

Editor Comments and responses

Thanks for addressing the reviewer comments.

Most (or all) figures have a really poor resolution, especially in the text part of the figures (e.g. Figure 1 and many more), but also for the lines, e.g. Figure 12. It looks like a problem that is arising when you export the figures from the graphics program into the word processing program or to the PDF. You have to solve this problem, before the paper can be published.

Reply: We sincerely thank the editor for the thorough and constructive review, which has greatly contributed to improving the quality of the revised manuscript. Our detailed responses to the comments are provided in bold blue. The figure display issues in the word document have been resolved by re-exporting the figures from the graphics software. All figures have now been prepared in high-resolution TIFF format. Additionally, we have carefully reviewed the entire manuscript-including the text, figures, and formatting to ensure it is free from errors.

Line 17: of the 3D -> of a 3D

Reply: Revised the sentence as suggested.

line 20: Explain ERT, EVRI in the abstract.

Reply: Explained about the ERT and EVRI in the abstract.

The meaning of PVR and ABS should be explained not only in the caption of Figure 1, but also in the main text.

Reply: We explained the meaning of PVR and ABS room in the main text as suggested (line 62:63).

Line 268: RTE needs to be explained.

Reply: RTE stands for 'Reduced to the Equator,' already explained in lines 271:273 of the revised manuscript.