1	Technical note: On the ice microphysics of isolated thunderstorms
2	and non-thunderstorms in southern China: A radar polarimetric
3	perspective
4	Chuanhong Zhao <sup>*1,2</sup> , Yijun Zhang <sup>*1,3</sup> , Dong Zheng <sup>4</sup> , Haoran Li <sup>4</sup> , Sai Du <sup>5</sup> , Xueyan
5	Peng <sup>2</sup> , Xiantong Liu <sup>5</sup> , Pengguo Zhao <sup>2</sup> , Jiafeng Zheng <sup>2</sup> , Juan Shi <sup>6</sup>
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences & Institute of Atmospheric Sciences, Fudan University,
7	Shanghai, China
8	<sup>2</sup> School of Atmospheric Sciences, Chengdu University of Information Technology, Chengdu, China
9	<sup>3</sup> Shanghai Key Laboratory of Ocean-land-atmosphere Boundary Dynamics and Climate Change & Shanghai
10	Frontiers Science Center of Atmosphere-Ocean Interaction, Fudan University, Shanghai, China
11	<sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences & Laboratory of Lightning
12	Physics and Protection Engineering, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing, China
13	<sup>5</sup> Guangzhou Institute of Tropical and Marine Meteorology, Guangzhou, China
14	<sup>6</sup> Chengdu Meteorological Office, Chengdu, China
15	
16	
17	
18	Corresponding authors: Dr. Yijun Zhang & Dr. Chuanhong Zhao are the co-corresponding authors.
19	E-mail: zhangyijun@fudan.edu.cn; zch@cuit.edu.cn
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# 26 Abstract

27 Determining whether a cloud will evolve into a thunderstorm is beneficial for understanding 28 thunderstorm formation and is also important for ensuring the safety of society. However, a clear 29 understanding of the microphysics of clouds in terms of the occurrence of lightning activity has 30 not been attained. Vast field observations and laboratory experiments indicate that graupel, which 31 is rimed ice, is a vital hydrometeor for lightning generation, and is the foundation of riming 32 electrification. In this study, polarimetric radar and lightning observations are used to compare the 33 ice microphysics associated with graupel between 57 isolated thunderstorms and 39 isolated 34 non-thunderstorms, and the differences in radar parameters are quantified. Our results for the 35 occurrence of lightning activity in clouds revealed the following results: 1) the maximum 36 difference in graupel volume at the  $-10^{\circ}$ C isotherm height between thunderstorms and 37 non-thunderstorms reached approximately 7.6 km<sup>3</sup>; 2) the graupel particles approached spherical 38 shapes with a mean differential reflectivity  $(Z_{DR})$  value of 0.3 dB, which likely indicated that 39 heavily rimed graupel was present; 3) the median values of horizontal reflectivity ( $Z_H$ ) or  $Z_{DR}$  at 40 positions where the source initiation and channel of the first lightning flashes were nearly 31 dBZ 41 or 0 dB; and 4) 98.2% of the thunderstorms were equipped with a  $Z_{DR}$  column, and the mean 42 depth was ~2.5 km. Our study deepens our understanding of lighting physics and thunderstorm 43 formation.

### 44 Short summary

Understanding lightning activity is important for meteorology and atmospheric chemistry. However, the occurrence of lightning activity in clouds is uncertain. In this study, we quantified the difference between isolated thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms. We showed that lightning activity was more likely to occur with more graupel volume and/or riming. A deeper Z<sub>DR</sub> column was associated with lightning occurrence. This information can aid in a deeper understanding of lighting physics.

51 Keywords: thunderstorm; lightning; riming; cloud microphysics

52

53

## 54 1. Introduction

55 Thunderstorms are typically severe convection clouds. Lightning is not only a severe 56 weather hazard produced by thunderstorms but also a clear signature of thunderstorm formation 57 (MacGorman and Rust, 1998). Understanding lightning activity (especially for the first lightning 58 flash, which indicates the start of lightning activity in a cloud) is important for understanding 59 meteorological processes and the formation of thunderstorms (Uman and Krider, 1989; 60 Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Fan et al., 2018) and for investigating related atmospheric chemistry, such 61 as the formation of ozone and the primary oxidant in the troposphere, the hydroxyl radical 62 (Pickering et al., 2016; Brune et al., 2021).

63 The determination of whether a cloud will evolve into a thunderstorm is very difficult. The 64 occurrence of lightning activity in clouds is a complex process involving dynamics, microphysics 65 and electrical processes (e.g., Krehbiel et al., 1979; MacGorman and Rust, 1998; Carey and Rutledge, 2000; Stolzenburg et al., 2001; Saunders, 2008; Zhang et al., 2009; Lang and Rutledge, 66 67 2011; Zhang et al., 2016; Stough and Carey, 2020; Lyu et al., 2023). Moreover, natural lightning 68 flashes can be categorized asare generally defined as intracloud lightning and cloud-to-ground 69 lightning (Uman and Krider, 1989). Some studies have indicated that the majority of the first 70 lightning flashes are intracloud lightning, which was concluded from the statistical results 71 observed by polarimetric radar and lightning location systems (e.g., Mattos et al., 2017; Zhao et 72 al., 2021a). In additionaddition, there is a generally accepted electrification cause, especially for 73 clarifying the first lightning flash occurrence correctly: noninductive charging (NIC) of two ice 74 particles of different sizes during rebounding collisions in the presence of supercooled droplets, 75 with the smaller ice particle being the ice crystal and the larger ice particle being the graupel; 76 aerosol provides the cloud condensation nuclei and ice nuclei for hydrometeor formation, thus 77 playing an important role in cloud electrification (Takahashi, 1978; Latham, 1981; Saunders et al., 78 1991; MacGorman and Rust, 1998; Carey and Rutledge, 2000; Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Zhang et 79 al., 2009; Takahashi et al., 2017, 2019; Qie et al., 2021; Lyu et al., 2023).

80 The NIC was proposed on the basis of cold-chamber laboratory experiments (Reynolds et
81 al., 1957; Takahashi, 1978).; sSubsequently, field observations demonstrated that lightning
82 production is critically linked to ice processes (i.e., graupel signatures) (Dye et al., 1986;

Takahashi et al., 1999; Carey and Rutledge, 2000; Basarab et al., 2015; Stolzenburg et al., 2015;
Mattos et al., 2016, 2017; Takahashi et al., 2017, 2019; Hayashi et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2022).
Numerical simulation studies also support the NIC mechanism as the main contributor to charge
separation conducive to lightning flash triggering at timescales relevant to storm duration (e.g.,
Helsdon et al., 2001; Mansell et al., 2005; Barthe and Pinty, 2007). Therefore, graupel is a vital
precipitation particle for riming electrification mechanism.

89 Graupel is rimed precipitation ice. However, the mechanisms for graupel formation vary 90 with cloud type. One pathway to graupel that is very common in warm based clouds worldwide 91 is the development of rain drops in warm rain collision-coalescence processes (e.g., Brahams, 92 1986; Beard, 1992; Herzegh and Jameson, 1992; Bringi et al., 1997; Carey and Rutledge, 2000), 93 followed by lofting of the rain drop in the updraft to subfreezing temperatures (which is 94 frequently observed by polarimetric radar, called the differential reflectivity ( $Z_{DR}$ ) column), then 95 by drop freezing and finally riming into graupel or small hail. This coalescence-freezing 96 mechanism is often the most important pathway to the first graupel/hail, the first significant 97 electrification and the first lightning flash in warm based clouds (e.g., Brahams, 1986; Beard, 98 1992; Herzegh and Jameson, 1992; Bringi et al., 1997; Smith et al., 1999; Carey and Rutledge, 99 2000; Stolzenburg et al., 2015; Mattos et al., 2017). Another pathway to graupel or small hail 100 production is initiated via the aggregation of ice crystals into snow aggregates, followed by 101 riming of the snow aggregate into graupel and possibly even small hail as the rime density 102 increases (Heymsfield, 1982; Li et al., 2018).

103 It should also be emphasized that the formation of graupel is closely related to not only 104 lightning activity but also the strength of updrafts in clouds, and the latent heat of freezing 105 enhances updrafts, promoting severe storm formation (Rosenfeld, 1999; Zhang et al., 2004; 106 Rosenfeld et al., 2008). More droplets freeze aloft and release more latent heat for nucleation, 107 thereby invigorating convective updrafts and producing lightning, and deep convective clouds 108 form (Rosenfeld, 1999; Zhang et al., 2004; Rosenfeld et al., 2008). Therefore, investigating the 109 ice microphysics associated with graupel is essential for understanding thunderstorm formation.

Polarimetric radar is <u>the besta better</u> observation system for tracking the specific location
and timing of a cloud and inferring the microphysical characteristics within clouds (e.g., Seliga)

112 and Bringi, 1976; Zrnic and Ryzhkov, 1999; Kumjian, 2013; Hu et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2023). Many studies (e.g., Laksen and Stansbury, 1974; Marshall and Radhakant, 1978; Dye et al., 1986; 113 Vincent et al., 2003; Latham et al., 2007; Woodard et al., 2012; Mattos et al., 2016, 2017; 114 Hayashi et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2022) have investigated the relationship between ice 115 microphysics and lightning activity and provided methods for predicting the first lightning flash 116 117 occurrence based on the riming electrification mechanism; specifically, graupel-related reflectivity at -10°C or colder is a commonly supported leading reflectivity parameter for 118 119 forecasting the first lightning flash (e.g., Laksen and Stansbury, 1974; Marshall and Radhakant, 120 1978; Vincent et al., 2003; Woodard et al., 2012; Hayashi et al., 2021). However, the 121 performances of these methods vary with season, geography, or other atmospheric variables; 122 more directly, different ice microphysics within different clouds dominate. There is no doubt that 123 the graupel signatures inferred by polarimetric radar are universally present in convective clouds, 124 whereas some clouds involve no lightning (e.g., Woodard et al., 2012; Hayashi et al., 2021; Cui 125 et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2022). Specifically, the graupel signature inferred by the polarimetric 126 radar needs to be partitioned into more details according to the radar parameters. Therefore, we 127 conducted this study to better understand the ice microphysics associated with graupel within 128 thunderstorms.

We accomplished this goal by comparing the ice microphysics associated with graupel 129 130 between isolated thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms during the warm season over southern 131 China and quantifying differences in graupel magnitude and shape (implying the riming efficiency) in radar parameters, instead of studying the evolution variation within the same 132 133 thunderstorm (the role of some polarimetric signatures would be covered in the same cloud evolution). Furthermore, we discussed the possible microphysics associated with the source 134 135 initiation and channel of the first lightning flash via 3D lightning mapping. To our knowledge, no other study addressing this topic has been published. In addition, we explored the role of the 136 137 coalescence-freezing mechanism in the production of lightning based on the information provided by the Z<sub>DR</sub> column, a narrow vertical extension of positive Z<sub>DR</sub> values above the 0°C 138 139 isothermal height associated with updrafts and supercooled liquid water in deep moist convective storms (e.g., Hall et al., 1980; Ryzhkov et al., 1994; Kumjian and Ryzhkov, 2008; Kumjian, 2013; 140

141 Kumjian et al., 2014; Snyder et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2023). Isolated 142 thunderstorms are common in southern China during the warm season (Mai and Du, 2022). From 143 the perspective of isolated storms in the warm season, the physical processes within clouds are 144 easier to explain, and the characteristics of graupel microphysics can be compared with those of 145 cold-based clouds (Li et al., 2018).

146

### 2. Materials and methods

147 The dataset used in this study was the same as that used in Zhao et al. (2021a, 2022). In Zhao 148 et al. (2021a), the dataset was first shown to the public., who They obtained observations of 57 (39) 149 isolated thunderstorms (non-thunderstorms) that occurred over South China in the warm season 150 (from late May to early September) of 2016 and 2017 from the S-band polarimetric radar and 151 three independent lightning location systems. The role of turbulence characteristics in producing the first lightning flashes was evaluated on the basis of the dataset, and the results indicated that 152 153 the eddy dissipation rate of non-thunderstorms was clearly lower than that of thunderstorms (Zhao et al., 2021a). Moreover, the polarimetric radar parameters of the first radar echoes (the first radar 154 155 volume scan when clouds are detected by radar) were compared to determine the early difference 156 between thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms on the basis of this dataset (Zhao et al., 2022). The greater echo intensity occurred in non-thunderstorms below the  $-10^{\circ}$ C isotherm height, and the 157 cause for this feature and effect on subsequent cloud development were simply discussed by 158 159 integrating comprehensive observations (e.g., the ERA-Interim reanalysis data, surface aerosol 160 concentration, and graupel and rainwater contents derived from radar observations).

161 The error in the graupel content estimated in Zhao et al. (2022) is uncertain, and the 162 efficiency of the microphysical process (i.e., riming) associated with graupel is unknown; this 163 represents a gap in understanding-regarding the role of graupel in the first lightning flash 164 occurrence based on field observations. Naturally, we aimed to identify a method to quantify 165 differences in graupel magnitude and riming efficiency in this study to minimize the error as much 166 as possible. The radar sample volume, which corresponds to graupel identification, was used to 167 indicate the graupel magnitude instead of the derived graupel content, as in Carey and Rutledge (2000) and Zhao et al. (2022). The variety of Z<sub>DR</sub> shapes was used to determine the riming 168 169 efficiency. Thus, the goal and method of this study were substantially different from those of the two previous studies noted above, although they are based on the same dataset.

171 The Guangzhou S-band polarimetric radar (GZ radar) provided the radar data as marked by 172 the orange star in Figure 1. The beam width of the GZ radar was  $\leq 1^{\circ}$ , and a full radar volume scan lasted 6 minutes; this consisted of nine elevation angles with a radial resolution of 250 m. A 173 174 quality control procedure was carried out to remove ground clutter, anomalous propagation, and 175 biological scatter, and the Z<sub>DR</sub> offset of the raw data was corrected (Zhao et al., 2022). The quality-controlled radar data were interpolated onto a Cartesian grid at a horizontal resolution of 176 177 250 m and a vertical resolution of 500 m from 0.5 to 20 km above the mean sea level via nearest neighbour and vertical linear interpolation. 178





179

180 Figure 1. The locations of the detection systems and the analysed area. The orange star indicates 181 the Guangzhou S-band polarimetric radar (GZ radar); the orange circles represent distances from the 182 GZ radar site of 25 and 100 km. The black dots indicate the 10 sensors of the Low-Frequency E-field 183 Detection array (LFEDA); the black circle indicates thea distance of 70 km from the centre of the 184 LFEDA network to 70 km. The blue triangles indicate the 16 sensors of the Earth Networks Lightning 185 Location System (ENLLS), and the orange triangles indicate the 27 sensors of the Guangdong 186 Lightning Location System (GDLLS). The white diamonds indicate the three ground sites of aerosol 187 concentration measurements. The orange diamond indicates the Qingyuan meteorological observatory. 188 The analysed area is restricted to the regions of overlapping coverage between the GZ radar radius of 189 25-100 km and the LFEDA station network centre radius of 70 km.

190 A hydrometeor identification method, which is based on the fuzzy logic algorithm, was 191 carried out to discriminate the graupel particles, as in Zhao et al. (2021b). The algorithm and 192 approximate ranges of the S-band values of each polarimetric variable essentially followed Park et al. (2009) and Kumjian (2013), with an improvement in the parameters of the membership 193 194 functions of the fuzzy logic algorithm for the performance of the GZ radar, especially for dry/wet 195 snow particles (Wu et al., 2018). In addition, temperature information was one of the few factors 196 added to the hydrometeor identification method because it can separate liquid precipitation from 197 solid hydrometeors to avoid visible identification errors (e.g., Bechini and Chandrasekar, 2015; Kouketsu et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2020). 198

Three independent lightning location systems provided lightning observations. The 199 200 low-frequency E-field detection array (LFEDA, as marked by black dots in Figure 1) can detect 201 three-dimensional structures of intracloud lightning and/or cloud-to-ground lightning. The 202 detection efficiency and mean location error of LFEDA for triggered lightning were approximately 203 100% and 102 m, respectively (Shi et al., 2017; Fan et al., 2018). The Earth Networks Lightning 204 Location System (ENLLS, as marked by blue triangles in Figure 1) can detect two-dimensional 205 locations for intracloud lightning and/or cloud-to-ground lightning. The detection efficiency and 206 mean location error of ENLLS for triggered lightning and the natural strike of tall structure 207 lightning were approximately 77% and 685 m, respectively (Zheng et al., 2017). The Guangdong 208 Lightning Location System (GDLLS, as marked by orange triangles in Figure 1) can locate 209 cloud-to-ground lightning. The detection efficiency and mean location error of the GDLLS for triggered lightning and the natural strike of tall structure lightning were approximately 94% and 210 211 741 m, respectively (Chen et al., 2012).

The lightning flash was assigned to its corresponding cell by using the boundary of the cell as a constraint every 6 minutes. The first lightning flash of a thunderstorm was defined by its first detection from one of three lightning location systems. An isolated non-thunderstorm cell was selected when no flash in the cell was detected by any of the three lightning location systems. To ensure detection-data quality, the analysis area was restricted to the regions of overlapping coverage between the GZ radar radius of 25–100 km and the LFEDA station network centre radius of 70 km (Figure 1), as in Zhao et al. (2021a, 2022). Any isolated cell storm generated within the 219 analysis area that moved completely outside the analysis area or merged with other precipitation 220 cells was excluded. The intersection of the 20 dBZ contours of the two intersecting cells is 221 referred to as merging. For thunderstorms, we ensure that the first lightning flash of the cell must 222 occur before merging or when there is no merging. For storm cell development, if no merging 223 process occurs and the maximum reflectivity of this cell starts to fade with a value of less than 30 224 dBZ later, the evolutionary process of a cell will mark the cessation stage. Our objective was to 225 focus on isolated storm cells; therefore, if the merging process occurs before the fading of the 226 maximum reflectivity of this cell, the evolutionary process of the cell will also signal the cessation 227 stage.

228 In the dataset, six merging events occurred in non-thunderstorms, and the values of maximum 229 reflectivity for these non-thunderstorms did not increase after merging occurred. In addition, the 230 maximum reflectivity within any non-thunderstorm cell from initiation to cessation must exceed 231 45 dBZ to avoid the statistics of weak precipitation cells. Non-thunderstorms are characterized by 232 no flash occurrence from initiation to cessation. The sounding data were obtained from the 233 Qingyuan meteorological observatory, as marked by the orange diamond in Figure 1, which also 234 provided the environmental temperature. Isolated thunderstorm/non-thunderstorm cells were 235 identified and tracked manually based on the observations from the GZ radar and lightning location systems. The average distances between these storms and the radar/sounding site were 236 237 approximately 70 and 56 km, respectively. More details related to these data and the selection 238 methods for isolated thunderstorm and non-thunderstorm cells are available in Zhao et al. (2021a, 239 2022).

240 In this study, the evolution cycle of a thunderstorm consists of three stages: (i) the first radar 241 volume scanning in cases where the horizontal reflectivity  $(Z_H) \ge 5$  dBZ is called the first stage 242 (hereafter referred to as the #1 stage), (ii) the intermediate radar volume scanning between the first 243 stage and the third stage is called the second stage (hereafter referred to as the #2 stage), and (iii) 244 the radar volume scanning in cases where the first lightning flash occurs is called the third stage 245 (hereafter referred to as the #3 stage). Similarly, the evolution cycle of a non-thunderstorm also 246 contains three stages, but radar volume scanning in cases where the most intense echo occurs is 247 called the third stage; here, the most intense echo is used to indicate the strongest convection 248 development stage of non-thunderstorms for comparison with the first lightning flash stage of 249 thunderstorms. The average durations from the first stage to the third stage for thunderstorms and 250 non-thunderstorms were 19 and 24 minutes, respectively.

251 The majority of first lightning flash events (~98%) were considered intracloud flashes (IC 252 flashes), and only one was considered a cloud-to-ground flash (CG flash) (Figure 2a). The 253 majority of first lightning flashes (~91%) was determined by the LFEDA because of its superior 254 detection efficiency and accuracy for detecting lightning flashes in this analysis area (Figure 2a). 255 The elapsed time between the first radar volume scan and the first IC or CG flash (indicated by the 256 first IC or CG return stroke) is shown in Figure 2b. The results show that the average elapsed time 257 between the first radar volume scan and the first IC flash was approximately 19 minutes, and the 258 first CG flash was approximately 32 minutes (Figure 2b). A recent study (Mattos et al., 2017) also 259 revealed that in ~98% of thunderstorms, the firstern IC flash preceded the first CG flash, and the IC 260 flashes occurred approximately 29 minutes after the first radar echo (any reflectivity value (any value above the local noise floor of the radar) at any height), CG flashes were most frequently 261 262 delayed by approximately 36 minutes. The definition of the first radar echo may be the possible 263 reason that the first flashes occurring after the first radar echo in Mattos et al. (2017) occurred 264 later than those in our study.





Figure 2. Lightning observations. Elapsed time between the first radar volume scan and (a) the first
flashes of three lightning location systems, LFEDA (red line), ENLLS (blue line), and GDLLS (black
line), where the grey circles indicate the first IC flashes, the grey diamonds indicate the first CG flashes,
and (b) the elapsed time between the first radar volume scan and the first flashes of thunderstorms, the
first IC flashes (black columns), and the first CG flashes (red columns).

271 In addition, the average 1-hourly surface concentration observations of particulate matter

272 (PM<sub>2.5/10</sub>) were provided by three ground sites (Figure 1, white diamonds) within the analysed area. 273 The PM<sub>2.5/10</sub> concentration data suggest that the environment prior to these isolated thunderstorms 274 or non-thunderstorms was clean and that the difference in the environmental aerosol concentration 275 between thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms may be small (the mean values of PM<sub>2.5/10</sub> 276 concentrations prior to thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms were 22.9/42  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> and 20.5/38.8 277  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively).

278 **3. Results** 

# 3.1 Morphology and intensity of the echoes in and/or before the first lightning flashoccurrence

281 The scatters and triangles with error bars in Figure 3a depict the echo-top heights and 282 echo-base heights of the 57 thunderstorms and 39 non-thunderstorms from the first stage to the 283 third stage of cloud development via the reflectivity threshold (0 dBZ), and the echo depths are 284 shown in the box plots. The echo-top heights of thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms increase as 285 clouds develop. For the echo-top height data, approximately 95% of the thunderstorms exceeded 286 the  $-30^{\circ}$ C isotherm height, and 85% exceeded the  $-38^{\circ}$ C isotherm height of the glaciated layer during the third stage of cloud development; however, only 26% and 23% of the 287 288 non-thunderstorms exceeded the  $-30^{\circ}$ C and the  $-38^{\circ}$ C isotherm heights, respectively, during the third stage of cloud development. However, the echo-base heights mildly decreased with the 289 290 development of clouds; slight differences in the echo-base heights occurred between 291 thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms.

When the first lightning flashes occurred, approximately 84% of the thunderstorms and only 23% of the non-thunderstorms achieved an echo depth of 10 km. Lightning is the product of the severe storms, and scientists often equate storm intensity with lightning flashes (e.g., Zipser et al., 2006; Fan et al., 2018), but defining convective intensity is not as easy as it may seem (Zipser et al., 2006); this could provide supplementary quantitative evidence for assisting scientists in equating storm intensity with lightning flashes and determining the cloud depth corresponding to the first lightning flash occurrence.

299

Figure 3b shows that the differences in the mean (maximum) values of the Z<sub>H</sub> between the

300 thunderstorm and non-thunderstorm periods during each stage are slight; specifically, the median 301 differences in the mean values are -2, 2, and 3 dBZ, respectively. The median differences in the 302 maximum values are -4, 5, and 5 dBZ, respectively. Thunderstorms exhibit greater  $Z_H$  intensities than non-thunderstorms do, except for those in the first stage of cloud development. The signature 303 304 of larger mean or maximum values of Z<sub>H</sub> in non-thunderstorms during the first stage than in 305 thunderstorms has been discussed by Zhao et al. (2022), and this aspect is not the focus of this 306 study. The mean or maximum values of  $Z_{\rm H}$  in thunderstorms increase and exceed those in 307 non-thunderstorms when the first lightning flashes occur; however, the box plots show that we 308 cannot effectively differentiate thunderstorms from non-thunderstorms with respect to the Z<sub>H</sub> 309 intensity.



310

**Figure 3. Characteristics of radar echoes with cloud development.** (a) Echo-top heights of 0 dBZ

312 and echo-base heights of 0 dBZ for 57 thunderstorm and 39 non-thunderstorm cells from the first stage 313 to the third stage of cloud development are indicated by scatter points and triangles, respectively, with 314 error bars. Error bars are computed as 95% confidence intervals. Box plots for the 57 thunderstorms 315 (orange) and 39 non-thunderstorms (blue) for echo depths; all units are in km. The dashed grey lines indicate the  $-38^{\circ}$ C and  $-30^{\circ}$ C isotherm heights. (b) The mean (maximum) value of the Z<sub>H</sub> in a 316 317 thunderstorm or a non-thunderstorm during every stage is shown in notched box plots (non-notched 318 box plots), with all units in the dBZ. The median values in the box plots are shown as black horizontal 319 continuous lines. The temperature data were obtained from the sounding data of the Qingyuan 320 meteorological observatory.

# 321 **3.2** Variations in graupel magnitude with cloud development

Graupel is a vital precipitation particle for the riming electrification mechanism, and its radar signature is not obscured by small ice particles. Thus, to investigate the microphysical characteristics related to the first lightning flash occurrence during storms, we obtained inferred "graupel", which was derived from the fuzzy-logic method based on the GZ radar (Park et al., 2009; Kumjian, 2013; Zhao et al., 2021b, 2022).

Each bar in Figure 4 indicates the mean value of the graupel volume in a height layer (the definition of the height layer is a vertical resolution of 500 m over 0.5 to 20 km above the mean sea level, 40 height layers in total) for 57 thunderstorms or 39 non-thunderstorms during each stage of cloud development. Specifically, the volume is computed by accumulating the radar sample grids; each radar sample grid is 0.03125 km<sup>3</sup>, 0.25 km×0.25 km×0.5 km.

332 Graupel is rare in thunderstorms or non-thunderstorms during the first stage of cloud 333 development (e.g., Dye et al., 1986; Mattos et al., 2017), and only 5% (13%) of thunderstorms (non-thunderstorms) show graupel signals (Figure 4). This finding is consistent with the results of 334 335 Lang and Rutledge (2011), who indicated that the existence of a 30 dBZ echo above the freezing altitude is a necessary condition (in ~90% of cases) for lightning occurrence. This value is well 336 above the 5 dBZ threshold used in this study to detect the first stage of a storm and can explain 337 why graupel is rare in this stage. Moreover, in a modelling study of an isolated thunderstorm, 338 Barthe and Pinty (2007) reported a delay of ~20 minutes between the first occurrence of graupel 339 and the first lightning flash. In this case study, this delay was attributed to the time for graupel and 340 vapour-grown ice to locally gain charge through the NIC mechanism and to the sedimentation of 341 the different particles leading to macroscopic charge separation. 342

343 We proposed a mechanism for explaining the larger graupel volume in non-thunderstorms during the first stage of cloud development: more warm precipitation growth in non-thunderstorms 344 345 due to cyclic drop growth resulting from coalescence under weaker updrafts may promote greater drop formation (Kumjian et al., 2014; Mather et al., 1986; Stough et al., 2021). These larger drops 346 are lifted above the 0°C isothermal height and freeze to graupel-sized particles via a 347 348 coalescence-freezing mechanism (e.g., Bringi et al., 1997; Carey and Rutledge, 2000). With the 349 development of clouds, that proportion of thunderstorms (non-thunderstorms) that produced 350 graupel reaches 79% (51%) and 100% (95%) during the second and third stages of cloud 351 development, respectively.



352

353 Figure 4. Distribution of graupel signals and volume with cloud development. Histogram plots with error bars for the distribution of the graupel volume above the melting layer for thunderstorm and 354 355 non-thunderstorm cells during each stage of cloud development. Each grey dot indicates the total 356 graupel volume on a height layer (the definition of the height layer is a vertical resolution of 500 m 357 over 0.5 to 20 km above the mean sea level, 40 height layers in total) of a thunderstorm; the black dots 358 indicate non-thunderstorms (units in km<sup>3</sup>). The mean graupel volume in a height layer for the 57 359 thunderstorms is displayed as an orange histogram and a blue histogram shows the graupel volume for non-thunderstorm (in km<sup>3</sup>). Error bars are computed as 95% confidence intervals. The numerical values 360 in orange and blue are the percentages of thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms that show graupel 361 362 signals, respectively. The left column represents the first stage of cloud development, and the right and 363 middle rows represent the third and second stages of cloud development, respectively. The  $-10^{\circ}$ C, -20°C, and -38°C isotherm heights are displayed in the histogram plots. 364

365 The greatest difference in graupel magnitude between thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms is found during the third stage of cloud development; the maximum difference in graupel volume 366 in a height layer reaches approximately 7.6 km<sup>3</sup>, and the height of the maximum difference is near 367 368 the  $-10^{\circ}$ C isotherm height. This information is consistent with the NIC electrification mechanism; namely, more graupel leads to more cloud electrification. In addition, more graupel corresponds to 369 370 more latent heat being released for convection invigoration. Interestingly, that the height 371 corresponding to maximum difference of graupel volume is consistent with the main negative 372 charge layer in thunderstorms over Guangzhou (Liu et al., 2020). Thus, the results suggested that the location of the negative charge layer may depend on the height of the maximum graupel 373 magnitude. Notably, the graupel volume should be more accurately phrased as the presence of 374 375 graupel in this volume. These characteristics indicate that graupel signals are universally present in 376 thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms and that the difference in the magnitude of the graupel 377 volume is the key for the first lightning flash occurrence.

#### 378 **3.3** More microphysical information based on radar variables

379 As the graupel volume increases from the first radar track to the occurrence of the first 380 lightning flash, the graupel volume in thunderstorms is clearly greater than that in 381 non-thunderstorms during the third stage of cloud development. However, the understanding of the details of the increase in graupel volume is limited (e.g., the variation in the maximum 382 383 dimension or number concentration and precursor signature). In addition, although the 384 coalescence-freezing mechanism dominating the formation of graupel within warm-season thunderstorms is generally accepted (e.g., Brahams, 1986; Beard, 1992; Herzegh and Jameson, 385 386 1992; Bringi et al., 1997; Smith et al., 1999; Carey and Rutledge, 2000; Stolzenburg et al., 2015; 387 Mattos et al., 2017), more studies are needed to support this mechanism.

The  $Z_{DR}$  parameter could provide more information on graupel (e.g., shape) (e.g., Mattos et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018) and supercooled liquid water (e.g.,  $Z_{DR}$  column) (e.g., Kumjian, 2013; Kumjian et al., 2014). The variance in the shape of the graupel indicates the riming efficiency; specifically, the heavily rimed ice particles approach a spherical shape (Kumjian, 2013; Li et al., 2018). Although the shape cannot directly indicate the variation in the maximum dimension, the speculated riming efficiency from the variation in the graupel shape could provide related

394 information on the maximum dimension of graupel particles; typically, a more spherical shape (a 395 decrease in  $Z_{DR}$ ) and more riming result in a stronger  $Z_H$  corresponding to a larger maximum dimension (Li et al., 2018). The supercooled liquid water indicated by positive Z<sub>DR</sub> values above 396 397 the 0°C isothermal height is the precursor for freezing particles, followed by the embryo of 398 graupel particles (e.g., Carey and Rutledge, 2000). Thus, the existence and/or variance of the  $Z_{DR}$ 399 column before the occurrence of the first lighting flash could support the coalescence-freezing 400 mechanism. Moreover, we can obtain the quantitative difference in the  $Z_{DR}$  between thunderstorms 401 and non-thunderstorms, especially for the occurrence of the first lightning flash.

# 402 a. Differences in the shapes of graupel particles between thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms

403 The mean values of  $Z_H$  and  $Z_{DR}$  corresponding to graupel particles (the radar sample grids are 404 identified as graupel) above the ~-3°C isotherm height (avoiding melting effects) in 405 thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms during each stage of cloud development are displayed in 406 Figure 5. Each orange dot indicates the mean values of Z<sub>H</sub> and Z<sub>DR</sub> corresponding to graupel above the  $\sim -3^{\circ}C$  isotherm height in a thunderstorm; each blue dot indicates that in a 407 408 non-thunderstorm. On the basis of these results, the average intensity of the  $Z_{DR}$  corresponding to 409 the graupel particles decreases with cloud development, which indicates that the graupel particles 410 gradually approach a spherical shape (Figure 5d). The most remarkable indicator is that the 411 graupel particles in the majority of the thunderstorms have lower Z<sub>DR</sub> values with a mean value of 412  $\sim 0.3$  dB when the first lightning flashes occur; however, this lower  $Z_{DR}$  value is not evident in 413 non-thunderstorms, even during the most intense echo stage of cloud development, with a mean 414 value of ~0.5 dB. Moreover, the ZDR values approach 0 dB, corresponding to stronger ZH values 415 when the average intensity of the  $Z_H$  exceeds 35 dBZ. Thus, we speculated that heavily rimed 416 graupel was present, the size increased, and the shape tended to be spherical.

417 Li et al. (2018) presented a quantitative relationship between the riming and shape of snow 418 aggregates in only winter snowstorms; however, we examined the relationship in deep convection 419 or thunderstorms in the present study. In Li et al. (2018), particles with  $Z_H > 15$  dBZ,  $Z_{DR} > 0.4$  dB, 420 and above the ~-3°C isotherm height are likely to be lightly rimed (rime mass fraction ~< 0.2), 421 and particles with  $Z_H > 15$  dBZ,  $-0.2 < Z_{DR} < 0.15$  dB, and above the ~-3°C isotherm height are 422 likely to be moderately or heavily rimed (rime mass fraction ~> 0.4). The rime mass fraction is 423 defined as the ratio of the accreted ice mass to the total ice particle mass; more details on the rime 424 mass fraction can be found in Li et al. (2018). In Figures 5a, b, and c, the shaded area in blue 425 indicates the high possibility that graupel particles are lightly rimed; in contrast, the shaded area in 426 yellow indicates that the graupel particles are moderately or heavily rimed, as in Li et al. (2018). The results from Li et al. (2018) are limited to only winter snowstorms; the mechanism for 427 428 producing graupel in winter snowstorms is initiated via the aggregation of ice crystals into snow 429 aggregates, followed by riming of the snow aggregate into graupel and possibly even small hail as 430 the rime density increases (Heymsfield, 1982; Li et al., 2018). This process is different from the coalescence-freezing mechanism in warm-season thunderstorms, but the final shape of the graupel 431 particles when first lightning flashes occurred in this study approached the shape of moderately or 432 433 heavily rimed ice particles in Li et al. (2018).





Figure 5. Graupel shape in and/or before the first lightning flash occurrence. Scatter plots with error bars for the mean values of  $Z_H$  and  $Z_{DR}$  corresponding to graupel particles above the  $\sim -3^{\circ}C$ 

437 isotherm height in thunderstorm (orange) and non-thunderstorm (blue) cells during each stage of cloud 438 development. Error bars are computed as 95% confidence intervals. The inferred differences in the 439 efficiency of the riming process are shown by the threshold values of  $Z_{\rm H}$  and  $Z_{\rm DR}$ ; the shaded area in 440 blue indicates the high possibility that graupel particles are lightly rimed, and comparatively, the 441 shaded area in yellow indicates that graupel particles are moderately or heavily rimed. (a) First stage, (b) 442 second stage, and (c) third stage of cloud development. In addition, the statistical mean values are 443 given in (d), and the orange (blue) line indicates the mean value of the  $Z_{DR}$  corresponding to the above 444 scatters in thunderstorms (non-thunderstorms) during each stage of cloud development. The shaded 445 area indicates the 95% confidence interval.

446

447

b.

# Observational characteristics associated with the source initiation and channel of the first lightning flash

448 The characteristics at positions with source initiation and channel characteristics of the first 449 lightning flash are shown in Figure 6, including the height distribution, associated hydrometeor 450 type, and values of  $Z_{\rm H}$  and  $Z_{\rm DR}$ . The heights of the initiation sources and propagation sources of 451 the first lightning flashes determined via LFEDA are concentrated at an approximate -10°C 452 isotherm height (Figure 6a), which is consistent with the results (i.e., the negative charge layer is 453 located at 6 to 8 km height in thunderstorms over Guangzhou) reported by Liu et al. (2020). The 454 hydrometeor types associated with the initiation and propagation sources are similar, and the majority of these particles are graupel and ice crystals (Figure 6b), which is understandable on the 455 456 basis of the NIC electrification mechanism.

The median values of  $Z_{\rm H}$  are near 31 dBZ, and the  $Z_{\rm DR}$  values are near 0 dB (Figure 6c, d). Furthermore, Figure 7 displays the frequency of initiation and propagation sources corresponding to value intervals of  $Z_{\rm H}$  (4 dBZ) and  $Z_{\rm DR}$  (0.2 dB). The results indicate that the initiation sources of the first lightning flashes likely correspond to 20~40 dBZ and -0.2~0.4 dB (Figure 7a), and the values are likely 16~44 dBZ and -0.2~0.8 dB from propagation sources, respectively (Figure 7b).

462 These characteristics The heights of the initiation sources and propagation sources of the first 463 lightning flashes within isolated thunderstorms over Guangzhou are concentrated at an 464 approximate  $-10^{\circ}$ C isotherm height, which provides supplementary evidence that the main 465 negative charge layer is located at  $-10^{\circ}$ C to  $-20^{\circ}$ C isotherm height on Earth, as reported by 466 Krehbiel (1986). , and The values of  $Z_{\rm H}$  ( $Z_{\rm DR}$ ) corresponding to the initiation sources and 467 propagation sources of the first lightning flashes suggest that are differences in particle shape and/or size between initiation sources and propagation sources, although the differences are too





470

471

Figure 6. The characteristics at positions with source initiation and the channel of the first

472 lightning flash. (a) Height distribution of the locations at the initial sources (orange box) or 473 propagation sources (blue box) of the first lightning flashes. The 0°C, -10°C, -20°C, and -38°C 474 isotherm heights are displayed. (b) The histogram indicates the percentage of various hydrometeors of 475 the locations at the initial sources or propagation sources (histogram with dashed line) of the first 476 lightning flashes. The numerical value is the percentage of various hydrometeors, such as dry snow (DS, 477 dark green), wet snow (WS, green), crystals (CR, grey), graupel (GR, yellow), big drops (BD), raindrops (RA, blue), heavy rain (HR, purple), and rain and hail mixtures (RH, red). Radar parameters 478 479 of the locations at the initial sources (orange box) or propagation sources (blue box) of the first lightning flashes: (c) horizontal reflectivity (Z<sub>H</sub>) and (d) differential reflectivity (Z<sub>DR</sub>). Each black dot 480 481 indicates an individual source. The diamonds indicate the mean values.



482

483 484

Figure 7. The frequency of radiation sources corresponding to the value intervals of Z<sub>H</sub> and Z<sub>DR</sub>. (a) Initial sources. (b)Propagation sources.

### 485 c. Signature of the $Z_{DR}$ column

486 Previous studies utilized Z<sub>DR</sub> values ranging from 0.5-5 dB within the strong reflectivity 487 range (35-50 dBZ) above the melting layer to describe the area of the Z<sub>DR</sub> column (e.g., Illingworth et al., 1987; Tuttle et al., 1989; Ryzhkov et al., 1994; Scharfenberg et al., 2005; 488 489 Woodard et al., 2012; Kumjian et al., 2014; Snyder et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2020). Since the 490 development of these clouds in this study occurred during the early stage of the full evolution 491 cycle of thunderstorms, the size of the supercooled liquid water drop would not be large. Thus, we 492 used  $Z_{DR}$  values of 0.5 dB within a reflectivity range of 30 dBZ above the melting layer to 493 investigate the characteristics of the  $Z_{DR}$  column.

494 Figure 8 shows the height of the  $Z_{DR}$  column within thunderstorms or non-thunderstorms 495 during each stage of cloud development. The computation of the  $Z_{DR}$  column height is similar to 496 that in Snyder et al. (2015), and this height is the vertically continuous maximum depth of the  $Z_{DR}$ 497 column. The signature of the  $Z_{DR}$  column clearly coincides with the development of clouds (Figure 8). Most thunderstorms (98.2%) displayed a deep  $Z_{DR}$  column with a mean depth of the 498 Z<sub>DR</sub> column of ~2.5 km when the first lightning flash occurred; however, only 48.7% of 499 500 non-thunderstorms corresponded to a shallow  $Z_{DR}$  column with a mean value of ~1.1 km (Figure 8a, b). Moreover, 66.7% of the thunderstorms presented a deeper  $Z_{DR}$  column with a mean value 501 of ~1.5 km during the second stage of cloud development, and 30.8% of the non-thunderstorms 502

presented a shallower  $Z_{DR}$  column with a mean value of ~0.99 km during the second stage of cloud development (Figure 8a, b).

The results indicate that strong relationship between the  $Z_{DR}$  column and the occurrence of the first lightning flash is persistent. A deeper  $Z_{DR}$  column suggests a greater graupel volume. However, the occurrence frequency of the  $Z_{DR}$  column for non-thunderstorms is slightly greater than that for thunderstorms during the first stage of cloud development (Figure 8a, b). This phenomenon may be related to the results of Zhao et al. (2022); specifically, the  $Z_{DR}$  values below the  $-10^{\circ}$ C isotherm height of non-thunderstorms were greater than those of thunderstorms within the first radar echo.





Figure 8. Z<sub>DR</sub> column information in and/or before the first lightning flash occurrence. Violin
plots of the Z<sub>DR</sub> column depth of thunderstorm or non-thunderstorm cells during each stage of cloud
development, showing the average (blue diamond), interquartile range (rectangle), 10th and 90th
percentiles (whiskers), and kernel density estimation (gray shading). (a) Thunderstorms. (b)
Non-thunderstorms. The numerical value is the percentage of thunderstorms that show the Z<sub>DR</sub> column
signature.

# 519 **4. Summary**

In this study, a combination of a-lightning location systems and dual-polarization radar measurements was employed to study the ice microphysics of isolated thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms in southern China during the warm season. From the unique perspective of

523 comparing radar signatures and inferred graupel information between isolated thunderstorm and 524 non-thunderstorm cells during each stage of cloud development, lightning generation in clouds 525 was found to be a good indicator of the formation of deep convective clouds. The echo intensities, 526 echo-top heights and echo depths were greater in clouds when the first lightning flash occurred, 527 which indicated more severe updrafts in thunderstorms than in non-thunderstorms. Moreover, a 528 greater graupel volume was clearly observed in clouds when the first lightning flash occurred, and 529 the maximum difference in graupel volume in the height layer between thunderstorms and 530 non-thunderstorms reached approximately 7.6 km<sup>3</sup>, corresponding to an approximate  $-10^{\circ}$ C 531 isotherm height.

532 The variation in the average  $Z_{DR}$  intensity corresponding to the graupel particles above the 533  $\sim -3^{\circ}$ C isotherm height during the three stages of cloud development indicated that graupel particles were more spherical (the mean Z<sub>DR</sub> value was ~0.3 dB) and were more likely to generate 534 535 lightning. The  $Z_{DR}$  values approached 0 dB, corresponding to stronger  $Z_H$  values; the average intensity of the Z<sub>H</sub> exceeded 35 dBZ. When the first lightning flashes occurred in clouds, a 536 537 decrease in the  $Z_{DR}$  value and an increase in the  $Z_H$  value of graupel were observed; these results 538 indicate that heavily rimed ice particles were present and that the shape of these particles was 539 similar to that of moderately or heavily rimed ice particles within winter snowstorms.

Furthermore, observational characteristics associated with the source initiation and channel of the first lightning flash were investigated. The results revealed that these sources were concentrated at an isotherm height of approximately  $-10^{\circ}$ C and mainly corresponded to graupel and ice crystals. The median values of  $Z_{\rm H}$  or  $Z_{\rm DR}$  at the positions of source initiation and the channel of the first lightning flashes were nearly 31 dBZ or 0 dB. In addition, we suggest that the differences in particle shape and/or size between the initiation sources and propagation sources of the first lightning flashes persist.

Moreover, the results indicated a strong relationship between the  $Z_{DR}$  column and the occurrence of the first lightning flash; 98.2% of the clouds were equipped with a  $Z_{DR}$  column with a mean depth of ~2.5 km when the first lightning flash occurred. In addition, a deeper  $Z_{DR}$  column corresponded to a greater graupel volume. Thus, the coalescence-freezing mechanism dominated the formation of graupel within warm-season isolated thunderstorms over southern China, and the results were consistent with those of previous studies (e.g., Brahams, 1986; Beard, 1992; Herzegh and Jameson, 1992; Bringi et al., 1997; Smith et al., 1999; Carey and Rutledge, 2000; Stolzenburg et al., 2015; Mattos et al., 2017) but increased the knowledge of the quantified characteristics of the  $Z_{DR}$  column for the first lightning flash occurrence in warm-season isolated thunderstorms on the basis of relatively large sample statistics (Table 1 shows details of cases in related investigations for isolated thunderstorms).

References	Number of cases (thunderstorms)	Number of cases (non-thunderstorms)
Workman and Reynolds, 1949	12	×
Reynolds and Brook, 1956	5	×
Goodman et al., 1988	1	×
Ramachandran et al., 1996	2	×
Jameson et al., 1996	3	×
Woodard et al., 2012	31	19
Stolzenburg et al., 2015	3	×
Mattos et al., 2017	46	×

558

# Table 1. Details of the cases in the references.

However, our results were obtained by comparing the characteristics of the polarimetric 559 560 parameters according to the graupel particles inferred via a hydrometeor identification method. 561 The inferred graupel volume was an indication that graupel could be present among other 562 hydrometeors in that volume. From the perspective of radar, the dominant particle in this volume was graupel. Fortunately, we focused on comparing the graupel volume between thunderstorms 563 564 and non-thunderstorms; therefore, we believe that the errors in this volume resulting from other 565 secondary hydrometeors could be neutralized by comparisons with the same detected data and 566 methods.

In addition, unlike previous similar studies (e.g., Mattos et al., 2016, 2017), we studied the microphysical differences between isolated thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms during the warm season over southern China on the basis of polarimetric radar and lightning mapping array instead of studying the evolution variation within the same thunderstorm (Mattos et al., 2017) or studying the differences between storm vertical profiles in three-dimensional Cartesian boxes with lightning and without lightning (Mattos et al., 2016).

573	Although the results from this study could provide a possible index or method based on
574	polarimetric radar for warning of the first lightning flash occurrence within warm-season cell
575	storms, understanding the microphysical characteristics and applying that in the numerical
576	simulations would be the optimal method for providing lightning flash warnings in the future.

577

578

579

# 580 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Guangzhou Institute of Tropical and Marine Meteorology for 581 collecting and archiving the radar, the surface, and the lightning observations. And authors also 582 583 acknowledge the State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences & Laboratory of Lightning Physics and Protection Engineering for three-dimensional 584 lightning location data. This research has been supported by the National Natural Science 585 586 Foundation of China (grants 42175090, 42305079, 42305087), the China Postdoctoral Science 587 Foundation (grant 2023M730619), the Scientific Research Fund of Chengdu University of 588 Information Technology (grants KYTZ202213, KYQN202301, KYQN202307), the Scientific 589 Research Fund of CAMS State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather (2021LASW-B02), and Basic Research Fund of CAMS (451490, 2023Z008). 590

591

## 592 Open Research

593 The sounding data is available at http://weather.uwyo.edu/upperair/sounding.html. The data in this594 study can be obtained from Figshare (Zhao, 2024).

595

596

# 597 References

Barthe, C., and Pinty, J.-P.: Simulation of electrified storms with comparison of the charge
structure and lightning efficiency, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112, D19204,

- 600 doi:10.1029/2006JD008241, 2007.
- Basarab, B. M., Rutledge, S. A., and Fuchs, B. R.: An improved lightning flash rate
  parameterization developed from Colorado DC3 thunderstorm data for use in cloud-resolving
  chemical transport models, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120, 9481–9499,
- 604 doi:10.1002/2015JD023470, 2015.
- Beard, K. V.: Ice initiation in warm-base convective clouds: An assessment of microphysical
  mechanisms, *Atmospheric Research*, 28, 125–152,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-8095(92)90024-5, 1992.
- Bechini, R., and Chandrasekar, V.: A Semisupervised Robust Hydrometeor Classification Method
   for Dual-Polarization Radar Applications, *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*,
- 610 32, 22–47, https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-14-00097.1, 2015.
- Braham, R. R. Jr.: The cloud physics of weather modification. Part 1: Scientific basis, WMO *Bulletin*, 35, 215–221, 1986.
- Bringi, V. N., Knupp, K., Detwiler, A., Liu, L., Caylor, I. J., and Black, R. A.: Evolution of a
  Florida Thunderstorm during the Convection and Precipitation/Electrification Experiment:
  The Case of 9 August 1991, *Monthly Weather Review*, 125, 2131–2160, doi:
  https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1997)125<2131:EOAFTD>2.0.CO;2,1997.
- Brune, W. H., McFarland, P. J., Bruning, E., Waugh, S., MacGorman, D., Miller, D. O., Jenkins, J.
- M., Ren, X., Mao, J., and Peischl, J.: Extreme oxidant amounts produced by lightning in
  storm clouds, *Science*, 372, 711–715, doi: 10.1126/science.abg0492, 2021.
- Carey, L. D., and Rutledge, S. A.: The Relationship between precipitation and lightning in tropical
  island convection: A C-Band polarimetric radar study, *Monthly Weather Review*, 128,
  2687–2710, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2000)128<2687:TRBPAL>2.0.CO;2, 2000.
- 623 Chen, G., Zhao, K., Wen, L., Yang, J., Zheng, Y., Xu, F., Lyu, F., Sun, K., and Sun, L.: Linking
- 624 ice-phase microphysics to raindrop characteristics in deep convection: A warm-sector
- extreme rainfall case study in Eastern China, *Earth and Space Science*, 10, e2022EA002697,
- 626 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022EA002697, 2023.
- 627 Chen, L., Zhang, Y. J., Lyu, W., Zheng, D., Zhang, Y., Chen, S., and Huang, Z.: Performance
  628 evaluation for a lightning location system based on observations of artificially triggered
  629 lightning and natural lightning flashes, *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 29,

- 630 1835–1844, https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-12-00028.1, 2012.
- Cui, Y., Zheng, D., Zhang, Y. J., Ruan, Z., Li, F., Yao, W., Meng, Q., and Zhao, C.: Association of
  lightning occurrence with precipitation cloud column structure at a fixed position, *Atmospheric Research*, 267, 105989, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105989, 2022.
- 634 Dye, J. E., Jones, J. J., Winn, W. P., Cerni, T. A., Gardiner, B., Lamb, D., Pitter, R. L., Hallett, J.,
- and Saunders, C. P. R.: Early electrification and precipitation development in a small, isolated
  Montana cumulonimbus, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 91, 1231–1247,
- 637 https://doi.org/10.1029/JD091iD01p01231, 1986.
- 638 Fan, J. W., Rosenfeld, D., Zhang, Y., Giangrande, S. E., Li, Z., Machado, L. A. T., Martin, S. T.,
- 639 Yang, Y., Wang, J., Artaxo, P., Barbosa, H. M. J., Braga, R. C., Comstock, J. M., Feng, Z.,
- Gao, W., Gomes, H. B., Mei, F., Pöhlker, C., Pöhlker, M. L., Pöschl, U., and de Souza, R. A.
- 641 F.: Substantial convection and precipitation enhancements by ultrafine aerosol particles,
- 642 *Science*, 359, 411–418, DOI: 10.1126/science.aan8461, 2018.
- Fan, X., Zhang, Y. J., Zheng, D., Zhang, Y., Lyu, W., Liu, H., and Xu, L.: A new method of
  three-dimensional location for low-frequency electric field detection array, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123, 8792–8812,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JD028249, 2018.
- Goodman, S. J., Buechler, D. E., Wright, P. D., and Rust, W. D.: Lightning and precipitation
  history of a microburst-producing storm, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 15, 1185–1188,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/GL015i011p01185, 1988.
- Hall, M. P. M., Cherry, S. M., Goddard, J. W. F., and Kennedy, G. R.: Rain drop sizes and rainfall
  rate measured by dual-polarization radar, *Nature*, 285, 195–198,
  https://doi.org/10.1038/285195a0, 1980.
- Hayashi, S., Umehara, A., Nagumo, N., and Ushio, T.: The relationship between lightning flash
  rate and ice-related volume derived from dual-polarization radar, *Atmospheric Research*, 248,
- 655 105166, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105166, 2021.
- Helsdon Jr., J. H., Wojcik, W. A. and Farley, R. D.: An examination of thunderstorm-charging
- 657 mechanisms using a two-dimensional storm electrification model, *Journal of Geophysical*
- 658 *Research: Atmospheres*, 106(D1), 1165–1192, doi:10.1029/2000JD900532, 2001.
- 659 Herzegh, P. H., and Jameson, A. R.: Observing Precipitation through Dual-Polarization Radar

- Measurements, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 73, 1365–1376,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1992)073<1365:OPTDPR>2.0.CO;2, 1992.
- Heymsfield, A. J.: A Comparative Study of the Rates of Development of Potential Graupel and
- Hail Embryos in High Plains Storms, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 39, 2867–2897,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1982)039<2867:ACSOTR>2.0.CO;2, 1982.
- Huang, H., Zhao, K., Chan, J. C. L., and Hu, D.: Microphysical Characteristics of
  Extreme-Rainfall Convection over the Pearl River Delta Region, South China from
  Polarimetric Radar Data during the Pre-summer Rainy Season, *Advances in Atmospheric Sciences*, 40, 874–886, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-022-1319-8, 2023.
- Hu, J., Rosenfeld, D., Ryzhkov, A., Zrnic, D., Williams, E., Zhang, P., Snyder, J. C., Zhang, R.,
  and Weitz, R.: Polarimetric radar convective cell tracking reveals large sensitivity of cloud
  precipitation and electrification properties to CCN, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124, 12194–12205, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030857, 2019.
- Illingworth, A. J., Goddard, J. W. F., and Cherry, S. M.: Polarization radar studies of precipitation
  development in convective storms, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*,
  113, 469–489, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711347604, 1987.
- 676Jameson, A. R., Murphy, M. J. and Krider, E. P.: Multiple-parameter radar observations of677isolated Florida thunderstorms during the onset of electrification, Journal of Applied678MeteorologyandClimatology,35,343–354,
- 679 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1996)035<0343:MPROOI>2.0.CO;2, 1996.
- 680 Kouketsu, T., Uyeda, H., Ohigashi, T., Oue, M., Takeuchi, H., Shinoda, T., Tsuboki, K., Kubo, M., 681 and Muramoto, K.: A Hydrometeor Classification Method for X-Band Polarimetric Radar: 682 Construction and Validation Focusing on Solid Hydrometeors under Moist Environments, 683 Journal **Atmospheric** Technology, 32, 2052-2074, of and Oceanic 684 https://doi.org/10.1175/JTECH-D-14-00124.1, 2015.
- Krehbiel, P. R., Brook, M., and McCrory, R. A.: An Analysis of the Charge Structure of Lightning
  Discharges to Ground, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 84, 2432–2456,
  doi:10.1029/JC084iC05p02432, 1979.
- Kumjian, M. R.: Principles and applications of dual-polarization weather radar. Part I: Description
  of the polarimetric radar variables, *Journal of Operational Meteorology*, 1, 226–242,

- 690 doi:10.15191/nwajom.2013.0119, 2013.
- 691 Kumjian, M. R., Khain, A. P., Benmoshe, N., Ilotoviz, E., Ryzhkov, A. V., and Phillips, V. T. J.:
- 692 The anatomy and physics of ZDR columns: Investigating a polarimetric radar signature with693 a spectral bin microphysical model, *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 53,
- 694 1820–1843, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-13-0354.1, 2014.
- Kumjian, M. R., and Ryzhkov, A. V.: Polarimetric signatures in supercell thunderstorms, *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 47, 1940–1961,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JAMC1874.1, 2008.
- Laksen, H. R., and Stansbury, E. J.: Association of lightning flashes with precipitation cores
  extending to height 7 km, *Journal of Atmospheric and Terrestrial Physics*, 36, 1547–1548,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9169(74)90232-3, 1974.
- Lang, T. J., and Rutledge, S. A.: A Framework for the Statistical Analysis of Large Radar and
  Lightning Datasets: Results from STEPS 2000, *Monthly Weather Review*, 139, 2536–2551,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-10-05000.1, 2011.
- Latham, J.: The electrification of thunderstorms, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 107, 277–298, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710745202, 1981.
- Latham, J., Petersen, W. A., Deierling, W. and Christian, H. J.: Field identification of a unique
   globally dominant mechanism of thunderstorm electrification, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 133, 1453–1457, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.133, 2007.
- Li, H., Moisseev, D., and von Lerber, A.: How does riming affect dual-polarization radar
  observations and snowflake shape? *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123,
  6070–6081, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JD028186, 2018.
- Liu, Z., Zheng, D., Guo, F., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y. J., Wu, C., Chen, H., Han, S.: Lightning activity
  and its associations with cloud structures in a rainstorm dominated by warm precipitation, *Atmospheric Research*, 246, 105120, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105120, 2020.
- 715 Lyu, W., Zheng, D., Zhang, Y., Yao, W., Jiang, R., Yuan, S., Liu, D., Lyu, F., Zhu, B., Lu, G.,
- 716 Zhang, Q., Tan, Y., Wang, X., Liu, Y., Chen, S., Chen, L., Li, Q., and Zhang, Y. J.: A Review
- of Atmospheric Electricity Research in China from 2019 to 2022, *Advances in Atmospheric*
- 718 *Sciences*, 40, 1457–1484, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-023-2280-x, 2023.
- 719 MacGorman, D. R., and Rust, W. D.: The electrical nature of storms, *Oxford University Press*, 422

- 720 pp., 1998.
- Mai, C., and Du, Y.: Mesoscale moisture transport in determining the location of daytime
  convection initiations clustered in time and space over southern China, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 127, e2021JD036098,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD036098, 2022.
- Mansell, E. R., MacGorman, D. R., Ziegler, C. L., and Straka, J. M.: Charge structure and
  lightning sensitivity in a simulated multicell thunderstorm, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 110, D12101, doi:10.1029/2004JD005287, 2005.
- Marshall, J. S., and Radhakant, S.: Radar Precipitation Maps as Lightning Indicators, *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 17, 206–212, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1978)017<0206:RPMALI>2.0.CO;2, 1978.
- Mather, G. K., Morrison, B. J., and Morgan, G. M.: A Preliminary Assessment of the Importance
  of Coalescence in Convective Clouds of the Eastern Transvaal, *Journal of Applied Meteorology* and *Climatology*, 25, 1780–1784,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1986)025<1780:APAOTI>2.0.CO;2, 1986.
- Mattos, E. V., Machado, L. A. T., Williams, E. R., and Albrecht, R. I.: Polarimetric radar
  characteristics of storms with and without lightning activity, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121, 14201–14220, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025142, 2016.
- 738 Mattos, E. V., Machado, L. A. T., Williams, E. R., Goodman, S. J., Blakeslee, R. J., and Bailey, J.
- C.: Electrification life cycle of incipient thunderstorms, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 122, 4670–4697, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025772, 2017.
- Park, H. S., Ryzhkov, A. V., Zrnić, D. S., and Kim, K.: The Hydrometeor Classification Algorithm
  for the Polarimetric WSR-88D: Description and Application to an MCS, *Weather and Forecasting*, 24, 730–748, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008WAF2222205.1, 2009.
- 744 Pickering, K. E., Bucsela, E., Allen, D., Ring, A., Holzworth, R., and Krotkov, N.: Estimates of
- 745 lightning NO<sub>x</sub> production based on OMI NO<sub>2</sub> observations over the Gulf of Mexico, *Journal*
- 748 Qie, X., Yuan, S., Chen, Z., Wang, D., Liu D., Sun, M., Sun, Z., Srivastava, A., Zhang, H., Lu, J.,
- 749 Xiao, H., Bi, Y., Feng, L., Tian, Y., Xu, Y., Jiang, R., Liu, M., Xiao, X., Duan, S., Su, D., Sun,

- C., Xu, W., Zhang, Y., Lu, G., Zhang, D., Yin, Y., and Yu, Y.: Understanding the 750 751 dynamical-microphysical-electrical processes associated with severe thunderstorms over the 752 Beijing metropolitan region, Science China Earth Sciences, 64. 10-26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-020-9656-8, 2021. 753
- Ramachandran, R., Detwiler, A., Helsdon, J., Smith, P. L., and Bringi, V. N.: Precipitation
  development and electrification in Florida thunderstorm cells during Convection and
  Precipitation/Electrification Project, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 101,
  1599–1619, https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD02931, 1996.
- 758 Reynolds, S. E., and Brook, M.: CORRELATION OF THE INITIAL ELECTRIC FIELD AND
- 759 THE RADAR ECHO IN THUNDERSTORMS, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 13,
- 760 376–380, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1956)013<0376:COTIEF>2.0.CO;2, 1956.
- 761Reynolds, S. E., Brook, M., and Gourley, M. F.: Thunderstorm charge separation, Journal of the762AtmosphericSciences,14,426–436,

763 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1957)014<0426:TCS>2.0.CO;2, 1957.

Rosenfeld, D.: TRMM observed first direct evidence of smoke from forest fires inhibiting rainfall,

765 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26, 3105–3108, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL006066, 1999.

- 766 Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A.,
- and Andreae, M. O.: Flood or drought: How do aerosols affect precipitation? *Science*, 321,
   1200, 1212, doi:10.1126/science.1160606\_2008

768 1309–1313, doi:10.1126/science.1160606, 2008.

Ryzhkov, A. V., Zhuravlyov, V. B., and Rybakova, N. A.: Preliminary results of X-band
polarization radar studies of clouds and precipitation, *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*, 11, 132–139,

772 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(1994)011<0132:PROXBP>2.0.CO;2, 1994.

- Saunders, C.: Charge Separation Mechanisms in Clouds, *Space Science Reviews*, 137, 335–353,
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-008-9345-0, 2008.
- Saunders, C. P. R., Keith, W. D., and Mitzeva, R. P.: The effect of liquid water on thunderstorm
  charging, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96, 11007–11017,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/91JD00970, 1991.
- 778 Scharfenberg, K. A., Miller, D. J., Schuur, T. J., Schlatter, P. T., Giangrande, S. E., Melnikov, V. M.,
- Burgess, D. W., Andra, D. L., Foster, M. P. Jr., and Krause, J. M.: The Joint Polarization

- 780 Experiment: Polarimetric Radar in Forecasting and Warning Decision Making, *Weather and*781 *Forecasting*, 20, 775–788, https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF881.1, 2005.
- Seliga, T. A., and Bringi, V. N.: Potential Use of Radar Differential Reflectivity Measurements at
  Orthogonal Polarizations for Measuring Precipitation, *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 15, 69–76,
- 785 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1976)015<0069:PUORDR>2.0.CO;2, 1976.
- Shi, D., Zheng, D., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y. J., Huang, Z., and Lyu, W.: Low-frequency E-field
  Detection Array (LFEDA)-Construction and preliminary results, *Science China Earth Sciences*, 60, 1896–1908, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-016-9093-9, 2017.
- Smith, P. L., Musil, D. J., Detwiler, A. G., and Ramachandran, R.: Observations of Mixed-Phase
   Precipitation within a CaPE Thunderstorm, *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*,
- 791 38, 145–155, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1999)038<0145:OOMPPW>2.0.CO;2,
  792 1999.
- Snyder, J. C., Ryzhkov, A. V., Kumjian, M. R., Khain, A. P., and Picca, J. C.: A ZDR column
  detection algorithm to examine convective storm updrafts, *Weather and Forecasting*, 30,
  1819–1844, https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF-D-15-0068.1, 2015.
- Stolzenburg, M., Marshall, T. C., and Krehbiel, P. R.: Initial electrification to the first lightning
  flash in New Mexico thunderstorms, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120,
  11,253–11,276, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023988, 2015.
- Stolzenburg, M., Marshall, T. C., and Rust, W. D.: Serial sounding of electric field through a
  mesoscale convective system, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 106,
  12371–12380, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD900074, 2001.
- Stough, S. M., and Carey, L. D.: Observations of anomalous charge structures in supercell
  thunderstorms in the Southeastern United States, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 125, e2020JD033012, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033012, 2020.
- Stough, S. M., Carey, L. D., Schultz, C. J., and Cecil, D. J.: Examining conditions supporting the
  development of anomalous charge structures in supercell thunderstorms in the Southeastern
- United States, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126, e2021JD034582,
- 808 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD034582, 2021.
- 809 Takahashi, T.: Riming electrification as a charge generation mechanism in thunderstorms, *Journal*

810

of the Atmospheric Sciences, 35, 1536–1548,

811 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1978)035<1536:REAACG>2.0.CO;2, 1978.

- Takahashi, T., Tajiri, T., and Sonoi, Y.: Charges on Graupel and Snow Crystals and the Electrical
- 813 Structure of Winter Thunderstorms, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 56, 1561–1578,
  814 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1999)056<1561:COGASC>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
- 815 Takahashi, T., Sugimoto, S., Kawano, T., and Suzuki, K.: Riming Electrification in Hokuriku
  816 Winter Clouds and Comparison with Laboratory Observations, *Journal of the Atmospheric*
- 817 *Sciences*, 74, 431–447, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-16-0154.1, 2017.
- 818 Takahashi, T., Sugimoto, S., Kawano, T., and Suzuki, K.: Microphysical structure and lightning
  819 initiation in Hokuriku winter clouds, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124,
- 820 13156–13181, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD030227, 2019.
- Tuttle, J. D., Bringi, V. N., Orville, H. D., and Kopp, F. J.: Multiparameter radar study of a
  microburst: Comparison with model results, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 46,
  601–620, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1989)046<0601:MRSOAM>2.0.CO;2, 1989.
- Uman, M. A., and Krider, E. P.: Natural and artificially initiated lightning, *Science*, 246, 457–464,
  doi:10.1126/science.246.4929.457, 1989.
- Vincent, B. R., Carey, L. D., Schneider, D., Keeter, K., and Gonski, R.: Using WSR-88D
  reflectivity data for the prediction of cloud-to-ground lightning: A central North Carolina
  study, *National Weather Digest*, 27, 35–44, 2003.
- Woodard, C. J., Carey, L. D., Petersen, W. A., and Roeder, W. P.: Operational utility of
  dual-polarization variables in lightning initiation forecasting, *Electronic J. Operational Meteor.*, 13, 79–102, 2012.
- Workman, E. J., and Reynolds, S. E.: Electrical activity as related to thunderstorm cell growth, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 30, 142–149,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477-30.4.142, 1949.
- Wu, C., Liu, L., Wei, M., Xi, B., and Yu, M.: Statistics-based optimization of the polarimetric
  radar hydrometeor classification algorithm and its application for a squall line in South China, *Advances in Atmospheric sciences*, 35, 296–316, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-017-6241-0,
  2018.
- 839 Zhang, Y., Lyu, W., Chen, S., Zheng, D., Zhang, Y., Yan, X., Chen, L., Dong, W., Dan, J., and Pan,

- 840 H.: A review of advances in lightning observations during the past decade in Guangdong,
- 841 China, Journal of Meteorological Research, 30, 800–819,
  842 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-016-6928-7, 2016.
- Zhang, Y. J., Yan, M., Sun, A., and Guo, F.: Thunderstorm electricity. *China Meteorological Press*,
  384 pp., 2009.
- Zhang, Y. J., Sun, A., Yan, M., Guo, F., Qie, X., and Huang, M.: Numerical Simulations of the
  Effects of Electric Environment on Hail Growth, *Chinese Journal of Geophysics*, 47, 29–37,
  https://doi.org/10.1002/cjg2.451, 2004.
- Zhao, C.: Data for "On the ice microphysics of isolated thunderstorms and non-thunderstorms in
  southern China: A radar polarimetric perspective". Figshare. [Dataset].
  https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.22718437.v6, 2024.
- Zhao, C., Zhang, Y. J., Zheng, D., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Fan, X., Yao, W., and Zhang, W.: Using
  polarimetric radar observations to characterize first echoes of thunderstorms and
  nonthunderstorms: A comparative study, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 127,
  e2022JD036671, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD036671, 2022.
- Zhao, C., Zhang, Y. J., Zheng, D., Zhou, Y., Xiao, H., and Zhang, X.: An improved hydrometeor
  identification method for X-band dual-polarization radar and its application for one summer
  Hailstorm over Northern China, *Atmospheric Research*, 245, 105075,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105075, 2020.
- Zhao, C., Zheng, D., Zhang, Y. J., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Yao, W., and Zhang, W.: Turbulence
  Characteristics before the Occurrence of the First Flash in Thunderstorms and
  Non-Thunderstorms, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48, e2021GL094821,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL094821, 2021a.
- Zhao, C., Zheng, D., Zhang, Y. J., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Yao, W., and Zhang, W.: Characteristics of
  cloud microphysics at positions with flash initiations and channels in convection and
  stratiform areas of two squall lines, *Journal of Tropical Meteorology*, 37, 358–369,
  doi:10.16032/j.issn.1004-4965.2021.035, 2021b.
- Zheng, D., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Lyu, W., Chen, L., and Shi, D.: Lightning activity characteristics
  as indicated by lightning location systems in Guangdong, *in: 1<sup>st</sup> International Workshop of the Southern China Monsoon Rainfall Experiment (SCMREX), Beijing, China*, 12–13 April,

- 870 2017.
- Zipser, E. J., Cecil, D. J., Liu, C., Nesbitt, S. W. and Yorty, D. P.: WHERE ARE THE MOST
  INTENSE THUNDERSTORMS ON EARTH? *Bulletin of the American Meteorological*
- 873 Society, 87, 1057–1072, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-87-8-1057, 2006.
- Zrnic, D. S., and Ryzhkov, A. V.: Polarimetry for Weather Surveillance Radars, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 80, 389–406,
- 876 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1999)080<0389:PFWSR>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
- 877
- 878 Authors contributions
- 879 Conceptualization: C. Zhao, Y. Zhang
- 880 Data curation: C. Zhao, Y. Zhang, D. Zheng, S. Du, and X. Liu
- 881 Formal analysis: C. Zhao, Y. Zhang, H. Li, X. Peng, P. Zhao, J. Zheng, and J. Shi
- 882 Funding acquisition: Y. Zhang, C. Zhao
- 883 Investigation: C. Zhao, Y. Zhang
- 884 Methodology: C. Zhao, Y. Zhang, and H. Li
- 885 Project Administration: Y. Zhang
- 886 Resources: C. Zhao, Y. Zhang
- 887 Software: C. Zhao, D. Zheng
- 888 Supervision: Y. Zhang
- 889 Validation: C. Zhao, Y. Zhang
- 890 Visualization: C. Zhao, Y. Zhang, and H. Li
- 891 Writing-original draft: C. Zhao, Y. Zhang, X. Peng, and H. Li
- 892 Competing interests
- 893 The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.