Despite ongoing regulations of the anthropogenic emissions of its precursors, the tropospheric ozone burden was found to continue increasing well into the 2010s (Gaudel et al., 2020, hereafter referred to as G20). Tropospheric ozone in-5 creases since the preindustrial era are associated with a radiative forcing (RF). With an estimated present-day global average RF between 0.35 W m⁻² (uncertainty range: 0.08- $0.61\,\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}})$ (Skeie et al., 2020) and $0.47\,\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}}$ (uncertainty range: 0.24-0.70 W m⁻²) (Forster et al., 2021) since 10 preindustrial era, tropospheric ozone is the third most important anthropogenic climate forcing agent, after carbon dioxide and methane.

As preindustrial era ozone distributions are not available in terms of observations, long-term tropospheric ozone RF 15 estimations are obtained with modelling tools, based on hypotheses on preindustrial emissions and ozone burdens. A significant set of ground-based, ozonesondes and satellite observations are available for more recent times, which can be used to corroborate modelling results with RF estima-20 tions at decadal time scales. Thus, tropospheric ozone profiles can be derived with ozone sondes (e.g. Wang et al., 2024) and from ground-based instruments like Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (e.g. García et al., 2022). Globalscale ozone profiles at the decadal time scale, including tro-25 pospheric ozone, can be derived with satellites, which can subsequently be used as a source of information to estimate decadal tropospheric ozone RF. Ziemke et al. (2019) have shown, using satellite data over the period 1979–2016, that the tropospheric ozone column increased of up to 3 DU per 30 decade, or more, even if with large regional inhomogeneities, which translates in a significant RF. More recently, Pope et al. (2024) have estimated the tropospheric ozone radiative effect with satellite data over the period 2008–2017 and shown negligible trends resulting in a very limited decadal RF, in more 35 recent periods. Another source of vertical-resolved ozone observations is the IAGOS (In-Service Aircraft for a Global Observing System) database (Petzold et al., 2015), which is based on ozone measurements by analysers operating on a large number of commercial aircraft flights worldwide. The 40 observations-based RF estimations associated with decadal trends of tropospheric ozone need a radiative transfer tool to connect these trends to their radiative impacts. This can be provided by offline radiative transfer models or, more easily, pre-constructed radiative forcing kernels (e.g. Maycock et 45 al., 2021). While this latter approach can be easier to implement, full ad-hoc radiative transfer calculations assure more flexible RF estimations, and are able e.g. to catch the detailed impacts of background atmosphere and of specific vertical shape of the tropospheric ozone profiles.

In this paper, we first formalise a general approach for offline tropospheric ozone RF estimations associated with observed ozone trends, and then we apply this approach to tropospheric ozone trends of the IAGOS database at the 11 regions defined by G20. In G20, we also presented RF for 55 tropospheric ozone trends based on IAGOS observations, using a column conversion factor as a basic radiative forcing kernel. The present paper builds upon G20 but extends it in two main aspects: (1) full radiative calculations, considering ozone's vertical distribution, are used to obtain the decadal tropospheric ozone RF in the same period as G20 (1994– 60 2004 versus 2011–2016), and (2) additional ozone trends and RF estimations are obtained by prolonging the analysis to two later periods: 2017-2019 and 2020-2023. Point 1 allows the specific attribution of the RF to specific vertical shape variabilities above the 11 regions (e.g. different trends in the upper and lower troposphere, UT and LT), and the differential study of the SW and LW impacts. Point 2 allows the monitoring of the trends and RF impacts at the latest period before (period 2017–2019) and after the COVID crisis (period 2020–2023). The COVID crisis had a specific impact on 70 ozone precursors emission and ozone trends (e.g. Chang et al., 2022).

This paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2 the data and methods used in this work are introduced, including the offline radiative transfer modelling framework. In Sect. 3 re- 75 sults are presented and discussed. In Sect. 4 conclusions are drawn.

Data and Methods

Offline radiative transfer modelling

The overall idea behind this work is to use an observa- 80 tional description of the decadal trends of tropospheric ozone as input of accurate radiative transfer calculations with a line-by-line offline radiative transfer model (RTM). Similar methodologies have been used in the past for aerosol studies (e.g. Sellitto et al., 2022, 2023) and deviate from the past 85 radiative-kernel-based tropospheric ozone RF estimations. This approach allows the realistic description of the horizontal (regional) and vertical distribution of radiative forcing agents and their temporal evolution, through observations, and avoid the use of approximations of the radiative transfer problem through column parameterisations (like done by G20), or vertically-resolved radiative kernels (like done e.g. by Skeie et al., 2020; Pope et al., 2024).

The overall scheme of the offline RTM calculations used in this paper is shown in Fig. 1. Tropospheric ozone verti- 95 cal profiles are taken from the IAGOS data base and averaged over three periods, 1994–2004, 2011–2016, 2017–2019 and 2020–2023, and the 11 regions defined by G20. Based on their latitude ranges, these average profiles are put in standard mid-latitude or tropical atmospheres taken from the 100 AFGL (Air Force Geophysics Laboratory) data (Anderson et al., 1986 (1822). Clear sky conditions and a standard aerosol profile are used for all cases. These atmospheric states are used as inputs to the UVSPEC (UltraViolet SPECtrum) radiative transfer model in its libRadtran (library for Radiative 105 transfer) implementation (Emde et al., 2016), operating at both the SW and LW spectral ranges. Spectra are simu-

	Abbreviation	N. of profiles 1994–2004	N. of profiles 2011–2016	N. of profiles 2017–2019	N. of profiles 2020–2023
Western Europe	W Eu	19 101	7043	2998	2739
Eastern North America	E NAm	6536	1732	356	600
Western North America	W NAm	587	379	584	175
Northeast China/Korea	NE Ch/Ko	2747	1182	603	366
Southeast US	SE US	2934	359	201	184
Persian Gulf	PeGul	1184	1174	761	524
Southeast Asia	SE As	932	1442	757	252
South Asia	S As	469	427	198	150
Northern South America	N SAm	961	465	230	110
Gulf of Guinea	GulGu	993	750	829	540
Malaysia/Indonesia	Ma/In	159	400	77	73
Total		36 603	15 353	7594	5713

Table 1. Number of IAGOS profiles per region for three time-intervals used in this study. The regions follow the same definition as in G20.

2023. The average LT, UT and T ozone columns (LTOC, UTOC and TOC), associated with these regions and time-intervals (corresponding with Fig. 2 profiles) are shown in Fig. 3, and the percent differences of the average 2011–5 2016 (Fig. 4a), 2017–2019 (Fig. 4b) and 2020–2023 (Fig. 4c) with respect to the average columns 1994–2004 are shown in Fig. 4. Global average values of LTOC, UTOC and TOC variations (ΔLTOC, ΔUTOC and ΔTOC, as absolute and percent values) are reported in Table 2.

Consistently with G20, as a general trend, TOC increased worldwide by $+3.6 \pm 2.0 \, \text{DU} \, (+14.9 \pm 11.5 \, \%)$, in 2011-2016 with respect to IAGOS averages in 1994-2004 (see Table 2). The increasing trend is more pronounced for LTOC ($+2.8 \pm 1.8$ DU, $+17.7 \pm 16.0$ %), than UTOC $_{15}$ (+0.9 ± 0.7 DU, +9.9 ± 9.3 %). The increasing trend for TOC is approximately the same for the estimations in 2017– 2019 $(+3.9 \pm 3.9 \,\text{DU}, +17.5 \pm 22.1 \,\%)$ and 2020–2023 $(+3.5 \pm 2.8 \,\mathrm{DU}, +13.8 \pm 12.1 \,\%)$ with respect to 1994– 2004. Nevertheless, due to increasingly longer time intervals, 20 the decadal trends are progressively decreasing. For the three ending periods, 2011–2016, 2017–2019 and 2020–2023, the decadal trends are $+2.5 \pm 1.4$ DU per decade ($+10.3 \pm 7.9$ % per decade), $+2.0 \pm 2.0$ DU per decade ($+9.2 \pm 11.6$ % per decade) and $+1.5 \pm 1.2$ DU per decade ($+6.2 \pm 5.4$ % per 25 decade), respectively. On average, while UTOC increases are confirmed in 2017–2019 ($+1.1 \pm 1.2 \,\text{DU}$, $+11.1 \pm 18.8 \,\%$), this is not the case for 2020-2023 when a general reduction of UTOC trends is found $(+0.5 \pm 1.1 \, DU, +6.6 \pm 13.9 \,\%)$. While the specific reasons for this reduction in UTOC trends 30 are not explicitly addressed in this study, a possible cause of this might be attributed to the general reductions in the background tropospheric ozone due to the effects of the COVID crisis (e.g. Steinbrecht et al., 2021, Cuesta et al., 2022). For LTOC, no significant difference is found in the variation for 35 the three ending periods with respect to 1994-2004. The effect of the implementation of emission reduction policies for

ozone precursors, such as nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide and VOCs, on the surface and tropospheric columns ozone was recently discussed (Elshorbany et al., 2024).

To summarise, our results seem to point at a general 40 global stagnation of the TOC increase, with progressively decreasing decadal trends, which is further enhanced after the COVID (period 2020–2023), associated with a decrease in the background UTOC.

Looking at specific regions, the largest slowdown of the 45 TOC decadal increases seen when we compare the end timeperiods 2011-2016, 2017-2019 and 2020-2023 is observed in both tropical (Persian Gulf and the Gulf of Guinea) and midlatitudes regions (Western Europe and Southeast US). For these four regions, the TOC reductions in 2020–2023 are 50 accompanied with a switch from positive to negative trends in UTOC. In the Northern South America region, the UTOC trend also switched from positive to negative in 2020-2023, while a relatively strong increase in LTOC trend kept the TOC trends positive, in this period. The more pronounced reduction in the UTOC trends in 2020-2023 is observed in the Gulf of Guinea (UTOC -8.3% in 2020–2023, while consistently positive in 2011-2016 and 2017-2019). In these cases, the reduction in UTOC is observed at most altitudes starting from about 6 km altitude, and sometimes propagat- 60 ing to the lower altitudes in the lower troposphere (see, e.g., Fig. 2f and j). This general reduction in the UTOC variability on 2020–2023, with respect to previous time intervals, is not verified at a few station, namely Western North America (UTOC trends vary from +9.7 %, in 2011–2016, to +4.5 %, 65 in 2017–2019, to +20.6%, in 2020–2023) and Southeast Asia (UTOC trends vary from +33.0%, in 2011–2016, to +58.2%, in 2017–2019, to +40.9%, in 2020–2023). For the LTOC trends, more regional variability is found. While some stagnation of the trends are found at some midlatitude stations (Western Europe, Eastern North America and Southeast US, with a small negative trend in 2020-2023 for this

marised in Table 3. The SW and LW components of the tropospheric ozone RF are shown separately, and both the RF for the trends calculated using 2011–2016, 2017–2019 and 2020–2023 ending periods, with respect to 1994–2004, $_{5}$ are shown in the figures. The average total (SW + LW) decadal tropospheric ozone RF is $60.4 \pm 34.8 \,\mathrm{mW \, m^{-2}}$, for the 2011–2016 period, $52.5 \pm 69.8 \,\mathrm{mW \, m^{-2}}$, for the 2017– 2019 period and $32.8 \pm 57.5 \,\mathrm{mW \, m^{-2}}$, for the 2020–2023 period. Our results for 2011-2016 are comparable with 10 the RF estimations of G20 for the same regions and period (average over the 11 regions $\sim 80 \,\mathrm{mW}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ in G20). Considering the different temporal interval for the three trends estimations (14.5 years, for 2011-2016 average, 19 years, for 2017-2019 average and 22.5 years for 2020-2023 15 average), this corresponds to progressively decreasing RF per decade, i.e. $41.6 \pm 24.0 \,\mathrm{mW m^{-2}}$ per decade, for 2011– 2016, 27.6 ± 36.7 mW m⁻² per decade, for 2017-2019, and $14.6 \pm 25.6 \,\mathrm{mW}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ per decade, for 2020–2023 (see Table 3). Thus, the decadal tropospheric ozone RF is signifi-20 cantly reduced from 2011-2016 to 2020-2023, with this latter about one third the values estimated using the 2011–2016 ending period. This can be readily linked to both the stagnation in the TOC trends and the vertical region where the larger reductions in trends are coming from. As discussed 25 in Sect. 3.1, in general, the reductions in positive trends in 2017-2019, and even more in 2020-2023, with respect to 2011-2016 are mainly linked to a decrease in the UTOC positive trends, while the LTOC trends stayed approximately constant (see Table 2). This effect is particularly strong in 30 the COVID and post-COVID crisis period. The instantaneous TOA RF due to tropospheric ozone is much more sensitive to the ozone changes in the UT than in the LT (e.g. Worden et al., 2011). As an example, in Southeast Asia, the TOC positive trend stays approximately the same in 2011–2016 35 and 2020-2023, with respect to 1994-2004, mostly linked to a redistribution of trends for LTOC, which is decreasing, and UTOC, which is increasing. The associated tropospheric ozone RF increases in 2020-2023 with respect to 2011-2016. On the contrary, in Northeast China/Korea the 40 TOC positive trends increased driven by LTOC trends, with UTOC not varying significantly, leading to a very small variability of the RF. These examples suggest that local emission reduction for ozone precursors, leading to decreases in ozone levels at lower altitudes, while beneficial for air qual-45 ity, health and the biosphere, might not lead to a clear decrease in short-term radiative impacts, hence climate. The effect of the reduction of RF associated with a reduction in UTOC trends can be see e.g. in Northern South America. In this region, the TOC positive trends stay approximately the 50 same for the three time periods, while the UTOC positive trends progressively reduce, leading to a progressively decreasing RF from 2011–2016 to 2020–2023. Another important result of our tropospheric ozone RF estimates is that the regional variability of our decadal trends is increasing, possibly due to the large variability of LTOC and UTOC trends 55 in the different regions.

Our average estimates cannot be directly compared with online model global average tropospheric ozone RF estimates but can still be used as a reference where IAGOS observations are available (thus mostly the Northern Hemi- 60 sphere). The most recent global tropospheric ozone RF estimate available is the one provided by Skeie et al. (2020). They provided an estimate of $0.35 \,\mathrm{W}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ (with an uncertainty range of 0.08-0.91 W m⁻²), for a time-interval of 160 years since the pre-industrial era, which translates to $31.7 (7.3-82.5) \,\mathrm{mW}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$, for an equal period of 14.5 years as our 2011–2016 estimates, and 21.9 (5.0-56.9) mW m⁻² per decade. This is very similar to the previous estimate of $24 \pm 12 \,\mathrm{mW m^{-2} \, yr^{-1}}$, obtained by Myhre et al. (2017) and used as reference in G20. Our average values are $\sim 90 \%$ and $_{70}$ $\sim 25\%$ larger, for our estimation for ending periods 2011– 2016 and 2017–2019, and $\sim 30\%$ smaller, for our estimation for ending period 2020–2023, than previous global average estimates with online models. It is important to stress that the estimations for Skeie et al. (2020) are global aver- 75 age values, while our study is limited to the Northern Hemisphere and the tropics, which can produce biases in this intercomparison.

Our detailed radiative calculations allow the estimation of the separate SW and LW tropospheric ozone RF and their ratio, associated with different vertical distributions of ozone concentration and their decadal trends. Table 3 summarises the SW and LW RF for our estimations and Table 4 the ratio of the LW to total (SW + LW) RF ratio. The LW contribution to total tropospheric ozone RF is $\sim 84 \pm 2\%$ (max: 88%, min: 81%), in 2011–2016, $\sim 83 \pm 4\%$ (max: 89%, min: 79%), in 2017–2019 and $\sim 84 \pm 3\%$ (max: 89%, min: 79%), in 2020–2023. As also observed by Doniki et al. (2015) and Gaudel et al. (2024), the LW radiative effect of tropospheric ozone is larger in the tropics than extra-tropics, by $\sim 4\%$, in 2011–2016, by $\sim 4\%$, in 2017–2019 and by $\sim 6\%$, in 2020–2023.

We also calculated the RF sensitivity to TOC trends, in both the SW and LW, as well as for total SW + LW RF, as described in Eqs. (1)–(3). The average RF sensitivities, over 95 the 11 stations, for the three ending periods, are summarised in Table 5. Our results show a significant change in sensitivity between the three ending periods, with average total (SW + LW) RF sensitivities of $18.4 \pm 7.4 \,\mathrm{mW \, m^{-2} \, DU^{-1}}$, in 2011–2016, $12.8 \pm 7.9 \, mW \, m^{-2} \, DU^{-1},$ in 2017–2019 and $\,$ $_{100}$ $11.1 \pm 10.4 \,\mathrm{mW}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}\,\mathrm{DU}^{-1}$, in 2020–2023. The smaller RF sensitivity χ_{tot} for 2020–2023 and 2017–2019 than 2011– 2016 is likely associated with the observed changes in vertical distribution and their decadal trends, i.e. progressively relatively less UT and stagnant LT ozone levels in later pe- 105 riods than 2011-2016. As a matter of fact the RF sensitivity χ_{tot} depends strongly on the vertical profile of the tropospheric ozone distributions and trends. Our results for χ_{tot} are consistent with Northern Hemispheric values ob-

Table 4. LW to SW tropospheric ozone RF ratio for 2011–2016, 2017–2019 and 2020–2023, with respect to 1994–2004, calculated for all
regions, and extra-tropical and tropical regions.

	LW / (SW + LW) RF ratio All (%)	LW / (SW + LW) RF ratio Extra-tropical (%)	LW / (SW + LW) RF ratio Tropical (%)	Difference Tropical/Extra-tropical (%)
2011–2016	84.4 ± 2.3	82.4 ± 1.0	86.1 ± 1.6	3.7 ± 1.9
2017-2019	83.2 ± 4.4	80.9 ± 4.2	85.1 ± 3.4	4.3 ± 5.6
2020–2023	83.7 ± 3.3	81.0 ± 2.2	86.6 ± 1.7	5.6 ± 2.8

Table 5. Average RF sensitivity to tropospheric ozone column change for SW (χ_{SW}), LW (χ_{LW}) and both (χ_{tot}). The values in parenthesis are obtained excluding Malaysia/Indonesia.

	$\langle \chi_{tot} \rangle$ (mW m ⁻² DU ⁻¹)	$\langle \chi_{SW} \rangle$ (mW m ⁻² DU ⁻¹)	$\langle \chi_{LW} \rangle$ (mW m ⁻² DU ⁻¹)
2011–2016	18.4 ± 7.4	2.9 ± 1.4	15.5 ± 6.1
2017-2019	12.8 ± 7.9	2.2 ± 2.9	10.6 ± 5.1
2020-2023	11.1 ± 10.4	1.7 ± 2.8	9.4 ± 7.7

are considered for the decadal trends in this work: 2011-2016 versus 1994-2004 averages (as in G20), 2017-2019 versus 1994-2004 averages and 2020-2023 versus 1994-2004 averages. As in G20, we have found a systematic global 5 average positive trend, in the Tropospheric Ozone Column (TOC), Lower Tropospheric Ozone Column (LTOC) and Upper Tropospheric Ozone Column (UTOC), for the decadal ozone trends estimated in 2011-2016 versus 1994-2004. We found that the decadal TOC trends calculated later periods 10 stay approximately the same with respect to the 2011–2016 trends, with a $\triangle TOC$ of $+3.5 \pm 2.8$ DU (+14.8 ± 11.5 %), 2020-2023, $+3.9 \pm 3.9 \,\mathrm{DU}$ (+17.5 ± 22.1 %), in 2017-2019, and $+3.6 \pm 2.0 \,\mathrm{DU}$ (+ $14.8 \pm 11.5 \,\%$), in 2011–2016. Due to the increasingly longer time intervals, 15 the stagnation of the ΔTOC produced and increasingly smaller decadal trends in TOC: $+2.5 \pm 1.4$ DU per decade $(+10.3 \pm 7.9 \% \text{ per decade})$, in 2020–2023, $+2.0 \pm 2.0 \text{ DU}$ per decade ($+9.2 \pm 11.6\%$ per decade), in 2017–2019, and $+1.5\pm1.2\,\mathrm{DU}$ per decade (+6.2 $\pm5.4\,\%$ per decade), in 2011–2016. Besides the stagnation of the \triangle TOC across the three ending periods, this progressive trend decrease seems to be driven by a general decrease of UTOC at all regions. This effect is accentuated during the COVID and post-COVID crisis period (2020-2023). We found that the decrease in 25 TOC decadal trends and the specific reduction in UTOC are accompanied with a reduction of the decadal radiative forcing (RF) due to tropospheric ozone from 2011-2016 $(60.3 \pm 34.9 \,\mathrm{mW \, m^{-2}}, \, 41.6 \pm 24.0 \,\mathrm{mW \, m^{-2}})$ per decade) to 2017–2019 (52.5 \pm 69.8 mW m⁻², 27.6 \pm 36.7 mW m⁻² per decade) to 2020–2023 (32.8 \pm 57.5 mW m⁻², $14.6 \pm 25.6 \,\mathrm{mW}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ per decade). This is linked to the much larger sensitivity of the tropospheric ozone RF to the UTOC. We estimated such sensitivity through the χ_{tot} parameter, which is defined as the decadal tropospheric ozone RF per unit variation in TOC in a given time interval. The χ_{tot} parameter varied between $18.4\pm7.4\,\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}\,DU^{-1}}$, in 2011–2016, and $11.1\pm10.4\,\mathrm{W\,m^{-2}\,DU^{-1}}$, in 2020–2023, likely associated with the UTOC decrease and the LTOC stagnation between 2020–2023 and 2011–2016. Our average estimates over the 11 regions are $\sim25\,\%$ –90% larger (2011–2016 and 2017–2019) to $\sim30\,\%$ smaller (2020–2023) than previous global average estimates with online models, such as the latest estimate of Skeie et al. (2020) (21.9 mW m⁻² per decade, on average). The longwave (LW) contribution to total shortwave and longwave (SW + LW) 45 RF is $\sim83\,\%$ –85%, with $\sim4\,\%$ –6% larger values in the tropics than in the extra-tropics.

Data availability. The IAGOS data are available from the IAGOS data portal (https://doi.org/10.25326/20157); the time series data are accessible at https://doi.org/10.25326/06 (Boulanger et al., 50 2018).

Supplement. The supplement related to this article is available online at [the link will be implemented upon publication].

Author contributions. PS designed the study and realised the offline RF estimations. AG and BS provided the input IAGOS data. 55 All authors participated to the discussion of the results. PS wrote the manuscript and all authors contributed to its revision and editing.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains 60 neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in the text, published maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors. Views expressed in the text are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher.

Special issue statement. This article is part of the special issue "Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report Phase II (TOAR-