1 Supporting information (SI): Enhanced daytime secondary

2 aerosol formation driven by gas-particle partitioning in

3 downwind urban plumes

- 4 Mingfu Cai^{1,2,3}, Ye Chenshuo⁴, Bin Yuan^{2,3*}, Shan Huang^{2,3}, E Zheng^{2,3}, Suxia Yang⁵,
- 5 Zelong Wang^{2,3}, Yi Lin^{2,3}, Tiange Li^{2,3}, Weiwei Hu⁶, Wei Chen⁶, Qicong Song^{2,3}, Wei
- 6 Li^{2,3}, Yuwen Peng^{2,3}, Baoling Liang⁷, Qibin Sun⁷, Jun Zhao⁷, Duohong Chen⁸, Jiaren
- 7 Sun¹, Zhiyong Yang⁹, Min Shao^{2,3}
- 8 ¹Guangdong Province Engineering Laboratory for Air Pollution Control, Guangdong
- 9 Provincial Key Laboratory of Water and Air Pollution Control, South China Institute of Environmental
- 10 Sciences, MEE, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510655, China
- ²Institute for Environmental and Climate Research, Jinan University, 51143, Guangzhou, China
- 12 ³Guangdong-Hongkong-Macau Joint Laboratory of Collaborative Innovation for Environmental
- 13 Quality, Guangzhou, China
- ⁴ Guangdong Provincial Academy of Environmental Science, Guangzhou, 510045, China
- 15 ⁵ Guangzhou Research Institute of Environment Protection Co.,Ltd, Guangzhou 510620, China
- 16 ⁶State Key Laboratory of Organic Geochemistry and Guangdong Key Laboratory of Environmental
- 17 Protection and Resources Utilization, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of
- 18 Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, China
- 19 ⁷School of Atmospheric Sciences, Guangdong Province Key Laboratory for Climate Change and
- 20 Natural Disaster Studies, and Institute of Earth Climate and Environment System, Sun Yat-sen
- 21 University, Zhuhai, Guangdong 519082, China
- 22 ⁸Guangdong Environmental Monitoring Center, Guangzhou 510308, China
- 23 ⁹Guangzhou Huangpu District Meteorological Bureau, Guangzhou 510530, China
- 24
- 25
- 26 **Corresponding authors*: Bin Yuan (byuan@jnu.edu.cn)

Experiment No.	Particle diameter (nm)	Mass loading (ng)	а	b
1	200	150.7	-0.197	1.056
2	200	241	-0.167	1.768
3	200	407	-0.206	3.732
4	100	90.5	-0.218	3.641
5	100	110.6	-0.241	5.229
6	100	150.8	-0.243	4.451

28 Table S1. Fitting parameters *a* and *b* of different calibration experiments.

	Periods	Days
Long-range	ange 14-20 October; 29 October-1 November; 3-4 November; 7-10 November; 14 November	
Transport		
Urban Air	7-9 October; 23-27 October; 1-2 November; 13 November	
Masses		
Coastal Air	2-4 October; 10-12 October; 22 October; 12 November	
Masses		

30 Table S2. The detailed information of three selected periods.



34

35 Figure S1. Location of the measurement site and Guangzhou city. This map was obtained from Map

36 World.



Figure S2. Normalized 72 hours backward trajectories arriving at the measurement site during (a)
the whole measurement, (b) long-range transport period, (c) urban air masses period, and (d) coastal
air masses period.



43
44 Figure S3. Mass spectral profile of six OA factors. The colors represent different family groups.





Figure S4. (a) Measured T_{max} vs P_{sat} literature values for PEG 5-8 at different diameters and collected mass loadings and (b) corresponding fitted calibration lines.



50

Figure S5. Normalized probability density function of collected mass loading on the filter of the
 FIGAERO-I-CIMS. The collected mass loading is calculated based on collection time, flow rate

53 through the filter, and the organic concentration measured by the SP-AMS.



55

Figure S6. Van-Krevelen diagram (O/C ratio versus H/C ratio) of gas-phase organic compounds measured by FIGAERO-CIMS. The symbol size is proportional to the mass concentration of organic vapors and the color code represents the volatility. The black solid line divided the organic vapors potentially formed through the autoxidation pathway (upper regime) and multi-generation OH oxidation pathway (lower regime)(Wang et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2020).



62

63 Figure S8. Relationship between particle surface area and SOA factors (MOOA, LOOA and

- 64 aBBOA).
- 65



67 Figure S8. Relationship between the concentration of organic vapors and six OA PMF factors. The

68 color represents the CS values.



Figure S9. Relationship between odd-oxygen (O_X, O_X=O₃+NO₂) and the concentration of organic

vapors measured by the FIGAERO-CIMS in the afternoon (10:00-16:00 LT).





Figure S10. The average diurnal variation of O_x during the whole campaign, long-range transport,

77 urban air masses, and coastal air masses periods.



Figure S11. Volatility distribution of the number of calibrated and semi-quantified speciesmeasured by the FIGAERO-CIMS.



84

85 Figure S12. Average sum thermograms measured by the FIGAERO-CIMS in the afternoon

86 (12:00-16:00 LT) during the whole campaign, long-range transport, urban air masses, and coastal
 87 air masses periods.



Figure S13. Relationship between the SVOC+LVOC in FIGAERO OA and LOOA in AMS OA
during (a) the whole campaign, (b) long-range transport, (c) urban air masses, and (d) coastal air
masses periods.



Figure S14. 72h backward trajectories arriving at the measurement site with 500 m height at
00:00, 06:00, 12:00, and 18:00 on 2 November 2019.



Figure S15. Variation of (a) PNSD, (b) sum thermograms, and (c) wind speed and direction on 2November 2019.



104 Figure S16. The average diurnal variation of NO_x during the whole campaign and three selected 105 periods.



108 Figure S17. Diurnal variation of CHON compounds in (a) condensable organic vapors, (b) non-109 condensable organic vapors, and (c) FIGAERO OA and (d-f) their corresponding mass ratio.

111 **References**

- 112 Wang, M., Chen, D., Xiao, M., Ye, Q., Stolzenburg, D., Hofbauer, V., Ye, P., Vogel, A. L., Mauldin, R.
- 113 L., Amorim, A., Baccarini, A., Baumgartner, B., Brilke, S., Dada, L., Dias, A., Duplissy, J., Finkenzeller,
- 114 H., Garmash, O., He, X.-C., Hoyle, C. R., Kim, C., Kvashnin, A., Lehtipalo, K., Fischer, L., Molteni, U.,
- 115 Petäjä, T., Pospisilova, V., Quéléver, L. L. J., Rissanen, M., Simon, M., Tauber, C., Tomé, A., Wagner, A.
- 116 C., Weitz, L., Volkamer, R., Winkler, P. M., Kirkby, J., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M., Baltensperger, U.,
- 117 Dommen, J., El-Haddad, I., and Donahue, N. M.: Photo-oxidation of Aromatic Hydrocarbons Produces
- Low-Volatility Organic Compounds, Environmental Science & Technology, 54, 7911-7921,
 10.1021/acs.est.0c02100, 2020.
- 120 Wang, Y., Clusius, P., Yan, C., Dällenbach, K., Yin, R., Wang, M., He, X.-C., Chu, B., Lu, Y., Dada, L.,
- 121 Kangasluoma, J., Rantala, P., Deng, C., Lin, Z., Wang, W., Yao, L., Fan, X., Du, W., Cai, J., Heikkinen,
- 122 L., Tham, Y. J., Zha, Q., Ling, Z., Junninen, H., Petäjä, T., Ge, M., Wang, Y., He, H., Worsnop, D. R.,
- 123 Kerminen, V.-M., Bianchi, F., Wang, L., Jiang, J., Liu, Y., Boy, M., Ehn, M., Donahue, N. M., and
- 124 Kulmala, M.: Molecular Composition of Oxygenated Organic Molecules and Their Contributions to
- 125 Organic Aerosol in Beijing, Environmental Science & Technology, 56, 770-778,
- 126 10.1021/acs.est.1c05191, 2022.
- 127