



# Measurement report: Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) from activated sludge aeration

3 Jishnu Pandamkulangara Kizhakkethil<sup>1</sup>, Zongbo Shi<sup>2</sup>, Anna Bogush<sup>1</sup>, and Ivan Kourtchev<sup>1</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>Centre for Agroecology Water and Resilience (CAWR), Coventry University, Wolston Lane, Ryton on Dunsmore CV8 3LG,

5 UK

<sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup>School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

7 Correspondence to: Ivan Kourtchev (ivan.kourtchev@coventry.ac.uk)

8 Abstract. Environmental pollution with per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), commonly referred to as "forever 9 chemicals", received significant attention due to their environmental persistence and bioaccumulation tendencies. Effluents 10 from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) have been reported to contain significant levels of PFAS. Wastewater treatment processes such as aeration have the potential to transfer PFAS into the atmosphere. However, understanding their fate during 11 sewage treatment remains challenging. This study aims to assess aerosolisation of PFAS during WWTP process. Special 12 13 emphasis is given to new generation and legacy PFAS (e.g., perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)) as they are still observed in sewage after years of restrictions. Particulate matter with aerodynamic size  $\leq 10 \ \mu m$ 14  $(PM_{10})$  collected above a scaled-down activated sludge tank treating domestic sewage for a population >10,000 people in the 15 16 UK were analysed for a range of short-, medium- and long-chain PFAS. Eight PFAS including perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA), 17 perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS), perfluoroheptanoic acid (PFHpA), perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS), PFOA, 18 perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA), PFOS and perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA) were detected in the PM<sub>10</sub>. The presence of legacy 19 PFOA and PFOS in the PM<sub>10</sub> samples, despite being restricted for over a decade, raises concerns about their movement through domestic and industrial sewage cycles. The total PFAS concentrations in PM<sub>10</sub> were 15.49 pg m<sup>-3</sup> and 4.25 pg m<sup>-3</sup> during 20 21 Autumn and Spring campaigns, respectively. PFBA was the most abundant PFAS, suggesting a shift towards short chain PFAS 22 use. Our results suggest that WWT processes such as activated sludge aeration could aerosolise PFAS into airborne PM.

# 23 1. Introduction

Particulate matter (PM) is a critical component of air pollution and has significant implications for environment (Boucher et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2021; Taylor and Penner, 1994; Zhang et al., 2023) and human health (Pope III et al., 2020; Vohra et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2024). PM with aerodynamic diameter  $\leq 10 \ \mu m$  (PM<sub>10</sub>) is of particular concern because they are known to penetrate into the human respiratory system and cause severe health effects (Abbey et al., 1995; Pope III et al., 1992). The chemical composition of PM is very complex, and it can contain thousands of organic compounds (Goldstein and Galbally,





- 2007) including persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and new and emerging pollutants (NEPs) such as per- and polyfluoroalkyl
   substances (PFAS) (Kourtchev et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2022).
- 31 PFAS, commonly referred to as "forever chemicals", are a large group of synthetic organic compounds. PFAS are thermally
- 32 and chemically inert due to the strong carbon-fluorine bonds (Buck et al., 2011) and therefore they are widely used in the
- 33 production of numerous consumer goods such as water and thermal-resistant apparel, engine oil, cooking wares, etc (Glüge et
- 34 al., 2020). PFAS are known for their environmental persistence and bioaccumulation potential (Buck et al., 2011; Lesmeister
- 35 et al., 2021). Many PFAS are shown to have negative health effects e.g., endocrine disruption, cancer, and liver disease (Fenton
- 36 et al., 2021; Sunderland et al., 2019).
- 37 Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) are the most scrutinised PFAS due to their 38 environmental persistence and human health effects (Beach et al., 2006; Saikat et al., 2013; US EPA, 2024b; Zareitalabad et 39 al., 2013). In 2009, the Stockholm Convention on POPs included PFOS and its salts in Annex B of restricted compounds. 40 Further, in 2019 and 2022, PFOA and perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS) were added to Annex A of compounds for 41 elimination. Despite being restricted for more than a decade, these compounds are still observed in various environmental 42 matrices (Li et al., 2022; Nguyen et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2022). Shortly after the introduction of restrictions 43 for several PFAS, they were replaced with short-chain and other new-generation PFAS that are thought to be less hazardous 44 (Brendel et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2013, 2015). These include perfluorobutanesulfonic acid (PFBS), fluorotelomer sulfonates 45 (FTS), and hexafluoropropylene dimer acid (HFPO-DA, more commonly known as GenX) (Wang et al., 2013, 2015). Recent 46 studies indicated that numerous replacement PFAS could potentially have similar health effects to those of the legacy ones
- 47 (Gomis et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020; Solan and Lavado, 2022).
- The majority of reports on PFAS pollution have predominantly focused on drinking water (Domingo and Nadal, 2019), surface water (Podder et al., 2021), sewage (Lenka et al., 2021), and soil matrices (Brusseau et al., 2020). Therefore, most of the current regulations on PFAS are focused on water matrices (Directive (EU) 2020/2184, 2020; US EPA, 2024a). There is growing evidence that PFAS can transfer from contaminated waters via aerosolisation/volatilisation into atmosphere (Ahrens
- 52 et al., 2011; Johansson et al., 2019; Shoeib et al., 2016; Qiao et al., 2024).
- 53 Laboratory simulation experiments have shown that the aeration of PFAS-contaminated water leads to formation of aerosolised
- 54 PFAS (Nguyen et al., 2024; Pandamkulangara Kizhakkethil et al., 2024). The extent of PFAS aerosolisation has a clear
- by dependence on the PFAS carbon chain length and functional groups (Johansson et al., 2019; Pandamkulangara Kizhakkethil
- 56 et al., 2024; Reth et al., 2011).
- 57 Wastewater treatment techniques such as activated sludge (AS) and secondary extended aeration which involve vigorous
- 58 aeration/mechanical turbulence, could lead to the aerosolisation/volatilisation of PFAS from contaminated wastewater
- 59 effluents (Ahrens et al., 2011; Shoeib et al., 2016). PFAS were detected in gas phase and total suspended particles (TSP) near
- 60 the aeration tanks and secondary clarifier in a WWTP in Canada (Vierke et al., 2011). Airborne PFAS were also observed at
- 61 WWTPs that employ treatment techniques such as AS, secondary extended aeration, and facultative lagoons in Canada (Shoeib
- 62 et al., 2016). PFAS, including restricted PFOS, were identified in the TSP and gas phase above aeration tanks in a WWTP in





northern Germany (Weinberg et al., 2011). A more recent study by Qiao et al. (2024) identified PFAS in both gas and particle
phases above the influent and aeration tanks at a WWTP in China.

Limited studies have assessed the PFAS emission associated with inhalable PM fraction (e.g., PM<sub>10</sub>) during WWT processes. 65 66 For example, a recent study identified PFAS in the 11 PM size fractions between 0.1 µm to 18 µm, collected from three 67 WWTPs in Hong Kong, China (Lin et al., 2022). These WWTPs (largest in Hong Kong) utilised treatment techniques such as AS and chemically enhanced primary treatment (CEPT) to treat sewage from industrialised areas. The study reported that 68 69 atmospheric PFAS in WWTPs (e.g., PFOS, PFOA, PFBS and perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA)) are primarily distributed in 70 aerosol particles with aerodynamic diameter  $\leq 10 \ \mu m$ . Additionally, the distribution of PFAS depend on the type of WWT 71 process, nature of sewage, and aerosol properties (e.g., organic content, presence of microbes, etc.) (Lin et al., 2022). This 72 suggests that PFAS levels in inhalable PM, and thus the associated health risks, will vary based on the location and the type of 73 sewage being treated. European countries have restricted the production and use of several PFAS such as PFOS, PFOA, 74 PFHxS, and C9–C14 perfluorocarboxylic acids (PFCA) (Directive (EU) 2020/2184, 2020; ECHA, 2022a; ECHA, 2022b). Nevertheless, the restricted PFOA and PFOS are still observed in wastewater effluents (Eriksson et al., 2017; Gobelius et al., 75 2023; Moneta et al., 2023; Müller et al., 2023; Semerád et al., 2020) raising a question whether these chemicals could be 76 77 aerosolised during open air aeration WWT processes. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies assessing the PFAS 78 levels in PM<sub>10</sub> at European WWTPs. Furthermore, PM<sub>10</sub> associated emission of PFAS from WWTPs have been assessed only 79 for a limited number of PFAS.

As highlighted in the reviews by Phong Vo et al. (2020) and O'Connor et al. (2022), domestic wastewater has been reported to contain significant levels of PFAS, albeit at concentrations lower than those typically found in industrial effluents. Despite this, studies on PFAS atmospheric emissions from sewage have primarily focused on WWTPs processing industrial effluents or a mix of industrial and domestic sources. Consequently, a knowledge gap exists regarding the atmospheric fate of PFAS, particularly their association with  $PM_{10}$  aerosols, during the treatment of domestic wastewater, especially under conditions of vigorous aeration.

The aim of the current study is to assess the aerosolisation potential of PFAS during WWTP process that involves vigorous aeration steps. Special emphasis is given to (a) legacy PFAS, such as PFOS and PFOA, as they are still observed in sewage after 15 and 5 years of restrictions, respectively, and (b) new generation and replacement PFAS such as FTS. To achieve this, PM<sub>10</sub> samples collected from a scaled-down AS tank processing domestic wastewater (from a population of > 10,000 people) in the United Kingdom (UK) were screened for 15 PFAS (C4–C11) including legacy and new-generation replacement

91 compounds such as FTS.





## 92 2. Method

## 93 2.1 Materials and chemicals

94 The materials and chemicals include: 10 mL headspace glass vials (Chromacol 10-HSV, Thermo Scientific); metal screw caps (Chromacol 18-MSC, Thermo Scientific); polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) septa (Chromacol 18-ST101 Thermo Scientific); 95 96 PTFE membrane filter (Iso-Disc PTFE-13–4, 13 mm  $\times$  0.45 µm); glass fiber filters (GFF) (47 mm, Advantec<sup>®</sup>, Model No. 97 GB-100R); EPA 533 PAR mix containing 25 PFAS i.e., PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFNA, PFDA, PFUdA, PFDoA, HFPO-DA, PFMPA, PFMBA, 3,6-OPFHpA, L-PFBS, L-PFPeS, PFHxS, L-PFHpS, PFOS, 4:2 FTS, 6:2 FTS, 8:2 98 FTS, NaDONA, 9Cl-PF3ONS, 11Cl-PF3OudS, PFEESA each having a concentration of 0.5 ng µL<sup>-1</sup> (Wellington laboratories 99 100 Inc, Canada); EPA533ES isotope dilution standard mixture containing 16 mass labelled (<sup>13</sup>C) PFAS i.e., M3PFBS, M5PFHxA, 101 M6PFDA, M3PFHxS, M8PFOS, MPFBA, M5PFPeA, M4PFHpA, M8PFOA, M9PFNA, M7PFUdA, MPFDoA, M2-4:2 FTS, M2-6:2 FTS, M2-8:2 FTS and M3HFPO with the concentrations of 0.5-2.0 ng µL-1; liquid chromatography (LC)-mass 102 103 spectrometry (MS) grade water (Optima TM, Fisher Scientific); methanol, LC-MS grade (Optima TM, Fisher Scientific); formic 104 acid, LC-MS grade (Optima<sup>™</sup>, Fisher Chemicals); ammonium acetate, LC-MS grade (Optima<sup>™</sup>, Fisher chemicals). The full 105 names of the listed chemicals are given in the Table S1 and S2 of supplement.

## 106 2.2 Sampling site

107 The  $PM_{10}$  samples were collected above a scaled-down AS tank processing municipal wastewater equivalent to that of a 108 population > 10,000 people (the location of the facility is anonymised due to a non-disclosure agreement). The AS tank, 109 constructed from high-density polyethylene (HDPE), contains an aeration basin of volume 3.06 m<sup>3</sup>. The aeration basin of the 110 AS tank is connected to a secondary clarifier (of volume 0.86 m<sup>3</sup>) where sewage, after aeration, is allowed to settle. The AS 111 tank continuously receives and processes primary treated sewage (with a solid retention time (SRT) of 10 days) from the parent 112 large-scale WWTP using pumps.

### 113 2.3 PM<sub>10</sub> sample collection

The MiniVol<sup>TM</sup> tactical air sampler (Air Metrics, United States of America) used for  $PM_{10}$  sampling was installed near the aeration tank with the sampling head slightly above the rim of the tank. The  $PM_{10}$  samples were collected on GFF at 10 L min<sup>-1</sup>. Prior to sampling, GFF were baked at 450 °C for 24 h to eliminate potential organic contaminants. The samples were collected during two sampling periods: between (1) 2 October 2023–6 October 2023 and (2) 4 March 2024–8 March 2024.

118 PM<sub>10</sub> samples were collected separately during day and night. Sampling dates and duration are given in Table 1.

119 GFF with  $PM_{10}$  were rolled using prewashed stainless-steel tweezers, keeping aerosol content inside, and placed into a 120 prewashed 10 mL headspace glass vial. 5 mL methanol (LC-MS grade) was added to the vial to disinfect the filters from

prewashed 10 mL headspace glass vial. 5 mL methanol (LC-MS grade) was added to the vial to disinfect the filters from potential pathogenic microorganisms and extract the organic compounds including PFAS. The samples were then stored at 5





122 °C until the day of analysis. The vials, PTFE septa, and metal screw caps were prewashed with LC-MS grade methanol and 123 dried before use to remove potential PFAS contamination. PFAS leaching from the vials, PTFE septa, and metal screw caps 124 was assessed in another study which reported minimal PFAS leachables from these consumables (Kourtchev et al., 2022). 125 Various types of blanks were prepared to evaluate possible PFAS contamination from handling the filters. These include: 1) baked filters and 2) baked filters placed in MiniVol® air sampler and collecting air above the AS tank at 10 L min<sup>-1</sup> for 2 min. 126 127 It is important to note that the use of GFF and quartz fiber filters (QFF) during PM sampling has been reported to cause positive 128 sampling artefacts, such as the adsorption of gas-phase organic compounds (Turpin et al., 1994). Previous studies have shown that certain PFAS, such as PFOS and PFOA, can transition from aqueous aerosols to the gas phase (Ahrens et al., 2012; 129 130 McMurdo et al., 2008). As a result, the GFF used in our study may also include a small fraction of gas-phase PFAS. 131 Consequently, the reported PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations of PFAS in our study might be slightly overestimated.

132

133	Table 1	PM <sub>10</sub>	sample	collection	dates	and	duration
155	I abit I	1 10110	sample	concention	uaics	anu	uuration

Sampling date	Sample type	Sampling duration (h)		
2 October 2023	Day sample	3.3		
2 October 2025	Night sample	9.2		
3 October 2023	Day sample	5.7		
5 October 2025	Night sample	17.9		
4 October 2023	Day sample	5.7		
4 October 2025	Night sample	18		
5 October 2023	Day sample	5.7		
5 October 2025	Night sample	18		
4 March 2024	Day sample	1.4		
	Night sample	19.1		
5 March 2024	Day sample	4.5		
5 Waren 2024	Night sample	18.8		
6 March 2024	Day sample	4.8		
	Night sample	18.5		
7 March 2024	Day sample	4		
, march 2024	Night sample	19		





### 134 2.4 Extraction and analysis of PM<sub>10</sub> GFF samples

The GFF samples stored in methanol were spiked with internal standards (IS), a mixture of  $16^{13}$ C PFAS labelled compounds (EPA533 ES, Wellington laboratories Inc, Canada) at concentrations 20 ng L<sup>-1</sup> for M2-4:2 FTS, M2-6:2 FTS, and M2-8:2FTS and 5 ng L<sup>-1</sup> for the remaining compounds and extracted using the procedure published in Kourtchev et al. (2022).

138 Briefly, the vial content was subjected to ultrasonic agitation for 40 min. The methanol extracts were then filtered through a

139 prewashed 0.45 µm PTFE syringe filter. The PTFE filters used in our study were assessed for PFAS leaching potential in

140 Kourtchev et al. (2022). Minimal leaching of PFAS was observed from the PTFE filters after purging them with 5 mL LC-MS

141 grade methanol three times (total volume of 15 mL) (Kourtchev et al., 2022). The extracts were then reduced by volume to 1

142 mL under gentle nitrogen flow.

143 The methanolic extract was then topped up with 4 mL of LC-MS grade water providing the 80:20 (v/v) water: methanol ratio

144 required for the online solid phase extraction (SPE) (Kourtchev et al., 2022). The vial content was homogenised by vortex

145 mixing and analysed using online SPE LC-high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) using the method published elsewhere

- 146 (Kourtchev et al., 2022).
- The online SPE and chromatographic separation was carried out using EQuan MAX Plus Thermo Scientific<sup>™</sup> Vanquish<sup>™</sup> 147 148 UHPLC system using a Thermo Scientific<sup>TM</sup> TriPlus<sup>TM</sup> RSH autosampler. Online SPE was performed using a Thermo Scientific<sup>™</sup> Hypersil GOLD aQ Column, 20 × 2.1 mm, 12 µm column. 0.1 % formic acid in water was used as the loading 149 150 phase for the online SPE. Following online SPE, the chromatographic separation was achieved using Waters® CORTECS C18 Column, 90 Å,  $100 \times 2.1$  mm, 2.7 µm analytical column. The eluents used for chromatographic separation were A) 2 mM 151 152 ammonium acetate in 10 % methanol and B) 100 % methanol. A Q Exactive™ Focus Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap™ Mass 153 Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, Bremen, Germany) fitted with electrospray ionisation (ESI) (Ion Max<sup>TM</sup>) source was employed 154 for the mass spectrometric analysis. The mass spectrometric analysis was performed in single ion monitoring (SIM) negative 155 ionisation mode. The mass spectrometer was calibrated prior to analysis to have a mass accuracy of  $\leq$  5 ppm. The limit of detection (LOD) values for the analytes in this study were similar to those reported by Kourtchev et al. (2022), with the 156 157 exception for PFBA. The LOD for PFBA was 1.47 ng L<sup>-1</sup>, which is higher than the value reported by Kourtchev et al. (2022) 158 and could potentially be due to higher background levels of the analyte in the system blanks.

## 159 2.5 Quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC)

160 Several steps were taken to ensure the QA and QC during the sampling and analysis. PFAS-containing consumables were

avoided as much as possible during the sampling, extraction, and LC-HRMS analysis. To prevent accumulation of PFAS in

- 162 the LC-HRMS system, prior to the analysis, the system was flushed with the mobile at composition of 60:40 A: B (A: 2 mM
- ammonium acetate in 10 % methanol and B: 100 % methanol) and 0.3 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate, overnight (Kourtchev et al., 2022).
- 164 System suitability tests (SST) were performed before the analysis of each batch to ensure the adequate performance of the LC-
- 165 HRMS system. Pass criteria were evaluated based on chromatographic peak area and height, retention times, mass accuracy,





- 166 and peak tailing factors. System blanks ("zero volume") and 80:20 water: methanol (v/v) blanks were injected at the start of
- 167 the batch, in between the samples, and at the end of the batch to monitor a potential PFAS carry over. The zero volume blanks
- and 80:20 water: methanol blanks reported PFAS concentrations less than the method LOD values.

# 169 3. Results and discussion

# 170 3.1 PFAS composition of PM<sub>10</sub> above the AS tank

- 171 Figure 1 shows the concentrations of PFAS detected in PM<sub>10</sub> samples collected above the AS tank during the two sampling
- 172 periods. Out of the 15 target PFAS, eight compounds were detected across the collected samples.











Figure 1 Concentrations of PFBA, PFBS, PFHpA, PFHxS, PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, and PFDA in the  $PM_{10}$  samples collected from the AS tank in October 2023 and March 2024. The absence of data points on certain sampling days indicates that the compound was either not detected or below the method LOD. The error bars represent the standard deviation of the value from three replicate injections. The data of Figure 1 are shown in Tables S3 and S4.

- 178
- The detected PFAS include short-chain PFBA and PFBS, medium-chain PFHpA, PFHxS, PFOA, PFNA, and PFOS, and longchain PFDA. The most abundant PFAS detected in the PM<sub>10</sub> from both sampling campaigns was a short-chain PFBA with a maximum concentration of 19.6±0.8 pg m<sup>-3</sup> in October 2023 and 8.8±0.9 pg m<sup>-3</sup> in March 2024. The concentration of PFAS detected in the samples from October 2023 followed the order PFBA>PFOS>PFOA>PFDA, with maximum concentrations recorded at 17.4±0.2 pg m<sup>-3</sup> for PFOS, 8.1±0.4 pg m<sup>-3</sup> for PFOA, and 3.7±0.1 pg m<sup>-3</sup> for PFDA. The samples collected during March 2024 showed a different pattern, with PFOA (1.70±0.01 pg m<sup>-3</sup>) having the highest concentration after PFBA, followed by PFOS at 0.76±0.02 pg m<sup>-3</sup>. It has been reported that aerosolisation of PFAS from contaminated water depends on carbon
- 186 chain length and functional groups, with higher aerosol enrichment for long chain PFAS and perfluorosulfonic acids (PFSA)
- 187 compared to PFCA (Johansson et al., 2019; Pandamkulangara Kizhakkethil et al., 2024; Reth et al., 2011). However, it is
- 188 interesting to note that the PFAS levels in the PM<sub>10</sub> in our study followed a reverse order with short chain PFBA detected at
- 189 higher values.

190 The detected PFAS have been associated with different sources. For example, PFOS, PFNA, and PFOA have historically been produced and used in the manufacturing of numerous products, such as firefighting foam, fluoropolymers, textiles, leather, 191 192 paper, and lubricants (ATSDR, 2015; Buck et al., 2011; de Alba-Gonzalez et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2014). PFHxS and its 193 salts/related compounds have been used in applications such as firefighting foam, coatings, electronics and semiconductors, 194 and polishing agents (in many of these applications PFHxS has been introduced as a replacement for PFOS) 195 (UNEP/POPS/POPRC.15/7/Add.1, 2019). PFBA and PFBS, have been used as replacements for legacy and longer chain PFAS (Ateia et al., 2019; Christian, 2024; Wang et al., 2013). PFBA is used in the manufacturing of food packaging materials, 196 197 carpets, and fluorosurfactants (Christian 2024; US EPA, 2022). PFBS and PFBS based compounds are used in applications 198 such as metal plating, as flame retardant, and surfactant (Wang et al., 2013). PFDA, a long chain PFAS identified in the PM 199 in this study, have been reported as a breakdown product of stain- and grease-proof coatings on food packaging, furniture, and 200 carpets (Christian, 2024).

Clear differences were observed in the concentrations of PFAS in  $PM_{10}$  samples from the two sampling campaigns. In general, concentrations of all detected PFAS except PFHxS were higher in the samples collected in October 2023 compared to the March 2024 samples. For example, highest concentration of PFBA reported during the March 2024 period was less than half of that reported in the October 2023 period. PFNA and PFDA were absent in the samples from March 2024, but they were detected in the October 2023 samples. The concentrations of PFHxS and PFHpA reported during both sampling periods were higher than the method LOD but slightly lower than the method limit of quantification (LOQ) values. There are several potential reasons for the observed seasonal differences in the concentrations of PFAS which include the pH value, density, and





208 composition of the wastewater. The pH value of the contaminated water has been found to affect the water to atmospheric 209 transfer behaviour of PFAS (Ahrens et al., 2012; Barton et al., 2007; Pandamkulanagra Kizhakkethil et al., 2024; Vierke et al., 210 2013). For example, the average pH of the wastewater in October 2023 was 7.5, whereas the average pH was 9.3 during the 211 March 2024 sampling campaign. Additionally, the sewage density and potentially the composition were different during the 212 two sampling periods (the pH and density data are not shown in the manuscript due to the non-disclosure agreement). PFAS are well known for their sorption to biosolids in sewage (Ebrahimi et al., 2021; Link et al., 2024). During the March 2024 213 214 sampling period, the sewage was thicker compared to October 2023, potentially leading to higher sorption of PFAS in the 215 biosolids and consequently lesser PM<sub>10</sub> associated emissions. It should be noted that the sewage composition was not static 216 during the sampling periods. The SRT of the AS tank was 10 days, and the chamber received and processed primary treated 217 wastewater from the parent WWTP continuously. Therefore, the variation in the sewage composition could potentially explain 218 the differences in the airborne PFAS concentration Moreover, the surface runoff, linked to rainfall, could also be a factor 219 influencing the overall PFAS levels, as it may introduce additional contaminants to the wastewater system.

Since the sampling campaigns were conducted at two different seasons, the atmospheric conditions e.g., temperature, relative humidity (See Fig. S1–S4 of a supplement for the average temperature and relative humidity at the sampling periods) could also influence the PFAS partitioning to aerosols from the contaminated water (Ahrens et al., 2012). It should be noted that the absence of PFNA and PFDA in the March 2024 samples could be attributed to lower concentration of these analytes in the sewage resulting in PFAS PM<sub>10</sub> bound concentrations below the method LOD.

225 Several PFAS exhibited day and night variations in PM<sub>10</sub> samples. For example, the PFBA concentration was higher during the day compared to the night in specific sampling days of October 2023. On the other hand, PFBA concentration during the 226 227 day was close to the background levels during the March 2024 campaign. PFHpA and PFHxS were not detected in the day 228 samples during both sampling campaigns. Legacy PFOS and PFOA showed higher concentrations during the day on specific 229 sampling days. The difference in the diurnal concentrations could potentially be due to variability in the composition of the 230 wastewater at the respective sampling time. The diurnal variations in the environmental conditions such as temperature and 231 relative humidity could also contribute to the observed higher PFAS concentrations observed in the specific day samples 232 compared to the night samples.

## 233 **3.2 Comparison to previous studies**

The observation of high levels of PFBA in our study is consistent with the results of Weinberg et al. (2011), who identified PFBA (up to 8.4 pg m<sup>-3</sup>) as the most abundant PFAS in the PM samples (TSP) collected above the aeration tanks of two WWTPs processing a mixture of domestic and industrial wastewater in Northern Germany. PFBA was also identified as the dominant ionic PFAS in the atmosphere of WWTPs in other studies (Shoeib et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2022). For example, air samples collected using sorbent-impregnated polyurethane foam (SIP) passive air samplers at WWTPs employing AS (processing mixed wastewater), secondary extended aeration (one processing domestic and the other two processing mixed





wastewater), and facultative seasonal discharge lagoons (processing domestic wastewater) in Canada detected PFBA up to 60 $\pm$ 21 % of the total PFCA detected (Shoeib et al. 2016). Similarly, Lin et al. (2022) reported PFBA at considerable levels in the atmosphere near the aeration tanks of two WWTPs and above a WWTP using CEPT (processing wastewater from urban areas) in Hong Kong, China. The concentrations of PFBA in TSP reported by Lin et al. (2022), with maximum values of 9.17 pg m<sup>-3</sup> and 15.6 pg m<sup>-3</sup> near the aeration tanks, which are comparable to the values reported in our study.

The high PM<sub>10</sub>-associated concentration of PFBA in our study could potentially be explained by the recent increase in the use of short-chain PFAS as a replacement for legacy PFOS and PFOA (Ateia et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2013). PFBA is one of the most volatile PFAS observed in our study. Further, short chain PFAS such as PFBA and PFBS are reported to have lower aerosolisation tendencies compared to long chain compounds (e.g., PFOS and PFOA) (Johansson et al., 2019; Pandamkulangara Kizhakkethil et al., 2024). Despite being volatile and having low aerosolisation tendency, the presence of PFBA in the PM<sub>10</sub> aerosols at considerable concentrations in our study could potentially be due to the presence of high levels of PFBA in the sewage during the sampling period.

The concentrations of PFAS in PM<sub>10</sub> reported in our study, except for PFHxS, were higher than those estimated by Weinberg 252 253 et al. (2011) in the particulate phase (TSP) above the aeration tanks of a WWTP that processed a mix of domestic and industrial waste in Northern Germany. For example, during the October 2023 sampling period, legacy PFAS such as PFOS and PFOA 254 were detected in our study at levels up to  $17.4 \pm 0.2$  pg m<sup>-3</sup> and  $8.1 \pm 0.4$  pg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. In contrast, the maximum 255 concentrations of PFOS and PFOA during March 2024 were  $0.76 \pm 0.02$  pg m<sup>-3</sup> and  $1.70 \pm 0.01$  pg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. Weinberg 256 et al. (2011) estimated PFOS and PFOA concentrations in the TSP to be up to 0.9 pg m<sup>-3</sup> and 1.3 pg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. The 257 difference in the PFAS emission levels could be potentially due to the difference in PFAS composition in the wastewater. 258 259 PFAS composition in wastewater across European Union (EU) have been reported to differ depending on the region (Lenka 260 et al., 2021)

The PFDA concentrations of (up to 1.31 pg m<sup>-3</sup>) in the TSP samples reported by Lin et al. (2022) above the aeration tanks of 261 WWTPs in Hong Kong, China were lower than the PFDA levels observed in our study during the October 2023 period ( $3.7 \pm$ 262 0.1 pg m<sup>-3</sup>). However, for other PFAS compounds such as PFBS, PFHxS, PFHpA, PFOA, PFOS, and PFNA, Lin et al. (2022) 263 264 reported considerably higher values in the TSP samples than those observed in our study. Lin et al. (2022) investigated the 265 distribution of PFAS across 11 PM size fractions (ranging from 0.1  $\mu$ m to >18  $\mu$ m) collected from three WWTPs (two using 266 aeration and one using CEPT), as well as a landfill and two reference sites. PFOS in PM from all studied WWTPs (treating urban wastewater) showed major distribution around the PM fractions with aerodynamic size between 0.1 and 10 µm. 267 Similarly, PFBA and PFBS were also found to be primarily associated with particles of aerodynamic size less than 10 µm, 268 269 indicating that the PM<sub>10</sub> collected in our study could have potentially captured a majority of the PFAS bound particles. The 270 reported values in our study therefore provide insights into the total aerosol bound emissions of studied PFAS during the WWT 271 process.

The PFAS reported in our study were significantly lower than the PM (TSP) values reported by Vierke et al. (2011) (processing wastewater from Ontario, an urban area in Canada). For example, the average PM concentrations of PFOS and PFOA above





the aeration tank of a WWTP in Canada study were 3900 pg m<sup>-3</sup> and 71 pg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively (Vierke et al., 2011). Similarly, Qiao et al. (2024) also reported considerably higher values for legacy PFOS (1.7–65.1 pg m<sup>-3</sup>) and PFOA (3.1–101 pg m<sup>-3</sup>) in the TSP samples above the influent and aeration tanks of two WWTPs (one processing domestic wastewater and the other one processing industrial wastewater) in China.

278 It is interesting to note that the PFAS levels in PM<sub>10</sub> reported in our study are comparable to those reported by Weinberg et al. 279 (2011) in the TSP samples, which is the only study that investigated atmospheric PFAS levels in European WWTPs. The 280 similarity in the TSP and PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations could be due to PFAS being associated mainly with aerosols having aerodynamic 281 size less than 10 µm as shown for several type of sewage in Lin et al. (2022). In contrast, higher PFAS levels in TSP samples 282 were reported in all other studies conducted at WWTPs in Canada and China (Lin et al., 2022; Vierke et al., 2011; Qiao et al., 283 2024). The differences of PFAS levels in PM could potentially be due to variations in wastewater composition in these regions. 284 For example, the WWTP studied by Vierke et al. (2011) is situated in Ontario, a heavily industrialised city in Canada. Similarly, the WWTPs investigated by Lin et al. (2022) and Qiao et al. (2024) are located in China, one of the most heavily industrialised 285 286 countries in the world. The facility in our study processes sewage mainly from households (for approximately 30,000 people) 287 rather than industries, which may contain lower PFAS levels in the sewage and thus in aerosol. The total PFAS concentrations associated with PM<sub>10</sub> fractions in our study were 15.49 pg m<sup>-3</sup> in October 2023 and 4.25 pg m<sup>-3</sup> in March 2024 (see Table S3 288 and S4 of supplement), which is comparable (2-13 pg m<sup>-3</sup>) to that in the TSP from mixed wastewater in Northern Germany 289 290 reported by Weinberg et al. (2011).

## 291 4. Conclusion

- In this study, we investigated, for the first time, the PFAS concentrations associated with the health-relevant  $PM_{10}$  fraction of airborne aerosols emitted during the AS aeration process at a WWTP processing domestic wastewater.  $PM_{10}$  samples were collected over two sampling campaigns at two different seasons (i.e., October 2023 and March 2024) above a scaled-down AS tank consisting of an aeration basin of volume ~3 m<sup>3</sup>, treating wastewater equivalent to > 10,000 people. Eight PFAS were observed across the collected  $PM_{10}$  samples. These include legacy PFOS and PFOA, which were detected up to concentrations of 17.4±0.2 pg m<sup>-3</sup> and 8.1±0.4 pg m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively in the samples from October 2023.
- The presence of legacy PFOS and PFOA in the PM even after a decade-long restriction raises concern and suggests that PFOS and PFOA-containing products are still in use or in the recirculation cycle. More studies are needed to understand if these legacy compounds could have been formed in the wastewater during the treatment process from the degradation of precursor compounds such as fluorotelomer alcohols (FTOH) perfluorooctane sulfonamides (FOSA), perfluorooctane sulfonamidoethanols (FOSE) as suggested by Dauchy et al. (2017) and Xiao (2022).
- 303 Presence of PFBA at high concentrations in the collected samples potentially suggests the increased shift towards the use of
- 304 short-chain PFAS as a replacement for legacy PFAS.





305 Our results indicate that WWT processes involving aeration could aerosolise and transfer PFAS into the atmosphere. 306 Considering the sheer number of different PFAS that are in the production and used today, the estimated total PFAS 307 concentrations could potentially represent only a fraction of the actual emissions during the aeration process.

- 308 To the best knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the presence of PFAS in the  $PM_{10}$  fraction of the airborne aerosols
- 309 from the AS aeration process in a WWTP in the UK and Europe.

## 310 Data availability

- 311 The data are not publicly accessible due to a non-disclosure agreement with a wastewater treatment company, which is also
- anonymised. The data of Figure 1 are shown in Tables S3 and S4.

## 313 Competing interests

314 Some authors are members of the editorial board of journal ACP. The authors have no other competing interests to declare.

## 315 Acknowledgements

- 316 The authors wish to acknowledge Coventry University QR funding for providing Trailblazers PhD studentship (acquired by
- 317 Dr Ivan Kourtchev) to Jishnu Pandamkulangara Kizhakkethil and the Centre for Agroecology, Water and Resilience (CAWR),
- 318 Coventry University for providing financial support.

## 319 Author contributions

- 320 JPK conceived the study and performed filed measurements, sampling, sample analysis, data processing and interpretation.
- 321 AB supervised the project. ZS supervised the project and provided resources. IK conceived and led the study, supervised the
- 322 project, obtained funding, provided the resources, performed field measurements, sampling, data interpretation. JPK and IK
- 323 prepared the original draft of the paper. All authors contributed to reviewing and editing the manuscript.
- 324

## 325 **References**

- 326 Abbey, D. E., Hwang, B. L., Burchette, R. J., Vancuren, T., and Mills, P. K.: Estimated long-term ambient concentrations of
- 327 PM<sub>10</sub> and development of respiratory symptoms in a nonsmoking population, Arch. Environ. Health Int. J., 50(2), 139-
- 328 152, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00039896.1995.9940891</u>,1995.

329





330	Ahrens, L., Shoeib, M., Harner, T., Lee, S. C., Guo, R., and Reiner, E. J.: Wastewater treatment plant and landfills as sources
331	of polyfluoroalkyl compounds to the atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45(19), 8098-
332	8105, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es1036173</u> , 2011.
333	
334	Ahrens, L., Harner, T., Shoeib, M., Lane, D. A., and Murphy, J. G.: Improved characterization of gas-particle partitioning
335	for per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the atmosphere using annular diffusion denuder samplers, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
336	46(13), 7199-7206, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es300898s</u> , 2012.
337	
338	Ateia, M., Maroli, A., Tharayil, N., and Karanfil, T.: The overlooked short- and ultrashort-chain poly- and perfluorinated
339	substances: A review, Chemosphere, 220, 866-882, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.186, 2019.
340	
341	ATSDR Draft toxicological profile for perfluoroalkyls. www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp200.pdf, last access: 6 September
342	2024, 2015.
343	
344	Barton, C. A., Kaiser, M. A., and Russell, M. H.: Partitioning and removal of perfluorooctanoate during rain events: The
345	importance of physical-chemical properties, J. Environ. Monit., 9(8), 839-846, https://doi.org/10.1039/B703510A, 2007.
346	
347	Beach, S. A., Newsted, J. L., Coady, K., and Giesy, J. P.: Ecotoxicological evaluation of perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS),
348	in: Reviews of environmental contamination and toxicology: Continuation of residue reviews, edited by: Albert, L. A., de
349	Voogt, P., Gerba, C. P., Hutzinger, O., Knaak, J. B., Mayer, F. L., Morgan, D. P., Park, D. L., Tjeerdema, R. S., Whitacre, D.
350	M., Yang, R. S. H., Ware, G. W., Nigg, H. N., Doerge, D. R., and Gunther, F. A., Springer New York, New York, NY, 133-
351	174, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-32883-1_5</u> , 2006.
352	
353	Boucher, O., Randall, D., Artaxo, P., Bretherton, C., Feingold, G., Forster, P., Kerminen, VM, Kondo, Y., Liao, H.,
354	Lohmann, U., Rasch, P., Satheesh, S. K., Sherwood, S., Stevens, B., and Zhang, X.Y.: Clouds and aerosols. in: Climate
355	change 2013: The physical science basis. contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the
356	intergovernmental panel on climate change [Stocker, T. F., D. Qin, GK. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A.
357	Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P. M. Midgley (Eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New
358	York, NY, USA. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5_Chapter07_FINAL-1.pdf, 2013.
359	
360	Brendel, S., Fetter, É., Staude, C., Vierke, L., and Biegel-Engler, A.: Short-chain perfluoroalkyl acids: environmental

- 361 concerns and a regulatory strategy under REACH, Environ. Sci. Eur., 30(1), 9, <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-018-0134-4</u>,
  362 2018.
- 363





364	Brusseau, M. L., Anderson, R. H., and Guo, B.: PFAS concentrations in soils: Background levels versus contaminated sites,
365	Sci. Total Environ., 740, 140017, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140017, 2020.
366	
367	Buck, R. C., Franklin, J., Berger, U., Conder, J. M., Cousins, I. T., de Voogt, P., Jensen, A. A., Kannan, K., Mabury, S. A.,
368	and van Leeuwen, S. P. J.: Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the environment: Terminology, classification,
369	and origins, Integr. Environ. Assess. Manag., 7(4), 513-541, https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.258, 2011.
370	
371	Chen, SL., Chang, SW., Chen, YJ., and Chen, HL.: Possible warming effect of fine particulate matter in the
372	atmosphere, Commun. Earth Environ., 2(1), 208, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00278-5, 2021.
373	
374	Christian, N. P.: Chemical toxicity of per- and poly-fluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS), in: Encyclopedia of Toxicology
375	(Fourth Edition), edited by: Wexler, P., Academic Press, Oxford, 747-756, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-824315-
376	<u>2.01052-6</u> , 2024.
377	
378	Dauchy, X., Boiteux, V., Bach, C., Colin, A., Hemard, J., Rosin, C., and Munoz, JF.: Mass flows and fate of per- and
379	polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in the wastewater treatment plant of a fluorochemical manufacturing facility, Sci. Total
380	Environ., 576, 549-558, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.130, 2017.
381	
382	de Alba-Gonzalez, M., del Carmen González-Caballero, M., and Tarazona, J. V.: Perfluorooctanoic acid, in: Encyclopedia of
383	Toxicology (Fourth Edition), edited by: Wexler, P., Academic Press, Oxford, 367-376, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-
384	<u>824315-2.00760-0</u> , 2024.
385	
386	Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the quality of water
387	intended for human consumption (recast) (Text with EEA relevance). http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2020/2184/oj, last access:
388	4 September 2024, 2020.
389	
390	Domingo, J. L. and Nadal, M.: Human exposure to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) through drinking water: A
391	review of the recent scientific literature, Environ. Res., 177, 108648, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.108648, 2019.
392	
393	Ebrahimi, F., Lewis, A. J., Sales, C. M., Suri, R., and McKenzie, E. R.: Linking PFAS partitioning behavior in sewage solids
394	to the solid characteristics, solution chemistry, and treatment processes, Chemosphere, 271, 129530,
395	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.129530, 2021.
396	

15





- ECHA Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS). https://echa.europa.eu/hot-topics/perfluoroalkyl-chemicals-pfas, last 397 398 access: 4 September 2024, 2022a. 399 400 ECHA Proposal to ban 'forever chemicals' in firefighting foams throughout the EU, European Chemicals Agency. 401 https://echa.europa.eu/de/-/proposal-to-ban-forever-chemicals-in-firefighting-foams-throughout-the-eu, last access: 4 402 September 2024, 2022b. 403 404 Eriksson, U., Haglund, P., and Kärrman, A.: Contribution of precursor compounds to the release of per- and polyfluoroalkyl 405 substances (PFASs) from waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), J. Environ. Sci., 61, 80-90, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.05.004, 2017. 406 407 408 Fenton, S. E., Ducatman, A., Boobis, A., DeWitt, J. C., Lau, C., Ng, C., Smith, J. S., and Roberts, S. M.: Per- and 409 polyfluoroalkyl substance toxicity and human health review: Current state of knowledge and strategies for informing future 410 research, Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 40(3), 606-630, https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4890, 2021. 411 412 Glüge, J., Scheringer, M., Cousins, I. T., DeWitt, J. C., Goldenman, G., Herzke, D., Lohmann, R., Ng, C. A., Trier, X., and 413 Wang, Z.: An overview of the uses of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), Environ. Sci.: Process. Impacts, 22(12), 2345-2373. https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EM00291G. 2020. 414 415 Gobelius, L., Glimstedt, L., Olsson, J., Wiberg, K., and Ahrens, L.: Mass flow of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances 416 417 (PFAS) in a Swedish municipal wastewater network and wastewater treatment plant, Chemosphere, 336, 139182, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.139182, 2023. 418 419 420 Goldstein, A. H. and Galbally, I. E.: Known and unexplored organic constituents in the Earth's atmosphere, Environ. Sci. 421 Technol., 41(5), 1514-1521. https://doi.org/10.1021/es072476p, 2007. 422 423 Gomis, M. I., Vestergren, R., Borg, D., and Cousins, I. T.: Comparing the toxic potency in vivo of long-chain perfluoroalkyl 424 acids and fluorinated alternatives, Environ. Int., 113, 1-9, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.01.011, 2018. 425 426 Johansson, J. H., Salter, M. E., Acosta Navarro, J. C., Leck, C., Nilsson, E. D., and Cousins, I. T.: Global transport of 427 perfluoroalkyl acids via sea spray aerosol, Environ. Sci.: Process. Impacts, 21(4), 635-428 649, https://doi.org/10.1039/C8EM00525G, 2019.
- 429





430	Kourtchev, I., Hellebust, S., Heffernan, E., Wenger, J., Towers, S., Diapouli, E., and Eleftheriadis, K.: A new on-line SPE
431	$\label{eq:LC-HRMS} LC-HRMS \ method \ for \ the \ analysis \ of \ Perfluoroalkyl \ and \ Polyfluoroalkyl \ Substances \ (PFAS) \ in \ PM_{2.5} \ and \ its \ application \ for \ for \ analysis \ of \ Perfluoroalkyl \ and \ Polyfluoroalkyl \ Substances \ (PFAS) \ in \ PM_{2.5} \ and \ its \ application \ for \ for \ analysis \ anananalysis \ analysis \ analysis$
432	screening atmospheric particulates from Dublin and Enniscorthy, Ireland, Sci. Total Environ., 835, 155496,
433	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155496, 2022.
434	
435	Lenka, S. P., Kah, M., and Padhye, L. P.: A review of the occurrence, transformation, and removal of poly- and
436	perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in wastewater treatment plants, Water Res., 199, 117187,
437	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117187, 2021.
438	
439	Lesmeister, L., Lange, F. T., Breuer, J., Biegel-Engler, A., Giese, E., and Scheurer, M.: Extending the knowledge about
440	PFAS bioaccumulation factors for agricultural plants – A review, Sci. Total Environ., 766, 142640,
441	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142640, 2021.
442	
443	Li, Y., Liu, Y., Shi, G., Liu, C., Hao, Q., and Wu, L.: Occurrence and risk assessment of perfluorooctanoate (PFOA) and
444	perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) in surface water, groundwater and sediments of the Jin River Basin, Southeastern China,
445	Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.,108(6), 1026-1032. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-021-03435-w, 2022.
446	
447	Lin, H., Lao, JY., Wang, Q., Ruan, Y., He, Y., Lee, P. K. H., Leung, K. M. Y., and Lam, P. K. S.: Per- and polyfluoroalkyl
448	substances in the atmosphere of waste management infrastructures: Uncovering secondary fluorotelomer alcohols, particle
449	size distribution, and human inhalation exposure, Environ. Int., 167, 107434, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2022.107434,
450	2022.
451	
452	Link, G. W., Reeves, D. M., Cassidy, D. P., and Coffin, E. S.: Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in final treated
453	solids (Biosolids) from 190 Michigan wastewater treatment plants, J. Hazard. Mater., 463, 132734,
454	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2023.132734, 2024.
455	
456	Liu, S., Yang, R., Yin, N., and Faiola, F.: The short-chain perfluorinated compounds PFBS, PFHxS, PFBA and PFHxA,
457	disrupt human mesenchymal stem cell self-renewal and adipogenic differentiation, J. Environ. Sci., 88, 187-199,
458	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2019.08.016, 2020.
459	
460	McMurdo, C. J., Ellis, D. A., Webster, E., Butler, J., Christensen, R. D., and Reid, L. K.: Aerosol enrichment of the
461	surfactant PFO and mediation of the water-air transport of gaseous PFOA, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42(11), 3969-3974.
462	https://doi.org/10.1021/es7032026, 2008.
463	



464



465 M., Guerriero, E., Petracchini, F., Cavaliere, C., Laganà, A., and Paolini, V.: Occurrence of per- and polyfluorinated alkyl 466 substances in wastewater treatment plants in Northern Italy, Sci. Total Environ., 894, 165089, 467 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.165089, 2023. 468 Müller, V., Kindness, A., and Feldmann, J.: Fluorine mass balance analysis of PFAS in communal waters at a wastewater 469 plant from Austria, Water Res., 244, 120501, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2023.120501, 2023. 470 471 472 Nguyen, D., Stults, J., Devon, J., Novak, E., Lanza, H., Choi, Y., Lee, L., and Schaefer, C. E.: Removal of per- and 473 polyfluoroalkyl substances from wastewater via aerosol capture, J. Hazard. Mater., 465, 133460, 474 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.133460, 2024. 475 476 Nguyen, M. A., Wiberg, K., Ribeli, E., Josefsson, S., Futter, M., Gustavsson, J., and Ahrens, L.: Spatial distribution and 477 source tracing of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in surface water in Northern Europe, Environ. Pollut., 220, 478 1438-1446, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.10.089, 2017. 479 O'Connor, J., Bolan, N. S., Kumar, M., Nitai, A. S., Ahmed, M. B., Bolan, S. S., Vithanage, M., Rinklebe, J., 480 Mukhopadhyay, R., Srivastava, P., Sarkar, B., Bhatnagar, A., Wang, H., Siddique, K. H. M., and Kirkham, M. B.: 481 Distribution, transformation and remediation of poly- and per-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in wastewater sources, Process 482 Saf. Environ. Prot., 164, 91-108, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2022.06.002, 2022. 483 484 485 Pandamkulangara Kizhakkethil, J., Shi, Z., Bogush, A., and Kourtchev, I.: Aerosolisation of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) during aeration of contaminated aqueous solutions, Atmos. Environ., 334, 120716, 486 487 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2024.120716, 2024. 488 489 Phong Vo, H. N., Ngo, H. H., Guo, W., Hong Nguyen, T. M., Li, J., Liang, H., Deng, L., Chen, Z., and Hang Nguyen, T. A.: 490 Poly-and perfluoroalkyl substances in water and wastewater: A comprehensive review from sources to remediation, J. Water 491 Process Eng., 36, 101393, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101393, 2020. 492 493 Podder, A., Sadmani, A. H. M. A., Reinhart, D., Chang, N.-B., and Goel, R.: Per and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) as 494 a contaminant of emerging concern in surface water: A transboundary review of their occurrences and toxicity effects, J. Hazard. Mater., 419, 126361, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.126361, 2021. 495 496

Moneta, B. G., Feo, M. L., Torre, M., Tratzi, P., Aita, S. E., Montone, C. M., Taglioni, E., Mosca, S., Balducci, C., Cerasa,





Pope III, C. A., Schwartz, J., and Ransom, M. R.: Daily mortality and PM<sub>10</sub> pollution in Utah Valley, Arch. Environ. Health., 497 47(3), 211-217, https://doi.org/10.1080/00039896.1992.9938351, 1992. 498 499 500 Pope III, C. A., Coleman, N., Pond, Z. A., and Burnett, R. T.: Fine particulate air pollution and human mortality: 25+ years of cohort studies, Environ. Res., 183, 108924, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.108924, 2020. 501 502 503 Qiao, B., Chen, H., Song, D., Yu, H., Baqar, M., Li, X., Zhao, L., Yao, Y., and Sun, H.: Multimedia distribution and release 504 characteristics of emerging PFAS in wastewater treatment plants in Tianjin, China, J. Hazard. Mater., 475, 134879, 505 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.134879, 2024. 506 507 Reth, M., Berger, U., Broman, D., Cousins, I. T., Nilsson, E. D., and McLachlan, M. S.: Water-to-air transfer of 508 perfluorinated carboxylates and sulfonates in a sea spray simulator, Environ. Chem., 8(4), 381–388, https://doi.org/10.1071/EN11007, 2011. 509 510 Saikat, S., Kreis, I., Davies, B., Bridgman, S., and Kamanyire, R.: The impact of PFOS on health in the general population: a 511 512 review, Environ. Sci.: Process. Impacts, 15(2), 329-335, https://doi.org/10.1039/C2EM30698K, 2013. 513 Semerád, J., Hatasová, N., Grasserová, A., Černá, T., Filipová, A., Hanč, A., Innemanová, P., Pivokonský, M., and Cajthaml, 514 T.: Screening for 32 per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) including GenX in sludges from 43 WWTPs located in the 515 516 Czech Republic - Evaluation of potential accumulation in vegetables after application of biosolids, Chemosphere, 261, 517 128018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128018, 2020. 518 Shoeib, M., Schuster, J., Rauert, C., Su, K., Smyth, S.-A., and Harner, T.: Emission of poly and perfluoroalkyl substances, 519 520 UV-filters and siloxanes to air from wastewater treatment plants, Environ. Pollut., 218, 595-604, 521 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.07.043, 2016. 522 523 Solan, M. E. and Lavado, R.: The use of in vitro methods in assessing human health risks associated with short-chain 524 perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), J. Appl. Toxicol., 42(8), 1298-1309, https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.4270, 525 2022. 526 527 Sunderland, E. M., Hu, X. C., Dassuncao, C., Tokranov, A. K., Wagner, C. C., and Allen, J. G.: A review of the pathways of human exposure to poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) and present understanding of health effects, J. Expo. Sci. 528 529 Environ. Epidemiol., 29(2), 131-147, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41370-018-0094-1, 2019. 530 19





531	Taylor, K. E. and Penner, J. E.: Response of the climate system to atmospheric aerosols and greenhouse gases,
532	Nature, 369(6483), 734-737, https://doi.org/10.1038/369734a0, 1994.
533	
534	Turpin, B. J., Huntzicker, J. J., and Hering, S. V.: Investigation of organic aerosol sampling artifacts in the Los Angeles
535	basin, Atmos. Environ., 28, 3061-3071, https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)00133-6, 1994.
536	
537	UNEP/POPS/POPRC.15/7/Add.1 Report of the persistent organic pollutants review committee on the work of its fifteenth
538	meeting, addendum; Risk management evaluation on perfluorohexane sulfonic acid (PFHxS), its salts and PFHxS-related
539	compounds. <u>https://chm.pops.int/Portals/0/download.aspx?d=UNEP-POPS-POPRC.15-7-Add.1.English.PDF</u> , last access: 6
540	September 2024, 2019
541	
542	US EPA IRIS toxicological review of perfluorobutanoic acid (PFBA, CASRN 375-22-4) and related salts.
543	https://iris.epa.gov/static/pdfs/0701_summary.pdf, last access: 11 September 2024, 2022.
544	
545	US EPA Final PFAS national primary drinking water regulation. https://www.epa.gov/sdwa/and-polyfluoroalkyl-substances-
546	pfas, last access: 4 September 2024, 2024a
547	
548	US EPA Our current understanding of the human health and environmental risks of PFAS. https://www.epa.gov/pfas/our-
549	current-understanding-human-health-and-environmental-risks-pfas, last access: 4 September 2024, 2024b
550	
551	Vierke, L., Ahrens, L., Shoeib, M., Reiner, E. J., Guo, R., Palm, W., Ebinghaus, R., and Harner, T.: Air concentrations and
552	particle-gas partitioning of polyfluoroalkyl compounds at a wastewater treatment plant, Environ. Chem., 8(4), 363-371,
553	https://doi.org/10.1071/EN10133, 2011.
55/	
554	
555	
556	Vierke, L., Berger, U., and Cousins, I. T.: Estimation of the acid dissociation constant of perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acids
	Vierke, L., Berger, U., and Cousins, I. T.: Estimation of the acid dissociation constant of perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acids through an experimental investigation of their water-to-air transport, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47(19), 11032–11039,
557	Vierke, L., Berger, U., and Cousins, I. T.: Estimation of the acid dissociation constant of perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acids through an experimental investigation of their water-to-air transport, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47(19), 11032–11039, https://doi.org/10.1021/es402691z, 2013.
557 558	Vierke, L., Berger, U., and Cousins, I. T.: Estimation of the acid dissociation constant of perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acids through an experimental investigation of their water-to-air transport, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47(19), 11032–11039, https://doi.org/10.1021/es402691z, 2013.

- 560 particle pollution generated by fossil fuel combustion: Results from GEOS-Chem, Environ. Res., 195, 110754,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.110754, 2021. 561
- 562





- Wang, Z., Cousins, I. T., Scheringer, M., and Hungerbühler, K.: Fluorinated alternatives to long-chain perfluoroalkyl
  carboxylic acids (PFCAs), perfluoroalkane sulfonic acids (PFSAs) and their potential precursors, Environ. Int., 60, 242-248,
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.08.021</u>, 2013.
  Wang, Z., Cousins, I. T., Scheringer, M., Buck, R. C., and Hungerbühler, K.: Global emission inventories for C4–C14
  perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acid (PFCA) homologues from 1951 to 2030, part II: The remaining pieces of the puzzle, Environ.
  Int., 69, 166-176, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.04.006</u>, 2014.
- Wang, Z., Cousins, I. T., Scheringer, M., and Hungerbuehler, K.: Hazard assessment of fluorinated alternatives to long-chain
   perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) and their precursors: Status quo, ongoing challenges and possible solutions, Environ. Int., 75,
- 573 172-179, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.11.01</u>3, 2015.
- 574
- 575 Weinberg, I., Dreyer, A., and Ebinghaus, R.: Waste water treatment plants as sources of polyfluorinated compounds,
- 576 polybrominated diphenyl ethers and musk fragrances to ambient air, Environ. Pollut., 159, 125-132,
- 577 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.09.023</u>, 2011.
- 578
- Xiao, F.: An overview of the formation of PFOA and PFOS in drinking-water and wastewater treatment processes, J.
  Environ. Eng., 148(4), 1822001, <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0001986</u>, 2022.
- 581
- 582 Xiao, F., Simcik, M. F., Halbach, T. R., and Gulliver, J. S.: Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoate
- (PFOA) in soils and groundwater of a U.S. metropolitan area: Migration and implications for human exposure, Water Res.,
  72, 64-74, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.09.052</u>, 2015.
- 585
- 586 Zareitalabad, P., Siemens, J., Hamer, M., and Amelung, W.: Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctanesulfonic
- acid (PFOS) in surface waters, sediments, soils and wastewater a review on concentrations and distribution coefficients,
  Chemosphere, 91, 725-732, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.02.024, 2013.
- 589
- 590 Zhang, H., Li, L., Song, J., Akhter, Z. H., and Zhang, J.: Understanding aerosol-climate-ecosystem interactions and the 591 implications for terrestrial carbon sink using the Community Earth System Model, Agric. For. Meteorol., 340, 109625,
- 592 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2023.109625, 2023.
- 593
- Zhou, J., Baumann, K., Mead, R. N., Skrabal, S. A., Kieber, R. J., Avery, G. B., Shimizu, M., DeWitt, J. C., Sun, M., Vance,
  S. A., Bodnar, W., Zhang, Z., Collins, L. B., Surratt, J. D., and Turpin, B. J.: PFOS dominates PFAS composition in ambient





- 596 fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) collected across North Carolina nearly 20 years after the end of its US production, Environ.
- 597 Sci.: Process. Impacts, 23(4), 580–587, <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EM00497A</u>, 2021.
- 598
- 599 Zhou, J., Baumann, K., Surratt, J. D., and Turpin, B. J.: Legacy and emerging airborne per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances
- 600 (PFAS) collected on PM<sub>2.5</sub> filters in close proximity to a fluoropolymer manufacturing facility, Environ. Sci.: Process.
- 601 Impacts, 24(12), 2272–2283, <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/D2EM00358A</u>, 2022.
- 602
- Kongen K. K., Kiao, H.-J., Hu, J.-J., Xiong, H., Cai, X.-Y., and Ye, D.-W.: Global burden of lung cancer attributable to
- household fine particulate matter pollution in 204 countries and territories, 1990 to 2019, J. Thorac. Oncol., 19(6), 883-
- 605 897, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2024.01.014</u>, 2024.