



1 Comment on "Design study for an airborne N₂O lidar" by Kiemle et al. (2024)

2 Joel F. Campbell¹, Bing Lin¹, Zhaoyan Liu²

3

4 ¹NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681

5 joel.f.campbell@nasa.gov

6 ²NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035

7

8 In a recent publication (Kiemle et al., 2024) the following was stated:

9 “Another low-power option for IPDA is (modulated) continuous-wave (cw) laser operation instead
10 of emitting pulsed signals (e.g., Campbell et al., 2020). For measurements with a precision
11 requirement below 1 %, however, the length of the atmospheric column must be known to an
12 accuracy of better than 3 m, which is only practicable with short laser pulses in combination with
13 a sufficiently large detection bandwidth (Table 3; Ehret et al., 2008). Alternatively, a precision
14 range finder had to be added, which annihilates the cost benefit of cw lidar.”

15 Since we reported doing those things with a cw lidar system in the referenced publication, we are
16 at a loss to understand what is being implied here. The cw technique was first used in radar many
17 decades ago to do ranging, so there really isn’t any advantage or necessity to choose one
18 technology over another if ranging is the only consideration. In fact, one of the main applications
19 for cw lidar is ranging. The same physics applies to either technology. If a narrow pulse is required
20 for target discrimination purposes, this can also be achieved with cw using a modulation with a
21 wide bandwidth. Once the matched filter transform is performed, a narrow width synthetic pulse
22 can be achieved. A 3 m resolution cw ranging lidar would require a modulation bandwidth of $\Delta f =$
23 $\frac{c}{2\Delta r} = 50\text{MHz}$, where c is the light speed and Δr is the ranging resolution. This is not difficult
24 especially if optical communication hardware is utilized. Another method would be to use
25 frequency modulated cw (FMCW; Gao and Hui, 2012) or phase modulated cw (PMCW; Zhi et al.,
26 2025) and heterodyne detection. In fact, FMCW lidar is used in the auto industry to detect near
27 objects (Kim et al., 2020).

28 Now for the other point. Is a narrow pulse (or alternatively a narrow synthetic cw pulse) required
29 to do ranging down to 3 meters? That depends. If the field is cluttered by clouds or other features
30 we would say maybe depending on the situation, but in clear sky conditions where the ground is
31 the only return, interpolation can be used. Interpolating lower resolution lidars is not a particularly
32 controversial technique and has been used extensively in the past (Hu et al., 2007; Ai et al, 2011;
33 Lu et al, 2014; Campbell et al, 2014). If the field is cluttered by closely spaced scatterers, one
34 return could interfere with another to distort the shape of the pulse and affect the range
35 measurement. If the ground is the only return this is not likely to occur except through ground
36 topography, which would also affect a pulse lidar. In some pulse lidars where there is variability



37 from pulse to pulse, so interpolation can be more problematic depending on the system. However,
38 cw does not suffer from this. Each modulation frame is generated from a preset waveform and
39 clock and there is a very high degree of repeatability. Not only that, each synthetic pulse is
40 generated from multiple sweeps in our processing, and the interpolation is a natural feature of the
41 way that we do the matched filtering, using a type of circular Fourier interpolation by collapsing
42 the Kronecker comb of the matched filter in the frequency domain, so the results of the modified
43 matched filter produces an interpolated synthetic pulse with very good results (Campbell et al.,
44 2014). As long as the signal is Nyquist sampled, the original continuous signal can be recovered
45 to within the limits of noise. This is the basic tenet of the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem.
46 Fourier interpolation (or at least our version of it designed for circular correlations) is the most
47 natural and accurate interpolation method for this type of band limited signal.

48 The paper in question shows results from the multifunctional fiber laser lidar (MFL), an
49 instrument that was developed over many years by Harris Semiconductor in collaboration with
50 NASA Langley Research Center. MFL evolved from an instrument that used a single amplitude
51 modulated tone for each wavelength that were orthogonal to one another in its early development
52 (Dobbs et al., 2008) to what it was on the Atmospheric Carbon and Transport – America (ACT
53 America) flights where it used orthogonal swept frequency modulations (Dobler et al., 2013,
54 Campbell et al., 2020). Although it's true ranging is more problematic with single tone
55 modulations, swept frequency modulation is a technique commonly used in many older cw radars
56 to do ranging and that is what was being used by MFL for the ACT America flights. The results
57 show that the ranging is clearly less than 3 m, which meets and, actually, exceeds the
58 science/instrumentation requirement. The authors have also experimented with PN code
59 modulation on different instruments with good results (Campbell et al., 2014).

60 References

- 61 Ai, Xiao; Nock, Richard; Rarity, John G.; and Dahnoun, Naim: High-resolution random-
62 modulation cw lidar, *Appl. Opt.* 50, 4478-4488, <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.50.004478>, 2011
- 63 Campbell, Joel F.; Lin, Bing; Nehrir, Amin R.; Harrison, F. Wallace; and Obland, Michael D.:
64 High-resolution CW lidar altimetry using repeating intensity-modulated waveforms and Fourier
65 transform reordering, *Opt. Lett.* 39, 6078-6081, <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.39.006078>, 2014
- 66 Campbell, J. F.; Lin, B.; Dobler, J.; Pal, S.; Davis, K.; Obland, M. D.; Erxleben, W.; McGregor,
67 D.; O'Dell, C.; Bell, E.; Weir, B.; Fan, T.-F.; Kooi, S.; Gordon, I.; Corbett, A.; and Kochanov, R.:
68 Field evaluation of column CO₂ retrievals from intensity-modulated continuous-wave differential
69 absorption lidar measurements during the ACT-America campaign, *Earth and Space Science*, 7,
70 e2019EA000847, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EA000847>, 2020
- 71 Dobbs, Michael; Dobler, Jeremy; Braun, Michael; McGregor, Doug; Overbeck, Jay; Moore III,
72 Berrien; Browell, Edward; Zaccheo, T.S.: A Modulated CW Fiber Laser-Lidar Suite for the



- 73 ASCENDS Mission, Conference: 24th International Laser Radar Conference At: Boulder, CO,
74 2008
75
- 76 Dobler, J. T.; Harrison, F. W.; Browell, E. V.; Lin, B.; McGregor, D.; Kooi, S.; Choi, Y.; and Ismail,
77 S.: Atmospheric CO₂ column measurements with an airborne intensity-modulated continuous
78 wave 1.57 μm fiber laser lidar. *Applied Optics*, **52**(12), 2874–2892.
79 <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.52.002874>, 2013
80
- 81 Gao, S. and Hui, R.: Frequency-modulated continuous-wave lidar using I/Q modulator for
82 simplified heterodyne detection, *Opt. Lett.* **37**, 2022–2024. <https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.37.002022>,
83 (2012)
- 84 Hu, Y.; Powell, K.; Vaughan, M.; Teppe, C.; Weimer, C.; Beherenfeld, M.; Young, S.; Winker, D.;
85 Hostetler, C.; Hunt, W.; Kuehn, R.; Flittner, D.; Cisewski, M.; Gibson, G.; Lin, B.; and
86 MacDonnell, D.: Elevation information in tail (EIT) technique for lidar altimetry, *Optics Express*
87 **15**, 14504–14515, <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.014504>, 2007.
88
- 89 Kiemle, Christoph; Fix, Andreas; Fruck, Christian; Ehret, Gerhard; and Wirth, Martin: Design
90 study for an airborne N₂O lidar, *AMT*, **17**, 6569–6578, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-17-6569-2024>,
91 2024
- 92 Kim, Chankyu; Jung, Yunho; and Lee, Seongjoo: FMCW LiDAR System to Reduce Hardware
93 Complexity and Post-Processing Techniques to Improve Distance Resolution, *Sensors*, **20**(22),
94 6676; <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20226676>, 2020
- 95 Lu, X.; Hu, Y.; Trepte, C.; and Liu, Z.: A Super-Resolution Laser Altimetry Concept, in *IEEE*
96 *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 298–302,
97 doi:10.1109/LGRS.2013.2256876, 2014
- 98 Zhi, Yanan; Wang, Jinkai; Sun, Yujiao; Huang, Sijing; Wang, Huanyan; Xu, Bijun; and Tian,
99 Kehan: Differential pseudo-random phase-modulated continuous-wave coherent LiDAR, *Opt.*
100 *Express* **33**, 13624–13646, <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.549354>, 2025

101

102

103

104

105