Supporting information (SI): Enhanced daytime secondary aerosol formation driven by gas-particle partitioning in downwind urban plumes
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Table S1. Fitting parameters $a$ and $b$ of different calibration experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment No.</th>
<th>Particle diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Mass loading (ng)</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>150.7</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>1.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td>1.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>3.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>-0.218</td>
<td>3.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110.6</td>
<td>-0.241</td>
<td>5.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150.8</td>
<td>-0.243</td>
<td>4.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periods</td>
<td>Days</td>
<td>Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-range Transport</td>
<td>14-20 October; 29 October-1 November; 3-4 November; 7-10 November; 14 November</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Air Masses</td>
<td>7-9 October; 23-27 October; 1-2 November; 13 November</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Air Masses</td>
<td>2-4 October; 10-12 October; 22 October; 12 November</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S1. Location of the measurement site and Guangzhou city. This map was obtained from Map World.
Figure S2. Normalized 72 hours backward trajectories arriving at the measurement site during (a) the whole measurement, (b) long-range transport period, (c) urban air masses period, and (d) coastal air masses period.
Figure S3. Mass spectral profile of six OA factors. The colors represent different family groups.
Figure S4. (a) Measured $T_{\text{max}}$ vs $P_{\text{sat}}$ literature values for PEG 5-8 at different diameters and collected mass loadings and (b) corresponding fitted calibration lines.
Figure S5. Normalized probability density function of collected mass loading on the filter of the FIGAERO-I-CIMS. The collected mass loading is calculated based on collection time, flow rate through the filter, and the organic concentration measured by the SP-AMS.
Figure S6. Van-Krevelen diagram (O/C ratio versus H/C ratio) of gas-phase organic compounds measured by FIGAERO-CIMS. The symbol size is proportional to the mass concentration of organic vapors and the color code represents the volatility. The black solid line divided the organic vapors potentially formed through the autoxidation pathway (upper regime) and multi-generation OH oxidation pathway (lower regime)(Wang et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2020).
Figure S8. Relationship between particle surface area and SOA factors (MOOA, LOOA and aBBOA).
Figure S8. Relationship between the concentration of organic vapors and six OA PMF factors. The color represents the CS values.
Figure S9. Relationship between odd-oxygen ($O_X$, $O_X=O_3+NO_2$) and the concentration of organic vapors measured by the FIGAERO-CIMS in the afternoon (10:00-16:00 LT).
Figure S10. The average diurnal variation of O$_x$ during the whole campaign, long-range transport, urban air masses, and coastal air masses periods.
Figure S11. Volatility distribution of the number of calibrated and semi-quantified species measured by the FIGAERO-CIMS.
Figure S12. Average sum thermograms measured by the FIGAERO-CIMS in the afternoon (12:00-16:00 LT) during the whole campaign, long-range transport, urban air masses, and coastal air masses periods.
Figure S13. Relationship between the SVOC+LVOC in FIGAERO OA and LOOA in AMS OA during (a) the whole campaign, (b) long-range transport, (c) urban air masses, and (d) coastal air masses periods.
Figure S14. 72h backward trajectories arriving at the measurement site with 500 m height at 00:00, 06:00, 12:00, and 18:00 on 2 November 2019.
Figure S15. Variation of (a) PNSD, (b) sum thermograms, and (c) wind speed and direction on 2 November 2019.
Figure S16. The average diurnal variation of NO$_x$ during the whole campaign and three selected periods.
Figure S17. Diurnal variation of CHON compounds in (a) condensable organic vapors, (b) non-condensable organic vapors, and (c) FIGAERO OA and (d-f) their corresponding mass ratio.
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