Will landscape responses reduce glacier sensitivity to climate change in High Mountain Asia?

Response to Reviewer 1

Reviewer 1

We thank the reviewer 1 for their detailed comments and suggestions on our paper. These have been extremely useful in helping us rewrite the text and have made the paper much clearer. Our replies are in red below.

Specific comments

The three figures included in the manuscript all contribute to the manuscript, but I think their placement does not support the text as fully as they could. Furthermore, the references to the figures in the text is brief and it is often not very clear how the figure supports the statement made. Suggestions to improve these points below: For Figure 1, I suggest removing (a) and (c) – I do not feel these contribute to the figure, and I think they detract from the more important information in (b), (d) and (e).

When referred to in the text, the descriptions of figures about what needs to be taken from the figure is often vague. I suggest adding further words to explain fully what the reader needs to look for that helps them in understanding the argument made. It was difficult to confirm whether you were referring to the correct figures in a number of cases as it was unclear what was needed to be drawn from the figure.

We have reviewed this suggestion and have made the Figure captions more informative. However, having discussed the Figure we do feel that the Figure 1 a-c provides a graphical description of how we expect some glaciers to behave and this clearly illustrates this. The alternative would be to provide some exemplar photographs or other images but we feel that this would not work as well.

 Throughout the manuscript there are references to varying terminology for regions within HMA. I think it would be worth reviewing this terminology and either reducing the number of regions referred to or including them all in Figure 2 so the reader is aware of where you are referring to. I think it would also be worth placing, and referring to, this figure earlier in the manuscript so readers are aware of the regions you are referring to.

We have done this by making sure that we refer to HMA more consistently throughout the text.

- 1. For Figure 3b, I think it would be worth considering whether this graph would be better placed in Figure 1, so all the graphs presented could be compared more easily. I also suggest labelling the (3) line on the graph along the lines of 'Clean-debris transition glacier', so all elements of the graph are clearly understood.
- 1. Please look at the placement of figures and the references to these figures throughout the text. Figure 3 was referred to before Figure 2.

 We have now addressed this issue.

- A great effort has been made throughout to write short, concise sentences. However, there is frequent use of 'This' is the following sentence when this approach is taken. I suggest rereading the manuscript to make sure it is clear what 'this' is referring to and amending where necessary to make the focus of some sentences clearer in some cases I have suggested additional words or rewording within the technical corrections. Thank you for pointing this out. As you see, we have accepted many of your suggestions.
- 1. The paragraph from Lines 135 to 144 does not fit where it is place currently, and the information within it would be better suited earlier on in the section so when 'winter precipitation' is referred to on Line 119 this makes sense. I suggest removing the first sentence of this paragraph and placing the paragraph starting on Line 108 instead.

The final sentence (Lines 497-498) is key here, as it will help future researchers to show the rationale for geomorphological based fieldwork. I suggest some rewording by replacing 'This' with 'Such an approach' but also including a 'but' at the end of the sentence – something that makes a nod towards the difficultly of such data collection being undertaken.

We have made the changes to the structure discussing climate and modelling as you suggest.

Technical corrections

Line 67: Suggest including some examples of the 'number of reasons' referred to here. Done

Line 70: Include 'related' following 'glacial'. Done

Line 72: Suggest quantifying the 'hundreds of millions of people' referred to here to show the importance of the research. Difficult to do this as different authors identify different numbers. That's why we kept this rather vague.

Line 75: Suggest removal of 'Himalaya and other parts' to make the sentence more concise.

Line 77: Suggest moving 'by 2030' to the end of the sentence to make flow of sentence better. Done

Line 79: Remove extra bracket after citation. Done

Line 81 and 82: Suggest inclusion of citation after 'glacier lake outburst floods' and 'rock slides and falls' to provide evidence as you have for the other types of change mentioned in the sentence. These are covered in the Li et al reference.

Line 87: A space is needed between 'e.g.' and 'Nie'. Done

Line 90: Suggest inclusion of 'the' before 'CMIP5. Done

Line 99: I think a word such as 'direct' or 'simple' rather than 'linear', as I do not think this is always the case. Done

Line 102: Place '2019' in brackets. Done

Line 102: Suggest inclusion of 'outcome' between 'This' and 'arises'. Done

Line 108: Suggest moving 'however' to the start of the sentence. Done

Line 108: Suggest replacing 'and this' with 'which. Done

```
Line 109: Remove superscripted 0 after citation. Done
```

Line 115: Suggest replacing 'with' with 'to'. Done

Line 118: Include 'anomaly' between 'This' and 'is'. Done

Line 123: Replace 'et_al.(2017)' with 'et al. (2017)'. Done

Line 145: Suggest including a comma after 'research'. Done

Lines 149-151: Suggest remove of semi colons in this sentence and replacing with a comma.

We prefer semi-colons when the list is long.

Line 151: Make the closing bracket normal text – it is currently in bold. Done

Line 159: GLOF needs to be referred to in full here, as Glacial Lake Outburst Floods have not been referred to before this point. Done

Line 158-163: Please include reference to (e) in the figure caption. Done

Line 165: Remove space before comma. Done

Lines 166-167: Suggest removing the opening bracket with a comma on this line and placing the opening bracket before 'e.g.' instead. Done

Line 175: Suggest inclusion of 'that' after 'instance,'. Done

Line 178: Suggest inclusion of 'more generalised' before 'approach'. Done

Line 182: Suggest removal of 'As we discussed,'. Done

Lines 183-184: Suggest the removal of 'the Himalaya and the wider' here to make

references to the region in question clearer. Done

Line 185: Suggest replacement of 'This is' with 'The conclusions are'. Done

Line 186: Remove second opening bracket. Done

Line 187: Check 'glacierMIP3' does not need a citation. Done

Line 190: Define 'RGI' as this is the first time it is referred to. I don't think this is needed

Line 191: Suggest replacing hyphen with a comma. Done

Line 196: Suggest including 'However,' before 'the consensus'. Done

Line 198: Suggest naming the three HMA RGI regions here to link clearly with the figure.

Done

Lines 199-200: The figure caption for Figure 1 does not explicitly say it is for HMA glaciers. I suggest either rewording this sentence (maybe including 'which applies to' or similar) or including HMA in the figure caption. It is then clear whether Figure 1 is specific to HMA glaciers or whether it applies to glacier globally and is being using to make a point about HMA glaciers here. Done

Line 206: Add space between 'e.g.' and 'Richardson'. Done

Line 207: Suggest defining GLOF here, as it is the first use of it outside a figure caption. Done

Line 208: Add space between 'e.g.' and 'Song'. Done

Line 220: Suggest changing 'will have been' to 'is to projected to have been'. Disagree.

Line 221: Suggest replacing 'leading up to this result' with 'up to this point, there'. Done

Line 222: Suggest replacing 'became' with 'were'. We think that this is OK.

Line 222; Suggest replacing ',perhaps,' with 'potentially'. Done

Line 225: Suggest including 'approach' before 'misrepresents'. Done

Line 227: Suggest adding 'geomorphologically' after 'responded'. Done

Line 228: Capitalise Last Glacial Maximum. Done

Line 233: Suggest removing ',amongst others' and include 'processes such as' between 'by' and 'increased'. Done

Line 235: Suggest removal of 'by'. Done

```
Line 238: Suggest replacement of 'and' with ',with'. Disagree
```

Line 244: Suggest inclusion of 'rock' before debris. Done

Line 244: Suggest replacing 'This' with 'Rock'. Disagree because it also is made up of fine sediment. Text changed to reflect this.

Line 247: Suggest replacing 'that presently is' with 'which, at present;. Done

Lines 247-248: Suggest removing 'extreme cold conditions' and 's' from 'enters' and adding

'due to extreme conditions at the end of the sentence. Disagree

Line 248: Suggest replacing 'This' with 'If these conditions persist'. Done

Line 253: Suggest replacing 'the' with 'a'. Disagree, it might be several

Line 255: Suggest adding 'in the PT scenario' at the end of the sentence. Disagree

Line 258: Suggest moving 'historically' to be after 'Himalaya'. Disagree

Line 259: Suggest replacing 'much' with more so' and including examples of this point in the form of citations. Done

Line 265: Suggest replacing 'perhaps' with 'potentially'. Done

Line 269: Suggest inclusion of 'parity' or similar word between 'this' and 'appears'. Disagree

Line 271: Replace 'RG' with 'RGI'. Done

Line 275: Suggest replacing 'This paraglacial pathway' with 'The PT scenario/pathway'.

Done

Line 276: Suggest including 'is anticipated' at the end of the sentence. Disagree

Lines 277-278: Suggest more explanation of the statement 'given the armoured nature of the rock dam' in this context. Done

Lines 278-280: Suggest this sentence goes last in the paragraph as it makes an important conclusionary point. Done

Line 295: Remove comma, Done

Line 294: This is the first reference of Figure 3, so I would expect the figure to be place at the end of the paragraph. Also, Figure 2 has not yet been referred to. Done

Line 301: Check Westerlies are referred to in the overview of meteorological/climate conditions in the HMA, so the reference to it here make sense. Done

Line 302: Suggest replacing 'although see' with 'with the exception of'. Disagree

Line 304: Suggest including 'already' before 'most. Done

Line 305: Suggest inclusion of 'conditions that enable' before freeze-thaw. Disagree

Line 306: Suggest replacing of 'conditions' with 'to occur'. Done

Line 307: Suggest replacing 'places' with 'conditions'. Done

Line 308: Include Karakoram on Figure 2. Deleted

Line 310: Suggest replacing 'not seen' with 'greater than those seen'. Done

Line 311: Suggest including 'these' before 'rock glaciers'. Disagree

Line 312: Suggest replacing 'grow' with 'develop'. Done

Line 312: Suggest replacing 'hampered' with 'restricted'. Done

Lines 315-316: Suggest placing 'A first order understanding of which od the MIL and PT scenarios are more likely and their future spatial distributions' at the start of the sentence and removing the sentence question completely. Disagee, this is an opinion piece

Line 320: Suggest including 'in question' after 'glaciers'. Disagree

Lines 320-321 and Lines 323: For the statements made about the MIL and PT scenarios here, I think further explanation of these statements would be of benefit here. Disagree. We are not sure what is suggested.

Line 328: Suggest replacing 'Therefore,' with 'To achieve this' or 'Consequently'. Done

```
Line 336: Suggest replacing 'far behind' with 'much further than'. Done
Line 336: Suggest replacing 'over' with 'from'. Disagree
Line 340; Suggest replacing 'these' with 'the investigated'. Disagree
Line 340: Suggest replacing ';this' with '. This spatial disparity'. Done
Line 365: Suggest replacing ';' with ', whilst'. Disagree
Lines 366 and 367: Suggest combing the two bracketed pieces of information in both cases
for clearer reading. Done
Line 368: Suggest replacing 'Source:' with 'Adapted from'. Done
Line 372: Suggest replacing 'few' with 'that', following 'moraines'. Disagree
Line 372: Suggest including 'are limited,' after HMA. Disagree
Line 373: Include comma between 'Rowan' and '2017'. Done
Line 380: Capitalise Equilibrium Line Altitude. Done
Line 382: Include comma after Himalaya. Done
Line 386: It would be beneficial to include explanation of the statement of about the
weakened monsoon and include its effect on accumulation explicitly. Disagree
Line 391: Suggest replacing 'this' with 'the'. Done
Lines 397-298: Suggest moving 'at Ama Dablam and Lhotse in the Khumbu region' to the
end of the sentence and replacing 'at' with 'for'. Disagree
Line 403: Include comma in citation. Done
Line 403: Suggest including 'predicted' before 'future' and replacing 'will dominantly
involve' with 'must have been dominated by'. Disagree
Line 409: Suggest replacing 'this' with 'the'. Done
Line 409: Suggest including 'presented here, despite being limited and incomplete,' after
'data set' and removing '(albeit limited and incomplete)'. Done
Line 411: Suggest replacing 'and this' with 'which'. Done
Lines 409-412; Suggest combing the paragraph with the following paragraph. Done
Line 420: Suggest replacing 'regional ELA' with 'regionally averaged or 'more regional'.
Disagree
Line 421: Include commas in citations. Done
Line 422: Include commas in citations. Done
Line 430: Suggest swapping 'therefore will' around. Done
Line 454: Suggest replacing 'that' with 'which'. Disagree
Line 462: Suggest including 'climate' before 'warming'. Done
Line 463: Suggest replacing 'produced' with 'presented'. Done
Line 464: Suggest including 'as climate change progresses' at the end of the sentence. Done
Line 470: Suggest removing 'also'. Disagree
Line 473: Suggest replacing 'viewpoint' with 'scenario' or 'suggested scenario'. Done
Line 478: Suggest replacing 'hampered' with 'made challenging' or similar. Done
Line 483: Suggest replacing 'hardly known' with 'poorly understood', Disagree
Line 483: Suggest including ', particularly' before 'given'. Done
Line 493: Suggest replacing 'on' with 'for'. Disagree
```

Line 496: Suggest replacing 'enough' with 'sufficiently detailed' and placing it before

'resolutions'. Disagree