- 1 Eco-evolutionary Modelling of Global Vegetation Dynamics and the Impact of CO<sub>2</sub>
- 2 during the late Quaternary: Insights from Contrasting Periods
- Jierong Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Boya Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Sandy P. Harrison<sup>1,\*</sup>, Iain Colin Prentice<sup>2</sup> 3
- 4 <sup>1</sup> Department of Geography and Environmental Science, University of Reading, Whiteknights,
- 5 Reading, RG6 6AB, UK
- 6 <sup>2</sup> Georgina Mace Centre for the Living Planet, Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College
- 7 London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road, Ascot, SL5 7PY, UK
- 8 \* Corresponding author: Sandy P. Harrison, s.p.harrison@reading.ac.uk
- 9 Ms for: Earth System Dynamics
- 10 **Abstract**
- 11 Changes in climate have had major impacts on global vegetation during the Quaternary.
- 12 However, variations in CO<sub>2</sub> levels also play a role in shaping vegetation dynamics by
- influencing plant productivity and water-use efficiency, and consequently the relative 13
- competitive success of the C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> photosynthetic pathways. We use an eco-evolutionary 14
- optimality (EEO) based modelling approach to examine the impacts of climate fluctuations and 15
- CO<sub>2</sub>-induced alterations on gross primary production (GPP). We considered two contrasting 16
- periods, the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 21,000 years before present) and the mid-Holocene 17
- 18 (MH, 6,000 years before present) and compared both to pre-industrial conditions (PI). The
- LGM, characterised by generally colder and drier climate, had a CO<sub>2</sub> level close to the 19
- 20 minimum for effective C<sub>3</sub> plant operation. In contrast, the MH had warmer summers and
- 21 increased monsoonal rainfall in the northern hemisphere, although with a CO<sub>2</sub> level still below
- 22 PI. We simulated vegetation primary production at the LGM and the MH compared to the PI
- 23 baseline using a light-use efficiency model that simulates GPP coupled to an EEO model that
- simulates leaf area index (LAI) and C<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>4</sub> competition. We found that low CO<sub>2</sub> at the LGM 24
- 25 was nearly as important as climate in reducing tree cover, increasing the abundance of C<sub>4</sub> plants
- 26 and lowering GPP. Global GPP in the MH was similar to the PI (although greater than the
- 27 LGM), also reflecting CO<sub>2</sub> constraints on plant growth despite the positive impacts of warmer
- and/or wetter climates experienced in the northern hemisphere and tropical regions. These 28
- 29 results emphasise the importance of taking account of impacts of changing CO<sub>2</sub> levels on plant
- 30 growth to model ecosystem changes.

### 1 Introduction

31

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52 53

54 55

56

57

58 59

60

69

70 71

72 73

74

75

76

32 Vegetation regulates the exchanges of energy, water, and carbon dioxide between the land and the atmosphere (Williams and Torn, 2015; Forzieri et al., 2020; Hoek van Dijke et al., 2020). 33 Gross primary production (GPP), defined as the carbon uptake by vegetation through 34 35 photosynthesis at the ecosystem scale, determines the extent to which the terrestrial biosphere can mitigate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Bonan, 2008; Zeng et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2019). There is a tight 36 coupling between CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and water loss via stomata, such that when ambient CO<sub>2</sub> is high 37 water-use efficiency (the amount of water required for transpiration to achieve a unit of CO<sub>2</sub> 38 assimilation) is also high (Medlyn et al., 2017). Recent global greening trends are thought to 39 reflect both changes in climate, particularly warming at high latitudes, and the effect of 40 41 increasing CO<sub>2</sub> on water-use efficiency (Cai and Prentice, 2020; Piao et al., 2020). However, 42 there is still uncertainty about the relative importance of these two effects on recent changes in 43 global GPP, in part because recent climate changes have been largely driven by the increase in 44  $CO_2$ .

Past climate states provide opportunities to examine the role of climate and CO<sub>2</sub> in modulating GPP when there is a greater de-coupling between changes in CO<sub>2</sub> and climate. The contrast between glacial and interglacial states during the Late Quaternary offers an ideal opportunity to separate the impact of these two factors on vegetation. Glacial-interglacial shifts in climate are largely driven by changes in orbital configuration which resulted in changes in the seasonal and latitudinal patterns of incoming solar radiation (Berger, 1978; Berger and Loutre, 1991). The Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), ca 21,000 years ago, had an orbital configuration similar to the present but was characterised by the presence of large continental ice sheets and generally colder and drier climates (Kageyama et al., 2021). The CO<sub>2</sub> level was ca 190 ppm, which is close to the minimum for effective C<sub>3</sub> plant operation (Gerhart and Ward, 2010). The mid-Holocene (MH), ca 6000 years ago, was characterised by a significantly different seasonal and latitudinal distribution of incoming solar radiation (a result of changes in obliquity and precession) which affected light availability for photosynthesis and produced warmer summers in the northern hemisphere and wetter conditions in the sub-tropics (Brierley et al., 2020). However, ambient CO<sub>2</sub> was only ca 264 ppm (Otto-Bleisner et al., 2017), somewhat lower than the pre-industrial (PI) period (285 ppm) and considerably lower than today.

Three sets of factors could potentially impact vegetation productivity changes between the 61 62 LGM, MH and pre-industrial periods: changes in climate, atmospheric CO2 and solar radiation. Several published studies have simulated LGM climate impacts on vegetation (and/or fire, 63 interacting with vegetation), with – or without – consideration of the additional physiological 64 effects of low CO2 on plants (Levis et al. 1999, Harrison and Prentice 2003, Martin Calvo et 65 al. 2014). Other studies have performed factorial experiments to more formally separate the 66 effects of climate and CO<sub>2</sub> (Woillez et al. 2011, O'ishi & Abe-Ouchi 2013, Claussen et al. 67 2013, Martin Calvo & Prentice 2015, Chen et al. 2019, Haas et al. 2023). 68

Comparison among these studies of LGM-to-recent primary production shifts is approximate at best because they have used different climate models and experimental protocols. Some have used pre-industrial conditions as a reference; others, modern (higher-CO2) conditions. However, they all have used land ecosystem models based on the plant functional type (PFT) concept. Uncertainty in the delimitation of PFTs and the parameter values assigned to them is endemic to this type of model, as variation of quantitative traits within PFTs in the real world is generally much larger than variation between them (Kattge et al., 2011). In some cases, the model PFT representation has resulted in an unrealistic simulation of LGM vegetation patterns

77 (e.g. Woillez et al. 2011). Here we use the P model (Stocker et al. 2020), which accounts for 78 acclimation and adaptation to environment independently of PFTs on the basis of universal 79 eco-evolutionary optimality (EEO) hypotheses. The P model has been subject to extensive 80 evaluation against worldwide data from eddy covariance flux towers across all biomes. We include an extension of the P model which simulates foliage cover and its seasonal cycle – also 81 82 independently of PFTs. This extended model has been shown to reproduce foliage amounts 83 and seasonal dynamics more accurately than any state-of-the-art vegetation model (Zhou et al., 2025). We use a simple process-based scheme to represent the relative competitive success of 84 C<sub>3</sub> versus C<sub>4</sub> plants, which has been validated against worldwide soil carbon stable isotope 85 data (Lavergne et al., 2024). This combination of three independently tested, PFT-independent 86 87 modelling components enables us, for the first time, to apply an EEO-based approach to simulate LGM and recent vegetation function in a globally uniform way 88

There has been some work on the implications of MH climate for biome distributions (e.g. 89 Kaplan et al., 2003; Wohlfahrt et al., 2008) but little consideration of the impacts of climate 90 and CO<sub>2</sub> on global productivity changes since the MH (Foley, 1994; François et al. 1999). 91 Here, we use the same consistent methodology that we apply to the LGM to estimate MH-to-92 pre-industrial changes in global GPP. Our analysis includes the effect of changes in the light 93 94 regime, which are a necessary consequence of changes in the seasonal and latitudinal distribution of insolation due to orbital forcing, as well as changes in cloud cover linked to 95 96 monsoon shifts.

EEO-based modelling approaches provide parameter-sparse representations of plant and vegetation processes, thus considerably reducing uncertainties due to model parameterisation (Harrison et al, 2021). They have been shown to perform as well or better than more complex models under recent conditions (Cai et al., 2025; Zhou et al., 2025) and thus can provide a robust way of modelling vegetation changes under different climate regimes. We use a series of counter-factual experiments to examine the magnitude of changes due to individual drivers (climate parameters, solar radiation and CO<sub>2</sub>) on the simulated GPP and to determine the regions where specific factors are most influential.

### 2 Methods

97 98

99

100 101

102

103 104

105

106 107

# 2.1 Modelling Scheme

108 We simulated vegetation changes at the LGM and the MH compared to the pre-industrial (PI) 109 state using a sequence of linked models that predict GPP, leaf area index (LAI) and C<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>4</sub> 110 competition based on EEO theory (Fig. 1). We first simulate potential GPP (equivalent to leaflevel photosynthesis) for C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants independently. These estimates are used to derive 111 total potential GPP allowing for competition between C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants. Potential GPP is 112 113 converted to actual GPP using a model that simulates the seasonal cycle of leaf area index (LAI), which is converted to the fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation 114 115 (fAPAR) using using Beer's law. Finally, we use a soil water balance model to calculate soil moisture, then take account of the impact of low soil mosture on GPP using an empirical 116 117 correction.

The P model (Wang et al., 2017, Stocker et al., 2020) is a light-use efficiency model that simulates GPP. It uses the Farquhar-von Caemmerer-Berry photosynthesis model (Farquhar et al., 1980) for instantaneous biochemical processes combined with two EEO hypotheses describing photosynthetic acclimation, the 'coordination' and 'least- cost' hypotheses (Prentice

et al., 2014, Wang et al., 2017), to account for the spatial and temporal acclimation of carboxylation and stomatal conductance to environmental variations at weekly to monthly time scales. Although the P model simulates both C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis, it does not need to make any other distinctions between plant functional types. The required inputs to the model (Fig. 1) are air temperature (°C), vapour pressure deficit (VPD, Pa) derived from relative humidity, air pressure (Pa) (to account for the effect of elevation on photosynthesis, incident photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD, µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) estimated from short wave solar radiation, and ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. The P model has been extensively validated and shown to predict the geographic patterns and seasonal cycles of GPP under modern conditions successfully (Wang et al., 2017; Stocker et al., 2020). Furthermore, it correctly predicts related physiological characteristics, including the global pattern of the maximum carboxylation (V<sub>cmax</sub>) rate in relation to gradients in PPFD, temperature and VPD (Smith et al., 2019), the seasonal variation of V<sub>cmax</sub> in different biomes (Jiang et al., 2020), its response to atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Smith and Keenan, 2020), and the variation of photosynthetic traits along elevational gradients (Peng et al., 2020). The responses of photosynthetic properties to enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> as simulated by the P model have been validated against both Free Air Carbon dioxide Enrichment (FACE) experiments (Wang et al., 2017) and controlled-environment experiments (Smith and Keenan, 2020). Moreover, the model's implied response of photosynthetic capacity to CO<sub>2</sub> has been validated by measurements on plants experimentally grown at low (160 ppm) CO<sub>2</sub> (Harrison et al., 2021).

122123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132 133

134

135

136

137 138

139

140 141

142

143 144

145

146 147

148 149

150

151152

153

154

155 156

157

158

159

160 161

162 163

164

165166

167 168

169

The P model first simulates potential GPP for C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants separately, without consideration of competition between them (Fig. 1). These estimates are fed into a simple model of C<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>4</sub> competition based on the P model (Lavergne et al., 2024). The principle of the C<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>4</sub> model is as follows. C<sub>4</sub> plants (mainly grasses, some shrubs) have a higher rate of photosynthesis under hot and/or dry conditions, especially under low CO<sub>2</sub>, which reduces C<sub>3</sub> photosynthesis. On the other hand, C<sub>4</sub> plants can only become dominant or co-dominant if tree cover is limited, because (C<sub>3</sub>) trees have the advantage in competition for light: C<sub>3</sub> trees can outcompete C<sub>4</sub> grasses through shading even where the C<sub>4</sub> pathway would yield higher rates of photosynthesis. The relative photosynthetic advantage of C<sub>4</sub> plants is estimated in the model as the difference between the monthly potential GPP for C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants, summed over the year. The C<sub>4</sub> share of total GPP was then estimated based on a logistic relationship between the model-estimated C<sub>4</sub> relative advantage and observed C<sub>4</sub> abundance. An additional function relates the proportion of GPP from trees to total potential GPP based on the relationship between annual mean percentage tree cover and the simulated annual GPP of C<sub>3</sub> plants. Thus, tree cover is an additional required input to the competition model (Fig. 1). The competition model also enforces a minimum temperature threshold to define conditions under which C<sub>4</sub> plants cannot grow, where this limit is set to a minimum temperature of the coldest month of – 24° based on experimental data. The competition model has been shown to reproduce global patterns in the relative abundance of  $C_3/C_4$  plants as well as the observed rate of  $\Delta^{13}C$  in recent decades, as shown by independent atmospheric estimates (Lavergne et al., 2020).

To convert potential GPP to actual GPP, we used an LAI model (Fig. 1) that predicts the seasonal cycle of LAI based on environmental conditions and the P-model estimates of potential GPP, i.e. the GPP predicted when the fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation, fAPAR, is set to 1 (Zhou et al., 2025). This model is based on the EEO hypothesis that seasonal variations in LAI are coordinated with variations in potental GPP because leaves are displayed at (or close to) the time when they are able to be most productive. A seasonal maximum LAI model was embedded in this model to provide an upper limit to the seasonal LAI predictions (Zhu et al., 2022; Cai et al., 2025). The calculation of seasonal maximum LAI

incorporates a water-carbon trade-off and is defined as the lesser of an energy-limited and a water-limited estimate (Zhu et al., 2022; Cai et al., 2025). The model assumes that, under energy limitation, plants allocate carbon to leaves to maximize GPP after accounting for the costs of leaf construction and maintenance, including the costs of obtaining water and nutrients. This leads to a clear optimum because investing in leaf tissue yields diminishing returns due to mutual leaf shading. Under water limitation, the model assumes that plants adjust their rooting behaviour to extract a portion of annual precipitation from the soil, irrespective of its distribution throughout the year, and allocate carbon to leaves so that all this water is transpired, thereby maximizing GPP. There are inherent delays between the steady-state LAI and the realtime dynamic LAI because photosynthetic and phenological processes do not respond instantaneously to weather fluctuations: the allocation of photosynthate to leaves can take days to months. Thus, the seasonal dynamics of LAI were calculated using a moving average to represent the time lag between allocation to leaves and modelled steady-state LAI (Zhou et al., 2025). The model has been shown to capture observed LAI dynamics across all biomes at different temporal scales (weekly, seasonal, annual and interannual variability) both at individual eddy-covariance flux measurement sites and when compared to satellite-derived LAI (Zhou et al., 2025). Furthermore, it predicts both the multi-year average LAI and the annual trends in LAI better than the biosphere models used in the Trends and Drivers of Terrestrial Sources and Sinks of Carbon Dioxide (TRENDY) project (Zhou et al., 2025). The seasonal cycle of fAPAR is calculated from the seasonal cycle of LAI using Beer's law (Swinehart, 1962) and this is then used to calculate seasonal changes in actual GPP.

170

171

172173

174

175 176

177178

179

180 181

182

183

184

185 186

187

188

189

190

201

202

203204

205

206207

208

209210

211212

213

214

215

Finally, we apply an empirical soil moisture correction ( $\beta(\theta)$ ): Stocker et al., 2020) to account 191 for the additional impact of soil moisture stress on GPP. This has the form of a quadratic 192 expression applied when soil moisture is below a given threshold value, where the sensitivity 193 of this relationship varies depending on aridity such that the decline in  $\beta(\theta)$  with drying soils 194 195 is steep in dry climates and less steep in wetter climates. The soil moisture stress function was developed by comparing simulations of GPP with flux-tower data at sites across a range of 196 climatic aridity (Stocker et al., 2020). Soil moisture was calculated using the Simple Process-197 198 Led Algorithms for Simulating Habitats (SPLASH) model (Davis et al., 2017), which is a generic soil water accounting model in which daily losses depend on potential evaporation, 199 200 reduced in proportion to relative soil water content.

## 2.2. Derivation of LGM, MH and PI climate and vegetation inputs

We use LGM, MH and pre-industrial (PI) climate simulations (Table S1) run using the low-resolution version of the Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM1.2-LR; Mauritsen et al., 2019; doi:10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.6642) made as part of the fourth phase of the Palaeoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project (PMIP4; Kageyama et al., 2017; Otto-Bleisner et al., 2019). This model is amongst the best performing of the PMIP models when evaluated using reconstructions of land and ocean climates (Brierley et al., 2020; Kageyama et al., 2021) and uniquely has archived all the necessary climate and vegetation outputs needed to run the EEO-based models (Fig. 1). The experiments were run following the PMIP4 protocols for each time period (Kageyama et al., 2017; Otto-Bleisner et al., 2019). The PI experiment was run for 1000 years using modern ice sheet and land-sea configurations and a CO<sub>2</sub> level of 284.3 ppm (SI Table 1). The MH experiment uses the same ice sheet and land-sea configurations as the PI but uses appropriate changes in orbital parameters and a CO<sub>2</sub> level of 264.4 ppm (SI Table 1). The MPI-ESM1.2-LR LGM experiment uses the ICE6G\_C ice sheet and corresponding modification in land-sea geography, appropriate orbital parameters and a

- CO<sub>2</sub> level of 190 ppm (SI Table 1). The LGM simulation was re-started from a previous LGM 216 217 simulation and then spun-up for 3850 years.
- The MPI-ESM1.2-LR model has a spectral resolution of T63 (192 x 96 longitude/latitude). The 218
- 219 climate and tree cover outputs necessary to run the EEO-based models were down-scaled to a
- 220 resolution of 0.5° using spline interpolation. The daily data necessary to run the EEO-based
- models was obtained from monthly data, also using nearest neighbour and bilinear 221
- interpolation. Although many previous vegetation modelling studies have used climate 222
- 223 anomalies from a baseline experiment (e.g. LGM minus PI), here we used model outputs
- 224 directly – because although the anomaly approach is well-suited to adjust climate variables, it
- 225 cannot be used to adjust simulated tree cover.

# 2.3. Stein-Alpert decomposition

Climate, light availability and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration have independent effects on plant growth. To evaluate the unique effects of these different factors, and potential synergies between them, on the changes in GPP between the PI and the LGM and MH experiments, we used the Stein-Alpert decomposition method (Stein and Alpert, 1993), an approach that has been previously shown to be useful in evaluating the impacts of different factors on past vegetation changes (e.g. Martin-Calvo and Prentice, 2015; Sato et al., 2021). We used the preindustrial simulation as the reference case (f0) and ran a series of factorial experiments in which specific factors were changed to their LGM or MH conditions as follows:

234 235 236

237

238

239

240

241

226

227

228 229

230 231

232

233

```
Experiment f1: LGM (or MH) climate, PI CO<sub>2</sub> and PPFD
Experiment f2: LGM (or MH) CO<sub>2</sub>, PI climate and PPFD
Experiment f3: LGM (or MH) PPFD, PI climate and CO<sub>2</sub>
Experiment f12: LGM (or MH) climate and CO<sub>2</sub>, PI PPFD
Experiment f13: LGM (or MH) climate and PPFD, PI CO<sub>2</sub>
Experiment f23: LGM (or MH) CO<sub>2</sub> and PPFD, PI climate
Experiment f123: LGM (or MH) climate, CO<sub>2</sub> and PPFD
```

242 243 244

The impact of each factor or combination of factors was then calculated as:

245

```
< f1 > = f1 - f0
246
247
                < f2 > = f2 - f0
248
                < f3 > = f3 - f0
                < f12 > = f12 - (f1 + f2) + f0
249
                < f13 > = f13 - (f1 + f3) + f0
250
                < f23 > = f23 - (f2 + f3) + f0
251
                < f123 > = f123 - (f12 + f13 + f23) + (f1 + f2 + f3) - f0
252
```

253 254

255

256

257 258

259

260 261

where the first three experiments represent the influence of the single changed factor, the second three experiments represent synergies between pairs of factors, and the final experiment represents the three-way synergy between all three factors.

The comparisons can only be made for the common land area between the PI and each palaeoclimate experiment. The LGM factorial experiments therefore have a baseline GPP value for the f0 experiment that does not include the areas exposed by lowered sea level, although these are considered in the full LGM experiment. The full LGM and MH experiments include changes to both air pressure and tree cover; these are not considered in the factorial experiments because preliminary analyses indicated that the impact of these changes on simulated global GPP was less than 0.2PgC yr<sup>-1</sup> and therefore negligible.

## 3. Results

 Simulated global GPP at the LGM was 83.9 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1), considerably lower than the simulated global value during the pre-industrial period (109.6 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>). The largest reductions in GPP compared to the pre-industrial baseline were in the northern hemisphere extra-tropics (Fig. 2, Table 2), which experienced a more than 50% reduction in GPP. There was a more modest decrease (13%) in the southern extra-tropics and only a small decrease in the tropics (3%). Part of the reduction (10.5 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>) in global GPP reflects the loss of vegetation from areas that were covered by ice at the LGM; this was only partially compensated by vegetation growth on the continental shelves exposed by the reduced sea level (8.3 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>). Although there was a reduction overall and across most of the world, some regions experienced a small increase in productivity at the LGM compared to the PI (Fig. 3). These are all in now-arid regions and the increase therefore presumably reflects the fact that moisture constraints on vegetation growth were reduced in the colder climate of the LGM.

Simulated GPP increased to 110.3 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup> in the MH compared to 83.9 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup> at the LGM. Part of this increase (10.6 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>) was a result of vegetation growth in areas that were covered by ice sheets during the LGM. However, there were notable increases in the non-glaciated high latitudes (northern Siberia and Beringia), in tropical regions, and in areas influenced by MH monsoon expansion (Sahel, south-east Asia, southern African savannas and the South American cerrado) (Fig. 2). GPP increased in the common area between the LGM and MH experiments by ca 32% (Table 1), with the largest increase in the NET (Table 2). The transition from the MH to the PI resulted in a very small decrease in global GPP (Fig. 3). Simulated GPP in the MH was slightly higher (4%) than in the PI experiment in the northern extra-topics, although still lower than in the PI in other regions (Table 2).

These changes in GPP were accompanied by a shift in the relative importance of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants (Table 3, Fig. 4). C<sub>4</sub> plants represented 23% and 25% of the vegetation fraction in the PI and MH experiments respectively, but 40% of the vegetation fraction at the LGM. C<sub>4</sub> plants were responsible for 56% of the total GPP at the LGM compared to 25% and 21% in the MH and PI respectively. The fraction of C<sub>4</sub> plants increased across most regions of the world at the LGM (Fig. S1), but in some regions including the Central Great Plains of North America, the northern Sahel, and the Tibetan Plateau and part of the Loess Plateau in northeastern China C<sub>4</sub> plants were less abundant than in the PI. The areas where C<sub>4</sub> plants were less abundant in the MH than in the PI were more extensive (Fig. S1) and are primarily in regions of northern Africa and Asia influenced by the expansion of the monsoons.

The factorial experiments showed that the changes in climate and CO<sub>2</sub> had a large negative effect on GPP at the LGM, while light (PPFD) had a small positive effect (Table 4, Fig. 5). The shift to a colder, drier climate had a somewhat larger negative effect on plant productivity (–14.8 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>) than the reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> (–12.2 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>). Climate has a major impact on reducing GPP in the high- to mid-latitudes of North America and Eurasia (Fig. 6a, Fig. S2) but changes due to the lowering of CO<sub>2</sub> were almost as important (Fig. 6b, Fig. S3). Changes in climate (Fig. S2: Table S2), most likely the overall reduction in precipitation (Fig. S5), was the most important factor causing reduced GPP in northern Amazonia, India and north-western China. However, the cooler climate had a positive effect on GPP in regions that are semi-arid today (Fig. S2, Fig. S5). Changes in PPFD were the dominant factor in increasing GPP at the

margin at the northernmost edge of the vegetated zone downwind of the Scandinavian ice sheet and into Beringia (Fig. S4).

The two-way synergy between climate and CO<sub>2</sub> was positive (Table 4, Fig. 5), i.e. the change 310 311 in GPP is less than would be expected if the impacts were additive. This reflects the fact that, 312 whereas lower temperatures favour C3 plants, lower CO2 offsets this and promotes the expansion of C<sub>4</sub> plants over much of the globe (Fig. S6, Fig. S7). C<sub>4</sub> plants were especially 313 favoured in tropical regions, where the climate changes were relatively muted, and the changes 314 315 in CO<sub>2</sub> correspondingly more influential. The synergies of both climate and CO<sub>2</sub> with PPFD, although small (0.9 and 0.2 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup> respectively) are negative. The synergy between climate 316 and PPFD probably reflects the fact reduced cloud cover in drier climates (Fig. S6, Fig. S8). 317 318 The synergy between CO<sub>2</sub> and PPFD stems from the fact that both low CO<sub>2</sub> and high PPFD 319 favour C<sub>4</sub> plants, increasing GPP particularly in the extratropics (Fig. S7, Fig. S8).

Climate changes had a positive effect on GPP in the mid-Holocene (Table 4, Fig. 5). This likely reflects the impact of increased precipitation in now semi-arid regions due to monsoon expansion combined with warmer growing seasons in the high northern latitudes, both consequences of the orbitally-induced changes in solar radiation (Fig. S5). These experiments also show that changes in PPFD have a positive effect on plant growth, particularly in the northern mid- to high latitudes and in now-arid regions (Fig. S4). The positive impact in northern mid- to high latitudes appears to be due to enhancement of growing season conditions for C3 plants, while the positive impact in now-arid regions reflects an increase in C4 plants (Fig. S8). However, the reduction of CO2 compared to the PI state (16 ppm) resulted in a much larger overall reduction in GPP than the enhancements due to climate or PPFD changes (Fig. S3). The impact of the lower CO2 in the mid-Holocene is the dominant factor causing reductions in GPP in southern China, the southern hemisphere tropical and savanna regions in Africa, and in the cerrado of South America (Fig. 6). The two-way synergies between the three drivers are all positive, but small (Table 4, Fig. 5).

#### 4. Discussion

320

321 322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330 331

332 333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341 342

343

344 345

346

347

348 349

350

351

352 353

354

We have shown that the LGM was characterised by a large reduction in modelled GPP, while the mid-Holocene was characterised by a small increase in GPP compared to the pre-industrial state. Estimated GPP at the LGM was ca 84 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup> compared to ca 110 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup> in the PI. The simulated reduction at the LGM is consistent with previous model-based estimates (e.g. François et al., 1998; Prentice et al., 2011; Hoogakker et al., 2016), including those from the latest phase of the Couple Model Intercomparison project (CMIP6/PMIP4: Table S3). However, previous estimates of GPP span a considerable range, from 40-110 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>). This reflects differences in the boundary conditions used, differences in the vegetation models used and their sensitivity to changes in CO2, and differences in the structure and parameterisations of the climate models overall. Diagnosing the specific causes of this large range is therefore extremely difficult. The parameter sparse nature of our EEO-based modelling approach, and the fact that the individual processes that give rise to the simulated GPP have been independently validated, suggest that our estimate of ca 84 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup> is more likely to be realistic than previous estimates. A limited number of studies have estimated GPP at the LGM by constraining model estimates using oxygen isotope records from ice cores (Landais et al., 2007; Ciais et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2022). The still large range in simulated GPP (40-110 PgC yr 1) reflects, in part, uncertainties associated with estimating ocean productivity and respiration fractionation rates. Thus, although there is a consensus that GPP was considerably lower at the LGM than during pre-industrial times, and this is consistent with pollen evidence for a very large reduction in tree cover over much of the world (Prentice et al., 2000; Williams, 2003;

Pickett et al., 2004; Marchant et al., 2009), the absolute magnitude of this change is uncertain.

Nevertheless, since the climate simulated by the MPI ESM has been shown to reproduce pollen-based climate reconstructions better than most other CMIP6/PMIP4 models (Kageyama et al., 2021) and we use robust EEO-models to estimate the change in GPP, the partitioning of the impacts of different factors in the simulated reduction of GPP is likely to be robust.

360

361

362

363

364 365

366 367

368

369

370 371

372373

374

375 376

377 378

379

380 381

382

383 384

385 386

387

388

389

390 391

392

393

394

395

396 397

398

399

400

401

402

The modelled abundance of C<sub>4</sub> plants was nearly double at the LGM compared to the preindustrial era (40% versus 23% of the vegetation fraction) and that C<sub>4</sub> vegetation was responsible for 56% of the total modelled GPP at that time. These changes are broadly consistent with pollen-based reconstructions, indicating a substantial reduction in tree cover at the LGM (Prentice et al., 2000). It is difficult to estimate the magnitude of this reduction because existing regional reconstructions have not been applied to the LGM (e.g. Zanon et al., 2018; Serge et al., 2023) and furthermore employ techniques that are based on modern calibrations and therefore do not account for the impact of CO<sub>2</sub> on tree cover (Prentice et al., 2022). However, while pollen data can be used discriminate between trees (virtually all C<sub>3</sub>) and grasses, it cannot be used to infer changes in the importance of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> grasses. Compound-specific  $\delta^{13}$ C analyses of leaf wax biomarkers provide evidence of the relative contribution of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants (Eglinton & Eglinton, 2008; Diefendorf et al., 2010) and have shown that C<sub>4</sub> plants were more abundant at the LGM than during the Holocene in many regions of the world (e.g. in southern Africa: Rommerskirchen et al., 2006; Vogts et al., 2012; eastern Africa: Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2011; Himalayan Basin: Galy et al., 2008; southern China: Jiang et al., 2019; south-western North America: Cotton et al., 2016; northern South America: Makou et al., 2007), consistent with our simulations. There are a few regions where C<sub>4</sub> plants were less abundant at the LGM than during the Holocene, including the Chinese Loess Plateau and the Great Plains of North America (Cotton et al., 2016). Both of these regions are identified as characterised by reduced C<sub>4</sub> abundance in our simulations. The consistency of the signs of the regional changes in the observed relative abundance of C<sub>3</sub> to C<sub>4</sub> plants to our simulated changes provides strong support for the model predictions. A number of modelling studies have shown that C<sub>4</sub> plants were globally more abundant at the LGM (e.g. Harrison & Prentice, 2003; Bragg et al., 2013; Martin Calvo & Prentice, 2015) but did not quantify the relative contribution of C<sub>4</sub> plants to global GPP. Thus, our analyses are consistent with previous studies of the nature of the shift in vegetation composition at the LGM and provide, for the first time, a quantitative estimate of the magnitude of this change.

Climate has a negative effect on GPP at the LGM but a positive effect in the MH. The LGM climate was globally colder and drier, although the largest changes in both temperature and precipitation were in the northern mid- to high-latitudes (Kageyama et al., 2021). This is reflected in our simulations; the overall reduction in GPP compared to the pre-industrial baseline in the northern extra-tropics was 52%, far larger than the reductions in the southern extra-tropics (13%) or the tropics (3%). The cooling in the ice-free regions of the northern extra-tropics reflects advection of cold air temperatures downwind from the ice sheets, while the drying largely reflects the temperature-induced reduction in evaporation and precipitation recycling (Izumi et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013; Kageyama et al., 2021). The positive effect of climate on GPP in the MH reflects changes in precipitation in now semi-arid regions of the sub-tropics as a result of the expansion of the northern hemisphere monsoons and a lengthening of the growing season in the northern mid- to high-latitudes as a result of increased solar radiation in summer (Brierley et al., 2020). These changes in climate are reflected in our simulations; although the northern extra-tropics are the only region to show an overall increase in GPP compared to the pre-industrial (4%), regions influenced by monsoon expansion, such as the Sahel and parts of Asia, also show increased GPP.

The modelled reduction of GPP by low LGM relative to pre-industrial CO<sub>2</sub> was of similar magnitude (12%) to that of LGM climate (15%). Some other factorial model experiments (e.g. O'Ishi and Abe-Ouchi, 2013; Claussen et al., 2013; Martin Calvo and Prentice, 2015; Chen et al., 2019; Haas et al., 2023; see Table S4) have shown a larger impact of CO<sub>2</sub> on primary production (either GPP or net primary production, NPP) relative to climate. For example, Claussen et al. (2013) showed reductions in NPP of 4% due to climate and 45% due to CO<sub>2</sub> and Martin Calvo and Prentice (2015) showed reductions in NPP of 2% due to climate and 23% due to CO<sub>2</sub>. Some of differences among experiments may have been caused by difference in modelled climate (Haas et al., 2023); but changes in PFT abundance are likely to be an important additional source of uncertainty. Woillez et al. (2011) also indicate a dominant role for low glacial CO<sub>2</sub> in reducing NPP at the LGM. In that analysis, however, a greater sensitivity of needleleaf PFTs to low CO<sub>2</sub> compared to brodleaf PFTs was implied by choices of parameter values that were not necessarily well-founded, and led to an unrealistically large simulated extent of broad-leaved forests at the LGM.

In addition to the fact that these various experiments were based on different models of the LGM climate, they were also made using different biosphere models (Table S4) – which may have different sensitivities to CO<sub>2</sub> changes. Thus, although models agree that changes in CO<sub>2</sub> contributed to the large observed differences between LGM and pre-industrial vegetation patterns, the magnitude of the impact of low CO<sub>2</sub> on primary production is still uncertain. The modelled impact of lowered CO<sub>2</sub> on GPP in the MH here is larger than the impact of climate, offsetting the positive impacts of climate change in the MH experiment. The importance of CO<sub>2</sub> in driving vegetation changes has been widely commented on for the LGM (Polley et al., 1993; Jolly & Haxeltine, 1997; Cowling & Sykes, 1999; Harrison & Prentice, 2003; Flores et al., 2009; Prentice et al., 2011; Bragg et al., 2013; Martin Calvo & Prentice, 2015) and in the context of ongoing and future climate changes (Piao et al., 2006; Keenan et al., 2014; Archer et al., 2017; Haverd et al., 2020: Piao et al., 2020) but its role in offsetting the positive impacts of climate change in the MH has not been widely noted. The simulated overall change in GPP in the MH compared to the PI is small (< 1 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>). Nevertheless, the changes in response to individual drivers are consistent with expectations: changes in climate and PPFD had a positive impact on GPP while the reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> in the MH compared to the PI had a negative impact on GPP. The positive effect of climate on GPP in the MH reflects changes in precipitation in now semi-arid regions of the sub-tropics, as a result of the orbitally induced expansion of the northern hemisphere monsoons and the lengthening of the growing season in the northern midto high-latitudes (Brierley et al., 2020). These changes in climate are reflected in our simulations. The northern extratropics are the only region to show an overall increase in GPP compared to the pre-industrial (4%) when CO<sub>2</sub> effects are included, but regions influenced by monsoon expansion, such as the Sahel and parts of South and East Asia, also show a tendency to increased GPP due to the MH climate.

We have derived climate inputs from the MPI ESM. When compared to reconstructions of both marine and terrestrial climate variables, the MPI ESM has been shown to be among the best-performing models both for the LGM and the mid-Holocene (Brierley et al., 2020; Kageyama et al., 2021). Nevertheless, the use of a single climate model is a limitation of this study. It would be useful to repeat these analyses with a wider range of models that have made palaeoclimate simulations of these two key periods, but the constraint is that most of these models do not provide information on changes in tree cover that is to run the C<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>4</sub> competition model.

We have used a sequence of EEO-based models to simulate GPP and the relative contribution 449 450 of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants to overall productivity. Haas et al. (2023) also used the P model to simulate GPP at the LGM. Other studies of past vegetation changes have used models that simulate 451 452 changes in past vegetation on the basis of the competition between PFTs. PFT-based models require key physiological parameters to be specified separately for each PFT. The EEO 453 modelling approaches used here avoid this complexity, considerably reducing uncertainties due 454 455 to model parameterisation (Harrison et al, 2021) while at the same time representing the key processes of photosynthesis and plant growth accurately (Wang et al., 2017; Smith et al., 2019; 456 457 Jiang et al., 2020; Lavergne et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2020; Smith & Keenan, 2020; Wang et al., 458 2020; Xu et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2022). Furthermore, they capture recent trends in vegetation growth more accurately than the land-surface models used to predict the terrestrial carbon cycle 459 (Cai et al., 2025; Zhou et al., 2025). Given their simplicity, the fact that the very few parameters 460 461 required are well constrained from observations, and the demonstrated quality of their 462 performance, EEO-modelling holds considerable promise for understanding past vegetation 463 changes and their impact on climate.

### 5. Conclusions

- Eco-evolutionary optimality approaches provide a robust way of modelling vegetation changes 465 under different climate regimes. We compared simulated changes in GPP and C<sub>3</sub>/C<sub>4</sub> plant 466 467 abundance in a cold glacial and a warm interglacial period relative to the pre-industrial state. We showed that the colder, drier climate at the LGM substantially decreases GPP and the 468 warmer, wetter climate of the MH increases GPP. Changes in vegetation productivity caused 469 470 by the lower CO<sub>2</sub> in both intervals compared to the pre-industrial contributed to the reduction 471 of GPP at the LGM and was sufficient to annul the positive impacts of climate on GPP during 472 the MH. These results point to the importance of a realistic treatment of the direct physiological 473 impacts of CO<sub>2</sub> on plant growth to simulate realistic ecosystem changes, both in the past and 474 in the future.
- 475 **Data Availability**
- 476 The CMIP6 MPI-ESM1-2-LR outputs are accessible via the Earth System Grid Federation
- 477 (ESGF) at http://esgf-node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6/ (last accessed: 2 December 2024).
- Interpolated input data and derived outputs related to this study are available at DOI: 478
- 10.5281/zenodo.14257604. The documentation for the P model, the C3/C4 competition model, 479
- 480
- and the SPLASH model can be found at DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.8366848 (Orme and Marion,
- 2023). The codes used for model coupling and experiment analysis used in this paper is 481
- available at DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14257604. 482
- 483 Supplement.
- 484 Supplementary Information is available for this paper.
- 485 **Author Contributions**
- JZ, SPH and ICP designed the study. BZ provided model code. JZ ran the experiments. JZ and 486
- 487 SPH conducted the analyses. SPH wrote the first version of the manuscript and all co-authors
- contributed to the final version. 488
- 489 **Competing Interests**
- None of the authors has any competing interests. 490
- 491 **Financial Support and Acknowledgements**

JZ and SPH acknowledge NERC funding for the project "When and Why does it Rain in the Desert: Utilising unique stalagmite and dust records on the northern edge of the Sahara". This work is a contribution to the LEMONTREE (Land Ecosystem Models based On New Theory, obseRvations and Experiments) project (SPH, ICP). LEMONTREE research received support through Schmidt Sciences, LLC. ICP also acknowledges funding from the European Research Council for the project REALM (Re-inventing Ecosystem And Land-surface Models, Grant Number 787203).

## 500 Tables

**Table 1**: Contribution to global changes in gross primary production (GPP) in the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), the mid-Holocene (MH), and the pre-industrial (PI) experiments. The table gives the global total in each experiment, the GPP of land exposed by lowered sea level at the LGM, the GPP of land that was covered by ice sheets at the LGM and was exposed in the MH and PI experiments, and GPP for the land area in common between all three experiments.

	Total non- glaciated land area	Land area covered by ice at LGM	Land area exposed by lowered sea level at LGM	Common land area between the experiments
GPP LGM	83.9 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>	n/a	8.3 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>	75.5 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>
GPP MH	110.3 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>	10.6 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>	n/a	99.6 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>
GPP PI	109.6 PgC vr <sup>-1</sup>	10.5 PgC vr <sup>-1</sup>	n/a	99.1 PgC vr <sup>-1</sup>

 **Table 2**: Regional contributions to total annual gross primary production (GPP) in the tropics, the northern extra-tropics (NET) and the southern extra-tropics (SET) in the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), the mid-Holocene (MH), and the pre-industrial (PI) experiments.

	LGM	MH	PI
Tropics (25°N-25S)	56.4 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>	57.7 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>	58.3 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>
NET (>25°N)	21.4 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>	46.2 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>	44.3 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>
SET (>25°S)	6.0 Pg C yr <sup>-1</sup>	6.4 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>	6.9 PgC yr <sup>-1</sup>

**Table 3**: Changes in  $C_3/C_4$  fraction and contribution of  $C_3/C_4$  vegetation to total GPP

	LGM	MH	PI
Global average C <sub>4</sub> fraction	40%	25%	23%
Global average C <sub>3</sub> contribution of total annual GPP (gC m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )	281.4	608.9	618.6
Global C <sub>3</sub> contribution to total GPP (PgC yr <sup>-1</sup> )	37.1	82.8	86.2
Global average C <sub>4</sub> contribution of total annual GPP (gC m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )	297.7	166.3	140.5
Global C <sub>4</sub> contribution to total GPP (PgC yr <sup>-1</sup> )	46.8	27.5	23.4

Experiment	Stein-Alpert decomposition	Climate	CO <sub>2</sub>	PPFD	GPP (PgC yr <sup>-1</sup> )
LGM	f0	PI	PI	PI	99.1
LGM					
	f1, LGM	LGM	PI	PI	84.3
	f2, LGM	PI	LGM	PI	86.9
	f3, LGM	PI	PI	LGM	100.3
	f12, LGM	LGM	LGM	PI	75.4
	f13, LGM	LGM	PI	LGM	84.6
	f23, LGM	PI	LGM	LGM	87.8
	f123, LGM	LGM	LGM	LGM	75.7
MH	f0	PI	PI	PI	109.6
	f1, MH	MH	PI	PI	111.5
	f2, MH	PI	MH	PI	107.0
	f3, MH	PI	PI	MH	110.6
	f12, MH	MH	MH	PI	109.1
	f13, MH	MH	PI	MH	112.5
	f23, MH	PI	MH	MH	108.1
	f123, MH	MH	MH	MH	110.1

## Figure captions

516 517

518 519

520 521

522

523

524

537

538

539

540 541

542

543

- **Figure 1**: *Flowchart showing the steps in the modelling procedure.*
- Figure 2: Simulated total annual gross primary production (GPP). The plots show simulated GPP for (a) the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), (b) the mid-Holocene (MH), and (c) the pre-industrial (PI).
- Figure 3: Simulated change in total annual gross primary production (GPP) between the preindustrial (PI) and (a) the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and (b) the mid-Holocene (MH).
- Figure 4. Global C<sub>4</sub> fraction distribution for (a) the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), (b) the mid-Holocene (MH), and (c) the pre-industrial (PI).
- Figure 5. Impact of climate, light and CO<sub>2</sub> on the changes in gross primary production (GPP, PgC) at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and the mid-Holocene (MH) compared to the pre-industrial (PI) period. Note that the results are based on the common land area between each experiment and the PI simulation.

**Figure 6.** Global distribution of (a) main drivers and constraints (b) secondary drivers and constraints and (c) the proportional difference (percentage) of total change between the main and the secondary driver on gross primary production (GPP) at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) compared to the pre-industrial (PI) experiment; and (d) main drivers and constraints (e) secondary drivers and constraints (f) the proportional difference (percentage) of total change between the main and the secondary driver on gross primary production (GPP) in the Mid-Holocene (MH) compared to the pre-industrial (PI) experiment.

## References

- Archer, S.R., Andersen, E.M., Predick, K.I., Schwinning, S., Steidl, R.J., and Woods, S.R.:
   Woody plant encroachment: Causes and consequences. In: Briske, D. (Ed.),
   Rangeland Systems. Springer Series on Environmental Management. Springer, Cham.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46709-2\_2">https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46709-2\_2</a>, 2017.
- Berger, A. L.: Long-term variations of caloric insolation resulting from the earth's orbital elements, Quat, Res., 9, 139–167, 1978.
- Berger, A., and Loutre, M. F.: insolation values for the climate of the last 10 000 000 years, Quat. Sci. Rev., 10, 297–317, https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-3791(91)90033-q, 1991.
  - Bonan, G. B.: Forests and climate change: Forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests, Science, 320, 1444–1449, 2008.
  - Bragg, F., Prentice, I.C., Harrison, S.P., Foster, P.N., Eglinton, G., Rommerskirchen F., and Rullkötter, J.: n-Alkane stable isotope evidence for CO<sub>2</sub> as a driver of vegetation change, Biogeosci., 10, 2001–2010, 2013.
  - Brierley, C., Zhao, A., Harrison, S.P., Braconnot, P., Williams, C., Thornalley, D., Shi, X., Peterschmitt, J-Y., Ohgaito, R., Kaufman, D.S., Kagayama, M., Hargreaves, J.C., Erb, M., Emile-Geay, J., D'Agostino, R., Chandan, D., Carré, M., Bartlein, P.J., Zheng, W., Zhang, Z., Zhang, Q., Yang, H., Volodin, E.M., Routsen, C., Peltier, W.R., Otto-Bliesner, B., Morozova, P.A., McKay, N.P., Lohmann, G., LeGrande, A.N., Guo, C., Cao, J., Brady, E., Annan, J.D., and Abe-Ouchi, A.: Large-scale features and evaluation of the PMIP4-CMIP6 *midHolocene* simulations, Clim. Past, 16, 1847-1872, 2020.
  - Cai, W., and Prentice, I.C.: Recent trends in gross primary production and their drivers: analysis and modelling at flux-site and global scales, Environ. Res. Lett., 15, 124050, 2020.
  - Cai, W., Zhu, Z., Harrison, S.P., Ryu, Y., Wang, H., Zhou, B., and Prentice, I.C.: A unifying principle for global greenness patterns and trends. Nat. Comm. Environ., 6, 19, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-025-01992-0, 2025.
  - Chen, J.M., Ju, W., Ciais, P., Viovy, N., Liu, R., Liu, Y., and Lu, X.: Vegetation structural change since 1981 significantly enhanced the terrestrial carbon sink, Nat. Commun., 10, 4259, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12257-8, 2019.
  - Chen, W., Zhu, D., Ciais, P., Huang, C., Viovy, N., and Kageyama, M.: Response of vegetation cover to CO<sub>2</sub> and climate changes between Last Glacial Maximum and preindustrial period in a dynamic global vegetation model, Quat. Sci. Rev., 218, 293-305, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.06.003, 2019.
  - Ciais, P., Tagliabue, A., Cuntz, M., Bopp, L., Scholze, M., Hoffmann, G., Lourantou, A., Harrison, S.P., Prentice, I.C., Kelley, D.I., Kovan, C. and Piao, S.L.: Large inert carbon pool in the terrestrial biosphere at the Last Glacial Maximum, Nature Geosci., 5, 74-79, 10.1038/NGEO1324, 2011.
  - Claussen, M., Selent, K., Brovkin, V., Raddatz, T., and Gayler, V.: Impact of CO<sub>2</sub> and climate on Last Glacial maximum vegetation a factor separation, Biogeosci., 10, 3593-360, https://bg.copernicus.org/articles/10/3593/2013/, 2013.
- Cordova, C.E., Harrison, S.P., Mudie, P.J., Riehl, S, Leroy, S.A.G., and Ortiz, N.: Pollen, plant
   macrofossil and charcoal records for palaeovegetation reconstruction in the
   Mediterranean-Black Sea Corridor since the Last Glacial Maximum, Quat. Int. 197, 12 26, 2009.
- Cotton, J.M., Cerling, T.E., Hoppe, K.A., Mosier, T.M., and Still, C.J.: Climate, CO<sub>2</sub>, and the history of North American grasses since the Last Glacial Maximum, Sci. Adv., 2, e1501346, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1501346, 2016.
- Cowling, S.A., and Sykes, M.T.: Physiological significance of low atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> for plant-climate interactions. Quat. Res. 52, 237–242, 1999.

- Davis, T.W., Prentice, I.C., Stocker, B.D., Thomas, R.T., Whitley, R.J., Wang, H., Evans, B. J., Gallego-Sala, A.V., Sykes, M.T., and Cramer, W.: Simple process-led algorithms for simulating habitats (SPLASH v.1.0): robust indices of radiation, evapotranspiration and plant-available moisture, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 689–708, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-689-2017, 2017.
- Diefendorf, A. F., Mueller, K. E., Wing, S. L., Koch, P. L., and Freeman, K. H.: Global patterns in leaf <sup>13</sup>C discrimination and implications for studies of past and future climate, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 5738–5743, 2010.

- Eglinton, T. I. and Eglinton, G.: Molecular proxies for paleoclimatology. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 275, 1–16, 2008.
  - Farquhar, G.D., von Caemmerer, S., and Berry, J.A.: A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> species, Planta, 149, 78–90, 1980.
    - Flores, O., Gritti, E.S., and Jolly, D.: Climate and CO<sub>2</sub> modulate the  $C_3/C_4$  balance and  $\delta^{13}C$  signal in simulated vegetation, Clim. Past, 5, 431-440, 2009.
    - Foley, J.A.: The sensitivity of the terrestrial biosphere to climatic change: A simulation of the Middle Holocene, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 8, 505–525, doi:10.1029/94GB01636, 1994.
  - Forzieri, G., Miralles, D. G., Ciais, P., Alkama, R., Ryu, Y., Duveiller, G., Zhang, K., Robertson, E., Kautz, M., Martens, B., Jiang, C., Arneth, A., Georgievski, G., Li, W., Ceccherini, G., Anthoni, P., Lawrence, P., Wiltshire, A., Pongratz, J., ... Cescatti, A.: Increased control of vegetation on global terrestrial energy fluxes, Nature Clim. Change, 10, 356–362. https://doi.org/10.1038/s4155 8-020-0717-0, 2020.
    - François, L.M., Delire, C., Warnant, P., and Munhoven, G.: Modelling the glacial–interglacial changes in the continental biosphere, Glob. Planet. Change, 16–17, 37-52, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(98)00005-8, 1998.
    - Francois, L., Godderis, Y., Warnant, P., Ramstein, G., de Noblet, N., and Lorenz, S.: Carbon stocks and isotopic budgets of the terrestrial biosphere at mid-Holocene and last glacial maximum times, Chem. Geol., 159, 163–189, 1999.
    - Galy, V., François, L., France-Lanord, C., Faure, P., Kudrass, H., Palhol, F., and Singh, S.K.: C4 plants decline in the Himalayan basin since the Last Glacial Maximum, Quat. Sci. Rev., 27, 1396-1409, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.04.005, 2008.
    - Gerhart, L.M., and Ward, J.K.: Plant responses to low [CO<sub>2</sub>] of the past, New Phytol., 188: 674-695, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03441.x, 2010.
    - Haas, O., Prentice, I.C., and Harrison, S.P.: Examining the response of wildfire properties to climate and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> change at the Last Glacial Maximum, Biogeosci., 20, 3981-3995, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-3981-2023, 2023.
    - Harrison, S.P., and Prentice, I.C.: Climate and CO<sub>2</sub> controls on global vegetation distribution at the last Glacial Maximum: analysis based on palaeovegetation data, biome modelling and palaeoclimate simulations, Glob. Chang. Biol., 9, 983–1004, 2003
- Harrison, S.P., Cramer, W., Franklin, O., Prentice, I.C., Wang, H., Brännström, Å., de Boer,
  H., Dieckmann, U., Joshi, J., Keenan, T.F., Lavergne, A., Manzoni, S., Mengoli, G.,
  Morfopoulos, C., Peñuelas, J., Pietsch, S., Rebel, K.T., Ryu, Y., Smith, N.G., Stocker,
  B.D., and Wright, I.J.: Eco-evolutionary optimality as a means to improve vegetation
  and land-surface models, New Phytol., 231, 2125-2141,
  https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17558, 2021.
- Haverd, V., Smith, B., Canadell, J.G., Cuntz, M., Mikaloff-Fletcher, S., Farquhar, G.,
   Woodgate, W., Briggs, P.R., and Trudinger, C.M.: Higher than expected CO<sub>2</sub>
   fertilization inferred from leaf to global observations, Glob. Chang. Biol. 26, 2390–2402, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14950, 2020.

- Hoek van Dijke, A. J., Mallick, K., Schlerf, M., Machwitz, M., Herold, M., and Teuling, A.
   J.: Examining the link between vegetation leaf area and land–atmosphere exchange of
   water, energy, and carbon fluxes using FLUXNET data, Biogeosci., 17, 4443–4457,
   https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-4443-2020, 2020.
- 647 Hoogakker, B.A.A., Smith, R.S., Singarayer, J.S., Marchant, R., Prentice, I.C., Allen, J.R.M., 648 Anderson, R.S., Bhagwat, S.A., Behling, H., Borisova, O., Bush, M., Correa-Metrio, A., de Vernal, A., Finch, J.M., Fréchette, B., Lozano-Garcia, S., Gosling, W.D., 649 650 Granoszewski, W., Grimm, E.C., Grüger, E., Hanselman, J., Harrison, S.P., Hill, T.R., Huntley, B., Jiménez-Moreno, G., Kershaw, P., Ledru, M-P., Magri, D., McKenzie, M., 651 Müller, U., Nakagawa, T., Novenko, E., Penny, D., Sadori, L., Scott, L., Stevenson, J., 652 653 Valdes, P.J., Vandergoes, M., Velichko, A., Whitlock, C., and Tzedakis, C.: Terrestrial 654 120 kyr, biosphere changes over the last Clim. Past, 12, 51-73, 655 https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-51-2016, 2016.
- Izumi, K., Bartlein, P.J., and Harrison, S.P.: Consistent behaviour of the climate system in response to past and future forcing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 1817–1823, doi:10.1002/grl.50350, 2013.

660

661 662

663

664

665

666

667

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

- Jiang, C., Ryu. Y., Wang, H., and Keenan, T.F.: An optimality-based model explains seasonal variation in C<sub>3</sub> plant photosynthetic capacity, Glob. Change Biol., 26, 6493–6510, 2020.
- Jiang, W., Wu, H., Li, Q., Lin, Y., and Yu, Y.: Spatiotemporal changes in C<sub>4</sub> plant abundance in China since the Last Glacial Maximum and their driving factors, Palaeogeog., Palaeoclim., Palaeoecol., 518, 10-21, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.12.021, 2019.
- Jolly, D., and Haxeltine, A.: Effect of low glacial atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on tropical African montane vegetation, Science, 276, 786–788, 1997.
- 668 Kageyama, M., Albani, S., Braconnot, P, Harrison, S.P., Hopcroft, P.O., Ivanovic, R.F., Lambert, F., Marti, O., Peltier, W.R., Peterschmidt, J.-Y., Roche, D.M., Tarasov, L., 669 670 Zhang, X., Brady, E., Haywood, A.M., LeGrande, A., Lunt, D.J., Mahowald, N.M., 671 Mikolaiewicz, U., Nisancioglu, K.H., Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Renssen, H., Tomas, B., 672 Zhang, O., Abe-Ouchi, A., Bartlein, P.J., Cao, J., Lohmann, G., Ohgaito, R., Shi, X., Volodin, E., Yoshida, K., Zhang, X., and Zheng, W.: The PMIP4 contribution to 673 674 CMIP6 – Part 4: Scientific objectives and experimental design of the PMIP4-CMIP6 Last Glacial Maximum experiments and PMIP4 sensitivity experiments, Geosci. 675 676 Model Dev., 10, 4035-4055, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-4035-2017, 2017
  - Kageyama, M., Harrison, S.P., Kapsch, M., Lofverstrom, M., Lora, J.M., Mikolajewicz, U., Sherriff-Tadano, S., Vadsaria, T., Abe-Ouchi, A., Bouttes, N., Chandan, D., LeGrande, A.N., Lhardy, F., Lohmann, G., Morozova, P.A., Ohgaito, R., Peltier, W.R., Quiquet, A., Roche, D.M., Shi, X., Schmittner, A., Tierney, J.E., and Volodin, E.: The PMIP4-CMIP6 Last Glacial Maximum experiments: preliminary results and comparison with the PMIP3-CMIP5 simulations, Clim. Past, 17, 1065-1089, 2021.
  - Kaplan, J.O., Bigelow, N.H., Bartlein, P.J., Christensen, T.R., Cramer, W., Harrison, S.P., Matveyeva, N.V., McGuire, A.D., Murray, D.F., Prentice, I.C., Razzhivin, V.Y., Smith, B. and Walker, D.A., Anderson, P.M., Andreev, A.A., Brubaker, L.B., Edwards, M.E., and Lozhkin, A.V.: Climate change and Arctic ecosystems II: Modeling, palaeodata-model comparisons, and future projections, J. Geophys. Res.- Atmos., 108, 8171. doi: 10.1029/2002JD002559, 2003.
- Kattge, J., Díaz, S., Lavorel, S., Prentice, I.C., Leadley, P., Bönisch, G., Garnier, E., Westoby,
  M., Reich, P.B., Wright, I.J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Violle, C., Harrison, S.P., van
  Bodegom, P.M., Reichstein, M., Soudzilovskaia, N.A., Ackerly, D.D., Anand, M.,
  Atkin, O., Bahn, M., Baker, T.R., Baldocchi, D., Bekker, R., Blanco, C., Blonder, B.,

- Bond, W., Bradstock, R., Bunker, D.E., Casanoves, F., Cavender-Bares, J., Chambers, 693 694 J., Chapin, F.S., Chave, J., Coomes, D., Cornwell, W.K., Craine, J.M., Dobrin, B.H., Durka, W., Elser, J., Enquist, B.J., Esser, G., Estiarte, M., Fagan, W.F., Fang, J., 695 696 Fernández, F., Fidelis, A., Finegan, B., Flores, O., Ford, H., Frank, D., Freschet, G.T., Fyllas, N.M., Gallagher, R., Green, W., Gutierrez, A.G., Hickler, T., Higgins, S., 697 698 Hodgson, J.G., Jalili, A., Jansen, S., Kerkhoff, A.J., Kirkup, D., Kitajima, K., Kleyer, M., Klotz, S., Knops, J.M.H., Kramer, K., Kühn, I., Kurokawa, H., Laughlin, D., Lee, 699 700 T.D., Leishman, M., Lens, F., Lenz, T., Lewis, S.L., Lloyd, J., Llusià, J., Louault, F., Ma, S., Mahecha, M.D., Manning, P., Massad, T., Medlyn, B., Messier, J., Moles, A., 701 Müller, S., Nadrowski, K., Naeem, S., Niinemets, U., Nöllert, S., Nüske, A., Ogaya, R., 702 703 Joleksyn, J., Onipchenko, V.G., Onoda, Y., Ordoñez, J., Overbeck, G., Ozinga, W., 704 Patiño, S., Paula, S., Pausas, J.G., Peñuelas, J., Phillips, O.L., Pillar, V., Poorter, H., 705 Poorter, L., Poschlod, P., Proulx, R., Rammig, A., Reinsch, S., Reu, B., Sack, L., 706 Salgado, B., Sardans, J., Shiodera, S., Shipley, B., Sosinski, E., Soussana, J-F., Swaine, 707 E., Swenson, N., Thompson, K., Thornton, P., Waldram, M., Weiher, E., White, M., 708 Wright, S.J., Zaehle, S., Zanne, A.E., and Wirth, C.:. TRY – a global database of plant 709 traits, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 2905–2935, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02451.x, 710 2011.
  - Keenan, T.F., Hollinger, D.Y., Bohrer, G., Dragoni, D., Munger, J.W., Schmid, H.P., and Richardson, A.D.: Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations rise, Nature, 499, 324–327, 2013.

712

713

714

715

716

717 718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726 727

728

729 730

731

732

- Landais, A., Lathiere, J., Barkan, E., and Luz, B.: Reconsidering the change in global biosphere productivity between the Last Glacial Maximum and present day from the triple oxygen isotopic composition of air trapped in ice cores, Glob. Biogeochem. *Cyc.*, 21, GB1025, 2007.
- Lavergne, A., Harrison, S.P., Atsawawaranunt, K., Dong, N., and Prentice, I.C.: Recent C<sub>4</sub> vegetation decline is imprinted in land carbon isotopes. <a href="https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3966918/v1">https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3966918/v1</a>, 2024.
- Lavergne, A., Voelker, S., Csank, A., Graven, H., de Boer, H.J., Daux, V., Robertson, I., Dorado-Liñan, I., Martinez-Sancho, E., Battipaglia, G. et al.: Historical changes in the stomatal limitation of photosynthesis: empirical support for an optimality principle, New Phytol., 225, 2484–2497, 2020.
- Levis, S., Foley, J.A., and Pollard, D.: CO<sub>2</sub>, climate, and vegetation feedbacks at the Last Glacial Maximum, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 31191–31198, 1999.
- Li, G., Harrison, S. P., Bartlein, P. J., Izumi, K., and Prentice, I. C.: Precipitation scaling with temperature in warm and cold climates: an analysis of CMIP5 simulations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 4018–4024, https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50730, 2013.
- Makou, M.C., Hughen, K.A., Xu, L., Sylva, S.P., and Eglinton, T.I.: Isotopic records of tropical vegetation and climate change from terrestrial vascular plant biomarkers preserved in Cariaco Basin sediments, Org. Geochem., 38, 1680-1691, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2007.06.003, 2007.
- 734 Marchant, R.A., Harrison, S.P., Hooghiemstra, H., Markgraf, V., Boxel, J.H., Ager, T., Almeida, 735 L., Anderson, R., Baied, C., Behling, H., Berrio, J.C., Burbridge, R., Björck, S., Byrne, 736 R., Bush, M.B., Cleef, A.M., Duivenvoorden, J.F., Flenley, J.R., de Oliveira, P.E., van 737 Geel, B., Graf, K.J., Gosling, W.D., Harbele, S., van der Hammen, T., Hansen, B.C.S., Horn, S.P., Islebe, G.A., Kuhry, P., Ledru, M-P., Mayle, F.E., Leyden, B.W., Lozano-738 Garcia, M.S., Lozano-Garcia, S., Melief, A.B.M., Moreno, P., Moar, N.T., Prieto, A., 739 740 van Reenan, G.B., Salgado-Labouriau, M.L., Schäbitz, F., Schreve-Brinkman, E.J., and Wille, M.: Pollen-based biome reconstructions for Latin America at 0, 6000 and 18 000 741 radiocarbon years, Clim. Past, 5, 725-767, 2009 742

- Martin Calvo, M., and Prentice, I.C.: Effects of fire and CO<sub>2</sub> on biogeography and primary production in glacial and modern climates, New Phytol., 208, 987-994, 2015.
- Martin Calvo, M., Prentice, I.C., and Harrison, S.P.: Climate versus carbon dioxide controls on biomass burning: a model analysis of the glacial-interglacial contrast, Biogeosci., 11, 6017–6027, 2014.
- Mauritsen, T., Bader, J., Becker, T., Behrens, J., Bittner, M., Brokopf, R., et al.:
   Developments in the MPI-M Earth System Model version 1.2 (MPI-ESM1.2) and its
   response to increasing CO<sub>2</sub>, J. Advan. Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 998–
   1038, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001400, 2019.
- Medlyn, B.E., De Kauwe, M.G., Lin, Y.-S., Knauer, J., Duursma, R.A., Williams, C.A., Arneth,
   A., Clement, R., Isaac, P., Limousin, J.-M., Linderson, M.-L., Meir, P., Martin-StPaul,
   N., and Wingate, L.: How do leaf and ecosystem measures of water-use efficiency
   compare?, New Phytol., 216, 758-770, https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14626, 2017.

757

758 759

760

761

762

763

764 765

766

767

768

769

770771

772

773

- O'ishi, R., and Abe-Ouchi, A.: Influence of dynamic vegetation on climate change and terrestrial carbon storage in the Last Glacial Maximum, Clim. Past, 9, 1571–1587, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-1571-2013, 2013.
- Otto-Bliesner, B.L., Braconnot, P., Harrison, S.P., Lunt, D.J., Abe-Ouchi, A., Albani, S., Bartlein, P.J., Capron, E., Carlson, A.E., Dutton, A., Fischer, H., Goelzer, H., Govin, A., Haywood, A., Joos, F., Legrande, A.N., Lipscomb, W.H., Lohmann, G., Mahowald, N., Nehrbass-Ahles, C., Pausata, F.S.R., Peterschmidt, J-Y., Phipps, S.J., Renssen, R., and Zhang, Q.: The PMIP4 contribution to CMIP6 Part 2: Two interglacials, scientific objective and experimental design for Holocene and Last Interglacial simulations, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 3979-4003, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-1-2017, 2017
- Piao, S., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Zhou, L., and Chen, A.: Effect of climate and CO<sub>2</sub> changes on the greening of the Northern Hemisphere over the past two decades. Geophys. Res. Lett. 33, L23402, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL028205, 2006.
  - Piao, S., Wang, X., Park, T., Chen, C., Lian, X., He, Y., Bjerke, J. W., Chen, A., Ciais, P., Tømmervik, H., Nemani, R. R., and Myneni, R. B.: Characteristics, drivers and feedbacks of global greening, Nature Rev. Earth Environ., 1, 14–27. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-019-0001-x, 2020.
  - Peng, Y., Bloomfield, K.J., and Prentice, I.C.: A theory of plant function helps to explain leaf-trait and productivity responses to elevation, New Phytol., 226, 1274–1284, 2020.
- Pickett, E.J., Harrison, S.P., Hope, G. Harle, K., Dodson, J.R., Kershaw, A.P., Prentice, I.C., 775 776 Backhouse, J., Colhoun, E.A., D'Costa, D., Flenley, J., Grindrod, J., Haberle, S., 777 Hassell, C., Kenyon, C., Macphail, M., Martin, H., Martin, A.H., McKenzie, M., 778 Newsome, J.C., Penny, D., Powell, J., Raine, J.I., Southern, W., Stevenson, J., Sutra, 779 J.P., Thomas, I., van der Kaars, S., and Ward, J.: Pollen-based reconstructions of biome distributions for Australia, Southeast Asia and the Pacific (SEAPAC region) at 0, 6000 780 and 18,000 <sup>14</sup>C yr B.P. Journal of Biogeography 31: 1381-1444, 10.1111/j.1365-781 2699.2004.01001.x, 2004. 782
- Polley, H.W., Johnson, H.B., Marino, B.D., and Mayeux, H.S.: Increases in C<sub>3</sub> plant water-use efficiency and biomass over glacial to present CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, Nature, 361, 61–64, 1993.
- Prentice, I.C., Dong, N., Gleason, S.M., Maire, V., Wright, I.J.: Balancing the costs of carbon gain and water transport: testing a new theoretical framework for plant functional ecology, Ecol. Lett., 17, 82–91, 2014.

- Prentice, I.C., Harrison, S.P., and Bartlein P.J.: Global vegetation and terrestrial carbon cycle changes after the last ice age, New Phytol., 189, 988–998, 2011.
- Prentice, I.C., Jolly, D., and BIOME 6000 Participants, 2000. Mid-Holocene and glacial-maximum vegetation geography of the northern continents and Africa, J. Biogeog., 27: 507-519.
  - Prentice, I.C., Kelley, D.I., Foster, P.N. Friedlingstein, P., Harrison S.P., and Bartlein P.J.: Modeling fire and the terrestrial carbon balance, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycl., 25, GB3005, doi:10.1029/2010GB003906, 2011.

795

796 797

798

799

800

801

802 803

804

805

806

827

828

829

830 831

832

- Prentice, I.C., Villegas-Diaz, R., and Harrison, S.P.: Accounting for atmospheric carbon dioxide variations in pollen-based reconstruction of past hydroclimates, Glob. Planet. Change, 211,103790, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2022.103790, 2022.
- Rommerskirchen, F., Eglinton, G., Dupont, L., and Rullkötter, J.: Glacial/interglacial changes in southern Africa: Compound-specific δ<sup>13</sup>C land plant biomarker and pollen records from southeast Atlantic continental margin sediments, Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 7, Q08010, 2006.
- Sato, H., Kelley, D.I., Mayor, S.J., Martin Calvo, M., Cowling, S.A., and Prentice, I.C.: Dry corridors opened by fire and low CO<sub>2</sub> in Amazonian rainforest during the Last Glacial Maximum, Nat. Geosci., 14, 578–585, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-021-00777-2, 2021.
- 807 808 Serge, M., Mazier, F., Fyfe, R., Gaillard, M.-J., Klein, T., Lagnoux, A., Galop, D., Githumbi, E., Mindrescu, M., Nielsen, A., Trondman, A.-K., Poska, A., Sugita, S., Woodbridge, 809 810 J., Abel-Schaad, D., Åkesson, C., Alenius, T., Ammann, B., Andersen, S., Anderson, R., 811 Andrič, M., Balakauskas, L., Barnekow, L., Batalova, V., Bergman, J., Birks, H., Björkman, L., Bjune, A., Borisova, O., Broothaerts, N., Carrion, J., Caseldine, C., 812 Christiansen, J., Cui, Q., Currás, A., Czerwiński, S., David, R., Davies, A., De Jong, R., 813 814 Di Rita, F., Dietre, B., Dörfler, W., Doyen, E., Edwards, K., Ejarque, A., Endtmann, E., Etienne, D., Faure, E., Feeser, I., Feurdean, A., Fischer, E., Fletcher, W., Franco-815 Múgica, F., Fredh, E., Froyd, C., Garcés-Pastor, S., García-Moreiras, I., Gauthier, E., 816 817 Gil-Romera, G., González-Sampériz, P., Grant, M., Grindean, R., Haas, J., Hannon, G., Heather, A.-J., Heikkilä, M., Hjelle, K., Jahns, S., Jasiunas, N., Jiménez-Moreno, G., 818 Jouffroy-Bapicot, I., Kabailienė, M., Kamerling, I., Kangur, M., Karpińska-Kołaczek, 819 M., Kasianova, A., Kołaczek, P., Lagerås, P., Latalowa, M., Lechterbeck, J., Leroyer, 820 C., Leydet, M., Lindbladh, M., Lisitsyna, O., López-Sáez, J.-A., Lowe, J., Luelmo-821 Lautenschlaeger, R., Lukanina, E., Macijauskaitė, L., Magri, D., Marguerie, D., 822 Marquer, L., Martinez-Cortizas, A., Mehl, I., Mesa-Fernández, J., Mighall, T., Miola, 823 824 A., Miras, Y., Morales-Molino, C., et al.: Testing the effect of relative pollen productivity on the REVEALS model: A validated reconstruction of Europe-wide 825 826 Holocene vegetation, Land, 12, 986, <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/land12050986">https://doi.org/10.3390/land12050986</a>, 2023.
  - Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Verschuren, D., Ossebaar, J., Blokker, J., van Houten, R., Plessen, B., and Schouten, S.: A 25,000-year record of climate-induced changes in lowland vegetation of eastern equatorial Africa revealed by the stable carbon-isotopic composition of fossil plant leaf waxes, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 302, 236–246, 2011.
  - Smith, N.G., and Keenan, T.F.: Mechanisms underlying leaf photosynthetic acclimation to warming and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> as inferred from least-cost optimality theory, Glob. Change Biol., 26, 5202–5216, 2020.
- Smith, N.G., Keenan, T.F., Prentice, I.C., Wang, H., Wright, I.J., Niinemets, U, Crous, Y.,
  Domingues, T.F., Guerrieri, R., Ishida, F.Y., Kattge, J., Kruger, E.L., Maire, V.,
  Rogers, A., Serbin, S.P., Tarvainen, L., Togashi, H.F., Townsend, P.A., Wang, M.,
  Weerasinghe, L.K., and Zhou, S-X.: Global photosynthetic capacity is optimized to
  the environment, Ecol. Lett., 22, 506–517, 2019.

- Stein, U., and Alpert, P.: Factor separation in numerical simulations, J. Atmos. Sci., 50, 2107–2115, 1993.
- Stocker, B.D., Wang, H., Smith, N.G., Harrison, S.P., Keenan, T., Sandoval, D., Davis, T., and Prentice, I.C.: P-model v1.0: an optimality-based light use efficiency model for terrestrial gross primary production, Geosci. Model Devel., 13, 1545–1581, 2020.
- 844 Swinehart, D.F.: The Beer-Lambert Law, J. Chem. Educ., 39, 333, https://doi.org/10.1021/ed039p333, 1962.

851

855

856

857 858

859 860

861

862

863 864

865

866 867

868 869

870

871

872

873

874

875

- Vogts, A., Schefuß, E., Badewien, T., and Rullkötter, J.: n-alkane parameters derived from a deep-sea sediment transect off south-west Africa reflect continental vegetation and climate conditions, Org. Geochem., 47, 109–119, 2012.
  - Wang, H., Atkin, O.K., Keenan, T.F., Smith, N.G., Wright, I.J., Bloomfield, K.J., Kattge, J., Reich, P.B., and Prentice, I.C.: Acclimation of leaf respiration consistent with optimal photosynthetic capacity, Glob. Change Biol., 26, 2573–2583, 2020.
- Wang, H., Prentice, I.C., Cornwell, W.M., Keenan, T.F., Davis, T.W., Wright, I.J., Evans, B.J., and Peng, C.: Towards a universal model for carbon dioxide uptake by plants. Nature Plants, 3, 734–741, 2017.
  - Williams, I.N., and Torn, M.S.: Vegetation controls on surface heat flux partitioning, and land-atmosphere coupling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 9416–9424, doi:10.1002/2015GL066305, 2015.
  - Williams, J.W.: Variations in tree cover in North America since the last glacial maximum, Glob. Planet. Change, 35, 1-23, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(02)00088-7, 2003.
    - Williams, J. W., Tarasov, P., Brewer, S., and Notaro, M.: Late Quaternary variations in tree cover at the northern forest-tundra ecotone, J. Geophys. Res., 116, G01017, doi:10.1029/2010JG001458, 2011.
    - Wohlfahrt, J., Harrison, S.P., Braconnot, P., Hewitt, C.D., Kutzbach, J.E., Kitoh, A., Mikolajewicz, U., Otto-Bliesner, B., and Weber, N.: Evaluation of coupled ocean-atmosphere simulations of northern hemisphere extratropical climates in the mid-Holocene, Clim. Dyn., 31, 871–890, 10.1007/s00382-008-0415-5, 2008.
    - Woillez, M.-N., Kageyama, M., Krinner, G., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Viovy, N., and Mancip, M.: Impact of CO<sub>2</sub> and climate on the Last Glacial Maximum vegetation: results from the ORCHIDEE/IPSL models, Clim. Past, 7, 557–577, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-7-557-2011, 2011.
    - Xu, H., Wang, H., Prentice, I.C., Harrison, S.P., Wang, G., and Sun, X.: Predictability of leaf traits with climate and elevation: a case study in Gongga Mountain, China, Tree Physiol., doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpab003, 2021.
    - Yang, J-W., Brandon, M., Landais, A., Duchamp-Alphonse, S., Blunier, T., Prie, F., and Extier, T.: Global biosphere primary productivity changes during the past eight glacial cycles, Science, 375,1145-115, 10.1126/science.abj8826, 2022.
- Zanon, M., Davis, B. A. S., Marquer, L., Brewer, S., and Kaplan, J. O.: European forest cover during the past 12,000 years: A palynological reconstruction based on modern analogs and remote sensing, Front. Plant Sci., 9, 1–25, <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00253">https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00253</a>, 880
- Zeng, Z., Piao, S., Li, L. Zhou, L., Ciais, P., Wang, T., Li, Y., Lian, X., Wood, E. F.,
  Friedlingstein, P., Mao, J., Estes, L.D., Myneni, R.B., Peng, S., Shi, X., Seneviratne,
  S.I., and Wang, Y.: Climate mitigation from vegetation biophysical feedbacks during
  the past three decades, Nature Clim. Change, 7, 432–436, https://doi.
  org/10.1038/nclimate3299, 2017.
- Zhou, B., Cai, W., Zhu, Z., Wang, H., Harrison, S.P., and Prentice, I.C.: A general model for the seasonal to decadal dynamics of leaf area, Glob. Change Biol., e70125, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.70125">https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.70125</a>, 2025.

Zhu, Z., Wang, H., Harrison, S.P., Prentice, I.C., Qiao, S., and Tan, S.: Optimality principles
 explaining divergent responses of alpine vegetation to environmental change, Glob.
 Change Biol., 29, 126-142, doi: 10.111/gcb.16459, 2022.