Reviewer Comments on Authors' Revisions

I would like to thank the authors of the manuscript entitled "*Influence of terrestrial and marine air-mass on the constituents and intermixing of bioaerosols over coastal atmosphere*" for taking the time to respond clearly and in detail to all the comments. I find that the manuscript has been significantly improved and, I believe, deserves to be published in Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. That being said, I have additional comments that I would appreciate you considering.

L338-347 and answer to the comment 15 of the authors:

I am not criticizing the qPCR detection method at all, as it is a widely validated method in the literature. I am simply questioning the semantics used: qPCR does not provide information on the number of cells per m³ of air, but rather on the number of 16S genes, usually expressed as copies per m³ of air. Most bacteria have multiple copies of the 16S gene in their genome, ranging from 1 to 15. In your case, the methodology used (employing *E. coli* as a standard) allows you to approximate a cellular concentration, but it remains an estimation. I would rather refer to genome copies per m³ of air.

There are also many spelling errors and typos in this manuscript. I suggest a thorough review to correct them all. Here are the ones I noted:

L60: there is a comma missing after "environment".

L71: "maybe" should read "may be".

L74: seems there is a word missing after "marine". Maybe the authors mean "marine environment or surface"?

L104: "costal" should read "coastal".

L124: "between January to March" should read "between January and March" or "from January to March".

L127: there is a comma missing after "utilized".

L128: "ion" should read "ions".

L132: the tilde should be replaced by "to" (7:00 to 18:30).

L161-162: "metallic elementals" should read "metallic elements".

L169: "were simulated one-hour intervals" should read "were simulated at one-hour intervals".

L176: "and mixed air-mass sample" should read "and a mixed air-mass sample".

L227: "Bacterial community functional was conducted" should read "Bacterial community functional <u>analysis</u> was conducted".

L228-229: "other ecologically functions" should read "other ecological functions".

L234: « Pathorotroph » should read « Pathotroph".

L235: "different airmasses" should read "different air masses".

L247-248: "microbial community and various environmental factors" should read "microbial community <u>composition</u> and various environmental factors".

L257: "During reginal haze pollution" should read "During regional haze pollution".

L281: "Cold northwestern airmass form the continent" should read "Cold northwestern airmass from the continent".

L288: "northwestern airmass form the continent" should read "northwestern airmass from the continent".

L302: please replace "succeeded" by "followed".

L309: "with a range that from" should read "that ranged from" or "with a range of".

L310: "ion" should read "ions".

L315: "The concentration of K^+ 0.24±0.20 µg/m³" should read "The concentration of K^+ was 0.24±0.20 µg/m³".

L324: "coal combination in winter heating" probably did the authors mean "coal combustion"?

L356: "in both terrestrial and mixed air-mass samples" should read "in both terrestrial and mixed air-masses samples".

L366: please replace "including" by "included".

L372: "to the previously studies" should read "to the previous studies".

L388: I guess that "and automatic compound" should read "and aromatic compound".

L394: "the prevalence of Saprotroph fungi was observed higher in samples" should read "the observed prevalence of Saprotroph fungi was higher in samples".

L406: there is one extra parenthesis.

L408: "airmasses" should read "air masses".

L413: please replace "conducted" by "shown".

L415: "air mass" should read "air mass samples".

L467: "automatic compound degradation bacteria" should read "aromatic compound degradation bacteria".

L482: "diverse and abundance microbial populations" should read "diverse and <u>abundant</u> microbial populations".

L488-490: "...accompanied the dust transportation to the downwind of Asian Dust including the coastal city of Weihai. Influenced by mixed air masses, bacterial community was 490 significantly positively correlated with K+ (P<0.01) and PM10 (P<0.05)".

The sentence is weird.

L491: "showed high positively correlated" should read "showed high positive correlation" or "were positively correlated".

L511: Replace "*Except*" » by "*Besides*"?

L517: "temperature have" should read "temperature has".

L519: "marine airmass samples" should read "marine air mass samples".