The influence of firn-layer material properties on surface crevasse propagation in glaciers and ice shelves

Theo Clayton¹, Ravindra Duddu^{2,3}, Tim Hageman⁴, and Emilio Martínez-Pañeda^{4,1}

¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK ²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA ³Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA ⁴Department of Engineering Science, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3PJ, UK

Correspondence: Emilio Martínez-Pañeda (emilio.martinez-paneda@eng.ox.ac.uk); Ravindra Duddu (ravindra.duddu@vanderbilt.edu)

Abstract. Linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) models have been used to estimate crevasse depths in glaciers and to represent iceberg calving in ice sheet models. However, existing LEFM models assume glacier ice to be homogeneous and utilise the mechanical properties of fully consolidated ice. Using depth-invariant properties is not realistic, as the process of compaction from unconsolidated snow to firm to glacial ice is dependent on several environmental factors, typically leading to a

- 5 lesser density and Young's modulus in upper surface strata. New analytical solutions for longitudinal stress profiles are derived, using depth-varying properties based on borehole data from the Ronne ice shelf, and used in an LEFM model to determine the maximum penetration depths of an isolated crevasse in grounded glaciers and floating ice shelves. These maximum crevasse depths are compared to those obtained for homogeneous glacial ice, showing the importance of including the effect of the upper unconsolidated firm layers on crevasse propagation. The largest reductions in penetration depth ratio were observed for
- 10 shallow grounded glaciers, with variations in Young's modulus being more influential than firn density (a maximum difference in crevasse depth of 46% and 20% respectively); whereas, firn density changes resulted in an increase in penetration depth for thinner floating ice shelves (95%-188% difference in crevasse depth between constant and depth-varying properties). Thus, our study shows that the firn layer can increase the vulnerability of ice shelves to fracture and calving, highlighting the importance of considering depth-dependent firn-layer material properties in LEFM models for estimating crevasse penetration depths and
- 15 predicting rift propagation.

1 Introduction

The formation of surface and basal crevasses as a consequence of deformation in ice sheets plays an influential role in glacial mass balance (Colgan et al., 2016). Crevasses are predominantly mode I (tensile) fractures that propagate vertically downwards to the depth at which they stabilise (i.e., maximum crevasse depth), depending on the longitudinal stress state normal to

20 the fracture surface (Enderlin and Bartholomaus, 2020). Fracture propagation can be further aided by the accumulation of meltwater within surface crevasses, the supply and storage of which can be attributed to supraglacial lakes and firn aquifers (Poinar et al., 2017). This can trigger a process called hydrofracture, wherein the meltwater in the crevasse exerts additional

opening stress on the crevasse walls (Weertman, 1973). If the volume of meltwater is sufficiently large, hydrofracture can cause full thickness crevasse propagation and lead to large scale iceberg calving events from ice shelves (Scambos et al., 2009) and

to increases in basal sliding rates (Selmes et al., 2011). Glacial mass loss caused by the calving events represents one of the

25

leading contributors to global sea level rise (Rignot et al., 2013; Frederikse et al., 2020; Siegert et al., 2020).

The first study to examine crevasse propagation in glaciers and ice sheets was conducted by Nye (1957), who proposed an analytical zero-stress model. The so-called Nye zero-stress model assumed that crevasses are dry and that ice has no resistance to fracture; thus, a crevasse will propagate to a depth at which tensile stresses are completely offset by the compressive

- 30 overburden pressure due to gravitational self-weight. The zero-stress was later adapted to accommodate the presence of meltwater in crevasses by Benn et al. (2007). Nevertheless, this simplistic model agreed well with observational results for fields of closely-spaced crevasses (Mottram and Benn, 2009), where neighbouring crevasses provide shielding effects of crack tip singularities (Weertman, 1974). However, it underestimates the depths of isolated crevasses, where this shielding effect is not present. Therefore, linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) models were introduced by van der Veen (1998a, b) to model
- 35 these isolated surface and basal crevasses.

LEFM models capture the effects of the stress singularity (assuming that the resulting plastic zone around the crack tip is sufficiently small) by evaluating the stress intensity factor at the crack tip. The role of crack size and orientation, ice thickness and applied loading conditions can be captured, through the evaluation of the stress intensity factor (Jiménez and Duddu, 2018). Using the principle of superposition, the LEFM models include the contributions from the tensile normal stress, lithostatic

- 40 compressive stress and the meltwater pressure. The LEFM approach has been combined with full-Stokes models to study surface and basal crevasse propagation in the Thwaites glacier, with results agreeing well with NASA's radar penetration depths (Yu et al., 2017). In addition, LEFM has been used to map the vulnerability of Antarctic ice shelf crevasses subject to meltwaterdriven hydrofracture, with projections agreeing well with existing fractures being mapped by neural networks (Lai et al., 2020). The LEFM approach has been successfully combined with boundary element methods, capturing the interactions between
- 45 basal and surface crevasses and providing estimates for stability (Zarrinderakht et al., 2023) and evolution of crevasse shape (Zarrinderakht et al., 2022). However, While analytical LEFM models are computationally efficient and can be implemented into numerical ice sheet models (Krug et al., 2014), they do not account for the role of creep deformation nor of depth-varying ice material properties on crevasse propagation (Gao et al., 2023).

In recent years, several studies focused on the development of computational approaches for modelling crevasse propagation in grounded glaciers and floating ice shelves. Notably, continuum damage mechanics (CDM) approaches were developed to 50 describe more complex thermo-hydro-mechanical phenomena, but they are computationally more intensive compared to zerostress and LEFM approaches. Such approaches can capture the effects of viscous deformation and long-term stress states on crevasses (Duddu and Waisman, 2012; Jiménez et al., 2017; Duddu et al., 2020) and can be readily implemented into Stokesbased ice flow models (Pralong and Funk, 2005; Sun et al., 2017). Recent studies have developed phase-field fracture models

that formulate LEFM crack propagation criteria within the CDM framework, thus unifying the two approaches (Sun et al., 55 2021; Clayton et al., 2022). Additionally, CDM-based models can be useful in assessing the accuracy of LEFM and zero-stress models and for understanding the conditions under which their predictions are valid (Duddu et al., 2020).

While the above-mentioned LEFM and CDM studies capture a range of mechanical interactions, they assume glacial ice to be a homogeneous material, with values of mechanical properties taken as constants equal to that of fully consolidated ice. In

- 60 reality, glacial ice forms from the accumulation of snowfall at the upper surface and undergoes compaction as a result of the overburden pressure, the rate of which is dependent on accumulation rates and surrounding temperatures (Veldhuijsen et al., 2022). Moreover, snowflakes are restructured into smaller ice crystals due to wind, which then deform into more stable, compact crystal arrangements (Benn and Evans, 2010); this causes large differences in porosity, density, and strength between these top snow layers, referred to as firn, and deeper glacial ice. Neglecting firn layers leads to an overestimation of mechanical properties
- 65 in the upper strata (Rist et al., 2002, 1996). This is of particular importance for geological media subject to self-gravitational loading (Paterson, 1994), because the driving stresses are dependent on the mechanical properties in such layered or vertically graded materials. The main hypothesis of this study is that accounting for these depth-dependent material parameters in the LEFM framework would alter the crevasse penetration depths in glaciers and ice shelves.

The aim of this article is to explore the importance of including firn layer effects on surface crevasse propagation. To this end, we determine the maximum crevasse depths in idealized glaciers and ice shelves assuming two different material conditions: fully-consolidated homogeneous ice and vertically graded ice as reported from ice core samples, with depth varying materials properties. We derive the analytical solution for the far field longitudinal stress σ_{xx} with depth-dependent properties, which primarily drives the vertical propagation of mode I crevasses. We next systematically investigate the effect of varying each material property in the unconsolidated firn layers through parametric studies as follows: only depth variant density in Section

- 75 2.1; only depth variant Young's modulus in Section 2.2); and both depth variant density and Young's modulus in Section 2.3. We then conduct fracture mechanics studies in Section 3 for a grounded glacier using the analytical LEFM models presented in Jiménez and Duddu (2018) and verify its accuracy with the stress-based phase field fracture model of Clayton et al. (2022). Through variations in meltwater depth ratios in water-filled surface crevasses and oceanwater heights in marine-terminating glaciers, the conditions where depth-dependent material properties are influential are explored. In Section 4 these studies are
- 80 extended to floating ice tongues, Section 5 considers the effects of assuming crevasses to develop rapidly (as linear-elastic) versus slowly developing crevasses (as nonlinear viscous), and we summarize all findings in Section 7.

2 Analytical solutions for the longitudinal stress

We consider a grounded glacier, with an idealised rectangular geometry of height H and length L. We neglect lateral shear and restrict the domain to a flow line near the terminus with x and z representing the along-flow and vertical coordinates. As the out-of-plane length of the glacier is typically much longer than its length, the plane strain assumption is exploited to reduce the three-dimensional glacier geometry to a two-dimensional (height and length) representation. A visual representation of the geometry and boundary conditions can be found in Fig. 1. Horizontal displacements are restrained at the far left of the domain to prevent rigid body motion and a free slip boundary condition is applied by restraining vertical displacements at the base (represented by rollers). The upper surface is defined as a traction-free surface, representing the atmosphere-ice interface. Load

90 contributions considered are the gravitational self-weight, ocean water pressure at the far right terminus and meltwater pressure



Figure 1. Schematic diagram showing the geometry and boundary conditions of a grounded glacier containing a single surface crevasse



Figure 2. Profile of depth-dependent mechanical properties for ice density (red, bottom axis) and Young's modulus (blue, top axis). Data extracted from ice core specimens from the Ronne ice shelf by Rist et al. (2002) are displayed as markers. Isotropic properties are displayed with the dotted lines

in the crevasse. Note that under the small deformation assumption, this representation of the grounded glacier is identical to that of a floating ice shelf (Weertman, 1957) in the far field region.

The far-field longitudinal stress (based on the long wavelength approximation) within the grounded glacier or ice shelf was derived for the case of steady-state creep with constant (i.e., depth-independent) and homogeneous material properties by

95 Weertman (1957). Recently, this longitudinal stress was derived for compressible linear elasticity by Sun et al. (2021) as:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\nu}{(1-\nu)}\rho_{\rm i}g\left(z - \frac{1}{2}H\right) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\rho_{\rm s}gh_{\rm w}^2}{H} \tag{1}$$

Where $\nu = 0.35$ is the Poisson's ratio, assumed to be equal for firn and ice, g is gravitational acceleration, $\rho_i = 917 \text{ kg/m}^3$ is the density of fully consolidated glacial ice, $\rho_s = 1020 \text{ kg/m}^3$ is the seawater density and h_w is the seawater height above the glacier bed. We use the convention that positive σ_{xx} corresponds to tensile stresses, creating and propagating crevasses;

- 100 whereas negative σ_{xx} is compressive, thus stabilising the glacier and preventing the formation of crevasses. This analytical stress will be used throughout this paper to compare between the cases with homogeneous (given by the above equation) and depth-dependent (derived in the remainder of this section) material properties. The Poisson ratio ν used within our results represents ice as a linear-elastic compressible solid, which is a common assumption for rapidly propagating cracks. If the crevassing process occurs on a time-scale well below the Maxwell time-scale, ranging from hours to days depending on the
- 105 strain-rate due to nonlinear viscous nature, the assumption of compressibility would be valid. If instead the crevassing process occurs slowly, over the span of weeks, the assumption of incompressibility would be valid; so a Poisson ratio of $\nu = 0.5$ will allow for the model derived here to be applicable over longer time-scales. Results for this incompressible case are given in Section 5, whilst results for a depth-dependent Poisson ratio are presented in Appendix E.

As shown in Fig. 2, ice core sample data from the Ronne Ice Shelf, gathered and presented by Rist et al. (2002), indicates 110 large variations in material properties within the firn and meteoric ice layers forming the upper 150 m of the ice core. The ice core data for density can be fitted using the exponential equation (Paterson, 1994; van der Veen, 1998a):

$$\rho(z) = \rho_{\rm i} - (\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm f})e^{-(H-z)/D}$$
⁽²⁾

where $\rho_f = 350 \text{ kg/m}^3$ is the density of upper surface unconsolidated firm layers, H is the height of the glacier, z is the vertical coordinate (z = 0 at the base of the glacier, z = H at the surface), and D is a constant taken as D = 32.5 m (Rist et al., 2002).

115 This constant gives an indication of the thickness of the firn layer: at the surface z = H the density of the glacier is equal to that of the unconsolidated firn; at a depth of H - z = D below the surface, the density is in between that of firn and ice, at 75% of the density of ice; and at a depth of H - z = 2.5D below the surface, the density of the glacier is 95% of the density of consolidated ice.

The ice core sample data for elastic modulus from Fig. 2 can be fitted using a similar function as used for the density, 120 including the depth-dependent Young's modulus as:

$$E(z) = E_{\rm i} - (E_{\rm i} - E_{\rm f}) e^{-(H-z)/D}$$
(3)

where $E_i = 9.5$ GPa is the Young's modulus for solid ice, $E_f = 1.5$ GPa is the Young's modulus for upper surface unconsolidated firm layers, and D = 32.5 m is a tuned constant. Here we have chosen to use a single constant D to describe both the depth variations in density and Young's modulus. While this is not necessary for the derivation of the analytic solutions, the interpretation of D as a length scale of the firm layer thickness indicates that Young's modulus is directly proportional to density and inherently related to porosity, similar to porous metallic foams (Ashby et al., 2000).

125

In the remainder of this section, analytical expressions are derived for the longitudinal stresses driving the creation of crevasses. We first consider two cases wherein solely the density or Young's modulus are depth-varying, so as to isolate and examine the influence of each property on the maximum crevasse penetration depth. For the third and final case, we consider both properties to be depth-varying, which is the real case scenario.

130

2.1 Depth-varying density

We consider only the density is depth-dependent following Eq. (2), while Young's modulus is constant throughout the full thickness (van der Veen, 1998a). The lithostatic compressive stress for this density distribution is:

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} = -\rho(z)g\tag{4}$$

135 with g denoting the gravitational acceleration. By substituting Eq. (2) for the depth-dependent density and integrating over the depth, the vertical stress component is obtained as:

$$\sigma_{zz} = -\rho_{\rm i}g \left(H - z\right) + (\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm f})Dg \left(1 - e^{-(H - z)/D}\right) \tag{5}$$

The above relation consists of a linear stress contribution from ρ_i and an exponential term that reduces the net lithostatic stress, when considering the effects of firn density ρ_f . This solution simplifies to the homogeneous ice case when considering $\rho_i = \rho_f$. Exploiting the plane strain assumption $\varepsilon_{m} = 0$ allows for the out of plane stress σ_{m} to be found in terms of longitudinal

140

stress
$$\sigma_{xx}$$
 and lithostatic stress σ_{zz} :

$$\sigma_{yy} = \nu \left(\sigma_{xx} + \sigma_{zz} \right) \tag{6}$$

Assuming small strains and small rotations, the longitudinal strain can then be written in terms of σ_{xx} and σ_{zz} by using Hooke's law and Eq. (6):

145
$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{1}{E} \left((1 - \nu^2) \sigma_{xx} - \nu (1 + \nu) \sigma_{zz} \right)$$
 (7)

Following this, the membrane strain assumption is adopted due to the thickness of the glacier being several orders of magnitude smaller than the length. The longitudinal strain is therefore invariant with depth (Sun et al., 2021):

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{xx}}{\partial z} = 0 \tag{8}$$

Note that the above condition can be derived using Föppl–von Kármán equations describing the large deflections of thin flat plates. Applying this constraint to Eq. (7) allows for the derivative of the horizontal stress to be found:

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial z} = \frac{\nu}{1 - \nu} \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} \tag{9}$$

leading to a far-field longitudinal stress of:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\nu}{1 - \nu} \sigma_{zz} + R_{xx} \tag{10}$$

where R_{xx} is an integration constant that can be interpreted as the depth-invariant tensile resistive stress. Substituting the 155 lithostatic compressive stress σ_{zz} from Eq. (5) gives:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\nu}{1 - \nu} \Big(-\rho_{\rm i} g(H - z) + (\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm f}) Dg \Big(1 - e^{-(H - z)/D} \Big) \Big) + R_{xx}$$
(11)

It can be observed that the far-field longitudinal stress is composed of two components: the lithostatic compressive stress component – always negative and thus responsible for crevasse closure; and the resistive tensile stress R_{xx} – responsible for crevasse opening. van der Veen (1998a) conjectured that the inclusion of firn density into the LEFM model would lead to deeper crevasse propagation, because the magnitude of the lithostatic compressive stress would be reduced; however, the reduction in tensile resistive stress was not considered. van der Veen (1998b) stated 'accounting for the lower firn density almost doubles the penetration depth of surface crevasses compared to the constant density model'. To properly account for the influence of firn density, we evaluate the indefinite integration constant R_{xx} , appearing in Eq. (10), by considering force equilibrium over the entire thickness in the longitudinal direction as:

165
$$\int_{0}^{H} \sigma_{xx} \, \mathrm{d}z + F_{\mathrm{w}} = 0$$
 (12)

where $F_w = \frac{1}{2}\rho_s g h_w^2$ is the hydrostatic force as a result of the ocean water pressure at the glacier front. From this equilibrium between the glaciological longitudinal stress and ocean water pressure at the terminus, we find the resistive tensile stress as:

$$R_{xx} = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \frac{\rho_{\rm i}gH}{2} - \rho_{\rm s}g \frac{h_{\rm w}^2}{2H} - \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} (\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm f})gD + \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \frac{(\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm f})gD^2}{H} (1 - e^{-H/D})$$
(13)

Negative values of R_{xx} together with the lithostatic compressive stress prevent crevasse propagation, whereas if R_{xx} exceeds 170 the lithostatic stress only then can crevasses nucleate.

Substituting the value of R_{xx} into the far field longitudinal stress Eq. (11) gives the following analytical solution:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \rho_{\rm i} g \left(z - \frac{1}{2} H \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho_{\rm s} g h_{\rm w}^2}{H} + \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} (\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm f}) g D \left(-e^{-(H-z)/D} + \frac{D}{H} (1-e^{-H/D}) \right)$$
(14)

This solution simplifies to the one for the far field longitudinal stress in the depth-invariant case, Eq. (1), when considering $\rho_i = \rho_f$. The first term indicates that homogeneous land-terminating glaciers develop crevasses up to half their thickness (based on the zero stress model); whereas the second (negative) term due to the ocean water height reduces the crack driving stress,

175 on the zero stress model); whereas the second (negative) term due to the ocean water height reduces the crack driving stress, thereby providing a stabilising effect. The third term includes the influence of depth-dependent density into the stress that is a function of the vertical coordinate *z*; this term is negative near the top surface, thus stabilising the top firn layers, but it tends to a positive constant in the bottom regions of the glacier, thus increasing the propensity for deeper crevasse propagation below a certain depth. Thus, the inclusion of depth-dependent density can thwart or promote deeper crevasse propagation depending

180 on the glacier and ocean water heights, which is more nuanced than the description by van der Veen (1998a) who neglected any influence of depth-varying density on resistive stress R_{xx} .

2.2 Depth-varying Young's modulus

We next derive the relation for far-field longitudinal stress, considering a depth variant elastic modulus E(z). The depthdependent longitudinal strain is given by:

185
$$\varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{1}{E(z)} \left((1 - \nu^2) \sigma_{xx}(z) - \nu (1 + \nu) \sigma_{zz}(z) \right)$$
 (15)

with E(z) given by Eq. (3). In the depth invariant modulus cases, E simply gets eliminated with Eq. (8), leading to a horizontal stress that is independent of Young's modulus, as given by Eqs. (1) and (14). However, in the depth-dependent modulus case, E does not get eliminated from the far-field longitudinal stress with the membrane strain assumption. However, the longitudinal strain must be depth invariant as required by Eq. (8), so we get:

$$190 \quad (1-\nu^2)\frac{E\frac{\partial\sigma_{xx}}{\partial z} - \sigma_{xx}\frac{\partial E}{\partial z}}{E^2} - \nu(1+\nu)\frac{E\frac{\partial\sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} - \sigma_{zz}\frac{\partial E}{\partial z}}{E^2} = 0 \tag{16}$$

This can then be rearranged to obtain the following expression for the horizontal stress derivative:

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial z} = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial z} - \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \frac{\sigma_{zz}}{E} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z} + \frac{\sigma_{xx}}{E} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z}$$
(17)

This derivation simplifies to the depth invariant case if $\partial E/\partial z = 0$. Solving the above ordinary differential equation yields the following longitudinal stress for constant density:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\nu}{1 - \nu} \rho_{i} g \left(z - \frac{(E_{i} - E_{f})}{E_{i}} H e^{-(H - z)/D} \right) + C_{1} \left(E_{i} e^{(H/D)} - (E_{i} - E_{f}) e^{(z/D)}, \right)$$
(18)

195

200

where C_1 is an integration constant that can be determined using force equilibrium in the longitudinal direction, defined by Eq. (12), which yields:

$$C_{1} = \frac{1}{E_{i}He^{H/D} - (E_{i} - E_{f})D(e^{H/D} - 1)} \left(\frac{\nu}{1 - \nu} \cdot \left(\frac{E_{i} - E_{f}}{E_{i}}D\rho_{i}gH(1 - e^{-H/D}) - \frac{\rho_{i}gH^{2}}{2}\right) - \frac{\rho_{s}gh_{w}^{2}}{2}\right)$$
(19)

Substituting the above into Eq. (18), we obtain the longitudinal stress distribution for the depth-varying Young's modulus and constant density case as:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \rho_{i} g \left(z - \frac{1-E^{*}}{2} H \right) - \frac{1}{2} (1+E^{*}) \frac{\rho_{s} g h_{w}^{2}}{H}$$
where $E^{*} = \frac{(E_{i} - E_{f})}{E_{i}} \frac{(1-e^{-H/D}) \frac{D}{H} - e^{-(H-z)/D}}{1-(1-e^{-H/D}) \frac{(E_{i} - E_{f})D}{E_{i}H}}$
(20)

As expected, the solution for the variable Young's Modulus case simplifies to the far field longitudinal stress for the depth invariant case, when $E_i = E_f$ ($E^* = 0$). Notably, the variable density only provided an additional term to the homogenous case, but the variable Young's modulus also alters all the terms in the longitudinal stress relation. Including the effects of the firm layer's modulus redistributes the contribution of the horizontal ocean water pressure, where its contribution is lesser near

205

210

2.3 Depth-varying Young's modulus and density

the surface $(E^* < 0)$ and greater near the base $(E^* > 0)$.

The final stress relationship we derive is for the case with depth varying density and Young's Modulus, using Eq. (2) and (3), respectively. We do not show the full details of the derivation, as it is similar to the previous sections. We use the horizontal stress derivative given in Eq. (17) and substitute the lithostatic compressive stress and derivative from Eqs. (4) and (5). This gives us an ordinary differential equation that is solved using MATLAB's symbolic toolkit to obtain the longitudinal stress σ_{xx} as follows:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} g \left(-\rho_{\rm i} (H-z) + (\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm f}) D (1 - e^{-(H-z)/D}) \right) + (E_{\rm i} e^{H/D} - (E_{\rm i} - E_{\rm f}) e^{z/D}) C_2,$$
(21)

where C_2 is the indefinite integration constant, which can be found using force equilibrium as,

$$C_{2} = \frac{1}{E_{i}He^{H/D} - (E_{i} - E_{f})D(e^{H/D} - 1)} \left(\frac{\nu}{1 - \nu} \left(\frac{\rho_{i}gH^{2}}{2} - (\rho_{i} - \rho_{f})gHD + (\rho_{i} - \rho_{f})gD^{2}(1 - e^{-H/D})\right) - \frac{\rho_{s}gh_{w}^{2}}{2}\right)$$
(22)

Substituting C_2 back into σ_{xx} gives the final expression:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \rho_{\rm i} g \left(z - \frac{1-E^*}{2} H \right) - \frac{1}{2} (1+E^*) \frac{\rho_{\rm s} g h_{\rm w}^2}{H} + \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} (\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm f}) g D \left(\left(1 - e^{-(H-z)/D} \right) + (1+E^*) \left(-1 + \frac{D}{H} (1-e^{-H/D}) \right) \right),$$
(23)

where the Young's modulus ratio E^* defined in Eq. (20). The above equation reduces to the analytic relation for depthdependent density in Eq. (14), if the Young's modulus is constant ($E^* = 0$); and to the analytical relation for depth-dependent Young's modulus Eq. (20), if the density is constant ($\rho_i - \rho_f = 0$).

220

2.4 Limitations of analytical LEFM models

There are a few limitations to be noted, regarding the outcomes of the LEFM models used in this study (refer to the Appendices). First, the depth variations of the mechanical properties are assumed based on borehole samples from ice cores in the Ronne ice shelf; therefore may not be fully representative of other Antarctic ice shelves or glaciers elsewhere. For example, temperate 225 glaciers that are subject to higher rates of melting and refreezing will undergo a faster rate of densification, due to meltwater percolating into pore spaces and refreezing (Wakahama et al., 1976). Thus the process of firn densification is dependent on environmental factors including accumulation rates, overburden pressure, temperature and local strain rates (Oraschewski and Grinsted, 2022). For instance, the Seward Glacier, Yukon, Canada fully consolidates at a depth of 13 m, in contrast to sites at the Greenland ice sheet where transitions from firn to glacial ice occur at depths of ≈ 66 m (Paterson, 1994). Data from surrounding borehole samples should therefore be considered when assessing to include the effects of firn layer properties.

The fracture analysis used in the following sections also assumes that over short timescales, ice behaves as an elastic compressive material ($\nu = 0.35$), with crevasses propagating rapidly in a brittle manner. However, in reality, ice behaves like an incompressible fluid over longer timescales, with viscous deformation being described using Glen's flow law (Glen, 1955). The effects of time-dependent deformation can be included in an *ad hoc* manner by taking the stress from Stokes-based formu-

lations and using LEFM to propagate crevasses in a staggered manner (Yu et al., 2017). Furthermore, the cracking conditions can be more complex than those that can be addressed with a simple analytical model (e.g., multiple crevasse interactions). To account for non-linear behaviour and problems of arbitrary complexity, one can utilize phase field fracture (Clayton et al., 2022), cohesive zone (Gao et al., 2023), or nonlocal creep damage (Huth et al., 2021, 2023) models. However, these nonlinear models are computationally costly and not so easy to implement within numerical ice sheet models, so analytical LEFM models are desirable.

3 Results for grounded glaciers

245

Fig. 3 shows the analytical solutions of the far field longitudinal stress σ_{xx} in a land terminating grounded glacier ($h_w = 0$ m) of height H = 125 m. Each subfigure includes the longitudinal stress versus depth profiles for depth-varying properties (derived in the previous section) and those of the homogeneous case. In addition to the analytical solutions, numerical results from the finite element solver COMSOL Multiphysics are plotted for verification of our analytic expressions. The analytical solutions derived are identical to the numerical results, confirming the correctness of the presented expressions along with the appropriateness of the membrane strain assumption.

Using these stress solutions, we predicted crevasse depths based on an analytical LEFM model, as described in the Appendices. For grounded glaciers with free tangential slip at the base, we use the 'double edge crack' weight functions (refer to Appendix B), as this was shown to yield stress intensity factors that are consistent with those calculated using the displacement correlation method (Jiménez and Duddu, 2018). Specifically, we consider the evolution of an isolated surface crevasse within a grounded glacier of height H = 125 m, assuming damage to initialise beneath a pre-specified surface crack of depth $d_s = 10$ m. To further verify the LEFM results, we conducted phase field fracture (PFF) simulations with the same configuration used for LEFM studies, but with a pre-existing surface notch of depth $d_s = 10$ m and width b = 2.5 m. The PFF model simulations capture the nonlinear propagation of the crack driven by the mechanical stress beneath the notch until it reaches a final/stabilised

crevasse depth. For a full description of this PFF model, we refer the reader to our recent work (Clayton et al., 2022).



Figure 3. Far field longitudinal stress σ_{xx} throughout the depth of a land terminating glacier ($h_w = 0$), showing the effects of (a) depthvarying density $\rho(z)$, (b) depth-varying Young's modulus E(z), and (c) depth-varying density and Young's modulus $\rho(z), E(z)$. Numerical results are obtained from COMSOL Multiphysics for constant (crosses) and depth-varying properties (circles). The analytical solution for depth-varying properties are given in Eqs. (14), (20), and (23), and represented by the green lines. The vertical dashed line indicates the zero stress level.

260

The comparison of crevasse depth ratio (i.e., the final crevasse depth normalized with the ice thickness) obtained from LEFM and PFF models is shown in Fig. 4. As the ocean water depth is increased, the glacier is subjected to increased compressive hydrostatic pressure at the glacier terminus, which suppresses crevasse growth. The surface crevasse depth ratio decreases nonlinearly with ocean water depth ratio, which is consistent with previous research works (Bassis and Walker, 2012; Duddu et al., 2013). In each of the depth-varying property cases in Fig. 4, we obtained excellent agreement between LEFM and PFF



Figure 4. Normalised crevasse depth predictions versus oceanwater height ratio for a single isolated dry crevasse in a linear elastic ice sheet, considering homogeneous and depth-dependent mechanical properties

models, which serves as a verification of our LEFM implementation. However, the nuanced differences in crevasse propagation results for each of the cases are discussed in the sections below.

3.1 Influence of depth-varying density

265 If the material properties of ice are assumed to be depth-independent, then the longitudinal stress σ_{xx} varies linearly with depth, according to Eq. (1). In the case of a land terminating glacier ($h_w = 0$) with free tangential slip at the base, the σ_{xx} profile is symmetric about the centre line (z = H/2). This stress is tensile in the regions above the centre line with a maximum



Figure 5. Normalised crevasse depth predictions versus meltwater depth ratio for a single isolated crevasse in a linear elastic ice sheet, considering homogeneous and depth-dependent mechanical properties, for an oceanwater height $h_{\rm w} = 0.5H$

value of $\sigma_{xx} \approx 300$ kPa at the top surface for ice thickness H = 125 m (blue line in Fig. 3). If a depth-dependent density is incorporated (green line in Fig. 3a), the maximum value of σ_{xx} is reduced to ≈ 235 kPa, with a non-linear distribution in the 270 upper region. Approximately 50 m below the top surface, σ_{xx} tends towards a linear distribution, with the compressive stress nearer the base slightly less than that compared to the homogeneous case due to the reduced weight of the ice. We next discuss parametric studies to explore the effect of depth varying on crevasse propagation.

The first parametric study considers a dry (air-filled) crevasse, with different values of ocean water height $h_{\rm w}$. The normalized crevasse depths (d_s/H) obtained using LEFM for this case are presented in Fig. 4a. For land terminating glaciers $(h_w = 0 \text{ m})$, the crevasse propagates to the full thickness of the glacier for both the homogeneous and depth-varying cases, because there 275 is no compressive ocean water pressure to arrest crevasse growth. However, as ocean water height is increased, the stabilised crevasse depth reduces and the inclusion of the depth-varying density comes into effect and further reduces the stabilised crevasse depth. With ocean water heights of $h_{\rm w} > 0.7H$, the longitudinal stress is compressive enough that the crevasse will not grow beyond the initial specified depth of 10 m. To verify the accuracy of the LEFM model (solid line) results presented

In Fig. 5, the relation between the crevasse depth ratio (d_s/H) and the meltwater depth ratio (h_s/d_s) for the thinner glacier (H = 125 m). The ocean water height is fixed at $h_w = 0.5H$ for both the homogeneous (blue line) and depth-varying density (red line) scenarios. The largest reduction of 20% in the stabilised crevasse depth is observed for a dry crack $(h_s = 0)$ when considering the effect of depth-varying density. The additional tensile stress provided by the presence of meltwater allows the surface crevasse to penetrate deeper into the strata, with full fracture occurring for meltwater depth ratios greater than 0.5 in both scenarios.

285

290

295

As shown in Fig. 3a, the inclusion of the firn layer reduces the longitudinal stress in the upper regions of the glacier, and tends towards the homogeneous stress profile in the consolidated strata. The effect of this stress variation can be understood from Fig. 5. As the meltwater depth ratio is increased, the crevasse penetrates deeper into the glacier and the influence of the firn layer on the stress state disappears; so the crevasse depth for depth-varying case agrees with the homogeneous case for all $h_s/d_s > 0.4$. We found that the normalized crevasse depth ratio is insensitive to the glacier thickness H in the homogeneous case, because the thickness only controls the magnitude of the longitudinal stress but not the depth at which the stress becomes compressive. However, in the depth-varying case, the normalized crevasse depth ratio is sensitive to the glacier thickness, but converges with the homogeneous case for thicker glaciers. For example, the maximum percentage difference in crevasse depth ratio between the depth-varying and homogeneous cases is 20%, 4.5% and 1% for H = 125 m, H = 250 m and H = 500 m, respectively.

3.2 Influence of depth-varying Young's modulus

The influence of a variable Young's modulus on the far field longitudinal stress is shown in Fig. 3b. It can be observed that there is a greater deviation from the homogeneous case, relative to the depth-varying density case. In the upper regions σ_{xx} is further reduced to ≈ 60 kPa and the stress profile is highly non-linear. However, at lower depths where the firn fully consolidates to ice, the stress profile becomes linear. The maximum compressive stress at the glacier base is less due to the reduction in overburden pressure in the upper strata. Notably, the depth at which the stress becomes zero increases from 62.5 m in the homogeneous case to 72.3 m in the variable Young's modulus case. However, the stress intensity factor at the crevasse tip decreases due to a reduction in the magnitude of longitudinal stress; thus, the firn layer causes a reduction in crevasse penetration depth.

- We now consider the propagation of an isolated dry crevasse for the depth-varying Young's modulus scenario, using the LEFM model and the longitudinal stress relation derived in Eq. (20). The results for the parametric study evaluating the normalized crevasse depths for various ocean water heights h_w are presented in Fig. 4b. Similar to the results in Fig. 4a, the dry crevasse propagates to the same depth in the depth-varying and homogeneous cases for low ocean water heights. Because the crevasse propagates deeper into the fully consolidated ice regions, the properties of firm layer have little impact
 - 310 on crevasse depth. As the ocean water height increases, the compressive stress-resisting crevasse propagation increases, so the crevasse growth is arrested at a shallower depth. The influence of the variable Young's modulus can be observed in these intermediate ocean water heights ($h_w/H = 0.2 - 0.6$), as the crevasse depth reduces when accounting for the firn layers. The maximum difference in crevasse depth is $\approx 0.2H$, at an ocean water height of $h_w = 0.55H$. For ocean water heights greater than $h_w = 0.55H$, the crevasse does not propagate beyond the initial specified depth of 10 m.

- In Fig. 5, we report the normalized crevasse depth ratio versus meltwater depth ratio considering depth-varying Young's modulus (black line). The largest reductions in crevasse depth are observed for the thinner glacier (H = 125 m) with a dry crevasse, where d_s/H is reduced from 0.378 in the homogeneous case to 0.209 in the depth-varying case. The difference in normalized crevasse depth reduces as the meltwater depth ratio increases because the crevasse penetrates deeper into the fully consolidated strata, thus reducing the influence of firn properties. For thicker glaciers, the difference between the homogeneous and depth-varying Young's modulus cases is smaller, which is attributed to the increase in magnitude of far-field longitudinal
- and depth-varying Young's modulus cases is smaller, which is attributed to the increase in magnitude of far-field longitudinal stress based on our stress analysis. The maximum percentage difference in crevasse depths for H = 125 m is 44.9%, H = 250 m is 16.5% and 6.0% for H = 500 m.

3.3 Influence of depth-varying Young's modulus and density

The next set of results entails the propagation of an isolated surface crevasse driven by the longitudinal stress considering both 325 depth-varying Young's modulus and density shown in Eq. (23). The stabilised crevasse depths for a dry crevasse in a grounded glacier of height H = 125 m, calculated using LEFM and the phase field method, for various ocean water heights h_w are presented in Fig. 4c. As expected, for lower ocean water heights the crevasse depths are in agreement with the homogeneous case, due to the crevasse penetrating deeper into the compressive regions of the glacier. We observe the largest reductions in crevasse depths for intermediate values of ocean water height ($h_w = 0.2 - 0.4H$); whereas the crevasse does not propagate 330 beyond the initially specified depth for ocean water heights greater than 0.4H in this case (red line in Fig. 4c).

The relationship between stabilised crevasse depth ratios and meltwater depth ratios is presented in Fig. 5 for three different glacier thicknesses (green lines). For the thinnest glacier (H = 125 m) the longitudinal stress is significantly reduced in the upper regions due to the smaller stiffness and density of the firn layer, which prevents the dry crevasse from propagating beyond the initially specified depth of 0.08, compared to a crevasse depth ratio of 0.378 for the homogeneous case. The difference in crevasse depth ratios reduces with increasing meltwater depth ratios, because the crevasse propagates deeper into the consolidated ice strata. Full depth propagation is achieved for meltwater depth ratios $h_s/d_s \ge 0.5$. The percentage difference in penetration depth for the dry crevasse is 18.0% for H = 250 m and 6.21% for H = 500 m. Overall, we find that the influence of depth-varying firn material properties is lesser in thicker glaciers, however, the effect is more prominent if the variation in both Young's modulus and density with depth is considered.

340 4 Results for floating ice-shelves

345

The final set of results entails the propagation of surface crevasses in floating ice shelves. We consider an idealised rectangular ice shelf geometry of variable height H and length L = 5000 m. Three types of external loads act on the ice shelf: gravitational self-weight causing a body force, and ocean water pressure and meltwater pressure in the crevasse causing surface forces. A Robin-type boundary condition is applied at the base of the ice shelf, because the buoyancy pressure is a function of the vertical displacement u_z , as given by $\rho_s g (h_w - u_z)$. The far left terminus is constrained to prevent free body motion, the top surface is considered to be traction-free, and the Neumann boundary condition is applied on the right edge to account for the ocean water



Figure 6. Schematic diagram showing the applied boundary conditions of a floating ice shelf containing an isolated surface crevasse

pressure, similarly to the grounded glacier case. A schematic diagram representing the applied boundary conditions is shown in Fig. 6

The floatation heights for the buoyancy pressure are found by assuming local hydrostatic equilibrium. For the homogeneous ice case, this simplifies to the ratio of ice density to ocean water density, that is $h_w/H = \rho_i/\rho_s \approx 0.9$. However, the inclusion of the depth-varying density profile leads to a reduction in the applied gravitational body force, causing a decrease in the floatation height. We evaluate the reduced floatation heights for each ice shelf thickness by integrating the depth-varying density profile over the entire ice shelf thickness and dividing by the thickness and ocean water density. Thus, we obtain that h_w/H is equal to 0.7560 for H = 125 m, 0.8268 for H = 250 m, 0.8629 for H = 500 m and 0.8809 for H = 1000 m. For deeper ice shelves, the material properties of the firn layer become less significant as its thickness is small relative to ice shelf thickness; therefore,

the floatation depth tends towards the homogeneous case for thicker ice shelves.

Because of the buoyancy condition at the base and the dependence on ice shelf deflection, the analytical solutions for longitudinal stress derived in Secions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 are not appropriate in the regions closer to the terminus. In Clayton et al. (2022), we showed that far away from the terminus the longitudinal stress in the ice shelf agreed well with analytical solutions for grounded glaciers. Therefore, we use finite element analysis, to extract the longitudinal stress data as a function of vertical

- coordinate z at the horizontal position x = 4750 m (i.e., 250 m from the ice shelf terminus). The data is fitted to a sixth-order polynomial equation, with coefficients presented in Table C1, which defines the stress function $\sigma_{xx}(z)$. Surface crevasses in the far field region are ignored because the longitudinal stress is significantly compressive, preventing their propagation, regardless of whether the crevasses were filled with meltwater or not. The propagation of surface crevasses close to the terminus (ice-
- 365

360

ocean front) is investigated by estimating the final/stabilized crevasse depth using LEFM. The LEFM model used in Krug et al. (2014) (see Appendix A) is appropriate for floating ice shelves, as this was shown to match numerically calculated stress intensity factors using the displacement correlation method (Jiménez and Duddu, 2018).

Crevasse penetration depths versus meltwater depth ratio h_s/d_s from the LEFM model are presented graphically in Fig. 7. Penetration depths for the dry crevasse ($h_s/d_s = 0$) and for $h_s/d_s = 0.75$ are also reported in Table 1 and Table 2 respectively,

	$\rho = 917 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$,	$\rho = 917 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$,	ho(z),	$\rho(z),$
	$E = 9.5 \; \mathrm{GPa}$	E(z)	$E = 9.5 \; \mathrm{GPa}$	E(z)
H = 125 m	0.099	0.080 (-19.5 %)	0.250 (151.8 %)	0.194 (95.0 %)
H = 250 m	0.127	0.080 (-36.9 %)	0.203 (59.8%)	0.174 (37.4%)
H = 500 m	0.129	0.108 (-16.2%)	0.167 (28.8%)	0.156 (20.3%)
H = 1000 m	0.121	0.114 (-5.8%)	0.138 (14.0%)	0.134 (10.7%)

Table 1. Normalised crevasse depths for a dry $(h_s/d_s = 0.0)$ isolated surface crevasse within a floating ice shelf close to the front (x = 4750 m), calculated using the LEFM method in Krug et al. (2014). Bracketed values represent the difference in crevasse depth between the variational and homogeneous cases normalised by the crevasse depth for homogeneous ice, $(d_s^{\text{depth-dep}} - d_s^{\text{uniform}})/d_s^{\text{uniform}} \cdot 100\%$

	$\rho = 917 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$,	$\rho = 917 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$,	$\rho(z),$	ho(z),
	$E = 9.5 \; \mathrm{GPa}$	E(z)	$E=9.5~\mathrm{GPa}$	E(z)
H = 125 m	0.317	0.294 (-7.2%)	0.911 (187.1%)	0.914 (187.9%)
H = 250 m	0.362	0.359 (-1.0%)	0.649 (79.2%)	0.651 (79.8%)
H = 500 m	0.370	0.370 (0.0%)	0.512 (38.4%)	0.513 (38.6%)
H = 1000 m	0.375	0.374 (-0.3%)	0.447 (19.2%)	0.445 (18.7%)

Table 2. Normalised crevasse depths for an isolated surface crevasse with a meltwater depth ratio of $h_s/d_s = 0.75$ within a floating ice shelf close to the front (x = 4750 m), calculated using the LEFM method in Krug et al. (2014). Bracketed values represent the difference in crevasse depth between the variational and homogeneous cases normalised by the crevasse depth for homogeneous ice, $(d_s^{\text{depth-dep}} - d_s^{\text{uniform}})/d_s^{\text{uniform}} \cdot 100\%$

- for ease of comparing data points. For the homogeneous ice case, there is minimal influence in normalised crevasse depth from increasing glacier thickness (blue lines); for example the maximum difference in penetration depth for the dry crevasse is 0.022*H* when increasing ice shelf thickness. Surface crevasses with low levels of meltwater only penetrate a few meters below the surface, due to the longitudinal stress profile being predominantly compressive due to the high ocean water pressure. For *h*_s/*d*_s < 0.6, an incremental increase in meltwater does not result in significant crevasse growth. Full fracture propagation is only observed when crevasses are almost fully filled with meltwater (*h*_s/*d*_s > 0.9), where the meltwater pressure is sufficient
 - to overcome the compressive longitudinal stress.

Considering depth-varying Young's modulus leads to a minor reduction in stabilised crevasse depth (black lines), with no growth occurring beyond the initial notch for meltwater depth ratios of $h_s/d_s < 0.6$ for H = 125 m. Penetration depths match with the homogeneous case for meltwater depth ratios of $h_s/d_s \ge 0.8$ as the crevasse penetrates deeper into the ice strata. The

380 influence of depth-varying Young's modulus reduces with increasing ice shelf thickness. This is the most noticeable for the crevasse depths reported in Table 2, as the percentage difference between the depth-varying modulus and homogeneous cases reduces to 1% for H = 250 m.



Figure 7. Normalised crevasse depth predictions versus meltwater depth ratio for an isolated surface crevasse close to the front in a floating ice shelf.

385

Considering depth-varying density in the fracture analysis results in surface crevasses propagating to deeper into the ice strata (red lines), with a dry crevasse propagating to a depth of 0.250H compared with 0.099H for homogeneous ice within an ice shelf of thickness H = 125 m. This is in contrast to the grounded glacier case (where crevasse depth decreased) and can be attributed to the reduction in overburden pressure and the reduced buoyancy height, specific to ice shelves. The inclusion of meltwater within the crevasse results in an increased penetration depth, and full thickness fracture is achieved for meltwater depth ratios of $h_s/d_s \ge 0.8$. The largest differences in penetration depth for the depth-varying density, compared to the homogeneous case are observed in Table 2. For thin ice shelves (H = 125 m), and a meltwater depth ratio of $h_s/d_s = 0.75$, the crevasse 390 propagates approximately three times deeper when accounting for the depth-varying density. Similarly to the grounded glacier case, the influence of the depth-varying density is reduced when the ice shelf thickness increases, due to a larger proportion of ice being fully consolidated. However, there are still some differences in penetration depth compared to the homogeneous case for thick ice shelves (H = 1000 m), with a percentage difference of 14.0% for the dry crevasse, and 19.2% for $h_s/d_s = 0.75$.

Including the effects of both depth-varying density and depth-varying modulus highlights that density is the more prominent

395

property influencing surface crevasse propagation in ice shelves. It is observed in Fig. 7 that the majority of results for depthvarying density and modulus (green lines) overlap the depth-varying density results (red lines). The exception to this is for dry crevasses in thin ice shelves, where the stabilised penetration depth is 0.194H compared to 0.25H when considering solely depth-varying density.

5 Non-linear Viscous Incompressible Rheology

- 400 The above analysis has considered ice to behave as a linear elastic compressible solid, with a Poisson ratio of $\nu = 0.35$. This is based on the assumption that crevasse propagation occurs in a rapid brittle manner, such that the cracking occurs on a timescale well below the Maxwell time (typically in the order of hours-days for glacial ice). However, it is more common in the glaciology literature to assume that glacier ice deformed over long time scales well before the nucleation and propagation of crevasses. Also, if the slow development of crevasses is considered, with crevasse depths stabilising over a span of weeks (Duddu et al.,
- 405 2013), then ice should be considered as an viscous material. The analytical solution (see Appendix A in Sun et al., 2021) for the stress state of a grounded glacier with incompressible linear elastic rheology, and that with incompressible nonlinear viscous rheology is the same. Therefore, in this study we simply set the Poisson ratio to $\nu \approx 0.5$ (using $\nu = 0.49$ to prevent numerical issues) to obtain the background stress. In addition, we conduct finite element simulations for a grounded glacier, including the viscoelastic contributions (with $\nu = 0.35$) of ice flow modelled through Glen's flow law, and extract numerical values of
- 410 the longitudinal stress. To illustrate that the stress state is only sensitive to the incompressibility assumption and not to the linear elastic or nonlinear viscous rheology, we plot the longitudinal stress profile for a land terminating ($h_w = 0$) grounded glacier, considering linear elastic compressibility ($\nu = 0.35$), linear elastic incompressibility ($\nu \approx 0.5$) and a non-linear viscous rheology in Fig. 8.
- Fig. 8 shows that if ice is considered as linear elastic incompressible ($\nu \approx 0.5$), the obtained stress solution matches with 415 the steady state creep stress state derived by Weertman (1957) for depth-independent density, and matches stress profiles obtained through simulations using a visco-elastic rheology. We observe that stresses are more extensional in the upper surface and more compressive at the base when considering incompressibility and that the stress obtained through simulations is independent of ice rheology (Glen's law creep coefficients) once a sufficiently long time has passed. For the homogeneous case, the longitudinal stress varies linearly with depth and is symmetrical about the centre line z = H/2. Similarly to the linear elastic compressible case, the inclusion of depth-dependent density results in a reduction in both the lithostatic stress
- 420

contribution σ_{zz} and the resisitive stress R_{xx} , for both material rheologies.

The longitudinal stress profiles presented in Fig. 8 are used to drive crevasse propagation in the linear elastic fracture mechanics study. Values of crevasse penetration depth for an isolated dry crevasse in a grounded glacier, subject to different



Figure 8. Far field longitudinal stress σ_{xx} throughout the depth of a land terminating glacier ($h_w = 0$), showing the effects of depth-varying density $\rho(z)$; considering linear elastic compressibility ($\nu = 0.35$), linear elastic incompressibility ($\nu \approx 0.5$) and a non-linear viscous rheology.

values of oceanwater height h_w are presented in Fig. 9. The solid line curves consider incompressible ice, whilst the dashed
lines represent compressible ice of Poisson ratio v = 0.35. Considering ice as an incompressible solid leads to deeper crevasse penetration depths compared to linear elastic compressibility, but these crevasses follow a similar trend as observed for the compressible case. Accounting for the depth-varying properties of the firn layer in grounded glaciers leads two different regimes of crevasse growth behavior. If the resistive stress R_{xx} is large (e.g. a low oceanwater height), the firn layer promotes crevasse propagation and the crevasse penetrates to a slightly greater depth than in the constant density case; this result is in agreement with van der Veen (1998a) study. In contrast, if the resistive stress is small (e.g., high oceanwater height), the firn layer stunts crevasse propagation and the crevasse depth would be greater in the constant density case; this result contradicts with van der Veen (1998a) study. We note that even if the Nye-zero stress criterion is used (assuming densely spaced cracks) instead of

using LEFM to consider a single isolated crevasse, this finding of the two different crevasse growth regimes holds true.

Comparing the effects of assuming an incompressible/viscous rheology, the percentage difference in penetration depth when 435 considering depth-dependent density, for a dry crevasse of oceanwater height $h_w = 0.5H$ reduces to 4%, compared to 20% for linear elastic compressibility. The ocean-water height required to prevent any development of dry crevasses differs, with values



Figure 9. Normalised crevasse depth predictions versus oceanwater height ratio for a single isolated dry crevasse in a grounded glacier, considering compressible ($\nu = 0.35$) and incompressible ($\nu \approx 0.5$) ice homogeneous and depth-dependent mechanical properties

of $h_w = 0.55H$ being sufficient for compressible depth-dependent density cases whereas oceanwater levels of $h_w = 0.8H$ are required for the incompressible case. Comparing this to the cases in which no density variations are considered still shows a similar trend, with higher oceanwater needed to stabilise crevasses when density variations are not considered.

- 440 Finally, we consider water-filled surface crevasses in floating ice shelves of height H = 125 m and length L = 5000 m, using a non-linear viscous ice rheology. Similarly to the linear elastic compressible case, we consider surface crevasses at the horizontal position x = 4750 m (250 m from the ice shelf terminus) and extract the longitudinal stress profiles from the finite element analysis. We plot the stabilised crevasse depth versus meltwater depth ratio for the non-linear viscous (NLV) rheology in Fig. 10 along with the results for linear elastic (LE) compressibility ($\nu = 0.35$) and incompressibility ($\nu = 0.49$).
- 445 When comparing the stabilised crevasse depths close to the front, we note that the penetration depth is independent of ice rheology, which is in contrast to the grounded glacier case. For the homogeneous density, minimal crevasse propagation is observed for meltwater depth ratios below $h_s/d_s < 0.6$, with full thickness propagation only occurring when fractures are close to saturation. The inclusion of the depth-dependent density results in deeper crevasse penetration depths, with minimal differences in penetration depth between the linear elastic cases and non-linear viscous rheology. This likely indicates that for 450 crevasses close to the front, fracture is driven by the flotation height and the bending stresses due to the floating condition.



Figure 10. Normalised crevasse depth predictions versus meltwater depth ratio for a single isolated surface crevasse located close to the ice shelf front (x = 4750 m) considering a linear elastic (LE) and non-linear viscous (NLV) rheology.

For depth-dependent density, the reduction in flotation height leads to an increase in tensile stress in the upper surface, due to increases in R_{xx} and increased bending stress. In addition, the lithostatic component of longitudinal stress is reduced, leading to deeper crevasse propagation when including firn density.

455

We also consider the propagation of an isolated surface crevasse located in the far field region (x = 2500 m) of a floating ice shelf, with results presented in Fig. 11. As shown previously, for the linear elastic compressible rheology the stress state is fully compressive for both the homogeneous and the depth-dependent density case, thus no crevasse propagation is observed regardless of meltwater depth ratio. By contrast, when considering the non-linear viscous rheology of ice, surface crevasses may propagate in the far field region if there is sufficient meltwater pressure present. Large increases in crevasse penetration depth are observed for meltwater depth ratios greater than $h_s/d_s = 0.50$, with full thickness propagation being observed close to crevasse saturation at $h_s/d_s = 0.95$. Similar to crevasses near the front, the inclusion of depth dependent density results in 460 increased crevasse penetration depths compared to the homogeneous density scenario. Thus, similar conclusions can be drawn for both elastic and viscous rheologies.

22



Figure 11. Normalised crevasse depth predictions versus meltwater depth ratio for a single isolated surface crevasse located in the far field region (x = 2500m) considering a linear elastic (LE) and non-linear viscous (NLV) rheology.

Discussion 6

An important finding of this paper is that the inclusion of the depth-varying mechanical properties of unconsolidated ice strata results in a reduction in both the lithostatic compressive stress and the resistive tensile stress components. Contrary to the 465 conventional understanding (van der Veen, 1998a), we find that accounting for depth-varying density and modulus can lead to an overall reduction in surface crevasse depths in grounded glaciers. This is because, in some scenarios, the reduction in resistive stress can hinder crevasse propagation more than the increase in crevasse propagation resulting from the reduction in lithostatic stress. Thus, our study suggests that firn layers can have a stabilizing effect by curtailing surface crevasse growth in 470 grounded glaciers.

Assuming ice to be an linear elastic compressible material, we find that considering depth-varying Young's modulus has a greater influence on crevasse depths than density in thinner glaciers. For example, considering depth-varying density results in a maximum percentage difference of 20% in the penetration depth of dry crevasses, compared to a maximum percentage difference of 45% when considering depth-varying Young's modulus. The largest reductions in crevasse depths are observed

475 in thinner glaciers (depths of approximately 100-150 m), where the stabilizing effects of the firm layers seem to be more prominent. Larger meltwater depth ratios are required to propagate surface crevasses in thinner ice shelves; whereas in thicker glaciers, the influence of firn density is lesser (in some cases negligible), so surface crevasses propagate deeper into the fully consolidated strata. Thus, our study reveals that LEFM models assuming homogeneous ice properties are valid for crevasse depth estimation in thicker glaciers with ice thickness H > 250 m.

480

Accounting for depth-varying density in the floating ice shelf case increases the penetration depth of surface crevasses close to the ice-ocean front, with this increase caused by reductions in buoyancy height and lithostatic compressive stresses. The effect of depth-varying density is dominant in thinner ice shelves, but it can still impact surface crevasse propagation in ice shelves as thick as H = 1000 m, although to a lesser extend. For instance, the crevasse depth ratio increases to $d_s = 0.91H$ (188% increase compared to homogeneous case) for thin ice shelves (H = 125 m); whereas, a 19% increase is observed for 1 km thick ice ($d_s = 0.45H$). Considering depth-varying Young's modulus in the floating ice shelf case slightly reduces surface 485 crevasse depth for low meltwater depths, and the effect becomes less significant in thicker ice shelves. This study suggests as the ice shelves become thinner due to increased basal melting in warmer oceans, the effects of the firn layer can make them more vulnerable by allowing deeper crevasse propagation. However, without the presence of any meltwater, full-depth penetration of surface crevasses in ice shelves may not be possible. Therefore, an important aspect to explore in a future study is the effect

490 of the firn layer on basal crevasse propagation in ice shelves, which likely controls rift formation and iceberg calving. The analytical (closed-form) and numerical (polynomial-fitted) solutions developed in this paper provide a more realistic description of the longitudinal stress in glaciers and ice shelves. The effect of depth-varying firn/ice properties could be accounted for in the shallow shelf approximation (SSA) and coupled with LEFM models to potentially enable the prediction of rift propagation. Krug et al. (2014) proposed a simple method for estimating crevasse depths in an ice shelf, wherein the longi-

- 495 tudinal stress calculated from the full Stokes model was used to evaluate the stress intensity factors based on a LEFM model. A similar approach can be developed to estimate crevasse depths from shallow shelf models or remote sensing data. In Appendix D, we discuss how the 2D stress fields obtained from a shallow ice shelf model can be augmented to include depth-dependent density and Young's modulus. This stress can then be used within an analytical LEFM model to predict crevasse depth and calving.
- 500 We acknowledge there are a few limitations to the current study. Firstly, we assume our glacier/ice shelf geometry to be a 2D rectangle with the free slip condition at the base, which is highly idealised and does not consider any contributions from frictional sliding at the base for the grounded case, or buttressing stresses for floating ice shelves (Buck, 2023; Buck and Lai, 2021). Another limitation of the current model is that the rate of firn consolidation is assumed to be uniform with horizontal position and unaffected by glacier thickness H. However, firn densification is dependent on environmental factors including
- accumulation rates, overburden pressure, temperature and local strain rates (van den Broeke, 2008; Amory et al., 2024). Firn 505 densification near terminus regions or in thinner glaciers potentially results in a thinner firn layer and thus a reduced value of the parameter D, indicating a shorter length scale for the transition between firn and dense ice properties. However, while the findings presented here are all based on the density profiles from the Ronne ice shelf (Rist et al., 2002), the analytic models (and provided MATLAB code) allow for an easy way to evaluate the impact for specific firn heights. This makes it possible to
- estimate the impact of including firn properties on the crevasse depth for specific locations. 510

One final limitation of our analytic models is related to water-filled crevasses. While we investigate the effects of including the effect of firn on the density and Young's modulus, both these effects are (partially) driven by the porosity of the firn. However, when water-filled crevasses are considered, no model is included to account for water leaking from the crevasse into the surrounding firn. For colder ice-sheets and deeper crevasses, such that the full water contents is surrounded by ice of

515 sub-zero temperatures, this assumption is reasonable: Any water that seeps into the surrounding ice/firn will freeze, creating an impermeable ice layer surrounding the crevasse which will prevent water from permeating further into the firn (Buzzard et al., 2018; Amory et al., 2024). As these ice layers are typically very thin, they do not alter the mechanical properties of the ice. However, if more temperate glaciers are considered, or conditions where water-filled crevasses do not penetrate to considerable depth, the firn/ice surrounding the crevasse might not be sufficiently cold to cause this ice layer to form. In such circumstances,

pressure within the crevasse by re-distributing this pressure over a larger region surrounding the crevasse.

the presented model will overestimate the crevasse depths obtained, as the saturated firm will reduce the effects of the water

520

540

7 Conclusions

In this paper, we derived analytical equations for the far field longitudinal stress including the effects of surface firn layers, described by depth-varying density and Young's modulus profiles based on field data. These analytic expressions were used to perform fracture propagation studies on isolated air/water-filled surface crevasses in grounded glaciers and ice shelves for the homogeneous (assuming fully consolidated glacial ice) and depth-varying ice cases. The derived analytical equations for the far field longitudinal stress in grounded glaciers were verified with the stress profiles obtained through finite element analysis. We also used the phase field fracture model to validate the crevasse depths predicted by the LEFM model. The LEFM model results demonstrate the potentially large impact of including the properties of unconsolidated firn layers within the predictions of crevasse depths. For grounded glaciers, depth-varying firn properties inhibit crevasse propagation, requiring lower ocean

water heights and larger meltwater depth ratios for full-depth propagation. In contrast, for floating ice shelves/tongues, the consideration of depth-varying firn properties promoted crevasse propagation, in some cases up to three times as deep. Thus, the firn layer may have a stabilizing effect on grounded glaciers, whereas a destabilizing effect on ice shelves, with regard to fracture and calving. Overall, this study establishes the importance of including the depth-dependence of firn-layer material
properties in crevasse models using LEFM. Additionally, we propose a simple scheme to integrate our analytical solutions with the stresses obtained from the shallow shelf approximation, which can allow us to assess the vulnerability of ice shelves to calving, accounting for firn layer effects.

Code availability. The analytic expressions for the stress state are provided in a MATLAB script to plot the stress-depth relations from Fig. 3 for arbitrary input parameters. This additionally performs the LEFM analysis, producing the crevasse depths from Fig. 4. This code is provided on https://github.com/T-Clayton/LEFM Firn_Inclusions (Clayton and Hageman, 2024).

25

Appendix A: Analytical LEFM model

We evaluate the stress intensity factor (SIF) considering the contributions of normal tensile stress, lithostatic compressive stress and meltwater pressure using an iterative code in MATLAB. An initial crevasse depth d is suggested and the net SIF is found by integrating over the crevasse depth, due to the varying stress field with depth. The crevasse will continue to propagate if K_1^{net}

545 is la

is larger than the fracture toughness K_{IC} , which for glacial ice is taken as $K_{IC} = 0.1$ MPa m^{1/2}, found from experimental data (Fischer et al., 1995). Since K_{I}^{net} is proportional to the net longitudinal stress σ_{net} , the SIF will begin to reduce once the crevasse penetrates into the compressive region of the ice. The net longitudinal stress σ_{net} is defined as the sum of the longitudinal stress σ_{xx} and the meltwater pressure in the crevasse p_{w} .

$$\sigma_{\rm net}(z) = \sigma_{xx}(z) + p_{\rm w}(z),\tag{A1}$$

550 where

$$p_{\rm w} = \rho_{\rm w} g \left\langle h_{\rm s} - (z - z_{\rm s}) \right\rangle,\tag{A2}$$

 $\rho_{\rm w}$ is the meltwater density, $z_{\rm s}$ is the elevation of the crevasse tip above the glacier base and $h_{\rm s}$ is the meltwater height in the crevasse. The presence of the Macaulay brackets in Eq. (A2) indicates that the pressure is zero above the water surface.

The SIF $K_{\rm I}^{\rm net}$ is calculated using the following equation:

555
$$K_{\rm I}^{\rm net} = \int_{0}^{d} M_{\rm D}(\chi, H, d) \,\sigma_{\rm net}(\chi) \,\mathrm{d}\chi,\tag{A3}$$

where M_D is a weight function dependent on the applied boundary conditions and domain geometry, $\chi = H - z$ and d is the trial crevasse depth. The selection of which has been debated in various literature sources (van der Veen, 1998a; Krug et al., 2014).

Appendix B: Weight function for grounded glaciers with free tangential slip

560 For a grounded glacier undergoing free slip, we follow the 'double edge cracks' formulation as this gives good agreement with SIFs calculated using the displacement correlation method with FEM (Jiménez and Duddu, 2018). The weight function is taken from (Tada et al., 1985) and takes the form:

$$M_{\rm D} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2H}} \left(1 + f_1\left(\frac{\chi}{d}\right) f_2\left(\frac{d}{H}\right) \right) \theta\left(\frac{d}{H}, \frac{\chi}{H}\right),\tag{B1}$$

the functions f_1, f_2 and θ are defined as:

565
$$f_1 = 0.3 \left(1 - \left(\frac{\chi}{d}\right)^{\frac{5}{4}} \right), \tag{B2}$$

$$f_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sin\left(\frac{\pi d}{2H}\right) \right) \left(2 + \sin\left(\frac{\pi d}{2H}\right) \right), \tag{B3}$$
$$\theta = \frac{\sqrt{\tan\left(\frac{\pi d}{2H}\right)}}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\cos\left(\frac{\pi d}{2H}\right)}{\cos\left(\frac{\pi d}{2H}\right)}\right)^2}}.$$

570 Appendix C: Weight function for floating ice shelves

For the floating ice shelf condition, it was found that the SIFs calculated using the weight function presented in Krug et al. (2014) gave better agreement with SIFs using the displacement correlation method (Jiménez and Duddu, 2018). Therefore, the penetration depths in Section 4 were calculated using the formulation below:

$$K_1^{\text{net}} = \int_0^d \beta(z, H, d) \,\sigma_{\text{net}}(\chi) \,\mathrm{d}\chi \tag{C1}$$

575 where

$$\beta(z, H, d) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi (d - z)}} \left(1 + M_1 \left(1 - \frac{z}{d} \right)^{0.5} + M_2 \left(1 - \frac{z}{d} \right) + M_3 \left(1 - \frac{z}{d} \right)^{1.5} \right),$$
(C2)

$$M_{1} = 0.0719768 - 1.513476\lambda - 61.1001\lambda^{2} + 1554.95\lambda^{3}$$

- 14583.8 λ^{4} + 71590.7 λ^{5} - 205384 λ^{6} + 356469 λ^{7}
- 368270 λ^{8} + 208233 λ^{9} - 49544 λ^{10} , (C3)

$$M_{2} = 0.246984 + 6.47583\lambda + 176.456\lambda^{2} - 4058.76\lambda^{3}$$
$$+ 37303.8\lambda^{4} - 181755\lambda^{5} + 520551\lambda^{6} - 904370\lambda^{7}$$
$$+ 936863\lambda^{8} - 531940\lambda^{9} + 12729\lambda^{10},$$

$$\begin{split} M_{3} &= 0.529659 - 22.3235\lambda + 532.074\lambda^{2} - 5479.53\lambda^{3} \\ &+ 28592.2\lambda^{4} - 81388.6\lambda^{5} + 128746\lambda^{6} - 106246\lambda^{7} \\ &+ 35780.7\lambda^{8}, \end{split}$$
(C5)

(C4)

	A	В	C	D	E	F	G
ρ = 917 kg m $^{-3},$ E = 9.5 GPa , H = 125 m $^{-3}$	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.003	-0.005	-1.05	0.073
ρ = 917 kg m $^{-3},$ $E(z),$ $H=125$ m $$	-0.435	1.858	-3.422	3.543	-2.178	-0.372	0.013
$\rho(z),E=9.5$ GPa, $H=125$ m	-0.064	0.295	-0.611	0.761	-0.626	-0.703	0.110
$\rho(z),E(z)$, $H=125~{\rm m}$	-0.542	2.352	-4.454	4.845	-3.267	0.217	0.025
ρ = 917 kg m $^{-3}, E = 9.5~{\rm GPa}$, $H = 250~{\rm m}$	-0.012	0.034	-0.023	-0.016	0.022	1.075	0.083
ρ = 917 kg m $^{-3},$ $E(z),$ $H=250$ m $$	-2.688	9.862	-14.657	11.290	-4.749	-0.074	0.017
$\rho(z),E=9.5$ GPa, $H=250$ m	-0.453	1.711	-2.652	2.207	-1.083	-0.725	0.100
$\rho(z),E(z)$, $H{=}250~{\rm m}$	-3.297	12.198	-18.367	14.477	-6.361	0.418	0.022
ρ = 917 kg m $^{-3}, E = 9.5~{\rm GPa}$, $H = 500~{\rm m}$	0.189	-0.728	1.113	-0.819	0.254	-1.086	0.082
ho = 917 kg m ⁻³ , $E(z)$, $H = 500$ m	-6.425	21.878	-29.374	19.695	-6.876	0.075	0.024
$\rho(z),E=9.5$ GPa, $H=500$ m	-0.804	2.694	-3.575	2.458	-1.007	-0.805	0.088
$\rho(z),E(z)$, $H=500~{\rm m}$	-7.599	25.940	-34.968	23.630	-8.396	0.406	0.027
ρ = 917 kg m $^{-3}, E$ = 9.5 GPa , H = 1000 m	1.246	-3.946	4.596	-2.235	0.216	-0.945	0.067
ho = 917 kg m ⁻³ , $E(z)$, $H = 1000$ m	-6.490	21.657	-28.390	18.540	-6.324	-0.028	0.030
$\rho(z),E=9.5$ GPa, $H=1000$ m $$	0.506	-1.453	1.248	0.077	-0.674	-0.751	0.066
$\rho(z),E(z)$, $H=1000~{\rm m}$	-6.858	22.931	-30.191	19.901	-6.927	0.129	0.031

Table C1. Coefficients of normalised longitudinal stress in Eq. (C6) for a floating ice shelf at horizontal position (x = 4750 m).

580 and $\lambda = d/H$.

The longitudinal stress in the ice shelf cannot be determined analytically due to the bending stress contribution from the floatation pressure at the base. The stress profiles at the front are obtained numerically (normalised with respect to $\rho_i gH$) and are fitted to a sixth order polynomial equation, taking the general form below:

$$\frac{\sigma_{xx}}{\rho_{i}gH} = A\left(\frac{\chi}{H}\right)^{6} + B\left(\frac{\chi}{H}\right)^{5} + C\left(\frac{\chi}{H}\right)^{4} + D\left(\frac{\chi}{H}\right)^{3} + E\left(\frac{\chi}{H}\right)^{2} + F\left(\frac{\chi}{H}\right) + G,$$
(C6)

where A, B, C, D, E, F, G are non-dimensionalised stress coefficients that are presented in Table C1.

The net SIFs from Eq. (A3) and (C1) are numerically integrated over the crevasse depth, taking into account the variations in net longitudinal stress with depth. As the crevasse propagates into deeper strata, $K_{\rm I}^{\rm net}$ reduces and the crevasse stabilises once $K_{\rm I}^{\rm net} = K_{\rm IC}$.

Appendix D: Linking with shallow ice shelf models

590 Over longer timescales, ice sheet flow is described as an incompressible nonlinear viscous fluid-like model, where the elastic deformations are negligible compared to the viscous deformation. Therefore, the incompressible Stokes equations are used

to solve for mass and momentum balance, wherein the volumetric stress or pressure p is constitutively indeterminate and the deviatoric stress tensor σ' is defined by a nonlinear viscous constitutive law as:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}' = \boldsymbol{\sigma} - p\mathbf{I} = 2\mu(\dot{\varepsilon}_{eq})\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}},\tag{D1}$$

595 where $\dot{\epsilon}$ is the strain rate tensor given by the symmetric part of the velocity gradient tensor, I is the second-order identity tensor, and the viscosity μ is determined using a Bingham-Norton-Maxwell type relation (Glen, 1955) that accounts for shear thinning behaviour as

$$\mu = A(T)^{-1/n} \dot{\varepsilon}_{eq}^{\frac{1-n}{n}}.$$
(D2)

In the above equation, A(T) is the temperature-dependent creep coefficient, n is the creep exponent and $\dot{\varepsilon}_{eq}$ is the second invariant of the strain rate tensor. Notably, the strain rate tensor can be calculated using surface velocity obtained from remote sensing observations (Chudley et al., 2022).

While it may not be impossible to simulate ice sheet flow using a full Stokes model, this is currently too computationally expensive. The spatial discretisation in the full Stokes model is dictated by the ice thickness, which is much smaller than the in-plane dimensions of ice sheet/shelf systems, thus leading to an excessively refined mesh for resolving in-plane ice velocity. The shallow ice shelf approximation (SSA) simplifies the full Stokes model by assuming that vertical shear is zero (MacAyeal,

1989), leading to the following depth-averaged governing equations in the horizontal x and y directions:

605

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(4\overline{\mu} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x} + 2\overline{\mu} \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\overline{\mu} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} + \overline{\mu} \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial x} \right) = \rho g H \frac{\partial s}{\partial x},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(4\overline{\mu} \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial y} + 2\overline{\mu} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\overline{\mu} \frac{\partial \overline{v}}{\partial x} + \overline{\mu} \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial y} \right) = \rho g H \frac{\partial s}{\partial y},$$
(D3)

where $\overline{\mu} = \int_0^H \mu \, dz$ is the depth-integrated viscosity and *s* is the upper surface elevation. Together with appropriate boundary conditions (e.g. velocity and terminus ocean water pressure), solving this equation provides an excellent approximation of the flow of ice sheets. Using the velocity components, we can determine the deviatoric stress components according to Eq. (D1). Based on the assumption that the vertical stress σ_{zz} is hydrostatic, we can determine the stress components in the plane of the ice shelf as (Greve and Blatter, 2009).

$$\overline{\sigma}_{xx} = 2\overline{\sigma}'_{xx} + \overline{\sigma}'_{yy} - \rho_{i}g(H - z)$$

$$\overline{\sigma}_{yy} = 2\overline{\sigma}'_{yy} + \overline{\sigma}'_{xx} - \rho_{i}g(H - z)$$

$$\overline{\sigma}_{xy} = \overline{\sigma}'_{xy},$$
(D4)

where $\overline{\sigma}$ are the height-averaged stresses. Here we propose an approximate way of linking the LEFM model for crevasse depth estimation with the SSA. Using the in plane stresses in Eq. (D4), we can determine the principal values, which correspond to the maximum and minimum normal stresses in the plane of the ice shelf. Assuming that crevasses open perpendicular to the maximum principal stress $\overline{\sigma}_{max}$, we can determine the net force F_w (per unit width out of plane) acting on the boundary as

$$F_{\rm w} = H\overline{\sigma}_{\rm max} = H\left(\frac{\overline{\sigma}_{xx} + \overline{\sigma}_{yy}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(\overline{\sigma}_{xx} - \overline{\sigma}_{yy})^2 + 4\overline{\sigma}_{xy}^2}\right).$$
(D5)

The force F_w if compressive would have a similar effect as the ocean water pressure term in Eq. (23), so it can be used to replace the term $\frac{1}{2}\rho_s g h_w^2$. Thus, the SSA stress solution can be augmented to include the effect of depth varying density and modulus as,

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \rho_{\rm i} g \left(z - \frac{1-E^*}{2} H \right) - (1+E^*) \frac{F_{\rm w}}{H} + \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} (\rho_{\rm i} - \rho_{\rm f}) g D \left(\left(1 - e^{-(H-z)/D} \right) + (1+E^*) \left(-1 + \frac{D}{H} (1-e^{-H/D}) \right) \right).$$
(D6)

The above stress can be used as the net stress to determine the stress intensity factor and estimate the crevasse depth. We note that depending on the discretisation used for the numerical solution of the SSA, some of the details of the scheme would need modifications. In future work, we will establish the viability of this scheme to couple the LEFM model with the shallow shelf and other depth integrated approximations.

625 1

Appendix E: Influence of Depth-variable Poisson ratio ν

For the crevasse propagation studies previously presented, a depth invariant Poisson's ratio of $\nu = 0.35$ was assumed. However, it has been suggested that Poisson's ratio also exhibits a linear dependency on ice density and therefore leads to a depth-630 dependent profile (Smith, 1965). Furthermore, King and Jarvis (2007) and Schlegel et al. (2019) provide a depth-dependent Poisson's ratio profile based on seismic velocity measurements on ice cores. To study the effect of this depth-dependent Poisson ratio, a linear elastic fracture mechanics study is performed. We assume an exponential distribution of Poisson's ratio with depth, similar to the density and Young's modulus distributions.

$$\nu(z) = \nu_{\rm i} - (\nu_{\rm i} - \nu_{\rm f})e^{-(H-z)/D}$$
(E1)

635 where $\nu_f = 0.07$ is the Poisson's ratio of firm in the upper surface, $\nu_i = 0.35$ is the Poisson's ratio of fully consolidated ice and D = 32.5 is the tuned constant. This profile approximates the observations from Schlegel et al. (2019), where we have scaled the length parameter D to match our density and Young's modulus profiles as this profile was obtained at a different location (with significantly different ice-sheet and firm thickness). As it is not possible to derive a fully analytic expression for the stress profiles with this depth-dependent Poisson's ratio, the longitudinal stress profiles are obtained numerically using the 640 finite element method. The numerical stresses are then used to drive the propagation of the surface crevasse using LEFM.

We consider a dry (air-filled) crevasse, with different values of oceanwater height h_w and plot the normalised crevasse penetration depth versus oceanwater height h_w in Fig. E1. This figure shows that the effect of including variations in Poisson's ratio have a negligible effect as compared to density and Young's modulus variations. The largest percentage difference in crevasse depth was observed for intermediate ocean-water levels, with an increase of 6% in crevasse depth with respect to the

homogeneous case when considering a depth dependent Poisson's ratio, for an oceanwater height of $h_w = 0.5H$. The effect of a including a depth-dependent Poisson's ratio is less influential compared to density and Young's modulus, as depth-dependent



Figure E1. Normalised crevasse depth predictions versus oceanwater height ratio for a single isolated dry crevasse in a linear elastic ice sheet, considering homogeneous and depth-dependent Poisson Ratio.

density resulted in a reduction of 20% of the crevasse depth and depth-dependent Young's modulus resulted in a reduction of 45% of the crevasse depth. This study suggests that the inclusion of variations in Poisson ratio does not play a significant role in crevasse propagation.

650 Author contributions. T. Clayton: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing - Original Draft, Visualization. R. Duddu: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing. T. Hageman: Conceptualization, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision. E. Martínez-pañeda: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements. T. Clayton acknowledges financial support from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) via Grantham Institute - Climate Change and the Environment (project reference 2446853). R. Duddu gratefully acknowledges the funding support provided by the National Science Foundation's Office of Polar Programs via CAREER grant no. PLR-1847173, NASA Cryosphere award no.80NSSC21K1003, and The Royal Society via the International Exchanges programme grant no. IES/R1/211032. T. Hageman acknowledges financial support through the research fellowship scheme of the Royal Commission for the Exhibition of 1851. E. Martínez-Pañeda

acknowledges financial support from UKRI's Future Leaders Fellowship programme [grant MR/V024124/1].

660

References

- Amory, C., Buizert, C., Buzzard, S., Case, E., Clerx, N., Culberg, R., Datta, R. T., Dey, R., Drews, R., Dunmire, D., Eayrs, C., Hansen, N., Humbert, A., Kaitheri, A., Keegan, K., Kuipers Munneke, P., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Lhermitte, S., Mair, D., McDowell, I., Mejia, J., Meyer,
- C. R., Morris, E., Moser, D., Oraschewski, F. M., Pearce, E., de Roda Husman, S., Schlegel, N.-J., Schultz, T., Simonsen, S. B., Stevens, C. M., Thomas, E. R., Thompson-Munson, M., Wever, N., Wouters, B., and The Firn Symposium team: Firn on Ice Sheets, Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 5, 79–99, 2024.
 - Ashby, M., Evans, A., Fleck, N., Gibson, L., Hutchinson, J., and Wadley, H.: Chapter 4 Properties of metal foams, in: Metal Foams, pp. 40–54, Butterworth-Heinemann, Burlington, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780750672191500064, 2000.
- Bassis, J. N. and Walker, C. C.: Upper and lower limits on the stability of calving glaciers from the yield strength envelope of ice, Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 468, 913–931, 2012.
 Benn, D. I. and Evans, D. J.: Glaciers & glaciation, Taylor & Francis Group, 2nd edn., 2010.
 Benn, D. I., Warren, C. R., and Mottram, R. H.: Calving processes and the dynamics of calving glaciers, Earth-Science Reviews, 82, 143–179, 2007.
- Buck, W. R.: The role of fresh water in driving ice shelf crevassing, rifting and calving, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 2023.
 Buck, W. R. and Lai, C.-Y.: Flexural Control of Basal Crevasse Opening Under Ice Shelves, Geophysical Research Letters, 2021.
 Buzzard, S. C., Feltham, D. L., and Flocco, D.: A Mathematical Model of Melt Lake Development on an Ice Shelf, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 10, 262–283, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS001155, 2018.
- Chudley, T. R., Howat, I. M., Yadav, B., and Noh, M.-J.: Empirical Correction of Systematic Orthorectification Error in Sentinel-2 Velocity
 Fields for Greenlandic Outlet Glaciers, The Cryosphere, 16, 2629–2642, 2022.
 - Clayton, T. and Hageman, T.: LEFM_Firn_Inclusions, Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.13911830, 2024.
 - Clayton, T., Duddu, R., Siegert, M., and Martínez-Pañeda, E.: A stress-based poro-damage phase field model for hydrofracturing of creeping glaciers and ice shelves, Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 272, 108 693, 2022.
- Colgan, W., Rajaram, H., Abdalati, W., McCutchan, C., Mottran, R., Moussavi, M., and Grigsby, S.: Glacier crevasses: Observations, models,
 and mass balance implications, Review of Geophysics, 54, 119–161, 2016.
 - Duddu, R. and Waisman, H.: A temperature dependent creep damage model for polycrystalline ice, Mechanics of Materials, 46, 23-41, 2012.
 - Duddu, R., Bassis, J., and Waisman, H.: A numerical investigation of surface crevasse propagation in glaciers using nonlocal continuum damage mechanics, Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 3064–3068, 2013.

Duddu, R., Jiménez, S., and Bassis, J.: A non-local continuum poro-damage mechanics model for hydrofracturing of surface crevasses in grounded glaciers, Journal of Glaciology, 66, 415–429, 2020.

32

- Enderlin, E. M. and Bartholomaus, T. C.: Sharp contrasts in observed and modeled crevasse patterns at Greenland's marine terminating glaciers, Cryosphere, 14, 4121–4133, 2020.
- Fischer, M. P., Alley, R. B., and Engelder, T.: Fracture toughness of ice and firn determined from the modified ring test, Journal of Glaciology, 41, 383–394, 1995.
- 695 Frederikse, T., Landerer, F., Caron, L., Adhikari, S., Parkes, D., Humphrey, V. W., Dangendorf, S., Hogarth, P., Zanna, L., Cheng, L., and Wu, Y. H.: The causes of sea-level rise since 1900, Nature, 584, 393–397, 2020.
 - Gao, Y., Ghosh, G., Jiménez, S., and Duddu, R.: A finite-element-based cohesive zone model of water-filled surface crevasse propagation in floating ice tongues, Computing in Science & Engineering, 2023.

Glen, J. W.: The creep of polycrystalline ice, in: Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences,

700 pp. 519–538, 1955.

705

715

725

- Greve, R. and Blatter, H.: Dynamics of ice sheets and glaciers, Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- Huth, A., Duddu, R., and Smith, B.: A Generalized Interpolation Material Point Method for Shallow Ice Shelves. 2: Anisotropic Nonlocal Damage Mechanics and Rift Propagation, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 2021.
- Huth, A., Duddu, R., Smith, B., and Sergienko, O.: Simulating the processes controlling ice-shelf rift paths using damage mechanics, Journal of Glaciology, pp. 1–14, 2023.
- Jiménez, S. and Duddu, R.: On the evaluation of the stress intensity factor in calving models using linear elastic fracture mechanics, Journal of Glaciology, 64, 759–770, 2018.
 - Jiménez, S., Duddu, R., and Bassis, J.: An updated-Lagrangian damage mechanics formulation for modeling the creeping flow and fracture of ice sheets, Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 313, 406–432, 2017.
- 710 King, E. C. and Jarvis, E. P.: Use of Shear Waves to Measure Poisson's Ratio in Polar Firn, Journal of Environmental and Engineering Geophysics, 12, 2007.

Lai, C. Y., Kingslake, J., Wearing, M. G., Chen, P. H. C., Gentine, P., Li, H., Spergel, J. J., and van Wessem, J. M.: Vulnerability of Antarctica's ice shelves to meltwater-driven fracture, Nature, 584, 574–578, 2020.

- MacAyeal, D.: Large-scale ice flow over a viscous basal sediment: Theory and application to Ice Stream-B, Antarctica, JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH, 1989.
- Mottram, R. H. and Benn, D. I.: Testing crevasse-depth models: A field study at breidõamerkurjö kull, iceland, Journal of Glaciology, 55, 746–752, 2009.
- 720 Nye, J. F.: The distribution of stress and velocity in glaciers and ice-sheets, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences, 239, 113–133, 1957.

Oraschewski, F. and Grinsted, A.: Modeling enhanced firn densification due to strain softening, The Cryosphere, 16, 2683–2700, 2022.

Paterson, W.: The physics of glaciers, Elsevier, Oxford, 3rd edn., 1994.

Poinar, K., Joughin, I., Lilien, D., Brucker, L., Kehrl, L., and Nowicki, S.: Drainage of Southeast Greenland Firn Aquifer Water through Crevasses to the Bed, Frontiers in Earth Science, 2017.

- Pralong, A. and Funk, M.: Dynamic damage model of crevasse opening and application to glacier calving, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 110, 1–12, 2005.
 - Rignot, E., Jacobs, S., Mouginot, J., and Scheuchl, B.: Ice-shelf melting around antarctica, Science, 341, 266–270, 2013.

Krug, J., Weiss, J., Gagliardini, O., and Durand, G.: Combining damage and fracture mechanics to model calving, Cryosphere, 8, 2101–2117, 2014.

Rist, M. A., Sammonds, P. R., Murrell, S. A., Meredith, P. G., Oerter, H., and Doake, C. S.: Experimental fracture and mechanical properties

- of Antarctic ice: Preliminary results, Annals of Glaciology, 23, 1996.
 - Rist, M. A., Sammonds, P. R., Oerter, H., and Doake, C. S. M.: Fracture of Antarctic shelf ice, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 107, 1–2, 2002.
 - Scambos, T., Fricker, H. A., Liu, C. C., Bohlander, J., Fastook, J., Sargent, A., Massom, R., and Wu, A. M.: Ice shelf disintegration by plate bending and hydro-fracture: Satellite observations and model results of the 2008 Wilkins ice shelf break-ups, Earth and Planetary Science

Schlegel, R., Diez, A., Lowe, A., Mayer, H., Lambrecht, C., Freitag, A., Miller, H., Hofstede, C., and Eisen, O.: Comparison of elastic moduli from seismic diving-wave and ice-core microstructure analysis in Antarctic polar firn, Annals of Glaciology, 60, 2019.

Selmes, N., Murray, T., and James, D.: Fast draining lakes on the Greenland Ice Sheet, Geophysical Research Letters, 2011.

Siegert, M., Alley, R. B., Rignot, E., Englander, J., and Corell, R.: Twenty-first century sea-level rise could exceed IPCC projections for

- strong-warming futures, One Earth, 3, 691–703, 2020.
 - Smith, J. L.: The elastic constants, strength and density of Greenland snow as determined from measurements of sonic wave velocity, Tech. rep., US Army Cold Regions Research & Engineering Laboratory, 1965.
 - Sun, S., Cornford, S. L., Moore, J. C., Gladstone, R., and Zhao, L.: Ice shelf fracture parameterization in an ice sheet model, Cryosphere, 11, 2543–2554, 2017.
- 745 Sun, X., Duddu, R., and Hirshikesh: A poro-damage phase field model for hydrofracturing of glacier crevasses, Extreme Mechanics Letters, 45, 101 277, 2021.

Tada, H., Paris, P. C., and Irwin, G. R.: The stress analysis of cracks handbook, 1973, Del Research Corporation, 1985.

van den Broeke, M.: Depth and Density of the Antarctic Firn Layer, Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research, 40, 432–438, 2008.

- van der Veen, C. J.: Fracture mechanics approach to penetration of surface crevasses on glaciers, Cold Regions Science and Technology, 27, 31–47, 1998a.
 - van der Veen, C. J.: Fracture mechanics approach to penetration of bottom crevasses on glaciers, Cold Regions Science and Technology, 27, 213–223, 1998b.

Veldhuijsen, S., van de Berg, W., Brils, M., Munneke, P., and can den Broeke, M.: Characteristics of the contemporary Antarctic firn layer simulated with IMAU-FDM v1.2A (1979-2020), The Cryosphere, 2022.

755 Wakahama, G., Kuroiwa, D., and Hasemi, T.: Field observations and experimental and theoretical studies on the superimposed ice of McCall Glacier, Alaska, Journal of Glaciology, 16, 135–149, 1976.

Weertman, J.: Deformation of Floating Ice Shelves, Journal of Glaciology, 3, 38-42, 1957.

Weertman, J.: Can a Water-Filled Crevasse Reach the Bottom Surface of a Glacier?, IASH Publication, 95, 139–145, 1973.

Weertman, J.: Depth of water-filled crevasses that are closely spaced, Journal of Glaciology, 13, 544, 1974.

760 Yu, H., Rignot, E., Morlinghem, M., and Seroussi, H.: Iceberg calving of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica: full-Stokes modeling combined with linear elastic fracture mechanics, The Cryosphere, 2017.

Zarrinderakht, M., Schoof, C., and Peirce, A.: The effect of hydrology and crevasse wall contact on calving, Cryosphere, 16, 4491–4512, 2022.

⁷³⁵ Letters, 280, 51–60, 2009.

Zarrinderakht, M., Schoof, C., and Peirce, A.: An analysis of the interaction between surface and basal crevasses in ice shelves, EGUSphere, 2023.