# Multi-year simulations at kilometre scale with the Integrated Forecasting System coupled to FESOM2.5/NEMOv3.4

Thomas Rackow<sup>1,2</sup>, Xabier Pedruzo-Bagazgoitia<sup>1</sup>, Tobias Becker<sup>1</sup>, Sebastian Milinski<sup>1</sup>, Irina Sandu<sup>1</sup>, 3 Razvan Aguridan<sup>1</sup>, Peter Bechtold<sup>1</sup>, Sebastian Beyer<sup>2</sup>, Jean Bidlot<sup>1</sup>, Souhail Boussetta<sup>1</sup>, Willem 4 Deconinck<sup>1</sup>, Michail Diamantakis<sup>1</sup>, Peter Dueben<sup>1</sup>, Emanuel Dutra<sup>3</sup>, Richard Forbes<sup>1</sup>, Rohit Ghosh<sup>2</sup>, 5 Helge F. Goessling<sup>2</sup>, Ioan Hadade<sup>1</sup>, Jan Hegewald<sup>4</sup>, Thomas Jung<sup>2,5</sup>, Sarah Keeley<sup>1</sup>, Lukas Kluft<sup>6</sup>, 6 Nikolay Koldunov<sup>2</sup>, Aleksei Koldunov<sup>2</sup>, Tobias Kölling<sup>6</sup>, Josh Kousal<sup>1</sup>, Christian Kühnlein<sup>1</sup>, Pedro 7 Maciel<sup>1</sup>, Kristian Mogensen<sup>1</sup>, Tiago Ouintino<sup>1</sup>, Inna Polichtchouk<sup>1</sup>, Balthasar Reuter<sup>1</sup>, Domokos 8 Sármány<sup>1</sup>, Patrick Scholz<sup>2</sup>, Dmitry Sidorenko<sup>2</sup>, Jan Streffing<sup>2</sup>, Birgit Sützl<sup>1</sup>, Daisuke Takasuka<sup>7,8</sup>, Steffen 9 Tietsche<sup>1</sup>, Mirco Valentini<sup>1</sup>, Benoît Vannière<sup>1</sup>, Nils Wedi<sup>1</sup>, Lorenzo Zampieri<sup>1</sup>, and Florian Ziemen<sup>9</sup> 10

- <sup>1</sup>European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF), Bonn, Germany; Reading, UK
- 12 <sup>2</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research (AWI), Bremerhaven, Germany
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>3</sup>Portuguese Weather Service (IPMA), Lisbon, Portugal
- <sup>4</sup>Gauß-IT-Zentrum, Braunschweig University of Technology (GITZ), Braunschweig, Germany
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>5</sup>Department of Physics and Electrical Engineering, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany
- <sup>6</sup>Max-Planck-Institute for Meteorology (MPI-M), Hamburg, Germany
- 17 <sup>7</sup>Department of Geophysics, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan
- 18 <sup>8</sup>Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), Yokohama, Japan
- <sup>9</sup>Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum (DKRZ), Hamburg, Germany
- 20 Correspondence to: Thomas Rackow (thomas.rackow@ecmwf.int)

21 Abstract. We report on the first multi-year km-scale global coupled simulations using ECMWF's Integrated Forecasting System (IFS) 22 coupled to both the NEMO and FESOM ocean-sea ice models, as part of the H2020 Next Generation Earth Modelling Systems (nextGEMS) 23 project. We focus mainly on an unprecedented IFS-FESOM coupled setup, with an atmospheric resolution of 4.4km and a spatially varying 24 ocean resolution that reaches locally below 5km grid-spacing. A shorter coupled IFS-FESOM simulation with an atmospheric resolution of 25 2.8km has also been performed. A number of shortcomings in the original NWP-focused model configurations were identified and mitigated 26 over several cycles collaboratively by the modelling centres, academia, and the wider nextGEMS community. The main improvements are 27 (i) better conservation properties of the coupled model system in terms of water and energy budgets, which benefit also ECMWF's 28 operational 9 km IFS-NEMO model, (ii) a realistic top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) radiation balance throughout the year, (iii) improved 29 intense precipitation characteristics, and (iv) eddy-resolving features in large parts of the mid- and high-latitude oceans (finer than 5km grid-30 spacing) to resolve mesoscale eddies and sea ice leads. New developments at ECMWF for a better representation of snow and land use, 31 including a dedicated scheme for urban areas, were also tested on multi-year timescales. We provide first examples of significant advances 32 in the realism and thus opportunities of these km-scale simulations, such as a clear imprint of resolved Arctic sea ice leads on atmospheric 33 temperature, impacts of km-scale urban areas on the diurnal temperature cycle in cities, and better propagation and symmetry characteristics 34 of the Madden-Julian Oscillation.

## 35 1 Introduction

36 Current state-of-the-art climate models with typical spatial resolutions of 50-100km still rely heavily on parametrizations for 37 under-resolved processes, such as deep convection, the effects of sub-grid orography and gravity waves in the atmosphere, or 38 the effects of meso-scale eddies in the ocean. The emerging new generation of km-scale climate models can explicitly represent 39 and combine several of these energy-redistributing small-scale processes and physical phenomena that were historically 40 approximated or even neglected in coarse-resolution models (Palmer 2014). The advantage of km-scale models thus lies in 41 their ability to more directly represent phenomena such as tropical cyclones (Judt et al. 2021) or the atmospheric response to 42 small-scale features in the topography, e.g. mountains, or graphy gradients, lakes, urban areas, and cities. The distribution and 43 intensity (and particularly the extremes) of precipitation (Judt and Rios-Berrios 2021), winds, and potentially also temperature 44 will be different at improved spatial resolution. Importantly, features of deep convection start to be explicitly resolved at km-45 scale resolutions. This does not only improve the local representation of the diurnal cycle, convective organisation, and the 46 propagation of convective storms (Prein et al., 2015; Satoh et al., 2019; Schär et al., 2020), but can also impact the large-scale circulation (Gao et al. 2023). Ultimately, the replacement of parametrizations by explicitly resolved atmospheric dynamics is 47 48 also expected to narrow the still large uncertainty range of cloud-related feedbacks and thus climate sensitivity (Bony et al. 49 2015; Stevens et al. 2016).

50

51 Km-scale resolutions are also particularly beneficial for the ocean, where mesoscale ocean eddies (Frenger et al. 2013), leads 52 opening up in the sea ice cover, and the response of oceanic heat transport to the presence of narrow canyons (Morrison et al. 53 2020) can be studied directly. The small scales in the ocean, in particular mesoscale ocean eddies, have large-scale impacts on 54 climate and control the distribution of nutrients, heat uptake, and carbon cycling (Hogg et al., 2015). Eddies also play an 55 important role in the comprehensive response of the climate system to warming (Hewitt et al. 2022; Rackow et al. 2022, 56 Griffies et al. 2015). In addition to the influence of mesoscale ocean features on the predictability of European weather downstream of the Gulf Stream area (Keeley et al., 2012), it has been proposed that higher-resolution simulations can enhance 57 58 the representation of local heterogeneities in the sea-ice cover (Hutter et al., 2022). Via their impact on small-scale ocean 59 features such as eddies, atmospheric storms can impact deep water formation in the Labrador Sea (Gutjahr et al. 2022), an 60 ocean region of global significance because of its role in the meridional overturning circulation of the ocean. Coupled ocean-61 atmosphere variability patterns such as the El Nino-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), the largest signal of interannual variability 62 on Earth, may also benefit from km-scale resolutions since ENSO-relevant ocean meso-scale features (Wengel et al. 2021) as 63 well as westerly wind bursts should be better resolved.

64

High-resolution simulations pose significant challenges in terms of numerical methods, data management, storage and analysis
(Schär et al., 2020). To exploit the potential of km-scale modelling, it is essential to develop scalable models that can run
efficiently on large supercomputers and take advantage of the next generation of exascale computing platforms (Bauer et al.,

68 2021, Taylor et al., 2023). Global atmosphere-only climate simulations at km-scale were pioneered by the NICAM group 69 (Nonhydrostatic ICosahedral Atmospheric Model) almost two decades ago. On sub-seasonal to seasonal time scales, a global 70 aqua-planet configuration at 3.5km resolution was performed (Tomita et al. 2005), and the MJO was realistically reproduced 71 at 7km and 3.5km resolutions (Miura et al. 2007). In the last decade, the NICAM group as well as the European Centre for 72 Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ran simulations on climate time scales at around 10-15km spatial resolution. In 73 particular, 14km resolution 30-year AMIP (Kodama et al. 2015) and HighResMIP simulations (Kodama et al. 2021) were 74 performed with NICAM. During Project Athena, the climate and seasonal predictive skill of ECMWF's Integrated Forecasting 75 System was analysed at resolutions up to 10km based on many 13 months simulations (totalling several decadal simulations), 76 complemented with a 48-year AMIP-style simulation plus future time slices at 15km resolution (Jung et al. 2012). Recently, 77 the NICAM group presented 10-year AMIP simulations at 3.5km using an updated NICAM version (Takasuka et al. 2024). 78 Other modelling groups around the world have also increased their model resolution towards the km-scale, and many 79 participated in the recent DYAMOND intercomparison project (DYnamics of the Atmospheric general circulation Modeled 80 On Non-hydrostatic Domains) with a grid spacing as fine as 2.5km, simulations running over 40 days, and some of them 81 already coupled to an ocean (Stevens et al., 2019).

82

83 While different modelling groups push global atmosphere-only simulations towards unprecedented resolutions (e.g. 220m 84 resolution in short simulations with NICAM), another scientific frontier has emerged around running km-scale simulations on 85 multi-year timescales, coupled to an equally refined ocean model. Indeed, in the last years, several km-scale simulations were 86 run on up to monthly and seasonal timescales (Stevens et al., 2019, Wedi et al., 2020), but not many beyond these timescales, 87 and not vet with a km-scale ocean (Miyakawa et al. 2017). This is due to the fact that even the most efficient high-resolution 88 coupled models that are currently available require substantial computing resources to run, and the comprehensive and diverse 89 code bases are also challenging to adapt to latest computing technologies. As a result, the number of simulations and 90 realisations that can be performed is limited, making it difficult to calibrate and optimise the model settings. Coarser resolution 91 models have been tuned for decades to be relatively reliable on the spatial scales that they can resolve, and to match the 92 historical period well for which high-quality observations are available. Nevertheless, this is often achieved by compensating 93 errors, which cannot necessarily be expected to work similarly in a warming climate. These models also have some long-94 standing biases that can locally be larger than the interannual variability or the climate change signal (Rackow et al. 2019, 95 Palmer and Stevens, 2019). The lack of explicitly simulated small-scale features is one likely source for these long-standing 96 biases in weather and climate models (Schär et al., 2020). Coarser resolution models also struggle with answering some 97 important climate questions, such as the behaviour of extreme events in a warmer world and the impact of climate changes at 98 the regional scale.

99

100 The European H2020 Next Generation Earth Modelling Systems (nextGEMS) project aims to build a new generation of eddy-101 and storm-resolving global coupled Earth System Models to be used for multi-decadal climate projections at km-scale. By 102 providing globally consistent information at scales where extreme events and the effects of climate change matter and are felt, 103 global km-scale multi-decadal projections will support the increasing need to provide localised climate information to inform 104 local adaptation measures. The nextGEMS models build upon models that are also operationally used for numerical weather 105 prediction (NWP): ICON, which is jointly developed by DWD and MPI-M (Hohenegger et al., 2023), and the Integrated Forecasting System (IFS) of ECMWF, coupled to the NEMO and FESOM ocean models. NextGEMS revolves around a series 106 107 of hackathons, in which the simulations performed with the two models are examined in detail by an international community 108 of more than 100 participants, followed by new model development iterations or 'Cycles'. The nextGEMS models have been (re-)designed for scalability and portability across different architectures (Satoh et al. 2019, Schulthess et al. 2019, Müller et 109 110 al. 2019, Bauer et al. 2020, Bauer, Quintino, and Wedi 2022) and lay the foundation for the Climate Change Adaptation Digital 111 Twin developed in the EU's Destination Earth initiative (DestinE).

112

113 The operational NWP system at ECMWF uses an average 9km grid-spacing for the atmosphere coupled to an ocean at  $0.25^{\circ}$ 114 spatial resolution (NEMO v3.4), which translates to a horizontal grid spacing of about 25km along the equator. While many 115 coupled effects such as the atmosphere-ocean interactions during tropical cyclone conditions (Mogensen et al. 2017) can be 116 realistically simulated at this resolution, ocean eddies in the mid latitudes are still only 'permitted' due to their decreasing size 117 with latitude (Hallberg 2013). This setup is far from our goal to explicitly resolve mesoscale ocean eddies all around the globe 118 (Sein et al., 2017). In this study, we therefore focus mainly on configurations in which km-scale versions of IFS (the main one 119 at 4.4km grid spacing in the atmosphere and land) are coupled to the FESOM2.5 ocean-sea ice model at about 5km grid 120 spacing, developed by the Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research (AWI). These 121 configurations allow us to resolve many essential climate processes directly, for example mesoscale ocean eddies and sea ice 122 leads in large parts of the mid- and high-latitude ocean, atmospheric storms, as well as certain small-scale features in the 123 topography and land surface. We also test new developments of the IFS carried out in the last years at ECMWF to improve 124 the representation of snow cover, land surface, and cities world-wide.

125

This paper documents the coupled km-scale model configurations with the Integrated Forecasting System in Section 2. The technical and scientific model improvements, carried out along the nextGEMS model development cycles based on feedback by the nextGEMS community, are presented in Section 3. A first set of emerging advances stemming from the km-scale character of the simulations is presented in Section 4, and more in-depth process studies will be the focus of dedicated future work. The paper closes with a summary and discussion of future steps in Section 5.

## 131 2 Model configurations

## 132 2.1 The Integrated Forecasting System and its coupling to NEMO and FESOM

133 The Integrated Forecasting System (IFS) is a spectral-transform atmospheric model with two-time-level semi-implicit, semi-Lagrangian time-stepping (Temperton et al., 2001; Hortal, 2002; Diamantakis and Váňa, 2022). It is coupled to other Earth 134 135 System components (land. waves. ocean. sea-ice). and it is used in its version Cv48r1 136 (https://www.ecmwf.int/en/publications/ifs-documentation, last access 26 March 2024), which has been used for operational 137 forecasts at ECMWF since July 2023 (plus modifications that will be detailed in this study). In its operational configuration 138 ('oper'), the atmospheric component is coupled to the NEMO v3.4 ocean model. The octahedral reduced Gaussian grid (short 139 'octahedral grid') with a cubic (spectral) truncation (TCo) is used in the IFS (Malardel et al., 2016). The cubic truncation with 140 the TCo grid implies higher effective resolution and better efficiency than the former linear truncation. It acts as a numerical 141 filter without the need for expensive de-aliasing procedures, requires little diffusion, and produces small total mass 142 conservation errors for medium-range forecasts; see Wedi 2014; Wedi et al. 2015; Malardel et al., 2016 for further discussion. 143 A hybrid, pressure-based vertical coordinate is used which is a monotonic function of pressure and depends on the surface 144 pressure (Simmons and Strüfing, 1983). The vertical coordinate follows the terrain at the lowest level and relaxes to a pure 145 pressure-level vertical coordinate system in the upper part of the atmosphere. The vertical discretization scheme is a finite 146 element method using cubic B-spline basis functions (Vivoda et al., 2018, Untch and Hortal, 2004).

147

148 The atmosphere component of the IFS has a full range of parametrizations described in detail in ECMWF (2023a,b). The moist 149 convection parameterization, originally described in Tiedtke (1989), is based on the mass-flux approach, and represents deep, 150 shallow and mid-level convection. For deep convection the mass-flux is determined by removing a modified Convective 151 Available Potential Energy (CAPE) over a given time scale (Bechtold et al., 2008, 2014), taking into account an additional 152 dependence on total moisture convergence and a grid resolution dependent scaling factor to reduce the cloud base mass flux further at grid resolutions higher than 9km (Becker et al., 2021). The sub-grid cloud and precipitation microphysics scheme is 153 154 based on Tiedtke (1993) and has since been substantially upgraded with separate prognostic variables for cloud water, cloud 155 ice, rain, snow and cloud fraction, and an improved parametrization of microphysical processes (Forbes et al. 2011; Forbes 156 and Ahlgrimm, 2014). The parametrization of sub-grid turbulent mixing follows the Eddy-Diffusivity Mass-Flux (EDMF) 157 framework, with a K-diffusion turbulence closure and a mass-flux component to represent the non-local eddy fluxes in unstable 158 boundary layers (Siebesma et al., 2007; Kohler et al., 2011). The orographic gravity wave drag is parametrized following Lott 159 and Miller (1997) and Beliaars et al. (2004) and a non-orographic gravity wave drag parametrization is described in Orr et al. 160 (2010). The radiation scheme is described in Hogan and Bozzo (2018, ecRad). Full radiation computations are calculated on a 161 coarser grid every hour with approximate updates for radiation-surface interactions every timestep at the model resolution.

163 The IFS land model ECLand (Bousetta et al. 2021) runs on the model grid and is fully coupled to the atmosphere through an 164 implicit flux solver. ECLand represents the surface processes that interact with the atmosphere in the form of fluxes. The 165 ECLand version in this work contains among others, a 4-layer soil scheme, a lake model, an urban model, a simple vegetation 166 model, a multi-layer snow scheme, and a vast range of global maps describing the surface characteristics. A wave model component is provided by ecWAM to account for sea state dependent processes in the IFS (ECMWF, 2023c). The wave model 167 168 runs on a reduced lat-lon 0.125° grid, 36 frequencies, and 36 directions. This means that the distance between latitudes is 169 0.125°, and the number of points per latitude is reduced polewards in order to keep the actual distance between grid points roughly equal to the spacing between two consecutive latitudes. The frequency discretisation is such that ocean waves with 170 171 periods between 1 and 28 seconds are represented.

172

173 For the purpose of nextGEMS and other related projects such as the DestinE Climate Change Adaptation Digital Twin, where 174 also an IFS-NEMO configuration with a 1/12 degree ocean (NEMO v4) is applied, the complementary IFS-FESOM model 175 option was developed. We coupled the Finite VolumE Sea ice-Ocean Model FESOM2 (Danilov et al. 2017, Scholz et al. 2019, 176 Koldunov et al. 2019, Sidorenko et al. 2019) to IFS (see details below). Instead of using a coupler for this task, as for the 177 OpenIFS-FESOM (Streffing et al. 2022), the alternative adopted here is to follow the strategy for IFS-NEMO coupling, where 178 the ocean and IFS models are integrated into a single executable and share a common time stepping loop (Mogensen, Keeley, 179 and Towers, 2012). In this sequential coupling approach (akin to the model physics-dynamics and land-surface coupling that 180 occurs every model timestep), the atmosphere advances for 1 hour (length of the coupling interval) and fluxes are passed as 181 upper boundary condition to the ocean, which then in turn advances for 1 hour, up to the same checkpoint. The following 182 atmospheric step then uses updated surface ocean fields as lower boundary condition for the next coupling interval (Mogensen, 183 Keeley, and Towers, 2012). Note that there is no need to introduce a lag of one coupling timestep because the ocean and 184 atmosphere models run sequentially and not overlapping in parallel. A study into the effect of model lag on flux/state 185 convergence by Marti et al. (2021) found that sequential instead of parallel coupling reduces the error nearly to the fully 186 converged solution.

187

In the operational IFS, in areas where sea ice is present in the ocean model, currently a sea ice thickness of 1.5m and no snow cover are assumed for the computation of the conductive heat flux on the atmospheric side. Our initial implementation for the multi-year simulations carried out in nextGEMS does not divert yet from this assumption of the operational configuration, in which the atmosphere 'sees' only the sea-ice fraction computed by the ocean/sea-ice model. There are more consistent options available to couple the simulated sea ice albedo, ice surface temperature, ice and snow thickness from the ocean models to the atmospheric component (Mogensen, Keeley, and Towers, 2012) and those will also be considered in future setups.



195

Figure 1: Coupling of the Integrated Forecasting System (IFS) components in (left) IFS-FESOM and (right) IFS-NEMO in nextGEMS configurations. Coupling between the unstructured FESOM grid and the Gaussian grid of the atmosphere is via pre-prepared remapping weights in SCRIP format (Jones 1999). Direct coupling between the surface wave model (ECWAM) and the ocean is at the moment only implemented in IFS-NEMO; in IFS-FESOM, the ocean and waves interact only indirectly via the atmosphere. ECWAM and the atmosphere have their own set of remapping weights for direct coupling, while ECLand and the atmosphere are more closely coupled to each other.

- 202
- 203

The oceans provide surface boundary conditions to the atmosphere (sea surface temperature, sea ice concentration, zonal and meridional surface currents) while the atmospheric component provides air-sea fluxes to the ocean models (as listed in Fig. 1). The exchange between the different model grids is implemented as a Gaussian distance-weighted interpolation for both directions. Since the implementation accepts any weight files as long as they are provided in SCRIP format (Jones 1999), future setups will explore other interpolation strategies, such as the use of conservative remapping weights for the air-sea fluxes to ensure better flux conservation. River runoff for the ocean models is taken from climatology; for IFS-FESOM, the runoff from the COREv2 (Large and Yeager 2009) flux dataset is applied based on Dai et al. (2009).

In order to couple FESOM with IFS, the existing single-executable coupling interface (i.e. the set of Fortran subroutines) between IFS and NEMO (Mogensen, Keeley, and Towers, 2012) has been extracted and newly implemented directly in the FESOM source code (Rackow et al. 2023c). From the perspective of the atmospheric component, after linking, FESOM and NEMO thus appear to IFS virtually identical in terms of provided fields and functionality in forecast runs with IFS. Clear gaps and differences to the operational configuration with NEMO v3.4 remain in terms of ocean data assimilation capabilities (NEMOVAR), ocean initial condition generation, and missing surface ocean-wave coupling (Fig. 1). However, these 217 differences do not critically impact the multi-year simulations for nextGEMS described in this study or multi-decadal 218 simulations planned for nextGEMS and DestinE.

## 219 2.2 Performed nextGEMS runs and Cycles

220 The nextGEMS project relies on several model development cycles, in which the high-res models are run and improved based 221 on community feedback from the analysis of successive runs. In an initial set of km-scale coupled simulations (termed 'Cycle 222 1'), the models were integrated for 75 days, starting on 20 January 2020 (Table 1). For Cycle 1, ECMWF's IFS in Cy47r3 223 (Cy46r1 for IFS-FESOM) has been run at 9km (TCo1279 in Gaussian octahedral grid notation) and 4.4km (TCo2559) global 224 spatial resolution. The runs at 9km were performed with the deep convection parametrization, while at 4.4km, the IFS was run 225 with and without the deep convection parametrization. The underlying ocean models NEMO and FESOM2.1 had been run on 226 an eddy-permitting 0.25° resolution grid in this initial model cycle (ORCA025 for NEMO and a triangulated version of this 227 for FESOM, tORCA025). Based on the analysis by project partners during a hackathon organised in Berlin in October 2021, 228 several key issues were identified both in the runs with IFS, and in those run with ICON (Hohenegger et al. 2023).

As will be detailed below, the IFS has been significantly improved for the longer 'Cycle 2' simulations based on IFS Cy47r3 (IFS nextGEMS Cycle 2 4.4km 1-year simulation, https://dx.doi.org/10.21957/1n36-qg55; Wieners et al., 2023), where a 2.8km simulation (TCo3999) has also been performed. For the purpose of nextGEMS Cycle 2 and 3, an ocean grid with up to 5km resolution ('NG5') has been introduced for the FESOM model, which is eddy-resolving in most parts of the global ocean (see Appendix B). The NG5 ocean has been spun up for a duration of 5 years in stand-alone mode, with ERA5 atmospheric forcing (Hersbach et al. 2020) until 20 January 2020. In contrast, NEMO performs active data assimilation to estimate ocean initial conditions for 20 January 2020.

Based on feedback from the 2nd hackathon in Vienna in 2022, 'Cycle 3' simulations based on IFS Cy48r1 for the 3rd hackathon in Madrid (June 2023) have been further improved. The ocean has been updated to FESOM2.5 (Rackow et al, 2023c), and run coupled for up to 5 years (see Fig. 2 for an example wind speed snapshot at 4.4km resolution). In Section 3, we will detail the series of scientific improvements in the atmosphere, ocean, and land components of IFS-NEMO/FESOM that were performed to address the identified key issues, and how these successive steps result in a better representation of the coupled physical system.

242

Table 1: nextGEMS configurations of the IFS and coupled simulations analysed in this study. The Gaussian octahedral grid notations TCo1279, TCo2559, and TCo3999 refer to 9km, 4.4km, and 2.8km global atmospheric spatial resolution, respectively. The simulations were performed with constant greenhouse gas forcing from the year 2020 (CO2 = 413.72 ppmv, CH4 = 1914.28 ppbv, N2O = 331.80 ppbv, CFC11 = 857.38 pptv, CFC12 = 497.10 pptv), prognostic ozone, no volcanic aerosols, and the CAMS aerosol climatology (Bozzo et al. 2020).

Configuration	Atmospheric spatial resolution	Ocean model settings and spatial resolution	Length of simulations
IFS-NEMO, TCo1279 ('oper') Cycle 3, 2, 1	9km	NEMO V3.4, ORCA025 (0.25° 3-polar grid)	5 years (Cycle 3) 2 years (Cycle 2) 75 days (Cycle 1)
IFS-FESOM, TCo1279-NG5 Cycle 3	9km	FESOM2.5, NG5 grid (3- 4km in high-res regions; 13km in tropics)	1 year (Cycle 3)
IFS-FESOM, TCo3999-NG5 Cycle 2	2.8km	FESOM2.1, NG5 grid (3- 4km in high-res regions; 13km in tropics)	8 months (Cycle 2)
IFS-FESOM, TCo2559-NG5 Cycle 3 & 2	4.4km	FESOM2.1/2.5, NG5 grid (3-4km in high-res regions; 13km in tropics)	5 years (Cycle 3) 1 year (Cycle 2)

# 248 **2.3 Technical refactoring for the FESOM2.5 ocean-sea ice model code**

Prior to the start of nextGEMS, FESOM had been fully MPI-parallelised only and was shown to scale well on processor counts beyond 100,000 (Koldunov et al. 2019). In order to fully support hybrid MPI-OpenMP parallelization in the single-executable framework with IFS, numerous non-iterative loops in the ocean model code were rewritten with release of FESOM version 2.5. The FESOM model has been significantly refactored also in other aspects over the last years to support coupling with IFS. In the single executable coupled system, the IFS initializes the MPI communicator (Mogensen, Keeley, and Towers, 2012) and passes it to the ocean model for initialisation of FESOM. In particular, FESOM's main routine has been split into 3 cleanly defined steps, namely the initialisation, time stepping, and finalisation steps. This was a necessary step for the current singleexecutable coupled model strategy at ECMWF, where the ocean is called and controlled from within the atmospheric model. The single-executable configuration is a necessary condition for coupled data assimilation at ECMWF. The adopted strategy means that some IFS-NEMO developments can be directly applied also to IFS-FESOM configurations. Similar to what is done for the wave and atmosphere components of the IFS, we implemented a fast "memory dump" restart mechanism for FESOM. This has the advantage that the whole coupled model can be quickly restarted as long as the parallel distribution (number of MPI tasks and OpenMP processes) does not change during the simulation.

### 262 **2.4 Model output and online diagnostics**

263 One of the concerns for the scientific evaluation of multi-year high-resolution simulations is the need to read large volumes of 264 output from the global parallel filesystem. This is required for certain processing tasks, such as the computation of monthly 265 averages in a climate context and regridding to regular meshes, so that the relevant information can be easily analysed and 266 visualised. One way to mitigate this burden is to move these computations closer to where the data is produced and process 267 the data in memory. Many of these computations are currently not possible in the IFS code, so starting in Cycle 3 we used 268 MultIO (Sármány et al., 2023), a set of software libraries that provide, among other functionalities, user-programmable 269 processing pipelines that operate on model output directly. IFS has its own Fortran-based I/O-server that is responsible for 270 aggregating geographically distributed three-dimensional information and creating layers of horizontal two-dimensional fields. 271 It passes these pre-aggregated fields directly to MultIO for the on-the-fly computation of temporal means and data regridding. 272 One of the key benefits of this approach is that with the in-memory computation of, for example, monthly statistics, the 273 requirement of storage space may be reduced significantly. Higher-frequency data may only be required for the computation 274 of these statistics and as such would not need to be written to disk at all. For the nextGEMS runs in this study, however, the 275 decision was taken to make use of MultIO mostly for user-convenience, i.e. to produce post-processed output in addition to 276 the native high-frequency output. The computational overhead associated with this (approximately 15% in this case) is more 277 than offset by the increased productivity gained from much faster and easier evaluation of high-resolution climate output, 278 particularly in the context of hackathons with a large number of participants. As a result, the MultIO pipelines have been 279 configured to support the following five groups of output:

- 280 281
- Hourly or six-hourly output (depending on variable) on native octahedral grids.
- Hourly or six-hourly output (depending on variable), interpolated to regular (coarser) meshes for ease of data analysis.
   The MultIO configuration uses parts of the functionality of the Meteorological Interpolation and Regridding package
   (MIR), ECMWF's open-source re-gridding software, to be able to execute this in memory.
- Monthly means for all output variables on native grids.
- Monthly means for all output variables on regular (coarser) meshes, interpolated by MultIO calling MIR.
- All fields are encoded or re-encoded in GRIB by MultIO calling ECCODES, an open-source encoding library.

At the end of each pipeline, all data are streamed to disk, more specifically to the Fields DataBase (FDB, Smart et al., 2017), an indexed domain-specific object store for archival and retrieval – according to a well-defined schema – of meteorological and climate data. This mirrors the operational setup at ECMWF. For the nextGEMS hackathons, all simulations and their GRIB data in the corresponding FDBs have been made available in Jupyter notebooks (Kluyver et al. 2016) via intake catalogs (https://intake.readthedocs.io/en/latest/, last access 25 March 2024) using gribscan. The gribscan tools scans GRIB files and creates Zarr-compatible indices (Kölling, Kluft, and Rackow, 2024).

295





Figure 2: Wind speed snapshot over Europe as simulated by the IFS with a 4.4km spatial resolution in the atmosphere. The wind speed map is overlaid with a map of the zonal wind component in a grey-scale colormap for further shading, which is made partly transparent. The figure does not explicitly plot land. Nevertheless, the high-resolution simulation clearly exposes the continental land masses and orographic details due to larger surface friction and hence smaller wind speeds (darker areas depict lower wind speeds). The image is a reproduction with Cycle 3 data of the award winning entry by N. Koldunov for 2022's Helmholtz Scientific Imaging Contest, https://helmholtz-imaging.de/about\_us/overview/index\_eng.html).

## 303 3 Model developments for multi-year coupled km-scale IFS simulations

This section details model developments for the atmosphere (3.1), ocean,sea ice, and wave (3.2), and land (3.3) components of IFS-FESOM/NEMO in the different cycles of nextGEMS. Following a short overview of identified key issues and developments at the beginning of each section, we present how those successive development steps translate to a better representation of the coupled physical system.

308 **3.1 Atmosphere** 

# 309 3.1.1 Key issues and model developments

# 310 Water and energy imbalances

311 At the first nextGEMS hackathon, large water and energy imbalances were identified as key issues in the Cycle 1 simulations, 312 which led to large biases in the top-of-atmosphere (TOA) radiation balance. If run for longer than the 75 days of Cycle 1, e.g. 313 multiple years, this would lead to a strong drift in global mean 2m temperature. Analysis confirmed that most of the energy imbalance in the IFS was related to water non-conservation, and that this issue gets worse (i) when spatial resolution is 314 315 increased, and (ii) when the parametrization of deep convection is switched off (hereafter 'Deep Off'). This is because the 316 semi-Lagrangian advection scheme used in the IFS is not conserving the mass of advected tracers, e.g. the water species (see 317 Appendix A). However, while this issue was acknowledged to be detrimental for the accuracy of climate integrations, so far it 318 was thought that it was small enough to not significantly affect the quality of numerical weather forecasts, which span 319 timescales ranging from a few hours to seasons ahead. To address the problem of water non-conservation in the IFS, a tracer 320 global mass fixer was activated for all prognostic hydrometeors (cloud liquid, ice, rain and snow) in nextGEMS Cycle 2, as 321 well as water vapour (for more details, see Appendix A describing the mass-fixer approach). The tracer mass fixer ensures 322 global mass conservation, but it cannot guarantee local mass conservation. However, it estimates where the mass conservation 323 errors are larger and inserts larger corrections in such regions, which is often beneficial for local mass conservation and 324 accuracy (see Diamantakis and Agusti-Panareda, 2017). When adding tracer mass fixers to a simulation, the computational 325 cost increases by a few percentage points (typically less than 5%). Water and energy conservation in Cycle 1 versus Cycle 2 326 is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

## 327 **Top-of-atmosphere radiation balance**

To reduce drift in global mean surface temperature, it is essential that the global top-of-atmosphere (TOA) radiation imbalance is small. In the nextGEMS Cycle 2 simulation at 4.4 km resolution coupled to FESOM2.1 (Table 1), the TOA net imbalance, relative to observed fluxes from the CERES-EBAF product (Loeb et al. 2018), had been about +3 Wm<sup>-2</sup> (positive values indicate downward fluxes), resulting from a +5 Wm<sup>-2</sup> shortwave imbalance that was partly balanced by a -2 Wm<sup>-2</sup> longwave imbalance. Because of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, CERES shows a +1 Wm<sup>-2</sup> imbalance. Due to the larger TOA imbalance, the nextGEMS Cycle 2 simulations warmed too much, by about 1K over the course of one year (see Section 3.1.3).

Thus, addressing the TOA radiation imbalance was a major development focus in preparation for the 5-year integration in nextGEMS Cycle 3.

336 On top of IFS 48r1, in Cycle 3 we used a combination of model changes targeting a reduced TOA radiation imbalance, mostly 337 affecting cloud amount. Changes that increased the fraction of low clouds are (i) a change restricting the detrainment of mid-338 level convection to the liquid phase. (ii) a reduction of cloud edge erosion following Fielding et al. (2020) and (iii) a reduction 339 of the cloud inhomogeneity, which increases cloud amount as it reduces the rate of accretion. This change is in line with 340 nextGEMS's km-scale resolutions as cloud inhomogeneity is expected to be smaller at high resolutions. High clouds were 341 increased in areas with strong deep convective activity by (iv) decreasing a threshold that limits the minimum size of ice 342 effective radius, in agreement with observational evidence and (v) changing from cubic to linear interpolation for the departure 343 point interpolation of the Semi-Lagrangian advection scheme for all moist species except water vapour. The resulting TOA 344 balance in Cycle 3 is discussed in Section 3.1.3.

# 345 Representation of intense precipitation and convective cells

Precipitation has many important roles in the climate system. It is not only important for the water cycle over land and ocean, but also provides a source of energy to the atmosphere, as heat is released when water vapour condensates and rain forms, which balances radiative cooling. Precipitation is also often associated with meso-scale or large-scale vertical motion and the corresponding overturning circulation is crucial for the horizontal and vertical redistribution of moisture and energy within the atmosphere.

In km-scale simulations in which the deep convection parametrization is switched off (e.g, Cycle 2 at 4.4 km and 2.8 km resolution), convective cells tend to be too localised, too intense, and they lack organisation into larger convective systems (e.g, Crook et al., 2017, Becker et al., 2021). The tropical troposphere also gets too warm and too dry, and these mean biases as well as biases that concern the characteristics of meso-scale organisation of convection also affect the larger scales, for instance zonal mean precipitation and the associated large-scale circulation. For example, with deep convection parametrization off in Cycle 2 (Deep Off), the ITCZ often organises into a continuous and persistent line of deep convection over the Pacific at 5°N (see Fig. D1 in Appendix D), and the zonal mean precipitation at 5°N is strongly overestimated.

358 To address these issues, instead of switching the deep convection scheme off completely, we have reduced its activity by 359 reducing the cloud-base mass flux in Cycle 3. The cloud-base mass flux is the key ingredient of the convective closure, and 360 depends on the convective adjustment time scale  $\tau$ , which assures a transition to resolved convection at high resolution via an 361 empirical scaling function that depends on the grid spacing (discussed in more detail in Becker et al., 2021). To significantly 362 reduce the activity of the deep convection scheme in Cycle 3, we use the value of the empirical scaling function that is by 363 default used at 700m resolution (TCo15999) already at 4.4 km resolution (TCo2559), which corresponds to a reduction of the 364 empirical value that determines the cloud base mass flux by a factor of 6 compared to its value at 9 km resolution. Precipitation 365 characteristics in Cycle 3 vs Cycle 2 are discussed in Section 3.1.4.

## 367 **3.1.2 Improvements of mass and energy conservation in Cycle 2 vs Cycle 1**

368 To address the water non-conservation mentioned in Section 3.1.1, tracer mass fixers for all moist species were introduced in 369 Cycle 2. Figure 3 shows that the Cycle 1 simulations with the IFS have an artificial source of water in the atmosphere. This 370 artificial source is responsible for 4.6% of total precipitation in the 9 km simulation with deep convection parametrization 371 switched on (hereafter 'Deep On'), which is also used for ECMWF's operational high-resolution ten-day forecasts, and for 372 10.7% at 4.4 km with Deep Off. Further analysis after the hackathon by the modelling teams at ECMWF has shown that about 373 50% of the artificial atmospheric water source is created as water vapour. The additional water vapour not only affects the 374 radiation energy budget of the atmosphere, but it can also cause energy non-conservation when heat is released through 375 condensation. The other 50% of water is created as cloud liquid, cloud ice, rain or snow. This is related to the higher-order 376 interpolation in the semi-Lagrangian advection scheme introduced for cloud liquid, cloud ice, rain and snow in IFS Cycle 47r3, 377 which can result in spurious maxima and minima, including negative values, which are then clipped to remain physical. It 378 turns out that the spurious minima are in excess of the spurious maxima and by clipping them, the mass of cloud liquid, cloud 379 ice. rain and snow is effectively increased. When activating global tracer mass fixers, global water non-conservation is essentially eliminated (about 0.1%) in the Cycle 2 simulations (Figure 3). 380

381

382 On a global scale, the total energy budget of the atmosphere can be defined as

383 
$$\frac{c_{\rm pd}}{g} \int_{p_{\rm surf}}^{0} \frac{dT}{dt} dp_{\rm h} + \frac{L_{\nu 0}}{g} \int_{p_{\rm surf}}^{0} \frac{dq_{\nu}}{dt} dp_{\rm h} - \frac{L_{\rm s0} - L_{\nu 0}}{g} \int_{p_{\rm surf}}^{0} \frac{dq_{\rm i} + dq_{\rm s}}{dt} dp_{\rm h} + \int_{p_{\rm surf}}^{0} \frac{dKE}{dt} dp_{\rm h}$$

384

$$= F_{\rm s} + F_{\rm q} - F_{\rm rad}^{\rm top} + F_{\rm rad}^{\rm surf} + (L_{\rm s0} - L_{\rm v0})P_{\rm s},$$

(equation 1), where *T* is temperature,  $q_v$ ,  $q_i$  and  $q_s$  are water vapour, cloud ice and snow. Together, these terms describe the change in vertically-integrated frozen moist static energy over time, while the last term on the left-hand-side of the equation is the change in vertically-integrated kinetic energy (*KE*). Sources and sinks of the atmosphere's total energy are  $F_s$  and  $F_q$ , which are the surface turbulent sensible and latent heat fluxes,  $F_{rad}^{top}$  and  $F_{rad}^{surf}$ , which are the TOA and surface net radiative shortwave and longwave fluxes, and ( $L_{s0}$ - $L_{v0}$ ) $P_s$  is the energy required to melt snow at the surface. Note that dissipation is not a source or sink of total energy.

391

Using this equation to calculate the global energy budget imbalance in Figure 3, the Cycle 1 simulation with 9 km resolution has an atmospheric energy imbalance of 2.0  $Wm^{-2}$ , and this imbalance increased to 6.4  $Wm^{-2}$  at 4.4 km resolution with Deep Off. In Cycle 2, the energy budget imbalance due to the mass conservation of water species is substantially smaller, having reduced to less than 1  $Wm^{-2}$ . This remaining imbalance can be related to the explicit and semi-implicit dynamics because they are still non-conserving, for example causing an error in surface pressure, as well as the mass fixers. The remaining imbalance could be removed by adding a total energy fixer to the model.

As a result of activating the tracer mass fixers for all moist species, the overestimate of mean precipitation reduces and the troposphere gets slightly colder and drier. While these changes are dominated on climate time scales by the effects that energy conservation has on global mean temperature, they can have a significant impact on time scales of numerical weather prediction. Indeed, the discussed setup with improved water and energy conservation is part of ECMWF's recent operational IFS upgrade in June 2023 (48r1) because it improves the skill scores of the operational weather forecasts (ECMWF Newsletter 172, 2022).

405



406

Figure 3: Daily mean water non-conservation (left) and daily-mean atmospheric energy imbalance (right), as a function of lead time for Cycle 1 and Cycle 2 simulations. Water non-conservation is computed as the daily change in globally integrated total water, taking account of surface evaporation and precipitation, as a fraction of the daily precipitation. The atmospheric energy imbalance is calculated with Equation 1.

## 412 **3.1.3** Realistic TOA radiation balance and surface temperature evolution in Cycle 3



413

414 Figure 4: Global-mean TOA radiation deviation from the CERES climatology in the 5-year-long nextGEMS 415 simulations and global-mean TOA imbalance as function of global-mean surface air temperature (GSAT). a) Grev 416 shading shows the climatological range of individual CERES years. Due to the free-running nature of the nextGEMS 417 simulations, variations within the grey envelope are to be expected even in the absence of any bias. b) Grey lines show the 418 climatological range of individual CERES years (2001-2020) over ERA5 GSAT data (Hersbach et al. 2020). Thin lines are 419 tracing monthly mean values with a small square marking the final month for each simulation. Big squares depict annual means 420 (dashed for Cycle 2, solid for Cycle 3) and for multi-year simulations thick solid lines are tracing annual means for each year 421 with the big square marking the last simulated annual mean.

422

Due to the model changes detailed in Section 3.1.1, the nextGEMS Cycle 3 simulations with the IFS have at all resolutions a TOA radiation imbalance that is within observational uncertainty, with respect to the net, shortwave and longwave fluxes (Figure 4). This is not only true for the annual mean value, but also for the annual cycle of TOA imbalance (8-shape in Figure 4). As a result, the global mean surface temperature in the Cycle 3 simulations is in close agreement with the ERA5 reanalysis (Hersbach et al. 2020), and stays in close agreement over the 5 years of coupled simulations (Figure 5 and Figure C1 in Appendix C). Going from Cycle 2 to Cycle 3, the warming over time is not evident anymore in IFS-FESOM and IFS-NEMO (Figure 5). Differences in local warming over the Southern Ocean in the two models are further discussed in section 3.2.2.

431 Locally, some of the persistent TOA radiation biases in Cycle 2 are also still evident in Cycle 3, for example a positive
432 shortwave bias along coastlines in stratocumulus regions, while other biases, for example associated with deep convective
433 activity over the Maritime Continent, have significantly reduced (not shown).



435

Figure 5: Timeseries of 2-metre temperature global (a), only over land (b) and only over ocean (c) with respect to ERA5,
for the years 2020-2021. The shaded area shows the ERA5 standard deviation between 2012-2021. The evolution of the 2metre temperature over 5 years is shown in Figure C1 in Appendix C.

# 440 **3.1.4 Improved precipitation characteristics in Cycle 3 vs Cycle 2 and larger-scale impacts**

Snapshots of cloudy brightness temperature and precipitation over the Indian Ocean (Fig. 6) illustrate that after 12 days of simulation in Cycle 3, there are biases in the characteristics of precipitating deep convection compared to satellite observations, even after the developments for Cycle 3 (see Section 3.1.1) were introduced. The observations show multiple mesoscale convective systems (MCS), which are associated with strong precipitation intensities and large anvil clouds. Neither the baseline 9 km Cycle 3 simulation nor the 4.4 km simulation manage to represent the MCS as observed. At 9 km, the convective cells are not well defined with wide-spread areas of weak precipitation. Indeed, precipitation intensity is underestimated in this setup, with precipitation intensity rarely exceeding 10 mm/hour (Fig. 7a). Instead of organising into MCS, hints of spurious 448 gravity waves initiated from parametrized convective cells can be seen in the precipitation snapshot, emanating in different





Figure 6: Snapshot for 31/01/2020 at 21:00 UTC of infra-red brightness temperature (left) and hourly precipitation rate (right) over the Indian Ocean, from a) observations (Meteosat 8 SEVIRI channel 9 and GPM IMERG), and b) at forecast day 12 of IFS-NEMO 4.4 km and c) at 9 km (3rd row) simulations. The simulations use the nextGEMS Cycle 3 setup except that they are run with a satellite image simulator and, for technical reasons, are coupled to NEMO V3.4 (ORCA025) here.

455

450

However, at 4.4 km resolution, the deep convection scheme is much less active, as the cloud base mass flux has been reduced by a factor of 6 compared to its value at 9 km (see Section 3.1.1). Compared to the Cycle 2 simulations with Deep Off, the tropical troposphere is colder and more humid. This setup also features more realistic precipitation intensities, and particularly the strong precipitation of more than 10 mm/hour is close to the satellite retrieval GPM IMERG (Figure 7a), while the Cycle simulations with Deep Off overestimate and with Deep On underestimate intense precipitation. In contrast, weak 461 precipitation of 0.1 to 1 mm/hour is most strongly overestimated at 4.4 km resolution in Cycle 3. This is mostly precipitation

that stems from the weakly active deep convection scheme. Solutions of how to reduce this drizzle bias are being worked on,
e.g., through an increase of the rain evaporation rate.

- 464 A related issue is that the size of convective cells is too small, as illustrated by the size distribution of connected grid cells with precipitation exceeding 3 mm/hour (Fig. 7b). The average size of a precipitation cell is rather similar in all simulations, and 465 466 only about half the value as in GPM IMERG. While GPM IMERG has a substantial number of precipitation cells that exceed 467 a size of  $10^3$  grid points, which for example would correspond to a precipitation object of  $5^{\circ}x2^{\circ}$ , this size is almost never 468 reached in the IFS simulations. The baseline simulations reach this size more often than the higher-resolution simulations, but 469 mainly in association with the spurious gravity waves, not because an MCS would be correctly represented. In summary, the representation of intense precipitation has been improved from Cycle 2 to Cycle 3, but that has not led to more realistic 470 precipitation cell sizes. Even though it is possible that GPM IMERG overestimates precipitation cell size, cloudy brightness 471 472 temperature shows the same issue (Fig. 6). Work with other models (e.g., ICON, NICAM, SCREAM) has also shown that an 473 underestimation of precipitation cell size is a common issue in global km-scale resolution simulations, in some models even 474 leading to "popcorn" convection, and will require more attention in the future.
  - a) <sub>0.008</sub> P > 3 mm/h, 8-connectivity, 0.1x0.1°, hourly, tropics, 01-08/2020 tropics, 0.1x0.1°, hourly, 01/2020-08/2020 b) 2.00 Cycle 3 4.4km JES-EESOM Cycle 3, 4.4km, IFS-FESOM, avg: 10.7 Cycle 2, 4.4km, IFS-FESOM Cycle 2, 4.4km, IFS-FESOM, avg: 12.8 0.007 Cycle 3, 9km, IFS-NEMO 1.75 Cycle 3, 9km, IFS-NEMO, avg: 10.7 Cycle 2, 9km, IFS-NEMO Cycle 2, 9km, IFS-NEMO, avg: 12.0 Cycle 3 9km JES-EESOM 0.006 Cycle 3, 9km, IFS-FESOM, avg: 10.7 1.50 Cycle 2, 2.8km, IFS-FESOM Cycle 2. 2.8km, IFS-FESOM, avg: 10.2 GPM IMERG size M MERG, avg: 25.4 0.005 1.25 ۵. number \* 0.004 f(P) 1.00 0.003 0.75 0.002 0.50 0.001 0.25 0.000 0.00 10-1 103 10 101 100 101 10 10 102 P [mm/hour] size
- 476

475

Figure 7: (a) Frequency times bin intensity of hourly precipitation intensity in the tropics (30S-30N), conservatively interpolated to a 0.1° grid from January to August 2020. Following Berthou et al. (2019), the bins are exponential, meaning that the area under the curve represents the contribution of that intensity range to the mean. (b) Histogram of precipitation cell size times bin size, using a similar approach as in (a). The precipitation cell size is defined as the number of connected grid cells on a 0.1° grid (also considering diagonal neighbours) where precipitation exceeds 3 mm/hour, counting cells in the whole tropics (30°S-30°N), again from January to August 2020. The average precipitation cell size is given in the legend. The observational estimate is from GPM IMERG.

484

As already mentioned in Section 3.1.1, the characteristics of meso-scale organisation of convection also affect the larger scales.
 For example, in Cycle 2 simulations with Deep Off, the ITCZ often organises into a continuous and persistent line of deep

487 convection over the Pacific at 5°N (see Figure D1 in Appendix D) and as a consequence, the zonal mean precipitation is 488 strongly overestimated. This bias improved significantly from Cycle 2 to Cycle 3, when switching from a setup with no deep 489 convection scheme in Cycle 2 (at 2.8 and 4.4km resolution) to a setup with reduced cloud base mass flux in Cycle 3 (at 4.4km). 490 While the peak of precipitation around 5°N was overestimated by a factor of 2 during individual winter months in the 2.8 and 491 4.4km Cycle 2 run (see Figure D2 in Appendix D), the 4.4km Cycle 3 run shows a much reduced bias, and the peak at 5°N is 492 thus perfectly aligned with the GPM IMERG observations during September-December (Figure 8d). The 9 km baseline run 493 did not change significantly from Cycle 2 to Cycle 3 but it also shows some small improvements with regards to the 494 overestimation of the precipitation peak at 5°N.

495 Comparing the FESOM and NEMO runs, it is striking that all FESOM runs overestimate precipitation in the Southern 496 Hemisphere tropics around 10°S, hinting at a biased large-scale circulation, while NEMO runs show some good agreement 497 with observations. The different seasons (Figure 8b-d) show an overestimation of precipitation at 10°S only during January-498 April in the NEMO runs, while FESOM runs overestimate precipitation at 10°S during most of the year. Additionally, the 499 FESOM runs also slightly underestimate precipitation at the equator (particularly during January-April), hinting at a double 500 ITCZ bias, which is a common issue in coupled simulations at km-scale resolutions during boreal winter, e.g. in ICON 501 (Hohenegger et al., 2023). Compared to ICON and other global coupled km-scale models that contributed to the DYAMOND 502 model intercomparison project (Stevens et al., 2019), the zonal mean precipitation biases in IFS nextGEMS Cycle 3 are of 503 similar nature and in part smaller than in the other models.



- Figure 8: Zonal-mean precipitation in nextGEMS Cycle 2 and 3, averaged over a) the year 2020 and for different 4months periods in 2020, b) January-April, c) May-August and d) September-December. Observations are from GPM IMERG for the year 2020 and for the 2010-2020 climatological period, indicating the climatological range of individual years via the grey shading.
- 509



# 510 3.1.5 Stratospheric Quasi-Biennial Oscillation

511

Figure 9: Time evolution of monthly-mean zonal winds, averaged over the equatorial band 10S-10N, for a) the 9 km Cycle 3 simulation with IFS-NEMO, b) the 4.4 km Cycle 3 simulation with IFS-FESOM, and c) the ERA5 reanalysis for reference.

515 The Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO) in the equatorial stratospheric winds is driven by momentum deposited by breaking 516 small-scale convectively generated gravity waves (GWs) and large-scale Kelvin and Rossby-gravity waves (e.g., Baldwin et 517 al., 2001). The OBO can have a downward influence on the troposphere (e.g., Scaife et al., 2022) and it is thus important to 518 simulate it well in seasonal and decadal prediction models. As km-scale models explicitly resolve GWs to a large extent, they 519 have a potential to better simulate the QBO than lower resolution models (e.g., CMIP), which fully rely on GW 520 parametrizations. However, GW parametrizations are often tuned to get a good QBO in lower resolution models (Garfinkel et 521 al., 2022; Stockdale et al., 2022) and at higher resolution the resolved GW forcing can be overestimated with less freedom for 522 tuning. For example, whether parametrized deep convection is switched on or off has a large impact on resolved GWs, with 523 fully resolved convection generating more than two times stronger GW forcing (Stephan et al., 2019; Polichtchouk et al., 2021) 524 and a QBO period that is - as a result - too fast.

We find that the QBO is reasonably well simulated in the nextGEMS Cycle 3 simulations at 9 km and even at km-scale (4.4 km) resolution (Fig. 9). The periodicity is reasonable, peaking at around 20 months at 30hPa for both simulations (calculated

527 by performing FFT on the monthly timeseries). This can be probably further improved by tuning the strength of parametrized

528 non-orographic GW drag, which is still on with reduced magnitude in both 9km and 4.4km simulations, reduced to 70% and

529 35%, respectively, compared to that at 28 km resolution.

530 In the lower stratosphere below 40hPa, the amplitude of the QBO, however, is underestimated (compare panels a-b) to panel 531 c) in Fig. 9), especially for the eastward phase. This deficiency is also observed in many lower-resolution models (Bushell et 532 al., 2022). We hypothesise that the overall reasonable QBO simulation at km-scale resolution might partly be due to the 533 parametrization for deep convection being still "slightly on" in the Cycle 3 simulations with IFS, as detailed in the previous 534 section.

# 535 **3.2 Ocean, Sea ice, and Waves**

# 536 **3.2.1 Key issues and model developments**

From a model development point of view, one of the main purposes of the nextGEMS Cycle 3 simulations was to set up and test a fully-coupled global model that runs over multiple years and still does not show drift in global mean surface temperature and other main climate characteristics, prior to performing the final multi-decadal integrations foreseen in nextGEMS. To improve the general ocean state, an eddy-resolving ocean grid had been introduced already from Cycle 2 onwards. To reduce the drift further (Figure 5), in particular over the Southern Ocean where the model in Cycle 2 had still shown a strong warming over the ocean with time compared to the ERA5 range for 2020-2021, the FESOM ocean component has been updated to the latest release version 2.5 and coupling between the ocean and atmosphere has been improved.

## 544 Warm biases over the ocean

545 The warming ocean in Cycle 2 leads to an overall warming of the atmosphere as well. The 4.4km IFS-FESOM simulations in 546 Cycle 2 with 5km resolution in the ocean had shown a warming over the Southern Ocean in winter and year-round in the 547 tropics. For Cycle 3, the latter has been significantly improved by tuning the TOA balance and by using partially active 548 parametrized convection, while the former has been solved by a combination of different factors, namely (i) improvements in 549 the consistency of the heat flux treatment between the atmosphere and ocean/sea ice component, (ii) heat is taken from the 550 ocean in order to melt snow falling into the ocean, which had been overlooked before, (iii) the activation of a climatological 551 runoff/meltwater flux around Antarctica (COREv2, Large and Yeager 2009), and (iv) a general update from FESOM2.1 to 552 FESOM2.5 (Rackow et al. 2023c, https://github.com/FESOM/fesom2/releases/tag/2.5/). The resulting more realistic 553 temperature evolution in Cycle 3 is discussed in Section 3.2.2.

# 554 Ocean currents, eddy variability, and mixed-layer

The eddy-permitting ocean grid in Cycle 1 simulations with IFS-FESOM can impact not just the temperature evolution but also the simulated eddy variability, mean currents, and details of the simulated mixed layer, which all evolve on sub-5-year timescales and are thus relevant to the longer-term performance of a coupled model. An analysis of the resulting simulated

ocean state, including mesoscale eddy statistics and mixed layer, with the final ocean eddy-resolving IFS-FESOM simulations

in Cycle 3 is presented in Section 3.2.3.

# 560 Sea ice performance

In Cycle 1 and 2, the sea ice representation in IFS-FESOM showed prominent deviations from the observed seasonal cycle in the Ocean and Sea Ice Satellite Application Facility (OSI-SAF) dataset. This could be addressed mainly by correcting the shortwave flux over ice with the release of FESOM version 2.5. The resulting sea ice performance in Cycle 3 is discussed in Section 3.2.4.

# 565 3.2.2 Improved Southern Ocean temperature evolution

As already mentioned in section 3.1.3, IFS-FESOM simulations in Cycle 2 (TCo2559 and NG5 grid in the ocean) had shown a warming over the Southern Ocean in winter and year-round in the tropics. For Cycle 3, the improvement in IFS-FESOM 4.4km is particularly evident when comparing to the operational 9km IFS setup with NEMO V3.4. While the Southern Ocean shows a similar magnitude of anomalies in IFS-FESOM TCo2559-NG5 in year 5 compared to the first year, there appears to be an increase of anomalies over time in IFS-NEMO (Figure 10). This has been confirmed in a second set of IFS-FESOM simulations at TCo399 resolution (28km), and on the tORCA025 ocean grid (not shown).

572

573



Figure 10: Anomaly of annual-mean 2m temperature in year 5 of the nextGEMS Cycle 3 simulations, initialised on 20
 January 2020, compared to the mean of ERA5 over 2020-2021. (left) IFS-FESOM 4.4km/NG5, and (right) IFS-NEMO
 9km/ORCA025.

# 577 3.2.3 Simulated ocean state in terms of currents, eddy variability, and mixed layer

578 Daily sea surface height (SSH) data is taken from the IFS-FESOM outputs and compared with the AVISO multi satellite 579 altimeter data of daily gridded absolute dynamic topography, representing the observed SSH (Pujol et al. 2016). While ocean 580 eddy variability in the 4.4km IFS-FESOM Cycle 3 simulation and AVISO can be diagnosed from standard deviation of sea 581 surface height, the structure of (geostrophic) mean currents is diagnosed here from the time-mean SSH.



583 SSH standard deviation in m 584 **Figure 11: Mean Ocean currents and eddy variability expressed as the time-mean of a) the daily sea surface height** 585 (SSH) and b) as the standard deviation of daily SSH data. The left column shows data from the Cycle 3 simulation of IFS-586 FESOM model while the right column shows AVISO multi-satellite altimeter data. In AVISO, the global mean SSH is removed 587 from each grid-point. AVISO data consists of the time period 2017-2021 while 2020-2024 is used for IFS-FESOM.

Both the time-mean and variability of SSH show an excellent agreement between the simulation and observations from AVISO (Fig. 11). The position of the main gyres and the gradient of SSH is well-reproduced, indicating a good performance in terms of position and strength of the main ocean currents. Ocean eddy variability is very similar as well with the eddy-resolving NG5 grid that has been introduced for IFS nextGEMS simulations (see Fig. B1 in Appendix B). However, while there are positive indications, the North Atlantic Current as northward extension of the Gulf Stream still underestimates SSH variability over the North-West corner. Moreover, Agulhas rings forming at the tip of South Africa seem to follow a too narrow, static path compared to observations.

597 Mixed-layer depth (MLD) is calculated using a density threshold criterion of 0.03 kg/m<sup>3</sup> from the 10 m depth value. The in-598 situ MLD climatology dataset produced by de Bover Montégut (2023) is based on about 7.3 million casts/profiles of 599 temperature and salinity measurements made at sea between January 1970 and December 2021. While the qualitative 600 agreement between the 4.4km IFS-FESOM Cycle 3 simulation and observations is excellent (Fig. 12), IFS-FESOM 601 underestimates MLD across most of the ocean areas, with values not exceeding 0-50 meters. Largest biases are in the North 602 Atlantic sector, which aligns with MLD bias results from stand-alone FESOM simulations (Treguier et al. 2023) for a 10-50 603 km ocean grid. Specifically, FESOM overestimates (deepens) MLD in the Labrador Sea, over the Reykjanes Ridge, and in the 604 Norwegian Sea, while underestimating MLD in the Irminger Sea and the Greenland Sea.

605 Overall, the distribution of MLD in IFS-FESOM is comparable to the stand-alone lower-resolution FESOM ocean simulations. 606 In coupled models, we could typically expect larger biases than presented here, although the relatively short 5-year period of 607 the Cycle 3 simulation may not be sufficient to fully develop the MLD biases. In particular, IFS-FESOM does not show open– 608 ocean convection in the Southern Ocean's Weddell Sea, which is a common bias in CMIP models.

609



610

Figure 12: Mixed-layer depth (MLD) in simulations and observations. MLD was averaged over the entire time period in
 (left) the 4.4km IFS-FESOM Cycle 3 simulation. (centre) MLD in-situ climatology from de Boyer Montégut (2023), and

613 (right) difference plot (model - climatology).

# 614 **3.2.4 Integrated sea ice performance metrics**

615 The performance of the nextGEMS Cycle 3 simulations is analysed in terms of the sea ice extent and sea ice edge position 616 (Fig. 13). The Integrated Ice Edge Error (IIEE), the Absolute Extent Error (AEE), and the Sea Ice Extent (SIE) metrics are 617 used for comparing the model simulations and daily 2020 remote-sensing sea ice concentration observations from the Ocean 618 and Sea Ice Satellite Application Facility (OSI SAF). Specifically, the recently released Global Sea Ice Concentration climate 619 data record (SMMR/SSMIS), release 3 (OSI-450-a; OSI SAF 2022) is considered in our analysis. The IIEE is a 620 positively defined metric introduced by Goessling et al. (2016), and it is commonly used for evaluating the correctness of the 621 sea ice edge position in Arctic and Antarctic sea ice predictions (Zampieri et al. 2018, Zampieri et al. 2019). We compute the 622 IIEE by summing the areas where the model overestimates and underestimates the observed sea ice edge, here defined by the 623 15% sea ice concentration contour. The SIE is the hemispherically integrated area where the sea ice concentration is larger

- 624 than 15%. Finally, the AEE represents the absolute difference in the hemispheric SIE of models and observations, therefore
- not accounting for errors arising from a different distribution of the ice edge in the two sets.
- 626



627

Figure 13: (a) Arctic daily Integrated Ice Edge Error (IIEE; solid lines) and Absolute Extent Error (AEE; dashed lines) for three different Cycle 3 simulations. (b) is the same as (a), but for Antarctic sea ice. The IIEE and AEE metrics are computed by comparing the three model runs against remote-sensing sea ice concentration observations from OSI-SAF. (c) and (d) show the Arctic and Antarctic sea ice extent for two different Cycle 3 simulations from 2020 until the end of 2024.

All model configurations show substantial errors in representing the initial state. In the Arctic, the error grows in the first simulation days in response to the active coupling between the sea ice components and the IFS atmospheric model (Fig. 13a). In the Antarctic, an initial error growth takes place for the IFS-NEMO model configuration, while modest error mitigation is seen for the two IFS-FESOM configurations (Fig. 13b). The latter feature suggests that a coupled setup could be better suited to represent the Antarctic sea ice processes in the FESOM models, at least for this specific instance. Both in the Arctic and Antarctic, the initial error of the IFS-NEMO configuration is substantially lower than that of the IFS-FESOM configurations. 639 This behaviour is expected since NEMO performs active data assimilation, while the sea ice in FESOM is only constrained by 640 the ERA5 atmospheric forcing (Hersbach et al. 2020) imposed during the ocean-sea ice model spinup. In the Antarctic, the 641 initial error differences diminish quickly and, after a couple of months, the errors of IFS-NEMO and IFS-FESOM are similar. 642 In the Arctic, IFS-NEMO exhibits residual prediction skill over IFS-FESOM in late spring, four to six months after the 643 initialization, possibly due to a more accurate description of the Arctic Ocean heat content influenced by the use of proper 644 ocean data assimilation techniques. After the initialization, the pan-hemispheric sea ice model performance is similar for the 645 three configurations, and attributing the error differences to the use of different model resolution or complexity is not obvious, 646 confirming previous findings (e.g., Streffing et al. 2022; Selivanova et al., 2023). Overall, the model errors for the first year of 647 simulations are in line with state-of-the-art seasonal prediction systems (Johnson et al. 2019; Mu et al. 2020; Mu et al. 2022), 648 showing similar features in terms of seasonal error growth.

649

650 When considering longer timescales (5-year simulations), model drifts are visible for the IFS-NEMO configuration and, to a 651 lesser extent, for the IFS-FESOM setup. In particular, the NEMO setup appears to progressively lose the winter sea ice cover 652 in the Southern Ocean (Fig. 13d). This behaviour is not compatible with the observed interannual variability of the Antarctic 653 sea ice and it is likely due to the near-surface temperature warming, which is not affecting the IFS-FESOM setup. Our 654 hypothesis is that the initialisation strategy for FESOM and NEMO accounts for part of the discrepancies in the multi-year 655 drift between IFS-NEMO and IFS-FESOM. We found that active data assimilation improved the model performance for the 656 initial months, while an uncoupled ocean spinup might be preferable for minimizing the drift towards the ocean model's 657 equilibrium state during the 5-year coupled simulation. In the Arctic, the sea ice extent tends to increase progressively in both the FESOM and NEMO setups, with an additional dampening of the seasonal cycle observed for NEMO (Fig. 13c). Different 658 659 multi-year drift regimes between NEMO and FESOM could be also attributed to diverse complexity of the underlying sea ice 660 models. The more sophisticated physical parametrizations of the NEMO V3.4 configuration could respond more to the active 661 coupling with IFS compared to the FESOM setups.

662

#### 663 **3.2.5 Wind and waves**

As written above in Section 2, in the IFS there is an active two-way coupling between the atmosphere and ocean waves. Surface wind stress generates ocean surface waves and in turn those waves modulate the wind stress. The increase in resolution from 4.4km relative to the 9km for the IFS-FESOM simulations results in significant increases in wind speed in the storm tracks (~50S and ~45N; Fig. 14a), most likely due to the increased ability to resolve the intense winds in the extratropical cyclones. This increased resolution looks to be particularly important for the Southern Ocean, as the 4.4km simulation is the only one of the three simulations that can achieve winds of realistic intensity in this area. We also note a significant improvement in the trade winds (~15N) for the 4.4km IFS-FESOM simulation.



Figure 14: Zonal-means of 10-metre wind speed '10ff' over ocean (a) and significant wave height (b) in nextGEMS
Cycle 3. Observations in black are from Copernicus Marine Service for wind speed ('ascat'; scatterometer combined with
ERA5), and the ESA-CCI (v3) cross-calibrated altimeter record for wave height ('altimeter').

677 The waves in the storm tracks are also significantly larger (Fig. 14b). The increased wind is likely partly responsible for this 678 increase. The second factor likely playing a role here is the change in fetch, i.e. the area of ocean over which the wind is 679 contributing to wave growth. A notable decrease in mean sea ice concentration (more than ten percent) takes place in the 4.4km 680 simulation (Fig. E1a), thereby freeing up the ocean surface here for wave growth. These changes can be directly seen in the 681 wave field in the according areas (Fig. E1b). These waves then continue to grow with the wind as they propagate into the 682 Southern Ocean, thereby contributing to the larger waves seen in this region. For the NH storm track, this points to an 683 improvement with respect to altimeter observations, but for the Southern Ocean the 4.4km simulation is now somewhat 684 overestimating the waves.

## 685 **3.3 Land**

686 Performing simulations at the km-scale inherently brings a richer picture in the atmosphere and ocean in terms of small-scale 687 features, as more scales become explicitly resolved. To gain the full benefit of the resolution over land, it is important that the 688 surface information is also at an equivalent or finer resolution. Therefore, work at ECMWF in recent years has been directed 689 to provide the IFS surface model ECLand (Bousetta et al., 2021) with surface global ancillary information of a resolution down 690 to 1 km or finer, and to include additional processes that become relevant at those scales. These developments had always the 691 improvement of the operational IFS as a goal and focused, therefore, on timescales from days to a few months. nextGEMS 692 simulations present a timely opportunity to test these changes in parallel before they become operational, and to assess their 693 impact when fully coupled on multi-annual timescales. Most of the developments in this section are described in more detail 694 by Bousetta et al. (2021). Here in this section, nextGEMS Cycle 2 and Cycle 3 will refer to IFS CY48r1 (ECMWF, 2023b) 695 and CY49r1 (scheduled for 2024), respectively.

#### 696 3.3.1 Km-scale surface information

An improved land-water mask was included for nextGEMS Cycle 2. The original source belonging to the Joint Research
 Centre (JRC) had a nominal resolution of 30m. The mask was further improved by including glacier data and new land-water
 and lake fraction masks. In parallel, lake depth data was improved (Bousetta et al., 2021).

700 Further changes to the land-water mask were tested in nextGEMS Cycle 3. The Land Use/Land Cover maps (LU/LC) used 701 before nextGEMS Cycle 3 were based on those from GLCCv1.2 data (Loveland et al., 2000), which is based on observations 702 from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) covering the period 1992-1993. They had a nominal 703 resolution of about 1km. In nextGEMS Cycle 3, we used new maps, based on ESA-CCI, which exploit the high resolution of 704 recent remote sensing products down to 300m and will pave the way to enable observation-based time-varying LU/LC maps 705 in the future. These maps lead to a more realistic overall increase of low vegetation cover compared to the GLCCv1.2-based 706 maps, at the expense of the high vegetation cover. The new conversion from ESA-CCI to the Biosphere-Atmosphere Transfer 707 Scheme (BATS) vegetation types used by ECLand also reduces the presence of ambiguous vegetation types like 'interrupted forest' or 'mixed forest'. In addition, work has been done on upgrading the Leaf Area Index (LAI) seasonality and its disaggregation into low and high-vegetation LAI. This improves, among others, the previously found overestimation of total

710 LAI during March-April-May (MAM) and September-October-November (SON). This revised description of the vegetation

will also be used in the next operational IFS cycle (49R1), and an initial implementation and evaluation is presented in Nogueira
 et al (2021).

The thermodynamic effects of urban environments emerge at the surface as models refine resolution down to the km-scale and the rural-urban contrast sharpens. To determine where to activate the urban processes at the surface, a global map of urban land cover is used in our nextGEMS Cycle 3 simulations. This map, based on information provided by ECOCLIMAP-SG at an initial 300m horizontal resolution (McNorton et al., 2023; Faroux et al., 2013), will also be used in the next operational IFS cycle 49R1.

# 718 **3.3.2 Km-scale surface processes**

The presence of the fine spatial information described above opens the path to simulate relevant km-scale processes and interactions. In particular, the representation of snow, 2-metre temperature, and urban areas was improved as explained in the following.

722 A newly developed multi-layer snow scheme was implemented in IFS CY48r1 and was already used in the nextGEMS Cycle 723 2 (Arduini et al. 2019), substituting the existing snow bulk-layer scheme. The new scheme dynamically varies the number of 724 snow model layers depending on the snow depth and provides snow temperature, density, liquid water content and albedo as 725 prognostic variables. In addition, snow and frozen soil parameters were modified for improved river discharge (Zsoter et al., 726 2022) and permafrost extent (Cao et al. 2022). An additional upgrade in nextGEMS Cycle 3 was a package of changes to 727 ECLand which will be included in the next operational IFS cycle (49R1). This contains an improved postprocessing of 2-metre 728 temperature reducing the warm bias present occasionally under very stable conditions. It also contains a significant upgrade 729 to the representation of the near-surface impact of urban areas. For this purpose, the urban scheme developed in ECLand was 730 activated. This scheme considers the urban environment as an interface connecting the sub-surface soil and the atmosphere 731 above (McNorton 2021, McNorton 2023). The urban tile comprises both a canyon and roof fraction. In terms of energy and 732 moisture storage, the uppermost soil layer is not specific to the tile but represents a grid-cell average. This results in a weighted 733 average that accounts for both urban and non-urban environments. The albedo and emissivity values used in radiation exchange 734 computations (McNorton 2021, McNorton 2023) are determined based on an assumption of an "infinite canyon," taking into 735 account "shadowing." The roughness length for momentum and heat follows the model proposed by Macdonald et al. (1998) 736 and varies according to urban morphology. Simplified assumptions regarding snow clearing and run-off are incorporated based 737 on literature estimates (e.g., Paul & Meyer, 2001). Illustrative examples of urban cover characteristics and the impact of 738 accounting for urbanised areas in Cycle 3 vs Cycle 2 simulations are highlighted in Section 4.3.

## 739 4 Selected examples of significant advances in km-scale nextGEMS simulations

In this section, we will highlight three examples of notable advances in the Cycle 3 4.4km nextGEMS simulations that emerge due to the km-scale character of our simulations. Besides successes in the representation of the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO), an important variability pattern that is linked to the monsoons, we also provide examples of small-scale air-sea ice interactions in the Arctic, and touch on atmospheric impacts due to the new addition of km-scale cities in the IFS. We expect more in-depth process studies as part of ongoing analyses within the nextGEMS community and as part of dedicated future work.

## 746 4.1 MJO propagation and spectral characteristics of tropical convection

747 The MJO is a dominant intraseasonal variability mode in the tropics, characterised by slow eastward propagation of large-748 scale convective envelopes over the Indo-Pacific warm pool (Madden and Julian, 1972). The MJO convection and circulations have profound impacts on weather and climate variability globally (Zhang, 2013), so that it is important to reproduce the MJO 749 750 in global circulation models (GCMs) targeting seasonal-to-decadal simulations. Having the MJO well represented in models 751 is indicative of a better tropical or global circulation. Because the reproducibility of the MJO is highly sensitive to the treatment 752 of cumulus convection (e.g., Hannah and Maloney, 2011), many conventional GCMs that adopt cumulus parametrizations, 753 which have uncertainties in the estimation of cumulus mass fluxes and moistening and heating rates, still struggle with 754 simulating important MJO characteristics such as amplitudes, propagation speeds, and occurrence frequencies appropriately 755 (e.g., Ling et al., 2019; Ahn et al., 2020 Chen et al., 2021). This issue might be improved by km-scale simulations as a result 756 of more accurate representation of moist processes, as represented by the first success of an MJO hindcast simulation with 757 NICAM (Miura et al. 2007), but also other physical processes (besides convection) play a role for skilful MJO simulations 758 (Yano and Wedi, 2021).

759

760 Figure 15 illustrates the MJO propagation characteristics in the Cycle 3 4.4km IFS-FESOM simulation in comparison with the 761 observations and the 9km IFS-NEMO simulation, using the MJO event-based detection method (Suematsu and Miura, 2018; 762 Takasuka and Satoh, 2020). Note that the observational reference is made by the interpolated daily OLR from the NOAA 763 polar-orbiting satellite (Liebmann and Smith, 1996) and ERA-Interim reanalysis (Dee et al. 2011) during the period of 1982-764 2018. While the 9km simulation already does a very good job and both the 9km and 4.4km simulations can reproduce the 765 overall eastward propagation of MJO convection coupled with zonal winds (Figures 15b and 15c), the 4.4km simulation allows 766 to improve even further in terms of amplitudes and propagation speeds. Specifically, MJO convective envelopes in the 4.4km 767 simulation are continuously organised when they propagate into the Maritime Continent (see OLR anomalies in  $100^{\circ}-120^{\circ}$ E). 768 and their propagation speeds become slower than in the 9km simulation and thus closer to those in the observation. We 769 hypothesize that km-scale resolutions and partially resolved convection can better represent convective systems around 770 complex land-sea distributions and topography. Nevertheless, the 4.4km simulation still retains several biases compared to the 771 observed MJOs such as much faster propagation and weaker convection amplitudes to the east of 120°E (i.e., the eastern part



774 Figure 15: Propagation characteristics of MJO convection and circulations composited from (a) observations and (b) 775 IFS 9km simulation with NEMO and (c) IFS 4.4km simulation with FESOM. Time-longitude diagrams of lagged-776 composite intraseasonal OLR (shading) and 850-hPa westerly wind anomalies (contours) averaged over 10°N-10°S. Contour 777 interval is 0.5 m/s, with zero contours omitted. Stippling in (b) and (c) denotes statistical significance of OLR anomalies at the 778 90% level (All shading in (a) satisfies this significance). The number of detected MJO cases is denoted at the top of the figures 779 together with analysis periods. Green lines indicate the longitudinal range over the Maritime Continent, and black, blue, and 780 red lines indicate the centre of MJO convective envelopes for the observations, the 9 km simulation, and for the 4.4 km 781 simulation, respectively.

782

783 Notwithstanding the intricacies of tropical mesoscale circulations (Stephan et al. 2021), we further compare with linear Fourier 784 analysis the appearance of convectively coupled equatorial wave activities between the observation and 9km and 4.4km 785 simulations (Figure 16), following the methodology of Takayabu (1994) and Wheeler and Kiladis (1999). Several previous 786 studies also evaluated the representation of equatorial waves in IFS simulations (Dias et al., 2018; Bengtsson et al., 2019). For 787 the equatorially symmetric components of tropical convection (Figures 16a-c), the IFS simulations at both resolutions can 788 simulate Kelvin waves separated from the MJO, whereas the amplitudes of equatorial Rossby waves and tropical depression-789 type disturbances (i.e., westward-propagating systems in several-day periods) are somewhat underestimated especially in the 790 4.4km simulation. Meanwhile, the representation of the equatorially antisymmetric wave modes are significantly improved in 791 the 4.4km simulation; both n = 0 eastward inertia-gravity waves and mixed Rossby-gravity waves can be reproduced with 792 amplitudes as large as in the observation.



793

Figure 16: Wavenumber-frequency power spectra of equatorially (a-c) symmetric and (d-f) antisymmetric components of tropical convection measured by OLR anomalies in (a, d) observations, (b, e) IFS 9km simulation with NEMO, and (c, f) IFS 4.4km simulation with FESOM. Power spectra are summed from 15°S to 15°N, and plotted as the ratio of raw to background power. Abbreviations of WIG, TD, ER, MRG, and EIG indicate westward inertia-gravity waves, tropical depressions, equatorial Rossby waves, mixed Rossby-gravity waves, and eastward inertia-gravity waves, respectively. Dispersion curves for corresponding equatorial waves are plotted for equivalent depths h = 12, 25, and 50 m. *n* denotes the number of meridional modes.

## 801 **4.2 Sea ice imprint on the atmosphere**

Leads are narrow open areas in the sea ice cover that typically form after deformation events, such as caused by a persisting Arctic storm over the ice cover. Individual leads can form typical 'linear' channels of several kilometres length, while the larger connected lead systems can extend up to hundreds of kilometres (Overland et al. 1995) or even cross the entire Arctic. They are detectable in satellite synthetic-aperture radar images (von Albedyll et al. 2023). Especially in winter, open leads can significantly impact the stability of the atmospheric column and other atmospheric parameters above them. A change in sea

807 ice cover of 1% can cause near-surface temperature responses around 3.5 K (Lüpkes et al., 2008).



808

Figure 17: Imprint of simulated Arctic sea ice leads on 2m-temperature in the Laptev Sea and East Siberian Sea. (left)
Sea ice concentration field, (right) 2m-temperature field. The panels depict 13 February 2020, 08:00, in the IFS-FESOM Cycle
3 simulation with TCo2559 (4.4 km), coupled to the NG5 ocean (~4-5 km resolution in this area). The black and white contour
lines represent the border between land and ocean areas.

813 At the km-scale resolution employed here, there is first evidence of resolved linear kinematic features in the sea ice cover at a 814 grid-spacing of ~4-5km in our coupled simulations (ECMWF News Item, 2022). With resolutions of 4.4km and 2.8km, the 815 atmosphere can thus 'see' these narrow features in the sea ice cover and simulate a response explicitly. Similar to the effect 816 that meso-scale ocean eddies can have on the atmosphere above them (Frenger et al. 2013), we find that the leads in sea ice 817 can strongly modulate the atmospheric state above them in our simulations. To give an example from the Arctic winter, north 818 of Siberia in the Laptev and East Siberian Sea, due to the relatively warm ocean compared to the atmosphere, 2m-temperature 819 anomalies over sea ice leads can often reach 10–20K against the surrounding closed sea ice cover background (Fig. 17). While 820 the realism with respect to the size, number, spatial distribution, and orientation of the simulated leads still needs to be 821 quantified (Hutter et al. 2022), the direct simulation of sea ice lead effects within a coupled km-scale climate model is entirely 822 novel and opens up new areas of research. Potential climate impacts of this air-ice-ocean interaction on the atmospheric column. such as Arctic clouds (Saavedra Garfias et al., 2023), will be one focus of our future work. 823

#### 824 4.3 Cities and urban heat island effects

825 Between Cycles 2 and 3, significant improvements have been achieved in representing urban heat island effects around the 826 globe at the km-scale (Fig. 18). To give an example, the difference in land surface temperature (LST) between the city of 827 Warsaw and its more rural surroundings during the 5-year clear-sky hours (in the JJA season) depicts a clear urban heat island 828 effect (Fig. 18, a), with temperature anomalies compared to the rural areas in exceedance of typically 1K over any given day, 829 and exceeding 2K around noon. When comparing with observations from the Satellite Application Facility on Land Surface 830 Analysis (LSA SAF) LST product (Trigo et al. 2008), the results in Cycle 3 show a closer fit to the satellite product than was 831 possible in Cycle 2; both the average temperature difference over the day, as well as its temporal variability, is better captured 832 (Fig. 18, a).



Figure 18: Diurnal cycle of land surface temperature (LST) difference between the city of Warsaw and its rural surroundings, for a) the summer months (JJA) during clear-sky conditions (5-year mean). The IFS 4.4km simulations are given with red lines (Cycle 2 dashed, Cycle 3 solid), observations from LSA SAF are given in black. The bottom panels show JJA-mean clear-sky LST anomaly maps at 13:00 local time, with respect to the surrounding rural LST average, for b)

observations from LSA SAF, for c) IFS 4.4km Cycle 3 with urban scheme, and for d) IFS 4.4km in Cycle 2 without urban
 scheme and using older land use/land cover maps.

840

841 Although the sub-diurnal variability is qualitatively well represented, the Cycle 3 modelled urban-rural contrast is 842 systematically around 0.5 K smaller than in observations. We hypothesise that missing anthropogenic heating as well as an 843 underestimation of the urban heat storage due to too low urban cover or building height may explain some of the discrepancies. 844 In terms of spatial variability of LST JJA-mean clear-sky anomalies, our Cycle 3 4.4km IFS simulation (year 2020) matches km-scale details of the LSA SAF dataset (2018-2022) well (compare Fig. 18, b & c), while Cycle 2 4.4km IFS cannot provide 845 846 this local detail in the absence of updated land use/land cover maps plus urban scheme (Fig. 18, d). Note also that the changes 847 in high and low vegetation cover and vegetation types in Cycle 3 impact positively on the areas found to be too warm in Cycle 848 2 in the South and East of Warsaw. These results illustrate clearly that high-resolution surface information as well as an urban 849 scheme will be necessary in the context of the increasing need for local climate information on a city scale, and for local 850 projections of direct socio-economic relevance.

# 851 **5 Summary and Conclusions**

852 In this paper, storm- and eddy-resolving simulations performed with the nextGEMS configurations of the ECMWF Integrated 853 Forecasting System have been described and analysed. While we have also presented eddy-permitting simulations with IFS-854 NEMO as the ECMWF operational baseline configuration, we have focused mostly on IFS-FESOM runs that feature not only 855 the highest atmospheric resolution (4.4km and also 2.8km) but also an eddy-resolving ocean at 5km. The large-scale 856 performance in terms of the mean state has been presented, such as top-of-the atmosphere radiation balance and surface 857 temperature biases, but also important variability patterns (e.g. MJO and OBO) that can be analysed in 5-year long simulations. 858 The illustrated set of emerging advances in the km-scale nextGEMS simulations are first indications of the added value of km-859 scale modelling and explicit simulation of smaller scales. We expect to be able to show more of these examples once longer 860 simulations will be available from the multi-decadal production simulations planned in nextGEMS for 2024/2025. In this study 861 it is the first time that the model configuration and quality of the simulations with IFS-FESOM have been described; and it 862 thus represents a significant milestone both in terms of documenting this novel model capability and the scientific readiness 863 of the coupled modelling system.

864

A number of model advances delivered in the nextGEMS development cycles improved the realism of the km-scale simulations. For example, activating mass fixers for water vapour, cloud liquid, ice, rain, and snow made global water nonconservation negligible and reduced energy non-conservation to an amount that is acceptable for long climate simulations. Importantly, global water conservation turns out to be beneficial not only for long climate integrations, but also for the quality of ECMWF's medium-range weather forecasts. Work for ECMWF's recent operational IFS upgrade in June 2023 (48r1) showed that the model changes performed to fix the water and energy imbalances reduce the overestimation of mean precipitation at different timescales and improve the skill scores for the recent operational resolution upgrade for mediumrange ensemble weather forecasts (ECMWF Newsletter 172, 2022). For example, in 9km forecasts where we ensured global water conservation the mean absolute error of precipitation against rain gauge measurements is about 2–3% smaller. This is a great example of a model development from the nextGEMS multi-year simulations feeding into the improvement of the operational NWP system at ECMWF.

876

877 Variability patterns that could be studied with the 5-year nextGEMS simulations so far are the Madden-Julian Oscillation 878 (MJO) and the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO) in the equatorial stratospheric winds. The QBO is simulated with reasonable 879 periodicity, which is typically challenging for km-scale models without any active parametrization for deep convection. The 880 remaining deficiencies we explained are likely due to the overly active vertical diffusion parametrization in stable conditions, 881 which will be addressed in an upcoming version of the IFS. The MJO is similarly well represented in both the 9km and 4.4km 882 simulations. However, the MJO convective envelopes are continuously organised in the 4.4km simulation when they propagate 883 over the Maritime Continent, which is in better agreement with observations. We think that this is not just an effect of sampling 884 different numbers of MJO events in our simulations and in the observations (simulated 5-year periods at 9km and 4.4km 885 resolution versus long-term observational period) since the observed MJO for shorter periods of time (e.g., 2011-2015) shows 886 a similar result to the full observational record. The realistic representation of tropical variability and wave activity in the IFS 887 at 9km and 4.4km is the result of 15 years of sustained efforts in model developments, notably convection, cloud-radiation 888 interaction, and air-sea coupling (Bechtold et al. 2008, Dias et al 2018). The documented additional improvements in the 4.4km 889 simulation compared to 9km may result from reduced cloud base mass fluxes (i.e., more weight on explicit convection), but further detailed study of this subject is part of our future work. 890

891

With our km-scale simulations that resolve mesoscale ocean eddies over large parts of the globe, we can also investigate coupled effects between sea ice leads, open narrow channels in the sea ice cover, and the atmosphere above them for the first time. Leads form during deformation events and can span over distances from several to hundreds of kilometres. From limited observations and field campaigns it is known that sea ice leads can significantly impact the stability and temperature of the atmospheric column, especially in winter. We find that our model can resolve the linear features of the leads and represent explicitly the resulting heating of the atmosphere. This is a novel and promising approach that reveals new aspects of the airice-ocean interaction.

899

The nextGEMS model configurations are also starting points for the Climate Adaptation Digital Twin in DestinE, which aims to provide local climate information, for instance at the scale of cities, globally. The urban heat island effect, which is the phenomenon of higher temperatures in urban areas compared to rural areas, is an aspect of socio-economic importance that will need to be accurately represented by km-scale models in the future. In this study, we have shown that the implementation of an urban scheme in the IFS for nextGEMS Cycle 3 can significantly improve the simulation of land surface temperature (LST) over urban areas around the world, compared to previous model cycles that were missing specific urban tiles. The example of Warsaw illustrates the improvement in both temporal and spatial variability of land surface temperatures when compared to observations. We have also identified some limitations, such as nocturnal LST differences, which may be related to the lack of some anthropogenic heating in the model. Our first results here demonstrate the necessity and benefit of using an urban scheme in km-scale models for future efforts to provide reliable local climate information at the city scale.

910

911 While kilometre-scale model resolution is of benefit for the representation of the atmosphere, ocean, sea ice and land, it is also 912 of importance for our understanding of other components of the climate system that have not been covered in this study yet, 913 such as deep ocean circulation and ice sheet behaviour. For example, ocean heat transport at depth towards the Antarctic ice 914 sheet and ice-shelf cavities is localised in narrow canvons (Morrison et al. 2020). To resolve bathymetric features like this and 915 their potentially far-reaching impacts could be a strength of high-resolution models. Another example is the equilibration of 916 the Antarctic Circumpolar Current, which is a balance of the wind-driven circulation and the opposing eddy-induced 917 circulation cells. While transient ocean eddies can be parametrized to some degree, the effect of standing eddies (or meanders 918 of this current) are beyond what parametrizations can achieve (Bryan et al., 2014). First studies indicate that explicit simulation 919 of these effects with km-scale ocean models might be warranted to achieve higher confidence in projections of the Southern 920 Ocean and global sea level rise (van Westen and Dijkstra, 2021; Rackow et al. 2022).

921

922 We have demonstrated that kilometre-scale modelling, which will soon enable multi-decadal simulations, has become feasible 923 and offers advantages over lower-resolution models. At the scales used in this study, some modified subgrid parameterizations 924 (e.g. deep convection with reduced cloud base mass flux) are still active for best performance, even though the influence of 925 resolved-scale horizontal and vertical motions increases. The results presented here prove that our seamless model 926 development approach, where numerical weather prediction models are extended for km-scale multi-decadal climate 927 applications, is useful (Randall and Emanuel, 2024) and can benefit the original NWP application as well. As we have shown 928 by running those models for 5 years, the km-scale simulations improve the representation of atmospheric circulation and 929 extreme precipitation, but also enhance the coupling between the atmosphere, land, urban areas, ocean, and sea ice. We have 930 revealed novel interactions among these components for the first time that will be further explored in ongoing work. With 931 upcoming multi-decadal simulations from the nextGEMS and DestinE projects we will be able to generate even more statistics 932 on km-scale modelling soon, with an extended set of simulations from several models. These projects aim to provide accurate 933 and globally consistent information on local climate change - at the scales that matter for individual cities or local impact 934 modelling.

- 935
- 936
- 937

## 938 Appendix A - Conservation properties of the IFS advection scheme and mass fixer approach

939 The IFS uses a semi-Lagrangian (SL) advection scheme which is stable for long timesteps and essential for the efficiency of 940 the overall model. It is also multi-tracer efficient as many fields can be transported with a relatively small overhead: to advect 941 a field (e.g. temperature, wind components, tracers), the upstream departure locations of the model grid-points are computed 942 but these are the same for all fields. The only remaining task is then to find the value of each field by interpolation to the 943 departure location (for details, see Diamantakis and Váňa 2021). However, despite being accurate and efficient, the transport 944 scheme lacks local and global conservation. In the absence of sources/sinks, the global mass of a tracer should remain constant, 945 however, SL advection changes slightly its global mass. This change depends strongly on the spatial characteristics of the 946 tracer such as smoothness of the field and its geographic location, with larger conservation errors for tracers that have sharp 947 gradients and interact with the orography.

948

949 Conservation properties are important for water and energy budgets, especially for high resolutions. A practical solution that 950 restores the global mass conservation of water tracers without altering the efficient and accurate numerical formulation of the 951 IFS, is the mass fixer approach. However, simple mass fixers which change each tracer gridpoint value by the same proportion 952 may result in unwanted biases in some regions. Hence, a more "local" approach is applied in the IFS advection scheme, which 953 was originally developed and tested for atmospheric composition tracers yielding accurate results when compared against 954 observations (Diamantakis and Fleming 2014, Diamantakis and Agusti-Panareda 2017). This is a "weighted" approach as the 955 correction of the tracer field at each grid point depends on a weight factor which is proportional to a local error measure. The 956 correction restores global conservation, using local criteria and it also preserves positive definiteness and monotonicity of the 957 field.

- 958
- 959
- 960 961
- ~ -
- 962
- 963
- 964
- 965
- 966
- 967

# 968 Appendix B

The 5km nextGEMS ocean grid in this study (termed 'NG5') makes use of the multi-resolution mesh capabilities provided by the FESOM ocean-sea ice model (Figure B1). From nextGEMS Cycle 2 and following cycles, FESOM was run with this new eddy-resolving ocean grid with spacing of less than ~5km (at the poles) and around 13km in the tropics. This grid, specifically designed by the Alfred Wegener Institute (AWI) to better match the high atmospheric resolution of 4.4km in the IFS, allows to better resolve areas of particular interest at higher resolution, such as the Western boundary currents or the Southern Ocean. The mesh was created with the JIGSAW-GEO package (Engwirda, 2017).







7 Figure B1: Spatial ocean resolution in the nextGEMS 5km grid, NG5 [km].



979 Figure C1: Timeseries of mean 2-metre temperature in nextGEMS simulations for (a) global, (b) only over land, and

980 (c) only over ocean with respect to ERA5, for the years 2020-2024. The shaded area shows the ERA5 standard deviation

981 between 2012-2021.



Figure D1: Snapshots of precipitation in nextGEMS Cycle 2 and 3 simulations in the tropical Pacific at 5°N, compared to observations from GPM IMERG (panel a). The ITCZ often organises into a continuous and persistent line of deep convection over the Pacific at 5°N in Cycle 2 at 4.4km and 2.8km resolution (panels c and e), with strongly overestimated zonal mean precipitation along this latitude. In Cycle 3 this has been addressed via a reduced cloud-base mass flux with 4.4km resolution (panel b). The 9km Cycle 3 simulation uses active deep convection parametrization (Deep On, panel d).







Figure D2: Zonal-mean precipitation for the individual months in the first year of Cycle 2 (dashed) and Cycle 3 (solid)
 simulations. IFS-NEMO 9km simulations are in blue, while IFS-FESOM simulations are given in red (4.4km), orange
 (2.8km), and purple (9km).



Figure E1: Mean changes in (a) sea ice concentration and (b) significant wave height between the 4.4km and 9km IFS FESOM simulations in nextGEMS Cycle 3 (4.4km minus 9km). Red (blue) indicates an increase (decrease) for the 4.4km
 simulation.

## 1000 Code Availability

1001 The FESOM2.5 model is a free software and available from Github (https://github.com/FESOM/fesom2). The latest version 2.5 including 1002 all developments used in nextGEMS Cycle 3 is archived in a Zenodo repository, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10225420 (Rackow et al. 1003 2023c). The ocean coupling interface to the Integrated Forecasting System (IFS) has been extracted for IFS-FESOM and is publicly available 1004 as part of the FESOM2.5 code above as well (folder ifs interface). MultIO, MIR, ECCODES and FDB are all free software and available at 1005 the ECMWF Github space, https://github.com/ecmwf. The IFS source code is available subject to a licence agreement with ECMWF. 1006 ECMWF member-state weather services and approved partners will be granted access. The IFS code without modules for data assimilation 1007 is also available for educational and academic purposes via an OpenIFS licence (see http://www.ecmwf.int/en/research/projects/openifs). 1008 For easier public access and review, the IFS code modifications from this study and developments detailed in section 3.1.1 for nextGEMS 1009 have also been separately archived in a Zenodo repository, https://doi.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.10223576 (Rackow et al. 2023b). Scripts and 1010 data to reproduce the figures and analysis of this paper can be found at https://zenodo.org/records/13987877. Grib data in FDB were made 1011 available to hackathon participants using gribscan (Kölling, Kluft, and Rackow, 2024).

#### 1012 Data Availability

1013 Data for our simulations are openly accessible and can be obtained either from the web (see DOIs below), from ECMWF's MARS archive, 1014 or directly from DKRZ's supercomputer Levante after registration (https://luv.dkrz.de/register/). The Cycle 2 data for 20 January 2020 to 31 1015 December 2020 of TCo2559-NG5 with deep convection parametrization disabled can be found at https://dx.doi.org/10.21957/1n36-gg55. 1016 The Cycle 2 data for TCo1279-ORCA025 (20 Jan 2020 to 31 December 2021) with deep convection parametrization active can be found at 1017 https://dx.doi.org/10.21957/x4vb-3b40. More Cycle 2 output, also for the nextGEMS sister model ICON, can be found at the World Data 1018 Center for Climate (WDCC), https://dx.doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/nextGEMS cyc2. Cycle 3 data for ICON and IFS can be found WDCC 1019 under https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/nextGEMS cvc3 (Koldunov et al. 2023). Namelist files to reproduce the settings of the ocean, 1020 atmosphere, land, and wave model in the Cycle 3 simulations are archived in a Zenodo repository (Rackow et al. 2023a), 1021 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10221652. LSA SAF LST data are available from the LSA SAF data service under the link 1022 https://datalsasaf.lsasvcs.ipma.pt/PRODUCTS/MSG/MLST/. Observed SSH AVISO data taken from are 1023 http://marine.copernicus.eu/services-portfolio/access-to-products/ (last access 7 September 2024). The ocean mixed-layer climatology is 1024 from de Boyer Montégut Clément (2023), accessed from the SEANOE repository (https://doi.org/10.17882/91774).

## 1025 Author contributions

1026TR led the writing of the paper and prepared the initial manuscript with TB and XPB. TR, TB, XPB, and IH performed the simulations. TB,1027XPB, RF, MD and TR developed the model code changes. The refactoring of the FESOM model has been led by DSi, NK, JS, PS, and JH.1028Initial implementation of the IFS-FESOM single-executable coupling is joint work of KM and TR with support from CK. NK created the10295km nextGEMS FESOM grid NG5 in discussions with TR. IP performed the QBO analysis. TB has analysed the precipitation characteristics1030and performed the TOA tuning. XPB contributed the 5-year temperature timeseries. SM performed TOA budget analyses. DT performed1031the MJO analyses. JB and JK contributed the wave model analyses. The city and urban heat island analyses are by XPB and ED. RG1032performed the SSH analyses. AK performed the mixed-layer analysis. Sea ice performance indices are the work of LZ. TR performed the

1033 sea ice lead analysis. HFG provided ocean grid descriptions for coupling weight computations. In the paper, MD and CK discussed the mass

1034 fixer approach and RF discussed the physics parametrizations. DSá added the multIO section to the paper. TK, LK, and FZ helped with

1035 faster data access. PM developed necessary software tools, in particular MIR. All co-authors discussed and contributed to the final document.

# 1036 Competing Interests

1037 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# 1038 Acknowledgements

1039 This work used supercomputing resources of the German Climate Computing Centre (Deutsches Klimarechenzentrum, DKRZ) granted by 1040 its Scientific Steering Committee (WLA) under project ID 1235. We want to thank DKRZ staff for their continued support in terms of data 1041 handling, data hosting, and running of the presented Cycle 3 simulations, in particular Jan Frederik Engels, Hendryk Bockelmann, Fabian 1042 Wachsmann, Irina Fast, and Carsten Beyer. We want to thank colleagues at AWI for active discussions and their support towards the 1043 upcoming multi-decadal simulations, in particular Suvarchal Kumar Cheedela, Bimochan Niraula, and Sergey Danilov. We also would like 1044 to thank all colleagues at ECMWF who are not co-authors but also had a substantial impact on km-scale model development and modelling 1045 on climate timescales, e.g. Gabriele Arduini, Gianpaolo Balsamo, Magdalena Alonso Balmaseda, Margarita Choulga, Jasper Denissen, Joe 1046 McNorton, Simon Smart, James Hawkes, Philipp Geier, Charles Pelletier, Andreas Mueller, Michael Lange, Olivier Marsden, Sam Hatfield, 1047 Matthew Griffith, Shannon Mason, and Mark Fielding. We thank Philippe Lopez for providing the Meteosat 8 observations in Figure 6. We 1048 also want to thank the international nextGEMS hackathon community, including many Early Career Researchers, who analysed our 1049 simulations in detail and helped guide some of the model development efforts. This research has been supported by the European 1050 Commission Horizon 2020 Framework Programme nextGEMS (grant no. 101003470). This work was also supported by the European 1051 Union's Destination Earth Initiative and relates to tasks entrusted by the European Union to the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather 1052 Forecasts implementing part of this Initiative with funding by the European Union.

# 1053 **References**

- Ahn, M. S., Kim, D., Kang, D., Lee, J., Sperber, K. R., Gleckler, P. J., ... & Kim, H. (2020). MJO propagation across the
   Maritime Continent: Are CMIP6 models better than CMIP5 models?. Geophysical Research Letters, 47(11), e2020GL087250.
- Arduini, G., Balsamo, G., Dutra, E., Day, J. J., Sandu, I., Boussetta, S., & Haiden, T. (2019). Impact of a multi-layer snow
  scheme on near-surface weather forecasts. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 4687–4710.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001725
- 1060
- Baldwin, M. P., et al. (2001), The quasi-biennial oscillation, Rev. Geophys., 39(2), 179–229, doi:10.1029/1999RG000073.

1063	Bauer, P., Quintino, T., Wedi, N., Bonanni, A., Chrust, M., Deconinck, W., & Zanna, C. (2020). The ECMWF scalability
1064	programme: Progress and plans. European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts. https://doi.org/10.21957/gdit22ulm
1065	
1066	Bauer, P., Dueben, P.D., Hoefler, T., Quintino, T., Schulthess, T, Wedi, N.P. The digital revolution of Earth-system science.
1067	Nat Comput Sci 1, 104–113 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-021-00023-0
1068	
1069	Bauer, P., Quintino, T., and Wedi, N.P. (2022) From the Scalability Programme to Destination Earth, ECMWF Newsletter,
1070	issue 171, pp. 15-22. DOI: 10.21957/pb2vnp59ks
1071	
1072	Bechtold, P., M. Köhler, T. Jung, M. Leutbecher, M. Rodwell, F. Vitart and G. Balsamo (2008). Advances in predicting
1073	atmospheric variability with the ECMWF model: From synoptic to decadal time-scales. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc. 134,
1074	1337-1351
1075	
1076	Bechtold, P., N. Semane, P. Lopez, JP. Chaboureau, A. Beljaars, and N. Bormann (2014). Representing equilibrium and
1077	non-equilibrium convection in large-scale models. J. Atmos. Sci., 134, 1337-1351, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-13-0163.1.
1078	
1079	Becker, T., Bechtold, P. and Sandu, I., 2021: Characteristics of convective precipitation over tropical Africa in storm-
1080	resolving global simulations. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 147(741), pp.4388-4407.
1081	https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4185
1082	
1083	ECMWF Newsletter 172: https://www.ecmwf.int/en/newsletter/172/news/fixing-water-and-energy-budget-imbalances-
1084	integrated-forecasting-system/, last access: 4 January 2024, Summer 2022.
1085	Beljaars, A. C. M., Brown, A. R. and Wood, N. (2004). A new parametrization of turbulent orographic form drag. Q. J. R.
1086	Meteorol. Soc., 130, 1327-1347, https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.03.73.
1087	Benøtsson I. I. Dias M. Gehne, P. Bechtold, I. Whitaker, IW. Bao, I. Magnusson, S. Michelson, P. Pegion, S. Tulich and
1088	G Kiladis 2019 Convectively counled equatorial wave simulations using the ECMWE IES and the NOAA GES cumulus
1089	convection schemes in the NOAA GES model Mon Wea Rev 147 4005-4025 https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-19-0195.1
1090	
1091	Bony S. Stevens B. Frierson D et al. Clouds circulation and climate sensitivity Nature Geosci 8, 261–268 (2015)
1097	https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2398
1092	hapon, donorg, rorroso, ngoozo, o
10/5	

- 1094 Boussetta, S.; Balsamo, G.; Arduini, G.; Dutra, E.; McNorton, J.; Choulga, M.; Agustí-Panareda, A.; Beljaars, A.; Wedi, N.;
- 1095 Munõz-Sabater, J.; et al. ECLand: The ECMWF Land Surface Modelling System. Atmosphere 2021, 12, 723.
- 1096 https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12060723.
- 1097
- Bozzo, A., Benedetti, A., Flemming, J., Kipling, Z., and Rémy, S.: An aerosol climatology for global models based on the
  tropospheric aerosol scheme in the Integrated Forecasting System of ECMWF, Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 1007–1034,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-1007-2020, 2020.
- 1101
- Bryan, F. O., Gent, P. R., & Tomas, R.: Can Southern Ocean Eddy Effects Be Parameterized in Climate Models?,
  Journal of Climate, 27(1), 411-425. Retrieved Dec 20, 2022, from https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/clim/27/1/jclid-12-00759.1.xml, 2014.
- 1105
- 1106 Bushell, A.C., Anstey, J.A., Butchart, N., Kawatani, Y., Osprey, S.M., Richter, J.H., Serva, F., Braesicke, P., Cagnazzo, C.,
- 1107 Chen, C.-.-C., Chun, H.-.-Y., Garcia, R.R., Gray, L.J., Hamilton, K., Kerzenmacher, T., Kim, Y.-.-H., Lott, F., McLandress,
- 1108 C., Naoe, H., Scinocca, J., Smith, A.K., Stockdale, T.N., Versick, S., Watanabe, S., Yoshida, K. and Yukimoto, S. (2022).
- 1109 Evaluation of the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation in global climate models for the SPARC QBO-initiative. QJR Meteorol Soc,
- 1110 148: 1459-1489. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3765
- 1111
- Cao, B., Arduini, G., and Zsoter, E.: Brief communication: Improving ERA5-Land soil temperature in permafrost regions
  using an optimized multi-layer snow scheme, The Cryosphere, 16, 2701–2708, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-2701-2022,
  2022.
- Chen, G., Ling, J., Zhang, R., Xiao, Z., & Li, C. (2022). The MJO from CMIP5 to CMIP6: Perspectives from tracking MJO
  precipitation. Geophysical Research Letters, 49(1), e2021GL095241.
- 1117 Crook, J., Klein, C., Folwell, S., Taylor, C.M., Parker, D.J., Stratton, R. and Stein, T. (2019) Assessment of the representation
- 1118 of West African storm lifecycles in convection-permitting simulations. *Earth and Space Science*, 6(5), 818–835.
- 1119 https://doi.org/10.1029/ 2018EA000491.
- 1120 Dai, A., T. Qian, K. E. Trenberth, and J. D. Milliman, 2009: Changes in Continental Freshwater Discharge from 1948 to
- 1121 2004. J. Climate, 22, 2773–2792, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2592.1.
- 1122 Danilov, S., Sidorenko, D., Wang, Q., and Jung, T.: The Finite-volumE Sea ice–Ocean Model (FESOM2), Geosci. Model
- 1123 Dev., 10, 765–789, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-765-2017, 2017.

1124	de Boyer Montegut	Clement, Madec	Gurvan, Fischer	Albert S., Laz	zar Alban, Iud	icone Daniele (20	004). Mixed l	ayer deptl
------	-------------------	----------------	-----------------	----------------	----------------	-------------------	---------------	------------

over the global ocean: An examination of profile data and a profile-based climatology. Journal Of Geophysical Research oceans. 109 (C12/C12003). 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JC002378

- de Boyer Montégut Clément (2023). Mixed layer depth climatology computed with a density threshold criterion of
  0.03kg/m3 from 10 m depth value. SEANOE. https://doi.org/10.17882/91774
- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., ... & Vitart, F. (2011). The ERA-Interim
  reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. Quarterly Journal of the royal meteorological
  society, 137(656), 553-597.
- Diamantakis, M. and Váňa, F (2022). A fast converging and concise algorithm for computing the departure points in semiLagrangian weather and climate models. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 148(743), 670–684, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4224
- 1134

Diamantakis, M. and Agusti-Panareda, A. (2017): A positive definite tracer mass fixer for high resolution weather and
 atmospheric composition forecasts. https://www.ecmwf.int/sites/default/files/elibrary/2017/17914-positive-definite-tracer-

1137 mass-fixer-high-resolution-weather-and-atmospheric-composition.pdf.

- 1138
- Diamantakis, M. and Flemming, J. (2014): Global mass fixer algorithms for conservative tracer transport in the ECMWF
  model, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 965–979, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-965-2014.
- 1141
- Dias, J., M. Gehne, G. N. Kiladis, N. Sakaeda, P. Bechtold and T. Haiden, 2018: Equatorial waves and the skill of NCEP and
  ECMWF forecast systems. Mon. Wea. Rev.146, 1763-1784. https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-17-0362.1
- 1144
- ECMWF (2023a): ECMWF IFS Documentation CY48R1 Part III: Dynamics and Numerical Procedures. Reading, UK:
  ECMWF, https://doi.org/10.21957/26f0ad3473, 2023.
- 1147
- ECMWF (2023b): ECMWF IFS documentation CY48R1 Part IV Physical processes. Reading, UK: ECMWF,
  https://doi.org/10.21957/02054f0fbf, 2023.
- 1150
- ECMWF (2023c): ECMWF IFS Documentation CY48R1 Part VII: ECMWF Wave Model. Reading, UK: ECMWF,
  https://doi.org/10.21957/cd1936d846, 2023.
- 1153
- ECMWF News Item: https://www.ecmwf.int/en/about/media-centre/news/2022/nextgems-probes-km-scale-resolutions integrated-forecasting-system/, last access: 4 January 2024, October 2022.

- 1157 Engwirda, D.: JIGSAW-GEO (1.0): locally orthogonal staggered unstructured grid generation for general circulation
- 1158 modelling on the sphere, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2117–2140, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2117-2017, 2017.
- 1159
- 1160 Fielding, M. D., S. A. K. Schäfer, R. J. Hogan, and R. M. Forbes (2020). "Parametrizing cloud geometry and its application
- in a subgrid cloud-edge erosion scheme". In: Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society 146.729, pp. 1651–
- 1162 1667. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3758.
- Forbes, R. M. and Tompkins, A. M. (2011). An improved representation of cloud and precipitation. ECMWF Newsletter No.
  1164 129, pp. 13-18.
- Forbes, R. M., Tompkins, A. M. and Untch, A. (2011). A new prognostic bulk microphysics scheme for the IFS. ECMWFTech. Memo. No. 649.
- Forbes, R. M., and M. Ahlgrimm, 2014: On the Representation of High-Latitude Boundary Layer Mixed-Phase Cloud in the
  ECMWF Global Model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 142, 3425–3445, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-13-00325.1</u>.
- Frenger, I., Gruber, N., Knutti, R. et al. Imprint of Southern Ocean eddies on winds, clouds and rainfall.Nature Geosci 6,
  608–612 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1863
- 1171
- Gao, K., Harris, L., Bender, M., Chen, J.-H., Zhou, L., & Knutson, T. (2023). Regulating fine-scale resolved convection
  in high-resolution models for better hurricane track prediction. Geophysical Research Letters, 50, e2023GL103329.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL103329
- 1175
- Garfinkel, C. I., Gerber, E. P., Shamir, O., Rao, J., Jucker, M., White, I., & Paldor, N. (2022). A QBO cookbook:
  Sensitivity of the quasi-biennial oscillation to resolution, resolved waves, and parameterized gravity waves. Journal of
- 1178 Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 14, e2021MS002568. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002568
- 1179
- 1180 Goessling, H. F., S. Tietsche, J. J. Day, E. Hawkins, and T. Jung(2016), Predictability of the Arcticsea ice edge,
- 1181 Geophys.Res. Lett.,43, 1642–1650, DOI:10.1002/2015GL067232.
- 1182
- Griffies, S. M., and Coauthors, 2015: Impacts on Ocean Heat from Transient Mesoscale Eddies in a Hierarchy of Climate
  Models. J. Climate, 28, 952–977, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00353.1.
- 1185

- 1186 Gutjahr, O., Jungclaus, J. H., Brüggemann, N., Haak, H., and Marotzke, J.:Air-sea interactions and water mass
- 1187 transformation during a katabatic storm in the Irminger Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 127,
- 1188 e2021JC018075. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC018075, 2022.
- 1189

Hersbach H, Bell B, Berrisford P, et al. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Q J R Meteorol Soc. 146: 1999–2049.
https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803

- 1192
- Hewitt, H., Fox-Kemper, B., Pearson, B. et al. The small scales of the ocean may hold the key to surprises. Nat. Clim.
  Chang. 12, 496–499 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01386-6.
- 1195
- Hogan, R. J., and A. Bozzo, 2018: A flexible and efficient radiation scheme for the ECMWF model. J. Adv. Modeling Earth
  Sys., 10, 1990-2008, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018MS001364
- 1198

1199 Hohenegger, C., Korn, P., Linardakis, L., Redler, R., Schnur, R., Adamidis, P., Bao, J., Bastin, S., Behravesh, M., Bergemann, 1200 M., Biercamp, J., Bockelmann, H., Brokopf, R., Brüggemann, N., Casaroli, L., Chegini, F., Datseris, G., Esch, M., George, 1201 G., Giorgetta, M., Gutjahr, O., Haak, H., Hanke, M., Ilyina, T., Jahns, T., Jungclaus, J., Kern, M., Klocke, D., Kluft, L., Kölling, 1202 T., Kornblueh, L., Kosukhin, S., Kroll, C., Lee, J., Mauritsen, T., Mehlmann, C., Mieslinger, T., Naumann, A. K., Paccini, L., 1203 Peinado, A., Praturi, D. S., Putrasahan, D., Rast, S., Riddick, T., Roeber, N., Schmidt, H., Schulzweida, U., Schütte, F., Segura, 1204 H., Shevchenko, R., Singh, V., Specht, M., Stephan, C. C., von Storch, J.-S., Vogel, R., Wengel, C., Winkler, M., Ziemen, F., 1205 ICON-Sapphire: simulating the components of the Earth System and their interactions at kilometer and subkilometer scales, 1206 Geosci. Model Dev., https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-779-2023, 2023.

1207

Hutter, N., Bouchat, A., Dupont, F., Dukhovskoy, D., Koldunov, N., Lee, Y. J., et al. (2022). Sea Ice Rheology Experiment
(SIREx): 2. Evaluating linear kinematic features in high-resolution sea ice simulations. Journal of Geophysical Research:
Oceans, 127, e2021JC017666. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC017666

1211

Hallberg, R.: Using a resolution function to regulate parameterizations of oceanic mesoscale eddy effects, Ocean Modelling,
Volume 72, 2013, Pages 92-103, ISSN 1463-5003, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2013.08.007.

- 1214
- Hannah, W. M., & Maloney, E. D. (2011). The role of moisture–convection feedbacks in simulating the Madden–Julian
  oscillation. Journal of Climate, 24(11), 2754-2770.
- 1217
- Hogg, A. McC., Meredith, M. P., Chambers, D. P., Abrahamsen, E. P., Hughes, C. W., and Morrison, A. K.(2015), Recent
  trends in the Southern Ocean eddy field, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 120, 257–267, doi:10.1002/2014JC010470.

1220	
1221	Hortal, M. (2002) The development and testing of a new two-time-level semi-Lagrangian scheme (SETTLS) in the ECMWF
1222	forecast model. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 128, 1671-1687.
1223	IFS nextGEMS cycle 2 4km 1-year simulation. https://dx.doi.org/10.21957/1n36-qg55, 2022.
1224	Johnson, S. J., Stockdale, T. N., Ferranti, L., Balmaseda, M. A., Molteni, F., Magnusson, L., Tietsche, S., Decremer, D.,
1225	Weisheimer, A., Balsamo, G., Keeley, S. P. E., Mogensen, K., Zuo, H., and Monge-Sanz, B. M.: SEAS5: the new ECMWF
1226	seasonal forecast system, Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 1087-1117, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-1087-2019, 2019.
1227	Jones PW. 1999. First- and second-order conservative remapping schemes for grids in spherical coordi- nates. Mon. Wea. Rev.
1228	127: 2204–2210.
1229	Judt, F., Klocke, D., Rios-Berrios, R. et. al. 2021: Tropical Cyclones in Global Storm-Resolving Models, JMSJ, II, 2021-029.
1230	https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2021-029
1231	
1232	Judt, F., and R. Rios-Berrios, 2021: Resolved convection improves the representation of equatorial waves and tropical rainfall
1233	variability in a global nonhydrostatic model. Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, e2021GL093265,
1234	https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL093265.
1235	
1236	Jung, T., and Coauthors, 2012: High-Resolution Global Climate Simulations with the ECMWF Model in Project Athena:
1237	Experimental Design, Model Climate, and Seasonal Forecast Skill. J. Climate, 25, 3155-3172, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-
1238	D-11-00265.1.
1239	
1240	Kluyver, T. et al. 2016: Jupyter notebooks - a publishing format for reproducible computational workflows. In Positioning
1241	and Power in Academic Publishing: Players, Agents and Agendas, (eds Loizides, F. & Schmidt, B.) 87-90 (IOS Press, 2016).
1242	
1243	Keeley, S.P.E., Sutton, R.T. and Shaffrey, L.C. (2012), The impact of North Atlantic sea surface temperature errors on the
1244	simulation of North Atlantic European region climate. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc., 138: 1774-1783. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.1912.
1245	
1246	Kodama, C., Ohno, T., Seiki, T., Yashiro, H., Noda, A. T., Nakano, M., et al. (2021). The nonhydrostatic icosahedral
1247	atmospheric model for CMIP6 HighResMIP simulations (NICAM16-s): Experimental design, model description, and impacts
1248	of model updates. Geoscientific Model Development, 14(2), 795-820. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-795-2021.
1249	
	52

1250 Kodama, C., Yamada, Y., Noda, A. T., Kikuchi, K., Kajikawa, Y., Nasuno, T., et al. (2015). A 20-year climatology of a 1251 NICAM AMIP-type simulation. Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 93(4), 393-424. 1252 https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2015-024. 1253 1254 Koehler, M., Ahlgrimm, M. and Beliaars, A. (2011). Unified treatment of dry convective and stratocumulus-topped boundary 1255 layers in the ECMWF model. O. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 137, 43-57, https://doi.org/10.1002/gj.713 1256 1257 Koldunov, N. V., Aizinger, V., Rakowsky, N., Scholz, P., Sidorenko, D., Danilov, S., and Jung, T.: Scalability and some 1258 optimization of the Finite-volumE Sea ice-Ocean Model, Version 2.0 (FESOM2), Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 3991-4012, 1259 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-3991-2019, 2019. 1260 1261 Koldunov, Nikolav: Kölling, Tobias: Pedruzo-Bagazgoitia, Xabier: Rackow, Thomas: Redler, René: Sidorenko, Dmitry; 1262 Wieners, Karl-Hermann; Ziemen, Florian Andreas (2023). nextGEMS: output of the model development cycle 3 simulations 1263 for ICON and IFS.World Data Center for Climate (WDCC) at DKRZ. https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/nextGEMS\_cyc3 1264 1265 Kölling, T., Kluft, L., & Rackow, T. (2024). gribscan (v0.0.10). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10625189 1266 1267 Large, W.G., Yeager, S.G. The global climatology of an interannually varying air-sea flux data set. Clim Dyn 33, 341-364 1268 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-008-0441-3 1269 1270 Liebmann, B., & Smith, C. A. (1996). Description of a complete (interpolated) outgoing longwave radiation dataset. Bulletin 1271 of the American Meteorological Society, 77(6), 1275-1277. 1272 1273 Ling, J., Zhao, Y., & Chen, G. (2019). Barrier effect on MJO propagation by the Maritime Continent in the MJO Task 1274 Force/GEWEX atmospheric system study models. Journal of Climate, 32(17), 5529-5547. 1275 1276 Loeb, N. G., and Coauthors, 2018: Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES) Energy Balanced and Filled 1277 (EBAF) Top-of-Atmosphere (TOA) Edition-4.0 Data Product. J. Climate, 31, 895–918, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-17-1278 0208.1. 1279 1280 Loveland, T.R.; Reed, B.C.; Brown, J.F.; Ohlen, D.O.; Zhu, Z.; Youing, L.; Merchant, J.W. Development of a global land 1281 cover characteristics database and IGB6 DISCover from the 1 km AVHRR data. Int. J. Remote Sens. 2000, 21, 1303–1330. 1282

1283	Lott, F. and Miller, M. J. (1997). A new subgrid-scale orographic drag parametrization: Its formulation and testing. Q. J. R.
1284	Meteorol. Soc., 123, 101-127, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49712353704.
1285	
1286	Lüpkes, C., T. Vihma, G. Birnbaum, and U. Wacker (2008), Influence of leads in sea ice on the temperature of the
1287	atmospheric boundary layer during polar night, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L03805, doi:10.1029/2007GL032461.
1288	
1289	Macdonald, R. W., Griffiths, R. F., & Hall, D. J. (1998). An improved method for the estimation of surface roughness of
1290	obstacle arrays. Atmospheric Environment, 32(11), 1857-1864. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1352-2310(97)00403-2
1291	Madden, R. A., & Julian, P. R. (1972). Description of global-scale circulation cells in the tropics with a 40-50 day period.
1292	Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 29(6), 1109-1123.
1293	
1294	Malardel, S., Wedi N., Deconinck W., Diamantakis M., Kuehnlein C., Mozdzynski G., Hamrud M., Smolarkiewicz P.: A
1295	new grid for the IFS. ECMWF Newsletter 146:23-28. https://doi.org/doi:10.21957/zwdu9u5i., 2016
1296	
1297	Marti, O., Nguyen, S., Braconnot, P., Valcke, S., Lemarié, F., & Blayo, E. (2021). A Schwarz iterative method to evaluate
1298	ocean-atmosphere coupling schemes: implementation and diagnostics in IPSL-CM6-SW-VLR. Geoscientific Model
1299	Development, 14(5), 2959-2975.
1300	
1301	McNorton, J. R., Arduini, G., Bousserez, N., Agustí-Panareda, A., Balsamo, G., Boussetta, S., et al. (2021). An urban
1302	scheme for the ECMWF integrated forecasting system: Single-column and global offline application. Journal of Advances in
1303	Modeling Earth Systems, 13, e2020MS002375. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002375
1304	
1305	McNorton, J., Agustí-Panareda, A., Arduini, G., Balsamo, G., Bousserez, N., Boussetta, S., et al. (2023). An urban scheme
1306	for the ECMWF Integrated forecasting system: Global forecasts and residential CO2 emissions. Journal of Advances in
1307	Modeling Earth Systems, 15, e2022MS003286. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022MS003286
1308	
1309	Miyakawa, T., H. Yashiro, T. Suzuki, H. Tatebe, and M. Satoh (2017), A Madden-Julian Oscillation event remotely
1310	accelerates ocean upwelling to abruptly terminate the 1997/1998 super El Niño, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 9489-9495,
1311	doi:10.1002/2017GL074683.
1312	
1313	Miura, H., Satoh, M., Nasuno, T., Noda, A. T., & Oouchi, K. (2007). A Madden-Julian oscillation event realistically
1314	simulated by a global cloud-resolving model. Science, 318(5857), 1763-1765.
1315	

- 1316 Mogensen, K. S., Magnusson, L., and Bidlot, J.-R.: Tropical cyclone sensitivity to ocean coupling in the ECMWF coupled
- 1317 model, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 122, 4392–4412, doi:10.1002/2017JC012753., 2017.
- 1318
- 1319 Mogensen, K. S., Keeley, S., and Towers, P. (2012): Coupling of the NEMO and IFS models in a single executable,
- 1320 ECMWF Technical Memorandum, 673, https://doi.org/10.21957/rfplwzuol
- 1321
- 1322 Morrison, A. K., Hogg, A. McC., England, M. H., Spence, P.: Warm Circumpolar Deep Water transport toward Antarctica
- driven by local dense water export in canyons, Science Advances, eaav2516, V6, N18 doi:10.1126/sciadv.aav2516, 2020.
- 1324
- Mu, L., Nerger, L., Tang, Q., Loza, S. N., Sidorenko, D., Wang, Q., Semmler, T., Zampieri, L., Losch, M., & Goessling H.
  F. (2020). Toward a data assimilation system for seamless sea ice prediction based on the AWI Climate Model. Journal of
- 1327 Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, e2019MS001937. DOI:10.1029/2019MS001937
- 1328
- Mu, L., Nerger, L., Streffing, J., Tang, Q., Niraula, B., Zampieri, L., Loza, S. L., Goessling, H. F. (2022) Sea-ice forecasts
  with an upgraded AWI Coupled Prediction System. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, e2022MS003176.
  DOI:10.1029/2022MS003176
- 1332
- Müller, A., W. Deconinck, C. Kühnlein, G. Mengaldo, M. Lange, N. Wedi, P. Bauer et al., 2019: The ESCAPE project:
  Energy-efficient Scalable Algorithms for Weather Prediction at Exascale. Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 4425–4441,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-4425-2019.
- 1336
- Nogueira, M., Boussetta, S., Balsamo, G., Albergel, C., Trigo, I. F., Johannsen, F., et al. (2021). Upgrading land-cover and
  vegetation seasonality in the ECMWF coupled system: Verification with FLUXNET sites, METEOSAT satellite land
  surface temperatures, and ERA5 atmospheric reanalysis. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126,
  e2020JD034163. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD034163.
- 1341
- Orr, A., Bechtold, P., Scinocca, J. F., Ern, M. and Janiskova, M. (2010). Improved middle atmosphere climate and forecasts
  in the ECMWF model through a non-orographic gravity wave drag parametrization. J. Climate, 23, 5905-5926.,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3490.1.
- 1345
- 1346 OSI SAF Global sea ice concentration climate data record 1978-2020 (v3.0, 2022), OSI-450-a,
- 1347 doi:10.15770/EUM\_SAF\_OSI\_0013. EUMETSAT Ocean and Sea Ice Satellite Application Facility. Data extracted from
- 1348 OSI SAF FTP server: year 2020, Arctic and Antarctic data, accessed 03.01.2024
- 1349

- Overland, J. E., T. B. Curtin, and W. O. Smith Jr. (1995), Preface [to special section on Leads and Polynyas], J. Geophys.
  Res., 100(C3), 4267–4268, doi:10.1029/95JC00336.
- 1352
- Palmer, T. Climate forecasting: Build high-resolution global climate models. Nature 515, 338–339 (2014).
  https://doi.org/10.1038/515338a
- Palmer, T., and Stevens, B. (2019): The scientific challenge of understanding and estimating climate change, PNAS 116
  (49), 24390-24395, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906691116
- 1357
- Paul, M. J., & Meyer, J. L. (2001). Streams in the urban landscape. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 32(1), 333–
  365. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.32.081501.114040
- 1360
- Polichtchouk, I., Wedi, N. & Kim, Y.-H.(2021) Resolved gravity waves in the tropical stratosphere: Impact of horizontal
  resolution and deep convection parametrization. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 148(742), 233–251. Available from:
  https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4202
- 1364
- Prein, A. F., Langhans, W., Fosser, G., Ferrone, A., Ban, N., Goergen, K., Keller, M., Tölle, M., Gutjahr, O., Feser, F.,
  Brisson, E., Kollet, S., Schmidli, J., van Lipzig, N. P. M., and Leung, R.: A review on regional convection-permitting
  climate modeling: Demonstrations, prospects, and challenges, Rev. Geophys., 53, 323–361, 2015.
- 1368
- Pujol, M.-I., Y. Faugère, G. Taburet, S. Dupuy, C. Pelloquin, M. Ablain, and N. Picot, (2016): DUACS DT2014: The new
  multi-mission altimeter data set reprocessed over 20 years. Ocean Sci., 12, 1067–1090, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-12-10672016
- Randall, D. A., and K. Emanuel, 2024: The Weather–Climate Schism. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 105, E300–E305,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-23-0124.1.
- Rackow, T., Sein, D. V., Semmler, T., Danilov, S., Koldunov, N. V., Sidorenko, D., Wang, Q., and Jung, T.: Sensitivity of
  deep ocean biases to horizontal resolution in prototype CMIP6 simulations with AWI-CM1.0, Geosci. Model Dev., 12,
  2635–2656, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-2635-2019, 2019.
- 1377
- Rackow, T., Danilov, S., Goessling, H.F. et al.: Delayed Antarctic sea-ice decline in high-resolution climate change
  simulations. Nat Commun 13, 637, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28259-y, 2022.
- 1380

1381	Rackow T. Pedruzo-Bagazgoitia X. & Becker T. (2023a) Namelist files and settings for multi-year km-scale nextGEMS
1382	Cycle 3 simulations with IFS-FESOM/NEMO. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10221652
1383	
1384	Rackow, T., Becker, T., Forbes, R., & Fielding, M. (2023b). Source code changes to the Integrated Forecasting System (IFS)
1385	for nextGEMS simulations. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10223577
1386	
1387	Rackow, T., Hegewald, J., Koldunov, N. V., Mogensen, K., Scholz, P., Sidorenko, D., & Streffing, J. (2023c). FESOM2.5
1388	source code used in nextGEMS Cycle 3 simulations with IFS-FESOM. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10225420
1389	
1390	Rackow, T., Josh Kousal, Xabier Pedruzo-Bagazgoitia, & Lorenzo Zampieri. (2024). trackow/nextGEMS-paper: Jupyter
1391	notebooks to reproduce the main figures of the nextGEMS overview paper. Zenodo.
1392	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13987877
1393	
1394	Saavedra Garfias, P., Kalesse-Los, H., von Albedyll, L., Griesche, H., and Spreen, G.: Asymmetries in cloud microphysical
1395	properties ascribed to sea ice leads via water vapour transport in the central Arctic, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 14521-14546,
1396	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-14521-2023, 2023.
1397	
1398	Sarmany, D., M. Valentini, P. Maciel, P. Geier, S. Smart, R. Aguridan, J. Hawkes, and T. Quintino. 2024. MultIO: A
1399	Framework for Message-Driven Data Routing For Weather and Climate Simulations. In Proceedings of the Platform for
1400	Advanced Scientific Computing Conference (PASC '24). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA,
1401	Article 24, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1145/3659914.3659938
1402	
1403	Satoh, M., Stevens, B., Judt, F. et al. Global Cloud-Resolving Models. Curr Clim Change Rep5, 172-184 (2019).
1404	https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-019-00131-0
1405	
1406	Scaife, A. A., Baldwin, M. P., Butler, A. H., Charlton-Perez, A. J., Domeisen, D. I. V., Garfinkel, C. I., Hardiman, S. C.,
1407	Haynes, P., Karpechko, A. Y., Lim, EP., Noguchi, S., Perlwitz, J., Polvani, L., Richter, J. H., Scinocca, J., Sigmond, M.,
1408	Shepherd, T. G., Son, SW., and Thompson, D. W. J.: Long-range prediction and the stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22,
1409	2601-2623, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-2601-2022, 2022.
1410	
1411	Schär, C., and Coauthors, 2020: Kilometer-Scale Climate Models: Prospects and Challenges. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 101,
1412	E567-E587, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-18-0167.1.

- Scholz, P., Sidorenko, D., Gurses, O., Danilov, S., Koldunov, N., Wang, Q., Sein, D., Smolentseva, M., Rakowsky, N., and
  Jung, T.: Assessment of the Finite-volumE Sea ice-Ocean Model (FESOM2.0) Part 1: Description of selected key model
  elements and comparison to its predecessor version, Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 4875–4899, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-124875-2019, 2019.
- 1418

Schulthess, T. C., P. Bauer, N. Wedi, O. Fuhrer, T. Hoefler, and C. Schär, 2019: Reflect- ing on the goal and baseline for
exascale computing: A roadmap based on weather and climate simulations. Comput. Sci. Eng., 21, 30–41,
https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2018.2888788.

- 1422
- Sein, D. V., Koldunov, N. V., Danilov, S., Wang, Q., Sidorenko, D., Fast, I., ... Jung, T. (2017). Ocean modeling on a mesh
  with resolution following the local Rossby radius. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 9, 2601–2614.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS001099
- 1426

Selivanova, J., Iovino, D., and Cocetta, F.: Past and future of the Arctic sea ice in HighResMIP climate models, EGUsphere
[preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1411, 2023.

- 1429
- Sidorenko, D., Goessling, H. F., Koldunov, N. V., Scholz, P., Danilov, S., Barbi, D., et al (2019). Evaluation of FESOM2.0
  coupled to ECHAM6.3: Pre-industrial and HighResMIP simulations. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 11.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001696.
- 1433

Siebesma, A. P., Soares, P. M., & Teixeira, J. (2007). A combined eddy-diffusivity mass-flux approach for the convective
boundary layer. J. Atmos. Sc, 64(4), 1230-1248, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3888.1

1436

Simmons, A. J. and Strüfing, R.: Numerical forecasts of stratospheric warming events using a model with a hybrid vertical
coordinate, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 109, 81–111, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710945905, 1983.

1439

Smart, S., Quintino, T., Quintino, T.: A Scalable Object Store for Meteorological and Climate Data. In Proceedings of the
Platform for Advanced Scientific Computing Conference, 1–8, 2017.

1442

1443 Stephan, C. C., Strube, C., Klocke, D., Ern, M., Hoffmann, L., Preusse, P., & Schmidt, H. (2019). Gravity waves in

1444 global high-resolution simulations with explicit and parameterized convection. Journal of Geophysical Research:

1445 Atmospheres, 124, 4446–4459. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD030073

1447	Stephan, C.C., Žagar, N. & Shepherd, T.G.(2021) Waves and coherent flows in the tropical atmosphere: New
1448	opportunities, old challenges. Q J R Meteorol Soc, 147: 2597–2624. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.4109
1449	
1450	Stevens, B., Sherwood, S.C., Bony, S. and Webb, M.J. (2016), Prospects for narrowing bounds on Earth's equilibrium
1451	climate sensitivity. Earth's Future, 4: 512-522. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016EF000376
1452	
1453	Stevens, B., Satoh, M., Auger, L., Biercamp, J., Bretherton, C.S., Chen, X., Düben, P., Judt, F., Khairoutdinov, M., Klocke,
1454	D., Kodama, C., Kornblueh, L., Lin, SL., Putman, W., Shibuya, R., Neumann, P., Röber, N., Vannier, B., Vidale, PL.,
1455	Wedi, N. and Zhou, L. (2019) DYAMOND: the DYnamics of the Atmospheric general circulation Modeled On Non-
1456	hydrostatic Domains. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 6(1), 61. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-019-0304-z.
1457	
1458	Stockdale, TN, Kim, Y-H, Anstey, JA, et al. Prediction of the quasi-biennial oscillation with a multi-model ensemble of
1459	QBO-resolving models. Q J R Meteorol Soc. 2022; 148(744), 1519–1540. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3919
1460	
1461	Streffing, J., Semmler, T., Zampieri, L., & Jung, T. (2021). Response of Northern Hemisphere Weather and Climate to
1462	Arctic Sea Ice Decline: Resolution Independence in Polar Amplification Model Intercomparison Project (PAMIP)
1463	Simulations, Journal of Climate, 34(20), 8445-8457. DOI:10.1175/JCLI-D-19-1005.1
1464	
1465	Streffing, J., Sidorenko, D., Semmler, T., Zampieri, L., Scholz, P., Andrés-Martínez, M., Koldunov, N., Rackow, T.,
1466	Kjellsson, J., Goessling, H., Athanase, M., Wang, Q., Hegewald, J., Sein, D. V., Mu, L., Fladrich, U., Barbi, D., Gierz, P.,
1467	Danilov, S., Juricke, S., Lohmann, G., and Jung, T.: AWI-CM3 coupled climate model: description and evaluation
1468	experiments for a prototype post-CMIP6 model, Geosci. Model Dev., 15, 6399-6427, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-6399-
1469	2022, 2022.
1470	
1471	Suematsu, T., & Miura, H. (2018). Zonal SST difference as a potential environmental factor supporting the longevity of the
1472	Madden–Julian oscillation. Journal of Climate, 31(18), 7549-7564.
1473	
1474	Takasuka, D., & Satoh, M. (2020). Dynamical roles of mixed Rossby-gravity waves in driving convective initiation and
1475	propagation of the Madden–Julian oscillation: General views. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 77(12), 4211-4231.
1476	
1477	Takasuka, D., Kodama, C., Suematsu, T., Ohno, T., Yamada, Y., Seiki, T., et al. (2024). How can we improve the seamless
1478	representation of climatological statistics and weather toward reliable global K-scale climate simulations? Journal of
1479	Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 16, e2023MS003701. https://doi.org/10.1029/2023MS003701.
1480	

1481	Takayabu, Y. N. (1994). Large-scale cloud disturbances associated with equatorial waves Part I: Spectral features of the
1482	cloud disturbances. Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 72(3), 433-449.
1483	
1484	Taylor, M., Peter M. Caldwell, Luca Bertagna, Conrad Clevenger, Aaron Donahue, James Foucar, Oksana Guba, Benjamin
1485	Hillman, Noel Keen, Jayesh Krishna, Matthew Norman, Sarat Sreepathi, Christopher Terai, James B. White, Andrew G
1486	Salinger, Renata B McCoy, Lai-yung Ruby Leung, David C. Bader, and Danqing Wu. 2023. The Simple Cloud-Resolving
1487	E3SM Atmosphere Model Running on the Frontier Exascale System. In Proceedings of the International Conference for
1488	High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis (SC '23). Association for Computing Machinery, New
1489	York, NY, USA, Article 7, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1145/3581784.3627044
1490	
1491	Temperton, C., Hortal, M. and Simmons, A.J.: A two-time-level semi-Lagrangian global spectral model. Quarterly Journal
1492	of the Royal Meteorological Society, 127, 111-127. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qj.49712757107, 2001.
1493	
1494	Tiedtke, M. (1989). A comprehensive mass flux scheme for cumulus parametrization in large-scale models. Mon. Wea. Rev.,
1495	117, 1779-1800, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1989)117<1779:ACMFSF>2.0.CO;2.
1496	
1497	Tiedtke, M. (1993). Representation of clouds in large-scale models. Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 3040-3061,
1498	https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1993)121<3040:ROCILS>2.0.CO;2.
1499	
1500	Tomita, H., Miura, H., Iga, SI., Nasuno, T., & Satoh, M. (2005). A global cloud-resolving simulation: Preliminary results
1501	from an aqua planet experiment. Geophysical Research Letters, 32(8), L08805. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005gl022459.
1502	
1503	Treguier, A. M., de Boyer Montégut, C., Bozec, A., Chassignet, E. P., Fox-Kemper, B., McC. Hogg, A., Iovino, D., Kiss, A.
1504 1505	E., Le Sommer, J., Li, Y., Lin, P., Lique, C., Liu, H., Serazin, G., Sidorenko, D., Wang, Q., Xu, X., and Yeager, S.: The mixed-layer denth in the Ocean Model Intercomparison Project (OMIP): impact of resolving mesoscale eddies. Geosci
1505	Model Dev., 16, 3849–3872, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-16-3849-2023, 2023.
1507	
1508	Trigo, I.F., Monteiro, I.T., Olesen, F., Kabsch, E.: An assessment of remotely sensed land surface temperature. J. Geophys.
1509	Res. 113, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010035, 2008.
1510	
1511	Untch, A. and Hortal, M.: A finite-element scheme for the vertical discretization of the semi-Lagrangian version of the
1512	ECMWF forecast model. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 130, 1505-1530.
1513	http://dx.doi.org/10.1256/qj.03.173, 2004.
1514	

1515	van Westen, R. M., and Dijkstra, H. A.: Ocean eddies strongly affect global mean sea-level projections. Sci. Adv.7
1516	,eabf1674(2021). DOI:10.1126/sciadv.abf1674
1517	
1518	Vivoda, J., P. Smolíková, and J. Simarro, 2018: Finite Elements Used in the Vertical Discretization of the Fully
1519	Compressible Core of the ALADIN System. Mon. Wea. Rev., 146, 3293–3310, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-18-0043.1.
1520	
1521	von Albedyll, L., Hendricks, S., Hutter, N., Murashkin, D., Kaleschke, L., Willmes, S., Thielke, L., Tian-Kunze, X., Spreen,
1522	G., and Haas, C.: Lead fractions from SAR-derived sea ice divergence during MOSAiC, The Cryosphere, 18, 1259–1285,
1523	https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-18-1259-2024, 2024.
1524	
1525	Wang, C., Zhang, L., Lee, SK. et al.: A global perspective on CMIP5 climate model biases. Nature Clim Change 4, 201–205,
1526	https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2118, 2014.
1527	
1528	Wedi, N. P.: Increasing horizontal resolution in numerical weather prediction and climate simulations: Illusion or panacea?,
1529	Philos. T. Roy. Soc. A, 372, 20130289, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2013.0289, 2014.
1530	
1531	Wedi, N.P., Polichtchouk, I., Dueben, P., Anantharaj, V.G., Bauer, P., Boussetta, S., Browne, P., Deconinck, W., Gaudin,
1532	W., Hadade, I., Hatfield, S., Iffrig, O., Lopez, P., Maciel, P., Mueller, A., Saarinen, S., Sandu, I., Quintino, T. and Vitart, F.
1533	(2020) A baseline for global weather and climate simulations at 1 km resolution. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth
1534	Systems, 12(11). https://doi.org/10.1029/2020MS002192.
1535	
1536	Wedi, N. P., Bauer, P., Denoninck, W., Diamantakis, M., Hamrud, M., Kuhnlein, C., & Smolarkiewicz, P. K. (2015). The
1537	modelling infrastructure of the Integrated Forecasting System: Recent advances and future challenges. European Centre for
1538	Medium-Range Weather Forecasts. https://doi.org/10.21957/thtpwp67e
1539	
1540	Wengel, C., Lee, SS., Stuecker, M.F. et al. Future high-resolution El Niño/Southern Oscillation dynamics. Nat. Clim. Chang.
1541	11, 758–765 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01132-4
1542	
1543	Wheeler, M., & Kiladis, G. N. (1999). Convectively coupled equatorial waves: Analysis of clouds and temperature in the
1544	wavenumber-frequency domain. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 56(3), 374-399.
1545	
1546	Wieners, Karl-Hermann; Ziemen, Florian Andreas; Koldunov, Nikolay; Pedruzo-Bagazgoitia, Xabier; Rackow, Thomas;
1547	Redler, René; Sidorenko, Dmitry; Kölling, Tobias (2023). nextGEMS: output of the model development cycle 2 simulations
1548	for ICON and IFS. World Data Center for Climate (WDCC) at DKRZ. https://doi.org/10.26050/WDCC/nextGEMS_cyc2

- 1549 Yano, J.-I. and Wedi, N. P.: Sensitivities of the Madden–Julian oscillation forecasts to configurations of physics in the
- 1550 ECMWF global model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 4759–4778, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-4759-2021, 2021.
- 1551
- Zampieri, L., Goessling, H. F., & Jung, T. (2018). Bright prospects for Arctic sea ice prediction on subseasonal time scales.
  Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 9731–9738. DOI: 10.1029/2018GL079394
- 1554
- 1555 Zampieri, L., Goessling, H. F., & Jung, T. (2019). Predictability of Antarctic sea ice edge on subseasonal time scales.
- 1556 Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 9719–9727. DOI: 10.1029/2019GL084096
- 1557
- Zhang, C. (2013). Madden–Julian oscillation: Bridging weather and climate. Bulletin of the American Meteorological
   Society, 94(12), 1849-1870.
- 1560
- 1561 Zsoter E, Arduini G, Prudhomme C, Stephens E, Cloke H. Hydrological Impact of the New ECMWF Multi-Layer Snow
- 1562 Scheme. Atmosphere. 2022; 13(5):727. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13050727