621 backscatter, sediment grain size and Posidonia oceanica seagrass distribution. Continental Shelf Research, 30(18), 1941–
 622 1950. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2010.09.006>, 2010.
 623
 624 Deias, C., Guido, A., Sanfilippo, R., Apollaro, C., Dominici, R., Cipriani, M., Barca, D., and Vespasiano, G.: Elemental
 625 Fractionation in Sabellariidae (Polychaeta) Biocement and Comparison with Seawater Pattern: A New Environmental
 626 Proxy in a High-Biodiversity Ecosystem? Water, 15, 1549, <https://doi.org/10.3390/w15081549>, 2023.
 627
 628 Di Geronimo, I., Di Geronimo, R., Improta, S., Rosso, A. and Sanfilippo, R.: Preliminary observation on a columnar
 629 coralline build-up from off SE Sicily. Biologia Marina Mediterranea, 8(1), 229–237, 2001.
 630
 631 Donato, G., Sanfilippo, R., Basso, D., Bazzicalupo, P., Bertolino, M., Bracchi, V. A., Cipriani, M., D’Alpa, F., Guido,
 632 A., Negri, M. P., Sciuto, F., Serio, D. and Rosso, A.: Biodiversity associated with a coralligenous build-up off Sicily
 633 (Ionian Sea). Regional Studies in Marine Science, 80, 103868, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2024.103868>, 2024.
 634

635 Faccenna, C., Becker, T. W., Lucente, F. P., Jolivet, L. and Rossetti, F.: History of subduction and back–arc extension in
636 the Central Mediterranean. *Geophysical Journal International*, 145 (3), 809–820. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.0956-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.0956-540x.2001.01435.2001)
637 [540x.2001.01435, 2001.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.0956-540x.2001.01435.2001)
638

639 Faccenna, C., Molin, P., Orecchio, B., Olivetti, V., Bellier, O., Funiciello, F., Minelli, L., Piromallo, C. and Billi, A.:
640 Topography of the Calabria subduction zone (Southern Italy): clues for the origin of Mt. Etna. *Tectonics*, 30, TC1003.
641 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010TC002694>. 2011.
642

643 Fakiris, E. and Papatheodorou, G.: Quantification of regions of interest in swath sonar backscatter images using grey–
644 level and shape geometry descriptors: The TargAn software. *Marine Geophysical Research*, 33, 169–183,
645 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11001-012-9153-5>, 2012.
646

647 Ferrigno, F., Rendina, F., Sandulli, R. and Fulvio Russo, G.: Coralligenous assemblages: research status and trends of a
648 key Mediterranean biodiversity hotspot through bibliometric analysis. *Ecological Questions* 35, 1: 19-36,
649 <http://dx.doi.org/10.12775/EQ.2024.001>, 2024.
650

651 Foglini, F., Grande, V., Marchese, F., Bracchi, V. A., Prampolini, M., Angeletti, L., Castellan, G., Chimienti, G., Hansen,
652 I. M., Gudmundsen, M., Meroni, A. N., Mercorella, A., Vertino, A., Badalamenti, F., Corselli, C., Erdal, I., Martorelli,
653 E., Savini, A. and Taviani, M.: Application of Hyperspectral Imaging to Underwater Habitat Mapping, Southern Adriatic
654 Sea. *Sensors*, 19, 2261, <https://doi.org/10.3390/s19102261>, 2019.
655

656 Fonseca, L., and Mayer, L.: Remote estimation of surficial seafloor properties through the application of angular range
657 analysis to multibeam sonar data. *Marine Geophysical Research*, 28, 119–126, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11001-007-9019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11001-007-9019-4)
658 [4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11001-007-9019-4), 2007.
659

660 Garone, R.V., Lønmo, T., I. B., Schimel, A. C. G., Diesing, M., Thorsnes, T. and Løvstakken, L.: Seabed classification
661 of multibeam echosounder data into bedrock/non-bedrock using deep learning. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 11:1285368,
662 <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2023.1285368>, 2023.
663

664 Gerovasileiou V. and Bianchi, C. N.: Mediterranean marine caves: a synthesis of current knowledge. In S. J. Hawkins,
665 A. J. Lemasson, A. L. Allcock, A. E. Bates, M. Byrne, A. J. Evans, L. B. Firth, E. M. Marzinelli, B. D. Russell, I. P.
666 Smith, S. E. Swearer, P. A. (Eds.), *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, (Vol. 59, pp. 1–88). Todd,
667 Editors Taylor & Francis, <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003138846-1>, 2021.
668

669 Gliozzi, E.: I terrazzi marini del Pleistocene superiore della penisola di Crotone (Calabria). *Geologica Romana*, 26, 17–
670 79, 1987.
671

672 Guido, A., Gerovasileiou, V., Russo, F., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, R., Voultsiadou, E. and Mastandrea, A.: Composition and
673 biostratinomy of sponge–rich biogenic crusts in submarine caves (Aegean Sea, Eastern Mediterranean). *Palaeogeography*,
674 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 534, 109338, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2019.109338>, 2019a.

675 Guido, A., Gerovasileiou, V., Russo, F., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, R., Voultsiadou, E. and Mastandrea, A.: Dataset of
 676 biogenic crusts from submarine caves of the Aegean Sea: An example of sponges vs microbialites competitions in cryptic
 677 environments.” Data in brief, 27, 104745, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104745>, 2019b.
 678
 679 Guido, A., Heindel, K., Birgel, D., Rosso, A., Mastandrea, A., Sanfilippo, R., Russo, F. and Peckmann, J.: Pendant
 680 bioconstructions cemented by microbial carbonate in submerged marine cave (Holocene, SE Sicily). *Palaeogeography,*
 681 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 388, 166–180. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2013.08.007>, 2013.
 682
 683 Guido, A., Jimenez, C., Achilleos, K., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, R., Hadjioannou, L., Petrou, A., Russo, F. and Mastandrea,
 684 A.: Cryptic serpulid-microbialite bioconstructions in the Kakoskali submarine cave (Cyprus, Eastern Mediterranean).
 685 *Facies*, 63(21), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10347-017-0502-3>, 2017b.
 686
 687 Guido, A., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, R., Miriello, D. and Belmonte, G.: Skeletal vs microbialite geobiological role in
 688 bioconstructions of confined marine environments. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 593, 110920,
 689 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2022.110920>, 2022.
 690
 691 Guido, A., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, R., Russo, F. and Mastandrea, A.: Frutexites from microbial/metazoan bioconstructions
 692 of recent and Pleistocene marine caves (Sicily, Italy). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 453, 127–
 693 138. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2016.04.025>, 2016.
 694
 695 Guido, A., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, R., Russo, F. and Mastandrea, A.: Microbial Biomineralization in Biotic Crusts from a
 696 Pleistocene Marine Cave (NW Sicily, Italy).” *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 34 (10), 864–872,
 697 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2017.1284283>, 2017a.
 698
 699 Ingrosso, G., Abbiati, M., Badalamenti, F., Bavestrello, G., Belmonte, G., Cannas, R., Benedetti Cecchi, L., Bertolino,
 700 M., Bevilacqua, S., Bianchi, C. N., Bo, M., Boscari, E., Cardone, F., Cattaneo Vietti, R., Cau, A., Cerrano, C., Chemello,
 701 R., Chimienti, G., Congiu, L., Corriero, G., Costantini, F., De Leo, F., Donnarumma, L., Falace, A., Frascchetti, S.,
 702 Giangrande, A., Gravina, M.F., Guarnieri, G., Mastrototaro, F., Milazzo, M., Morri, C., Musco, L., Pezzolesi, L., Piraino,
 703 S., Prada, F., Ponti, M., Rindi, F., Russo, G.F., Sandulli, R., Villamor, A., Zane, L. and Boero, F.: Mediterranean
 704 Bioconstructions Along the Italian Coast. *Advances in Marine Biology*, 79:61-136,
 705 <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.amb.2018.05.001>, 2018.
 706
 707 Innangi, S., Barra, M., Di Martino, G., Parnum, I. M., Tonielli, R. and Mazzola, S.: Reson SeaBat 8125 backscatter data
 708 as a tool for seabed characterization (Central Mediterranean, Southern Italy): Results from different processing
 709 approaches. *Applied Acoustics*, 87, 109–122, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apacoust.2014.06.014>, 2015.
 710
 711 Innangi, S., Ferraro, L., Innangi, M., Di Martino, G., Giordano, L., Bracchi, V.A. and Tonielli, R.: Linosa island: a unique
 712 heritage of Mediterranean biodiversity. *Journal of Maps*, 20(1), 2297989,
 713 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2023.2297989>, 2024.
 714

715 Ismail, K., Huvenne, V. A. I. and Masson, D. G.: Objective automated classification technique for marine landscape
716 mapping in submarine canyons. *Marine Geology*, 362, 17–32, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2015.01.006>, 2015.

717

718 Janowski, L., Trzcinska, K., Tegowski, J., Kruss, A., Rucinska-Zjadacz, M. and Pocwiardowski P.: Nearshore Benthic
719 Habitat Mapping Based on Multi-Frequency, Multibeam Echosounder Data Using a Combined Object-Based Approach:
720 A Case Study from the Rowy Site in the Southern Baltic Sea. *Remote Sensing*, 10, 1983,
721 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10121983>, 2018.

722

723 Jardim, V.L., Grall, J., Barros-Barreto, M.B., Bizien, A., Benoit, T., Braga, J.C., Brodie, J., Burel, T., Cabrito, A., Diaz-
724 Pulido, G., Gagnon, P., Hall-Spencer, J.M., Helias, M., Horta, P.A., Joshi, S., Kamenos, N.A., Kolzenburg, R., Krieger,
725 E.C., Legrand, E., Page, T.M., Peña, V., Ragazzola, F., Rasmusson, L.M., Rendina, F., Schubert, N., Silva, J., Tãmega,
726 F.T.S., Tauran, A. and Burdett, H.L.; A Common Terminology to Unify Research and Conservation of Coralline Algae
727 and the Habitats They Create. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*. 35: e70121,
728 <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.70121>, 2025.

729

730 Laborel, J.: Marine biogenic constructions in the Mediterranean. A review. *Scientific Reports of Port-Cros National Park*
731 13, 97–126, 1987.

732

733 Lurton, X. Lamarche, G., Brown, C., Lucieer, V., Rice, G., Schimel, A. and Weber, T. (Eds): Backscatter Measurements
734 by Seafloor-mapping Sonars. Guidelines and Recommendations. GeoHab, 200p,
735 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10089261>, 2015.

736

737 Lamarche G. and Lurton X.: Recommendations for improved and coherent acquisition and processing of backscatter data
738 from seafloor-mapping sonars. *Marine Geophysical Research*, 39:5-22, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11001-017-9315-6>,
739 2018.

740

741 Lebrech, U., Riera, R., Paumard, V., Leary, M. J. O. and Lang, S. C.: Morphology and distribution of Submerged
742 palaeoshorelines: Insights from the North West Shelf of Australia. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 224, 103864,
743 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103864>, 2022.

744

745 Lecours, V., Devillers, R., Schneider, D. C., Lucieer, V. L., Brown, C. J., and Edinger, E. N.: Spatial scale and geographic
746 context in benthic habitat mapping: Review and future directions. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 535, 259–284,
747 <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11378>, 2015.

748

749 Lecours, V., Dolan, M. F. J., Micallef, A. and Lucieer, V. L.: A review of marine geomorphometry, the quantitative study
750 of the seafloor. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 20, 3207–3244, <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-3207-2016>, 2016.

751 Lo Iacono. C., Savini, A. and Basso, D.: Cold-Water carbonate bioconstructions. In Micallef A., Krastel S. & Savini A.
752 (Eds.) *Submarine geomorphology*, (pp. 425–455). Springer, ISBN: 425-3-319-57851-4, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57852-1_22, 2018.

753

754

755 Lucieer, V. and Lamarche, G.: Unsupervised fuzzy classification and object-based image analysis of multibeam data to
 756 map deep water substrates, Cook Strait, New Zealand. *Continental Shelf Research*, 31, 1236–1247.
 757 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2011.04.016>, 2011.

758

759 Malinverno, A. and Ryan, W. B. F.: Extension in the Tyrrhenian Sea and shortening in the Apennines as result of arc
 760 migration driven by sinking of the lithosphere. *Tectonics*, 5 (2), 227–245. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/TC005i002p00227>,
 761 1986.

762

763 Marchese, F., Bracchi, V. A., Lisi, G., Basso, D., Corselli, C. and Savini, S.: Assessing Fine-Scale Distribution and
 764 Volume of Mediterranean Algal Reefs through Terrain Analysis of Multibeam Bathymetric Data. A Case Study in the
 765 Southern Adriatic Continental Shelf, *Water*, 12, 157. [10.3390/w12010157](https://doi.org/10.3390/w12010157), 2020.

766

767 Maruca, G., Cipriani, M., Dominici, R., Imbrogno, G., Vespasiano, G., Apollaro, C., Perri, F., Bruno, F., Lagudi, A.,
 768 Severino, U., Bracchi, V. A., Basso, D., Cellini, E., Mauri, F., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, R. and Guido, A.: Dataset Benthic
 769 Habitat Mapping [data set], http://geocube.unical.it/gmaruca/Dataset_Benthic_Habitat_Mapping.zip, 2025.

770

771 Massari, F. and Prosser, G.: Late Cenozoic tectono-stratigraphic sequences of the Croton Basin: insights on the
 772 geodynamic history of the Calabrian arc and Tyrrhenian Sea. *Basin Research*, 25, 26–51,
 773 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.2012.00549>, 2013.

774

775 Mauz, B. and Hassler, U.: Luminescence chronology of late Pleistocene raised beaches on Southern Italy: new data on
 776 relative sea-level changes. *Marine Geology*, 170, 187–203, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(00\)00074-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(00)00074-8), 2000.

777 McGarigal, K. and Marks, B. J. F.: Spatial Pattern Analysis Program for Quantifying Landscape Structure (General
 778 Technical Report) Washington, DC, USA, 1995.

779

780 Micallef, A., Le Bas, T.P., Huvenne, V. A. I., Blondel, P., Hühnerbach, V. and Deidun, A.: A multi-method approach
 781 for benthic habitat mapping of shallow coastal areas with high-resolution multibeam data. *Continental Shelf Research*,
 782 39, 14–26, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2012.03.008>, 2012.

783

784 Milia, A. and Torrente, M. M.: Early-stage rifting of the southern Tyrrhenian region: the Calabria–Sardinia breakup.
 785 *Journal of Geodynamics*, 81, 17–29, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2014.06.001>, 2014.

786

787 Minelli, L. and Faccenna, C.: Evolution of the Calabrian accretionary wedge (Central Mediterranean). *Tectonics*, 29,
 788 TC4004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009TC002562>, 2010.

789

790 Nalin, R. and Massari, F.: Facies and stratigraphic anatomy of a temperate carbonate sequence (Capo Colonna Terrace,
 791 late Pleistocene, Southern Italy). *Journal of sedimentary research*, 79 (4), 210–225.
 792 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2009.027>, 2009.

793

794 Nalin, R., Basso, D. and Massari, F.: Pleistocene coralline algal build-ups (coralligène de plateau) and associated
 795 bioclastic deposits in the sedimentary cover of Cutro marine terrace (Calabria, Southern Italy). In Pedley, H.M.,

796 Carannante, G. (Eds.), *Cool–Water Carbonates: Depositional Systems and Palaeoenvironmental Controls*. The Geological
797 Society of London (pp.11–22), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.255.01.02>, 2006.

798

799 Nalin, R., Bracchi, V. A., Basso D. and Massari, F.: *Persististrombus latus* (Gmelin) in the upper Pleistocene deposits of
800 the marine terraces of the Crotona peninsula (Southern Italy). *Italian Journal of Geosciences*, 131 (1), 95–101.
801 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2011.25>, 2012.

802

803 Nalin, R., Massari, F. and Zecchin, M.: Superimposed cycles of composite marine terraces: the example of Cutro Terrace
804 (Calabria, Southern Italy). *Journal of sedimentary research*, 77, 340–354. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2007.030>, 2007.

805

806 Palmentola, G., Carobene, L., Mastronuzzi, G. and Sansò, P.: I terrazzi marini pleistocenici della Penisola di Crotona
807 (Italia). *Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quaternaria*, 13, 75–80, 1990.

808

809 Pepe, F., Sulli, A., Bertotti, G., and Cella F.: Architecture and Neogene to Recent evolution of the western Calabrian
810 continental margin: An upper plate perspective to the Ionian subduction system, central Mediterranean. *Tectonics*, 29,
811 TC3007, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009TC002599>, 2010.

812

813 Pérès, J. M. and Picard, J. : *Nouveau manuel de bionomie benthique de la Mer Méditerranée*. *Recent Travaux de la Station*
814 *Marine d'Endoume*, 31 (47),137, 1964.

815

816 Pérès, J. M.: Structure and dynamics of assemblages in the benthic. *Marine Ecology*, 5 (1),119–185, 1982.

817

818 Picone, F. and Chemello, R.: Seascape characterization of a Mediterranean vermetid reef: a structural complexity
819 assessment. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 10, 1134385, doi:10.3389/fmars.2023.1134385, 2023.

820

821 Reitz, M. A. and Seeber, L.: Arc–parallel strain in a short rollback–subduction system: the structural evolution of the
822 Crotona basin (Northeastern Calabria, Southern Italy). *Tectonics*, 31, TC4017, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011TC003031>,
823 2012.

824

825 Riley, S. J., De Gloria, S. D. and Elliot, R.: A Terrain Ruggedness Index that Quantifies Topographic Heterogeneity.
826 *International Journal of Scientific Research*, 5, 23–27, 1999.

827

828 Rosso, A., Donato, G., Sanfilippo, R., Serio, D., Sciuto, F., D’Alpa, F., Bracchi, V.A., Negri, M.P. and Basso D.: The
829 bryozoan *Margaretta cereoides* as a habitat–former in the Coralligenous of Marzamemi (SE Sicily, Mediterranean Sea).
830 In Koulouri P., Gerovasileiou V. & Dailianis T. (Eds), *Marine Benthic Biodiversity of Eastern Mediterranean Ecosystems*,
831 *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, (Vol. 11, 590), <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse11030590>, 2023.

832

833 Rueda, J.L., Urra, J., Aguilar, R., Angeletti, L., Bo, M., García-Ruiz, C. Gonzalez-Duarte, M. M., Lopez, E., Madurell,
834 T., Maldonado, M., Mateo-Ramirez, A., Megina, C., Moreira, J., Moya, F., Ramalho, L. V., Rosso, A., Sitjà, C. and
835 Taviani, M.: Cold–Water Coral Associated Fauna in the Mediterranean Sea and Adjacent Areas. In Orejas C., Jiménez

836 C. (Eds.), *Mediterranean Cold–Water Corals: Past, Present and Future*, Coral Reefs of the World (Vol. 9 (29), pp. 295–
837 333) Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91608-829>, 2019.
838

839 Sanfilippo, R., Rosso, A., Mastandrea, A., Viola, A., Deias, C. and Guido, A.: *Sabellaria alveolata* sandcastle worm from
840 the Mediterranean Sea: New insights on tube architecture and biocement. *Journal of Morphology*, 280, 1839–1849,
841 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.21069>, 2019.
842

843 Sanfilippo, R., Rosso, A., Viola, A., Guido, A. and Deias, C.: Architecture and tube structure of *Sabellaria spinulosa*
844 (Leuckart, 1849): comparison with the Mediterranean *S. alveolata* congener. *Journal of Morphology*, 283, 1350–1358,
845 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.21507>, 2022.
846

847 Santagati, P., Guerrieri, S., Borrelli, M. and Perri, E.: Calcareous bioconstructions formation during the last interglacial
848 (MIS 5) in the central Mediterranean: A consortium of algal, metazoan, and microbial framebuilders (Capo Colonna–
849 Crotone Basin South Italy). *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 167, 106950,
850 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2024.106950>, 2024.
851

852 Santoro, E., Mazzella, M. E., Rerranti, L., Randisi, A., Napolitano, E., Rittner, S. and Radtke, U.: Raised coastal terraces
853 along the Ionian Sea coast of Northern Calabria, Italy, suggest space and time variability of tectonic uplift rates.”
854 *Quaternary International*, 206, 78–101, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2008.10.003>, 2009.
855

856 Savini, A., Borrelli, M., Vertino, A., Mazzella, F., and Corselli, C.: Terraced Landforms Onshore and Offshore the Cilento
857 Promontory (Southern Tyrrhenian Margin): New Insights into the Geomorphological Evolution, *Water*, 13 (4), 566,
858 <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13040566>, 2021.
859

860 Savini, A., Vertino, A., Marchese, F., Beuck, L. and Freiwald, A.: Mapping cold–water coral habitats at different scales
861 within the Northern Ionian Sea (central Mediterranean): An assessment of coral coverage and associated vulnerability.
862 *PLoS ONE*, 9, e87108. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0087108>, 2014.
863

864 Schlager, W.: Accommodation and supply–A dual control on stratigraphic sequences. *Sedimentary Geology*, 86, 111–
865 136, 1993.
866

867 Schlager, W.: Depositional bias and environmental change–important factors in sequence stratigraphy. *Sedimentary*
868 *Geology*, 70, 109–130, 1991.
869

870 Sciuto, F., Altieri, C., Basso, D., D’Alpa, F., Donato, G., Bracchi, V. A., Cipriani, M., Guido, A., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo,
871 R., Serio, D. and Viola, A.: Preliminary data on ostracods and foraminifers living on coralligenous bioconstructions
872 Offshore Marzamemi (Ionian Sea, Se Sicily). *Revue de Micropaléontologie*, 18, 100711,
873 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revmic.2023.100711>, 2023.
874

875 Severino, U., Lagudi, A., Barbieri, L., Scarfone, L., and Bruno, F.: A SLAM–Based Solution to Support ROV Pilots in
876 Underwater Photogrammetric Survey. In *International Conference of the Italian Association of Design Methods and Tools*

for Industrial Engineering (pp. 443–450). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland,
https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-58094-9_49, 2023.

SNPA, Methodological Sheets used in the monitoring program of the second cycle of the Marine Strategy Directive (Ministerial Decree 2 February 2021) SNPA technical publications, <https://www.snpambiente.it/snpa/schede-metodologiche-utilizzate-nei-programmi-di-monitoraggio-del-secondo-ciclo-della-direttiva-strategia-marina-d-m-2-febbraio-2021/>, 2024.

Stephens, D., Smith, A., Redfern, T., Talbot, A., Lessnoff, A. and Dempsey, K.: Using three dimensional convolutional neural networks for denoising echosounder point cloud data. *Applied Computing and Geosciences*, 5-100016, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acags.2019.100016>, 2020.

Varzi, G. A., Fallati, L., Savini, A., Bracchi, V. A., Bazzicalupo, P., Rosso, A., Sanfilippo, R., Bertolino, M., Muzzupappa, M., and Basso, D.: Geomorphology of coralligenous reefs offshore southeastern Sicily (Ionian Sea).” *Journal of Maps*, 19 (1), <https://doi=10.1080/17445647.2022.2161963>, 2023.

Vosselman, G.: Slope based filtering of laser altimetry data. *IAPRS*, Vol. XXXIII, Amsterdam, 2020.

Westaway, R. and Bridgland, D: Late Cenozoic uplift of Southern Italy deduced from fluvial and marine sediments: coupling between surface processes and lower–crustal flow. *Quaternary International*, 175, 86–124, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2006.11.015>, 2007.

Westaway, R.: Quaternary uplift of Southern Italy. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 98 (B12), 21741–21772, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/93JB01566>, 1993.

Zecchin, M. and Caffau, M.: Key features of mixed carbonate–siliciclastic shallow–marine systems: the case of Capo Colonna terrace (southern Italy). *Italian Journal of Geosciences*, 130 (3), 370 – 379. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2011.12>, 2011.

Zecchin, M., Caffau, M., Civile, D. and Roda, C.: Facies and cycle architecture of a Pleistocene marine terrace (Crotona, southern Italy): a sedimentary response to late Quaternary, high–frequency glacio–eustatic changes. *Sedimentary Geology*, 216, 138–157, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2009.03.004>, 2009.

Zecchin, M., Caffau, M., Civile, D., Critelli, S., Di Stefano, A., Maniscalco, R., Muto, F., Sturiale, G., and Roda, C.: The Plio–Pleistocene evolution of the Crotona Basin (Southern Italy): interplay between sedimentation, tectonics and eustasy in the frame of Calabrian arc migration.” *Earth Science Reviews*, 115, 273–303. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.10.005>, 2012.

Zecchin, M., Nalin, R. and Roda, C.: Raised Pleistocene marine terraces of the Crotona peninsula (Calabria, southern Italy): facies analysis and organization of their deposits. *Sedimentary Geology*, 172, 165–185. doi: 10.1016/j.sedgeo.2004.08.003, 2004.

918

919 Zevenbergen, L.W. and Thorne C. R.: Quantitative analysis of land surface topography. *Earth Surface Processes and*
920 *Landforms*, 12 (1), 47–56. <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290120107>, 1987.