

I am satisfied that all of my comments have been addressed sufficiently and will be happy to recommend for publication once the few technical comments below are addressed.

Technical Comments

Line 86-87: The authors gave an interesting and thorough explanation of why they selected the number and dates of the typhoons under study in their response to reviewers, but it doesn't appear to have made it into the manuscript. I'll back off if they still want to leave it out, but I do think it would be useful context for readers to understand that these five typhoons actually do encapsulate nearly all of the major Shanghai typhoon landfalls since 1990.

Fig 5 and 6 caption: "The values in figures" should be "The values in the figure"

Fig 7 caption: "The maxima identified" should be "The maxima are identified"