Source specific bias correction of US background and anthropogenic ozone modeled in CMAQ

T. Nash Skipper¹, Christian Hogrefe², Barron H. Henderson², Rohit Mathur², Kristen M. Foley², Armistead G. Russell¹

⁵ ¹School of Civil & Environmental Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA ²U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, 27709, USA

Correspondence to: Armistead G. Russell (ar70@gatech.edu)

Abstract. United States (US) background ozone (O₃) is the counterfactual O₃ that would exist with zero US anthropogenic emissions. Estimates of US background O₃ typically come from chemical transport models (CTMs), but different models vary in their estimates of both background and total O₃. Here, a measurement-model data fusion approach is used to estimate CTM biases in US anthropogenic O₃ and multiple US background O₃ sources, including natural emissions, long-range international emissions, short-range international emissions from Canada and Mexico, and stratospheric O₃. Spatially and temporally varying bias correction factors adjust each simulated O₃ component so that the sum of the

- 15 adjusted components evaluates better against observations compared to unadjusted estimates. The estimated correction factors suggest a seasonally consistent positive bias in US anthropogenic O_3 in the eastern US, with the bias becoming higher with coarser model resolution and with higher simulated total O_3 though the bias does not increase much with higher observed O_3 . Summer average US anthropogenic O_3 in the eastern US was estimated to be biased high by 2, 7, and 11 ppb (11%, 32%, and 49%) for one
- 20 set of simulations at 12, 36, and 108 km resolutions and 1 and 6 ppb (10% and 37%) for another set of simulations at 12 and 108 km resolutions. Correlation among different US background O₃ components can increase the uncertainty in the estimation of the source-specific adjustment factors. Despite this, results indicate a negative bias in modeled estimates of the impact of stratospheric O₃ at the surface, with a western US spring average bias of -3.5 ppb (-25%) estimated based on a stratospheric O₃ tracer. This
- 25 type of data fusion approach can be extended to include data from multiple models to leverage the strengths of different data sources while reducing uncertainty in the US background ozone estimates.

1 Introduction

United States (US) background (USB) ozone (O₃) is the counterfactual O₃ that would exist if US anthropogenic (USA) emissions were zero. The National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for O₃
was set at a level of 70 ppb in 2015 and may be lowered. In its recent reviews of the O₃ NAAQS, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) noted the importance of USB O₃ (US EPA, 2013, 2014, 2020b, a). USB O₃ takes up a larger portion of the allowed ozone as the NAAQS is tightened and is a larger portion of total observed O₃ as anthropogenic precursor emissions decline (Lin et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2018; Jaffe et al., 2018). USB O₃ cannot be observed (Fiore et al., 2003; Dentener et al., 2010; Mcdonald-Buller et al., 2011; Fiore et al., 2014; Jaffe et al., 2018; US EPA, 2013, 2014, 2020b, a). It is typically quantified using a chemical transport model (CTM), most commonly using the zero-out method in which USA emissions are set to zero. There is much uncertainty in CTM estimates of USB O₃ due to model

biases and differences in CTM-estimated USB O₃ among different models (Mcdonald-Buller et al., 2011;
Fiore et al., 2014; Dolwick et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2018; Jaffe et al., 2018). Jaffe et
al. (2018) estimated that the typical uncertainty in CTM-estimated seasonal mean USB O₃ is ±10 ppb.

Sources of USB O₃ include naturally occurring emissions such as wildfires, biogenic VOCs, oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) from soil, lightning NO_x, stratosphere-to-troposphere exchange, and oxidation of methane (Fiore et al., 2014; Jaffe et al., 2018; US EPA, 2020a). Some portions of total O₃ contributions from soil NO_x and methane oxidation are USB sources while some are anthropogenic. Soil NO_x is emitted by microbial processes in both natural and agricultural lands and is limited by availability of nitrogen in the soil. There is a pre-industrial level of methane that contributes to USB O₃ formation, but any O₃ created through oxidation of methane above the pre-industrial level is anthropogenic. Soil NO_x and methane oxidation are often treated as USB O₃ sources in their entirety in CTM studies due to the complexity of splitting up the natural and anthropogenic portions (US EPA, 2020a). Wildfires are treated as USB O₃ sources, but the impacts of wildfires on O₃ can be affected by US anthropogenic emissions

when VOCs from fires are transported over NO_x-rich urban areas, leading to enhanced O₃ production (Jaffe et al., 2013; Langford et al., 2023; Rickly et al., 2023). USB O₃ sources also include non-US anthropogenic pollution which may be from long range transport (Lin et al., 2012b) or from short range transport from neighboring countries (Wang et al., 2009).

In previous work (Skipper et al., 2021), we developed a bias correction method which used regression modeling to adjust CTM-simulated USA and USB O₃ to better align with observations and to improve agreement of differing USB O₃ estimates from different model configurations. We developed spatially and temporally varying scaling factors to adjust USA and USB O₃. In that work, USB O₃ was treated as a single quantity rather than considering different sources of USB O₃ individually. A consistent low bias in USB O₃ in spring was identified, though the specific source of this low bias could not be identified. Here, we extend the bias correction method to estimate biases in separate components of USB O₃. Separating the USB O₃ components provides new insights into the inferred CTM error in USB O₃ that was not possible when USB O₃ was treated as a lumped quantity.

2 Methods

70

65 2.1 Chemical transport model simulations

Total O₃ (i.e., BASE O₃), USB O₃, and individual USB O₃ components are simulated at both regional and hemispheric scales using the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model. We use maximum daily 8-h average (MDA8) O₃ as the metric of interest since this is the metric used in determining attainment of the NAAQS. References to O₃ throughout are to MDA8 O₃. CMAQ results are from two recent sets of simulations by the US EPA (Table 1). The two sets of simulations include different USB O₃ components allowing us to explore how different components of USB O₃ affect the bias in O₃.

Table 1. Simulation names and descriptions for hemispheric-scale and regional-scale simulations. Table adapted from 2020 O₃ Policy Assessment Table 2-1 (US EPA, 2020a).

Simulation	Description
BASE	All emission sectors are included.
ZUSA	All US anthropogenic emissions are removed including prescribed fires. ^a
ZROW	All anthropogenic emissions outside the US are removed including prescribed fires where possible (ROW = rest of world). ^b
ZCANMEX	All anthropogenic emissions from Canada and Mexico are removed including prescribed fires where possible. ^b

ZANTH	All anthropogenic emissions globally are removed including prescribed fires. ^b
STRAT	Tracer species for O_3 injected into the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere based on CMAQ potential vorticity parameterization for stratospheric O_3 . ^c

^a Emissions estimated to be associated with intentionally set fires ("prescribed fires") are grouped with anthropogenic fires.
 ^b Only for PA simulations
 ^c Only for EQUATES simulations

^c Only for EQUATES simulations.

- The first set of simulations was conducted for the Policy Assessment (PA) for the review of the O₃ NAAQS in 2020 (US EPA, 2020a). These simulations also support the draft PA for the reconsideration of the O₃ NAAQS. The PA simulations cover the entire year of 2016 and provide estimates of USA and USB O₃ as well as natural (NAT) and international anthropogenic (INTL) contributions to USB O₃. INTL O₃ is also further decomposed to short-range international anthropogenic contributions from Canada and Mexico (CANMEX) and long-range international (LINTL) contributions from other countries. The PA simulations consist of nested simulations from hemispheric scale (Mathur et al., 2017) at 108 km
- horizontal resolution to continental scale at 36 km resolution to a finer continental scale at 12 km resolution.

USB O_3 components are determined by the zero-out method in which the model is run in the same configuration as the base case but with specified emissions sources removed. The zero-out method is the most common approach for simulating USB O_3 , though other approaches such as sensitivity simulations 90 and source tagging techniques have also been previously employed (Jaffe et al., 2018). The zero-out method neglects non-linear interactions between sources which can affect the simulated source contribution (Wu et al., 2009; Dolwick et al., 2015). However, the zero-out method is consistent with the definition of USB O_3 as the level of O_3 in the absence of US anthropogenic emissions, while sensitivity or tagging techniques would instead provide an estimate of source contributions to total simulated O_3 95 (including O_3 from US anthropogenic sources). USB O_3 is estimated by removing US anthropogenic emissions (ZUSA simulation). USA O₃ is calculated as BASE O₃ minus USB O₃. NAT O₃ is estimated by removing all anthropogenic emissions (ZANTH simulation). The non-US anthropogenic O_3 contribution is estimated by removing anthropogenic emissions everywhere except the US (ZROW simulation). The INTL contribution is calculated as BASE O_3 minus O_3 from the ZROW simulation. 100 CANMEX O_3 is estimated by removing Canada and Mexico anthropogenic emissions (ZCANMEX). The CANMEX O_3 contribution is calculated as BASE O_3 minus the O_3 from the ZCANMEX simulation. LINTL O_3 is estimated as INTL O_3 minus CANMEX O_3 . Due to non-linear chemistry, there is some residual anthropogenic contribution to BASE O_3 which is not attributed to US or international emissions.

105

Descriptions of these CMAQ simulations and calculation of O₃ components are given in Tables S1 and S2. Further details of the modeling setup are available in the 2020 Policy Assessment (US EPA, 2020a)..

The second set of simulations was developed from EPA's Air QUAlity Time Series (EQUATES) project which spans 2002-2019. Additional simulations using the EQUATES modeling framework were conducted for 2016–2017 to estimate USB O₃ and USA O₃ using the zero-out method. The EQUATES

- simulations consist of hemispheric scale simulations at 108 km horizontal resolution and nested US continental scale simulations at 12 km horizontal resolution. Descriptions of these CMAQ simulations and calculation of O₃ components are given in Table S3. Further details on the model configuration for EQUATES are available from Foley et al. (2020) and Foley et al. (2023). More details on both the PA and EQUATES simulations are summarized in Tables S4 and S5.
- The 108 km EQUATES simulations also include an inert tracer species which serves as a proxy for simulated stratospheric O_3 contributions. Separate stratospheric O_3 contributions were not available from the PA simulations, so the EQUATES simulations provide an opportunity to assess potential biases specific to stratospheric O_3 contributions. CMAQ simulates stratospheric O_3 using a parameterization based on the relationship between O_3 and potential vorticity (PV) in the upper troposphere and lower
- 120 stratosphere (UTLS) (Xing et al., 2016). The parameterization was developed using 21 years of ozonesonde data from the World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre and PV data from the Weather Research Forecasting (WRF) model for 1990-2010. In the EQUATES 108 km simulations, the parameterization is applied to the top model layer only. A PV tracer species tracks O₃ injected into the UTLS throughout the rest of the model domain for the hemispheric simulations. The 12 km continental
- 125 simulations inherit the PV tracer species through lateral boundary conditions from the hemispheric simulations. This tracer is subject to transport and deposition but not chemistry. We refer to the PV tracer concentrations as STRAT (short for stratospheric) O₃ since it relates to the stratospheric influence, but it only partly replicates the impact of stratospheric O₃ since it does not undergo chemical losses. STRAT O₃ does, however, provide a measure of the spatiotemporal variability of stratospheric O₃ impacts. We

- also estimate the contribution to USB O_3 from sources other than the stratosphere as USB O_3 minus STRAT O_3 and refer to it as USB_NOSTRAT O_3 . The use of the chemically inert PV tracer to split up stratospheric and non-stratospheric influences on USB O_3 introduces uncertainty as the STRAT O_3 component may be unrealistically high, especially in areas and times with more active chemistry.
- The modeling configurations of the PA and EQUATES simulations differ in some respects which is expected to lead to some differences in simulated O₃, though they do share some of the same configuration options. Both the PA and EQUATES simulations use a 44-layer vertical structure for hemispheric scale applications (at 108 km resolution) and a 35-layer vertical structure for continental (i.e., 36 km and 12 km resolution) applications with a vertical extent from the surface to 50 hPa and a surface layer height of approximately 20 m for both the hemispheric and continental configurations (see Mathur et al. (2017) for more details on these vertical layer structures). CMAQ v5.2.1 was used for the PA simulations while CMAQ v5.3.2 was used for the EQUATES simulations. These were the latest versions of CMAQ at the respective times that each set of simulations were conducted. One potential source of differences is that halogen chemistry was updated in CMAQ v5.3 (Sarwar et al., 2019). The EQUATES hemispheric simulations therefore include losses of O₃ over seawater that are not present in the PA hemispheric simulations which could affect O₃ transported over the Pacific in particular. An
- intercomparison of CMAQ v5.2.1 and CMAQ v5.3.1 (which is not significantly different from CMAQ v5.3.2) showed that the newer version typically had lower O₃ compared to the older version, with mean bias ~1 ppb lower in CMAQ v5.3.1 (Appel et al., 2021). Besides the addition of halogen chemistry, there are other differences in the chemical mechanisms used for each set of simulations. The mechanisms used
- 150 for the hemispheric simulations were cb6r3_ae6_aq for the PA simulations and cb6r3m_ae7_kmtbr for the EQUATES simulations. The part of the mechanism name labeled cb6r3m indicates additional chemistry relevant in marine environments (the halogen chemistry described above); ae6 and ae7 indicate the version number for chemistry relevant to aerosols; aq and kmtbr indicate different treatments of cloud chemistry. The chemical mechanisms used for continental-scale PA and EQUATES simulations
- 155 (cb6r3_ae6nvPOA_aq and cb6r3_ae7_aq) also differ in their representation of organic aerosols (Murphy et al., 2017; Pye et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2021; Appel et al., 2021) which could affect O₃ concentrations.

Different versions of WRF (v3.8 for PA simulations and v4.1.1 for EQUATES simulations) employed may also contribute to differences in O₃.

- Emission inputs also differ between the PA and EQUATES simulations. Different US anthropogenic emission inventories were used for the simulations. The PA simulations used an early version (sometimes called the "alpha" version) of a 2016 emissions modeling platform developed by the National Emissions Inventory Collaborative (US EPA, 2019b). The EQUATES simulations used an inventory that was developed as part of the broader EQUATES framework to model a long timeseries using consistent methods for emissions estimates (Foley et al., 2023). For emissions in Canada and Mexico, both sets of simulations use emission inventories developed by the respective national
- governments, though the EQUATES simulations use more recent inventories (as described by Foley et al. (2020)) than the PA simulations (as described by US EPA (2019b)).). Both the PA and EQUATES simulations use the Tsinghua University inventory of emissions in China (Zhao et al., 2018). For other countries, both sets of simulations use the Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution (HTAP) v2.2 inventory
- 170 (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2015) with scaling factors derived from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS) (Hoesly et al., 2018) to account for yearly changes. Differences in the anthropogenic emissions used in the two model configurations are expected to contribute to differences in simulated O₃, most notably for the different US anthropogenic emissions since we focus here on O₃ in the US.
- For hemispheric-scale simulations, biogenic VOC emissions are from the Model of Emissions of
 Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1) (Guenther et al., 2012). The PA simulations additionally replace MEGAN emissions with emissions from the Biogenic Emission Inventory System (BEIS) (Bash et al., 2016) over North America (US EPA, 2019a).. The EQUATES MEGAN emissions are obtained from a compilation by Sindelarova et al. (2014). Soil NO_x emissions for the PA hemispheric simulations are also from MEGAN with replacement by BEIS soil NO_x over North America. Soil NO_x emissions for the hemispheric EQUATES simulations are from a dataset by the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS, 2018) based on methods by Yienger and Levy (1995). Lightning NO emissions for both the PA and EQUATES hemispheric simulations are from monthly climatology obtained from the Global Emissions Initiative (GEIA) and are based on Price et al. (1997). Lightning NO_x was not included in the PA continental-scale simulations, while lightning NO_x for the EQUATES

- 185 continental-scale simulations is calculated using an inline module in CMAQ (Kang et al., 2019). For both PA and EQUATES, wildfire emissions outside of North America are based on the Fire Inventory from NCAR (FINN) v1.5 (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011) which provides day-specific fire emissions. Wildfires are vertically allocated with 25% of emissions distributed to the lowest two layers (~0-45 m), 35% distributed to layers 3-9 (~45-350 m), and the remaining 40% distributed to layers 10-19 (~350-2000 m) as described
- in the Technical Support Document for northern hemispheric emissions (US EPA, 2019a). Wildfire emissions within North America are based on the Hazard Mapping System (HMS) fire product which provides day-specific fire activity data. Emission processing for North American wildfires is further described in the Technical Support Document for North American emissions (US EPA, 2019b) (applicable to PA simulations) and Foley et al. (2023) (applicable to EQUATES simulations). Although
- the methods are similar, North American wildfire emissions may differ between PA and EQUATES based on the specific fire activity data that was used in each case. Fire plume injection height for North American fires is determined by an inline plume rise algorithm in CMAQ based on fire heat content (see e.g., Wilkins et al. (2022) for more details on fire plume injection height in CMAQ). Stratospheric O₃ in both the PA and EQUATES simulations is from the PV parameterization by Xing et al. (2016) (described in
- 200 more detail above) in the hemispheric simulations. Stratospheric O_3 in the continental-scale simulations only comes from any stratospheric O_3 inherited from the lateral boundary conditions provided by the hemispheric simulations.

2.2 O₃ observations

O₃ observational data are from the Air Quality System (AQS) database, which provides data from federal, state, local, and tribal air quality monitoring networks across the US. The average precision of O_3 205 monitors in the AQS database was reported as 2.2% and 2.4% in 2016 and 2017, respectively, and the bias 1.5% national average absolute reported in both 2016 and 2017 was as (https://www.epa.gov/amtic/amtic-ambient-air-monitoring-assessments). There were ~360,000 MDA8 O₃ observations available per year for 2016 and 2017 from ~1250 unique monitoring sites. These numbers take into account monitoring sites where O_3 is measured by multiple instruments at the same location (as 210 indicated in the AQS database by a parameter occurrence code). In these cases, the MDA8 O_3 observations from multiple instruments are averaged for a given site and day and treated as a single observation. The observations overrepresent the eastern US compared to the western US. About 40% of daily MDA8 O_3 observations and ~36% of O_3 monitoring sites are in the western US (as defined by

215 longitude < -97 °W). Western US sites are also overrepresented by sites in the state of California. About 40% of daily MDA8 O₃ observations and ~40% of O₃ monitoring sites in the western US are in California. The observations also overrepresent the high O₃ season of April – October (Figure 1) since many monitors are only required to be operated during the high O₃ season.



Figure 1. Locations of O₃ observational sites in 2016 indicated with a circle whose color shows the number of daily MDA8 O₃ observations available from each site in 2016 (top). Total number of daily MDA8 O₃ observations in each month of 2016 (bottom).

225 2.3 O₃ data fusion model

We use multivariate ordinary least squares regression to model the relationship between the individual model components and observed MDA8 O₃. Regression parameters provide estimates of the spatial and temporal model bias attributable to each individual O₃ component. The regression model for ozone mixing ratio O₃ on day *d* and location (*lon*, *lat*, *z*) is formulated as follows:

230
$$O_3 = \sum_i \alpha_i O_{3i}^{simulated} + \varepsilon$$

Where:

240

 $\alpha_i = \alpha_{0,i} + \alpha_{x,i}lon + \alpha_{y,i}lat + \alpha_{z,i}z + \alpha_{sin,i}\sin(d) + \alpha_{cos,i}\cos(d)$ *d* is day of year in radians

z is elevation above sea level

235 *lon, lat, z, sin(d)*, and *cos(d)* are normalized to zero mean and unit standard deviation (Table S6) $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

index *i* represents different sets of O₃ components. Specifically, we consider four sets of *i*:

$i \in \{USA, USB\}$	(PA and EQUATES)
$i \in \{USA, NAT, INTL\}$	(PA only)
$i \in \{USA, NAT, LINTL, CANMEX\}$	(PA only)
$i \in \{USA, USB_NOSTRAT, STRAT\}$	(EQUATES only)

Each simulated O_3 component $(O_{3i}^{simulated})$ is multiplied by the alpha adjustment factor for that component (α_i) , which varies as a function of space and time, to calculate an adjusted estimate of each O_3 component. The inferred model bias for a particular component is calculated as the difference between the original simulated O_3 and adjusted O_3 for that component. The individual adjusted O_3 components are summed to calculate the total adjusted O_3 . The longitude and latitude terms of α_i are intended to capture the spatial variability of O_3 biases while the z term of α_i is intended to capture biases in O_3 related to elevation. The sinusoidal day of year terms of α_i are intended to capture the cyclical nature of O_3 production and to identify any seasonal dependence in O_3 biases. The modeled O_3 components do not add up to observed O_3 because of biases in the model or its inputs. The CMAQ-simulated O_3 components are adjusted by applying estimated regression coefficients to the gridded data so that the sum of the components more closely aligns with observed O_3 . A more complex method (e.g., nonlinear regression or machine learning) may give a better fit to observed O_3 , but the interest here is to estimate potential

255

biases in the modeled O_3 components which is more straightforward with a linear regression. Empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analysis was used to further explore the spatial and temporal structure of the inferred bias fields and is discussed in the SI.

A separate regression model is developed for each separate model configuration (i.e., model resolution, PA or EQUATES simulation, and USB O₃ component split). There are three model resolutions 260and three USB O_3 splits for the PA simulations, resulting in nine PA models. There are two model resolutions for the EQUATES simulations. The 12 km EQUATES data has two USB O₃ splits while the 108 km EQUATES data has one USB O₃ split, resulting in three EQUATES models. For the PA models, only 2016 PA simulation data are used to train the models since these simulations are for only that year. For the EQUATES models, both 2016 and 2017 EQUATES simulation data are used to train the models. The location and sampling schedule of the monitoring sites overrepresent the eastern US, low elevations, 265

- and high O_3 season which may impact how representative the results are for non-monitored locations. Overfitting of the regression model is tested using three cross-validation approaches in which the data are split in both space and time, in space only, and in time only. In the first approach (spatial and temporal withholding), 10% of all observational data are randomly selected and reserved as a test set while the
- remaining 90% are used as the training set. In the second approach (spatial withholding), data from 10% 270 of randomly selected observation sites are used as a test set while data from the remaining 90% of sites is used as the training set. In the third approach (temporal withholding), data from 10% of randomly selected days of the year are used as a test set while data from the remaining 90% of days of the year are used as the training set. The root mean square error (RMSE) and mean bias for the test and training set are
- compared to evaluate the potential for the model to overfit the data. 275

3 Results and discussion

3.1 CTM results

The overall performance of MDA8 O₃ for each simulation is summarized here by the normalized mean bias (NMB) compared to O₃ monitoring sites. The 12 km PA simulations were biased high for 2016 (NMB=1.2%) while the 12 km EQUATES simulations were biased low for 2016 and 2017 (NMB=-3.7% and -5.1%). The 36 km and 108 km PA simulations were biased high over the US for 2016 (NMB=5.2% and 10.0%). The 108 km EQUATES simulations were also biased high over the US for 2016 and 2017 (NMB=2.8% and 0.5%). The two sets of simulations are broadly consistent with one another for BASE, USA, and total USB O₃ which are common to both. Details on the contributions from the different O₃ components in the PA and EQUATES simulations follow.

CMAQ-simulated O_3 from the PA simulations show similar results across the three different model resolutions for USB O_3 sources (Figure 2; Table 2). Simulated USA O_3 tends to increase with coarser model resolution which results in corresponding increases in BASE O₃. NAT makes the largest contribution to annual average O_3 across the US with a larger contribution in the western US (~55% of BASE) than in the eastern US (\sim 45% of BASE). USA O₃ is the second largest component of annual 290 average O₃ with a larger contribution in the eastern US (~35% of BASE) than in the western US (~20% of BASE). There are a small number of US grid cells with negative annual averages for USA O_3 . This means that USB O_3 was greater than BASE O_3 and indicates that anthropogenic emissions suppress O_3 through NO_x titration. LINTL impacts the western US (\sim 15% of BASE) more strongly than the eastern US (~10% of BASE). Both NAT and LINTL tend to be higher at higher elevations, suggesting that some 295 of the effects from NAT and LINTL are from O₃ in the free troposphere. In spring, O₃ lifetimes are longer, and trans-Pacific transport of O_3 is more likely which is consistent with the spring peak in LINTL (Liu et al., 1987). The other components and BASE O_3 peak in the summer with some exceptions (Figure 3). In the southeastern US, NAT is lower during summer compared to surrounding areas and is lower than NAT

in the southeastern US during spring. This is likely because O_3 loss through reaction with biogenic VOCs (which peak in the summer and are abundant in the southeastern US) reduces O_3 under the extremely low NO_x conditions with zero anthropogenic emissions. The CANMEX contribution to O_3 is small except at some locations along the border with Mexico where the contributions can be high, especially in the summer. For US grid cells within 100 km of the border with Canada, the annual average impact is ~2 ppb

305 while for US grid cells within 100 km of the border with Mexico, the annual average impact is ~5 ppb.

Table 2. Summary of annual average of MDA8 O₃ components for the Policy Assessment set of simulations. Averages are shown for all of the US and separately for the eastern and western US with a longitude of 97 °W serving as the east-west dividing line. The mean across all grid cells within the given area is shown along with the minimum and maximum for any grid cell within the given area in parentheses. Numbers in the table are in units of ppb. Seasonal averages are provided in Table S13.

	BASE	USA	NAT	LINTL	CANMEX		
PA 12 km							
all US	39 (18, 56)	10 (-12, 23)	20 (15, 30)	6 (4, 10)	2 (-4, 9)		
eastern US	39 (28, 49)	13 (2, 23)	18 (15, 21)	4 (4, 9)	1 (1, 6)		
western US	40 (18, 56)	7 (-12, 23)	22 (15, 30)	7 (4, 10)	2 (-4, 9)		
PA 36 km							
all US	40 (28, 62)	11 (2, 30)	20 (15, 28)	6 (4, 10)	2 (1, 16)		
eastern US	40 (28, 55)	14 (4, 28)	18 (15, 21)	4 (4, 9)	1 (1, 5)		
western US	40 (30, 62)	8 (2, 30)	22 (15, 28)	7 (4, 10)	2 (1, 16)		
PA 108 km							
all US	42 (30, 70)	11 (3, 42)	21 (16, 28)	5 (3, 10)	2 (1, 9)		
eastern US	42 (30, 70)	15 (4, 42)	19 (16, 23)	4 (3, 6)	1 (1, 4)		
western US	42 (31, 54)	8 (3, 20)	23 (16, 28)	6 (3, 10)	2 (1, 9)		

310



Figure 2. Annual average MDA8 O₃ from Policy Assessment CMAQ simulations. Results are shown for 12 km (top row), 36 km (middle row), and 108 km (bottom row) horizontal resolutions. O₃ concentrations include total (BASE) O₃ as well as O₃ components from USA, NAT, LINTL, and CANMEX sources.



Figure 3. Seasonal average MDA8 O₃ from Policy Assessment CMAQ simulations. Results are shown for 12 km horizontal resolution for winter (DJF), spring (MAM), summer (JJA), and fall (SON). Seasonal averages for the 36 km and 108 km simulations are provided in Figures S1 and S2. O₃ concentrations include total (BASE) O₃ as well as O₃ components from USA, NAT, LINTL, and CANMEX sources.

The second set of simulations (EQUATES) split USB O₃ to different components compared to the 330 PA simulations. The use of different USB O₃ components provides additional insight into the sourcespecific biases in USB O₃. CMAQ simulated O₃ results from the 2016 EQUATES simulations are comparable to the results from the PA simulations for the 12 km simulations, though the EQUATES simulations have slightly less O₃ from USA and more from USB compared to the PA simulations (Figure

4; Table 3). USA O₃ contributed ~20% of annual average BASE O₃ across all US model grid cells (~25% for PA simulations). Like in the PA simulations, the contribution to USA O₃ was higher in the eastern US 335 (~25% of BASE) than in the western US (~15% of BASE). STRAT O_3 is higher in the western US, especially at higher elevations, which is consistent with previous studies (Jaffe et al., 2018). On average, STRAT O₃ is 40% of BASE O₃ in the western US and 34% of BASE O₃ in the eastern US. STRAT O₃ represents an upper bound of stratospheric influences because the tracer species used for its calculation 340 in this study does not undergo chemical losses. Non-STRAT O₃ (i.e., USB NOSTRAT) contributes 47% of annual average BASE O_3 in the western US and 42% in the eastern US. USB_NOSTRAT is likely underestimated in regions and seasons with more active chemistry due to the use of the chemically inert tracer species used to calculate USB NOSTRAT. The 108 km hemispheric CMAQ (H-CMAQ) results for the EQUATES and PA simulations are similar on average but do have some notable differences. The H-CMAQ simulations are similar in their simulation of USB O₃. The USA O₃ contributions are also 345 similar on average, though the PA simulations have higher maximum values compared to the EOUATES

simulations which leads to higher maximum values of BASE O₃.

Table 3. Summary of annual average of MDA8 O₃ components for the EQUATES set of simulations.
Averages are shown for all of the US and separately for the eastern and western US with a longitude of 97 °W serving as the east-west dividing line. The mean across all grid cells within the given area is shown along with the minimum and maximum for any grid cell within the given area in parentheses. Numbers in the table are in units of ppb. Seasonal averages are provided in Table S14.

	BASE	USA	USB	USB_NOSTRAT	STRAT		
EQUATES 12 km							
all US	39 (22, 51)	7 (-4, 18)	32 (24, 44)	17 (8, 23)	15 (12, 22)		
eastern US	38 (30, 45)	9 (1, 15)	29 (24, 36)	16 (8, 23)	13 (12, 19)		
western US	40 (22, 51)	5 (-4, 18)	35 (25, 44)	19 (12, 22)	16 (12, 22)		
EQUATES 108 km							
all US	41 (31, 49)	8 (2, 18)	33 (26, 41)				
eastern US	40 (31, 49)	10 (3, 18)	30 (26, 38)				
western US	41 (32, 49)	6 (2, 12)	36 (29, 41)				





360

Figure 4. Annual average MDA8 O3 from EQUATES CMAQ simulations. Results are shown for 12 km resolution (top and middle rows) and 108 km (bottom row). O3 concentrations include total (BASE) O3 as well as O3 components from USA, USB_NOSTRAT, and STRAT sources for 12 km. For both the 12 km and 108 km simulations, O₃ concentrations of BASE, USA, and total USB are also shown.

BASE O₃ in EQUATES is highest in the summer (Figure 5). USB O₃ is the highest during spring throughout most of the US. In much of the Mountain West, USB O3 is highest during the summer (Figures 365

S3 and S4). The STRAT O_3 tracer is the highest in the western US. Much of the western US has STRAT O_3 at about the same level in the spring and summer. In the southeastern US, STRAT O_3 is highest in the summer while in the northeastern US, there are similar levels of STRAT O_3 in the spring and summer. STRAT O_3 is elevated in the summer because of the lack of chemical sinks due to the inert tracer species

- used to estimate STRAT O₃. Most previous studies have indicated that stratospheric O₃ peaks in the spring (Lin et al., 2015). The stratospheric contribution to O₃ from H-CMAQ calculated using the decoupled direct method (which does account for chemical losses) also showed higher stratospheric contributions in spring than in summer (Mathur et al., 2022). The higher summer STRAT O₃ here is explained by the lack of chemical losses due to the tracer method used. Potential biases are explored further in Section 3.3.
- 375 USA O₃ is highest in the summer in the eastern US and in California, consistent with the PA simulations. Non-STRAT USB O₃ is relatively uniform outside of summer, though it tends to be slightly lower in the southeast and higher in the western US.

The results from both the PA and EQUATES simulations indicate that USB O₃ contributes more than USA O₃ to BASE O₃ on an annual average basis. Simulated USB O₃ is higher in the western US than in the eastern US due to greater impacts from both natural and non-domestic anthropogenic sources. Simulated USA O₃ is higher in the eastern US than in the western US due to the higher population density and consequently greater anthropogenic emissions. The contributions from USA O₃ peak in the summer which causes BASE O₃ to peak in the summer as well. USB O₃ varies by season but is not as seasonally variable as USA O₃. These results are broadly consistent with previous efforts to quantify USB and USA O₃ using CTMs (Mcdonald-Buller et al., 2011; Jaffe et al., 2018).



Figure 5. Seasonal average MDA8 O₃ from EQUATES CMAQ simulations. Results are shown for 12 km horizontal resolution for winter (DJF), spring (MAM), summer (JJA), and fall (SON). O₃
concentrations include total (BASE) O₃ as well as O₃ components from USA, USB_NOSTRAT, and STRAT sources. Seasonal averages for the other USB O₃ split cases are provided in the SI (Figures S3 and S4).

3.2 Cross-validation of regression modeling

³⁹⁵ Overfitting is tested using a cross-validation analysis as described in Section 2.2. Three different cross-validation methods are used: spatial and temporal withholding, spatial withholding, and temporal withholding. The parameters derived from the training set are then used to predict the observed O_3 in the test set. The RMSE and mean bias with respect to the true observations of both the training and test set are compared to one another (Table 4; Tables S7 and S8). For each of the three cross-validation methods,

- 400 the RMSE and mean bias of the training and test sets are similar to one another. This indicates that the model is not overfitting and is generalizable to data outside of its training data, providing confidence that we can apply the regression models to the gridded CTM results to estimate the bias in O₃ and individual O₃ components across the US.
- 405 Table 4. Summary of performance for cross-validation of MDA8 O₃ data fusion model. Values shown are the average over all regression model cases. RMSE and mean bias statistics for individual cases are provided in Tables S7 and S8. The performance for the BASE O₃ simulations prior to applying the bias adjustment is also provided for comparison.

		spatial and temporal					
	BASE	withholding		spatial withholding		temporal withholding	
metric	simulations	training	test	training	test	training	test
RMSE (ppb)	9.53	7.80	7.83	7.83	7.58	7.81	7.79
mean bias (ppb)	1.13	-0.19	-0.20	-0.19	-0.63	-0.19	0.38

410 3.3 Inferred CTM biases

The coefficients from the regression models (Tables S9 – S12) are applied to the gridded CTM data to calculate adjusted values of each O₃ component. The inferred CMAQ bias for each component is the difference between the original CMAQ-simulated value and the adjusted value. The inferred bias in BASE O₃ is the original CMAQ-simulated BASE O₃ minus the sum of adjusted O₃ components. For the PA simulations, there is a residual anthropogenic component of BASE O₃ that is not apportioned to either USA or INTL sources due to the effects of non-linear chemistry (Table S2). The residual anthropogenic component is equal to BASE – NAT – INTL – USA. This means that the sum of biases in the individual components do not add up to the bias in BASE O₃ as the residual anthropogenic component was not

included in the adjusted O₃ results. In the PA simulations, BASE O₃ is inferred to be biased high in most

- 420 of the Eastern US as well as in some parts of California and Arizona (Figure 6). USA O₃ is inferred to be biased high in the same areas. Reducing the amount of USA O₃ improves the fit to BASE O₃ which is suggestive that biases in the effects from US anthropogenic emissions contribute to the high biases inferred in BASE O₃. The inferred high biases in BASE and USA O₃ increase with increasing coarseness of model resolution in the eastern US. Similarly, the high bias increases with coarser model resolution in 425 the CANMEX component along the border with Mexico. The inferred high biases in USA O₃ in the
- eastern US are primarily driven by biases in the summer and fall (Table S15, Figures S5-S7). Inferred eastern US USA O₃ biases average 2, 7, and 11 ppb in the summer and 3, 4, and 5 ppb in the fall for the 12, 36, and 108 km simulations. In the western US, where USA O₃ is mostly found to be biased low, coarser model resolution results in the summer average bias changing from slightly negative in the 12 km
 simulations (-0.5 ppb) to slightly positive in the 36 and 108 km simulations (+0.7 ppb and +1.0 ppb).
- In contrast to our results showing an increase in O_3 with coarser resolution, Schwantes et al. (2022) found that O₃ tended to increase for a finer resolution simulation (~14 km vs. ~111 km over the CONUS) during the summer over urban areas using the Community Earth System Model (CESM)/Community Atmosphere Model with full chemistry (CAM-chem) model which was attributed to improvements in the spatial resolution of NO_x emissions resulting in less artificial dilution of NO_x and enhanced O_3 production. 435 Similarly, Lin et al. (2024) found that a variable resolution global model (AM4VR with horizontal resolution of 13 km over the CONUS) had increased O₃ over urban areas compared to a fixed resolution model (AM4.1 with horizontal resolution of ~100 km globally). In particular for the Los Angeles Basin and Central Valley regions of California, Lin et al. (2024) found that the increased resolution of AM4VR led to better simulation of observed O_3 levels in these areas due the finer resolution model's ability to 440 represent sharp spatial gradients in areas with NO_x -limited vs. NO_x -saturated O_3 production regimes. Given these previous results finding increased O_3 with finer resolution simulations, our results here finding higher biases in USA O_3 in the eastern US with coarser resolution should be taken to apply specifically to the CMAQ model results described here rather than as a general finding on the impact of model resolution on O_3 production. 445

There are offsetting inferred biases in the LINTL and NAT O₃ components in much of the western US. The offsetting inferred biases may reflect an inability of the regression model to separate the signals from LINTL and stratospheric O_3 . LINTL and stratospheric O_3 are expected to impact sites at similar spatial and temporal scales, with larger impacts expected at high elevations in the western US during spring. Stratospheric O_3 effects are not limited to episodic intrusion events but also come from constant 450 entrainment of stratospheric air to the free troposphere. The impacts from LINTL are primarily from longrange transport in the free troposphere, so stratospheric O_3 and LINTL are expected to be correlated. The regression model may be assigning bias due to stratospheric O_3 to LINTL because the CTM-modeled LINTL component has more correlation with the stratospheric O_3 impact than the CTM-modeled NAT component. This could result in the regression model adjusting LINTL upwards (i.e., inferred negative 455 bias) to add stratospheric O_3 . The NAT O_3 is then adjusted downwards (i.e., inferred positive bias) in the same locations because some of the effects of stratospheric O_3 are captured in the CTM-modeled NAT component but need to be offset because of the O_3 that was added to the LINTL component. This indicates a limitation of this method in that it is sensitive to correlation between modeled O_3 components.

460 Correlation of the O_3 components is a major confounding issue in this analysis. In interpreting the results, it is necessary to consider both the inferred biases and the correlation of the components together.



Figure 6. Annual average of inferred MDA8 O₃ model bias from Policy Assessment CMAQ simulations. Results are shown for 12 km (top row), 36 km (middle row), and 108 km (bottom row) horizontal resolutions. O₃ concentrations include total (BASE) O₃ as well as O₃ components from USA, NAT, LINTL, and CANMEX sources. Seasonal averages are provided in Figures S5-S7.

In the temporal trends of inferred BASE O₃ bias, the PA simulations show a consistent low bias in winter and spring and high bias in summer and fall which is consistent across model resolution scales (Figure 7). There is also a consistent high bias in USA O₃ in summer and fall in the eastern US which increases with coarser model resolution. Inferred bias in USA O₃ in the western US has some small seasonal variability but is near zero on average. The seasonal patterns of LINTL bias have the largest underestimate in the winter and spring and the smallest underestimate in late summer and early fall. The temporal trend of NAT differs in the 12 km simulation compared to the 36 km and 108 km simulations. In the 12 km simulation, NAT biases are higher in the middle of the year than in the beginning and end of the year. In the 36 km and 108 km simulations, the opposite is found. This change in sign is a result of changes in the spatial patterns of NAT inferred bias in different seasons. In the 12 km simulation, NAT is inferred to be biased low in the southern part of the US and biased high in the northern part of the US.

480 In the 36 km and 108 km simulations NAT is inferred to be biased low in the eastern US and mostly biased high in the western US, particularly in the Mountain West region. These spatial changes in the seasonal average NAT O₃ bias are enough to change the sign of the US average temporal bias trend. As described before, the offsetting negative LINTL bias and positive NAT bias in the high elevation areas of the western US are thought to be a result of the regression model allocating stratospheric O₃ bias to the LINTL signal while removing some stratospheric O₃ from the NAT signal. CANMEX O₃ biases are very small when averaged across the US since this source primarily affects border areas and only has small

impacts elsewhere.



490 Figure 7. Daily average of inferred MDA8 O₃ model bias from Policy Assessment CMAQ simulations averaged across US model grid cells in the eastern and western US. A longitude of 97 °W is used as the dividing line between east and west. PA O₃ concentrations include total (BASE) O₃ as well as O₃ components from USA, NAT, LINTL, and CANMEX sources. USB indicates the sum of biases for individual USB components.

495

The spatial results for the EQUATES 12 km simulations are shown for two O₃ split cases. One case splits USB O₃ to STRAT and non-STRAT sources while the other considers all USB O₃ together. Results show a mostly low bias inferred in BASE O₃ throughout most of the US for the 12 km simulation (Figure 8). For the 108 km H-CMAQ simulation there is a high bias in the eastern US and a low bias in the western US for BASE O₃. Like the PA results there is a high bias in USA O₃ in the eastern US that increases with coarser model resolution. The inferred low bias in the STRAT O₃ component indicates that there is too little stratospheric O₃ in the western US. There is an inferred high bias in STRAT O₃ in the eastern US. The STRAT O₃ results should be interpreted with some caution because the STRAT component comes from a chemically inert tracer. The STRAT O₃ and the lack of low biases in the non-STRAT USB O₃. The low biases in STRAT O₃ and the lack of low biases in the non-STRAT USB O₃.

In the case where USB O₃ is not split into STRAT and non-STRAT components, the 12 km and 108 km simulations both have low biases in USB O₃, but the magnitude of bias is greater in the 12 km simulation than in the 108 km simulation. This may be a result of differences in the impacts of stratospheric O₃ at the surface level in the H-CMAQ simulation compared to the continental-scale simulation. Differences in the estimation of stratospheric O₃ impacts may arise from differences in how the vertical structure of the model in the H-CMAQ simulations is configured compared to the continental simulations. The UTLS PV O₃ scaling is turned on during the H-CMAQ simulation. For the continental simulation, PV O₃ scaling is turned off because the continental model configuration uses fewer vertical layers and a coarser vertical resolution in the UTLS compared to the H-CMAQ simulations. The stratospheric O₃ influences in the continental simulation are only those influences that are inherited from

the lateral boundary conditions. Previous work indicates that O_3 in the upper layers of the continental-

scale model is driven mostly by horizontal advection of the lateral boundary conditions (Hogrefe et al.,

- ⁵²⁰ 2018), meaning that if stratospheric intrusion events are captured by the hemispheric-scale simulation, the effects of these events are also expected to be captured by the continental-scale simulation. However, a sensitivity test with UTLS PV O₃ scaling turned on during the continental simulation may be an area for future study. This would require the addition of more vertical layers with finer resolution in the UTLS in the continental simulation to support the PV O₃ scaling parameterization. The differences in vertical
- 525 structure of the hemispheric and continental simulations can affect the vertical mixing of stratospheric O₃ from upper layers down to the surface which may explain the differences in inferred bias of USB O₃. Alternatively, the differences in USB O₃ biases could also occur due to differences in O₃ production from local USB O₃ sources across model resolution scales and may not necessarily be affected by differences in stratospheric O₃.



Figure 8. Annual average of inferred MDA8 O₃ model bias from EQUATES CMAQ simulations. Results are shown for 12 km resolution (top and middle rows) and 108 km (bottom row). O₃ concentrations include total (BASE) O₃ as well as O₃ components from USA, USB_NOSTRAT, and STRAT sources for 12 km. For both the 12 km and 108 km simulations, O₃ concentrations of BASE, USA, and total USB are also shown. Seasonal averages are provided in Figures S8-S10.

535

540

For the EQUATES temporal results, BASE O_3 is biased low in the spring and high in the summer in the eastern US (Figure 9). In the western US, BASE O_3 is biased low throughout most of the year. Averaged across the US, bias is near zero in the summer and fall in the 12 km simulation with high biases in the 108 km simulation during the same period (+1 ppb in summer; +2 ppb in fall). The high biases in BASE O_3 in the eastern US are mostly due to high biases in the USA O_3 component which peak in the summer (average +1.4 and +6.0 ppb for the 12 and 108 km simulations) and continue to be biased high into the fall (average +0.8 and +2.2 ppb for the 12 and 108 km simulations). The STRAT O_3 component

- is inferred to be biased low except in the summer and early fall. In the western US, STRAT O₃ bias in the summer is near zero in the summer and fall while in the eastern US, STRAT O₃ is biased high in the summer and fall. The lowest biases in STRAT O₃ occur in the winter. The STRAT O₃ biases are partially offset by opposing biases in the non-STRAT USB O₃. The regression model formulation without the separate STRAT O₃ indicates that there is a low bias in USB O₃ throughout most of the year in the 12 km simulation which is at its lowest in the spring. The 108 km simulations show a low bias for USB O₃ in the spring and summer and high bias in the fall and winter.
- In the 12 km EOUATES simulations, the STRAT O₃ tracer averages 14 ppb in the western US during spring, with a maximum spring average across all western US grid cells of 17 ppb. Using the bias correction approach developed here, we find that the spring average STRAT O_3 in the western US is 555 biased low by 3.5 ppb, resulting in an adjusted (i.e., bias corrected) estimate of western US spring average STRAT O₃ of 17 ppb. Consistent with the low bias in stratospheric O₃ suggested here, other CTMs have estimated higher stratospheric O₃ contributions compared to those simulated here with CMAQ. The spring average of stratospheric O_3 contributions estimated with the AM3 model has been estimated at 20-25 ppb (Lin et al., 2012a; Langford et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2015). The AM3 estimates of stratospheric O_3 have sometimes been estimated to be biased high (Lin et al., 2012a) and have also been shown to lead to 560 overestimated springtime O₃ concentrations when used as boundary conditions for regional-scale CMAO simulations (Hogrefe et al., 2018) but at other times have been estimated to be relatively unbiased based on evaluation against observations from intensive field studies (Langford et al., 2015). The stratospheric O₃ contribution simulated by AM3 has been previously found to be higher than that of the GEOS-Chem global model (Fiore et al., 2014). Using GEOS-Chem, Zhang et al. (2014) found the spring mean 565 stratospheric O_3 influence in the Intermountain West to range from 8-10 ppb as estimated using the standard GEOS-Chem definition of stratospheric O₃ as described in Zhang et al. (2011) and, alternatively, found a spring mean of 12-18 ppb using a definition of stratospheric O_3 adopted from Lin et al. (2012a) (the same method used for the AM3 estimates reported here). Itahashi et al. (2020) previously found that

570 the stratospheric O₃ representation in CMAQ was biased low in the free troposphere and suggested that improvements were needed to the CMAQ representation of stratosphere to troposphere transport. Our bias adjusted estimate of western US spring mean stratospheric O₃ (17 ppb) falls in between the estimates from the default GEOS-Chem representation (8-10 ppb) and from AM3 (20-25 ppb). As these are seasonal averages, the values are more representative of the continual entrainment of stratospheric air into the 575 troposphere rather than episodic deep stratospheric intrusion events.



Figure 9. Daily average of inferred MDA8 O₃ model bias from EQUATES CMAQ simulations averaged across US model grid cells in the eastern and western US. A longitude of 97 °W is used as the dividing line between east and west. EQUATES O₃ concentrations include BASE O₃ as well as O₃ components from USA, USB_NOSTRAT, and STRAT sources for 12 km. For both the 12 km and 108 km simulations, O₃ concentrations of BASE, USA, and total USB are also shown. For the

case with multiple USB O_3 components, USB indicates the sum of biases for individual USB components.

585

3.4 CTM biases by O₃ concentration

The contributions and biases of different O_3 components have been presented so far as annual or seasonal averages (Figures 2-6 and 8) or as daily averages over US model grid cells (Figures 7 and 9). However, the relative contributions of O_3 components at different total O_3 concentrations is also of 590 interest. For example, the relative contribution of USA and USB O_3 to total O_3 may be different on days with higher total O_3 vs. days with lower total O_3 . Situations where O_3 exceeds the NAAOS, which is currently set at a level of 70 ppb, are of particular interest. We analyze the different O_3 components at O_3 monitoring sites under cases when O_3 is less than 60 ppb, between 60 and 70 ppb (inclusive), and greater than 70 ppb. These concentration bins are selected because they reflect the current level of the standard (70 ppb) as well as a potential range which might be considered as the level of the standard in the future 595 (60-70 ppb). We compare the results of the analysis when using both simulated and observed O_3 bins. Simulated O_3 has a positive bias on average when simulated O_3 is high and has a negative bias on average when observed O_3 is high, so selection bias influences these results. For this analysis, we consider the 12 km resolution simulations for the PA and EQUATES simulations. The 12 km simulations are the resolution that is typical for simulations that support regulatory analyses. Monitoring sites are split into 600 western or eastern US using a longitude of 97 °W as the dividing line. The division to western and eastern US is done because there are differences in the contribution of US anthropogenic vs. background contributions in the two parts of the country.

The impacts of the linear regression adjustment technique at the observation sites are examined by comparing the original simulated bias to the residual bias (i.e., the sum of the adjusted individual O_3 components minus observed O_3) (Figure 10). The change in bias from the original to residual bias is the inferred bias that has been referenced elsewhere. In all cases when O_3 is binned by simulated O_3 levels, the adjustment brings the bias closer to zero. In the eastern US, high biases at higher simulated O_3 levels were reduced for both the PA and EQUATES simulations. In the western US, low biases when simulated

- O₃ was below 60 ppb were brought closer to zero for both the PA and EQUATES simulations. At higher simulated O₃ levels, the PA simulations originally had high biases in the western US which were reduced in the adjusted results while the EQUATES simulations originally had low biases in the western US which were improved in the adjusted results. The effects on bias when binning by observed O₃ are mixed. In both the western and eastern US for both the PA and EQUATES simulations, the simulations were
- originally biased low at higher observed O₃ levels, with the EQUATES simulations more biased low than the PA simulations. The low bias is improved in the EQUATES simulations, but in the PA simulations the bias is either about the same or becomes more biased low. The inability of the adjustment to improve the bias across the range of both observed and simulated O₃ levels is a limitation of this technique. The fitting of multi-axis (lat, lon, season) linear correction factors (α_i) will be strongly influenced by the larger population of lower (O₃ < 70 ppb) concentrations and will only correct the upper end if the bias structure





Figure 10. Bias compared to MDA8 O₃ observations of original simulations (black) and residual bias (purple) obtained as the difference between adjusted MDA8 O₃ and observations for PA (top row) and EQUATES (bottom row) simulations. The horizontal line shows the median; the box shows the 25th-75th percentiles; the whiskers show the 5th and 95th percentiles. Grey vertical lines separate the boxplots for each MDA8 O₃ concentration bin. The numbers at the bottom of each panel are the number of data points falling within each concentration bin.

630

635

640

For the PA simulations, the contribution from USA O₃ tends to increase with higher simulated O₃ and with higher observed O₃ (Figure 11), indicating that domestic anthropogenic pollution is driving the highest O₃ concentrations. The contribution from USA O₃ is higher at eastern US sites than at western US sites due to higher anthropogenic precursor emissions in the east. There may also be impacts on USA O₃ in the eastern US from O₃ or precursor pollutants transported from the western to eastern US. The median USA O₃ contribution is biased high (+1 ppb in the western US; +4 ppb in the eastern US) when BASE O₃ is between 60 and 70 ppb with higher median biases (+2 ppb in the western US; +6 ppb in the eastern US) when BASE O₃ contribution is biased slightly low in the western US (-0.2 ppb) and biased high in the eastern US (+2 ppb). Bias is higher in the western US when observed O₃ exceeds 70 ppb (+1 ppb) but is about the same in the eastern US (+2 ppb). Inferred biases of USA O₃ are higher across the range of simulated

In the western US, NAT O₃ tends to be higher when either simulated or observed O₃ is greater than 60 ppb; however, the distribution of NAT O₃ when O₃ is above 70 ppb is similar to the distribution of NAT O₃ when O₃ is between 60 and 70 ppb. In the eastern US, the distribution of NAT O₃ is similar across the range of simulated and observed O₃ concentration bins but is slightly higher when O₃ is greater than 60 ppb. LINTL makes a small contribution to O₃ across concentration bins and tends to be lower as simulated or observed O₃ increases. CANMEX O₃ is typically very small and only makes significant contributions at a few near-border sites (not shown). The NAT and LINTL O₃ components are biased slightly low at monitoring sites in the western US. For western US sites, the sum of the median biases in USA and USB (i.e., NAT+LINTL+CANMEX) O₃ at monitoring sites is negative across the simulated and observed O₃ is predicted to be the main contributor to biases at high simulated O₃ when simulated O₃

and observed O₃ levels in the eastern US compared to the western US.

concentrations exceed 60 ppb. When the O₃ components are binned by observed O₃ rather than simulated
 O₃, the sum of the median biases in USA and USB O₃ at monitoring sites in the eastern US is negative
 across the range of simulated O₃ with USB O₃ becoming less negatively biased as observed O₃ increases
 and USA O₃ becoming more positively biased as observed O₃ increases.



660



For the 12 km EQUATES simulations, the USA O₃ contribution is similar to the 12 km PA results across the simulated O₃ concentration bins (Figure 12). At higher observed O₃, the EQUATES simulations generally simulate lower USA O₃ compared to the PA simulations. Like in the PA simulations, the USA O₃ contribution increases with increasing simulated and observed O₃, meaning that domestic anthropogenic emissions are mostly driving the highest O_3 levels. There is an inferred negative bias in USA O_3 in the western US which becomes increasingly more negative as simulated or observed O_3

- increases. In the eastern US, there is an inferred positive bias in USA O₃ which becomes larger at higher simulated O₃ concentrations (median bias of +0.05, +2, +4 ppb at <60, 60-70, and >70 ppb simulated O₃). There is also an inferred high bias across the range of observed O₃; however, the magnitude is smaller, and the bias does not increase much at higher levels of observed O₃ (median bias of +0.05, +0.5, and +0.6 ppb at <60, 60-70, and >70 ppb observed O₃).
- The contribution from STRAT O_3 is higher in the western US than in the eastern US across simulated and observed O_3 concentrations. In the western US, STRAT tends to be higher when either observed or simulated is above 60 ppb. In the eastern US, STRAT O_3 is at similar levels across the range of simulated and observed O_3 . In the western US, STRAT O_3 has a negative bias which gets closer to zero when simulated and observed O_3 is above 60 ppb. In the eastern US, STRAT O_3 has a positive bias which
- gets higher when simulated and observed O₃ are above 60 ppb. In both the western and eastern US, USB_NOSTRAT makes similar contributions across different O₃ concentrations. In the western US, USB_NOSTRAT has a negative bias when simulated or observed O₃ is below 60 ppb and a positive bias when O₃ is above 60 ppb. In the eastern US, USB_NOSTRAT has a negative bias across the range of simulated and observed O₃. The magnitude of the negative bias is smaller when simulated or observed O₃
 690 is below 60 ppb than when O₃ is above 60 ppb.



Figure 12. Contributions to MDA8 O₃ by the EOUATES simulation (top row) and inferred biases 695 (bottom row) of USA, USB NOSTRAT, and STRAT separated by both observed and simulated BASE MDA8 O₃ concentration at O₃ monitoring sites. The sum of USB NOSTRAT and STRAT is shown as USB. The line shows the median; the box shows the 25th-75th percentiles; the whiskers show the 5th and 95th percentiles. Grev vertical lines separate the boxplots for each MDA8 O₃ concentration bin. The numbers in the bottom row of panels are the number of data points falling within each concentration bin. 700

Binning the O₃ contributions and inferred biases by observed and simulated O₃ results in different numbers of data points in each sample. In the western US, there were 4145 instances when observed O_3 exceeded 70 ppb, while there were 3302 (PA) and 627 (EQUATES) instances when simulated O_3 exceeded 70 ppb at a monitoring site, with a large fraction of the observed and simulated exceedances 705 occurring in California. In the eastern US there were 2135 instances when observed O_3 exceeded 70 ppb with 2901 (PA) and 556 (EQUATES) instances when simulated O₃ exceeded 70 ppb. The PA simulations more accurately simulated the number of exceedances compared to EQUATES, though this does not consider the timing or location of exceedances. Given the different number of samples in the observed vs. simulated bins and the lower number of data points for EQUATES simulated O_3 exceeding 70 ppb, it 710

is possible that the population of data points are when simulated O_3 exceeds 70 ppb are not spatially representative of the population when observed O_3 exceeds 70 ppb.

For the western US, the PA simulations largely capture the spatial distribution of exceedances seen in the observations, although the number of exceedances is underestimated (Figure 13). The exceedances from the EOUATES simulations are not very representative of the spatial distribution of 715 observed exceedances in the western US as there are very few sites with more than one or two exceedances outside of California. In particular, the number of exceedances in the Denver, Colorado; Phoenix, Arizona; Las Vegas, Nevada; and Boise, Idaho; areas are underestimated in EQUATES relative to both the PA simulations and observations. Both the PA and EQUATES simulations underestimate the number of exceedances in the state of Utah. For the eastern US, the PA simulations generally capture the spatial 720 distribution of observed exceedances but simulate too many exceedances. This is particularly notable in the northeastern US and along the Gulf Coast. The EQUATES simulations underestimate the number of exceedances, although the spatial distribution is generally similar to the observations. The degree of spatial representativeness provides additional context for interpreting the findings for the O_3 component contributions and biases binned by O_3 levels. For the western US, the findings for instances when O_3 725 exceeds 70 ppb are not applicable to the western US more broadly. There are a limited number of instances when O₃ exceeds 70 ppb in the western US outside of California. These results are mostly indicative of conditions in the Los Angeles area and in the Central Valley in California. This applies especially to the EQUATES results, but it is also the case for the PA simulations and the observations. For the eastern US, on the other hand, there is enough spatial variability in the observations as well as both sets of simulations 730 to interpret the findings for the eastern US more generally. These results are informative in an average sense but are not expected to hold in all cases when applied to specific monitoring sites or to specific days (e.g., fourth highest O_3). The biases for bins 60-70 ppb and greater than 70 ppb should be interpreted with caution because the inferred biases apply the mean tendency to these high concentration subpopulations.



Figure 13. Spatial distribution of the number of times MDA8 O₃ exceeded 70 ppb for observed and simulated O₃. The circles show the locations of sites, and the color indicates the number of times MDA8 O₃ exceeds 70 ppb at each site for observations (left), PA 12 km simulation (middle), and EQUATES 12 km simulation (right). Only sites with at least one exceedance are shown. The black dotted line shows the longitude of 97° W which is used to divide west and east. Similar results for other model resolutions are shown in Figure S11.

4 Conclusions

740

In this work, we use two sets of CMAQ simulations to analyze the contributions to USB O₃ from different sources. Naturally occurring sources, long-range international anthropogenic pollution, and short-range international anthropogenic pollution from Canada and Mexico are separately considered for one set of simulations. In the other set of simulations, stratospheric and non-stratospheric sources of USB O₃ are also considered separately. We also consider the contribution to total O₃ from US domestic anthropogenic sources. The measurement-model data fusion approach for apportioning bias to USA and USB O₃ components from our previous study (Skipper et al., 2021) was extended to identify biases in separate USB O₃ components. The results generally confirm previous high-level results, but provide new insights from additional components and more detailed analysis.

Results indicated that USA O₃ was consistently inferred to be biased high in the eastern US where domestic anthropogenic emissions are the dominant contributor to total O₃, with increasingly higher

biases with coarser model resolution and at higher simulated O₃ concentrations. This is consistent with our previous findings. This does not necessarily imply that the trend of decreasing biases with finer resolutions would continue at resolutions finer than 12 km as we have not tested this approach at those resolutions. As noted in Section 3.3, previous modeling studies examining the effects of horizontal resolution have found that O₃ increased over urban areas with finer resolution, so the findings for the 760 effects of model resolution should be taken to apply our current results rather than as a general finding on the impacts of model resolution. Our finding that USA O_3 biases increase with higher O_3 does not hold when O_3 is binned by observed rather than simulated concentrations. There is much less variation in the USA O_3 bias across the range of observed O_3 than for simulated O_3 . Although the choice of binning O_3 by observed or simulated levels changes the sample of data, the results for the eastern US are generalizable 765 to this part of the country because the samples have consistent spatial representation across the eastern US. In the western US, USA O_3 was inferred to be biased high at higher O_3 levels for the PA simulations and biased low at higher O_3 levels for the EQUATES simulations. These differences are explained by the use of different emission inventories in the two sets of simulations. Regardless, the findings for inferred O₃ biases at higher O₃ levels in the western US are not broadly applicable to the entire western US because 770 the sample that these findings are based on is dominated by sites in California. There are relatively few

sites in other states in the western US that contribute to this sample, so the results are not likely to be indicative of conditions in other parts of the western US. The correction of USB components provided consistent results with previous studies, but more detail. Like Skipper et al. (2021) and Hosseinpour et al. (2024), simulated USB O₃ was inferred to be biased slightly low overall. The original simulated annual averages of USB O₃ across all the PA and EQUATES modeling configurations considered here ranged

from 30-33 ppb while the adjusted annual average USB O_3 ranged from 31-34 ppb. This work separated USB into natural, short-range international, and long-range international and each had distinct seasonality to the inferred bias. Short-range international was marginally high-biased in spring/winter and marginally

10w-biased in summer. The contribution from natural and long-range international have larger seasonality, which are slightly out of phase. Natural bias was low in winter, but high in summer peaking in July. Longrange international was consistently low-biased with a minimum in April and a maximum (near unbiased) in August-September. From May to October, the natural and long-range international biases are largely offsetting while they are reinforcing in other parts of the year.

- The seasonality of inferred long-range international bias highlights a key uncertainty in correlative 785 bias attribution. The biases associated with long-range international may be misattributed due to the difficulty of the regression model formulation to isolate stratospheric influences from other natural sources. Stratospheric O_3 is expected to have similar temporal and spatial patterns to LINTL, with contributions being higher in spring and at high elevations. It is suspected that the regression model formulation may be assigning a negative bias in LINTL to make up for missing stratospheric O_3 that has 790
- a similar pattern to LINTL while at the same time assigning a high bias for NAT to reallocate some of stratospheric O₃ that is present in NAT to LINTL instead. Results for the STRAT O₃ tracer in the second set of simulations support the idea that there is missing stratospheric O_3 at the surface level in the western US as the STRAT O_3 is inferred to be biased low. Taken together, there is an overall low bias in the 795 simulated USB O_3 that is most pronounced in the spring. This may be a result of too little stratospheric O_3 reaching the surface. Photolysis of particulate nitrate over oceans has been found to increase O_3 (Shah
- et al., 2023; Sarwar et al., 2024). This process is not included in the chemical mechanism which could contribute to low biases in O_3 during the same time of year. The potential for misattribution is not specific to the methods employed here but is inherent to correlative bias approaches with incomplete information contained in independent variables. 800

Analysis of the original bias and residual bias emphasize the importance of subpopulation diversity. The correction factors are optimized for the whole population and can degrade performance at any subpopulation (e.g., a site, a day, or a subgroup). For example, in the western US, the PA simulation was originally high-biased for days with high predictions and low-biased for days with high observations (>70 ppb). The overall correction was downward for both populations because they are generally 805 consistent spatially and seasonally. This means that the "corrected" model has more bias on days with high observations in the western US than the "uncorrected." This is not unexpected but highlights that correlative adjustments should be considered as broad conclusions and should only be cautiously applied more narrowly (e.g., specific monitors or days). This is a limitation of the linear formulation as noted by Hosseinpour et al. (2024). 810

This work has focused only on surface O_3 . We are not able to draw a conclusion as to whether the potential lack of stratospheric O_3 is a result of biases in the UTLS PV scaling in the upper layers or from errors in vertical transport from upper layers to the surface. More detailed studies that analyze the entire vertical structure, such as a recent study of CMAQ stratospheric O_3 by Itahashi et al. (2020), are needed

- to identify the exact causes and solutions for the surface biases identified here. Another potential area for future work is to separate stratospheric O_3 from natural sources in a set of simulations like those conducted for the O_3 Policy Assessment. This might solve the suspected issue of bias in stratospheric O_3 being allocated to long-range international emissions that may be caused by the correlation of stratospheric O_3 and long-range international impacts. While details on the spatial and temporal characteristics of biases
- ⁸²⁰ in different O_3 components are provided here, the correlational bias attribution method employed here does not necessarily identify the specific factors that drive the biases. These results provide estimates of potential biases in USB and USA O_3 that can inform more targeted future work examining the individual sources in greater detail. Additional future work could take a process-oriented approach rather than the source-oriented approach described here. A process-oriented approach would focus on how different
- 825 physical and chemical processes (deposition, transport, photochemical activity, etc.) relate to biases in O₃ simulations. A further area for future work is to apply the data fusion bias correction method to an ensemble of USB O₃ estimates from different models. This work has only used the CMAQ model. A test of the method would be to apply it to several different models to determine whether it is able to reduce the uncertainty of USB O₃ estimates while also reducing bias in total O₃.

830 Acknowledgements

TNS and AGR received funding from the Phillips 66 Company. AGR also received funding from NASA HAQAST. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the view or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. We thank Benjamin Murphy and Sergey Napelenok for their comments on a draft version of the paper.

835 Code and data availability

The CMAQ source code is available from GitHub (<u>https://github.com/USEPA/CMAQ</u>) and Zenodo (<u>https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.1079878</u>). O₃ observational data are available via the AQS website (<u>https://www.epa.gov/aqs</u>).

Author contributions

840 TNS: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, software, visualization, writing – original draft. CH: data curation, software, writing – review and editing. BHH: data curation, software, writing – review and editing. RM: software, writing – review and editing. KMF: data curation, software, writing – review and editing. AGR: conceptualization, methodology, resources, supervision, writing – review and editing.

Competing interests

845 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

850

Appel, K. W., Bash, J. O., Fahey, K. M., Foley, K. M., Gilliam, R. C., Hogrefe, C., Hutzell, W. T., Kang, D., Mathur, R., Murphy, B. N., Napelenok, S. L., Nolte, C. G., Pleim, J. E., Pouliot, G. A., Pye, H. O. T., Ran, L., Roselle, S. J., Sarwar, G., Schwede, D. B., Sidi, F. I., Spero, T. L., and Wong, D. C.: The Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model versions 5.3 and 5.3.1: system updates and evaluation, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 2867-2897, 10.5194/gmd-14-2867-2021, 2021.

Bash, J. O., Baker, K. R., and Beaver, M. R.: Evaluation of improved land use and canopy representation in BEIS v3.61 with biogenic VOC measurements in California, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 2191-2207, 10.5194/gmd-9-2191-2016, 2016.

CAMS: Soil N emissions for 2000-present, D81.3.6.1 (<u>https://atmosphere.copernicus.eu/sites/default/files/2019-11/25_CAMS81_2017SC1_D81.3.6.1-201810_APPROVED_Ver1.pdf</u>), 2018.

855 Dentener, F., Keating, T., and Akimoto, H. (Eds.): Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution 2010, Part A: Ozone and Particulate Matter. Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution., Air Pollution Studies, No. 17 Geneva: United Nations Economic Commission for Europe., https://doi.org/10.18356/2c908168-en, 2010. Dolwick, P., Akhtar, F., Baker, K. R., Possiel, N., Simon, H., and Tonnesen, G.: Comparison of background ozone estimates over the western United States based on two separate model methodologies, Atmospheric Environment, 109, 282-296, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.005, 2015.

860

865

880

Fiore, A., Jacob, D. J., Liu, H., Yantosca, R. M., Fairlie, T. D., and Li, Q.: Variability in surface ozone background over the United States: Implications for air quality policy, 108, 10.1029/2003jd003855, 2003.

Fiore, A. M., Oberman, J. T., Lin, M. Y., Zhang, L., Clifton, O. E., Jacob, D. J., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., Pinto, J. P., and Milly, G. P.: Estimating North American background ozone in U.S. surface air with two independent global models: Variability, uncertainties, and recommendations, Atmospheric Environment, 96, 284-300, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.045, 2014.

Foley, K., Pouliot, G., Eyth, A., Possiel, N., Aldridge, M., Allen, C., Appel, W., Bash, J., Beardsley, M., Beidler, J., Choi, D., Eder, B., Farkas, C., Gilliam, R., Godfrey, J., Henderson, B., Hogrefe, C., Koplitz, S., Mason, R., Mathur, R., Misenis, C., Pye, H., Reynolds, L., Roark, M., Roberts, S., Schwede, D., Seltzer, K., Sonntag, D., Talgo, K., Toro, C., and Vukovich, J.: EQUATES: EPA's Air QUAlity TimE Series Project, 19th Annual CMAS Conference 2020.

- Foley, K. M., Pouliot, G. A., Eyth, A., Aldridge, M. F., Allen, C., Appel, K. W., Bash, J. O., Beardsley, M., Beidler, J., Choi, D., Farkas, C., Gilliam, R. C., Godfrey, J., Henderson, B. H., Hogrefe, C., Koplitz, S. N., Mason, R., Mathur, R., Misenis, C., Possiel, N., Pye, H. O. T., Reynolds, L., Roark, M., Roberts, S., Schwede, D. B., Seltzer, K. M., Sonntag, D., Talgo, K., Toro, C., Vukovich, J., Xing, J., and Adams, E.: 2002–2017 anthropogenic emissions data for air quality modeling over the United States, Data in Brief, 109022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2023.109022, 2023.
- 875 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 1471-1492, 10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.

Guo, J. J., Fiore, A. M., Murray, L. T., Jaffe, D. A., Schnell, J. L., Moore, C. T., and Milly, G. P.: Average versus high surface ozone levels over the continental USA: model bias, background influences, and interannual variability, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 12123-12140, 10.5194/acp-18-12123-2018, 2018.

Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J. I., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke, P. R., and Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 369-408, 10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018. 885 Hogrefe, C., Liu, P., Pouliot, G., Mathur, R., Roselle, S., Flemming, J., Lin, M., and Park, R. J.: Impacts of different characterizations of large-scale background on simulated regional-scale ozone over the continental United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 3839-3864, 10.5194/acp-18-3839-2018, 2018.

Hosseinpour, F., Kumar, N., Tran, T., and Knipping, E.: Using machine learning to improve the estimate of U.S. background ozone, Atmospheric Environment, 316, 120145, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120145</u>, 2024.

890 Huang, M., Bowman, K. W., Carmichael, G. R., Lee, M., Chai, T., Spak, S. N., Henze, D. K., Darmenov, A. S., and da Silva, A. M.: Improved western U.S. background ozone estimates via constraining nonlocal and local source contributions using Aura TES and OMI observations, 120, 3572-3592, 10.1002/2014jd022993, 2015.

Itahashi, S., Mathur, R., Hogrefe, C., and Zhang, Y.: Modeling stratospheric intrusion and trans-Pacific transport on tropospheric ozone using hemispheric CMAQ during April 2010 – Part 1: Model evaluation and air mass characterization for stratosphere–troposphere transport, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 3373-3396, 10.5194/acp-20-3373-2020, 2020.

895

Jaffe, D. A., Wigder, N., Downey, N., Pfister, G., Boynard, A., and Reid, S. B.: Impact of Wildfires on Ozone Exceptional Events in the Western U.S, Environmental Science & Technology, 47, 11065-11072, 10.1021/es402164f, 2013.

 Jaffe, D. A., Cooper, O. R., Fiore, A. M., Henderson, B. H., Tonnesen, G. S., Russell, A. G., Henze, D. K., Langford, A. O., Lin, M. Y., and Moore, T.: Scientific assessment of background ozone over the US: Implications for air quality management, Elementa-Sci. Anthrop., 6, 30, 10.1525/elementa.309, 2018.

Janssens-Maenhout, G., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Dentener, F., Muntean, M., Pouliot, G., Keating, T., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J., Wankmüller, R., Denier van der Gon, H., Kuenen, J. J. P., Klimont, Z., Frost, G., Darras, S., Koffi, B., and Li, M.: HTAP_v2.2: a mosaic of regional and global emission grid maps for 2008 and 2010 to study hemispheric transport of air pollution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11411-11432, 10.5194/acp-15-11411-2015, 2015.

905 Kang, D., Pickering, K. E., Allen, D. J., Foley, K. M., Wong, D. C., Mathur, R., and Roselle, S. J.: Simulating lightning NO production in CMAQv5.2: evolution of scientific updates, Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 3071-3083, 10.5194/gmd-12-3071-2019, 2019.

Langford, A. O., Senff, C. J., Alvarez, R. J., Brioude, J., Cooper, O. R., Holloway, J. S., Lin, M. Y., Marchbanks, R. D., Pierce, R. B., Sandberg, S. P., Weickmann, A. M., and Williams, E. J.: An overview of the 2013 Las Vegas Ozone Study (LVOS): Impact of stratospheric intrusions and long-range transport on surface air quality, Atmospheric Environment, 109. 305-322, 910 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.08.040, 2015.

Langford, A. O., Senff, C. J., Alvarez II, R. J., Aikin, K. C., Ahmadov, R., Angevine, W. M., Baidar, S., Brewer, W. A., Brown, S. S., James, E. P., McCarty, B. J., Sandberg, S. P., and Zucker, M. L.: Were Wildfires Responsible for the Unusually High Surface Ozone in Colorado During 2021?, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 128, e2022JD037700, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037700</u>, 2023.

Lin, M., Horowitz, L. W., Payton, R., Fiore, A. M., and Tonnesen, G.: US surface ozone trends and extremes from 1980 to 2014: quantifying
the roles of rising Asian emissions, domestic controls, wildfires, and climate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2943-2970, 10.5194/acp-17-2943-2017, 2017.

Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Langford, A. O., Oltmans, S. J., Tarasick, D., and Rieder, H. E.: Climate variability modulates western US ozone air quality in spring via deep stratospheric intrusions, Nature Communications, 6, 7105, 10.1038/ncomms8105, 2015.

Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Cooper, O. R., Horowitz, L. W., Langford, A. O., Levy II, H., Johnson, B. J., Naik, V., Oltmans, S. J., and Senff, C.

920 J.: Springtime high surface ozone events over the western United States: Quantifying the role of stratospheric intrusions, 117, 10.1029/2012jd018151, 2012a.

Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Cooper, O. R., Naik, V., Holloway, J., Johnson, B. J., Middlebrook, A. M., Oltmans, S. J., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Warner, J. X., Wiedinmyer, C., Wilson, J., and Wyman, B.: Transport of Asian ozone pollution into surface air over the western United States in spring, 117, 10.1029/2011jd016961, 2012b.

925 Lin, M., Horowitz, L. W., Zhao, M., Harris, L., Ginoux, P., Dunne, J., Malyshev, S., Shevliakova, E., Ahsan, H., Garner, S., Paulot, F., Pouyaei, A., Smith, S. J., Xie, Y., Zadeh, N., and Zhou, L.: The GFDL Variable-Resolution Global Chemistry-Climate Model for Research at the Nexus of US Climate and Air Quality Extremes, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 16, e2023MS003984, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023MS003984, 2024.

Liu, S. C., Trainer, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Parrish, D. D., Williams, E. J., Fahey, D. W., Hübler, G., and Murphy, P. C.: Ozone production in
 the rural troposphere and the implications for regional and global ozone distributions, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 92, 4191-4207, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD092iD04p04191, 1987.

Mathur, R., Kang, D., Napelenok, S. L., Xing, J., Hogrefe, C., Sarwar, G., Itahashi, S., and Henderson, B. H.: How Have Divergent Global Emission Trends Influenced Long-Range Transported Ozone to North America?, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 127, e2022JD036926, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD036926</u>, 2022.

935 Mathur, R., Xing, J., Gilliam, R., Sarwar, G., Hogrefe, C., Pleim, J., Pouliot, G., Roselle, S., Spero, T. L., Wong, D. C., and Young, J.: Extending the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) Modeling System to Hemispheric Scales: Overview of Process Considerations and Initial Applications, Atmos Chem Phys, 17, 12449-12474, 10.5194/acp-17-12449-2017, 2017. McDonald-Buller, E. C., Allen, D. T., Brown, N., Jacob, D. J., Jaffe, D., Kolb, C. E., Lefohn, A. S., Oltmans, S., Parrish, D. D., Yarwood, G., and Zhang, L.: Establishing Policy Relevant Background (PRB) Ozone Concentrations in the United States, Environmental Science & Technology. 45, 9484-9497, 10.1021/es2022818, 2011.

940

965

Murphy, B. N., Woody, M. C., Jimenez, J. L., Carlton, A. M. G., Hayes, P. L., Liu, S., Ng, N. L., Russell, L. M., Setyan, A., Xu, L., Young, J., Zaveri, R. A., Zhang, Q., and Pye, H. O. T.: Semivolatile POA and parameterized total combustion SOA in CMAQv5.2: impacts on source strength and partitioning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 11107-11133, 10.5194/acp-17-11107-2017, 2017.

Price, C., Penner, J., and Prather, M.: NOx from lightning: 1. Global distribution based on lightning physics, Journal of Geophysical
Research: Atmospheres, 102, 5929-5941, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/96JD03504</u>, 1997.

Pye, H. O. T., D'Ambro, E. L., Lee, B. H., Schobesberger, S., Takeuchi, M., Zhao, Y., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Liu, J., Shilling, J. E., Xing, J., Mathur, R., Middlebrook, A. M., Liao, J., Welti, A., Graus, M., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Holloway, J. S., Ryerson, T. B., Pollack, I. B., and Thornton, J. A.: Anthropogenic enhancements to production of highly oxygenated molecules from autoxidation, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 6641-6646, doi:10.1073/pnas.1810774116, 2019.

950 Qin, M., Murphy, B. N., Isaacs, K. K., McDonald, B. C., Lu, Q., McKeen, S. A., Koval, L., Robinson, A. L., Efstathiou, C., Allen, C., and Pye, H. O. T.: Criteria pollutant impacts of volatile chemical products informed by near-field modelling, Nature Sustainability, 4, 129-137, 10.1038/s41893-020-00614-1, 2021.

Rickly, P. S., Coggon, M. M., Aikin, K. C., Alvarez, R. J., II, Baidar, S., Gilman, J. B., Gkatzelis, G. I., Harkins, C., He, J., Lamplugh, A., Langford, A. O., McDonald, B. C., Peischl, J., Robinson, M. A., Rollins, A. W., Schwantes, R. H., Senff, C. J., Warneke, C., and Brown, S.
S.: Influence of Wildfire on Urban Ozone: An Observationally Constrained Box Modeling Study at a Site in the Colorado Front Range, Environmental Science & Technology, 57, 1257-1267, 10.1021/acs.est.2c06157, 2023.

Sarwar, G., Hogrefe, C., Henderson, B. H., Mathur, R., Gilliam, R., Callaghan, A. B., Lee, J., and Carpenter, L. J.: Impact of particulate nitrate photolysis on air quality over the Northern Hemisphere, Science of The Total Environment, 917, 170406, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170406, 2024.

960 Sarwar, G., Gantt, B., Foley, K., Fahey, K., Spero, T. L., Kang, D., Mathur, R., Foroutan, H., Xing, J., Sherwen, T., and Saiz-Lopez, A.: Influence of bromine and iodine chemistry on annual, seasonal, diurnal, and background ozone: CMAQ simulations over the Northern Hemisphere, Atmospheric Environment, 213, 395-404, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.06.020</u>, 2019.

Schwantes, R. H., Lacey, F. G., Tilmes, S., Emmons, L. K., Lauritzen, P. H., Walters, S., Callaghan, P., Zarzycki, C. M., Barth, M. C., Jo,
D. S., Bacmeister, J. T., Neale, R. B., Vitt, F., Kluzek, E., Roozitalab, B., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Warneke, C., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B.,
Flocke, F., Wolfe, G. M., Hanisco, T. F., Keutsch, F. N., Kaiser, J., Bui, T. P. V., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Apel, E. C., Hornbrook,

R. S., Hills, A. J., Yuan, B., and Wisthaler, A.: Evaluating the Impact of Chemical Complexity and Horizontal Resolution on Tropospheric Ozone Over the Conterminous US With a Global Variable Resolution Chemistry Model, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 14, e2021MS002889, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002889</u>, 2022.

Shah, V., Jacob, D. J., Dang, R., Lamsal, L. N., Strode, S. A., Steenrod, S. D., Boersma, K. F., Eastham, S. D., Fritz, T. M., Thompson, C.,
Peischl, J., Bourgeois, I., Pollack, I. B., Nault, B. A., Cohen, R. C., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., Andersen, S. T., Carpenter, L. J.,
Sherwen, T., and Evans, M. J.: Nitrogen oxides in the free troposphere: implications for tropospheric oxidants and the interpretation of satellite NO2 measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 1227-1257, 10.5194/acp-23-1227-2023, 2023.

Sindelarova, K., Granier, C., Bouarar, I., Guenther, A., Tilmes, S., Stavrakou, T., Müller, J. F., Kuhn, U., Stefani, P., and Knorr, W.: Global data set of biogenic VOC emissions calculated by the MEGAN model over the last 30 years, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 9317-9341, 10.5194/acp-14-9317-2014, 2014.

975

Skipper, T. N., Hu, Y., Odman, M. T., Henderson, B. H., Hogrefe, C., Mathur, R., and Russell, A. G.: Estimating US Background Ozone Using Data Fusion, Environmental Science & Technology, 55, 4504-4512, 10.1021/acs.est.0c08625, 2021.

US EPA: Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) of Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants (Final Report, Feb 2013). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, EPA/600/R-10/076F, 2013.

980 US EPA: Policy Assessment for the Review of the Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, EPA-452/R-14/006., 2014.

US EPA: Technical Support Document (TSD) Preparation of Emissions Inventories for the Version 7.1 2016 Hemispheric Emissions Modeling Platform, 2019a.

US EPA: Technical Support Document (TSD) Preparation of Emissions Inventories for the Version 7.1 2016 North American Emissions 985 Modeling Platform, 2019b.

US EPA: Policy Assessment for the Review of the Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, EPA-452/R-20-001, 2020a.

US EPA: Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants (Final Report). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC. EPA/600/R-20/012, 2020b.

990 Wang, H., Jacob, D. J., Le Sager, P., Streets, D. G., Park, R. J., Gilliland, A. B., and van Donkelaar, A.: Surface ozone background in the United States: Canadian and Mexican pollution influences, Atmospheric Environment, 43, 1310-1319, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.11.036, 2009.

Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J. A., Orlando, J. J., and Soja, A. J.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a high resolution global model to estimate the emissions from open burning, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 625-641, 10.5194/gmd4-625-2011, 2011.

Wilkins, J. L., Pouliot, G., Pierce, T., Soja, A., Choi, H., Gargulinski, E., Gilliam, R., Vukovich, J., and Landis, M. S.: An evaluation of empirical and statistically based smoke plume injection height parametrisations used within air quality models, International Journal of Wildland Fire, 31, 193-211, <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/WF20140</u>, 2022.

Wu, S., Duncan, B. N., Jacob, D. J., Fiore, A. M., and Wild, O.: Chemical nonlinearities in relating intercontinental ozone pollution to anthropogenic emissions, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL036607</u>, 2009.

Xing, J., Mathur, R., Pleim, J., Hogrefe, C., Wang, J., Gan, C. M., Sarwar, G., Wong, D. C., and McKeen, S.: Representing the effects of stratosphere–troposphere exchange on 3-D O3 distributions in chemistry transport models using a potential vorticity-based parameterization, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 10865-10877, 10.5194/acp-16-10865-2016, 2016.

Yienger, J. J. and Levy, H.: Empirical model of global soil-biogenic NOχ emissions, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 100,
 11447-11464, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD00370</u>, 1995.

Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Yue, X., Downey, N. V., Wood, D. A., and Blewitt, D.: Sources contributing to background surface ozone in the US Intermountain West, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5295-5309, 10.5194/acp-14-5295-2014, 2014.

Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Downey, N. V., Wood, D. A., Blewitt, D., Carouge, C. C., van Donkelaar, A., Jones, D. B. A., Murray, L. T., and Wang, Y. X.: Improved estimate of the policy-relevant background ozone in the United States using the GEOS-Chem global model with 1/2

1010 degrees x 2/3 degrees horizontal resolution over North America, Atmospheric Environment, 45, 6769-6776, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.054, 2011.

Zhao, B., Zheng, H., Wang, S., Smith, K. R., Lu, X., Aunan, K., Gu, Y., Wang, Y., Ding, D., Xing, J., Fu, X., Yang, X., Liou, K.-N., and Hao, J.: Change in household fuels dominates the decrease in PM2.5 exposure and premature mortality in China in 2005–2015, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115, 12401-12406, 10.1073/pnas.1812955115, 2018.