Ongoing firn warming at Eclipse Icefield, Yukon, indicates potential widespread meltwater percolation and retention in firn pack across the St. Elias Range

Ingalise Kindstedt[1,2], Dominic Winski[1,2], C. Max Stevens[3], Emma Skelton[1,2,4], Luke Copland[5], Karl Kreutz[1,2], Mikaila Mannello[1,2], Renée Clavette[1,2], Jacob Holmes[1,2], Mary Albert[6], and Scott N. Williamson[7]

[1]Climate Change Institute, University of Maine, Orono, Maine, USA
[2]School of Earth and Climate Sciences, University of Maine, Orono, Maine, USA
[3]Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA
[4]Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH USA
[5]Department of Geography, Environment and Geomatics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
[6]Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire, USA
[7]Polar Knowledge Canada, Canadian High Arctic Research Station, Cambridge Bay, Nunavut, Canada

Correspondence: Ingalise Kindstedt (ingalise.kindstedt@maine.edu)

Abstract.

Warming in high alpine regions is leading to an increase in glacier surface melt production, firn temperature, and firn liquid water content, altering regional hydrology and climate records contained in the ice. Here we use field observations and firn modeling to show that although the snowpack at Eclipse Icefield at 3,000 m a.s.l. in the St. Elias Range, Yukon, Canada,
5   remains largely dry, meltwater percolation is likely to increase with an increase in ~~extreme~~ intense melt events associated with continued atmospheric warming. In particular, the development of year-round deep temperate firn at Eclipse Icefield is promoted by an increase in ~~extreme~~ the number of individual melt events and in average melt event magnitude combined with warmer wintertime temperatures, rather than ~~a greater number of small melt events or a~~ an earlier or prolonged melt season. Borehole temperatures indicate that from 2016 to 2023 there has been a 1.67°C warming of the firn at 14 m depth (to –3.37
10   ± 0.01°C in 2023). Results from the Community Firn Model show that warming of the firn below 10 m depth may continue over the next decade, with a 2 % chance of becoming temperate year-round at 15 m depth by 2033, even without continued atmospheric warming. Model results also show that the chance of Eclipse Icefield developing year-round temperate firn at 15 m depth by 2033 increases from 2 % with 0.1°C atmospheric warming over the period 2023–2033 to 12 % with 0.2°C warming, 51 % with 0.5°C warming and 98 % with 1°C warming. As the majority of the St. Elias Range's glacierized terrain
15   lies below Eclipse Icefield, the development of temperate firn at this elevation would ~~represent~~ likely indicate widespread meltwater percolation in this region and a wholesale change in its hydrological system, reducing its capacity to buffer runoff and severely limiting potential ice core sites. It is therefore urgent that a deep ice core be retrieved while the record is still intact.

20 **1 Introduction**

Firn is defined as snow that has survived one melt season, and is found in the accumulation region of glaciers, ice caps and ice sheets around the world (**?**). There is increasing evidence that firn columns are warming and melting (**?????**), which can alter the snow and firn structure, temperature and chemistry, compromising the climate record in the developing ice column (**?**). Liquid water in the snow and firn can also preclude ice core drilling efforts. In addition, firn water retention can dramatically

25 delay the release of glacier melt to downstream hydrological systems and act as a buffer to glacier runoff (**????**). When deep percolation and localized freezing occur, firn storage of surface melt can cause a lag in runoff of years to decades (**?**). Alternatively, the development of near-surface low-permeability ice layers can lead to increased runoff in the longer term (**??**). Surface melt can be retained in the firn pack, either refrozen in ice layers or in liquid form as irreducible water, slush fields, or a firn aquifer (**?**). Firn aquifers ~~account for much of observed firn water storage~~ can store large amounts of liquid water, and can

30 retain water for several years, both delaying runoff and warming the firn (**?????**). For example, firn aquifers across Greenland have been estimated to store 140 ± 20 Gt of liquid water, buffering ~ 0.4 mm of sea level rise (**?**).

Glacierized high-alpine regions contain unique regional climate records and act as water towers for downstream human and ecological communities (**????**). Despite the importance of warming high-alpine firn columns, comparatively little work has been done on the thermal evolution and meltwater retention of firn in these regions (e.g. **?, ?; ?, ?; ?, ?**) compared to

35 Greenland (e.g. **?, ?; ?, ?; ?, ?; ?, ?; ?, ?; ?, ?; ?, ?**). Amplified warming at high elevations (**?**), combined with high annual snow accumulation (**?**) that can insulate underlying firn, make the heavily glacierized St. Elias Range a region of potentially widespread meltwater retention and active firn aquifer development.

The St. Elias Range (henceforth referred to as "St. Elias") is a mountain range located in the southwest Yukon, Canada, on the border between the Yukon and Alaska (Fig. **??**). Prior work on the upper Kaskawulsh Glacier, a prominent glacier

40 on the east side of the range, revealed a firn aquifer that may have developed within the last decade, though its age cannot be well constrained (**?**). In contrast, observations from 2002, 2016 and 2017 at Eclipse Icefield ("Eclipse"), ~ 350 m higher in elevation than the Kaskawulsh aquifer, have shown a relatively dry snow and firn pack (**???**). Firn observations that span twenty years, nearby weather station data, and observed firn aquifer development at lower-elevation sites in the region make Eclipse a compelling case study for firn evolution and aquifer development in the St. Elias and in glacierized alpine areas more

45 generally. Here we combine field observations and firn modeling at Eclipse to determine the conditions required to develop a temperate firn column in a formerly polythermal high-alpine regime. Specifically, we address the following questions: (1) is there evidence for current and increasing melt production and percolation at Eclipse? (2) how much warming is required to develop year-round temperate firn at Eclipse? (3) does the distribution of warming throughout the year and/or among intense heat events matter?

Figure 1. Study area in the St. Elias Range with firn cores and ground-penetrating radar collected in the 2023 field campaign. The Gulf of Alaska region is shown in panel (a) with Alaska (AK), Yukon Territory (YT) and British Columbia (BC) labeled in gold. Relevant sites in the St. Elias Range are shown in panel (b), the extent of which is indicated by the red box in panel (a). Panel (c) shows a closeup view of Eclipse Icefield, bounded by the red box marked "Eclipse" in panel (b). Elevation contours in panel (c) are given in meters. Base imagery in panels (b) and (c) is from ESRI.

2 Methods

2.1 Firn core recovery

We recovered three firn cores from Eclipse Icefield between 2 June 2023 and 4 June 2023 (Fig. **??**c). Cores B501 and B502 were drilled from the surface to depths of 16.2 m and 10.9 m respectively at 60.844°N, 139.851°W, 0.6 m apart from each

other to assess the small-scale spatial variability of near-surface melt features. Core B201 was drilled from the surface to 14.7 m depth at 60.835°N, 139.830°W (at a similar elevation ~1.5 km to the southeast). Cores B501 and B502 were drilled in one night over the course of five hours; core B201 was drilled the following night. A summary of core recovery information is given in Table **??**.

Table 1. Firn core recovery information.

Core	Location	Surface elevation (m a.s.l.)	Recovery date	Recovery start time (local time)	Bottom depth below the snow surface at the time of coring (m)
Ecl23_B501	60.844°N, 139.851°W	3,053	2 June 2023	21:00 (night 1)	15.6
Ecl23_B502	60.844°N, 139.851°W	3,053	3 June 2023	00:30 (night 1)	10.3
Ecl23_B201	60.835°N, 139.830°W	3,058	3-4 June 2023	22:00 (night 2)	14.1

2.1.1 Stratigraphy

We recorded the stratigraphic character of each layer within each core (e.g. opacity, texture, ice layers) in the field and logged accompanying backlit photos. We describe layers as either "fine-grained" or "coarse-grained". We use the term "fine-grained" to refer to sections of firn composed of <1 mm grains that separate readily when force is applied to the core. In this context, fine-grained firn is firn that shows no visible evidence of melt alteration. We use the term "coarse-grained" to refer to sections of firn composed of 1-2 mm well-sintered ice grains (Fig. **??**b). Unlike the large, faceted grains in surface and depth hoar, which reduce layer strength, the rounded, well-sintered grains in our coarse-grained firn result in a strong icy layer requiring a saw to cut through. Despite their sintering, the grains remain readily distinguishable from one another, differentiating these layers from glacier ice. We use the terms "ice lens" and "ice layer" to refer to regions of solid ice that extend partially and fully across our core diameter respectively. Uncertainty in our stratigraphy comes from the accuracy of the ruler used to measure core depths, as well as the subjective delineation of stratigraphic boundaries within the core. The ruler used was marked to the millimeter, so we report individual layer thicknesses to 0.001 m. However, we report layer depths and thicknesses of stratigraphic sections spanning more than one core segment to 0.01 m to account for the compounded uncertainty over accumulating core segments. We report all layer depths relative to the last summer surface (LSS) because of high uncertainty in our measurements of seasonal snow depth resulting from the visual similarity of seasonal snow and core chips (a drilling artifact) pulled out of the drill barrel. For visual representation purposes, we show the LSS in all three cores to be located at ~4.25 m depth despite differences in measured depth. Because we 're are focusing on the firn below the LSS, standardizing the core depths to the LSS provides a more useful visual than standardizing them to the snow surface.

2.1.2 Density

We recorded density in the field for core B501 starting at 1.92 m depth. We did not record density for the unconsolidated snow above this because the volume uncertainty of core segments was too high. Core sections were sawed into roughly 10 cm segments, or delineated at existing breaks. We then measured each segment's length, diameter and mass, and assessed its cylindrical completeness. Following the methods of **?** (**?**), we assigned each core segment a cylindrical completeness value (f) of 0–1, with 1 denoting an intact core and fractional values denoting the portion of core segment present. For example, a core segment determined via visual inspection to have 5 % of its volume missing due to chipping or crumbling would be assigned an f value of 0.95. Density (ρ) was calculated for each core segment using:

$$\rho = m/V, \qquad with \qquad V = f\pi L(D/2)^2 \tag{1}$$

where m is mass, V is volume, L is core segment length, and D is the average diameter. We removed outliers ~~from the dataset if the density was greater than~~ for all depths below the last summer surface if their density was $>$ 917 kg m^{-3} or $<$ 300 kg m^{-3} ~~below the density of the last summer surface~~ within uncertainty. We report density for the top depth of each segment. For example, the density value reported for a depth of 3.40 m would be measured over the segment from 3.40 to 3.50 m depth below the snow surface at the time of coring.

Uncertainty in our density measurements comes from a variety of sources and can be calculated based on the uncertainties in mass and volume with the following:

$$d\rho = \rho\sqrt{\left(\frac{dm}{m}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dV}{V}\right)^2} \tag{2}$$

Mass uncertainty arises from the accuracy of the scale. The scale used has a nominal accuracy of $\pm$ 0.1 g, but we assign a mass uncertainty of 0.3 g to account for residual snow or water on its surface. Volume uncertainty comprises uncertainty in the measured segment length and radius (used to calculate cross-sectional area A) and in the subjective assignment of cylindrical completeness (f):

$$dV = V\sqrt{\left(\frac{df}{f}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dA}{A}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{dL}{L}\right)^2} \tag{3}$$

Our ruler was marked every millimeter, but following Ochwat et al. (2021), we assign $dL = dD = 0.25$cm to account for rough-cut core segments with crumbly edges. We then calculate the uncertainty in area using:

$$dA = \pi D\left(\frac{dD}{2}\right) \tag{4}$$

We also follow Ochwat et al. (2021) in assigning an uncertainty of $df = 0.1$ for $f \geq 0.8$ and $df = 0.2$ for $f < 0.8$, because a less complete core is more difficult to visually assess. We calculate the uncertainty for mean density values from the root mean square of all point values being averaged:

$$d\rho_k : d\overline{\rho} = \frac{1}{N}\left[\sum_N d\rho_k^2\right]^{1/2} \tag{5}$$

105   We report the top depth of all density measurements relative to the snow surface assuming an LSS depth of 4.24 m below the surface. Consequently, absolute depths reported have an uncertainty of $\pm$ 0.2 m; however, density calculations depend on segment thickness rather than absolute depth and therefore use the assigned measurement uncertainty of 0.25 cm. Although densities can be reported to the top or middle depth of core segments, we report them here to the top of segments for consistency with our stratigraphy, which we report to segment tops to focus on the transition between melt-altered and unaltered sections
110 of firn, which is more physically interesting than the midpoint depths of sections.

2.1.3 Borehole temperatures

We obtained temperature measurements from the boreholes of cores B501 and B201 using an RBRsolo[3] T compact single-channel temperature logger. We allowed the borehole to equilibrate for approximately 12 hours after drilling before installing or metering any temperature sensors. We obtained one temperature measurement near the bottom of B201 (14.1 m below the
115 snow surface) after the sensor was allowed to equilibrate in the borehole for 1.5 hours, and one temperature measurement near the bottom of B501 (15.5 m below the snow surface) after the sensor was allowed to equilibrate in the borehole for 1.5 hours. In addition to these deep equilibrated measurements, we acquired a full-length temperature profile for each core. Due to time constraints in the field, this was based on 30 s of measurement time at each depth, after 30 s of equilibration time. After recording the surface air temperature, we lowered the logger into the borehole using a survey wheel while continuously
120 sampling at a rate of 2 Hz. At 20 cm intervals, we paused lowering and recorded a timestamp to identify the temperature at that depth. RBRsolo[3] T temperature measurements have an initial accuracy of $\pm$ 0.002°C, which we apply to our basal borehole temperatures when the sensor was allowed to equilibrate in the borehole for 1.5 hours. We assign an uncertainty of 0.01°C to our temperature profiles at 20 cm increments when a 30 s equilibrium time was used; we increase our uncertainty by an order of magnitude in the interest of being conservative when using the lower equilibration time. Because . We ignore the effects
125 of advective heat transport for three reasons: we were most concerned with temperatures below 10 m depth, boreholes were only a couple inches across, because ~5 cm across, and surface conditions were very similar on both days when temperature measurements were collected (sunny, light breeze), we ignore the effects of advective heat transport.

~

2.2 Ground-penetrating radar

We collected ground-penetrating radar (GPR) data at frequencies of 900 MHz and 5 MHz, using two different systems to image the near subsurface ($\sim$20 m depth) and full ice thickness (up to 700 m depth) respectively. We collected very high frequency (VHF) data on 2 June 2023 with a GSSI system, using a shielded 900 MHz center frequency antenna (model 3101) and an SIR4000 control unit paired with a Garmin GPSMap78 (horizontal and vertical accuracy of $\pm$ 3 m). We collected data at 2,048 samples per scan and 24 scans per second with a range of 240 ns. We collected high frequency (HF) data on 4 June 2023 with a Blue Systems Integrated (BSI) 5 MHz antenna, with 30 m separation between the transmitter and receiver. We ski-towed both GPR systems at a rate of $\sim$1 ms^{-1} to obtain profiles of stratigraphy between core sites B2 and B5 (Fig ??c). Radar data were processed in ImpDAR (?) using standard processing techniques, including: clipping stationary periods, constant trace spacing, bandpass filtering, and normal move out analysis to correct for antenna separation. HF data were also migrated using the SeisUnix sumigtk routine to correct for hyperbolic reflections from basal topography. Depth-variable density profiles obtained from shallow (2023 B501) and deep (2002) cores were used to calculate variable, permittivity-dependent, radar-wave velocities to determine the depth of reflected layers. The LSS was semi-automatically picked across the VHF profile; a full description of this picking can be found in ? (?).

2.3 Firn modeling

~~Suite of degree day factors (DDFs) and surface density values tested in model tuning. A total of 300 DDF and surface density combinations were tested.~~ **Variable Values Source** ~~DDF () 2.6   5.8 3.0   6.2 3.4   6.6 3.8   7.0 4.2 7.4 4.6   7.8 5.0   8.2 5.4 Surface density () 225   430 250   440 275   450 300   460 325 470 350   480 375   490 400   500 410   510 420   520~~

We model the firn pack evolution over a period of 20 years (2013–2033) at Eclipse using the Community Firn Model (CFM), an open-source, modular model framework coded in Python 3 and available for download on Github (?). We used the CFM to simulate firn density and temperature evolution as well as meltwater retention and refreezing. We parameterize firn density evolution using the densification scheme from ? (?) and a time-invariant surface density derived from sensitivity testing (Table ??). The densification equation from ? (?) was calibrated for use in Greenland using firn data from sites spanning a range of climates, and it is reasonable to assume that the climate at Eclipse is similar to some locations in Greenland. Any firn densification model is possibly subject to tuning biases (e.g., Kuipers-Munneke model was tuned using RACMO climate data, so biases in RACMO will affect the model tuning). We do not expect that ~~use of~~ using a different firn densification equation would change our results and conclusions. The CFM uses a bucket scheme to simulate meltwater percolation, and it uses an enthalpy-based heat transfer scheme to simulate heat diffusion in the presence of phase changes. We use a parameterization for thermal conductivity from ? (?) and a ~~Neumann boundary condition , simulating down to~~ Neuman boundary condition for the heat equation at the bottom of the 50 m ~~depth~~ deep firn column. We force the model with air temperature data from a weather station near the ice divide between the Kaskawulsh and Hubbard Glaciers (30 km away, "Divide AWS"; Fig. ??b), surface melt calculated from air temperatures using a simple degree day model, and mean annual accumulation rate of 1.4 m w.e. a^{-1} (?)

with monthly scalars applied ~~.~~ (Fig. **??**). We calculate these scalars using an in situ snow accumulation record from Divide. We use four years of complete coverage (2004, 2005, 2006, 2008) to compute each month's mean fractional contribution to annual accumulation. When incorporated into the CFM, we distribute each month's portion of the annual 1.4 m w.e. evenly across the days of the month. We elevation-correct Divide AWS air temperatures to Eclipse ($\sim$400 m higher) using a lapse rate of $-3.98°\text{Ckm}^{-1}$ following **?** (**?**).

We spin the model up from $\sim$1983–2013 (exact spinup time varies slightly among model runs as it is the time required to refresh the entire firn column and therefore dependent on densification rate and surface melt) using monthly averaged downscaled North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) air temperatures for Eclipse from 1983 to 2013 (**?**). We also test the model sensitivity to three other spinup schemes using different air temperature datasets ~~(Appendix **??**)~~: 1) elevation-adjusted Divide AWS data from 2013 to 2024 repeated for the duration of the spinup, 2) synthetic climate data selected from a Gaussian distribution of temperatures based on elevation-adjusted 2013–2024 Divide AWS data, and 3) like spinup scheme (2) but with a historical $0.024°\text{Ca}^{-1}$ rate of temperature change (**?**) applied for the duration of the spinup such that the mean annual temperature at the start of the main model run is consistent with elevation-adjusted 2013 Divide AWS data. All model spinups are forced with a mean annual accumulation rate of 1.4 m w.e. a^{-1}. For our spinup sensitivity tests, we test each spinup scheme with 15 different degree day factor (DDF) values used to estimate surface melt from air temperatures and 20 different surface density values (Table **??**). ~~During all sensitivity testing, the model was spun up from 1983 to 2013, and then run through the end of our in situ data in 2024. Across these tests, downscaled NARR temperatures provided the most conservative baseline firn temperatures below 10 m depth.~~

~~We then use the NARR spinup to explore the model's sensitivity to this suite of DDF and surface density values. Our range of DDF values (2.6–8.2) is bounded by the minimum and maximum DDFs derived from 2008–2009 in situ data from two glaciers on the northeast side of the St. Elias Range (?). Our tested surface density values span the range from 225–520 , covering the full range of surface snow densities measured at Eclipse (?), at two sites near the Kaskawulsh/Hubbard Divide (??), and over three glaciers in the nearby Donjek Range (?). Locations and elevations of measured regional surface densities are found in Table ??. We refined the surface density spacing between 400–520 since in situ data suggest this is the most reasonable range of surface density estimates for Eclipse. We~~ We select a representative pairing (DDF = 6.2, $\rho = 450$ kg m^{-3}) from all the combinations of DDF and surface density values that produce no liquid water down to 14 m depth in the firn in both spring 2016 and spring 2023 (consistent with firn cores and GPR showing no evidence of liquid water at those times), and a firn temperature between $-2°\text{C}$ and $-4°\text{C}$ at 14 m depth in spring 2023 (consistent with 2023 borehole temperature measurements). We refer to this selected model as our "reference model". Our exploration of model sensitivity to spinup scheme, DDF and surface density values is detailed in Appendix **??**.

We run the model with approximately one-day timesteps. After spinup ($\sim$1983 to 2013), we apply the elevation-adjusted Divide AWS temperature data as forcing from 2013–2024, and then run the CFM under a suite of climate scenarios from 2024–2033, including: (a) continuation of current climate, (b) $0.1°\text{C}$ cooling, (c) $0.1°\text{C}$ warming, (d) $0.2°\text{C}$ warming, (e) $0.5°\text{C}$ warming, and (f) $1°\text{C}$ warming by 2033. We generate synthetic air temperatures for all 2024–2033 climate scenarios by computing the mean and standard deviation of the 2013–2024 Divide AWS daily mean temperature values (computed from

hourly measurements) for each day of year. We then assign synthetic daily air temperatures randomly drawn from Gaussian distributions described by these means and standard deviations. Temperatures for scenario (a) are drawn from the distribution described by the mean and standard deviation of 2013–2024 data. Temperatures for scenarios (b-f) are drawn from distributions with a prescribed rate of change applied to the mean such that the specified degree of warming or cooling for each scenario is reached by December 31, 2033. For each climate scenario, we run the model fifty times and calculate the percentage of model runs that produce temperate firn at 15 m depth by 2033.

To examine the conditions associated with the production of year-round temperate firn at 15 m depth, we quantify the mean winter temperature, melt season start, melt season end, melt season length and total PDDs each year. We also quantify the number of individual melt events and each one's magnitude. We define melt events as any period over which the snow surface is continuously melting without refreeze.

2.4 Comparison among St. Elias study sites

To contextualize the firn conditions at Eclipse, we compare our results with data from two neighboring sites near the ice divide between the Kaskawulsh and Hubbard Glaciers: Icefield Divide Camp (60.68°N, 139.78°W; 2,603 m a.s.l.), which we refer to as "Icefield Camp" to avoid confusion with reference to the broader Kaskawulsh/Hubbard Divide area, and the upper Kaskawulsh Glacier (60.78°N, 139.63°W; 2,640 m a.s.l.), which we refer to as "Kaskawulsh" (Fig. **??**b). On 4 June 2018, VHF (400 MHz) and HF (5 MHz) ground-penetrating radar data were collected at Icefield Camp; for a full description of GPR deployment and processing, see McConnell (2019). In the same year, two firn cores were recovered from the upper northern arm of Kaskawulsh Glacier by **?** (**?**). The cores were each 8 cm in diameter and drilled 60 cm apart from each other to depths of 36 m (Core 1) and 21 m (Core 2); liquid water was encountered at 34.5 m below the surface in Core 1 (**?**). Stratigraphy of both cores and density of Core 1 were measured in the field; densities for a subset of samples from Core 2 were measured after transporting the core from the field to nearby Kluane Lake Research Station. For a complete description of Kaskawulsh core recovery and analysis, see **?** (**?**).

We also contextualize the Eclipse, Icefield Camp and Kaskawulsh sites within the St. Elias Range by producing a regional hypsometric curve for the region's glacierized terrain. We derive this curve from the ArcticDEM digital elevation model at 10 m resolution, and highlight areas ranging from 2,600 to 3,000 m a.s.l., which cover the elevation band between our sites of interest.

2.5 Firn changes at Eclipse over time

The St. Elias Range has been an area of glaciological study for decades. To investigate how firn at Eclipse has changed over time, we compare our 2023 data to other measurements made at Eclipse since 2002:

(i) We compare 2023 GPR data to both VHF (400 MHz) and HF (10 MHz) GPR data from 2016, described in McConnell (2019).

(ii) We compare 2023 density measurements to values measured in firn cores drilled in both 2016 and 2017 (**?**). Density in the 2016 Eclipse ice core was measured by weighing each core segment (to the nearest 10 g) and dividing by the volume

230 computed from one length measurement using a ruler ($\pm$ 0.5 cm accuracy) and the average of three diameter measurements using calipers ($\pm$ 0.5 mm accuracy).

(iii) We compare 2023 borehole temperatures to values measured in 2016 using a string of iButtons and Easylog USB temperature sensors lowered into a borehole and allowed to equilibrate for over 24 hours before logging data. iButton and Easylog sensors were both deployed at a sample rate of one per 300 s and both sensors have an instrumental accuracy of 0.5°C.
235 For depths with multiple sensors, the mean of the temperature readings was taken as the final value.

(iv) We compare 2023 density measurements to values from a 130 m ice core recovered in 2002 (**??**). Density values for the 2002 core were calculated in the field using bulk mass and volume measurements at 1 m increments (**??**). Ice layers were assumed to have a density of 910 kg m^{-3} and accounted for in the bulk density calculations.

3   Results

240 ### 3.1   Stratigraphy

The stratigraphy of all three 2023 cores shows ice layers, ice lenses, and melt-affected firn throughout the core; however, variability among individual melt features is high among all three cores despite the proximity (<1 m) of cores B501 and B502 (Fig. **??**). The first $\sim$4 m of all three cores comprise the seasonal snowpack, with the last summer surface (LSS) appearing as a crusty layer of melt-affected firn. Unless otherwise specified, all following stratigraphic depths are reported relative to the
245 LSS, which we designate as below the LSS or "BLSS".

In core B501, most of the top meter of firn below the LSS is coarse-grained and contains several hairline to 1.0 cm ice layers (Fig. **??**). Approximately 1 m BLSS, the firn transitions from coarse-grained and very melt-affected to fine-grained with fewer melt features. It remains fine-grained until 3.40 m BLSS, where there is a sharp transition from fine- to coarse-grained firn. The largest sections of fine-grained firn occur from 2.15 to 3.40 m BLSS (1.25 m thick) and from 7.54 to 8.83 m BLSS
250 (1.29 m thick). The rest of the core contains clusters of ice layers and lenses, or is otherwise melt-affected based on texture and appearance. The thickest ice layer in the core begins at 5.46 m BLSS and is 12.0 cm thick. Core B501 has a total firn ice content of 5 % by volume and 40 % of its length below the LSS (11.34 m) is visibly metamorphized and/or melt-altered (ice layers, coarse-grained, and melt-affected sections as shown in Fig. **??**).

Example backlit photos from core B501 of: (a) undisturbed firn (6.97 BLSS), (b) coarse-grained firn (3.40 BLSS), (c)
255 clustered thin ice layers (6.36 BLSS), and (d) a thick (>10) ice layer (5.46 BLSS). Depths refer to top of the core segment below the last summer surface (BLSS).

In core B502, the first meter of firn below the LSS lacks the large crystals observed in core B501, but does contain numerous hairline to 1.0 cm thick ice layers (Fig. **??**). The largest sections of fine-grained firn occur from 1.80 to 3.03 m BLSS (1.23 m thick) and from 3.72 to 4.82 m BLSS (1.10 m thick). The thickest ice layer in core B502 begins at 1.41 m BLSS and is 10.0
260 cm thick. Core B502 has a total ice content of 3 % by volume and 19 % of its length below the LSS (5.96 m) is melt-altered.

In core B201, the LSS is defined based on the first appearance of coarse-grained firn rather than a crust as in B501 and B502 (Fig. **??**). The top meter of firn below the LSS is coarse-grained and contains several ice lenses. At 1.18 m BLSS, the

Figure 2. Stratigraphy of the three firn cores drilled at Eclipse Icefield in 2023. The position of the LSS 0 mark in the lefthand depth scale is the mean LSS depth (4.27 m).

firn transitions from coarse- to fine-grained. The largest sections of fine-grained firn occur from 1.70 to 2.71 m BLSS (1.01 m thick) and from 7.10 to 8.90 m BLSS (1.80 m thick). Core B201 has three ice layers over 10 cm thick, the largest being at least

265   33 cm, where we stopped drilling because of mechanical difficulties. Core B201 has a total ice content of 10 % by volume and 27 % of its length below the LSS (9.91 m) is melt-altered.

Ice content and melt alteration of cores B501 and B201 from the LSS down to 14.15 m depth (below snow surface) are summarized in Table **??**. Although all three cores differ in their ice content and layer characteristics, we focus on the difference between cores B501 and B201 to maximize the depth of overlap for a greater sample domain.

Table 2. Ice content and melt alteration of cores B501 and B201 from the LSS to 14.15 m depth below the snow surface.

core	% ice content by vol.	% of ice layers >1 cm thick by vol.	% of total ice content in thick layers	% melt features by vol.
B501	3 %	24 %	82 %	17 %
B201	10 %	48 %	93 %	27 %

3.2 Density

~~Density measurements for coreB501 are shown in Figure **??**~~ In general, density increases with depth throughout the core. However, cyclic variations can be seen, which are likely seasonal, particularly in the top 10 m. Individual ice layers can also be identified by peaks in density (Fig. **??**). We report density values beginning at 1.92 m depth (below the snow surface) because of high uncertainty in our volume measurements for the surface snow. The mean density from 1.92 m to the LSS at 4.24 m depth is 612 ± 20 kg m^{-3}. Seasonal snow above the LSS was dry with no ice content. We focus here on the firn below the LSS, much of which shows signs of melt alteration. The mean density of the top 2 m of firn below the LSS is 638 ± 21 kg m^{-3}. The mean density of the top 10 m of firn below the LSS is 689 ± 10 kg m^{-3}. The mean density of the bottom 2 m of the core is 722 ± 23 kg m^{-3}. The mean overall density of core B501 is 679 ± 9 kg m^{-3}. ~~In general, density increases with depth throughout the core. However, cyclic variations can be seen, which are likely seasonal, particularly in the top 10 . Individual ice layers can also be identified by peaks in density.~~ Note that although measured densities for ~~these~~ some ice layers are implausibly high, their values are physically reasonable within uncertainty.

3.3 Borehole temperatures

We obtained borehole temperatures down to 14.1 m depth (below the snow surface) for B201 and to 15.5 m depth (below the snow surface) for B501 (Fig. **??**). Both profiles show an initial cooling for the top $\sim$3 m, which then transitions to a warming, with a temperature minimum just below –8°C in the top 4 m of the profile. In B201, the warming continues until $\sim$12 m depth, below which the profile shows a temperature stabilization and slight cooling. In B501, the warming that begins around 3 m depth continues through the bottom of the profile domain (15.5 m). At 14 m depth, B2's temperature is -1.74 ± 0.01°C and B5's temperature is -3.37 ± 0.01°C. Although the temperature probe was continuing to equilibrate in the borehole at 1 minute (30 s equilibration and 30 s measurement), our fully equilibrated spot measurements (indicated by stars in Figure **??**) are consistent with measurements acquired after only 30 seconds equilibration. We therefore consider our full temperature profiles to be adequately representative of borehole conditions.

Figure 3. Firn densities of 2023 core B501 with uncertainty values (gray) and a best-fit logarithmic curve (red). Measured densities begin at 1.92 m because the snow above that depth was too crumbly for accurate volume measurements.

3.4 Ground-penetrating radar

Clear, continuous englacial horizons are observable throughout the radargrams, which is generally indicative of a non-temperate snowpack (Fig. **??**). For example, our VHF (900 MHz) radargram shows a continuous reflector between 3 and 4 m depth (Fig.
295 **??**b), which we interpret to be the LSS. The LSS is determined to be at 3.66 m at site B2 and 3.60 m at site B5, differing from the average LSS depth observed in our firn cores because of assumptions about the radio wave velocity used to interpret the GPR data. Similarly, our HF (5 MHz) radargram shows a continuous reflector at ∼150 m depth, which we interpret to be the ash layer associated with the Katmai eruption of 1912 (**? ?**; Fig. **??**c). Our HF radargram also shows the total ice thickness, which ranges from ∼400 m to greater than 700 m (Fig. **??**c). The deepest ice is found near the center of the profile, with the
300 bedrock sloping up toward either end. Bedrock is located 484 m below the surface at site B2 and 566 m below the surface at site B5. There is no evidence for a firn aquifer in any of our GPR data.

Figure 4. Borehole temperatures measured at Eclipse in 2023. Full 2023 profiles (circles) were acquired with 30 s sensor equilibration at each depth. Near the bottom of each borehole, one measurement (star marker) was acquired after allowing the sensor to equilibrate for 1.5 hours.

3.5 Firn modeling

Assuming a continuation of current climate conditions through 2033, our reference model predicts little change in firn temperature below 10 m depth; over fifty replicate runs, our median predicted firn temperature is –1.75 °C at 10 m depth, –1.50 °C at 15 m depth, and –1.34 °C at 20 m depth in 2033. However, our range of predicted firn temperatures is 5.31 °C at 10 m depth, 4.06 °C at 15 m depth, and 3.53 °C at 20 m depth, with the reference model producing temperate firn for at least some of the fifty replicate runs at each depth (Fig. **??**). Eclipse therefore appears to be near a threshold for supporting temperate firn below the penetration depth (∼10 m) of the annual temperature wave.

Additionally, firn conditions (even below 10 m depth) at Eclipse are sensitive to changes in air temperature and exhibit threshold behavior with projected warming (Fig. **??**). Over 50 replicate model runs with 0.1°C cooling by 2033, firn at 15 m depth has a 4 % chance of being temperate year-round in 2033, compared to a 6 % chance over 50 replicate runs under a

Figure 5. Ground-penetrating radar data showing the last summer surface (LSS), Katmai volcanic ash layer and bedrock across a transect between core sites B5 and B2. Panel (a) shows surface, LSS and Katmai layer elevations; panels (b) and (c) are radargrams from the 900 MHz and 5 MHz systems respectively. Yellow and red dashed lines indicate the surface location of core sites B5 and B2 respectively. The x-axes of panels (a-c) are all on the same distance scale; however, the core site locations vary slightly between the shallow (900 MHz) and deep (5 MHz) profiles because the transects skied with the two systems were not exactly aligned. Two-way travel time (TWTT, lefthand axis) is used to calculate depth (righthand axis).

continuation of current climate or with 0.1°C warming. The probability of firn at 15 m depth becoming temperate year-round increases to 26 % with 0.2°C warming, 72 % with 0.5°C warming and 98 % with 1°C warming by 2033. The evolution of density, liquid water content and temperature over the full firn column is shown under each modeled climate scenario in Figure

315 **??** for a model run randomly selected from the fifty replicates.

~~Example timeseries of density, liquid water content and firn temperature from 2013–2033 under six different climate scenarios: 0.1cooling by 2033 (a-b), continuation of current climate through 2033 (c-d), 0.1warming by 2033 (e-f), 0.2warming by 2033 (g-h), 0.5warming by 2033 (i-j), and 1warming by 2033 (k-l). The righthand panels show both density and LWC, with density shown by the colorbar and white areas indicating the presence of liquid water. All climate scenarios are prescribed~~

Figure 6. Firn temperature (a-j) and liquid water content (LWC; k-l) timeseries and distribution plots for replicate runs of our reference model at Eclipse Icefield. Temperatures are shown at 0 m (a-b), 5 m (c-d), 10 m (e-f), 15 m (g-h), and 20 m (i-j) depth. LWC is calculated for the entire firn column. A degree day factor (DDF) of 6.2 and a surface density of 450 $kg\ m^{-3}$ were used for all fifty model runs. All model runs were forced using downscaled NARR air temperatures during the spinup period (pre-2013), elevation-corrected Divide AWS data from 2013–2024, and temperatures randomly drawn from a Gaussian distribution described by the mean and standard deviation of elevation-corrected 2013–2024 Divide AWS temperatures from 2024–2033. Forcing temperatures from 2024–2033 assume a continuation of current climate conditions. Gray bars show odd-numbered years (e.g. 2015, 2017, etc.). Values on the x-axis of the righthand panels (distributions) correspond to those on the y-axis of the lefthand panels (timeseries). Distributions include all output values over the course of 2033 (Jan 1 through Dec 31).

320 ~~using surface temperature values drawn from a Gaussian distribution based on elevation-corrected 2013–2024 AWS data from Divide with the appropriate level of warming or cooling applied.~~

Conditions associated with the development of year-round temperate firn at 15 m depth include ~~lower mean winter temperature,~~ higher total melt season positive degree days (PDDs)~~and greater magnitude of~~, more individual melt events~~.~~, higher average melt event magnitude, and higher mean winter temperature (Fig. **??**). We find a significant (p < 0.05) difference (indepen-

325 dent samples t-test) for mean winter temperatures during all years after 2025 between model runs that do produce year-round temperate firn by 2033 and those that do not (Table **??**).

Figure 7. 2013–2033 timeseries and 2033 distribution plots of firn temperature at 15 m depth. All model runs shown use a degree day factor of 6.2 and a surface density of 450 $\mathrm{kg\,m^{-3}}$. All model runs were forced using downscaled NARR air temperatures during the spinup period (pre-2013), elevation-corrected Divide AWS data from 2013–2024, and temperatures randomly drawn from a Gaussian distribution described by the mean and standard deviation of elevation-corrected 2013–2024 Divide AWS temperatures from 2024–2033. Distributions include all output values over the course of 2033 (Jan 1 through Dec 31).

Additionally, for model runs that do not produce temperate firn, the median of the total melt season PDDs (over all model runs for any given year) never exceeds 35 (Fig. ????). In contrast, the median total melt season PDDs over all model runs that do produce temperate firn by 2033 ranges from 31.58 in 2025 to 52.88 in 2033. Finally, we find a significant (p < 0.05) difference (Wilcoxon Rank Sum test) for the total melt season PDDs, the number of individual melt events, and the median melt event magnitude (mm) between model runs that produce temperate firn at 15 m depth and those that don't during most years from 2024-2033 (Table **??**). We do not find any significant (p ≥ 0.05) difference in median melt season start, end, or length (Table **??**). ~~Complete results of our statistical tests are shown in Appendix B.~~

Figure 8. ~~Positive~~ Melt season positive degree days (PDDs, a)~~from May through September~~, number of melt events (b), melt event magnitude (c), and wintertime temperatures (d) each year for model runs that produce year-round temperate firn at 15 m depth by 2033 (blue) and those that ~~don't~~ do not (orange). We define the melt season here as May-September, and wintertime as October-March. Outliers are excluded for clarity.

Table 3. Difference in mean winter (October through March) temperatures (T, independent T-test) between model runs that produce year-round temperate firn by 2033 and those that do not. Significant results (at the 95% confidence level) are bolded.

Year	Temperate mean (°C)	Polythermal mean (°C)	T	p
2024	-16.63	-16.66	0.77	0.44
2025	-16.46	-16.53	1.49	0.13
2026	**-16.40**	**-15.54**	**2.84**	$\mathbf{4.5 \times 10^{-3}}$
2027	**-16.35**	**-16.51**	**3.34**	$\mathbf{8.3 \times 10^{-4}}$
2028	**-16.27**	**-16.55**	**5.89**	$\mathbf{4.0 \times 10^{-9}}$
2029	**-16.20**	**-16.56**	**7.48**	$\mathbf{7.6 \times 10^{-14}}$
2030	**-16.08**	**-16.50**	**8.87**	$\mathbf{7.6 \times 10^{-19}}$
2031	**-16.07**	**-16.49**	**8.78**	$\mathbf{1.6 \times 10^{-18}}$
2032	**-16.01**	**-16.50**	**10.23**	$\mathbf{1.5 \times 10^{-24}}$
2033	**-15.88**	**-16.44**	**11.94**	$\mathbf{8.0 \times 10^{-33}}$

Table 4. Difference in median number and magnitude of melt events (W, Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests) between model runs that produce year-round temperate firn by 2033 and those that do not. Significant results (at the 95% confidence level) are bolded.

Year	Median PDDs		Number of melt events		Melt event magnitude	
	W	p	W	p	W	p
2024	-0.13	0.90	0.02	0.98	0.27	0.78
2025	-0.10	0.92	0.81	0.442	4.2×10^{-3}	1.0
2026	**4.68**	$\mathbf{2.9 \times 10^{-6}}$	1.46	0.14	**2.74**	$\mathbf{6.1 \times 10^{-3}}$
2027	**4.73**	$\mathbf{2.2 \times 10^{-6}}$	**3.33**	$\mathbf{8.6 \times 10^{-4}}$	**3.03**	$\mathbf{2.4 \times 10^{-3}}$
2028	**4.72**	$\mathbf{2.3 \times 10^{-6}}$	0.93	0.35	1.17	0.24
2029	**6.01**	$\mathbf{1.7 \times 10^{-9}}$	**3.15**	$\mathbf{1.6 \times 10^{-3}}$	**3.06**	$\mathbf{2.2 \times 10^{-3}}$
2030	**7.63**	$\mathbf{2.3 \times 10^{-14}}$	**2.46**	**0.01**	**3.81**	$\mathbf{1.4 \times 10^{-4}}$
2031	**8.87**	$\mathbf{7.6 \times 10^{-19}}$	**4.87**	$\mathbf{1.1 \times 10^{-6}}$	**5.47**	$\mathbf{4.6 \times 10^{-8}}$
2032	**9.16**	$\mathbf{5.3 \times 10^{-20}}$	**4.21**	$\mathbf{2.6 \times 10^{-5}}$	**5.45**	$\mathbf{5.1 \times 10^{-8}}$
2033	**8.26**	$\mathbf{1.4 \times 10^{-16}}$	**4.37**	$\mathbf{1.2 \times 10^{-5}}$	**3.21**	$\mathbf{1.3 \times 10^{-3}}$

Table 5. Difference in median melt season timing and duration (W, Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests) between model runs that produce year-round temperate firn by 2033 and those that do not. No years showed significant differences between the medians at the 95% confidence level.

Year	Melt season start		Melt season end		Melt season length	
	W	p	W	p	W	p
2024	-0.18	0.86	0.87	0.38	0.87	0.39
2025	0.24	0.81	0.89	0.37	-0.15	0.88
2026	1.27	0.21	-1.65	0.99	-1.66	0.10
2027	1.36	0.17	-1.90	0.06	-1.72	0.09
2028	0.90	0.37	0.34	0.74	-0.44	0.66
2029	-0.02	0.98	-0.67	0.50	-1.32	0.19
2030	-0.21	0.83	1.55 p	0.12	1.50	0.13
2031	0.41	0.68	-1.15	0.25	-1.55	0.12
2032	1.20	0.23	1.06	0.29	-0.36	0.72
2033	-0.74	0.46	1.08	0.28	1.37	0.17

3.6 Comparison among St. Elias study sites

Over 80 % of the St. Elias Range's glacier cover lies below both Eclipse and the Kaskawulsh/Hubbard Divide in elevation (Fig. **??**). Although it is only about 400 m higher in elevation than Divide, the firn pack at Eclipse to date remains drier than that at both Icefield Camp and Kaskawulsh study sites. Comparisons between VHF (400 MHz) and HF (5-10 MHz) GPR data from Eclipse and Icefield Camp in 2016 and 2018, respectively, showed stratigraphic differences indicating a wetter firn pack at Icefield Camp relative to Eclipse, including a bright reflector in the HF data at ∼25 m depth suggesting the presence of a liquid water table in the firn at Icefield Camp but not at Eclipse (**?**). VHF data from Icefield Camp show greater signal attenuation than those from Eclipse, consistent with a wetter firn pack at Icefield Camp (**?**). GPR data from Eclipse in 2023 show the same results: clear stratigraphy with low signal attenuation, and a lack of bright reflector that would indicate a water table.

At the Kaskawulsh site, two firn cores were drilled in 2018 that allow comparison of firn properties between Kaskawulsh and Eclipse. The Kaskawulsh cores showed extensive evidence of meltwater percolation and freezing events, including the saturation of firn with liquid water below 34.5 m depth (**?**). The saturated firn, or firn aquifer, likely developed since 2013 based on model results, but its age cannot be confirmed (**?**). The seasonal snow layers at both Eclipse in 2023 and on the Kaskawulsh in 2018 were dry since drilling at both sites occurred in the very early stages of the melt season. Ice content in the Kaskawulsh 2018 Core 1 is similar to that in the Eclipse 2023 core B201, and more than Eclipse 2023 cores B501 and B502 (Table **??**). However, the density of the upper 10 m of firn (below the LSS) was higher in Eclipse core B501 (688 ± 10 kg m^{-3}) than in the Kaskawulsh 2018 cores (588 ± 8 kg m^{-3} and 572 ± 7 kg m^{-3}; **?, ?**). Much like cores B2 and B5 at Eclipse, Cores 1 and 2 on the Kaskawulsh showed some similarities in general regions of melt alteration but distinct individual stratigraphic layers.

Table 6. Ice content of Eclipse 2023 cores and Kaskawulsh Core 1. Kaskawulsh data are from Ochwat et al. (2021).

Core	Total length (m)	Total length ice (m)	Total ice content by vol.
Eclipse 2023 B201	14.15	1.03 ± 0.03	7.3 %
Eclipse 2023 B501	15.58	0.54 ± 0.04	3.5 %
Eclipse 2023 B502	10.28	0.19 ± 0.02	1.8 %
Kaskawulsh 2018 Core 1	36.6	2.33 ± 0.26	7.2 %

3.7 Firn changes at Eclipse over time

A borehole temperature of –3.37 ± 0.01°C was recorded at 14 m depth in May 2023 at site B2, approximately the same location (within ∼50 m) where borehole temperatures of –5.04 ± 0.5°C and –5.50 ± 0.5°C were recorded at 14 m and 20 m depth respectively in May 2016 (Fig. **??**). The 1.67°C increase in temperature at 14 m depth over those 7 years supports the notion of a warming regional firn pack suggested by changes in borehole temperatures and stratigraphy at the Kaskawulsh/Hubbard Divide between 1965 and 2018, where an increase in melt and refreeze was indicated by an increase in both the quantity

Figure 9. Eclipse 2023 (a) firn densities and (b) borehole temperatures in comparison to measurements at Eclipse from 2002, 2016 and 2017. Measured density values are shown by circles in panel (a) with a curve fitted to each year's data. The density of solid ice is shown in panel (a) by the dotted gray line. Curves are not fitted to temperature data in panel (b). Uncertainty in 2016 temperature measurements is shown by the gray error bars. Error bars for 2023 measurements are too short to be visible behind the markers.

and thickness of ice layers and lenses observed in the firn (**??**). The warming firn pack and increased melt are likely due to
360 atmospheric warming over that period, which has been shown to be amplified at high elevations; downscaled NARR gridded
surface air temperatures in the St. Elias show a 1979-2016 warming rate of $0.028°C$ a^{-1} between 5,500 and 6,000 m a.s.l.,
approximately 1.6 times larger than the global-average warming rate from 1979-2015 (**?**).

Additionally, Eclipse density profiles from 2002, 2016, 2017 and 2023 show an apparent densification of the top 20 m of firn
at Eclipse over the 21-year period (Fig. **??**). We interpret this apparent densification with caution because of the unrealistically
365 high measured densities of ice layers in the 2023 core (> 917 kg m^{-3}); however, both the location of peaks and transitions
from periods of high to low measured density are consistent with our stratigraphic observations, coinciding with ice layers and
seasonal changes in firn grain size. Results suggest an increase in melt within the snow and firn, which leads to densification
first by rounding snow grains, allowing them to pack more closely, and eventually by filling in pore space and refreezing (**??**).

4 Discussion

Results from our firn core stratigraphy, borehole temperatures, and GPR surveys (discussed in greater detail below) suggest that although there is some meltwater movement through the snow and firn at Eclipse, it is not enough to characterize the site as "wet". Because of its high elevation (3,017 m a.s.l.), high accumulation rate (1.4 m w.e. a^{-1}) and thick ice (> 650 m), Eclipse has been the site of several past ice coring campaigns with additional core recovery planned for coming years (???). However, recent and continued warming threaten the viability of coring efforts and the preservation of a climate record at Eclipse. Percolating melt can influence paleoproxy records by homogenizing isotope and chemical signals in the snow and firn through which it travels, as well as preclude core recovery with mechanical drills (?). Although our results indicate that Eclipse to date remains relatively dry (and could therefore be a valuable ice core site), there is evidence of increasing meltwater inputs and firn modeling shows that firn at the site could become temperate by 2033.

4.1 Meltwater movement and refreezing in snow

We see no evidence of seasonal melt onset in the top ∼4 m of snow. However, below this depth all three firn cores display a variety of features associated with snowmelt, including ice layers, ice lenses, and bubbly and coarse-grained firn (Fig. ??). The presence of such features indicates that snow at Eclipse experiences melt and percolation during the summer months, leading to the formation of ice lenses and layers that freeze either in subsurface firn below 0°C or as ambient surface temperatures drop below freezing.

In the early stages of summer melt, capillary action causes the small amount of liquid water produced to stay in place between the crystals (?); if refreezing occurs at this stage, the refrozen melt only partially fills the boundaries between individual grains. It therefore does not produce a solid blue ice layer, instead appearing bubbly or composed of very coarse but well-sintered grains, what we note in our observations as "coarse-grained". The strength of these layers differentiates them from depth hoar and is consistent with formation from meltwater, as repeated melt-freeze cycles increase both the size of snow crystals and the bond strength between them (??). If the temperature remains above freezing for an extended period, ponding and wet grain growth can occur (???). Although not necessary for ponding or grain growth, blue ice layers, which we observe in all three firn cores, may form under these conditions (?).

Ice lenses (ice layers that do not extend across the entire core diameter) are observed in all three firn cores, indicating the development of preferential pathways for meltwater movement. Percolation is a notoriously spatially variable phenomenon, often occurring via vertical pipes that develop as surface melt progresses and can leave surrounding firn unaltered (?). Preferential flow through vertical pipes has been observed extensively in both seasonal snowpacks (????) and glacier and ice sheet snow cover (????). We interpret the appearance of ice lenses as evidence of vertical piping in the snow and firn at Eclipse although no pipes were sampled directly.

We interpret thin (≤ 2 mm) ice layers in all three cores as buried sun crusts. Sun crusts form when meltwater in the surface snow refreezes due to radiative cooling; the forming crust reduces shortwave absorption, allowing additional water vapor to condense below the initial glaze (?). Buried sun crusts account for 31 % and 42 % of observed ice layers in cores B501 and

B502 respectively, but only 16 % of observed ice layers in core B201. Sun crusts are surface formations that are later buried; they do not indicate movement of liquid melt through the snow and firn pack.

Thicker ice layers can form at the surface or deeper in the snow and firn, either through prolonged or intense individual melt events or through the cumulative effect of multiple melt events once an impermeable barrier to deeper percolation is established (**?**). Multi-meter thick ice slabs, such as those observed in Greenland, can develop with multi-year meltwater production (**?**). Alternatively, rapid freeze-thaw cycles can produce melt but inhibit its percolation, resulting in melt complexes that comprise many thin melt layers in close proximity, rather than thick consolidated slabs (**?**). Our results are more consistent with the latter scenario, with the thickest ice layers observed in cores B501 and B502 being 12.0 cm and 10.0 cm, respectively. However, the possibility of an ice slab at the bottom of core B201 cannot be dismissed, as we drilled through 33.0 cm of ice before stopping due to mechanical issues; the total thickness of that layer therefore remains unknown.

Neither our VHF (900 MHz) or HF (5 MHz) radar are high enough frequency to identify thin and discontinuous ice layers in the near-surface, which would indicate subsurface meltwater movement. However, both systems do provide a sense of the overall wetness of the firn pack. Liquid water is very effective at attenuating a radar signal, so the transition from a dry to wet firn pack can be inferred from the disappearance of stratigraphy in GPR data (e.g. **?**, **?**). The presence of clear stratigraphy in both our VHF and HF radar profiles supports previous observations of an overall dry firn pack at Eclipse (**?**). This is in contrast to a bright reflector atop washed out deeper stratigraphy seen in a 2018 radar profile from nearby Icefield Camp (∼400 m lower than Eclipse), indicative of a liquid water table in the firn (**?**).

4.2 Melt percolation and spatial variability in firn character

GPR results, core stratigraphy, and borehole temperatures together indicate that while spatially consistent annual melt/freeze cycles can be observed at Eclipse at the kilometer scale, the effects of meltwater production and percolation across this distance can vary substantially. For example, annual cycles as approximated by transitions between ice-rich and unaltered sections of firn are consistent between cores B501 and B501, and concur with those suggested by cyclic variations in B501 density. However, the location, thickness, and type (e.g. clustered ice layers vs. coarse-grained firn) of melt features differ between the cores due to small spatial variations in the amount of snow deposited at each site, and to the irregular nature of ice lens, finger, and layer formation. Furthermore, core B201, drilled ∼1.5 km from the B5 cores and at a similar elevation, has a higher firn ice content (10 % by volume) than either B501 (5 % by volume) or B502 (3 % by volume), evidence of either greater surface melt production or greater retention of liquid water in the near-surface. Additionally, B201's ice content tends to comprise thicker ice layers despite B201 having lower borehole temperatures than B501 below ∼10 m depth (Table **??**).

This difference in borehole temperatures is likely due to a difference in the surface energy balance and/or meltwater input at the two sites; the relative magnitude of surface energy balance terms can vary substantially based on prevailing weather, topographic shading and seasonal effects, even between closely located sites with similar mean annual temperatures (**?**). One explanation for observed differences between sites B2 and B5 is that B5 on average receives slightly more solar radiation due to its southeast aspect, especially in the winter. Eclipse is high enough latitude that the sun position in the sky is considerably further south in the winter than summer (approximately a 30° difference in azimuth between noon on 21 June and noon on

21 December). This being a predominantly winter phenomenon could explain why B5 has fewer melt features despite being slightly warmer. However; however, we consider it unlikely that the wintertime southerly migration of sun position would outweigh the influence of summertime melt production at the two sites. Moreover, in areas with surface melt and percolation into the subsurface, the role of conduction in downward heat transport is comparatively minor relative to that of latent heat associated with the refreezing of meltwater (**?**). Another explanation is that meltwater percolation is responsible for warming the firn at B5, but occurs adjacent to our core sites and therefore is not recorded in our core stratigraphy. For example, the lateral transport of liquid water along a subsurface layer boundary from the southeast-facing areas upslope of site B5 may account for the higher subsurface heat content of the site relative to B2. However, subsurface meltwater flow would be limited by the large cold content of below-freezing firn. Additionally, site B2 could also experience liquid water transport from upslope areas, predominantly with a western aspect; though based on surface debris, the areas upslope of B2 experience greater avalanche disruption than those upslope of B5, making meltwater transport along consistent subsurface pathways less likely.

4.3 Development of temperate firn

The present difference in firn water content between Eclipse, Icefield Camp and Kaskawulsh is likely because Eclipse sits ∼400 m higher in elevation than the other sites. The lower elevation, but generally similar environment, of Icefield Camp and Kaskawulsh to Eclipse make them useful case studies for predicting the future firn evolution at Eclipse with continued warming in the St. Elias Range.

Unlike the Kaskawulsh/Hubbard Divide area, Eclipse has yet to develop a firn aquifer, as demonstrated by GPR data from both 2016 and 2023. HF (5-10 MHz) data from both years show bedrock that slopes to a trough in the middle of the icefield, a bright reflector at ∼150 m depth interpreted as the ash layer from the 1912 Katmai eruption, and continuous stratigraphy with the exception of surface zones of avalanche debris. Neither 2016 nor 2023 HF data show a bright reflector indicative of a liquid water table. VHF (400–900 MHz) data from both years also look similar, with clear and continuous stratigraphy down to 20 m depth indicative of a dry firn pack. Despite remaining dry to date, borehole temperatures indicate that at least part of Eclipse Icefield is < 2°C from the melting point at depth. Moreover, model results indicate that Eclipse is close to the threshold for developing temperate firn, with a 2 % chance of year-round temperate firn at 15 m depth by 2033 without continued atmospheric warming, a 51 % chance with 0.5°C warming, and a 98 % chance with 1°C warming. Model behavior also suggests an increase in liquid water content at depth, with the potential for firn aquifer development. During many of our model runs, the CFM failed to produce any outputs below ∼25 m depth starting in the late 2020s. Because the CFM is limited in its ability to handle large amounts of liquid water, we associate this pattern of model failure with high amounts of persistent liquid water, consistent with the possibility that Eclipse follows a similar trajectory to Kaskawulsh and Icefield Camp, developing a firn aquifer in the next 5–10 years.

We suggest that increased extreme more and higher-magnitude melt events during the height of summer combined with warmer wintertime temperatures promote the development of year-round temperate firn in the St. Elias. Model results for Eclipse show the development of year-round temperate firn at 15 m depth associated with an increase in total PDDs throughout the melt season, as well as with a greater number and more extreme of individual melt events, higher average melt event

470 magnitude (mm melt produced), and warmer winter temperatures, rather than an earlier or prolonged melt season (Fig. **??????**; Tables **??**, **??**). In Greenland, "extreme melt events" have been related to firn's multi-year response to surface melt via the formation of thick ice slabs and ice layer complexes, which cause a near-surface barrier to downward percolation (**?**). In the St. Elias, however, ~~extreme melt events~~ an increase in the number of melt events and in average individual melt event magnitude are more likely to result in sustained heat transport to depth because of the insulating effect of the region's high

475 annual accumulation (1.4 m w.e. a^{-1} at Eclipse) relative to accumulation rates in Greenland (0.3 − 1.2 m w.e. a^{-1}; **?, ?; ?, ?; ?, ?**).

Also consistent with observations in Greenland (**?**), our results show that the development of year-round temperate firn at Eclipse is associated with an increase in winter temperatures. Rather than directly relating to melt production, wintertime warming affects firn properties by reducing the regeneration of cold content that occurs between melt seasons, effectively

480 enabling the warming effects of summertime melt to compound from one melt season to the next.

If Eclipse does indeed develop a firn aquifer in the next decade, over 90 % (>22,000 km^2) of the region from 59.59°N to 61.58°N and 138.02°W to 142.23°W could support liquid water in the firn based on its elevation (Fig. **??**). Moreover, areas above Eclipse in elevation are largely steep mountain peaks and represent a far smaller portion of the St. Elias hydrological reservoir than the broad icefields below, which retain more snow and ice. Deep (> 10 m depth) temperate firn up to 3,000 m

485 a.s.l. would therefore represent widespread meltwater percolation and constitute a wholesale change in the region's hydrological system. In particular, the capacity of the icefields to buffer runoff would be reduced by meltwater's direct occupation of pore space and by intensified compaction associated with its rounding and lubrication of grains (**????**). Such processes associated with warming have contributed to a 5 % reduction in firn pore space in Greenland since 1980 (**?**). Additionally, ~~the loss of firn~~ firn loses pore space in response to ~~atmospheric warming is amplified relative to its gain~~ warming more readily than it

490 gains pore space in response to ~~atmospheric cooling, meaning~~ cooling; observed densification of the firn to date therefore has long-term consequences for runoff buffering (**?**).

5   Conclusions

Stratigraphy, density, and borehole temperature data from Eclipse Icefield indicate that although the site can still be characterized as largely "dry", the production and percolation of meltwater is present and increasing. Numerous ice layers, lenses,

495 and regions of melt-affected firn are seen in all three firn cores recovered in 2023, with stratigraphic observations in core B501 supported by density data. Borehole temperatures indicate that from 2016 to 2023 there has been a 1.67°C warming of the firn at 14 m depth, and model results indicate that warming of the firn below 10 m depth may continue over the next decade, with a 2 % chance of becoming temperate year-round at 15 m depth by 2033, even without continued atmospheric warming. The chance of developing year-round temperate firn at 15 m depth remains around 2 % with 0.1°C atmospheric warming by

500 2033, but increases to 12 % with 0.2°C warming, 51 % with 0.5°C warming and 98 % with 1°C warming over the same period. Development of year-round temperate firn at Eclipse is associated with ~~an increase in~~ more total PDDs throughout the melt season ~~and more extreme~~, more individual melt events ~~rather than a greater number of melt events~~, and a higher average

melt event magnitude combined with warmer wintertime temperatures, rather than with an earlier or prolonged melt season. Extreme Intense melt events combined with the site's high (1.4 m w.e. a^{-1}) annual accumulation likely result in sustained heat transport to depth.

As > 90 % of the region from 59.59°N to 61.58°N and 138.02°W to 142.23°W is below Eclipse in elevation, the development of temperate firn and/or a firn aquifer at Eclipse would represent the ability for widespread meltwater runoff across the St. Elias Range, indicating a wholesale change in the region's hydrological system and a reduction in its ability to buffer runoff. Given its relatively dry conditions to date, Eclipse remains a site of interest for recovering an ice core-based long-term regional climate record. However, because the firn at Eclipse is close to temperate in places, the heterogeneity of meltwater movement and its effects on the firn must be taken into account for the successful recovery of such a record. Moreover, opportunities for ice coring may be limited with continued atmospheric warming and the associated development of temperate firn and/or a firn aquifer. Such a change in firn character at Eclipse and across areas at or below similar elevations would severely limit potential ice core sites in the St. Elias; it is urgent that a deep ice core be retrieved while the record is still intact.

Code availability. Code for the Community Firn Model an be downloaded from Github at https://github.com/UWGlaciology/CommunityFirnModel

Appendix A: ~~Community Firn Model sensitivity tests~~Photos of representative firn core sections

Figure A1. Example backlit photos from core B501 of: (a) undisturbed firn (6.97 m BLSS), (b) coarse-grained firn (3.40 m BLSS), (c) clustered thin ice layers (6.36 m BLSS), and (d) a thick (>10 cm) ice layer (5.46 m BLSS). Depths refer to top of the core segment below the last summer surface (BLSS).

Appendix B: Community Firn Model setup, sensitivity tests, and example output

We test the sensitivity of the Community Firn Model to the air temperatures used during the model spinup by using four different spinup schemes based on different air temperature data. First, we use 2013 to 2024 in situ data from an automatic weather station (AWS) near the Kaskawulsh/Hubbard ice divide ("Divide AWS", Fig. **??**), which we repeat for the duration of the spinup. Second, we generate synthetic climate data by randomly selecting daily temperature values from a Gaussian distribution described by the mean and standard deviation of the Divide AWS data for each day of the year, which have been corrected for the difference in elevation between Divide and Eclipse using a lapse rate of $-3.98°C\ km^{-1}$ (**?**). Our third spinup scheme uses the same Gaussian method, but we apply a temperature correction to account for a historical warming rate of $0.024°C\ a^{-1}$ between 1979 and 2016 (**?**). Finally, we use downscaled NARR temperature data from 1983 to 2013 (**?**). We use the same mean annual accumulation rate ($1.4\ m$ w.e. a^{-1}) under all spinup schemes.

The model is always forced with the same Divide AWS air temperatures from 2013–2024 regardless of spinup regime, so surface temperatures ($0\ m$ depth) from 2013 to 2024 are consistent among all four spinup schemes (Fig. **??**) and there is no significant difference among the four spinup schemes at $0\ m$ depth (Kruskal-Wallis test; $H = 0.51$, $p \geq 0.05$). There are, however, significant differences among the four schemes (Kruskal-Wallis test) at $5\ m$ ($H = 65.81$, $p < 0.05$), $10\ m$ ($H = 485.92$, $p < 0.05$), $15\ m$ ($H = 1535.42$, $p < 0.05$), and $20\ m$ ($H = 4008.88$, $p < 0.05$) depth. The Kruskal-Wallis test is the non-parametric equivalent of ~~ANOVA~~Analysis of Variance; it is used to test whether two or more samples originate from the same distribution. A higher H value reflects a larger difference between the medians of the samples in question, meaning it is more likely they are from different distributions. We select downscaled NARR air temperatures as the forcing data for our reference model spinup because they provide the most conservative baseline firn temperatures below $10\ m$ depth (Fig. **??**). We therefore take all predictions of firn warming to be conservative estimates.

We also test the model sensitivity to the degree day factor (DDF) and surface density values used in surface melt production and firn densification. Across the three hundred combinations of DDF and surface density that we tested, the CFM produced firn temperatures ranging from $0°C$ to nearly $-10°C$ in May/June 2023, when borehole temperatures indicated firn at $\sim14\ m$ depth at Eclipse to be between $-2°C$ and $-4°C$ (Fig. **??**). Firn temperatures at $0\ m$, $5\ m$, $10\ m$, $15\ m$, and $20\ m$ depth, as well as total firn column liquid water content, are shown for all sensitivity test runs that produced 2023 firn temperatures consistent with borehole measurements in Figure **??**. Results of our sensitivity tests for model runs spun up with downscaled NARR air temperatures are shown in Figure **??** with our selected reference model (used for all reported results) indicated.

Monthly accumulation scalars used in our main CFM model runs are shown in Figure **??**. An example of CFM density, liquid water content, and firn temperature outputs for the full firn column are shown in Figure **??**.

Figure B1. Temperature and liquid water content (LWC) sensitivity to spinup scheme. Distributions include temperature and LWC outputs from 2013–2024 under four different spinup scenarios. Distributions are shown for temperature at 0 m, 5 m, 10 m, 15 m, and 20 m depth. LWC is calculated for the entire firn column.

Table B1. ~~Temperature and liquid water content~~ Suite of degree day factors (~~LWC~~DDFs) ~~sensitivity to spinup scheme. Distributions include temperature~~ and ~~LWC outputs from 2013–2024 under four different spinup scenarios~~surface density values tested in model tuning. ~~Distributions are shown for temperature at 0 , 5 , 10 , 15 ,~~ A total of 300 DDF and ~~20 depth~~surface density combinations were tested.~~LWC is calculated for the entire firn column.~~

~~Evolution of firn temperature at 14 depth under 300 combinations of degree day factor (DDF) and surface density. Dotted vertical line marks the date of in situ borehole temperature measurements in May/June 2023. Solid horizontal lines at –2and –4show the bounds of realistic May/June 2023 firn temperatures at 14 depth based on borehole measurements.~~

~~Temperature (a-j) and liquid water content (LWC; k-l) timeseries and distribution plots for model runs that produce realistic results at Eclipse Icefield. Temperatures are shown at 0 (a-b), 5 (c-d), 10 (e-f), 15 (g-h), 20 (i-j) depth. LWC is calculated for the entire firn column. All model runs were forced using downscaled NARR air temperatures during the spinp period (pre-2013), elevation-corrected Divide AWS data from 2013–2024, and temperatures randomly drawn from a Gaussian distribution described by the mean and standard deviation of elevation-corrected 2013–2024 Divide AWS temperatures from 2024–2033. Forcing temperatures from 2024–2033 assume a continuation of current climate conditions. Gray bars show odd-numbered years (e.g. 2015, 2017, etc.). Values on the x-axis of the righthand panels (distributions) correspond to those on the y-axis of the lefthand panels (timeseries). Distributions are shown for 2033 outputs only.~~
~~Degree Day Factor (DDF) and surface density pairings that produce realistic (blue filled circles), unrealistic (pink open circles), and no (gray filled circles) outputs at Eclipse. Panel (a) shows model runs spun up with downscaled NARR temperatures. Panel (b) shows model runs spun up with temperature values randomly selected from a Gaussian distribution based on elevation-corrected Divide AWS data with a historical warming rate of 0.024between 1979 and 2016 applied (**?**). Our reference model is indicated by the black dashed lines and black-outlined circle.~~

Appendix C: ~~Community Firn Model runs that produce temperate firn~~

~~Comparison of winter (October through March) mean temperatures between model runs that produce year-round temperate firn by 2033 and those that do not.~~

~~Year~~Variable	~~Temperate mean (°C)~~Values		~~Polythermal mean (°C)~~Source ~~T p~~
~~2024~~DDF $(\text{mm } °\text{C}^{-1}\,\text{d}^{-1})$	~~-16.63~~2.6	5.8	~~-16.66 0.77 p ≥ 0.05~~MacDougall et al. 2011: minimum (2.6 mm $°\text{C}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$) and maximum ($°\text{C}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$) values derived from 2008 and 2009 data on two glaciers i
~~2025~~	~~-16.46~~3.0	6.2	~~-16.53 1.49 p ≥ 0.05~~Donjek Range*. The glaciers are located between 60.783°N and 60.9 and 139.083°W and 139.217°W, and range from 1,890–3,100 m a.s
~~2026~~	~~-16.40~~3.4	6.6	~~-15.54 2.84 p < 0.05~~elevation.
~~2027~~	~~-16.35~~3.8	7.0	~~-16.51 3.34 p < 0.05~~
~~2028~~	~~-16.27~~4.2	7.4	~~-16.55 5.89 p < 0.05~~
~~2029~~	~~-16.20~~4.6	7.8	~~-16.56 7.48 p < 0.05~~
~~2030~~	~~-16.08~~5.0	8.2	~~-16.50 8.87 p < 0.05~~
~~2031~~	~~-16.07~~5.4		~~-16.49 8.78 p < 0.05~~
~~2032 -16.01 -16.50 10.23 p <~~	~~-0.13~~225	430	~~≥ 0.05 0.02 p ≥ 0.05 0.27 p ≥ 0.05~~

Figure B1. ~~Melt season timing and duration results~~ Evolution of ~~Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests between model runs that produce year-round temperate~~ firn ~~by 2033~~ temperature at 14 m depth under 300 combinations of degree day factor (DDF) and ~~those that do not~~surface density. Dotted vertical line marks the date of in situ borehole temperature measurements in May/June 2023. Solid horizontal lines at –2°C and –4°C show the bounds of realistic May/June 2023 firn temperatures at 14 m depth based on borehole measurements.

~~**Melt season start Melt season end Melt season length Year W p W p W p** 2024 -0.18 p ≥ 0.05 0.87 p ≥ 0.05 0.87 p ≥ 0.05 2025 0.24 p ≥ 0.05 0.89 p ≥ 0.05 -0.15 p ≥ 0.05 2026 1.27 p ≥ 0.05 -1.65 p ≥ 0.05 -1.66 p ≥ 0.05 2027 1.36 p ≥ 0.05 -1.90 p ≥ 0.05 -1.72 p ≥ 0.05 2028 0.90 p ≥ 0.05 0.34 p ≥ 0.05 -0.44 p ≥ 0.05 2029 -0.02 p ≥ 0.05 -0.67 p ≥ 0.05 -1.32 p ≥ 0.05 2030 -0.21 p ≥ 0.05 1.55 p p ≥ 0.05 1.50 p ≥ 0.05 2031 0.41 p ≥ 0.05 -1.15 p ≥ 0.05 -1.55 p ≥ 0.05 2032 1.20 p ≥ 0.05 1.06 p ≥ 0.05 -0.36 p ≥ 0.05 2033 -0.74 p ≥ 0.05 1.08 p ≥ 0.05 1.37 p ≥ 0.05~~

Figure B2. Temperature (a-j) and liquid water content (LWC; k-l) timeseries and distribution plots for model runs that produce realistic results at Eclipse Icefield. Temperatures are shown at 0 m (a-b), 5 m (c-d), 10 m (e-f), 15 m (g-h), 20 m (i-j) depth. LWC is calculated for the entire firn column. All model runs were forced using downscaled NARR air temperatures during the spinp period (pre-2013), elevation-corrected Divide AWS data from 2013–2024, and temperatures randomly drawn from a Gaussian distribution described by the mean and standard deviation of elevation-corrected 2013–2024 Divide AWS temperatures from 2024–2033. Forcing temperatures from 2024–2033 assume a continuation of current climate conditions. Gray bars show odd-numbered years (e.g. 2015, 2017, etc.). Values on the x-axis of the righthand panels (distributions) correspond to those on the y-axis of the lefthand panels (timeseries). Distributions are shown for 2033 outputs only.

Figure B3. Degree Day Factor (DDF) and surface density pairings that produce realistic (blue filled circles), unrealistic (pink open circles), and no (gray filled circles) outputs at Eclipse. Panel (a) shows model runs spun up with downscaled NARR temperatures. Panel (b) shows model runs spun up with temperature values randomly selected from a Gaussian distribution based on elevation-corrected Divide AWS data. A historical warming rate of 0.024°C a-1 between 1979 and 2016 was applied to these data (**?**). Our reference model is indicated by the black dashed lines and black-outlined circle.

Figure B4. Monthly accumulation scalars calculated using an in situ snow accumulation record from Divide. We use four years of complete coverage (2004, 2005, 2006, 2008) to compute each month's mean fractional contribution to annual accumulation. We compute the fractional contribution to total positive surface change (ignoring ablation) to represent snowfall rather than net accumulation.

Figure B5. Example timeseries of density, liquid water content and firn temperature from 2013–2033 under six different climate scenarios: 0.1°C cooling by 2033 (a-b), continuation of current climate through 2033 (c-d), 0.1°C warming by 2033 (e-f), 0.2°C warming by 2033 (g-h), 0.5°C warming by 2033 (i-j), and 1°C warming by 2033 (k-l). The righthand panels show both density and LWC, with density shown by the colorbar and white areas indicating the presence of liquid water. All climate scenarios are prescribed using surface temperature values drawn from a Gaussian distribution based on elevation-corrected 2013–2024 AWS data from Divide with the appropriate level of warming or cooling applied.

Appendix C: Hypsometry of the St. Elias

Figure C1. Hypsometric curve (a) and elevation map (b) of Eclipse Icefield and the surrounding ice-covered area. Elevation is shown by the grayscale shading, with higher elevations indicated by darker grays. Non-glacierized regions are masked out; areas of pure white should be interpreted as outside our region of analysis. Elevations between 2,600 m a.s.l. and 3,000 m a.s.l. (the approximate elevations of the Kaskawulsh/Hubbard divide and Eclipse) are shown in magenta. The location of Eclipse is indicated with a yellow star.

Author contributions. IK, DW and KK formulated the research goals, hypotheses and testing methods. IK, ES, MM, RC, and JH participated in fieldwork and data collection. IK completed data analysis with contributions from ES, CMS, MA and SW. IK prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors

550 *Competing interests.* The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Acknowledgements. We thank the U.S. National Science Foundation for supporting work in the Alaska and St. Elias Ranges, including awards 2002470, OPP-0136005, 0240878, 0713974, and AGS-1203838, 1502783, 1806422, and 2002483. We also thank the Golden Family Foundation, Bob and Judy Sturgis Exploration Fund, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Polar Continental Shelf Program, American Alpine Club, American Geophysical Union, Geophysical Survey Systems Inc., and the University of Maine Graduate 555 Student Government for supporting work in the St. Elias Range. We thank James Minifie, Stefan Bastien, Steven Bernsen, Erik Blake, Seth Campbell, Dan Dixon, William Kochtitzky, Justin Leavitt, Brittany Main, Dorota Medrzycka, Alex Mondrick, Patrick Saylor, and Cameron Wake for their efforts in obtaining field data, and Naomi Ochwat for sharing insight from her own field experiences in the region. Finally, we thank Kluane National Park and Reserve, the Kluane and Champagne and Aishihik First Nations, Icefield Discovery, and Kluane Lake Research Station for collaboration and support.

References

Albert, M., Koh, G., and Perron, F.: Radar investigations of melt pathways in a natural snowpack, Hydrological Processes, 13, 2991–3000, https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1085(19991230)13:18, 1999.

Amory, C., Buizert, C., Buzzard, S., Case, E., Clerx, N., Culberg, R., Datta, R. T., Dey, R., Drews, R., Dunmire, D., Eayrs, C., Hansen, N., Humbert, A., Kaitheri, A., Keegan, K., Munneke, P. K., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Lhermitte, S., Mair, D., McDowell, I., Mejia, J., Meyer, C. R., Morris, E., Moser, D., Oraschewski, F. M., Pearce, E., Husman, S. d. R., Schlegel, N. J., Schultz, T., Simonsen, S. B., Stevens, C. M., Thomas, E. R., Thompson-Munson, M., Wever, N., and Wouters, B.: Firn on ice sheets, Nature Reviews Earth & Environment 2024 5:2, 5, 79–99, https://doi.org/10.1038/S43017-023-00507-9, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2024.

Bengtsson, L.: Percolation of meltwater through a snowpack, Cold Regions Science and Technology, 6, 73–81, https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-232X(82)90046-5, 1982.

Bezeau, P., Sharp, M., Burgess, D., and Gascon, G.: Firn profile changes in response to extreme 21st-century melting at Devon Ice Cap, Nunavut, Canada, Journal of Glaciology, 59, 981–991, https://doi.org/10.3189/2013JOG12J208, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 2013.

Burgess, E. W., Forster, R. R., Box, J. E., Mosley-Thompson, E., Bromwich, D. H., Bales, R. C., and Smith, L. C.: A spatially calibrated model of annual accumulation rate on the Greenland Ice Sheet (1958–2007), Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001293, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2010.

Bøggild, C. E., Forsberg, R., and Reeh, N.: Meltwater retention in a transect across the Greenland ice sheet, Annals of Glaciology, 40, 169–173, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756405781813546, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 2005.

Calonne, N., Milliancourt, L., Burr, A., Philip, A., Martin, C. L., Flin, F., and Geindreau, C.: Thermal Conductivity of Snow, Firn, and Porous Ice From 3-D Image-Based Computations, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 13 079–13 089, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085228, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2019.

Campbell, S., Kreutz, K., Osterberg, E., Arcone, S., Wake, C., Introne, D., Volkening, K., and Winski, D.: Melt regimes, stratigraphy, flow dynamics and glaciochemistry of three glaciers in the Alaska Range, Journal of Glaciology, 58, 99–109, https://doi.org/10.3189/2012JOG10J238, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 2012.

Clerx, N., Machguth, H., Tedstone, A., Jullien, N., Wever, N., Weingartner, R., and Roessler, O.: In situ measurements of meltwater flow through snow and firn in the accumulation zone of the SW Greenland Ice Sheet, The Cryosphere, 16, 4379–4401, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-4379-2022, 2022.

Colbeck, S. C.: A Theory of Water Percolation in Snow, Journal of Glaciology, 11, 369–385, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000022346, 1972.

Colbeck, S. C.: An overview of seasonal snow metamorphism, Reviews of Geophysics, 20, 45–61, https://doi.org/10.1029/RG020I001P00045, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 1982.

Colbeck, S. C. and Parssinen, N.: Regelation and the Deformation of Wet Snow, Journal of Glaciology, 21, 639–650, https://doi.org/10.3189/S002214300003375X, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 1978.

Cuffey, K. M. and Paterson, W. S. B.: The Physics of Glaciers, Elsevier, 4th edn., 2010.

Culberg, R., Schroeder, D. M., and Chu, W.: Extreme melt season ice layers reduce firn permeability across Greenland, Nature Communications 2021 12:1, 12, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-021-22656-5, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2021.

Evans, S. L., Flores, A. N., Heilig, A., Kohn, M. J., Marshall, H. P., and McNamara, J. P.: Isotopic evidence for lateral flow and diffusive transport, but not sublimation, in a sloped seasonal snowpack, Idaho, USA, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 3298–3306, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL067605, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2016.

Fierz, C., Armstrong, R. L., Durand, Y., Etchevers, P., Greene, E., McClung, D. M., Nishimura, K., Satyawali, P. K., and Sokratov, S. A.: The International classification for seasonal snow on the ground, https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000186462, 2009.

Fisher, D. A., Wake, C., Kreutz, K., Yalcin, K., Steig, E., Mayewski, P., Anderson, L., Zheng, J., Rupper, S., Zdanowicz, C., Demuth, M., Waszkiewicz, M., Dahl-Jensen, D., Goto-Azuma, K., Bourgeois, J. B., Koerner, R. M., Sekerka, J., Osterberg, E., Abbott, M. B., Finney, B. P., and Burns, S. J.: Stable isotope records from Mount Logan, eclipse ice cores and nearby Jellybean Lake. Water cycle of the North Pacific over 2000 years and over five vertical kilometres: Sudden shifts and tropical connections, Geographie Physique et Quaternaire, 58, 337–352, https://doi.org/10.7202/013147AR, publisher: Presses de l'Universite de Montreal, 2004.

Fountain, A. G.: The Storage of Water in, and Hydraulic Characteristics of, the Firn of South Cascade Glacier, Washington State, U.S.A., Annals of Glaciology, 13, 69–75, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0260305500007667, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 1989.

Grew, E. and Mellor, M.: High snowfields of the St. Elias Mountains, Yukon Territory, Canada, 1966.

Hannah, D. M., Gurnell, A. M., and McGregor, G. R.: Spatio-temporal variation in microclimate, the surface energy balance and ablation over a cirque glacier, International Journal of Climatology, 20, 733–758, https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088(20000615)20:7<733::AID-JOC490>3.0.CO;2-F, 2000.

Harper, J., Humphrey, N., Pfeffer, W. T., Brown, J., and Fettweis, X.: Greenland ice-sheet contribution to sea-level rise buffered by meltwater storage in firn, Nature 2012 491:7423, 491, 240–243, https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE11566, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2012.

Hawley, R. L., Neumann, T. A., Stevens, C. M., Brunt, K. M., and Sutterley, T. C.: Greenland Ice Sheet Elevation Change: Direct Observation of Process and Attribution at Summit, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL088 864, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL088864, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2020.

Hill, T., Dow, C. F., Bash, E. A., and Copland, L.: Application of an improved surface energy balance model to two large valley glaciers in the St. Elias Mountains, Yukon, Journal of Glaciology, 67, 297–312, https://doi.org/10.1017/JOG.2020.106, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 2021.

Horlings, A. N., Christianson, K., and Miège, C.: Expansion of Firn Aquifers in Southeast Greenland, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 127, e2022JF006 753, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JF006753, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2022.

Humphrey, N. F., Harper, J. T., and Pfeffer, W. T.: Thermal tracking of meltwater retention in Greenland's accumulation area, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 117, 1010, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JF002083, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2012.

Immerzeel, W. W., Lutz, A. F., Andrade, M., Bahl, A., Biemans, H., Bolch, T., Hyde, S., Brumby, S., Davies, B. J., Elmore, A. C., Emmer, A., Feng, M., Fernández, A., Haritashya, U., Kargel, J. S., Koppes, M., Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Kulkarni, A. V., Mayewski, P. A., Nepal, S., Pacheco, P., Painter, T. H., Pellicciotti, F., Rajaram, H., Rupper, S., Sinisalo, A., Shrestha, A. B., Viviroli, D., Wada, Y., Xiao, C., Yao, T., and Baillie, J. E. M.: Importance and vulnerability of the world's water towers, Nature 2019 577:7790, 577, 364–369, https://doi.org/10.1038/S41586-019-1822-Y, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2019.

Jansson, P., Hock, R., and Schneider, T.: The concept of glacier storage: a review, Journal of Hydrology, 282, 116–129, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(03)00258-0, publisher: Elsevier, 2003.

Jarosch, A. H., Anslow, F. S., and Clarke, G. K. C.: High-resolution precipitation and temperature downscaling for glacier models, Climate Dynamics, 38, 391–409, https://doi.org/10.1007/S00382-010-0949-1/FIGURES/11, publisher: Springer, 2012.

Jordan, R.: Effects of Capillary Discontinuities on Water Flow and Water Retention in Layered Snowcovers, Defence Science Journal, 45, 79–91, 1995.

635   Kelsey, E. P., Wake, C. P., Yalcin, K., and Kreutz, K.: Eclipse ice core accumulation and stable isotope variability as an indicator of North Pacific climate, Journal of Climate, 25, 6426–6440, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00389.1, 2012.

Kochtitzky, W., Winski, D., McConnell, E., Kreutz, K., Campbell, S., Enderlin, E. M., Copland, L., Williamson, S., Main, B., and Jiskoot, H.: Climate and surging of Donjek Glacier, Yukon, Canada, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 52, 264–280, https://doi.org/10.1080/15230430.2020.1744397, publisher: Taylor & Francis, 2020.

640   Koenig, L. S., Miège, C., Forster, R. R., and Brucker, L.: Initial in situ measurements of perennial meltwater storage in the Greenland firn aquifer, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 81–85, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058083, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2014.

Kuipers Munneke, P., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Noël, B. P. Y., Howat, I. M., Box, J. E., Mosley-Thompson, E., McConnell, J. R., Steffen, K., Harper, J. T., Das, S. B., and Broeke, M. R. V. D.: Elevation change of the Greenland Ice Sheet due to surface mass balance and firn processes, 1960-2014, Cryosphere, 9, 2009–2025, https://doi.org/10.5194/TC-9-2009-2015, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2015.

645   Lilien, D. A., Hills, B. H., Driscol, J., Jacobel, R., and Christianson, K.: ImpDAR: an open-source impulse radar processor, Annals of Glaciology, 61, 114–123, https://doi.org/10.1017/AOG.2020.44, 2020.

MacDougall, A. H., Wheler, B. A., and Flowers, G. E.: A preliminary assessment of glacier melt-model parameter sensitivity and transferability in a dry subarctic environment, Cryosphere, 5, 1011–1028, https://doi.org/10.5194/TC-5-1011-2011, 2011.

MacFerrin, M., Machguth, H., As, D. v., Charalampidis, C., Stevens, C. M., Heilig, A., Vandecrux, B., Langen, P. L., Mottram, R., Fettweis,
650   X., Broeke, M. R. v. d., Pfeffer, W. T., Moussavi, M. S., and Abdalati, W.: Rapid expansion of Greenland's low-permeability ice slabs, Nature 2019 573:7774, 573, 403–407, https://doi.org/10.1038/S41586-019-1550-3, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2019.

Machguth, H., Macferrin, M., As, D. V., Box, J. E., Charalampidis, C., Colgan, W., Fausto, R. S., Meijer, H. A. J., Mosley-Thompson, E., and Wal, R. S. W. V. D.: Greenland meltwater storage in firn limited by near-surface ice formation, Nature Climate Change 2016 6:4, 6, 390–393, https://doi.org/10.1038/NCLIMATE2899, publisher: Nature Publishing Group, 2016.

655   Marcus, M. G. and Ragle, R. H.: Snow Accumulation in the Icefield Ranges, St. Elias Mountains, Yukon, Arctic and Alpine Research, 2, 277–292, https://doi.org/10.1080/00040851.1970.12003587, publisher: Taylor & Francis, 1970.

Marsh, P. and Woo, M.-K.: Wetting front advance and freezing of meltwater within a snow cover: 1. Observations in the Canadian Arctic, Water Resources Research, 20, 1853–1864, https://doi.org/10.1029/WR020I012P01853, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 1984.

McConnell, E. A.: Mechanisms of Ice Core Stable Isotope Variability in the Upper Kaskawulsh-Donjek Region, St. Elias Mountains, Yukon,
660   Canada, https://digitalcommons.library.umaine.edu/etd/3069, publisher: The University of Maine, 2019.

McDowell, I. E., Keegan, K. M., Wever, N., Osterberg, E. C., Hawley, R. L., and Marshall, H. P.: Firn Core Evidence of Two-Way Feedback Mechanisms Between Meltwater Infiltration and Firn Microstructure From the Western Percolation Zone of the Greenland Ice Sheet, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 128, e2022JF006 752, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JF006752, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2023.

665   Mernild, S. H., Hasholt, B., and Liston, G. E.: Water flow through Mittivakkat Glacier, Ammassalik Island, SE Greenland, Geografisk Tidsskrift-Danish Journal of Geography, 106, 25–43, https://doi.org/10.1080/00167223.2006.10649543, publisher: Taylor & Francis Group, 2006.

Miller, J. B., Frisbee, M. D., Hamilton, T. L., and Murugapiran, S. K.: Recharge from glacial meltwater is critical for alpine springs and their microbiomes, Environmental Research Letters, 16, 064 012, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ABF06B, publisher: IOP Publishing,
670   2021.

Miller, M. M.: The terms "Névé" and "Firn", Journal of Glaciology, 2, 150–151, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000034195, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 1952.

Miège, C., Forster, R. R., Brucker, L., Koenig, L. S., Solomon, D. K., Paden, J. D., Box, J. E., Burgess, E. W., Miller, J. Z., McNerney, L., Brautigam, N., Fausto, R. S., and Gogineni, S.: Spatial extent and temporal variability of Greenland firn aquifers detected by ground and airborne radars, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 121, 2381–2398, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JF003869, iSBN: 10.1002/2016 Publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2016.

Montgomery, L., Koenig, L., Lenaerts, J. T. M., and Munneke, P. K.: Accumulation rates (2009–2017) in Southeast Greenland derived from airborne snow radar and comparison with regional climate models, Annals of Glaciology, 61, 225–233, https://doi.org/10.1017/AOG.2020.8, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 2020.

Moran, T. and Marshall, S.: The effects of meltwater percolation on the seasonal isotopic signals in an Arctic snowpack, Journal of Glaciology, 55, 1012–1024, https://doi.org/10.3189/002214309790794896, 2009.

Ochwat, N. E., Marshall, S. J., Moorman, B. J., Criscitiello, A. S., and Copland, L.: Evolution of the firn pack of Kaskawulsh Glacier, Yukon: Meltwater effects, densification, and the development of a perennial firn aquifer, Cryosphere, 15, 2021–2040, https://doi.org/10.5194/TC-15-2021-2021, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2021.

Polashenski, C., Courville, Z., Benson, C., Wagner, A., Chen, J., Wong, G., Hawley, R., and Hall, D.: Observations of pronounced Greenland ice sheet firn warming and implications for runoff production, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 4238–4246, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059806, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2014.

Pulwicki, A., Flowers, G. E., Radic, V., and Bingham, D.: Estimating winter balance and its uncertainty from direct measurements of snow depth and density on alpine glaciers, Journal of Glaciology, 64, 781–795, https://doi.org/10.1017/JOG.2018.68, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 2018.

Samimi, S. and Marshall, S. J.: Diurnal cycles of meltwater percolation, refreezing, and drainage in the supraglacial snowpack of Haig Glacier, Canadian Rocky Mountains, Frontiers in Earth Science, 5, 6, https://doi.org/10.3389/FEART.2017.00006/BIBTEX, publisher: Frontiers Media S.A., 2017.

Schneider, T.: Water movement in the firn of Storglaciären, Sweden, Journal of Glaciology, 45, 286–294, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000001787, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 1999.

Sommerfeld, R. A., La, E., Hape, C., and Le, L.: The Classification of Snow Metamorphism, Journal of Glaciology, 9, 3–18, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000026757, publisher: Cambridge University Press, 1970.

Stevens, C. M., Verjans, V., Lundin, J. M. D., Kahle, E. C., Horlings, A. N., Horlings, B. I., and Waddington, E. D.: The Community Firn Model (CFM) v1.0, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 4355–4377, https://doi.org/10.5194/GMD-13-4355-2020, publisher: Copernicus GmbH, 2020.

Thompson-Munson, M., Kay, J. E., and Markle, B. R.: Greenland's firn responds more to warming than to cooling, The Cryosphere, 18, 3333–3350, https://doi.org/10.5194/TC-18-3333-2024, 2024.

Vandecrux, B., Fausto, R. S., Box, J. E., Covi, F., Hock, R., Rennermalm, A. K., Heilig, A., Abermann, J., As, D. V., Bjerre, E., Fettweis, X., Smeets, P. C. J. P., Munneke, P. K., Broeke, M. R. V. D., Brils, M., Langen, P. L., Mottram, R., and Ahlstrøm, A. P.: Recent warming trends of the Greenland ice sheet documented by historical firn and ice temperature observations and machine learning, Cryosphere, 18, 609–631, https://doi.org/10.5194/TC-18-609-2024, publisher: Copernicus Publications, 2024.

Wake, C. P., Yalcin, K., and Gundestrup, N. S.: The climate signal recorded in the oxygen-isotope, accumulation and major-ion time series from the Eclipse ice core, YukonTerritory, Canada, Annals of Glaciology, 35, 416–422, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756402781817266, 2002.

710 Williamson, S. N., Zdanowicz, C., Anslow, F. S., Clarke, G. K. C., Copland, L., Danby, R. K., Flowers, G. E., Holdsworth, G., Jarosch, A. H., and Hik, D. S.: Evidence for elevation-dependent warming in the St. Elias Mountains, Yukon, Canada, Journal of Climate, 33, 3253–3269, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-19-0405.1, 2020.

Winski, D., Kreutz, K., Osterberg, E., Campbell, S., and Wake, C.: High-frequency observations of melt effects on snowpack stratigraphy, Kahiltna Glacier, Central Alaska Range, Hydrological Processes, 26, 2573–2582, https://doi.org/10.1002/HYP.9348, 2012.

715 Winski, D., Osterberg, E., Kreutz, K., Wake, C., Ferris, D., Campbell, S., Baum, M., Bailey, A., Birkel, S., Introne, D., and Handley, M.: A 400-Year Ice Core Melt Layer Record of Summertime Warming in the Alaska Range, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123, 3594–3611, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027539, 2018.

Yalcin, K., Wake, C. P., Kreutz, K. J., Germani, M. S., and Whitlow, S. I.: Ice core evidence for a second volcanic eruption around 1809 in the Northern Hemisphere, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026013, publisher: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 720 2006.

Yalcin, K., Wake, C. P., Kreutz, K. J., Germani, M. S., and Whitlow, S. L.: Ice core paleovolcanic records from the St. Elias Mountains, Yukon, Canada, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007497, 2007.