

## General comment

I think the authors did a good job replying to and implementing the reviewers' comments. I am now satisfied with the manuscript, which in my opinion deserves publication. You can find few technical corrections and minor comments below.

## Technical corrections

26-28 I would combine the two sentences, as they are roughly saying the same thing.

72-73 Is there any climate data available for Tarvisio covering a 30-year period?

81-82 Could you add something more specific about size and severity of windthrows, if reported by the management plans?

82-83 Would rewrite as "However, extraordinary cuts (...) amounted to 30% of the **total harvested volume** in the years 1997-2019."

84-85 Could you be more specific about the "significant volume reported as extraordinary cuts".

101 Would modify to "given the **scarce** rainfall, summer **droughts**, ...".

254-255 I would put the definition of "slenderness" at line 248, where the word appears the first time.

373 remove "it should".

402 Could you be more specific when saying that "selective thinning in spruce stands must be done in earlier stand age"? Which stand age, or earlier than which age?

431-434 I would rather explicitly refer to Testo Unico Forestale here, than a general "In the last 30 years ...". Furthermore, I find the final sentence (lines 433-4) rather superfluous, I would remove it.