



Modeling Climate Change Uncertainty and Its Impact on the Nemunas River Watershed and Curonian Lagoon Ecosystem

Natalja Čerkasova ^{1,2}*, Jovita Mėžinė ¹*, Rasa Idzelytė ¹*, Jūratė Lesutienė ¹, Ali Ertürk ^{1,3}, Georg Umgiesser ^{1,4}

¹ Marine Research Institute, Klaipeda University, Klaipeda 92294, Lithuania
 ² Texas A&M AgriLife Research, Blackland Research and Extension Center, Temple, TX 76502, USA
 ³ Department of Inland Water Resources and Management, Istanbul University, Istanbul 34134, Turkey
 ⁴ CNR – National Research Council of Italy, ISMAR – Institute of Marine Sciences, Venice 30122, Italy

Correspondence to: Jovita Mėžinė, jovita.mezine@ku.lt

10 *Equally contributed: Natalja Čerkasova, Jovita Mėžinė, Rasa Idzelytė

Abstract. This study advances the understanding of climate projection uncertainties in the Nemunas River, Curonian Lagoon, and southeastern Baltic Sea continuum by analyzing a subset of climate models, focusing on a coupled ocean and drainage basin model. Four downscaled and bias-corrected high-resolution regional atmospheric climate models were used to set up the hydrological (SWAT) and hydrodynamic (SHYFEM) modeling system. This study investigates

- 15 the variability and trends in environmental parameters such as water fluxes, timing, nutrient load, water temperature, ice cover, and saltwater intrusions under Representative Concentration Pathway 4.5 and 8.5 scenarios. The analysis highlights the variability among model results underscoring the inherent uncertainties in forecasting climatic impacts, hence highlighting the necessity of using multi-model ensembles to improve the accuracy of climate change impact assessments. Additionally, modeling results were used to evaluate the possible environmental impact due to climate
- 20 change through the analysis of the cold water fish species reproduction season. We analyze the duration of cold periods (<1.5°C) as a thermal window for burbot spawning, calculated assuming different climate forcing scenarios and models. The analysis indicated coherent shrinking of the cold period and presence of the changepoints during historical and different periods in the future, however, not all trends reach statistical significance, and due to high variability within the projections, they are less reliable. This means there is a considerable amount of uncertainty in these projections, highlighting the difficulty in making reliable climate change impact assessments.</p>

1 Introduction

The uncertainties of projected future scenarios emerge due to unknowns in global climate models (GSMs), proposed scenarios (RCPs), or statistical techniques used for data preparation. Therefore, uncertainty analysis is commonly used to quantify the possible discrepancies between the projections and their impacts on future changes. There is a wide

30 variety of studies with a focus on the quantification of climate projection uncertainties around the world, including Lithuania (e.g., Chen et al., 2022; Song et al., 2020; Akstinas et al., 2019). However, most of these studies analyze only hydrological changes due to meteorological input.

The uncertainty in climatic studies arises from various factors, as highlighted by Foley (2010). One key factor is the scenario used as the basis for climatic projections. These scenarios range from significantly reduced CO2 emissions





- 35 to business-as-usual cases, i.e., continuation of high emissions-based economic growth, leading to vastly different climate trajectories (Latif M., 2011, Taylor et al., 2012). Even if the underlying assumptions are consistent, the climate models used are handling the physics differently leading to different results of the key parameters (Lehner et al., 2020). Apart from the atmospheric models, there is also a variety of ocean models that have to be considered (Madec et al., 2016, Mellor G. L., 2004, Umgiesser et al. 2004). All of these models have different discretization, resolution, and
- 40 representation of the physics modeled. Drainage basin models depend crucially on the changing land use of the basin (Wang et al., 2012, Lin et al., 2015, Waikhom et al., 2023), with subsequent effects on downstream coastal ecosystems. The development of integrated modeling tools is a high-priority task to support the management of the ecosystems at the land-sea interface, prone to both the riverine effects and sea level rise. This study is a continuation of the previously published paper by Idzelytė et al. (2023) where the framework of coupled hydrological and hydrodynamic models
- 45 was used to study the future climate scenarios based on the ensemble mean values for the Nemunas River watershed, Curonian Lagoon, and Baltic Sea continuum. Here, we explore a subset of the possible uncertainty space. We look at different scenarios computed by different climate models, using only one ocean model (Umgiesser et al., 2004) and one drainage basin model (Čerkasova et al., 2018). This allows us to come up with a reasonable estimate of the uncertainty of climate projections and its impact on the hydrology and its application to the ecological evaluation of
- 50 the studied Nemunas River basin, the Curonian Lagoon, and the southeastern Baltic Sea system as a whole. It is expected that explicit analysis of the climate scenarios will help decision-makers in the development of climate change adaptation and mitigation strategies as well as adjustment of water quality management, and achievement of regional nutrient policy goals and measures. The level of uncertainty is crucial in the decision-making process, therefore we aim to test model averaging (Idzelytė et al. 2023) vs. the ensemble method, where we combine the results
- 55 of several models to form an ensemble forecast. The diversity in forecasts among the ensemble components may reveal the level of uncertainty and help in combining agriculture nutrient runoff policies with climate mitigation policies that involve integrating strategies that address both issues simultaneously. Climate prediction uncertainty has important implications for the conservation efforts of endangered or vulnerable

species, as meteorological-hydrological factors play a primary role in shaping species habitat conditions, life cycle 60 completion, spread, and survival. In addition to the uncertainty in projections for the region, we specifically tackle the

- question of how much the imposed changes could be reflected in ecosystem function and habitat conditions for the species. As the response of climate forcing is most pronounced in water temperature, we selected the stenotherm species burbot (*Lota lota* L.). As a cold-water fish species, the burbot is particularly sensitive to changes in thermal habitat availability (Harrison et al., 2016) and suffers severe declines throughout its distribution range worldwide
- 65 (Stapanian et al., 2010). Therefore, evaluating the impact of climate change on spawning habitats is essential for forecasting the future status of the vulnerable burbot population in the Curonian Lagoon.





2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

- Our study site is a large transboundary basin coastal lagoon sea system: Nemunas River basin, the Curonian Lagoon,
 and the southeastern Baltic Sea. The Curonian Lagoon is a shallow estuarine lagoon located in Lithuania and Russian Federation's territory and connected to the south-eastern Baltic Sea through the narrow Klaipėda Strait (Fig. 1). The lagoon covers an area of 1584 km², with the broadest part, up to 46 km wide, in the southern part of the lagoon; while in the most northern part (Klaipėda Strait) is only ~400 m wide. The drainage area of the Curonian Lagoon covers 100 458 km², of which 48% lies in Belarus, 46% in Lithuania, and 6% in the Kaliningrad oblast. Previous hydrodynamic
 - 75 modeling studies revealed that the lagoon consists of two different regions from the water exchange point of view, a transitional region at the northern part of the lagoon and a stagnant southern region which has a considerably higher water residence time. The predominant flow of water is from the south to the north discharging approximately 23 km³ per year into the Baltic Sea.



80 Figure 1. Location of the Curonian Lagoon and Nemunas River Watershed.

The largest river that discharges into the Curonian Lagoon is the Nemunas River, which together with the Minija River brings about 95% of the total riverine input to the lagoon (Zemlys et al., 2013). Both rivers enter the lagoon in the middle of the eastern coast. The average annual discharge of the Nemunas River is 22-24 km³ (Umgiesser et al., 2016) and exhibits a strong fluctuating seasonal pattern, peaking with snowmelt during the flood season in March-April.

85 Due to discharge from the Nemunas River and other smaller rivers, the southern and central portions of the lagoon are considered to be freshwater.





The Curonian Lagoon and Nemunas Delta area both includes protected territories with various statuses: biosphere polygons, reserves, Natura 2000 (Special Protection Areas (EC Birds Directive), Sites of Community Importance (EC Habitats Directive)) and Ramsar List site (List of Wetlands of International Importance) (Kaziukonyte et al, 2022).

90 The Curonian Lagoon and Nemunas Delta are the most important areas for commercial fishing in Lithuania, contributing about 95-98% of the total inland fishery (Ivanauskas et al, 2022). Bream (*Abramis brama* L.), pikeperch (*Sander lucioperca* L.), and smelt (*Osmerus eperlanus* L.) are the main commercial fish species in the lagoon. In the context of climate change, cold water species like burbot (*Lota lota* L.) are particularly sensitive. They rely on low water temperatures during winter to initiate the spawning season.

95 2.2 Modeling system

Due to limitations in current technology and tools, accurately representing the entire Nemunas River basin, Curonian Lagoon, and southeastern Baltic Sea system at high resolution with a single tool is impossible. As a result, we divided the area and utilized various modeling tools suited for specific purposes, which were coupled together. The modeling system that consists of three main models and numerous utilities mostly developed to transfer the outputs from one

100 model as inputs to other models are summarized in Fig. 2. The system is characterized by three pivotal models: 1) the hydrological Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) model, and 2) the hydrodynamic Shallow water HYdrodynamic Finite Element Model (SHYFEM). The SWAT and SHYFEM models depict main water flow dynamics in a Nemunas River watershed-Curonian Lagoon-Baltic Sea continuum.



105 Figure 2. Hierarchical structure of the modeling system.

The Nemunas River watershed is modeled using the SWAT (The Soil & Water Assessment Tool, Neitsch et al., 2009) which is widely used to simulate hydrological processes and water quality of watersheds. This model was developed, calibrated, and validated for the Nemunas River basin in previous studies (Čerkasova et al., 2021, 2019, 2018). In this study, the high-resolution basin-scale model was subdivided into 11 submodels that represent the main tributaries of

110 the main Nemunas River (see Fig. 1). The submodels were subdivided into subbasins (in total 9012) which were further subdivided into Hydrological Response Units (HRUs). All submodels were linked starting from the upstream and going to the downstream. Outputs from two points on the Nemunas and Minija rivers were used as boundary conditions for the hydrodynamic model.

The hydrodynamics of the Curonian Lagoon and the southeastern Baltic Sea were simulated using the open-source shallow water hydrodynamic finite element model SHYFEM, accessible at https://github.com/SHYFEM-model/ (last





accessed on 28 November 2023). The model uses an unstructured grid (finite elements) to discretize the studied basin (Curonian Lagoon and part of the Baltic Sea). The use of finite elements is crucial in order to simulate the narrow connection of the lagoon with the sea (Klaipeda Strait). SHYFEM simulates all the physics such as circulation, waves, water level, temperature, and salinity fields that are needed to characterize the water matrix. It has been applied to a large number of lagoons around Europe. Details can be found in (Idzelytė et al., 2020, Umgiesser et al., 2016, Zemlys et al., 2013, Umgiesser et al. 2014, and Umgiesser et al. 2004).

120

125

2.3 Data

Our modeling system incorporates different input data, varying according to the specific model utilized - either hydrological or hydrodynamic (as outlined in Table 1). Given that this study follows the research conducted by Idzelytė et al. (2023), to delve into the specifics of the input data utilized in our study we refer the reader to their previously published work.

	Input data type	Source						
	Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	National Land Service under the Ministry of Agriculture of Republic of Lithuania The Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) 1 Arc-Second Global						
Hydrological	Land use and management Data	National Land Service under the Ministry of Agriculture of Republic of Lithuania WaterBase project database Corine landcover 2012 Lithuanian Environmental Protection Agency Eurostat National Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus Ministry of natural resources and environmental protection of the Republic of Belarus						
	Hydrologic grid	National Land Service under the Ministry of Agriculture The Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Lithuania Reports of Belarus government agencies, fishing enthusiasts portals Manual digitization using satellite data						
	Soil maps	National Land Service under the Ministry of Agriculture Lithuanian Soil atlas						
	Observed discharge and nutrient data	Lithuanian Hydrometeorological Service Lithuanian Environmental Protection Agency						
	Crop yield	Lithuanian Statistical Yearbook National Statistical Committee of the Republic of Belarus						
dynamic	Water level, temperature, and salinity	RCA4–NEMO model developed by the Rossby Centre and the oceanographic research group at the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI) Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (CMEMS) Baltic Sea Physics Reanalysis product						
ydre	Bathymetry	The Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde (IOW)						
Ξ	Ice thickness	ESIM2 model						

Table 1. Input data types for the hydrological and hydrodynamic modeling system and their respective sources.

Both hydrological and hydrodynamic models were run using the same meteorological forcing data described in Table
2. Data were obtained from CORDEX (Coordinated Regional Downscaling Experiment) scenarios for Europe,
employing the Rossby Centre high-resolution regional atmospheric climate model (RCA4). This involved four sets of simulations (downscaling) driven by four global climate models. The datasets are spanning the historical period of 1970–2005 and the projection period of 2006–2100. Projections are based on two Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) scenarios, specifically RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5





(CMIP5). A detailed description of all the data sets used for this study can be found in Idzelyte et al. (2023), while the

135 results derived from the modeling system can be found and accessed in the open-access Zenodo database (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7500744).

Abbreviation	Model	Institution							
ICHEC	EC-Earth - A European community Earth System Model	Irish Centre for High-End Computing							
IPSL	IPSL-CM5A-LR - Institut Pierre Simon Laplace - Earth System Model for the 5th IPCC report: Low resolution	The Institute Pierre-Simon Laplace							
MOHC	HadGEM2-ES - Hadley Global Environment Model 2 - Earth System	Met Office Hadley Centre							
MPI	MPI-ESM-LR - Max-Planck-Institute Earth System Mode: Low resolution	Max Planck Institute for Meteorology							
Table 2 Meteorological forcing data sources for the hydrological and hydrodynamic modeling system									

Table 2. Meteorological forcing data sources for the hydrological and hydrodynamic modeling system.

2.4 Analysis methods

2.4.1 Investigation of hydrological and hydrodynamic model results

- 140 The analysis was done for the environmental parameters corresponding to our preceding study (Idzelytė et al., 2023). These include air temperature, precipitation, Nemunas River discharge, water inflow and outflow from the lagoon at different locations such as Klaipėda Strait, North of Nemunas, Nemunas Delta, and along the Lithuanian-Russian (LT-RU) border. Water temperature and water level were evaluated for the Southeast (SE) Baltic Sea and Curonian Lagoon. Saltwater intrusions (>2 g kg⁻¹) were assessed in Juodkrantė, approximately 20 km south of Klaipėda Strait.
- 145 Information on ice cover in the Curonian Lagoon encompasses the season duration and maximum thickness. Water residence time is analyzed for the northern and southern parts of the lagoon as well as the total lagoon area. The analysis was done by combining historical (1975-2005) and future scenario projection (2006-2100) periods. That is, two periods/scenarios were assessed: RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, both ranging from 1975 to 2100. This approach facilitated a comprehensive assessment of the above-mentioned environmental parameters, enhancing insight into
- 150 trends and potential variations over time. In our analysis, we examined the variability of different model runs and the presence of trends and their statistical significance, as indicated by the p-values, across various environmental parameters under different climate models and scenarios. For this, we applied the Mann-Kendall trend analysis (Hussain and Mahmud, 2019). A *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The rate of change was quantified using the Theil-Sen estimator.
- 155 The trend analysis was conducted on model outputs, which were aggregated as yearly means or, in the case of precipitation, as yearly sum. The timing of spring peak flows was estimated by computing a 3-day moving average of the discharge of Nemunas River to the delta region. The day of the maximum value during the typical spring flood window occurrence (from the
- 160 trend analysis approach as described above, using the Julian day of peak flow for each year in the simulation period.

start of February to the end of April) was noted for each year. The trend was calculated using the same Mann-Kendall





We analyzed the average annual export of Total Nitrogen (TN) and Total Phosphorus (TP) from the Nemunas River into the Curonian Lagoon. We assessed the trends using the Mann-Kendall test and the 10-year moving averages. These outputs were compared to the Nutrient Ceiling for the Nemunas River requirements outlined in the HELCOM Baltic Sea Action Plan (HELCOM, 2021), which are 29338 t year⁻¹ for TN and 914 t year⁻¹ for TP. We further

165 evaluated the feasibility of meeting these targets under the conditions of different scenarios and climate models. The variability between the models, i.e., uncertainty, was assessed by computing the standard deviation and coefficient of variation using annual values over the entire investigation period (1975-2100). These metrics were based on the yearly average values of modeled parameters (or sum in case of precipitation, ice season duration, and saltwater intrusions) for each of the four simulation results using meteorological forcing data from different climate models.

170 2.4.2 The possible impact on fish recruitment success

To evaluate the extent and possible impact of climate change on fish recruitment success, the analysis of the burbot spawning period was carried out. Burbot requires very cold temperatures (<2°C) for spawning and egg development (Harrison et al., 2016; Ashton et al., 2019). Within the Curonian Lagoon, it moves to spawning habitats in the Nemunas River delta. Spawning is most intense at the lowest water temperature (close to 0°C) during December-February,

- 175 usually under ice. The duration of the cold period in the projected time series of temperatures suitable for burbot spawning was calculated by summing days when temperature was below 1.5°C for a given year (days in December were added to the next year). The R package *changepoint* (Killick & Eckley, 2014, Killick et al., 2022) was used to estimate the number and locations of change points in a time series of cold period duration. The changes in mean and variance at a single point were estimated using the *cpt.meanvar* function, employing the AMOC method. The semi-
- 180 automatic Pruned Exact Linear Time (PELT) algorithm was employed for the estimation of multiple change point locations, and parameter estimates within segments (time periods). The number of change points was set to five using the parameter Q.

3 Results

3.1 Ensemble dynamics

185 3.1.1 Water flows

There is a noticeable variability among the climate models in terms of the projected mean yearly water fluxes through the predefined lagoon's cross-sections (Fig. 3). Despite this variability, a consistent pattern emerges across all models, with water outflow from the lagoon towards the sea being a prominent feature in every cross-section examined. Each model captures unique hydrodynamic behaviors at different cross-sections of the lagoon. Still, all indicate that the

190 North of Nemunas and Klaipėda Strait cross-sections generally experience higher water fluxes.







Figure 3. 10-year moving average graphs of outflowing (left column) and inflowing (right column) water fluxes (in m3 s-1) across four cross-sections within the Curonian Lagoon. Note the adjusted x-axis ranges for fluxes passing through the Lithuanian-Russian border.

195 Across all models, the RCP8.5 scenario consistently results in higher mean outflowing water fluxes compared to RCP4.5, and the MOHC results stand out for consistently projecting the highest mean fluxes in both scenarios, suggesting a more pronounced increase in water movement through the lagoon compared to its counterparts. Both



200



scenarios show a higher outflow to the sea discharge with a possible increase of $+\sim300$ to $+\sim700$ m³ s⁻¹ by the end of the century. These results could lead to the outflow from the lagoon will reach 37.8-50.4 km³ year⁻¹ which is 24-165 % higher compared to historical outflow.

Regarding the inflowing water fluxes from the Baltic Sea into the Curonian Lagoon, the IPSL model generally predicts lower fluxes under both scenarios compared to the other models. Inflowing fluxes through the Lithuanian-Russian border show the least variability in predictions across models, especially under the RCP8.5 scenario, indicating a consensus on the water flux through this cross-section.

205 Regarding water residence time (Fig. 4), IPSL tends to predict the shortest median water residence times, suggesting a model inclination towards faster water turnover in the lagoon. In contrast, ICHEC and MPI, with their higher median values, may incorporate factors leading to longer residence times. The shift from RCP4.5 to RCP8.5 and between different analysis areas does not uniformly affect the models.



210 Figure 4. Annual average water residence time (in days) in the total lagoon area, as well as separately - northern and southern parts of it, under RCP4.5 (left column) and RCP8.5 (right column) scenarios.

3.1.2 Timing of peak flows

The timing of spring floods in the Nemunas River delta is reported to shift to earlier days due to climate change (Čerkasova et al., 2021). Statistical analysis of the projected flows shows that overall, there is a statistically significant relationship between the independent variable 'Year' and the Julian day of occurrence of peak flows in the Nemunas

215 relationship between the independent variable 'Year' and the Julian day of occurrence of peak River for both RCPs when analyzing the entire period (Fig. 5).







Figure 5. The timing of occurrence of the average 3-day maximum flow-rate in the spring (between the 1st of February to the 30th of April) of the Nemunas River to the delta region with a trendline for each model. The horizontal red line depicts the period's middle date: March 15th.

The graphs show that the projected timing of spring high flows is expected to advance under all climate change scenarios, meaning that the maximum flows are expected to occur earlier in the year. The magnitude of the advance is greater for the higher emissions scenario (RCP8.5). Both IPSL and MOCH project a higher magnitude of change, judging by the steepness of the slope, whereas ICHEC and MPI project a moderate rate of change. This could have several impacts, such as disrupting fish spawning cycles and increasing the risk of flooding.

3.1.3 Nutrient loads

220

225

The projections suggest varying levels of variability and trends in the TN and TP loads from the Nemunas River across different RCPs and models (Fig. 6). The RCP8.5 generally projects higher TN and TP loads compared to RCP4.5 for all models. This suggests that more extreme climate change scenarios lead to higher nutrient loads in the study region.







230

Figure 6. The projected 10-year moving average of the annual mean TN and TP loads from the Nemunas River to the lagoon with a trendline for each model. The horizontal red line depicts the Revised Nutrient Input Ceiling for the Nemunas River defined by the BSAP update (HELCOM, 2021).

235

240

The projected TN loads are expected to remain above the Revised Nutrient Input Ceiling under all four climate models and both climate change scenarios (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) throughout the entire simulation period (shown as a red line in Fig. 6). Overall, the graph suggests that even under the stabilization scenario (RCP4.5), TN loads from the Nemunas River are expected to remain above the BSAP (Baltic Sea Action Plan) targets. TP loads could eventually fall below the targets, but the timing of this will depend on the actual climate scenario that unfolds. There is substantial variability between the models, which indicates a high level of uncertainty in the projections. Notably, under the condition of the MOCH model, the TP projections elevate mid-century and further stabilize at high loads by the end of the modeled period. The IPSL under the RCP8.5 is projecting higher loads, whereas ICHEC and MPI display a moderate increase

3.1.4 Saltwater intrusions

The data of the number of days of saltwater intrusion events, i.e., when salinity in Juodkrantė exceeds the 2 g kg⁻¹ threshold, shows yearly variations across different models (Fig. 7). All models exhibit considerable year-to-year variability in the number of saltwater intrusion days, highlighting the complex interplay of climate variability and local hydrological processes affecting the intrusions. ICHEC and MPI often show higher numbers of saltwater intrusion days than IPSL and MOHC. When comparing the RCP4.5 scenario with RCP8.5, the models yield varying results - ICHEC and IPSL show a slight decrease in intrusion days, MOHC slightly increases, and MPI shows a

(Fig. 6). The lowest average annual nutrient load is projected under the ICHEC for both RCPs.

250 moderate decrease.







Figure 7. 10-year moving average of the number of days of saltwater intrusions (salinity exceeding 2 g kg-1 threshold) reaching Juodkrantė. Underlying time series denote annual saltwater intrusions.

3.1.5 Water temperature

255 The annual mean water temperature within the lagoon and adjacent coastal areas is depicted in Fig. 8. Under the severe RCP8.5 scenario, hydrodynamic model simulations predict a noticeable increase in both mean water temperatures and their variability compared to the RCP4.5 scenario, indicating higher temperatures with greater uncertainty ahead. The IPSL model consistently forecasts slightly warmer temperatures across scenarios, while the MOHC model shows the largest jump in variability, suggesting that it predicts greater uncertainty under RCP8.5. Despite model variations, the 260 trend towards warmer and more uncertain climate conditions is universally acknowledged.



Figure 8. 10-year moving average of annual mean water temperature in the Curonian Lagoon and southeastern coastal area of the Baltic Sea.

12





3.1.6 Ice thickness

265 The comparative analysis of climate model projections for maximum ice thickness and ice season duration (Fig. 9) highlights the diverse outcomes projected by different model simulations over time and through various scenarios. All models indicate a shortening of the ice season and thinning of the ice. Notably, the MOHC model often showed lower thicknesses and shorter ice season duration compared to other models with a distinctive sinusoidal pattern. In contrast, ICHEC indicates a more gradual decline in ice season duration, whereas IPSL and MPI exhibit a greater variability over the years. Regarding maximum ice thickness, ICHEC and MPI show higher year-to-year variability.



Figure 9. Heatmaps of maximum ice thickness and ice season duration in the Curonian Lagoon. RCP4.5 (left) and RCP8.5 (right).

3.2 Trend analysis

- 275 Figure 10 provides a comprehensive overview of trends, accompanied by their statistical significance (p-values), and the rate of change (Theil-Sen estimator) for various environmental parameters under different climate scenarios. The results revealed that numerous parameters exhibited significant trends over time. Notably, air temperature and precipitation consistently show significant increasing trends in all scenarios. Although, the rate of change varies among the climate models, precipitation exhibits a more pronounced increase compared to air temperature. Water temperature
- and water level also consistently exhibit increasing trends in all scenarios.



285



Presence of trend and its significance (p-value)								The rate of change (Theil-Sen estimator)													
Historical + RCP 4.5 Historical + RCP 8.5								Historical + RCP 4.5 Historical + RCP 8.5													
Baramatar			Model				Model				Model				Model						
	arameter	ICHEC	IPSL	MOHC	MPI	Mean	ICHEC	IPSL	MOHC	MPI	Mean	ICHEC	IPSL	MOHC	MPI	Mean	ICHEC	IPSL	MOHC	MPI	Mean
Air temperature (°C)		< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.04
Precipitation (mm year ⁻¹)		< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	1.00	1.64	1.48	0.68	1.21	0.90	3.21	2.14	2.07	2.08
	Klaipėda Strait	0.04	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.35	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	1.19	2.34	6.41	0.59	2.72	1.57	6.68	6.08	4.32	4.79
Water outflow from	North of Nemunas	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.37	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	1.20	2.31	6.21	0.57	2.67	1.56	6.50	5.91	4.20	4.68
the lagoon (m ³ s ⁻¹)	Nemunas Delta	0.03	< 0.01	<0.01	0.46	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	0.85	2.13	4.83	0.41	2.11	1.26	5.58	4.60	3.44	3.79
	LT-RU border	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.56	0.62	2.82	0.46	1.13	0.69	1.73	2.93	1.34	1.67
	Klaipeda Strait	0.47	<0.01	0.02	0.19	0.01	0.57	< 0.01	0.32	<0.01	< 0.01	-0.16	-0.75	-0.41	0.22	-0.25	-0.11	-1.39	-0.27	-0.46	-0.54
Water inflow from	North of Nemunas	0.50	< 0.01	0.01	0.25	0.01	0.66	< 0.01	0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	-0.16	-0.77	-0.57	0.21	-0.28	-0.09	-1.52	-0.42	-0.57	-0.64
the sea (m ³ s ⁻¹)	Nemunas Delta	0.38	0.20	0.74	0.02	0.98	0.97	0.07	0.83	0.33	0.70	-0.10	-0.15	-0.05	0.21	0.00	0.00	-0.21	-0.04	0.11	-0.03
	LT-RU border	0.38	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.03	< 0.01	0.37	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.00	< 0.05	0.11	-0.25	0.88	0.35	0.28	0.13	-0.57	1.11	0.00	0.05
Max spring flow (Julia	in day)	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	-0.18	-0.17	-0.20	-0.15	-0.28	-0.14	-0.19	-0.23	-0.15	-0.28
	Total Nitrogen	0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	0.09	< 0.01	0.04	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	65.16	121.62	287.07	49.20	57.11	65.94	388.51	251.99	217.60	88.94
Nutrients (T year ')	Total Phosphorus	0.03	< 0.01	<0.01	0.14	< 0.01	0.07	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	1.69	3.23	9.41	1.28	3.68	1.66	9.04	7.48	5.72	6.23
Nemunas River disch	arge (m ³ s ⁻¹)	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.47	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	1.09	2.30	4.80	0.51	2.11	1.37	5.71	4.39	3.59	3.73
Water temperature	SE Baltic Sea	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03
(°C)	Curonian Lagoon	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04
	SE Baltic Sea	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.20	0.09	0.98	0.16	0.36	0.27	0.15	1.07	0.21	0.42
vvater level (cm)	Curonian Lagoon	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.03	0.21	0.12	1.00	0.16	0.37	0.28	0.24	1.07	0.25	0.46
	Season duration (days)	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	-0.35	-0.53	-0.17	-0.25	-0.29	-0.59	-0.87	-0.28	-0.60	-0.64
ice	Max thickness (cm)	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.17	0.09	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	-0.11	-0.13	-0.03	-0.04	-0.09	-0.12	-0.17	-0.06	-0.14	-0.14
Salinity in Juodkrantė	>2 g kg ⁻¹ (days)	0.07	< 0.01	<0.01	0.95	<0.01	0.18	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	-0.16	-0.29	-0.39	0.00	-0.38	-0.11	-0.43	-0.40	-0.19	-0.45
	Northern part of the lagoon	0.87	0.03	< 0.05	0.40	0.18	0.64	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	-0.06	-0.08	0.03	-0.02	0.02	-0.16	-0.06	-0.09	-0.08
vvater residence time	Southern part of the lagoon	0.55	< 0.01	0.03	0.64	0.08	0.33	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.09	-0.29	-0.36	0.07	-0.12	0.15	-0.72	-0.27	-0.38	-0.36
(days)	Total lagoon area	0.68	0.01	0.02	0.56	< 0.05	0.47	< 0.01	0.03	<0.01	<0.01	0.04	-0.18	-0.24	0.06	-0.08	0.07	-0.47	-0.19	-0.25	-0.24
			in	creasing		decrea	sing	n	o trend												

Figure 10. Mann-Kendall trend analysis results. Trends and their significance (p-values assessed at a 0.05 confidence level) with their rate of change (Theil-Sen estimator) of key environmental parameters throughout historical and RCP4.5 and 8.5 scenarios in different geographical locations within the Curonian Lagoon and southeastern (SE) Baltic Sea. Cells are colored based on the direction of the trend.

The MPI model exhibited the most frequent instances of statistically insignificant trends across the projected parameters. Notably, water inflow/outflow, nutrient discharge, riverine discharge, ice thickness, salinity, and water residence times all failed to meet the p < 0.05 significance threshold. Interestingly, the MPI model produced the highest p-value (0.02) for precipitation, which is the primary driver of other hydrological and hydrodynamic conditions

290 in the model. It is worth noting that if the threshold for statistical significance were further reduced (e.g., p < 0.01), the results for the MPI model could be entirely dismissed. This highlights the importance of carefully considering the chosen significance level when interpreting model outputs. Theil-Sen slope estimates reveal a consistent pattern of increasing river discharge, nutrient loads, and water outflow</p>

across all projections. Conversely, consistent with these rising outflows, negative slopes were observed for inflows
 from the sea and salinity. These findings collectively suggest a projected increase in freshwater input to the Curonian Lagoon, potentially impacting its biological communities.

Figure 10 highlights a critical limitation of analyzing ensemble means alone: it can obscure the heterogeneity present within individual model projections. This is evident in the water inflow at the LT-RU border, where two models show statistically insignificant trends, yet the ensemble mean indicates a significant trend. Similarly, the individual model

300 slopes for IPSL (-0.25) and ICHEC (0.88) portray contrasting projections (decrease vs. increase) compared to the ensemble mean (0.28) which leans towards an increase. These observations emphasize the importance of considering the spread of individual model projections and their uncertainties, rather than solely relying on the ensemble mean.





3.3 Uncertainty in the projections

305

Analysis of standard deviations (SD) offers a comprehensive insight into the uncertainties and variations across simulation results using forcing from different climate models, while coefficients of variation (CV) provide a standardized measure of relative variability across the assessed environmental parameters (Fig. 11). Air and water temperatures have relatively low SD values. However, the deviation is more pronounced under the RCP8.5 scenario. Additionally, the SD is higher for air temperature compared to water temperature. In the case of precipitation, the SD presents more diverse results between the models, adding to the uncertainty of the modeling results.

			HIS	<u>st + RCP 4.</u>	<u>5</u>		HIST + RCP 8.5					
		Model										
	ICHEC	IPSL	MOHC	MPI	Mean	ICHEC	IPSL	MOHC	MPI	Mean		
Air temperature (°C)	1.26	1.48	1.38	0.95	1.09	1.77	1.90	1.95	1.46	1.65	
Precipitation (mm y	ear ⁻¹)	90.27	129.52	119.04	98.47	70.44	105.48	170.57	131.61	127.41	92.36	
	Klaipėda Strait	171.84	195.43	347.72	186.72	147.45	194.88	327.40	336.50	266.75	194.74	
Water outflow from	North of Nemunas	165.65	189.56	338.24	180.07	143.54	187.32	318.29	326.21	258.11	189.55	
the lagoon (m ³ s ⁻¹)	Nemunas Delta	140.26	167.89	276.13	151.39	119.50	156.58	267.42	257.70	216.96	155.28	
	LT-RU border	62.39	72.35	118.73	59.73	51.40	65.05	94.00	123.68	84.09	64.49	
	Klaipeda Strait	53.25	51.93	69.04	54.31	30.88	60.26	66.17	59.70	55.34	32.45	
Water inflow from	North of Nemunas	58.15	56.42	77.18	59.85	34.42	66.37	73.41	66.70	62.40	36.37	
the sea (m ³ s⁻¹)	Nemunas Delta	40.88	43.67	47.12	38.76	21.56	46.64	46.45	49.00	41.57	22.40	
	LT-RU border	52.68	54.24	68.50	52.92	29.98	55.76	64.83	72.79	56.40	29.71	
Nemunas River dise	153.49	180.21	297.70	174.22	139.92	180.07	287.70	272.62	230.75	170.21		
Max spring flow (Ju	23.36	21.96	26.66	21.79	22.24	23.83	23.04	28.05	22.60	22.61		
Nutrients (Turen ⁻¹)	Total Nitrogen	11398.94	14316.05	21139.06	12646.04	4292.64	14463.22	22077.95	20908.10	15709.44	4729.58	
Nutrients (Tyear)	Total Phosphorus	335.01	357.86	623.00	374.45	291.82	382.61	503.74	623.49	417.17	339.24	
Water temperature	SE Baltic Sea	0.88	0.97	1.12	0.67	0.78	1.32	1.31	1.55	1.11	1.24	
(°C)	Curonian Lagoon	0.90	1.08	1.11	0.71	0.81	1.36	1.44	1.64	1.15	1.30	
Water level (cm)	SE Baltic Sea	0.09	0.07	0.34	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.08	0.38	0.09	0.15	
water lever (cm)	Curonian Lagoon	0.09	0.08	0.35	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.38	0.11	0.14	
leo	Season duration (days)	24.28	30.29	42.67	24.45	18.84	30.83	38.01	41.97	29.80	29.37	
ice	Max thickness (cm)	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.06	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.07	
Salinity in Juodkran	tè>2 g kg⁻¹ (days)	25.95	21.19	25.76	21.55	18.72	28.13	22.60	24.96	20.66	21.20	
Mater residence	Northern part of the lagoon	10.60	7.51	10.52	10.13	5.13	12.14	9.62	9.18	9.40	5.41	
time (dove)	Southern part of the lagoon	59.86	38.26	51.89	62.65	26.38	73.21	45.45	44.74	48.49	27.99	
ume (days)	Total lagoon area	32.24	22.55	30.37	29.71	14.84	37.88	27.60	26.23	27.98	16.12	
	Coefficient of variation	<10%	10-20%	20-30%	30-40%	40-50%	50-60%	60-70%	70-80%	80-90%	>90%	

310

Figure 11. Standard deviations of key environmental parameters throughout historical and RCP4.5 and 8.5 scenarios in different geographical locations within the Curonian Lagoon and southeastern (SE) Baltic Sea. Cells are colored based on the coefficient of variation.

The low p-values (Fig. 10) indicate that the trends in earlier maximum spring flows are statistically significant.
315 However, the variability in the SD values across models and RCPs (Fig. 11) suggests that there is uncertainty associated with these projections. The range of Theil-Sen slopes also indicates variability in the rate of decline in the timing of maximum spring flows across different scenarios. Therefore, while the trends are significant, the uncertainty in the projections should be considered when interpreting and using these results for decision-making. The SD values for the occurrence of maximum spring flows range from 21.79 (in the MPI 4.5 scenario) to 28.05 days (in the MOCH

8.5 scenario), where higher SD values indicate greater variability in the predicted time series data. Both IPSL and MPI models have lower prediction variability, whereas MOCH and ICHEC display larger variability. The RCP8.5 scenario indicates a greater degree of change, consistent with previous studies (Idzelytė et al., 2023, Čerkasova et. al., 2021). For both RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, the MPI model has the lowest CV (29% and 32%), while the MOCH model has the highest CV (38% and 40%). Based on these results it can be concluded that the MPI model appears to be less variable





325 compared to the other models for both RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios. Conversely, the MOCH model appears to be more variable compared to the other models for both scenarios.
Analysis of potential future TN and TP loads in the Nemunas River reveals a broad spectrum of possibilities. The

Analysis of potential future TN and TP loads in the Nemunas River reveals a broad spectrum of possibilities. The variation is linked to the specific climate model and RCP scenario chosen. However, a consistent trend emerges across all models and RCPs – an upward trajectory for nutrient loads. Anthropogenic activities are the primary driver of

- 330 nutrient loading from land sources. While climate factors, such as increased precipitation and subsequent nutrient wash-off, might exert a net negative impact on loads, a comprehensive future outlook requires incorporating anticipated changes in nutrient management practices and land use. This study acknowledges the omission of these factors, highlighting the need for further analysis to identify the most probable scenario and develop potential mitigation strategies for nutrient pollution in the Nemunas River.
- 335 When examining water dynamics within the lagoon, areas with greater fluctuations in SD are notably found in regions where water flow is more intense. This pattern is particularly distinguished from the Nemunas Delta going northward to the Klaipėda Strait. Variability is much higher for water outflow than inflow. The most significant variation between the models is evident under the RCP4.5 scenario, where simulation results derived using MOHC datasets produce much higher SD than other models. A similar pattern is also evident for the ice season duration, while SD for saltwater
- 340 intrusions in the lagoon is relatively similar between the different models. Water residence time exhibits the same variability between the models in all analysis sections. Notably, the IPSL model demonstrates a lower SD under the RCP4.5 scenario, while the ICHEC model exhibits a higher SD under the RCP8.5 scenario. In almost all instances, except for water level, the SD statistics derived from the model-averaged datasets exhibit lower
- values. This suggests a reduction in variability compared to individual models, emphasizing the smoothing effect
 achieved through model averaging. The most pronounced disparity in SD among the models is observed in the case of MOHC, particularly regarding the RCP4.5 scenario.

The differences between climate models become more apparent when considering coefficients of variation. While air and water temperatures show relatively consistent results with low CV values, parameters like salinity and ice-related variables display higher CV values, highlighting greater variability and uncertainty among the climate models. Among

350 the parameters indicating water flow dynamics in different areas of the Curonian Lagoon, again a clear disparity of the MOHC model can be seen. This indicates the model's distinct response and emphasizes the need for careful consideration when employing the data of this climate model in hydrodynamic and hydrological simulations.

3.4 Changepoint analysis of burbot spawning period time series

- The single changepoint analyses of major shifts in mean and variance in time series of the duration of the cold season suitable for burbot spawning occur from 2013 to 2029 according to modeling results of RCP4.5 (Appendix A Fig. A1). The mean value of the time segment involving historical and recent past varies from 47 (MOHC RCP4.5) to 72 days (ICHEC RCP4.5). In the next period, it becomes shorter by 66% according to MOHC and IPSL models and by 36 and 51% according to MPI and ICHEC models, respectively, taking no longer than one month. In three of the four RCP8.5 scenario models, the single changepoint could only be detected at the end of the time series, after 2040-2060
- 360 when the cold period duration is reduced to 6 to 9 days. An exception was generated by ICHEC RCP8.5 model results,



365



indicating a changepoint in the historical past, showing that the duration of the cold period already decreased by 60% in 1992 (Appendix A Fig. A1). Somewhat surprisingly, no changepoints in terms of variance are detected in the IPSL and ICHEC time series. Change in variance was detected in the IPSL time series in 1995 and in the MOHC time series in 2003 and 2013 (Appendix A Fig. A2), so both occurred within the historical period. Both model results indicate a 2 to 3 times higher variance of the cold period duration in the historical period than post changepoint period (Appendix A Fig. A2).



Figure 12. Changepoint (CP) detection in the modeled time series of burbot spawning period t<1.5°C duration (Vente area). CP refers to changepoints indicated in a number of years since 1972.

Multiple changepoint detection analyses indicated three to four changepoints in the modeled time series of cold period duration (Fig. 12). The significant decrease in a mean number of <1.5 °C days occurred in the 1990s according to MPI, IPSL, and ICHEC models, and the change was particularly obvious in the results of IPSL and ICHEC models 46-47% and 33-42% reduction, respectively (Table 3). The cold period duration decreased from three to two months according to the ICHEC RCP4.5 model and even to less than 2 months in ICHEC RCP8.5 in 1992. The next time segment where

375

370



380



all modeled time series had a changepoint is close to the present time and near future (Table 3). After this changepoint, the cold period is further shrinking. If in the 1990s the MPI model showed only a slight decrease in the number of cold days (15%), after 2021 (MPI RCP4.5) and 2025 (MPI RCP8.5) the reduction is more severe (46%). After the second changepoint, 46 to 72% of the initial cold period duration is lost according to all model results. According to three out of four model results, the cold period duration is less than one month after the 2030s.

	Change points & Means of periods										
	Mean	Historic	Mean	Present &	Mean	Long-	Mean	Long-	Mean		
	Ι	СР	II	Near	III	term	IV	term	V		
				future		2040-		>2060			
				2020-2040		2060		СР			
				СР		СР					
MOHC 4.5	47	2013	20	2036	13	2056	10	2080	21		
MPI 4.5	54	1994	46	2021	29	2042	42	2062	29		
IPSL 4.5	72	1997	38	2030	25	-	25	2061	14		
ICHEC 4.5	89	1992	60	2029	38	2059	34	2080	30		
MOHC 8.5	46	2013	24	2040	11	-	11	2072	5		
MPI 8.5	54	1997	43	2025	29	2046	26	2067	9		
IPSL 8.5	72	1997	39	2023	28	2060	7	2080	5		
ICHEC 8.5	89	1992	52	2030	31	2054	37	2077	14		

Table 3. Multiple changepoints (CP, years) in modeled time series and mean values of burbot spawning period duration (number of days when the temperature was <1.5 °C) in subsequent periods (I-V) at the Vente area.

4 Discussion

Uncertainty is not a flaw but a representative aspect of predicting complex systems. Multi-model ensembles (MMEs)
 are a vital tool in managing this uncertainty, providing a more robust and reliable basis for understanding future climate conditions and informing global efforts to mitigate and adapt to climate change. One common method to analyze MMEs for climate change impact assessment is ensemble averaging, which is often more accurate than any individual model's prediction, smoothing out model-specific biases. This type of research we did in our previous study (Idzelyte et al., 2023), however, investigating the dynamics of each model separately is important for evaluating the overall uncertainty in impact predictions since relying only on multi-model averaging can obscure the detailed representation of extreme values and the variability of the parameters under study, potentially affecting the accuracy of projections (Tegegne et al., 2020).

In our study, each model showed independent variability of the parameters and its trends. In general, the trends are aligned in the same trajectory but the slope differs: sharper decreases or increases occur in data series based on RCP8.5





395 forcing. However, our study also indicates that even under climate mitigation scenario RCP4.5 the changes in hydrological processes and temperature regimes are significant. The combined analysis of standard deviations and coefficients of variation provides valuable insights into the divergences between climate models in simulating hydrodynamic and hydrological processes.

4.1 Riverine inputs and water flows

- 400 The discharge of the Nemunas River exhibits a pronounced and statistically significant increasing trend, accompanied by escalating rates of change. The overall water outflow from the lagoon also reveals increasing trends suggesting changes in hydrological patterns, while water inflow varies in significance across scenarios and locations. The significance of the latter is inconsistent and varies between different climate models, with some of them (depending on the cross-sections) displaying no significant trends, and others indicating a decrease in water inflow.
- 405 Results from the 10-year moving average imply much higher variability between the models in the long-term period, which reveals the cumulative effect of the uncertainties and complexity of the system. Our study results differ greatly compared to Jakimavičius et al. (2018) study based on the IPCC (2013) climate models without downscaling (GFDL-CM3, HadGEM2-ES, NorESM1-M). Jakimavičius et al. (2018) study applied the HBV hydrological model and used statistical methods to calculate the Baltic Sea parameters. With these techniques the main following projected outputs
- 410 were generated: 1) the Nemunas outflow decrease from 22.1 to 15.9 km³ with RCP8.5 scenario; 2) decreasing trend of the outflow to the sea will induce only 0.7% from the reference value; 3) and significant inflow increase to the lagoon due to sea level rise was calculated up to 61.3% higher compared to the reference period (Jakimavičius et al., 2018).

However, our study results are in line with Plunge et al. (2022) study where the SWAT model with 7 regional climate

- 415 models was applied to study RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios. Plunge et al. (2022) study forecasted the increase of the Nemunas River discharge by 9.7% for RCP4.5 and by 35.4% for the RCP8.5 scenario by the end of the century. The divergent results from various studies show the necessity to evaluate climate change scenarios with care. The use of the regional-bias corrected data has a minor variation in the near future; however, the long-term projections are still uncertain. The trend analysis showed that the MOHC model projected the highest riverine input, as a result, most of
- 420 the other parameters had more distinguished results compared to other models. The associated trends in water residence time (WRT) in different parts of the lagoon are diverse, having varying levels of significance and rates of changes. However, most of the RCP4.5 models did not show significant trends except the mean trend for this scenario, while with RCP8.5 models prevailing trends of decreasing water residence time can be observed. The decreasing trends can be explained by the higher Nemunas discharges and the increased outflow from
- 425 the lagoon to the sea. Moreover, the timing of the maximum spring flood shifting to earlier days in the year could have important implications for the lagoon flushing rate in spring, e.g., the absence of ice jam could profoundly reduce the likelihood of the sudden water level rise and extreme flood event risk. Earlier spring floods and the tendency of shorter WRT in the lagoon could have important implications for biogeochemical cycles, nutrient regimes, and associated phytoplankton primary production peaks and overall nutrient retention capacity.





430 4.2 Saltwater intrusion into the freshwater system

The variability of water inflow from the Baltic Sea into the lagoon impacts saltwater intrusions in the northern part of the lagoon and has significant effects in the area, extending to around Juodkrantė, which is situated approximately 20 kilometers southward of the Klaipėda Strait (sea inlet). The duration of saltwater intrusions in this specific area exhibits varying trends and rates of change, with certain scenarios displaying significant decreases in the number of days per year when salinity exceeds 2 g kg⁻¹, while others – no significant changes. Moreover, analysis of single-model saltwater intrusions showed huge variability between the years, particularly as it can be visible in ICHEC and MPI model forecasts. The large uncertainties of the projected future salinity were discussed in other studies as well (Meier et al., 2022a, 2022b), claiming that the considerable uncertainties in all salinity drivers together with the different responses to these drivers cause the uncertainty in the salinity projections. In our study, ICHEC and MPI

440 models for RCP4.5 and ICHEC for RCP8.5 showed no trends suggesting that it is very difficult to project the changes in the future. Moreover, single model projections of the saline water inflows from the North Sea to the Baltic Sea that can influence the saline water intrusions to the Curonian Lagoon were not analyzed. However, given the significant increase in river discharge is anticipated, the saltwater intrusion into the freshwater system is not likely.

4.3 Water temperature and ice regime in the Curonian Lagoon

- 445 All models showed a significantly increasing trend for the water temperatures with the highest rate of change for the MOHC model and the lowest change for the MPI model. The analysis of the SD values strongly suggests that water temperature is the most certain parameter and all models agree with the rise of water temperature. In general, all of the Baltic Sea has the same trends for RCP4.5 and 8.5 projections: the water temperature will increase and the sea-ice cover extent will decrease (Meier et al., 2022b). The impact of the increased water temperatures will be mostly visible
- 450 during winter periods and crucial for the cold water species. However, in our study, we did not analyze the upwelling and marine heatwave events that are important for the summer period and can have a significant influence on the ecological status of the lagoon and southern Baltic Sea coasts.

Ice-related parameter results suggest a consistent and significant decline in ice season duration and maximum ice thickness across multiple climate models and scenarios. Results are in line with Jakimavičius et al. (2020) study

- 455 accomplished with statistical methods using MPI, MOHC, and ICHEC model inputs for the Curonian Lagoon, where the ice duration was projected to last 35–45 days for RCP4.5 and 3–34 for RCP8.5 with an expected decline of the ice thickness up to 0–13 cm in the long term analysis. In our study, the highest rates of change were expressed by the IPSL model, which was not included in the previous study. Nevertheless, both studies agreed that in the future the ice-covered season will be shorter or even absent (RCP8.5). Decreasing ice cover will affect WRTs (Idzelytė et al., 2023, 2020) and will have consequences for the lagoon ecosystem.
- , I 6 9

4.4 Implications for nutrient load management

One of the greatest concerns of the environmental managers is the forecast of the river nutrient loads into the Curonian Lagoon, which heavily affects eutrophication (Vybernaite-Lubiene et al., 2018, Stakeniene et al., 2023). This task also





relates to the international commitment to reduce nutrient inputs into the Baltic Sea. According to our model results
(ICHEC, IPSL, MPI), the TP threshold could be achieved and maintained with some fluctuating pattern throughout the entire century if RCP4.5 scenario forcing is ensured. However, a severe discrepancy from the targeted loads of TP is forecasted by the middle of the century by all models and especially by MOHC, regardless of the RCP scenario. Despite the limitations of this study (i.e., not taking the possible land use and management change into account), a worrying trend emerges with the increasing risk that with current regulations Lithuania will unlikely meet the nutrient input ceilings defined in the HELCOM Baltic Sea Action Plan during the century.

- Some studies demonstrate that future socioeconomic pathways could have a greater effect than climate change on nutrients inputs to the Baltic Sea (Bartosova et al., 2019). Thus, the policy decisions within the BSAP framework do not lose their importance, even in the context of climate-induced negative consequences, i.e., climate driven increase in N loads. Measures designed and implemented can have a significant impact on environmental management
- 475 achievements of the threshold targets, especially if combined with emission reduction policy and socio-economic transition towards more sustainable food and waste systems.

4.5. Implications for nature protection and conservation

Our study of climate change prediction uncertainty demands a re-evaluation of past approaches in biodiversity conservation, highlighting the need for adaptive strategies in this field. Burbot used to be a significant part of the commercial fish catch in the Curonian Lagoon before the 1990s and still is a very important target for game fishing, especially under the ice. However, both commercial and recreational catches have fallen, and despite massive restocking efforts, the stock is not improving. Some authors hypothesized that the main reason for the population decline is the warming temperatures during the reproduction season (Švagždys, 2002). According to Skersonas et al.

(unpublished report 2019), the fall in catches of burbot in the Curonian Lagoon also coincided with the collapse of the

- 485 USSR and uncontrolled fishing at the beginning of the state's creation. According to our analysis, the stock collapse period in fact corresponds to the presence of temperature changepoint detected in 1994, 1997, and 1992 in different modeled data sets MPI, IPSL, and ICHEC, respectively. High variance of cold days duration among years during the historic period was reflected in burbot stocks, the sequence of four to six cold winters was followed by a three to five-fold increase in burbot catches (Švagždys, 2002). However, along with increasing temperature in the future, the change
- 490 between colder and warmer winters is not likely. The absence of ice cover, shift in spring flood timing, and increasing water temperatures potentially could have implications for fish spawning phenology and spawning habitat quality. Multiple changepoint detection analysis results showed a significant increase in temperature and shortening of the cold period starting from the 1990s, indicating the onset of global warming. Assuming 'business as usual' carbon emission scenario RCP8.5, the next notable decrease in cold period duration, already happened in 2023 (IPSL) or is
- 495 happening soon in 2025 (MPI) and 2030 (ICHEC). Thereafter the cold period lasts for as long as one month. Assuming the emission reduction scenario RCP4.5, i.e., the stabilization of temperature trend, a one-month cold period duration could be expected to last to the end of the century, according to MPI and ICHEC model results. However, IPSL results, and especially MOHC results show no improvement even under the climate change mitigation scenario. Loss of ice and cold isothermal conditions for spawning and egg development would further contribute to a significant decline in



505



500 burbot population natural recruitment. The aquaculture-based restocking as a conservation measure rather than a stock improvement measure would become realistic in the near future.

5 Conclusions and recommendations

This study evaluates various climate models to understand hydrological and hydrodynamic changes in the Nemunas River, Curonian Lagoon, and southeastern Baltic Sea continuum under different climate change scenarios. It highlights the importance of employing multiple models due to their unique predictions and the inherent variability and

complexity in forecasting climate impacts on the analyzed hydrological and hydrodynamic parameters. The analysis revealed that each model exhibits its own unique variability across all the examined parameters, while some models show greater degrees of change, others are more stable. Yet, despite these variances, all models consistently align in their projections and tendencies under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 climate change scenarios.

- 510 To summarize, the effective management of the Nemunas River - Curonian Lagoon - Baltic Sea continuum in a changing climate needs a collaborative policy framework. Cross-sectoral working groups, focused on specific challenges like nutrient management, should combine expertise from agriculture, water resources, and environmental protection agencies. Engaging multiple stakeholder groups (fishermen, environmental managers, agricultural advisors, scientists, policymakers, etc.) in designing and implementing climate-resilient practices fosters knowledge sharing
- 515 and feedback loops, leading to more effective and socially-accepted solutions. For example, promoting practices that improve nutrient retention can also reduce runoff and, in turn, reduce the risk or magnitude of floods and protect biodiversity.

With our study we strongly support development of predictive tools to aid in decision-making, risk assessment and management. The uncertainty results provide valuable insights to initiate policy updates, enhanced regional

520 cooperation and coordination, development of climate change indicators and associated revision of national monitoring programs (e.g., Rose et al., 2023). Our results suggest that much greater efforts to mitigate global climate change are needed to avoid high costs and difficulties to implement local climate mitigation measures.

Data availability

All numerical modelling results are openly available in the Zenodo open data repository 525 (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7500744), initially generated in Idzelytė et al. (2023) and cited in this manuscript.

Author contribution

GU and NC initiated the conceptualization and funding acquisition of the research project. NC, JM and RI performed the analysis and drafted the paper. RI, NC, JM and JL worked on the visualization of the results. NC, JM, RI prepared the original manuscript draft with the assistance of JL, GU and AE. All co-authors reviewed the paper and contributed to the scientific interpretation and discussion.

530





Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the Research Council of Lithuania (LMTLT), agreement No S-MIP-21-24.

535 References

Ashton, N. K., Jensen, N. R., Ross, T. J., Young, S. P., Hardy, R. S., and Cain, K. D.: Temperature and Maternal Age Effects on Burbot Reproduction, North Am. J. Fish. Manage., 39, 1192–1206, https://doi.org/10.1002/nafm.10354, 2019.

Akstinas, V., Jakimavičius, D., Meilutytė-Lukauskienė, D., Kriaučiūnienė, J., and Šarauskienė, D.: Uncertainty of

540 annual runoff projections in Lithuanian rivers under a future climate, Hydrol. Res., 51(2), 257–271, https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2019.004, 2019.

Bartošová, A., Capell, R., Olesen, J. E., Jabloun, M., Refsgaard, J. C., Donnelly, C., Hyytiäinen, K., Pihlainen, S., Zandersen, M., and Arheimer, B.: Future socioeconomic conditions may have a larger impact than climate change on nutrient loads to the Baltic Sea, Ambio, 48, 1325–1336, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01243-5, 2019.

545 Chen, C., Gan, R., Feng, D., Yang, F., and Zuo, Q.: Quantifying the contribution of SWAT modeling and CMIP6 inputting to streamflow prediction uncertainty under climate change, J. Clean. Prod., 364, 132675, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132675, 2022.

Čerkasova, N., Umgiesser, G., and Ertürk, A.: Development of a hydrology and water quality model for a large transboundary river watershed to investigate the impacts of climate change – A SWAT application, Ecol. Eng., 124, 99–115, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.09.025, 2018.

- 550 99–115, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.09.025, 2018. Čerkasova, N., Umgiesser, G., and Ertürk, A.: Assessing Climate Change Impacts on Streamflow, Sediment and Nutrient Loadings of the Minija River (Lithuania): A Hillslope Watershed Discretization Application with High-Resolution Spatial Inputs, Water, 11, 676, https://doi.org/10.3390/w11040676, 2019.
- Čerkasova, N., Umgiesser, G., and Ertürk, A.: Modelling framework for flow, sediments and nutrient loads in a large transboundary river watershed: A climate change impact assessment of the Nemunas River watershed, J. Hydrol., 598, 126422, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126422, 2021.
 Foley, A.: Uncertainty in regional climate modeling: A review, Prog. Phys. Geogr.: Earth Environ., 34(5), 647–670, https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133310375654, 2010.

Harrison, P. M., Gutowsky, L. F. G., Martins, E. G., Patterson, D. A., Cooke, S. J., and Power, M.: Temporal plasticity
in thermal-habitat selection of burbot Lota lota a diel-migrating winter-specialist, Fish Biol., 88, 6: 2095–2308,

https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12990, 2016.

HELCOM: The revised nutrient input ceilings to the BSAP update, Helsinki Commission – HELCOM, Available online at: https://helcom.fi/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Nutrient-input-ceilings-2021.pdf, 2021.



565



Hussain, M., and Mahmud, I.: pyMannKendall: a python package for nonparametric Mann Kendall family of trend tests, J. Open Source Softw., 4, 1556, https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01556, 2019.

- Idzelytė, R., Čerkasova, N., Mėžinė, J., Dabulevičienė, T., Razinkovas-Baziukas, A., Ertürk, A., and Umgiesser, G.: Coupled hydrological and hydrodynamic modeling application for climate change impact assessment in the Nemunas river watershed–Curonian Lagoon–southeastern Baltic Sea continuum, Ocean Sci., 19(4), 1047–1066, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-19-1047-2023, 2023.
- 570 Idzelytė, R., Čerkasova, N., Mėžinė, J., Dabulevičienė, T., Razinkovas-Baziukas, A., Ertürk, A., and Umgiesser, G.: The computation results of coupled hydrological and hydrodynamic modelling application for the Nemunas River watershed – Curonian Lagoon – South-Eastern Baltic Sea continuum, Zenodo [data set], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7500744, 2023.

 Idzelytė, R., Mėžinė, J., Zemlys, P., and Umgiesser, G.: Study of ice cover impact on hydrodynamic processes in the
 Curonian Lagoon through numerical modeling, Oceanologia, 62, 428–442, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceano.2020.04.006, 2020.

IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P.M. (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press,

580 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp., https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324, 2013.

Ivanauskas, E., Skersonas, A., Andrašūnas, V., Elyaagoubi, S., and Razinkovas-Baziukas, A.: Mapping and Assessing Commercial Fisheries Services in the Lithuanian Part of the Curonian Lagoon, Fishes, 7, 19, https://doi.org/10.3390/fishes7010019, 2022.

585 Jakimavičius, D., Kriaučiūnienė, J., and Šarauskienė, D.: Impact of climate change on the Curonian Lagoon water balance components, salinity and water temperature in the 21st century, Oceanologia, 60, 378–389, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceano.2018.02.003, 2018.

Jakimavičius, D., Šarauskienė, D., and Kriaučiūnienė, J.: Influence of climate change on the ice conditions of the Curonian Lagoon, Oceanologia, 62(2), 164–172, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceano.2019.10.003, 2020.

- Kaziukonytė, K., Lesutienė, J., Gasiūnaitė, Z. R., Morkūnė, R., Elyaagoubi, S., and Razinkovas-Baziukas, A.: Expert-Based Assessment and Mapping of Ecosystem Services Potential in the Nemunas Delta and Curonian Lagoon Region, Lithuania, Water, 13(19), 2728, https://doi.org/10.3390/w13192728, 2021.
 Killick, R., and Eckley, I. A.: changepoint: An R Package for Changepoint Analysis, J. Stat. Softw., 58(3), 1–19, https://www.jstatsoft.org/article/view/v058i03, 2014.
- Killick, R., Haynes, K., and Eckley, I. A.: changepoint: An R package for changepoint analysis, R package version 2.2.4, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=changepoint, 2022.
 Latif, M.: Uncertainty in climate change projections, J. Geochem. Explor., 110(1), 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2010.09.011, 2011.





 Lehner, F., Deser, C., Maher, N., Marotzke, J., Fischer, E. M., Brunner, L., and Hawkins, E.: Partitioning climate
 projection uncertainty with multiple large ensembles and CMIP5/6, Earth Syst. Dynam., 11(2), 491–508, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-11-491-2020, 2020.

Lin, B., Chen, X., Yao, H., Chen, Y., Liu, M., Gao, L., and James, A.: Analyses of landuse change impacts on catchment runoff using different time indicators based on SWAT model, Ecol. Indic., 58, 55–63, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.05.031, 2015.

- Madec, G., and NEMO System Team: NEMO ocean engine, Sci. Notes Clim. Model. Cent., 27, ISSN 1288-1619, Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace (IPSL), 2004.
 Meier, H. E. M., Kniebusch, M., Dieterich, C., Gröger, M., Zorita, E., Elmgren, R., Myrberg, K., Ahola, M. P., Bartosova, A., Bonsdorff, E., Börgel, F., Capell, R., Carlén, I., Carlund, T., Carstensen, J., Christensen, O. B., Dierschke, V., Frauen, C., Frederiksen, M., Gaget, E., Galatius, A., Haapala, J. J., Halkka, A., Hugelius, G., Hünicke,
- 610 B., Jaagus, J., Jüssi, M., Käyhkö, J., Kirchner, N., Kjellström, E., Kulinski, K., Lehmann, A., Lindström, G., May, W., Miller, P. A., Mohrholz, V., Müller-Karulis, B., Pavón-Jordán, D., Quante, M., Reckermann, M., Rutgersson, A., Savchuk, O. P., Stendel, M., Tuomi, L., Viitasalo, M., Weisse, R., and Zhang, W.: Climate change in the Baltic Sea region: a summary, Earth Syst. Dyn., 13, 457–593, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-457-2022, 2022a. Meier, H. E. M., Dieterich, C., Gröger, M., Dutheil, C., Börgel, F., Safonova, K., Christensen, O. B., and Kjellström,
- E.: Oceanographic regional climate projections for the Baltic Sea until 2100, Earth Syst. Dyn., 13, 159–199, https://doi.org/10.5194/esd-13-159-2022, 2022b.
 Mellor, G. L.: Users guide for a three-dimensional primitive equation numerical ocean model, Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ, 08544–10710, 2004.
- Neitsch, S. L., Arnold, J. G., Kiniry, J. R., and Williams, J. R.: Soil and Water Assessment Tool Theoretical
 Documentation Version 2009, Texas Water Resources Institute, College Station, Texas, 2009.
 Plunge, S., Gudas, M.and, Povilaitis, A.: Expected climate change impacts on surface water bodies in Lithuania,

Ecohydrol. Hydrobiol., 22(2), 246–268, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecohyd.2021.11.004, 2022.
Rose, K.C., Bierwagen, B., Bridgham, S.D. et al. Indicators of the effects of climate change on freshwater ecosystems.
Clim. Change 176, 23, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-022-03457-1, 2023.

625 Song, Y. H., Chung, E. S., and Shiru, M. S.: Uncertainty Analysis of Monthly Precipitation in GCMs Using Multiple Bias Correction Methods under Different RCPs, Sustainability, 12(18), 7508, https://doi.org/10.3390/su12187508, 2020.

Stakėnienė, R., Jokšas, K., Kriaučiūnienė, J., Jakimavičius, D., Raudonytė-Svirbutavičienė, E.: Nutrient Loadings and Exchange between the Curonian Lagoon and the Baltic Sea: Changes over the Past Two Decades (2001–2020), Water,

630 15, 4096, https://doi.org/10.3390/w15234096, 2023.
Stapanian, M. A., Paragamian, V. L., Madenjian, C. P., Jackson, J. R., Lappalainen, J., Evenson, M. J., and Neufeld, M. D.: Worldwide status of burbot and conservation measures, Fish Fish., 11(1), 34–56, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2009.00340.x, 2010.

Švagždys, A.: Growth and abundance of burbot in the Curonian Lagoon and determinatives of burbot abundance, Acta
Zool. Lit., 12(1), 58–64, https://doi.org/10.1080/13921657.2002.10512487, 2002.



640



Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A.: An Overview of CMIP5 and the Experiment Design, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 93(4), 485–498, https://doi.org/10.1175/bams-d-11-00094.1, 2012.

Tegegne, G., Melesse, A. M., and Worqlul, A. W.: Development of multi-model ensemble approach for enhanced assessment of impacts of climate change on climate extremes, Sci. Total Environ., 704, 135357, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135357, 2020.

Umgiesser, G., Melaku Canu, D., Cucco, A., Solidoro, C.: A finite element model for the Venice Lagoon. Development, set up, calibration and validation. J. Mar. Sys., 51, 123–145, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2004.05.009, 2004.

Umgiesser, G., Ferrarin, C., Cucco, A., De Pascalis, F., Bellafiore, D., Ghezzo, M., and Bajo, M.: Comparative
 hydrodynamics of 10 Mediterranean lagoons by means of numerical modeling, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 119, 2212–2226, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JC009512, 2014.

Umgiesser, G., Zemlys, P., Erturk, A., Razinkova-Baziukas, A., Mežine, J., and Ferrarin, C.: Seasonal renewal time variability in the Curonian Lagoon caused by atmospheric and hydrographical forcing, Ocean Sci., 12(2), 391-402, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-12-391-2016, 2016.

- Vybernaite-Lubiene, I., Zilius, M., Saltyte-Vaisiauske, L., and Bartoli, M.: Recent Trends (2012–2016) of N, Si, and P Export from the Nemunas River Watershed: Loads, Unbalanced Stoichiometry, and Threats for Downstream Aquatic Ecosystems, Water, 10, 1178, https://doi.org/10.3390/w10091178, 2018.
 Waikhom, S. I., Yadav, V., Azamathulla, H. M., and Solanki, N.: Impact assessment of land use/land cover changes on surface runoff characteristics in the Shetrunji River Basin using the SWAT model, Water Pract. Tech., 18(5), 1221–1232, https://doi.org/10.2166/wpt.2023.071, 2023.
- Wang, G., Yang, H., Wang, L., Xu, Z., and Xue, B.: Using the SWAT model to assess impacts of land use changes on runoff generation in headwaters, Hydrol. Processes, 28(3), 1032–1042, https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9645, 2012.
 Zemlys, P., Ferrarin, C., Umgiesser, G., Gulbinskas, S., and Bellafiore, D.: Investigation of saline water intrusions into the Curonian Lagoon (Lithuania) and two-layer flow in the Klaipeda Strait using finite element hydrodynamic

⁶⁶⁰ model, Ocean Sci., 9, 573–584, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-9-573-2013, 2013.







Appendix A: Results of the changepoint analysis









Figure A2. Single change point (CP) of variances detection in the modeled time series of burbot spawning period t<1.5°C duration (Vente area). Variances (Var) of two periods are provided.