1	Evaluation of a reduced pressure chemical ion reactor utilizing			
2	adduct ionization for the detection of gaseous organic and			
3	inorganic species			
4				
5	Matthieu Riva ^{1,2, †,*} , Veronika Pospisilova ^{1,†} , Carla Frege ¹ , Sebastien Perrier ² , Priyanka Bansal ¹ ,			
6	Spiro Jorga ¹ , Patrick Sturm ¹ , Joel A. Thornton ³ , Urs Rohner ¹ , Felipe Lopez-Hilfiker ^{1,*}			
7	¹ TOFWERK, 3645 Thun, Switzerland			
8	² Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1 CNRS, IRCELYON 69626, Villeurbanne France			
9	³ Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195,			
10	USA.			
11				
12	Correspondence to: matthieu.riva@tofwerk.com & lopez@tofwerk.com			
13	[†] These authors contributed equally.			
14				
15				
16				

18 Abstract

19 Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and volatile inorganic compounds (VICs) provide critical information 20 across many scientific fields including atmospheric chemistry, soil, and biological processes. Chemical 21 ionization (CI) mass spectrometry has become a powerful tool for tracking these chemically complex and 22 temporally variable compounds in a variety of laboratory and field environments. It is particularly powerful 23 with time-of-flight mass spectrometers, which can measure hundreds of compounds in a fraction of a second 24 and have enabled entirely new branches of VOC/VIC research in atmospheric and biological chemistry. To 25 accurately describe each step of these chemical, physical, and biological processes, measurements across 26 the entire range of gaseous products is crucial. Recently, chemically comprehensive gas-phase 27 measurements have been performed using many CI mass spectrometers deployed in parallel, each utilizing 28 a different ionization method to cover a broad range of compounds. Here we introduce the recently 29 developed Vocus AIM (Adduct Ionization Mechanism) ion-molecule reactor (IMR), which samples trace 30 vapors in air and ionizes them via chemical ionization at medium pressures. The Vocus AIM supports the 31 use of many different reagent ions of positive and negative polarity and is largely independent of changes 32 in the sample humidity. Within the present study, we present the performance and explore the capabilities 33 of the Vocus AIM using various chemical ionization schemes, including Chloride (Cl⁻), Bromide (Br⁻), 34 Iodide (I⁻), Nitrate (NO₃⁻), Benzene cations (C₆H₆⁺), Acetone dimers ((C₃H₆O)₂H⁺), and Ammonium 35 (NH_4^+) reagent ions primarily in laboratory and flow tube experiments. We report the technical 36 characteristics, operational principles, and compare its performance in terms of time response, humidity 37 dependence, and sensitivity to that of previous chemical ionization approaches. This work demonstrates the 38 benefits of the Vocus AIM reactor which provides a versatile platform to characterize VOCs and VICs in 39 real time at trace concentrations.

41 **1. Introduction**

42 Mass spectrometry represents a nearly universal method for determining the chemical composition of 43 organic and inorganic species across various environmental matrices. In the fields of environmental and 44 atmospheric chemistry, chemical ionization (CI) is a versatile real-time method to measure individual 45 organic and inorganic compounds in air at trace concentrations (Yuan et al., 2017; Riva et al., 2019; Zhang 46 W. et al., 2023; Zhang Y. et al., 2023). As in all chemical ionization systems, trace analytes in air react with 47 excess reagent ions, leading to the formation of charged product ions usually via electron/proton transfer 48 or adduct formation (Zhang W. et al., 2023; Zhang Y. et al., 2023). Advances in the field of chemical 49 ionization over the last decade have yielded exceptionally low detection limits down to 10⁴ molecules cm⁻³ 50 (part-per-quadrillion), expanded dynamic ranges, and the capability of measuring a wide range of gaseous 51 organic and inorganic species with time resolutions of up to 50 Hz (Riva et al., 2019; Zhang W. et al., 2023; 52 Zhang Y. et al., 2023).

53 Chemical ionization mass spectrometers are uniquely able to measure temporal variability of trace 54 VOC and atmospheric oxidation products; both in the laboratory and in the field, providing a critical tool 55 to mechanistically track the most important atmospheric oxidation processes (Hallquist et al., 2009, Ehn et 56 al., 2014, Bianchi et al., 2019). In particular, CI has made enormous improvements in the detection and 57 quantification of reactive gaseous oxygenated species, including peroxy (RO₂) radicals, stabilized Criegee 58 intermediates, and inorganic acids and bases which are difficult to directly detect with any other available 59 analytical technique (Berndt et al., 2015, 2017, 2018; Breitenlechner et al., 2017; Hansel et al., 2018; 60 Krechmer et al., 2018). For example, measurements via chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) 61 have been pivotal in discovering the existence and importance of extremely low volatility organic molecules 62 (ELVOCs). These compounds are now understood to be formed ubiquitously in the atmosphere and are 63 critical to new particle formation and growth (Ehn et al., 2014; Bianchi et al., 2019). As a result, CIMS has 64 emerged as a core analytical tool in atmospheric chemistry and related fields which require high sensitivity, 65 high temporal resolution, and molecular-level speciation (Bruderer et al., 2019; Riva et al., 2019; Tang et 66 al., 2019; Mazzucotelli et al., 2022; Zhang W. et al., 2023; Zhang Y. et al., 2023).

With the right selection of reagent ions, CI offers the possibility of soft, selective, and sensitive online detection, for essentially any class of chemical compounds. While in practice, no reagent ion can simultaneously detect the entire distribution of volatile compounds present in the atmosphere with sufficient selectivity and sensitivity, different reagent ions can be selected to target distinct chemical families (Crounse et al., 2006; Bertram et al., 2011, 2016; Lee et al., 2014, Bianchi et al., 2019, Riva et al., 2019; Zhang W. et al., 2023; Zhang Y. et al., 2023). Therefore, a critical choice for chemical ionization operators is which reagent ion is best suited to measure compounds of interest in each measurement scenario.

74 Recent advancements in the design of the reactor have sought to improve measurement quality by 75 addressing two outstanding limitations of chemical ionization mass spectrometers: (i) improved detection 76 efficiency by refining the reactor geometry and optimizing the flow dynamics within the system, and (ii) 77 reliable, reproducible, and fully controlled reaction conditions. Improvements in the detection efficiency 78 depend on the balance of two critical parameters in any chemical ionization reactor. First, compounds 79 present in the sample gas stream need to be efficiently transported to the reaction cell and mix/react with 80 the reagent ions. The efficiency of the first step depends primarily on ionization pressure and absolute flow 81 rate (i.e., residence time). At atmospheric pressure, gases diffuse slowly, and maintaining laminar flows 82 between the sample line and reaction cell is straightforward (e.g., Eisele-inlet, MION, Crossflow CIMS) 83 (Eisele and Tanner, 1993; Palm et al., 2019; Rissanen et al., 2019, Pfeifer et al., 2020). However, operating 84 at high pressure makes controlling ionization conditions much more challenging. Therefore, most CIMS 85 instruments operated at a reduced pressure to facilitate control of the ionization conditions. Sample vapors 86 enter most reduced-pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometers through a critical orifice, which 87 introduces turbulence as the gas expands into the reaction cell. These turbulences can introduce contact 88 between the neutral sample gas and the reactor walls, resulting in memory effects and losses of reactive 89 trace compounds by collision with the walls. While there have been numerous efforts to improve the 90 introduction of sample gases into reduced pressure reactors by laminarizing (Palm et al., 2019) the incoming 91 airflow or controlling the expansion inside of specially coated glass tubes (Vasquez et al., 2018), these 92 approaches are often complex and demand considerable optimization, which has hindered their widespread

adoption. Consequently, turbulent wall losses and memory effects continue to pose substantial challenges
in reduced-pressure chemical ionization instruments, particularly when detecting reactive or sticky
compounds.

96 Operating chemical ionization instruments at reduced pressure provides more refined control over 97 ion chemistry since it allows for more straightforward and effective manipulation of ions within the 98 instrument. Of particular nuisance in nearly all chemical ionization approaches is water vapor, which is 99 highly variable and can have significant effects on the chemical ionization process. The formation of water 100 clusters, which grow rapidly as a function of increasing pressure, presents a specific challenge for 101 measurements taken near atmospheric pressure. Even chemical ionization approaches that operate at low 102 pressures like proton transfer reaction (PTR) can be affected by the presence of water vapor (Yuan et al., 103 2017). PTR instruments are widely used for the detection of trace VOC using high electric fields to control 104 the reagent ion populations under changing humidity. While PTR instruments can largely control the 105 ionization and collision conditions in the reactor, applying high electric fields results in extensive 106 fragmentation of some critical functional groups in many fields (Yuan et al., 2017). Further, the PTR 107 ionization process is well known to lead to significant fragmentation of labile compounds such as acids, 108 peroxides, and alcohols. The fragmentation induced by protonation or charge transfer reactions along with 109 elevated collision energy within the reactor significantly complicates the mass spectrum, in some cases 110 limiting the possibility of retrieving the accurate concentration and composition of many classes of 111 compounds including highly oxygenated and functionalized organic species.

To improve the sensitivity (specificity) and reduce the degree of fragmentation, softer chemical ionization techniques relying on adduct formation have become increasingly popular, especially when molecular identification and mechanistic pathways must be accurately tracked. These reactors operate under low (E/N <10 Td) or field-free conditions at pressures (typically 50-500 mbar), to promote adduct formation and stabilization (Zhang W. et al., 2023; Zhang Y. et al., 2023). Fluid dynamics and the degree of turbulence inside the reactor govern reaction times and ion transport in such reactors. At these elevated ionization pressures, the effect of water vapor can become a critical parameter affecting sensitivity. Routinely used

119 reagent ions can result in order of magnitude changes in sensitivity under atmospherically relevant 120 fluctuations of humidity (Lee et al., 2014; Breitenlechner et al., 2017; Zhang W. et al., 2023; Zhang Y. et 121 al., 2023). Correcting the data for each compound's humidity dependence is an error-prone and time-122 consuming process where each compound must be treated essentially individually. Flooding the reactor 123 with water vapor, as done in techniques like Vocus PTR, can suppress the humidity dependence of some 124 compounds. Such approaches are only applicable to certain groups of compounds whose water vapor 125 dependence becomes weaker at higher absolute concentrations of water, which is not a universal 126 characteristic. In general, the lack of adequate water vapor control remains a major drawback of chemical 127 ionization systems most critically those operated at pressures greater than 10 mbar.

128 Herein we introduce the Vocus AIM ion molecule reactor (IMR) and report the technical 129 characteristics, operation principle, and performance of this new chemical reactor. We evaluate its 130 performance by reporting the time response of nitric acid, demonstrating a novel approach to suppress 131 humidity dependence, and comparing sensitivity to other CI reactors. We present the design and capabilities 132 of the Vocus AIM using a wide variety of reagent ions, including Chloride (Cl⁻), Bromide (Br⁻), Iodide (I⁻ 133), Nitrate (NO₃⁻), Benzene cations (C₆H₆⁺), Acetone dimers ((C₂H₅O)₂H⁺), and Ammonium (NH₄⁺) ions. 134 Finally, we show the measurement of RO₂ radicals and oxygenated VOCs acquired during the proof-of-135 concept experiment of OH/O₃-initiated oxidation of α -pinene. This work highlights the benefits of the 136 Vocus AIM reactor within atmospheric chemistry and its limitations.

- 137 2. Experimental Section
- 138

2.1. Vocus AIM Reactor Design

Medium pressure chemical ionization (20-500 mbar) systems are typically designed as flow tube reactors which primarily transport ions toward the reactor exit by gas flow. Manipulating ions at high pressure would require high electric fields which are either impractical or could fragment the labile analyte ions. Further, RF devices that could focus ions into a beam, do not efficiently operate at such high pressures, precluding their efficient use. Therefore, the Vocus AIM reactor operates on many of the same fundamental principles of more traditional flow tube reactors, including field-free ionization conditions (Figure 1) and fluid dynamic transport of ions through the reactor. Specifically, the new design includes improvements in sample and reagent ion introduction, a conductive polytetrafluoroethylene (cPTFE) Teflon conical reaction chamber to improve time response, and a simple yet efficient quadrupole based differentially pumped TOF interface.

149 Gases enter the reactor manifold via a 1/2" O.D. Swagelok fitting which is pumped through an inlet 150 via a radially symmetric pump port. Excess flow is used to maintain short residence time and to minimize 151 inlet memory effects such as surface reaction (conversion) and irreversible wall losses. Typical make-up 152 flow rates of 5-10 standard liters per minute (slpm) are used to transport neutral analytes efficiently to the 153 entrance of the reactor. A bored through Swagelok interface ensures that all wetted surfaces before the 154 reactor are Teflon®, to minimize the retention and memory of the inlet line for semi-volatile gases and 155 reduce surface activity. At the entrance of the Vocus AIM IMR, the sample flow enters directly into the 156 center of the conical reactor at a flow rate of 1.8 slpm through a stainless-steel critical orifice (0.475 mm) 157 and a PFA Teflon® sample flow guide which promotes subsampling from the center of the laminar inlet 158 flow. The reactor is typically operated at a pressure of 50 mbar as a compromise between sensitivity and 159 linear range and is controlled by a pressure control valve and an IDP3 vacuum pump (Agilent Technologies, 160 IDP3). The reactor is temperature controlled to 50 °C, which is the lowest temperature that can be reliably 161 controlled across various field conditions to ensure constant reaction conditions. While a lower reactor 162 temperature would promote adduct formation, the long-term stability of thermal conditions would be more 163 difficult to maintain. Reagent ions are generated by compact Vacuum Ultra-Violet (VUV) ion sources 164 arranged radially around the central axis with reactant ions injected at an angle (45 degrees) to intersect the 165 expanding sample flow with minimal sample deflection and ensure that no VUV light directly enters the 166 IMR. Each ion source introduces the ions into the reactor with a standard flow rate of 0.25 slpm for optimum 167 reagent ion yield (Figure S1), nearly an order of magnitude lower flows than polonium or X-ray based ion 168 sources typically used. The residence time inside the reactor under these standard conditions is ~ 10 ms.

169 Modeled flow velocities in the Vocus AIM IMR (Figure 1) were used to optimize the flow patterns 170 inside the reaction cell. The velocity field shows the intersection of the reagent ion jet with the sample flow 171 and was optimized to minimize the contact of sample gas with reactor surfaces as well as to prevent 172 turbulent eddies near the gas expansion region. The gas flow dynamics were modeled with the open-source 173 computational fluid dynamics (CFD) software OpenFOAM v8 (https://openfoam.org/) using a customized 174 solver (https://github.com/pasturm/rhoReactingPimpleFoam) to simulate supersonic flow in a vacuum with 175 mixing of different gases. The final geometry is constrained by machinability (finite tool dimensions) while 176 also minimizing the response time and reducing reactive and ion wall losses.

177 2.2 Reagent Ion Generation

178 Compact VUV ion sources generate reagent ions by converting photons from a VUV lamp (UV Lamp 179 Krypton DC PID PKS 106, Hereaus) at two wavelength bands corresponding to energies of ~10.0 and 10.6 180 eV into the desired reagent ions (Ji et al., 2020; Breitenlechner et al., 2022). As in prior work, we utilize a 181 primary photo-absorber (e.g., benzene) as a source of photoelectrons and directly formed cations to generate 182 subsequent reagent ions. Permeation tubes are held at 80 °C in a compact oven and deliver constant amounts 183 of corresponding photo-absorber and reagent ion precursor into the 0.250 slpm UHP nitrogen stream. 184 Gaseous benzene (C_6H_6 , Sigma Aldrich, $\geq 99.9\%$) delivered from the permeation tube, enters the VUV lamp housing and is photoionized (with an absorption cross-section of 4×10^{17} cm² molecule⁻¹ and ionization 185 186 potential = 9.24 eV), yielding $C_6H_6^+$ and photoelectrons (Lavi et al., 2018). By mixing benzene with trace 187 methyl iodide (CH₃I, Sigma Aldrich, 99.8%), bromoethane (C₂H₅Br, Sigma Aldrich, ≥99%) or nitric acid 188 (HNO₃, Sigma Aldrich, \geq 65.6%), various anions such as I⁻, Br⁻, or NO₃⁻ are generated alongside with C₆H₆⁺ 189 following reactions equations (1 and 2) and are introduced into the Vocus AIM reactor. The compatibility 190 between multiple ion chemistries in the AIM system is determined by whether a generated reagent ion can 191 detect the neutral precursors from any other attached ion source. A small aperture at the exit of the 192 illuminated region of the ion source prevents back-diffusion of sample air into the primary ionization 193 chamber.

194
$$C_6H_6 + hv \rightarrow C_6H_6^+ + e^-$$
 (1)

$$CH_3I + e^- \rightarrow CH_3 + I^-$$
 (2)

By manipulating the above mechanisms, other reagent ions can be readily produced in high abundance and high purity. Cl⁻ reagent ions can also be produced by introducing 0.250 slpm of UHP nitrogen through the permeation tube oven containing pure dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂, Sigma Aldrich, \geq 99.8%) permeation tube. Once formed, anion reagent ions mix with the sample flow in the main reaction chamber and ionize the compounds of interest (M) through the reactions described in equations (3 and 4), with X being I⁻, Br⁻, Cl⁻ or (HNO₃)_nNO₃⁻ (n = 0,1,2).

 $M + X^{-} \rightarrow M^{\cdots}X^{-} \tag{3}$

$$M + X^{-} \rightarrow M - H^{-} + HX \tag{4}$$

204 To generate ammonium ions, we irradiate gaseous acetone with VUV light, which results in self-protonated 205 acetone (5) and directly reacts with the acetone molecules yielding protonated acetone dimers (6) (Dong et 206 al., 2022). A continuous flow of NH₃, typically a few sccm, (i.e., [NH₃]> 100 ppm) from a 1% gas cylinder 207 (in nitrogen) can be introduced directly into the VUV source along with the acetone (Figure 1), NH₃ 208 molecules react with the protonated acetone yielding acetone-ammonia adducts which can be used for 209 subsequent ionization in the main reaction chamber (7). If the concentration of NH_3 is lower than 100 ppm, 210 multiple ionization processes might occur (e.g., acetone dimers, charge transfer,...) which would 211 complicate the mass spectrum analyses.

212 $2(C_3H_6O) + h\nu \rightarrow C_3H_7O^+ + C_3H_5O^-$ (5)

213
$$C_{3}H_{7}O^{+} + C_{3}H_{6}O \rightarrow C_{6}H_{13}O_{2}^{+}$$
 (6)

214
$$C_3H_7O^+ + NH_3 \rightarrow C_3H_6O - NH_4^+$$
 (7)

Similar to anion reagent ions, these positive ions can ionize the compounds of interest through either adduct formation or proton transfer or in the case of benzene, charge transfer. At pressures of 50 mbar, most chemical ionization reactions occur via ligand switching reactions involving the analyte and hydrated reagent ions. To minimize the effect of water vapor during the ionization process inside the IMR, a water vapor control system consisting of a regulated flow of a dopant (i.e., organic compound) can be injected directly into the IMR (Figure 1). By replacing water with a stable concentration of the dopant, a stable ion distribution is obtained across the entire range of relative humidity (RH) (further discussed in section 3.2).

223

2.4 Vacuum Interface and Analyzer

224 At the exit of the Vocus AIM reactor, product ions are sampled through a 1 mm orifice into the differentially 225 pumped vacuum interface. The efficiency with which the ions at the end of the reactor are sampled depends 226 primarily on the ratio of the sample flow which exits through the orifice relative to the flow towards the 227 vacuum pump evacuating the reaction chamber. This flow split is in turn also dependent on the reactor 228 pressure but is typically ~0.5 slpm. After entering the next stage of the interface, an RF-only quadrupole 229 ion guide efficiently focuses the analyte ions into a narrow beam, leading to the net removal of the neutral molecules by a vacuum pump (Ebara PDV 500). Typical RF amplitudes of 100 V_{p-p} are sufficient to focus 230 231 most ions without significant ion activation for the iodide-water adduct where the iodide-water adduct is a 232 very weakly bound complex that is known to respond to transfer through vacuum interfaces relative to its 233 known thermodynamic distribution. In general, reagent ions and analyte ions that are very weakly bound 234 to the reagent ions (e.g., water cluster with a binding energy of 10 kcal/mol) (Caldwell et al., 1989) are 235 often observed to deviate from the thermodynamic distribution with RF amplitudes >50 Vp-p. This is not 236 entirely problematic, as the binding energy of these complexes is usually too weak for sensitive detection 237 even at the weakest transfer conditions. For typical analytes with moderate binding energies (e.g., iodide-238 formic acid adducts), no significant declustering is observed at RF amplitudes <125 V_{p-p}. We find that the 239 optimal voltage gradients in the first quadrupole region are typically all 0 V between electrodes, as ions are 240 focused on the radial direction efficiently by the RF but transported axially by the gas flow. This ensures 241 that ions are transmitted with the lowest possible added energy into the next stage of the vacuum interface. 242 The rest of the differentially pumped interface is pumped by a single split flow turbo pump (Pfeiffer SF270) and consists of an additional segmented quadrupole ion guide held at 10⁻² mbar which transfers 243

energetically cooled ions into a lens stack held at 10^{-5} mbar before an orthogonal extraction in a time-offlight mass analyzer (Tofwerk Vocus CI-TOF 2R) operated at < 10^{-6} mbar The instrument was configured to measure a mass-to-charge (m/Q) range of 1–900 Th (12 kHz extraction frequency) with a mass resolving power of 10 000 – 11 000 for the experiments described herein.

248 2.5 Flow Tube Oxidation Experiments

249 Ozonolysis/OH radical initiated oxidation of α -pinene (C₁₀H₁₆) experiments were performed under dry 250 conditions, at room temperature and atmospheric pressure in a flow tube reactor. The reactor consisted of 251 a ~ 6-litre Pyrex glass tube (80 mm i.d., \times 120 cm length). The total flow rate of dry synthetic air (N₂/O₂ 252 80:20) was set at 5.5 slpm giving an average residence time of 70 seconds. Mixing ratio of 60 -70 ppb of 253 ozone generated by an ozone generator (Fisher Scientific, SOG-1) was continuously injected into the flow 254 tube. A mixing ratio of 200 ppb α -pinene was introduced from a homemade gas cylinder (40 ppm in UHP) 255 N_2). The sample was immediately sampled into the reactor at a sample flow rate of 1.8 slpm with the excess 256 flow going to exhaust.

257 2.6 Calibration

258 To measure the instrument's sensitivity, we calibrated the reactor using different compounds each selected 259 to follow general structural selectivity rules for each ion chemistry. For example, no sensitivity for xylene 260 is reported for negative ions, as negative ions like iodide do not detect xylene with any significant 261 sensitivity. To calibrate benzene cations, we used a multicomponent gas (Apel Riemer Environmental Inc) 262 containing a mixture of hydrocarbons and ketones (Table S1). An internal calibration gas system consisting 263 of two mass flow controllers (Bronkhorst, capacity 30 and 2000 sccm) and a mixing volume diluted a flow 264 of 10 sccm of the gas standard into a carrier flow of 2000 sccm of UHP nitrogen resulting in a final mixing 265 ratio for each compound present in the gas mixture of 5 ppb. For iodide anions and protonated acetone 266 dimers, a liquid calibration system (LCS v2, Tofwerk) was used to form standard concentrations of lower 267 volatile species not compatible with gas cylinders. By introducing a continuous flow of liquid with known 268 concentration into a nebulizer and aluminum evaporation chamber, the LCS provides a continuous,

269 calibrated gas-phase concentration to the instrument. The evaporation chamber of the LCS was set to a 270 temperature of 150 °C, with a UHP nitrogen flow rate of 2000 sccm thereby slightly overflowing the sample 271 inlet. For the lower volatility compounds, we cannot rule out losses in the LCS, but find that collision-272 limited sensitivities between positive and negative ion modes agree well within experimental uncertainty. 273 Aqueous solutions with concentrations ranging from 5 to 50 μ M, and liquid flow rates of 10 μ L/min, were 274 used to reach target concentrations in the low ppb range for each molecule and maintain constant water 275 vapor concentrations during calibration. Ammonia concentrations were generated using a gas standard and 276 independently compared to a cavity ring-down spectroscopy gas analyzer (Picarro Model G2508) to 277 validate the sensitivity and linearity of protonated acetone dimers towards ammonia (Figure S2).

278 Instrument backgrounds and detection limits are determined by measuring UHP nitrogen at the entrance 279 of the reactor. We note that measuring the total background should include any background measurement 280 of sampling inlets, but such characterization and best practices for sampling is beyond the scope of this 281 paper and discussed in detail elsewhere (Palm et al., 2019; Riva et al., 2019). We therefore report detection 282 limits using UHP nitrogen overflowing the inlet by using the zero port which introduces zero gas just to the 283 high-pressure (atmosphere) side of the critical orifice at the entrance of the reactor. We purged the 284 instrument before and after the calibration experiment for ten minutes with UHP nitrogen to determine the 285 instrument background count rates. As reported in detail previously (Palm et al., 2019), during a background 286 measurement the reactor walls are pushed out of equilibrium by the incoming clean air. This perturbation 287 can impart a transient effect on different compounds depending on primarily volatility. For volatile and 288 extremely low volatile compounds the response to a step change in concentration (introduction of zero air) 289 is close to instantaneous (< 100 ms) due to negligible adsorption (sticking rate), for the volatile species and 290 effectively infinitely slow evaporation rates from walls for the lowest volatility compounds (irreversible 291 loss). For background determinations the most challenging group of compounds are intermediate and semi-292 volatile compounds which readily respond to changing inlet conditions and partition back and forth between 293 the reactor walls and the reactor flow. In laboratory conditions or at ground sites where temporal changes 294 are often only slowly changing, we use dry UHP N₂ to replace the incoming sample air for 1 minute. As

295 noted in prior work, under more dynamic environments the duration and frequency of instrument 296 background measurements should be decreased and increased respectively to match the temporal changes 297 which are to be measured.

298 **3. Results and discussion**

299 3.1. Vocus AIM time response

300 Real time measurements require fast time response to report temporal trends in measured concentrations 301 accurately. For many compounds, the time response can approach that of the volumetric time response of 302 the reactor (3 \sim 30 ms), however for semi-volatile (i.e., sticky) compounds the time response is often 303 observed to be much slower than the volumetric time constant as interaction with the reactor walls results 304 in smearing of the concentration over time. The primary interaction of the analyte and the reactor walls 305 defines the response time at constant temperature, pressure, and flow. Therefore, optimizing the shape and 306 the materials of the wetted surfaces is critical to maintaining a fast response. The Vocus AIM reactors' 307 conical design notably improves the time response by ensuring that the walls get further and further from 308 the exit orifice along the length of the reactor. This shape increases the probability that vapors that have 309 interacted with the wall are pumped away instead of being ionized and transferred into the mass analyzer. 310 It also ensures that in the region of the primary expansion, the recirculation eddies do not have significant 311 space to form, allowing a faster equilibration time at the entrance of the reactor (Figure 1). To accurately 312 measure dynamic changes in concentration, particularly for low-volatility species like oxidized organics, 313 inorganic acids, and reactive species, cPTFE is chosen as the reactor wall material. Teflon® based materials 314 have on average the weakest interaction with most organic and inorganic compounds (Morris et al., 2023) 315 and are therefore a good choice for the reactor walls. cPTFE in particular is chosen as its conductivity 316 prevents surface charge-up of the reactor walls which would result in unstable or slowly equilibrating ion 317 signals. We evaluate the performance of the reactor using molecules that represent a worst-case scenario 318 i.e., have a high surface affinity and interact strongly with the walls of the reactor. In Figure 2 we compare 319 the measurement of nitric acid in the AIM reactor with iodide adduct ionization with other IMR reactors

320 (Lee et al., 2018; Palm et al., 2019) with different approaches to improve the time response, notably a very 321 short stainless steel tubular reactor, and a larger flow tube reactor with laminarizer and sheath flow operated 322 at reduced pressure. To quantitatively compare the time responses of these different reactors we measured 323 the decay time, defined as the duration for the signal to fall below 90% of its peak value after the source of 324 nitric acid is removed. While the response time of the Vocus AIM reactor is not instantaneous, it is roughly 325 a factor of 3-4 faster than previously published medium pressure reactors. The improved time response is 326 most critical for ensuring that the memory effect in the reactor is minimized, crucial for applications where 327 fast transients need to be quantified, for example in mobile laboratories, aircraft, or flow tube reactors.

328

3.2 Water Vapor Control and Suppression.

329 A major limitation of chemical ionization reactors operated at elevated pressure is that water vapor can 330 strongly impact the net reaction mechanism and reagent ion distribution resulting in sensitivities that are 331 humidity-dependent (Zhang W. et al., 2023; Zhang Y. et al., 2023) as shown in Figure S3 for the reagent 332 ion distribution. Such changes pose considerable challenges for accurate quantification of species in 333 conditions where humidity is variable. The sensitivity to a given compound will depend on whether water 334 vapor competes with it for the reagent ion, i.e., lowering the sensitivity, or whether the presence of water 335 molecule offers a loosely bound third body to stabilize the adduct by removing the excess energy after the 336 {M-(reagent ion)} collision, thereby increasing sensitivity. While post measurement correction can be 337 performed, it is labor-intensive and prone to errors as each compound has essentially a unique humidity 338 dependence. To overcome this fundamental drawback, the Vocus AIM reactor introduces a system to 339 mitigate water vapor dependencies using a dopant. The dopant effectively replaces water vapor, the 340 dominant ligand in the switching reaction involving the reagent ions and the analyte molecules. A dopant 341 could in principle be any molecule that binds (forms an adduct) with the reagent ion more strongly than the 342 reagent ion and water. In this way, the dopant can displace the water molecules that would normally be 343 attached to the reagent ions with a compound that is not variable or present in significant concentrations in the sample gas. The following equations show the modified reaction mechanism in the presence of a dopant(D) in the case of iodide anions.

(9)

346
$$I(H_2O)n^- + M \to IM^- + (H_2O)n$$
 (8)

$$347 \qquad ID^- + M \rightarrow IM^- + D$$

348 The modified reaction mechanism no longer significantly depends on varying water vapor conditions as 349 long as the dopant molecule is present in sufficiently high concentrations to replace most of the water 350 ligand. In the above chemical ionization mechanism for iodide anions, the challenge can be to choose a 351 suitable dopant. While the dopant must efficiently displace the water that is bound to iodide, it is also 352 desirable for the binding energy to be close to that of water. This ensures that the net chemical ionization 353 selectivity remains nearly unchanged compared to the dopant-free conditions. If a dopant with a very high 354 binding energy is selected, it will introduce a binding energy ionization threshold which will significantly 355 change the selectivity of the given ion chemistry by essentially removing all weakly bound adducts from 356 the spectra. Here we investigate three different dopants including acetonitrile, methanol, and acetone. These 357 compounds weakly interact with iodide anions and have a high vapor pressure which facilitates their easy 358 introduction into the reactor. This is typically achieved using a mass flow controller (Bronkhorst Low Delta 359 P, 30 sccm MFC) that draws from the headspace of a liquid reservoir, effectively minimizing the net dilution 360 (net sample dilution $\sim 1\%$).

361 For each of the evaluated dopants, stable concentrations of formic, nitric, and acrylic acids were 362 introduced into the entrance of the reactor. The incoming air was humidified with two mass flow controllers, 363 one delivering dry nitrogen and the other passing dry nitrogen through a water bubbler held at room 364 temperature. The ratio of the two mass flow controllers was programmatically changed to simulate changes 365 in sample humidity for each dopant flow rate. Figure 3 illustrates how the systematic introduction of each 366 of these dopants at different mass flow rates influences the reactor's detection efficiency for nitric, formic, 367 and acrylic acids under changing humidity levels (0 - 100% relative humidity at 25° C). These compounds 368 were selected as characteristic compounds for the iodide adduct system, each demonstrating a distinct sensitivity dependence on humidity which follows the changes in the water-iodide cluster distribution (Leeet al., 2014).

371 Under dopant-free conditions, we expect nitric acid to first sharply increase from dry conditions to 372 humid conditions followed by a plateau at water vapor partial pressures of ~ 0.25 mbar. This can be 373 attributed to nitric acid's high binding enthalpy with Γ and a small, (1kcal/mol), difference to the binding 374 enthalpy to $I(H_2O)^-$ that is sufficiently compensated by the kinetic stabilization from the increased number 375 of vibrational modes due to the addition of the water molecule (Lopez et al., 2016). In the case of acrylic 376 acid, the sensitivity as a function of water vapor concentration falls rapidly following the availability of 377 unhydrated iodide anions. With increasing water vapor concentrations, the weak binding energy between 378 acrylic acid is not enough to overcome the competition with water for the bare iodide ions. Formic acid is 379 between these two extremes, initially stabilized by the presence of water, but ultimately in competition with 380 water for iodide ions as the second water cluster forms reducing the sensitivity at higher absolute humidity.

381 In the doped conditions, the humidity dependencies are significantly reduced for all molecules. The 382 dopant and humidity scans demonstrate the net effect of the different dopants for each molecule as a 383 function of the total dopant flow introduced. While methanol and acetone to a certain extent shift the reagent 384 ion distribution, they are not present in high enough concentrations to fully displace the water and stabilize 385 the reagent ion distribution against changes driven by humidity changes. Acetonitrile on the other hand 386 demonstrates a significant shift in reagent ion distributions and a significant damping of the humidity 387 dependency, particularly at flows greater than ~ 20 sccm. With acetonitrile as the dopant, the change in 388 sensitivity across the humidity range is reduced to a deviation of <20% relative to dry conditions for all 389 model compounds, more for formic acid and nitric acid (<10 %). From the relative flattening of the humidity 390 dependence, for iodide anions (Caldwell et al., 1989) acetonitrile emerges as the most efficient dopant tested 391 reducing sensitivity variability across most analytes to a deviation that becomes negligible for ambient 392 analysis.

While this example focused on the dopant's presence in the reaction mechanism for iodide anions, the same concept holds for any adduct forming ion including Br⁻ and Cl⁻. For example, when generating 395 protonated ammonium ions in the presence of acetone, the formation of the $C_3H_6O-NH_4^+$ adduct with 396 acetone itself acts as a dopant and greatly suppresses the water vapor dependency, as demonstrated in Figure 397 S4. Where ammonium ions alone exhibit order of magnitude humidity dependencies when operated at 398 elevated pressure, this variability is reduced to <30% with significant acetone present as the dopant 399 (Canaval et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2022; Li et al, 2023), greatly simplifying analysis.

400

3.3 Sensitivity and Limits of Detection

401 Sensitivities for a variety of compounds were evaluated for each ion chemistry to quantify the overall 402 instrument performance. Calibrations were typically done at one or two different concentration steps in the 403 range of a few ppbv. Sensitivities were normalized to the number of recorded reagent ions measured at the 404 detector, which provides a straightforward method for correcting for any absolute fluctuations in the 405 instrument's response over time and referenced to a recorded total reagent ion current of 10⁶ ions/second. 406 Typical reagent ion currents measured on the Vocus AIM reactors are between $3 - 6 \ge 10^6$ ions/second for 407 iodide anions and benzene cations. Results from the calibration experiments are summarized in Table 1 408 organized by reagent ion. The compounds for calibration were specifically selected based on their 409 selectivity for each reagent ion and their relative ease of producing stable concentrations. A diverse range 410 of hydrocarbons, reactive nitrogen species, organic compounds (with various volatilities), and inorganic 411 acids can be detected with high sensitivities often greater than $\sim 10 \text{ cps/ppt/1 x } 10^6 \text{ RI}$. The sensitivity of 412 the Vocus AIM reactor does not necessarily surpass that of previous low and medium-pressure reactors 413 (Lee et al., 2014, 2018; Ye et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2022) in an absolute sense, however, the sensitivity is in 414 the same approximate range and reaches the extremely low limit of detections (LoD) ranging from 0.1 to 5 415 ppt for most compounds. LoD measurements were performed by introducing 2 slpm of dry UHP N2 (i.e., 416 background measurement) for 10-15 minutes. The LoD was estimated by using Tofware and calculating 417 the Allan variance (i.e., the stability of the signal over time). Finally, the LoD corresponds to 3 standard 418 deviations (sigma) of the Allen variance and is determined as a function of integration time estimation. An 419 example, using acetone dimers as reagent ions, the LoD for ammonia is about 10 ppt (1 min averaging),

which is 1-8 times lower than previously reported for this compound (You et al., 2014; Dong et al., 2022; Schobesberger et al., 2022). Additionally, using a benzene cation as a reagent ion, the LoD for monoterpenes (e.g., 0.2 ppt for α -pinene at 1 min) can even surpass conventional PTR techniques, including the Vocus PTR (i.e., 4 ppt for α -pinene at 1 min; Krechmer et al., 2018) and similar to the FUSION PTR (i.e., 0.1 pptv for 1 minute;).

425 The absolute sensitivity of the instrument depends fundamentally on the reaction time and collision 426 frequency (pressure) as well as the absolute number of generated reagent ions introduced into the reactor. 427 Figure 4a shows the dependence of the measured sensitivity of levoglucosan on the reactor pressure. By 428 increasing the pressure from 35 to 75 mbar the sensitivity of the instrument can be increased by a factor of 429 \sim 4 which is consistent with the increase expected based on reaction time and collision frequency. Operating 430 at higher pressures can be beneficial in pristine or highly diluted environments, where the concentrations 431 of target compounds can reside in the sub-parts-per-trillion (sub-ppt) range. A nominal operation pressure 432 of 50 mbar provides a good balance between sensitivity and linear range which under typical conditions 433 extends to ~100-200 ppby depending on the analyte (Figure 4b). This allows the standard conditions (which 434 are a compromise between sensitivity and linear range) of the Vocus AIM reactor to operate equally well 435 in pristine and polluted environments with the same configuration. In highly polluted environments where 436 the total detectable mass concentrations greatly exceed the linear range of the instrument, reagent ion 437 normalization can compensate for up to 50% of reagent ion depletion before normalization errors begin to 438 accumulate. In such conditions, the incoming sample flow would need to be diluted, to maintain 439 concentrations within the normalization range.

Finally, taking advantage of the improved time response and the possibility of operating more than one VUV lamp on the Vocus AIM reactor (Figure 1), a fast switch between different ion chemistries at up to 2 Hz is now possible as shown in Figure S5. This valuable feature allows the Vocus AIM reactor to extend the variety of compounds detected by one instrument within a single polarity, as the mass analyzer used in this study had polarity switching timescales of 5-10 minutes.

3.4 Vocus AIM Performance for Atmospheric Applications

447 To evaluate the bulk detection capabilities of Vocus AIM we measured oxidation products from the OH/O₃ 448 initiated oxidation of α -pinene using a steady-state flow tube setup. This reaction mechanism was chosen 449 because it is a well-studied system resulting in a suite of oxidation products spanning a wide range of 450 functionalities. Additionally, it generates molecules with a wide range of molecular masses, from lightly 451 oxidized monomers to heavily oxidized dimers. In total, four AIM reagent ion chemistries, namely NH₄⁺, 452 Cl⁻, I⁻, and NO₃⁻ were used to investigate their relative detection efficiency (selectivity) towards produced 453 OVOCs. AIM offers an ideal method for evaluating ion chemistries as it ensures uniformity in the 454 introduction of all reagent ions and utilizes the same analytical instrument for comparison. This approach 455 effectively eliminates the instrument-to-instrument variability, thus providing a highly direct and unbiased 456 comparison of ion chemistry and detection efficacy for a variety of mixed organic compounds.

457 RO_2 radicals generated from the combined ozonolysis and OH radical reaction of α -pinene can 458 further react yielding mixed oxidation products during the reaction time in the flow tube. Among the 459 different RO₂ radicals formed, $C_{10}H_{15}O_{>3}$ and $C_{10}H_{17}O_{>2}$ were detected by the different reagent ions used. 460 While the mass spectra are generally similar between the different reagent ion chemistries, there are some 461 notable differences in the detection efficiencies. NO_3^- ion-based chemistry is by far the most selective of 462 the reagent ions tested. A smaller group of compounds was detected, with a particular inclination towards 463 the most heavily oxidized monomers and gas-phase dimer species. The variable selectivity of the different 464 ion chemistries is demonstrated in Figure 5, organized by the increase of reagent ion selectivity. NH_4^+ and 465 Cl^{-} are the least selective ions evaluated, highlighted by the large ion signal intensity of compounds m/Q < 466 100 Th (least oxidized) and the largest number of absolute compounds detected. While the sensitivity 467 towards less oxidized compounds is limited to the less selective reagent ions (i.e., NH_4^+ and CI^-), more 468 selective reagent ions excel at detecting the lower concentration of more oxidized compounds. The 469 selectivity of the reagent ion plays a pivotal role in limiting the backgrounds and potential isobaric 470 interferences that might hamper the detection of the compounds of interest. With the AIM reactor and the 471 right selection of reagent ion, even the highest oxidized RO₂ radicals (e.g., $C_{10}H_{17}O_7$ and $C_{10}H_{15}O_8$), and

472 dimeric products (e.g., C₁₉H_{28,30}O_x C₂₀H_{30,32}O_x) can be identified under relevant atmospheric conditions,
473 highlighting the very high sensitivity and versatility of the Vocus AIM reactor.

474 To demonstrate the bulk detection properties of the different reagent ion chemistries more clearly, 475 we categorized each compound according to its estimated volatility. Due to the lack of authentic standards 476 measuring the vapor pressure of oxygenated organic molecules (OOMs) remains an analytical challenge. 477 To overcome this problem, model calculations have been developed to estimate the vapor pressure using, 478 for example, structure-based estimations and formula-based estimations. The volatility basis set (VBS) 479 framework has been established by Donahue et al. (2011) and is widely used in atmospheric chemistry to 480 estimate the volatility of products measured by mass spectrometry techniques. The VBS parameterization 481 is useful for classifying the wide range of OOMs into multiple volatility groups, including extremely low 482 volatility organic compounds (ELVOC) and low volatility organic compounds (LVOC) based on their 483 effective saturation concentration (C*) in the unit of µg m⁻³. In this work, we apply the VBS 484 parameterization optimized by Li et al. (2016),

$$log_{10}C^*(298K) = \left(n_C^0 - n_C\right)b_C - n_0b_0 - 2\frac{n_C n_0}{(n_C + n_0)}b_{C0} - n_N b_N - n_S b_S$$
(10)

485

486 where n_C , n_O , n_N , and n_S are the number of carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur atoms of the specific molecule, separately; n_{C}^{0} is the reference carbon number; b_{C} , b_{O} , b_{N} , and b_{S} are the contribution of each 487 488 atom to $log_{10}C^*$, respectively; b_{C0} is the carbon-oxygen nonideality. The b coefficient values can be found 489 in Li et al. (2016). In this study, all oxidation products generated from the OH/O₃ initiated oxidation were grouped into six volatility regimes; ultralow-volatility (ULVOCs, $C^* < 10^{-8.5} \mu g m^{-3}$), extremely low 490 volatility (ELVOCs, $10^{-8.5} < C^* < 10^{-4.5} \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$), low-volatility (LVOCs, $10^{-4.5} < C^* < 10^{-0.5} \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$), semi-491 volatile (SVOCs, $10^{-0.5} < C^* < 10^{2.5} \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$), intermediate-volatility organic compounds (IVOC, $10^{2.5} < C^*$ 492 $< 10^{6.5} \text{ \mug m}^{-3}$), and VOC ($10^{6.5} < C^* \text{ \mug m}^{-3}$) based on VBS. 493

494 Figure 6 illustrates the measured range of oxidation products generated by the hydroxyl radical and 495 ozone reaction with α -pinene. These products were analyzed using one mass spectrometer with AIM reactor 496 setup employing various ionization chemistries. Therefore, unlike other studies (Riva et al., 2019, Li et al., 497 2023) this study utilizes a single instrument, thereby reducing uncertainties associated with the calibration 498 and settings of different instruments as well as the conditions during sample collection. The total signal in 499 each volatility bin represents the sum of the signal intensity of OOMs within the volatility range. Organic 500 compounds with C* of $< 10^{-1} \mu g m^{-3}$ made up the largest signal contributions for the Vocus AIM using 501 NO_3 -ion based chemistry (Table S2). This observation is consistent with the conventional atmospheric 502 pressure using NO₃⁻ as the reagent ion and indicates that the design of the Vocus AIM reactor allows the 503 detection of ELVOC and ULVOC (Riva et al., 2019; Zhang W. et al., 2023; Zhang Y. et al., 2023). This is 504 a substantial improvement for the atmospheric science community as measurement of such species is solely 505 possible with the use of atmospheric pressure interfaces which can be associated with sensitivity 506 fluctuations (e.g., RH effect). As demonstrated previously (Riva et al., 2019), I⁻-ion based chemistry detects oxygenated compounds with C* ranging from 10⁻⁵ to 10⁵ µg m⁻³ which corresponds to OOMs and start 507 508 being less sensitive to oxygenated compounds having fewer oxygen atoms and are included in the IVOC fraction. While Cl⁻ and NH₄⁺ can also measure OOM with C* as low as $10^{-5} \,\mu g \text{ m}^{-3}$ the weak selectivity of 509 510 these ion-based chemistries allows them to measure a wider range of compounds (i.e., IVOCs and VOCs). 511 IVOCs and VOCs generally include less oxygenated VOCs with shorter carbon skeletons and comprise the 512 main fraction of organics formed from the oxidation of pinene (Isaacman-VanWertz et al., 2018). We stress 513 here that while it was not possible to detect ULVOC and ELVOC using I⁻, Cl⁻, NH₄⁺-ion based chemistries it is purely a selectivity limitation of the more general reagent ions not of absolute sensitivity as all the 514 515 tested reagent ions have similar overall absolute sensitivities. Nitrate reagent ions benefit from the high 516 selectivity, which also manifests in a lower background signal therefore enabling nitrate anion chemistry to 517 detect ULVOC and ELVOC. With the significantly lower background concentrations of nitrate ions, the 518 detection of compounds at extremely low concentrations (as low as 10-100 ppqv) becomes possible.

519 Differences in the contribution of these compound groups (i.e., relative signal contribution to total 520 OOMs) with previous work could be due to different sensitivities of the instruments towards organic 521 compounds with varying oxidation extents (Riva et al., 2019). In addition, experimental conditions (e.g., 522 RH, temperature, precursor concentration) and setup (flow tube reactor, atmospheric simulation chambers) 523 can greatly impact the distribution of OOMs retrieved by MS techniques. By carefully selecting the type of 524 reagent ion, the combined volatility distribution can cover from VOCs to OOMs, with varying O:C ratios 525 and volatility ranges (Figure 6) all within a single instrument. The Vocus AIM can therefore provide a more 526 complete picture of the volatility distribution of gaseous organic and inorganic compounds found in the 527 atmosphere.

528 Conclusions

529 The primary goal of this work was to evaluate the performance of the newly designed Vocus AIM reactor 530 to determine the time response, sensitivity, and selectivity using multiple reagent ions. Of specific 531 importance, we introduced and demonstrated the utility of a dopant-based water vapor suppression system 532 which improves data quality and reduces the number of corrections required during analysis. By comparing 533 detection efficiency for different compounds, we demonstrated that the Vocus AIM captures nearly the 534 entire range of OVOC, spanning from VOC to ULVOC by using different types of reagent ions. Through 535 the optimization of reactor geometry and materials, the time response of the Vocus AIM reactor is greatly 536 improved even for sticky and reactive compounds. The high sensitivity achieves sub-ppt detection limits 537 for a range of VOCs and VICs. The innovative design of the new reactor substantially eliminated this 538 humidity sensitivity, facilitating more straightforward measurements of samples with water vapor and 539 simplifying data interpretation. This improvement is crucial for robust and reliable analysis across a 540 spectrum of environmental samples. As a result, the Vocus AIM reactor represents a highly versatile 541 platform able to measure the wide variety of VOCs and VICs in the atmosphere using a single instrument.

543 **Conflicts of interest**

- 544 All (co-)authors, except S.P. and J.A.T. work for Tofwerk AG, which is commercializing the
- 545 Vocus-AIM mass spectrometer.

546 Author Contributions

- 547 M.R., V.P., F.L., conceive the study. M.R., V.P., C.F., P.B., S.P., S.J., and F.L. collected and
- analyzed the data. P.S., U.R., and F.L. conceived the design of the Vocus AIM reactor. M.R., V.P.,
- 549 F.L. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the paper.
- 550
- 551 Acknowledgements:
- 552 We would also like to thank Withtech Inc, and Minji Park for providing calibration comparison
- 553 data with the Picarro CRDS.
- 554

555 References

- Berndt, T., Richters, S., Kaethner, R., Voigtlander, J., Stratmann, F., Sipila, M., Kulmala, M., and
 Herrmann, H.: Gas-Phase Ozonolysis of Cycloalkenes: Formation of Highly Oxidized RO₂ Radicals and
 Their Reactions with NO, NO₂, SO₂, and Other RO₂ Radicals, J. Phys. Chem. A, 119, 10336-10348,
 10.1021/acs.jpca.5b07295, 2015.
- Berndt, T., Herrmann, H., and Kurten, T.: Direct Probing of Criegee Intermediates from Gas-Phase
 Ozonolysis Using Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 139, 13387-13392,
 10.1021/jacs.7b05849, 2017.
- Berndt, T., Mentler, B., Scholz, W., Fischer, L., Herrmann, H., Kulmala, M., and Hansel, A.: Accretion
 Product Formation from Ozonolysis and OH Radical Reaction of alpha-Pinene: Mechanistic Insight and
 the Influence of Isoprene and Ethylene, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52, 11069-11077,
 10.1021/acs.est.8b02210, 2018.
- Bertram, T. H., Kimmel, J. R., Crisp, T. A., Ryder, O. S., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Thornton, J. A., Cubison, M.
 J., Gonin, M. and Worsnop, D. R.: A field-deployable, chemical ionization time-of-flight mass
 spectrometer, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4(7), 1471–1479, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1471-2011, 2011.
- Bianchi, F., Kurten, T., Riva, M., Mohr, C., Rissanen, M. P., Roldin, P., Berndt, T., Crounse, J. D.,
 Wennberg, P. O., Mentel, T. F., Wildt, J., Junninen, H., Jokinen, T., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R.,
 Thornton, J. A., Donahue, N., Kjaergaard, H. G., and Ehn, M.: Highly Oxygenated Organic Molecules
 (HOM) from Gas-Phase Autoxidation Involving Peroxy Radicals: A Key Contributor to Atmospheric
 Aerosol, Chem. Rev., 119, 3472-3509, 10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00395, 2019.
- Breitenlechner, M., Fischer, L., Hainer, M., Heinritzi, M., Curtius, J., and Hansel, A.: PTR3: An Instrument
 for Studying the Lifecycle of Reactive Organic Carbon in the Atmosphere, Anal. Chem., 89, 5824-5831,
- 577 10.1021/acs.analchem.6b05110, 2017.

- Breitenlechner, M., Novak, G. A., Neuman, J. A., Rollins, A. W., and Veres, P. R.: A versatile vacuum
 ultraviolet ion source for reduced pressure bipolar chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Atmos. Meas.
 Tech., 15, 1159–1169, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-1159-2022, 2022.
- Bruderer, T., Gaisl, T., Gaugg, M.T., Nowak, N., Streckenbach, B., Müller, S., Moeller, A., Kohler, M.,
 and Zenobi, R.: On-Line Analysis of Exhaled Breath, Chem. Rev., 119, 19, 10803–10828,
 10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00005, 2019.
- Caldwell, G. W., Masucci, J. A., and Ikonomou, M. G.: Negative ion chemical ionization mass
 spectrometry—binding of molecules to bromide and iodide anions, Organic Mass Spectrometry, 24, 8–
 14, 10.1002/oms.1210240103, 1989.
- Canaval, E., Hyttinen, N., Schmidbauer, B., Fischer, L., and Hansel, A.: NH₄⁺ Association and Proton
 Transfer Reactions With a Series of Organic Molecules, Front. Chem., 7, 10.3389/fchem.2019.00191,
 2019.
- Crounse, J. D., Nielsen, L. B., Jørgensen, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., and Wennberg, P. O.: Autoxidation of
 Organic Compounds in the Atmosphere, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 4, 3513-3520, 10.1021/jz4019207, 2013.
- Donahue, N. M., Epstein, S. A., Pandis, S. N., and Robinson, A. L.: A two-dimensional volatility basis set:
 1. organic-aerosol mixing thermodynamics, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 3303-3318, 10.5194/acp-11-3303-2011, 2011.
- Dong, F., Li, H., Liu, B., Liu, R., and Hou K.: Protonated acetone ion chemical ionization time-of-flight
 mass spectrometry for real-time measurement of atmospheric ammonia, J. Environ Sci., 14, 66-74,
 10.1016/j.jes.2021.07.023, 2022.
- Ehn, M., Thornton, J. A., Kleist, E., Sipila, M., Junninen, H., Pullinen, I., Springer, M., Rubach, F.,
 Tillmann, R., Lee, B., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Andres, S., Acir, I. H., Rissanen, M., Jokinen, T.,
 Schobesberger, S., Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Nieminen, T., Kurten, T., Nielsen, L. B., Jorgensen,
 S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Canagaratna, M., Maso, M. D., Berndt, T., Petaja, T., Wahner, A., Kerminen, V.
 M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Wildt, J., and Mentel, T. F.: A large source of low-volatility secondary
 organic aerosol, Nature, 506, 476-479, 10.1038/nature13032, 2014.
- Eisele, F.L., Tanner, D.J., Measurement of the gas phase concentration of H2SO4 and methane sulfonic
 acid and estimates of H2SO4 production and loss in the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res. Atmo., 98, 90019010, 10.1029/93JD00031, 1993.
- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J.,
 Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma,
 Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel,
 T. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R., and Wildt, J.: The
 formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 9, 5155-5236, 10.5194/acp-9-5155-2009, 2009.
- Hansel, A., Scholz, W., Mentler, B., Fischer, L., and Berndt, T.: Detection of RO₂ radicals and other
 products from cyclohexene ozonolysis with NH₄⁺ and acetate chemical ionization mass spectrometry,
 Atmo. Environ., 186, 248-255, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.04.023, 2018.
- Isaacman-VanWertz, G. and Aumont, B.: Impact of organic molecular structure on the estimation of
 atmospherically relevant physicochemical parameters, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 6541-6563,
 10.5194/acp-21-6541-2021, 2021.
- Ji, Y., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D. J., Lee, Y. R., Veres, P. R., Neuman, J. A., Wang, Y., and Wang, X.: A
 vacuum ultraviolet ion source (VUV-IS) for iodide–chemical ionization mass spectrometry: a substitute
 for radioactive ion sources, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 3683–3696, 10.5194/amt-13-3683-2020, 2020.
- Krechmer, J., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Koss, A., Hutterli, M., Stoermer, C., Deming, B., Kimmel, J., Warneke,
 C., Holzinger, R., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., Fuhrer, K., Gonin, M. and de Gouw, J. A.: Evaluation
 of a New Reagent-Ion Source and Focusing Ion-Molecule Reactor for use in Proton-Transfer-Reaction
 Mass Spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02641, 2018.
- Lavi, A., Vermeuel, M. P., Novak, G. A., and Bertram, T. H.: The sensitivity of benzene cluster cation
 chemical ionization mass spectrometry to select biogenic terpenes, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 3251–3262,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-3251-2018, 2018.

- Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Mohr, C., Kurten, T., Worsnop, D. R., and Thornton, J. A.: An iodideadduct high-resolution time-of-flight chemical-ionization mass spectrometer: application to atmospheric inorganic and organic compounds, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 6309-6317, 10.1021/es500362a, 2014.
- Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Veres, P.R., McDuffie, E.E., Fibiger, D.L., Sparks, T.M., Ebben, C.J.,
 Green, J.R., Schroder, J.C., Campuzano-Jost, P., Iyer, S., D'Ambro, E.A., Schobesberger, S., Brown,
 S.S., Wooldridge, P.J., Cohen, R.C., Fiddler, M.N., Bililign, S., Jimenez, J.L., Kurtén, T., Weinheimer,
 A.J., Jaegle, L., and Thornton, J. A.: Flight Deployment of a High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Chemical
 Ionization Mass Spectrometer: Observations of Reactive Halogen and Nitrogen Oxide Species, J.
 Geophys Res. Atmos, 123, 7670-7686, 10.1029/2017JD028082, 2018.
- Li, Y., Pöschl, U., and Shiraiwa, M.: Molecular corridors and parameterizations of volatility in the chemical
 evolution of organic aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 3327-3344, 10.5194/acp-16-3327-2016, 2016.
- Li, D., Wang, D., Caudillo, L., Scholz, W., Wang, M., Tomaz, S., Marie, G., Surdu, M., Eccli, E., Gong,
 X., Gonzalez-Carracedo, L., Granzin, M., Pfeifer, J., Rörup, B., Schulze, B., Rantala, P., Perrier, S.,
 Hansel, A., Curtius, J., Kirkby, J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., El-Haddad, I., and Riva, M.: Ammonium
 CI-Orbitrap: a tool for characterizing the reactivity of oxygenated organic molecules, Atmos. Meas.
 Tech. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2023-149, in review, 2023.
- Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Iyer, S., Mohr, C., Lee, B. H., D'Ambro, E. L., Kurtén, T. and Thornton, J.
 A.: Constraining the sensitivity of iodide adduct chemical ionization mass spectrometry to
 multifunctional organic molecules using the collision limit and thermodynamic stability of iodide ion
 adducts, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9(4), 1505–1512, doi:10.5194/amt-9-1505-2016, 2016.
- Mazzucotelli, M., Farneti, B., Khomenko, I., Gonzalez-Estanol, K., Pedrotti, M., Fragasso, M., Capozzi,
 V., and Biasioli, F.; Green Analytical Chemistry, 3, 10.1016/j.greeac.2022.100041, 2022.
- Morris, M. A., Pagonis, D., Day, D. A., de Gouw, J. A., Ziemann, P. J., and Jimenez, J. L.: Absorption of
 VOCs by polymer tubing: implications for indoor air and use as a simple gas-phase volatility separation
 technique, EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1241, 2023.
- Palm, B.P., Liu, X., Jimenez, X.L., and Thornton, J. A.: Performance of a new coaxial ion-molecule
 reaction region for low-pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry with reduced instrument wall
 interactions, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 5829–5844, doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5829-2019, 2019
- Pfeifer, J., Simon, M., Heinritzi, M., Piel, F., Weitz, L., Wang, D., Granzin, M., Müller, T., Bräkling, S.,
 Kirkby, J., Curtius, J., and Kürten, A.: Measurement of ammonia, amines and iodine compounds using
 protonated water cluster chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 2501–2522,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-2501-2020, 2020.
- Reinecke, T., Leiminger, M., Jordan, A., Wisthaler, A., and Müller, M.: Ultrahigh Sensitivity PTR-MS
 Instrument with a Well-Defined Ion Chemistry, Anal. Chem., 95, 11879–11884, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.3c02669, 2023.
- Rissanen, M. P., Mikkilä, J., Iyer, S., and Hakala, J.: Multi-scheme chemical ionization inlet (MION) for
 fast switching of reagent ion chemistry in atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry
 (CIMS) applications, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 6635-6646, 10.5194/amt-12-6635-2019, 2019.
- Riva, M., Rantala, P., Krechmer, J. E., Peräkylä, O., Zhang, Y., Heikkinen, L., Garmash, O., Yan, C.,
 Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D., and Ehn, M.: Evaluating the performance of five different chemical
 ionization techniques for detecting gaseous oxygenated organic species, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 24032421, 10.5194/amt-12-2403-2019, 2019.
- Schobesberger, S., D'Ambro, E. L., Vettikkat, L., Lee, B. H., Peng, Q., Bell, D. M., Shilling, J. E.,
 Shrivastava, M., Pekour, M., Fast, J., and Thornton, J. A.: Airborne flux measurements of ammonia over
 the southern Great Plains using chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 16, 247–
 271, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-16-247-2023, 2023.
- Tang, J., Schurgers, G., and Rinnan, R.; Process Understanding of Soil BVOC Fluxes in Natural
 Ecosystems: A Review, Rev. Geophys., 57, 966-986, 10.1029/2018RG000634, 2019.
- Vasquez, K. T., Allen, H. M., Crounse, J. D., Praske, E., Xu, L., Noelscher, A. C., and Wennberg, P. O.:
 Low-pressure gas chromatography with chemical ionization mass spectrometry for quantification of

- 679 multifunctional organic compounds in the atmosphere, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 6815–6832, 680 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-6815-2018, 2018.
- Ku, L., Coggon, M. M., Stockwell, C. E., Gilman, J. B., Robinson, M. A., Breitenlechner, M., Lamplugh,
 A., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Neuman, J. A., Novak, G. A., Veres, P. R., Brown, S. S., and
 Warneke, C.: Chemical ionization mass spectrometry utilizing ammonium ions (NH4+ CIMS) for
 measurements of organic compounds in the atmosphere, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 15, 7353–7373,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-7353-2022, 2022.
- Ye, C., Yuan, B., Lin, Y., Wang, Z., Hu, W., Li, T., Chen, W., Wu, C., Wang, C., Huang, S., Qi, J., Wang,
 B., Wang, C., Song, W., Wang, X., Zheng, E., Krechmer, J.E., Ye, P., Zhang, Z., Wang, X., Worsnop,
 D.R., and Shao, M.,: Chemical characterization of oxygenated organic compounds in the gas phase and
 particle phase using iodide CIMS with FIGAERO in urban air, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 8455–8478,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-8455-2021, 2021.
- Yuan, B., Koss, A. R., Warneke, C., Coggon, M., Sekimoto, K., and de Gouw, J. A.: Proton-TransferReaction Mass Spectrometry: Applications in Atmospheric Sciences, Chem. Rev., 117, 13187-13229,
 10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00325, 2017.
- You, Y., Kanawade, V. P., de Gouw, J. A., Guenther, A. B., Madronich, S., Sierra-Hernández, M. R.,
 Lawler, M., Smith, J. N., Takahama, S., Ruggeri, G., Koss, A., Olson, K., Baumann, K., Weber, R. J.,
- Nenes, A., Guo, H., Edgerton, E. S., Porcelli, L., Brune, W. H., Goldstein, A. H., and Lee, S. H.:
 Atmospheric amines and ammonia measured with a chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS),
- 698 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 12181-12194, 10.5194/acp-14-12181-2014, 2014.
- Zhang, W., Xu, L., Zhang, H.: Recent advances in mass spectrometry techniques for atmospheric chemistry
 research on molecular-level, Mass Spectrom. Rev., 21857, 10.1002/mas.21857, 2023.
- 701 Zhang, Y., Liu, R., Yang, D., Guo, Y., Li, M., and Hou, K.: Chemical ionization mass spectrometry:
- Developments and applications for on-line characterization of atmospheric aerosols and trace gases,
 Trends Anal. Chem., 168, 10.1016/j.trac.2023.117353, 2023.

Table 1. Sensitivities (cps $pptv^{-1}$) normalized by 10^6 detected reagent ions for different ion chemistry used with the Vocus AIM reactor. 707

Compounds	Reagent Ion	Sensitivity (cps/ppt/ 10 ⁶ ions/s)	LoD (1-min)
toluene	Benzene (+)	7.8	0.4
m-xylene	Benzene (+)	7.6	0.2
1,2,4-trimethyl benzene	Benzene (+)	7.5	0.2
α-pinene	Benzene (+)	6.8	1.4
methyl ethyl ketone	Acetone-Ammonia (+)	5.0	4.8
ammonia	Acetone dimer (+)	1.5	1
methyl amine	Acetone dimer (+)	1.2	10
ethyl amine	Acetone dimer (+)	1.6	1
dimethyl amine	Acetone dimer (+)	2.2	1
diethyl amine	Acetone dimer (+)	2.6	1
trimethyl amine	Acetone dimer (+)	2.5	4
triethyl amine	Acetone dimer (+)	5.0	2
formic acid	Iodide (–)	2.0	0.8
levoglucosan	Iodide (–)	6.0	0.1
chlorine	Iodide (–)	5.5	3
nitric acid	Iodide (–)	4.3	5
fluoric acid	Iodide (–)	3	10
iodine	Bromide (-)	3	2





Figure 1. (A) Diagram of the Vocus AIM reactor showing the conical design and relative locations of the sample and reagent ion addition. (B) Cross-sectional view of modeled flow velocities in the AIM-IMR, showing optimized intersection of the reagent ion and sample stream flows, and limited contact of the sample gas with surfaces. Dopant flow was not considered for the CAD calculation. (C) Key components of the Vocus AIM reactor.





718 Figure 2. Time response to nitric acid of the Vocus AIM IMR compared to the previous IMR designs

found in the literature.



721 Figure 3. Impact of the relative humidity on the sensitivity of nitric acid, formic acid, and acrylic acid as a 722 function of different dopant concentrations (dopants: acetone, methanol, and acetonitrile). The x-axis 723 displays the partial pressure of water, corresponding to higher humidity levels within the reactor (0-100% 724 humidity). color gradient indicates increasing flow of specific The the dopants. 725





Figure 4. (A) presents the sensitivity dependance on the IMR pressure. The solid line represents the average sensitivity from six distinct Vocus AIM instruments, each normalized to one million reagent ions. Individual sensitivity measurements for each reactor are depicted as unique symbols. The error bars provide an estimate of the pressure gauge measurement uncertainty within 5 % of error. (B) shows the evolution of the sum of the reagent ions for Benzene cation chemistry and lower panel the ion signal intensity of toluene with and without normalization to reagent ions under a wide range of concentrations.



Figure 5. Mass defect plots of organic compounds measured by the Vocus AIM reactor using Ammonia, Chloride, Iodide, and Nitrate ion chemistries generated via the O_3/OH initiated oxidation of α -pinene. The x-axis represents the mass-to-charge ratio of the neutral analyte, the y-axis represents the corresponding mass defect, which is the difference between their exact mass and nominal mass, and the size of the circle represents the square root of the signal intensity measured for each ion.



Figure 6. Volatility distribution comparison for organic compounds detected by the Vocus AIM using nitrate, iodide, chloride, and ammonia ion chemistries. Ion intensity represents the cumulative signal recorded for each ion chemistry. The background colors represent the saturation concentration (C_{sat}) in the range of ultra-low volatility (ULVOCs), extremely low volatility (ELVOCs), low volatility (LVOCs), semivolatile (SVOCs), intermediate volatility (IVOCs), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs, pink). The pie charts represent the corresponding contributions of VOC, IVOC, SVOC, LVOC, ELVOC, and ULVOC classes from the O₃/OH initiated oxidation of α -pinene.