# Tropical upper tropospheric trends in ozone and carbon monoxide (2005–2020): observational and model results

3 Lucien Froidevaux<sup>1</sup>, Douglas E. Kinnison<sup>2</sup>, Benjamin Gaubert<sup>2</sup>, Michael J. Schwartz<sup>1</sup>, Nathaniel

4 J. Livesey<sup>1</sup>, William G. Read<sup>1</sup>, Charles G. Bardeen<sup>2</sup>, Jerry R. Ziemke<sup>3,4</sup>, and Ryan A. Fuller<sup>1</sup>

<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA

<sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup>NSF National Center for Atmospheric Research (NSF NCAR), Boulder, Colorado, USA

7 <sup>3</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

<sup>8</sup> <sup>4</sup>Goddard Earth Sciences Technology and Research (GESTAR)/Morgan State University,

9 Baltimore, MD, USA

10 *Correspondence to*: Lucien Froidevaux (lucienf@jpl.nasa.gov)

Abstract. We analyze tropical ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO) distributions in the upper troposphere (UT) and their temporal changes for 2005–2020 using Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) observations and chemistry climate model simulations. The simulations are from the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM6) and two variants of the Community Atmosphere Model with Chemistry (CAM-chem), each variant using different anthropogenic emissions for CO. Upper tropospheric trends and variability diagnostics are obtained from multiple linear regression analyses.

18 We compare the model and MLS annual climatologies, focusing on 147 and 215 hPa pressure 19 levels; the model abundances are typically ~5–15% smaller than MLS O<sub>3</sub> at 215 hPa, but larger 20 than the MLS values at 147 hPa by  $\sim 20\%$ . MLS O<sub>3</sub> has an averaged UT zonal mean trend at  $20^{\circ}$ S–  $20^{\circ}$ N of  $+0.39 \pm 0.28 \text{ %yr}^{-1}$ ; the WACCM simulation (WACCM-CEDS) and both CAM-chem 21 22 simulations have similar trends, although the WACCM-CEDS result is somewhat smaller. Our analyses for specific latitude/longitude bins yield positive O<sub>3</sub> trends up to 1.4 % yr<sup>-1</sup> over Indonesia 23 24 and East of that region, as well as over tropical Africa and the tropical Atlantic. Positive tropical 25 UT mapped O<sub>3</sub> trends are generally captured by the model simulations, although in a more muted 26 way. We find broad similarities (and some differences) between the mapped MLS-derived UT O<sub>3</sub> 27 trends and corresponding mapped trends of tropospheric column ozone.

Regarding UT CO, the model climatologies generally show an underestimate versus the MLS climatology, with model average biases usually about -10% to -20%. Also, in the northern hemisphere tropics, we find significantly poorer model fits to the observed phasing of CO seasonal changes at 215 hPa than at 147 hPa. This discrepancy is much smaller for the comparison of

32 modeled and Measurements of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) V9J CO columns. We also 33 find that the sensitivity of UT CO to El Niño / Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is positive at all 34 tropical longitudes, in contrast to the dipolar longitudinal structure that exists for UT O<sub>3</sub> ENSO sensitivity. The MLS zonal mean CO UT trend is  $-0.25 \pm 0.30$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the corresponding 35 model CO trends are close to zero  $(0.0 \pm 0.14 \text{ %yr}^{-1})$  when the anthropogenic emissions used in 36 37 CAM-chem and WACCM are taken from Community Emissions Data System (CEDS) version 2. 38 The non-CEDS version of CAM-chem (the CAM-chem-CAMS simulation) yields averaged CO 39 UT trends of  $0.22 \pm 0.19$  %yr<sup>-1</sup>, in contrast to the negative tendencies prevalent in the MLS CO 40 trends throughout the tropics. The negative MLS tropical UT CO trends for 2005–2020 agree with 41 (but tend to be smaller in magnitude than) previously published total column CO trends.

42 The MLS-derived upper tropospheric tropical trends in O<sub>3</sub> and CO arise from a well-sampled 43 multi-year data set, with the results showing a first-order correlation to large-scale changes in 44 lower tropospheric composition (O<sub>3</sub> increases and CO decreases). We find that there are 45 similarities (and a few differences) between the measured UT trends and corresponding results 46 from model simulations, which incorporate state-of-the-art representations of the complex 47 interplay between emissions, photochemistry, convection, and transport in the upper troposphere 48 and lower stratosphere. These results will contribute to the continuing assessments of tropospheric 49 evolution, in particular the large community efforts regarding TOAR-II and CMIP-7.

#### 50 **1 Introduction**

51 Tropospheric ozone  $(O_3)$  can be influenced by downward transport from the stratospheric ozone 52 layer, but the main O<sub>3</sub> source in the troposphere is in situ photochemical formation through the 53 oxidation of carbon compounds in the presence of (catalyzing) nitrogen oxides ( $NO_x = NO + NO_2$ ) 54 (Crutzen, 1973; Logan, 1985); tropospheric ozone loss is dominated by in situ photochemistry and by deposition at the Earth's surface (Monks et al., 2015). Past studies have also shown that the 55 56 main sources of tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> are fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning, soil microbial 57 activity, and lightning. Global anthropogenic emissions dominate the natural NO<sub>x</sub> sources and 58 biomass burning plays quite a significant role in the tropics. There is evidence from in situ 59 measurements from ozonesondes and commercial aircraft for slow increases in tropospheric and 60 upper tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> abundances (e.g., Cooper et al., 2014; Gaudel et al., 2020; Thompson et al., 61 2021; Wang et al., 2022). At the surface, regional differences have been noted, for example, a

62 leveling off in ozone increases over western Europe and parts of the United States after the 1990s, 63 including some decreases, depending on the season. Changes in tropospheric ozone precursor 64 emissions (e.g., from NO<sub>x</sub>, carbon monoxide – CO, and volatile organic compounds) have been 65 implicated as causes for global tropospheric ozone change over the past few decades (Zhang et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022). Souri et al. (2017) and Zhang et al. 66 67 (2016), for example, discussed the existence of decreases in NO<sub>x</sub> emissions over developed countries following emission regulations after the turn of the century. In the North Atlantic region, 68 69 both surface O<sub>3</sub> and CO have decreased; Kumar et al. (2013) showed this for 2001–2011. Such 70 decreases have been attributed to a decline in anthropogenic emissions from North America that 71 more than compensate for emission increases over parts of Asia. Furthermore, after the dramatic 72 reduction in global economic activity following the COronaVIrus Disease 2019 pandemic, 73 significant reductions in northern hemisphere (NH) tropospheric ozone values were observed in 74 2020 and 2021, although the tropical decreases are much smaller (Ziemke et al., 2022; Steinbrecht 75 et al., 2021; Bouarar et al., 2021; Miyazaki et al., 2021).

76 Carbon monoxide is another important pollutant in the troposphere. Its primary tropospheric 77 sources are incomplete combustion (biomass burning emissions and pollution from industrial and 78 traffic-related emissions), and the oxidation of methane and other hydrocarbons (Logan et al., 79 1981; Crutzen and Andreae, 1990; Khalil and Rasmussen, 1990); its main tropospheric loss 80 pathway is oxidation by the hydroxyl radical (OH). Lower tropospheric CO anomalies are 81 propagated upward by convection and general ascent to produce a tropical "CO tape recorder" 82 (Schoeberl et al., 2006), primarily as a result of biomass burning episodes near the equinoxes 83 (Duncan et al., 2003, 2007; Logan et al., 2008; Nassar et al., 2009; Livesey et al., 2013; Huang et 84 al., 2016). Further insights into the transport of CO pollution into the upper troposphere and lower 85 stratosphere (UTLS) have been provided by Park et al. (2013), who examined CO and other species 86 from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) and 87 MLS. In the tropics, the clear signature of semiannual maxima centered around April and October 88 were observed, primarily over Africa, Indonesia, and South America, with connections to biomass 89 burning and convection patterns. Park et al. (2021) examined CO pollution transport to the UTLS 90 during and long after the highly enhanced 2015 Indonesian fire season, using a combination of CO 91 satellite data and model simulations (with the CAM-chem model), which generally showed 92 underestimates of satellite-derived tropospheric and stratospheric CO. In terms of tropospheric CO

93 trends, Worden et al. (2013a) found significant CO column decreases for the 2000–2011 period at 94 a rate of -1.5 % yr<sup>-1</sup> over Europe, East Asia, and the United States; this work was based mainly on 95 data from the Measurements of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) and the Atmospheric 96 Infrared Sounder (AIRS) (see also Warner et al., 2013). Using MOPITT data, Laken and Sahbaz 97 (2014) obtained a significant global CO trend of -0.6 % yr<sup>-1</sup> from 2000–2012; they also pointed to 98 significant increasing trends over parts of Asia, South America, and Africa. Buchholz et al. (2021) 99 found a similar result using 2002–2018 gridded time series from MOPITT CO, AIRS, and other 100 satellite instruments; the global trend for this period was found to be  $-0.5 \pm 0.3$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with a slower 101 decreasing trend during 2010-2018. Hedelius et al. (2021) also discussed MOPITT-inferred 102 decreasing trends in column CO for 2002–2017 and pointed out that decreases in CO emissions, 103 obtained from the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) version 4.3.2, 104 do not always match column CO trends. Analyses of ground-based in situ surface CO data also 105 point to a slowdown in the rate of decrease of CO after 2010, in comparison to the 2001–2010 106 decade (Patel et al., 2024). There is also a north-south interhemispheric difference in the CO 107 abundances (and total columns), along with faster rates of decrease in the northern hemisphere. 108 Decreasing CO emissions from anthropogenic and biomass burning sources appear to be the main 109 cause of global tropospheric CO decreases (Jiang et al., 2017, Andela et al., 2017), while secondary 110 CO resulting from methane oxidation is increasing (Gaubert et al., 2017). Some steeper CO 111 decreases have been observed in local extra-tropical near-surface data (Li and Liu, 2011; He et al., 112 2013; Yoon and Pozzer, 2014; Gratz et al., 2015), apparently because of tighter air quality 113 standards and reduced pollution from industrial and traffic-related emissions.

114 The upper troposphere is a complex region where production of  $NO_x$  by lightning (Schumann 115 and Huntrieser, 2007; Murray et al., 2014), aircraft NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (Hoor et al., 2009; Brasseur et 116 al., 2016; Lee et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022), and stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE) (Sudo 117 et al., 2003; Collins et al., 2003; Hegglin and Shepherd, 2009; Hess and Zbinden, 2013; Neu et al., 118 2014) can significantly impact ozone concentrations; STE plays a larger role in the extra-tropics 119 than in the tropics (Hsu and Prather, 2014). Upper tropospheric trend analyses of in situ CO data 120 from commercial aircraft participating in the In-service Aircraft for a Global Observing System 121 (IAGOS, see Petzold et al., 2015) measurements have indicated decreasing trends from 1995 to 122 2013 in northern midlatitude UT CO, with some larger (and statistically robust) trends as high as -2 to -3 % yr<sup>-1</sup> over eastern Asia (Cohen et al., 2018). The UT ozone trends from the latter analyses 123

124 were found to range between 0.25 to 0.45 ppbv yr<sup>-1</sup>; this reflects changes of order 0.4–0.8% yr<sup>-1</sup>. 125 In terms of variability, there are interannual composition changes in the troposphere and in the 126 UTLS associated with ENSO (Chandra et al., 1998; Ziemke and Chandra, 2003; Nassar et al., 127 2009; Oman et al., 2011, 2013) and related sea surface temperature and pressure changes. It has 128 long been known that this important mode of climate variability that originates in the Pacific 129 Ocean, with alternating warm (El Niño) and cold (La Niña) phases, leads to disruptions in global 130 circulation patterns, and has impacts on fire and wetland emissions that affect tropospheric 131 composition (Feely et al., 1987; Jones et al., 2001; Sudo and Takahashi, 2001; Duncan et al., 2003; 132 Doherty et al., 2006; Calvo et al., 2010; Voulgarakis et al., 2015; Rowlinson et al., 2019).

133 How do changes in the upper troposphere relate to changes in the lower troposphere, such as 134 changes in emissions? There have not been many such studies in the past, in large part because of 135 the lack of well-sampled long-term data in the upper reaches of the troposphere, where ozone is of 136 radiative significance. While this region is not directly connected to surface pollution, fast 137 convection episodes in the tropics imply that there might well be some correlations between lower 138 tropospheric and upper tropospheric abundances, and even for long-term trends. Long-range 139 transport of pollution can, however, extend into the UT, and also back downward with cross-140 continental impacts on surface pollution levels. Constraints on chemistry climate models are one 141 important goal for studies of long-term measurements of upper tropospheric composition. Such 142 studies are also expected to contribute to continuing assessments of pollutant trends in the 143 troposphere, such as the Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report Phase II (TOAR-II), while 144 related model simulations are of interest to continuing assessments of chemistry climate models 145 (e.g., CMIP-7).

146 Tropical upper tropospheric profiles of  $O_3$  and CO have been measured on a continuous daily 147 basis by the Microwave Limb Sounder on the Aura satellite, from a near-polar sun synchronous 148 orbit since late 2004. Here, we present results of trends and variability analyses of these data sets 149 (from 2005–2020), along with a similar treatment of UT O<sub>3</sub> and CO time series from two chemistry 150 climate models, "specified dynamics" versions of the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate 151 Model version 6 (WACCM6) and the Community Atmosphere Model with chemistry (CAM-152 chem), both of which are configurations of the Community Earth System Model version 2.2 153 (CESM2.2). When using regression fits, as done here, to analyze broad-scale atmospheric time 154 series, one should pay attention to likely drivers (e.g., ENSO) of variability in that region, since a

155 better fitting of such variability can reduce the resulting trend uncertainties. Altogether, we use 156 one WACCM simulation as well as two separate CAM-chem simulations (the latter two having 157 different anthropogenic emission inputs for CO), as described in Sect. 2, where we provide more 158 details about the MLS data and these model simulations. Section 3 focuses on the trend analysis 159 methodology. In Sect. 4, we discuss the analysis results for O<sub>3</sub>, and then for CO; we review the 160 UT climatologies for these species and some differences versus model simulations, and discuss 161 results from zonal mean and mapped trend analyses. We also place our results in the context of 162 past analyses. We then finish with some brief conclusions in Section 5.

#### 163 2 Observations, model simulations, and trend analysis methods

For both MLS and the chemistry climate models, we analyze monthly averaged zonal mean time series as well as monthly-averaged longitude/latitude binned time series. The models have been designed to capture key dynamical and chemical processes well enough to be usefully compared to the observations. We focus on a region that is somewhat below the tropopause, to minimize potential effects from stratosphere-troposphere exchange and to avoid results that might depend more on lower stratospheric rather than tropospheric change.

#### 170 2.1 Observations

171 The Aura MLS observational dataset considered here is taken from sixteen full years (2005 172 through 2020) of global composition measurements, with about 3500 vertical profiles per day per 173 measured species. The MLS antenna performs scans of the atmospheric limb ahead of the Aura 174 satellite in its near-polar sun-synchronous orbit. MLS measures daytime and nighttime thermal 175 emission using microwave radiometers operating at frequencies near 118, 190, 240, and 640 GHz; 176 a 2.5 THz module measured OH during the early part of the mission. The 240 GHz radiometer 177 provides the standard O<sub>3</sub> and CO measurements. For an overview of the MLS measurement 178 technique, the reader is referred to Waters at al. (2006). Read et al. (2006) gave a description of 179 the simulated MLS forward model and related spectra. The MLS retrievals (Livesey et al., 2006) 180 use the optimal estimation approach (Rodgers, 2000); there is no assumption of atmospheric 181 homogeneity along the line of sight (see Livesey and Read, 2000), and the retrievals make use of 182 the MLS antenna's views along overlapping tangent rays during consecutive scans of the Earth's

limb. The specifics of MLS data characterization and data quality, along with estimated errors andrelated information can be found in the documentation by Livesey et al. (2022).

185 Here, we have used the latest data version from MLS, labeled version 5.0 or v5. More 186 specifically, we use the binned MLS Level 3 data sets, with a latitude grid that includes the 187 equatorial bin  $(-2^{\circ} \text{ to } +2^{\circ})$  and the 44 other adjacent 4°-wide bins. In this work, we use monthly 188 mean time series based on zonal averages as well as latitude bands divided into 12 longitude bins. 189 The typical number of MLS profiles in a monthly zonal mean 4° bin is of order 2400, and about 190 200 for each of the 12 mapped (monthly) longitude/latitude bins. Prior to averaging the MLS data, 191 the standard MLS data quality screening criteria (Livesey et al., 2022) have been applied to all the 192 O<sub>3</sub> and CO Level 2 profiles; this screening removes only a very small fraction (typically 1–3%) of 193 the retrieved profiles. In the troposphere and stratosphere, the MLS O<sub>3</sub> retrieval grid is defined by 194 a subset of the pressure levels given by  $p(n) = 1000 \ge 10^{-n/12}$  hPa, where *n* is the pressure level index 195 number; for CO, the grid is twice as coarse, meaning that n/6 is used as an exponent in the above 196 equation, rather than n/12. The bottom recommended levels for the O<sub>3</sub> and CO retrievals are at 261 197 and 215 hPa, respectively. Our tropical analyses will focus on results between 215 and 147 hPa, 198 in order to largely obtain upper tropospheric results, as more influence from the stratosphere occurs 199 as one gets closer to 100 hPa in the tropics. In the upper troposphere, the vertical resolution of the 200 O<sub>3</sub> and CO products is about 3 km and 5 km respectively (Livesey et al., 2022). In this region, the 201 single-profile precision (1 $\sigma$  random uncertainty) is 20–30 ppbv (meaning ~35-50%) for O<sub>3</sub> and 202 15–20 ppbv (~20–30%) for CO. For our analyses of monthly MLS averages, the relevant precision for O<sub>3</sub> and CO reduces to ~0.5 ppbv (~1%) for 4° zonal means and ~2 ppbv (~4%) for the gridded 203 204 data using 30° longitude by 4° latitude bins. In addition, the methodology used by the MLS team 205 to assess the aggregate effects of estimated errors in various input parameters, coupled with 206 validation results (see Livesey et al., 2022), leads to systematic uncertainty estimates  $(1\sigma)$  of 5–12 207 ppbv (~10-20%) and 15-25 ppbv (~20-35%) for tropical upper tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> and CO, 208 respectively.

Following validation work on UT MLS O<sub>3</sub> and CO in the early few years since the Aura launch (Livesey et al., 2008), studies of UT MLS O<sub>3</sub> by Livesey et al. (2013) focused on seasonal and interannual variability and comparisons versus ozonesonde data. Despite sampling differences between these measurement systems, the temporal patterns evident in the MLS UT O<sub>3</sub> data were found to be generally well correlated with the *in-situ* data over different low latitude regions.

214 Distinct seasonality was evident in  $O_3$  and CO (as well as MLS-derived ice water content) over 215 South America and South Africa. Other patterns such as the "wave-one" pattern in tropical  $O_3$  (see 216 Thompson et al., 2000, 2003, Wang et al., 2006) and double peaks in O<sub>3</sub> variability over eastern 217 equatorial Africa (with enhancements around May/June and September to November) were 218 discussed; for MLS UT CO, distinct seasonal behavior was found, for example, in the northern 219 hemisphere tropics, over Eastern Asia and across the Pacific (see also Huang et al., 2012). Livesey 220 et al. (2013) and Huang et al. (2014) discussed the connection between emissions from intense 221 fires over Indonesia in 2006 (following the El Niño-related drought) and dramatic concomitant 222 enhancements in UT CO (from MLS data) over this region. This work has been expanded upon in 223 analyses by Park et al. (2013, 2021) of the significant and long-lasting impacts of more recent El 224 Niño-related droughts and wildfires on tropospheric and lower stratospheric CO abundances.

225 Regarding MLS ozone, previous work has shown vertical oscillations in zonal mean MLS UTLS O<sub>3</sub> profiles (e.g., see Livesey et al., 2022). There are also some biases in MLS tropical UT 226 227 ozone values, which tend to be on the high side (by 10-20%) with respect to ozonesonde data (see 228 Hubert et al., 2016, Fig. 6), but the above issues are systematic in nature. While we think that 229 neither these biases nor the small vertical oscillations (a few % in magnitude in the region of 230 interest here) would play a major role in changing our MLS UT trend results, given the trend 231 uncertainties (discussed later), any time-dependent effect, if it exists, would be quite difficult to 232 characterize, or provide a fix for.

We also compare the CO simulations to CO data from Terra/MOPITT, obtained from multispectral retrievals (V9J) Level 3 dry air total column data, or X<sub>CO</sub> in ppbv (Deeter et al., 2022). The simulated CO values are smoothed by using the MOPITT a priori columns as well as the 10 layers a priori and averaging kernel profiles, as recommended for a quantitative comparison of modelled and MOPITT X<sub>CO</sub>.

#### 238 2.2 Model simulations

We use the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model version 6 (WACCM6) and the Community Atmosphere Model with Chemistry (CAM-chem), both of which are components of the CESM2.2 (Danabasoglu et al., 2020). WACCM6 uses the "high-top" set of 70 model levels between the surface and the lower thermosphere (~140 km), while CAM-chem uses 32 layers ("low-top") that stop in the middle of the stratosphere (~40 km). Both configurations run on a

244 horizontal resolution that is 0.95° latitude x 1.25° longitude and share the same vertical grid in the 245 troposphere, with a vertical resolution in the upper troposphere of about 1.2 km. Both CAM-chem 246 and WACCM6 include the same representations of boundary layer processes, shallow convection, 247 liquid cloud macrophysics, and cloud microphysics (Gettelman et al., 2019). Each model employs 248 the same chemical mechanism processes (labeled TS1). The chemical scheme includes the O<sub>x</sub>, 249 NO<sub>x</sub>, HO<sub>x</sub>, ClO<sub>x</sub>, and BrO<sub>x</sub> families, along with CH<sub>4</sub> and its degradation products, as well as 250 primary non-methane hydrocarbons and related oxygenated organic compounds (Emmons et al., 251 2020). Reaction rates follow the JPL Publication 19-5 recommendation (Burkholder et al., 2019). 252 TS1 includes a total of 231 species and 583 chemical reactions broken down into 150 photolysis 253 reactions, 403 gas-phase reactions, 13 tropospheric, and 17 stratospheric heterogeneous reactions. 254 The photolytic reactions are based on both inline chemical modules and a lookup table approach 255 (Kinnison et al., 2007). Secondary organic aerosols are represented through the Volatility Basis 256 Set approach (Tilmes et al., 2019). Comparisons of oxidants during the Korea–United States Air 257 Quality (KORUS-AQ) experiment in South Korea led to a revision of the heterogeneous aerosol 258 uptake of hydroperoxyl radicals (HO<sub>2</sub>) to produce H<sub>2</sub>O instead of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and a reduction of the 259 coefficient ( $\gamma$ ) from 0.2 to 0.1 (Gaubert et al., 2020).

260 To accurately represent weather conditions as well as the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO) 261 and to reproduce various modes of middle atmospheric variability, both simulations are run in the 262 'specified dynamics' (SD) mode. The model dynamical constraints are taken from meteorological 263 fields provided by the Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications version 264 2 or MERRA-2 (Gelaro et al., 2017). Contrary to the previous SD approach, the MERRA-2 fields, 265 here the zonal and meridional winds and temperature, are first regridded to the model horizontal 266 and vertical grids. The model nudging (Davis et al., 2022) is updated at every (30 min) time step 267 using the closest 3-hourly MERRA-2 fields; nudging timescales are set at 6 hours for the CAM-268 chem simulations and at 12 hours for WACCM6. The 11-year solar cycle variability is taken from 269 the Naval Research Laboratory's (NRL) solar model, namely the NRL Solar Spectral Irradiance 270 version 2 (NRLSSI2; Coddington et al., 2016). Volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> emissions (used in sulfate aerosol 271 density calculations) are derived for significant volcanic eruptions using the Neely and Schmidt 272 (2016) database updated through the year 2020. The model scenario used here is based on historical 273 forcings (and recent updates) from the Climate Model Intercomparison Project - Phase 6 274 (Meinshausen et al., 2017). The forcings include greenhouse gases (CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CO<sub>2</sub>) and organic halogens. After 2014, the greenhouse gas and organic halogen inputs follow the CMIP6
SSP5-8.5 scenario that projects inputs beyond 2014 (O'Neill et al., 2016; Riahi et al., 2017;
Meinshausen et al., 2020).

278 The emissions from CMIP6 were updated to CAMS-GLOB-ANT\_v5.1 in the simulation we 279 refer to as CAM-chem-CAMS (CAMS is the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service) and 280 CAMS-GLOB-ANT v5.3 in the WACCM simulation (labeled WACCM-CEDS) for all surface 281 anthropogenic emissions (Soulié et al., 2024). CO anthropogenic emissions were found to be too 282 low in South Asia and China (Gaubert et al., 2023), so these emissions were replaced by the 283 Community Emissions Data System (CEDS) v2, presented in McDuffie et al. (2020), for the CAM-284 chem-CEDS simulation also analyzed here, and for the only WACCM simulation used here. We 285 can thus exclude a change in CO secondary formation or sink between these two simulations. 286 Daily biomass burning emissions are obtained from the Quick-Fire Emissions Dataset (QFED) 2.5

287 (Darmenov and da Silva, 2014) in all three simulations.

288 The lightning NO<sub>x</sub> production and its role in ozone formation is reviewed by Verma et al. 289 (2021). This study showed that most lightning activity occurs within deep convective clouds in the 290 tropical and subtropical region. In our study, the emission of NO from lightning is based on the 291 Price parametrization (Price and Rind, 1992; Price et al., 1997). This parameterization 292 is dependent on cloud height, which includes a stronger dependence over land versus ocean 293 (Emmons et al., 2010). The CAM-Chem and WACCM models used here derive tropical (and global) lightning NO<sub>x</sub> values of 2.34 (3.23) and 2.78 (4.11) Tg (N) yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 1), 294 295 with no significant trends over the course of these simulations. These global values are within the generally accepted global range of 3-8 TgN yr<sup>-1</sup> for lightning NO emission (Schumann 296 297 and Huntrieser, 2007).

Aircraft emissions from CMIP6 were employed in WACCM6. Both CAM-chem simulations use the version 2.1 of CAMS-GLOB-AIR for aircraft emissions described by Soulié et al. (2024). Gaubert et al. (2020, 2023) found that this version of CAM-chem tends to overestimate tropospheric oxidants, such as ozone, hydrogen peroxide, nitric acid, and hydroxyl radical, resulting in a shorter lifetime of tropospheric methane and CO, mainly in the northern hemisphere extra-tropics. Some of the main model characteristics (with a focus on the differences) are summarized in Table 1. 305 In terms of the model run analyses, we follow the same basic approach as for the MLS data. 306 The daily model profiles are first interpolated (as a function of log(pressure)) onto the MLS 307 pressure grid and then binned and averaged to produce the monthly zonal means (on a 4° latitude 308 grid) and gridded data on the same latitude/longitude grid as is described in Sect. 2.1 for MLS. We 309 note also that we do not find much impact on the MLS versus model comparisons if we use a 310 vertically smoothed version of the model profiles, which more properly takes into account the 311 vertical resolution of the MLS observations, as the differences between smoothed and unsmoothed 312 zonal mean values are much smaller than the model biases. For general simplicity, and for the 313 above reasons, we use unsmoothed model values in this work. A more detailed example of 314 smoothed model profile analyses is provided further below, in connection with observed seasonal 315 CO differences between the models and the MLS measurements.

#### 316 **2.3 Trend analysis methods**

317 For both MLS and model time series trend analyses in the upper troposphere, we use the 318 multivariate linear regression (MLR) method discussed as part of similar studies performed by 319 Froidevaux et al. (2019) for the stratosphere. We refer the reader to Appendix (A3) of the above 320 reference for more details on the regression fit model, which includes commonly used functional 321 terms, namely a linear trend, and cosine and sine functions with annual and semi-annual 322 periodicities, to account for these known variabilities in atmospheric composition, with 3- and 4-323 month periodic components to better fit shorter-term (intra-seasonal) variations, which also helps 324 to reduce the trend error bars. In addition, we include functions describing multi-year variations 325 caused by the QBO (which mostly affects the stratosphere) and by ENSO, which has been tied, for 326 example, to regional droughts and biomass burning events, with related increases in convection 327 and transport of surface pollution into the upper troposphere. The QBO-related equatorial wind 328 dataset is obtained from the publicly available datasets at the Free University of Berlin. ENSO-329 related data are in the form of a multivariate index, following the initial work of Wolter and Timlin 330 (2011), as updated by Zhang et al. (2019). We have also included a fitted component that follows 331 variations in solar radio flux (at 10.7 cm), based on Canadian solar measurements (Tapping, 2013); 332 this component typically plays a negligible role in our results. For trend uncertainty estimates, as 333 discussed also by Froidevaux et al. (2019, 2022), we use the block bootstrap resampling method 334 (Efron and Tibshirani, 1993), as done by Bourassa et al. (2014) and others in such atmospheric composition analyses. For every fitted time series, we analyze thousands of re-samplings of the fit residuals, with year-long blocks of residual values replaced by residual series from randomly chosen years; twice the standard deviations in these random distributions' trends provide the  $(2\sigma)$ trend uncertainty values that we use as trend error bars throughout this work.

#### **339 3 Results**

#### **340 3.1 Tropical UT O**<sub>3</sub>

#### 341 **3.1.1 O<sub>3</sub> climatologies**

342 Although this work focuses on variability and underlying trends, we start in Fig. 1 by showing 343 annually-averaged climatological ozone comparisons between MLS, the WACCM-CEDS 344 simulation, and the CAM-chem-CEDS simulation for 2005-2020 at 147 and 215 hPa for low 345 latitudes (4-degree bin centers between 24°S and 24°N); mapped fields and zonal mean line plots are compared in this Figure. At 215 hPa near 20°N and 20°S, the zonal mean O<sub>3</sub> values from both 346 347 models are  $\sim 5-15\%$  lower than the MLS fields; differences of this order are also observed in the 348 mapped fields. The differences reach about -20% in the deep tropics, as the MLS latitudinal 349 gradients are flat in this region, in contrast to the models' more curved behavior, with a minimum 350 at the equator (see panel (k)). The differences observed here are within the MLS systematic 351 uncertainties mentioned in Sect. 2.1 (up to 24 ppbv,  $2\sigma$ ). These two models agree quite well in the 352 UT region as a whole (typically within about 5 ppby); such a good level of agreement is not too 353 surprising, given that these models are based on a very similar framework, with nearly identical 354 inputs (see Sect. 2.2). At smaller pressures (147 hPa and also for 100 hPa, which is not shown 355 here), the models follow the MLS latitudinal gradients better (see panel (d) for the comparison at 356 147 hPa), as well as the longitudinal features (including the well-known wave-one ozone pattern 357 discussed by Thompson et al., 2000, 2003, Wang et al., 2006, and others). However, the models 358 exhibit a positive average bias versus MLS at these two pressure levels (see panel (e), where the 359 model bias for 147 hPa is about +20%). However, MLS UT O<sub>3</sub> profiles have been found to be 360 biased positively (by about 10-20%) versus averaged tropical ozonesonde profiles (Sect. 2.1). 361 Thus, positive model biases versus MLS ozone in the tropical UT are not likely caused by a 362 significant underestimate by MLS. We note that the positive model biases (at 147 and 100 hPa)

363 occur for all months of the year (not shown here), so this is not caused by a very large bias in some
364 months, that could be partially compensated for by negative model biases in other months.

As mentioned previously, we focus on the upper tropospheric region, somewhat removed from the tropopause, with 147 to 215 hPa being the main levels of interest in the analyses below; while the UT average differences between model and MLS are worth noting, this is not a primary concern in terms of the trend comparisons that we focus on here.

#### 369 **3.1.2 O<sub>3</sub> zonal mean trends**

370 Figure S1 gives some time series examples for ozone at 12°N and 12°S at 147 and 215 hPa, 371 with the MLS and modeled (WACCM-CEDS) series and their respective regression fits, along 372 with the fitted trend lines. The linear correlation coefficients listed above each panel provide a 373 measure of how well the chemistry climate model can fit the MLS series variability. The UT O<sub>3</sub> 374 WACCM-CEDS trends roughly follow the trends that are obtained from the MLS regression fits. 375 Regarding the ozone trends, we now switch to results from our analyses of the monthly zonal 376 mean MLS and model time series. Figure 2 displays ozone trend results for MLS and the three 377 simulations for 147, 178, and 215 hPa, based on a multiple linear regression analysis of the 378 respective time series from 2005 through 2020. Figure 2 shows that the tropical upper tropospheric 379 MLS ozone trends are generally positive and significant (meaning that a zero trend lies outside the 380  $2\sigma$  estimate of trend uncertainty). The observed average ozone trends at all three pressure levels lie within about 0.3 to 0.5 % yr<sup>-1</sup>; the peak average trends occur at 178 hPa. There are fairly small 381 382 latitudinal differences at 178 and 215 hPa. At 147 hPa, the MLS results indicate ~50% larger trends 383 in the NH tropics than in the SH tropics, although this difference is not very significant. The zonal 384 mean MLS ozone trend (averaging the three pressure levels at 147, 178, and 215 hPa) for 2005-385 2020 in the 20°S–20°N UT region is  $0.39 \pm 0.28$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>. The error bars here indicate the  $2\sigma$  trend 386 uncertainty (calculated here as the root mean square of the  $2\sigma$  trend uncertainties at all three 387 pressure levels in Fig. 2). This tropical UT O<sub>3</sub> trend is equivalent to  $0.21 \pm 0.15$  ppbv yr<sup>-1</sup> (based 388 on the annual average tropical UT values of 56 ppbv measured by MLS). The corresponding model 389  $O_3$  zonal mean trend results obtained here for 2005–2020 have a positive trend, with excellent 390 agreement with MLS from CAM-chem-CEDS  $(0.38 \pm 0.28 \text{ % yr}^{-1})$ . This agreement is also apparent 391 in the latitudinal pattern, with larger trends in the NH than in the SH, even if the error bars are 392 large enough that there is no "statistically significant difference" between the hemispheres. There

393 is also good statistical agreement between the MLS zonal mean ozone trends and the slightly 394 smaller WACCM-CEDS trends  $(0.21 \pm 0.23 \text{ % yr}^{-1})$ . We note that statisticians have been working 395 to guide or adjust "common practices" regarding statements of "significance", and one should be 396 sensitive to some of the broad differences that occur even within the so-called formal criteria (such 397 as  $2\sigma$  or a p-level of 0.05), which could sometimes be interpreted in too stringent a way 398 (Wasserstein et al., 2019), and as pointed out by Y. Cohen (private communication, 2024). We 399 keep this in mind in some of our discussions here, but we also wish to comment specifically on 400 the use of broader latitude bins. Indeed, if broader latitude regions were analyzed for trends, the 401 corresponding trend uncertainties would be reduced, which could make some of the compared 402 trends differ by more than their  $2\sigma$  error bar variability. However, the trend error reduction in our 403 testing with a 20°-wide latitude bin instead of a 4° bin is only 5–10%, meaning that the 404 uncertainties get divided by much less than the square root of the number of small latitude bins 405 used (an error reduction result corresponding to zero correlation in the temporal variability 406 between bins, e.g., if random noise alone was present). Thus, we do not readily obtain more 407 significant differences in these comparisons by just averaging over broader regions. In Figure 3, 408 the MLS and CAM-chem-CEDS UT O<sub>3</sub> trend sensitivity analysis is repeated for 2005–2018, 409 2005–2019, 2006–2020, and 2007–2020, showing the relative insensitivity of the MLS results to 410 the choice of time period. This is also true for the CAM-chem-CEDS trends in the NH tropics, 411 although there is more ozone trend sensitivity to the time period choice in this model's results over 412 the SH tropics. The WACCM-CEDS tropical UT ozone trend results versus time period (not shown 413 here) lead to a spread in the SH tropical trends that is about halfway between the small MLS trend 414 spread and the larger CAM-chem-CEDS trend sensitivity shown in Fig. 3.

#### 415 **3.1.3 O<sub>3</sub> mapped trends and variability**

We now turn to the mapped tropical UT trends by analyzing subsets of the O<sub>3</sub> and CO fields from MLS and the models, based on monthly mean time series for 2005–2020 in latitude/longitude bins, rather than on zonal means. As mentioned previously, these bins are also 4° wide in latitude, and the longitude bins are 30° wide. The same regression methodology as described previously here is used for each of the binned time series; we focus on the WACCM-CEDS and CAM-chem-CEDS ozone trends, as we have found that the CAM-chem-CAMS and CAM-chem-CEDS results are quite similar, in the case of ozone at least. Figure 4 shows the resulting mapped O<sub>3</sub> trends from

423 MLS and the two models for 147 and 215 hPa (top and bottom rows, respectively), with the maps 424 spanning 26°S to 26°N. Hatched bins indicate trends for which the  $2\sigma$  uncertainty range 425 encompasses the zero trend value which is often interpreted as a low level of "statistical 426 significance", although one should be cautious (see the previous Section) regarding the strict 427 application of such a criterion or wording. The largest MLS trends are observed over the 428 Indonesian region and (mostly) to the East of that region, as well as over the northern Atlantic. 429 The mapped trends confirm the overall zonal mean result of slightly larger O<sub>3</sub> trends in MLS than 430 in WACCM-CEDS. Broad regions with positive tendencies are observed in both model trend 431 results; these regions include SouthEast Asia, Indonesia, northern Australia, the Atlantic, and 432 northern Africa, with some, but not exact agreement with the regions mentioned above for the 433 larger MLS trends. At 215 hPa, the slightly larger positive trends in CAM-chem-CEDS than in 434 WACCM-CEDS over the Australian region (bottom right quadrant, south of the equator) 435 contribute to the better correspondence between the zonal mean O<sub>3</sub> trend results (Fig. 2c) between 436 CAM-chem-CEDS and MLS over the southern tropics. The mapped trend discrepancies between 437 the simulations and MLS are rarely outside the  $2\sigma$  error bar ranges. Nevertheless, some of the 438 discrepancies are worth noting, especially when they cover multiple adjacent bins; in particular, 439 the easternmost longitude band shows MLS trends with (significant) positive values, in contrast to 440 the simulation results, with binned trends that are often small and/or negative.

441 We have compared these mapped ozone trend results to those for tropospheric column ozone 442 (TCO) obtained by Ziemke et al. (2019), using a combination of total  $O_3$  columns from the Aura 443 Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) and MLS-based stratospheric O3 columns. In Fig. 5, we show 444 in the top two rows the trends from MLS ozone at 178 hPa (top map) versus the bottom map which 445 provides the mapped TCO trends for the same time period, obtained from an appropriate horizontal 446 smoothing of the results obtained following the above reference, to make the MLS and TCO 447 resolutions comparable; this smoothing comes from an interpolation versus latitude and a weighted 448 averaging in longitude, since the TCO results have finer longitudinal resolution (5°-wide bins) than 449 the MLS longitudinal grid used here (30°-wide bins). Similarities are observed in the longitudinal 450 pattern of UT O<sub>3</sub> and TCO trends, as shown also for 3 different latitude bins in panel (c) of Fig. 5; 451 variations of a factor of two to three are observed, mostly in the northern half, between the western 452 and eastern hemispheres for both sets of trends, which tend to lie between roughly 0.3 and 1.2 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. However, the agreement between MLS UT O<sub>3</sub> and TCO trends is often worse for other 453

454 MLS pressure level choices; this can be deduced from panel (d), where R (correlation coefficient) 455 values relating to the longitudinal variations obtained from MLS at different pressures versus the 456 longitudinal variations in TCO are displayed as a function of latitude (y-axis). In fact, one might 457 not expect the MLS ozone UT trends to track the TCO trends very well, given that TCO measures 458 the entire column whereas MLS measures trends in a vertical region about 5 km wide in the upper 459 troposphere, but this was worth looking into. Regional variability and horizontal sampling 460 differences between MLS and OMI will also play a role (see Thompson et al., 2021, for variability 461 aspects of sonde-derived tropospheric trends). Our comparisons imply that the correlation between 462 lower and upper tropospheric ozone trends is not a strict "one-to-one mapping", but there are 463 nevertheless some similarities between these regions.

464 We have also analyzed the level of explained variance in the regression fits for these binned trend results. Figure 6 shows the square of the correlation coefficient values  $(R^2)$  as a function of 465 466 latitude and longitude for different explanatory variables used in the binned O<sub>3</sub> fits at 147 hPa, 467 based on fit comparisons to the MLS series (top 6 panels), and for the regression fit versus the 468 WACCM-CEDS series (bottom 6 panels). We have ignored the solar component in these plots as 469 it was found to be of negligible importance; we display the remaining contributions, namely the 470 annual, semi-annual, short-term (sum of the 3-month and 4-month terms), QBO, and ENSO terms, 471 as well as the contribution from the full regression fit, which shows that most (but certainly not 472 all) of the time series variance can be explained by such a regression model. The annual term and 473 semi-annual terms can generally explain a large part of the variance, usually followed in 474 importance by the ENSO term, over most of the Pacific. The QBO component is very small in the 475 upper troposphere, even though it is a well-known and large contributor to stratospheric trace gas 476 variability in the lowermost stratosphere. There is also a significant annual cycle in the tropical 477 lowermost stratosphere related to variations in vertical velocities and in the Brewer-Dobson 478 circulation (Randel et al., 2007; Witte et al., 2008). The R<sup>2</sup> patterns observed in the MLS panels 479 are reproduced in a broad sense by the fits to the CCM, as shown in the bottom 6 panels; this is 480 also a result of the close match between the CCM and the MLS O<sub>3</sub> time series, shown earlier in 481 this work. The ENSO model pattern for O<sub>3</sub> does not match the MLS-derived pattern that well over 482 Indonesia, but this comparison is generally better in the Pacific region between -90° and -180°. A somewhat weaker R<sup>2</sup> value in the model simulation also exists in parts of the Eastern hemisphere 483 484 for the semi-annual term. The combination of these differences helps to explain the somewhat

poorer overall fits (and variance contributions) for the model than for MLS. For the most part, it
does not matter much which model run is used for these analyses, or even which pressure level is
used; indeed, the results at 215 hPa (see Fig. S5) are generally similar to those in Fig. 6.

488 To pursue the ENSO-related patterns further, one can obtain a (mapped) sensitivity coefficient 489 to ENSO from the regression fits regarding this component's importance in ppbv/K (where "K" 490 relates to tropical sea surface temperatures changes). The  $O_3$  ENSO sensitivity is shown in Fig. 7 491 for the 2005–2020 MLS and WACCM-CEDS results at 147 and 215 hPa. This provides more 492 information about the sign of the sensitivity over different regions, and we observe generally 493 positive (negative) sensitivity in the Eastern (Western) hemisphere, for both MLS and WACCM-494 CEDS cases; moreover, at least at 147 hPa, there are two strong negative minima on each side of 495 the Equator in the central Pacific region. The model results are quite consistent with those from 496 MLS in terms of the ENSO-related sensitivity coefficient patterns and magnitudes, although the 497 model response is often slightly smaller than seen in the MLS result. As we discuss further below, 498 such ozone sensitivity patterns have been described and interpreted before. Figure 16 provides the 499 same analysis, but for the CO sensitivity to ENSO. These maps show a positive CO ENSO 500 sensitivity coefficient throughout the tropics, with local maxima in both the Eastern and Western 501 hemispheres, rather than the  $O_3$  dipole (positive/negative) structure shown in Fig. 7. The model 502 CO ENSO sensitivity broadly matches the MLS results, although it is not as strong; the different 503 patterns in the western hemisphere, compared to the  $O_3$  sensitivity to ENSO, might be caused by 504 differences in O<sub>3</sub> and CO vertical profile gradients in these regions, but this would require further 505 detailed investigations. We also note that, especially in the MLS case, the peak magnitudes of the 506 CO ENSO sensitivity coefficients in Fig. 16 match the peak magnitudes of the positive O<sub>3</sub> ENSO 507 sensitivity in Fig. 7.

508

#### 509 **3.1.4 O<sub>3</sub> discussion**

510

511 We have found some climatological differences between the MLS observations of  $O_3$  and CO512 in the tropical upper troposphere and the WACCM-CEDS simulation, as well as both CAM-chem 513 simulations considered here. For  $O_3$ , the models underestimate the mean MLS values at 215 hPa; 514 at 147 hPa, the models are biased high by about 15–25%, and we have no reason to believe that 515 such positive biases result from an average negative bias in the corresponding MLS values.

516 The averaged zonal mean tropical UT O<sub>3</sub> trend from MLS for 2005–2020 is  $0.39 \pm 0.28$  % yr<sup>-1</sup> 517 (or about  $0.22 \pm 0.16$  ppbv yr<sup>-1</sup>), where the error bars indicate  $2\sigma$  uncertainties. We should note 518 that the MLS ozone profile trend detection capability lies within the most stable among ozone 519 sounders, based on the satellite and ground-based ozone intercomparison work by Hubert et al. 520 (2016). In addition, differences between stratospheric ozone columns from MLS and the Aura 521 Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) exhibit no significant drift (Ziemke et al., 2019), thus 522 providing added confidence in the temporal stability of both measurement systems; also, we expect 523 a similar level of confidence in the stability of the MLS CO measurements, since CO is retrieved 524 using the same radiometer as the MLS standard ozone product. We obtain excellent agreement 525 with the MLS tropical UT zonal mean trends from the (averaged) CAM-chem-CEDS O<sub>3</sub> zonal 526 mean trends  $(0.38 \pm 0.28 \text{ %yr}^{-1})$  and somewhat poorer agreement from the smaller WACCM-527 CEDS trends (0.21  $\pm$  0.23 % yr<sup>-1</sup>). We also show that the zonal mean MLS O<sub>3</sub> tropical UT trend 528 results for different time period choices, with start and end years adjusted by one or two years, do 529 not significantly depart from the 2005–2020 results; there is more sensitivity to the choice of time 530 period in the CAM-chem-CEDS trend results over the southern tropics.

531 In terms of mapped ozone trends, the largest MLS-derived tropical trends (up to +1.4% yr<sup>-1</sup>) are 532 observed over Indonesia and East of that region, as well as over the northern Atlantic region. The mapped model O<sub>3</sub> UT trends broadly match the MLS trends, albeit with somewhat smaller 533 534 variations. The significant model maxima over Southeast Asia and the North Atlantic are similar 535 to the significant MLS patterns in those regions. More qualitatively, the Indonesian region displays 536 smaller model O<sub>3</sub> trends than those derived from MLS data; parts of the western Pacific region 537 exhibit some negative trends in the MLS and model trends, but not with good spatial correlation. 538 The mapped MLS-based UT  $O_3$  trends and TCO trends for the same period (see Fig. 5), based on 539 the analyses of Ziemke et al. (2019), provide good correlations in parts of the tropics, with similar 540 values and longitudinal patterns; however, the MLS UT O3 trend maxima over the western Pacific 541 are symmetric about the equator, whereas the TCO maxima in that region are found in the northern 542 part only. Since the TCO measurement weighting does not favor the UT region, we would not 543 necessarily expect a really high correlation versus the MLS UT trends. A recent study (Gaudel et 544 al., 2024) of tropical tropospheric ozone trends from several satellite-based and in situ datasets 545 between 1994 and 2019 yields "maximum mid- and upper tropospheric increases above India, 546 Southeast Asia and Malaysia, with values from  $3.4 \pm 0.8$  to  $6.8 \pm 1.8$  ppbv decade<sup>-1</sup>." The tropical

547 UT O<sub>3</sub> trend results obtained here from MLS data, translated to the same units, are  $2.2 \pm 1.6$  ppbv 548 decade<sup>-1</sup>, which is consistent with the above results, considering also that the maximum mapped 549 UT trend values obtained here (about 1.4 %yr<sup>-1</sup>) translate to about 8 ppbv decade<sup>-1</sup>. The MLS-550 derived results for zonal mean tropical UT trends versus latitude are tabulated in Table S1 in both 551 sets of units. The OMI/MLS tropical trends (2004–2021) from the above reference are listed as 2  $\pm$  5 % decade<sup>-1</sup> for 0 to 20°S and 3  $\pm$  2 % decade<sup>-1</sup> for 0 to 20°N; these numbers are consistent with 552 553 the slightly larger UT averages from MLS, which show an increase from ~3 % decade<sup>-1</sup> near 20°S 554 to  $\sim 4$  % decade<sup>-1</sup> near 20°N (see Table S1 for more details).

555 Regarding other past results, the analyses by Wang et al. (2022) of the IAGOS commercial 556 aircraft database for several regions of the globe indicate that upper tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> values have 557 increased from 1995–2017, with some of the larger trends residing in the tropics. IAGOS-derived 558 trends were previously discussed by Cohen et al. (2018) for the 1994–2013 period, but with an 559 emphasis on the extra-tropics. The IAGOS trend analysis by Gaudel et al. (2020) for 5 tropical 560 regions over 1994–2016 gave positive UT trends in the range  $0.3-1.3 \text{ \% yr}^{-1}$ , with an average of 561 0.5 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, and largest values over Southeast Asia and Malaysia/Indonesia. As mentioned above, 562 the MLS results also show peak ozone trends over this general region. The above average trend 563 agrees quite well with the average tropical UT O<sub>3</sub> trends  $(0.39 \pm 0.28 \text{ % yr}^{-1})$  we obtain from MLS, 564 which provides more uniform (and daily) tropical coverage. This seems to be unexpectedly good 565 agreement, since there are different sampling characteristics, regions, and time periods for IAGOS 566 versus MLS; given the time period differences, in particular, we should only consider this to be a 567 loose comparison. More detailed comparisons between MLS and IAGOS are difficult and beyond 568 the scope of this work, given the differences in coverage (and in the vertical and horizontal 569 footprints) between these measurement systems.

570 There have been large differences between past satellite-based tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> trends (Gaudel 571 et al., 2018). Leventidou et al. (2018) pointed out that tropical tropospheric ozone column trends 572 derived from a combination of European satellite measurements from 1996 to 2015 showed regional increases as large as 1-2% yr<sup>-1</sup>, with some negative trends over the oceans, but with 573 574 significant uncertainties as well (see also Heue et al., 2016, and Ebojie et al., 2016). The TCO 575 analyses by Ziemke et al. (2019) using combined OMI and MLS ozone columns showed that the 576 TCO trends are larger in the 2005-2016 time period than in the two decades before 2005; for the 577 2005–2016 period, the derived TCO trends in the tropics are about 0.4–0.7 % yr<sup>-1</sup> (see also Gaudel et al., 2020). These two investigations found regional differences in the TCO trends, with maxima over India, Southeast Asia, the eastern Pacific region, and the tropical Atlantic, while they obtained near zero or slightly negative TCO trends over the Western Pacific. Similar TCO trends (based on combined OMI and MLS data) were also given by Liu et al. (2022) for the 2005–2018 period.

582 Wang et al. (2022) showed that derived ozone trends from ozonesonde profiles agree broadly 583 with the IAGOS results, although the sonde spatio-temporal coverage is naturally more limited, 584 and there can be a fair amount of scatter in the trends between different sonde sites. Thompson et 585 al. (2021) observed significant seasonal variations in derived tropical ozonesonde trends (based on 586 data over the 1998-2019 period from the Southern Hemisphere Additional Ozonesondes, or 587 SHADOZ network); these authors noted that dynamical influences (besides emissions changes) 588 likely play a role in these tropical tropospheric trends, which average 0.1–0.4 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, but with trends in certain regions/seasons (February to May in particular) as large as 1-2.5 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. 589

590 Zhang et al. (2016) and Wang et al. (2022) have ascribed the positive sign of post-2000 tropical 591 ozone trends to an equatorward redistribution of surface emissions over the years. Moreover, Wang 592 et al. (2022) discussed how increases in aircraft emissions of nitrogen oxides should also have 593 contributed to enhancements in UT ozone. The UT zonal mean model O<sub>3</sub> trends shown in our work 594 are typically larger (by ~30–50%) in the NH tropics than in the SH tropics. This is also true for the 595 model simulation (also from CESM2) provided by Wang et al. (2022); these authors also point out 596 that uncertainties in estimates of ozone precursor emission inventories (including those for volatile 597 organic carbons species, or VOCs) may well contribute to differences between modeled and 598 observational ozone trends. While VOC source strengths might be difficult to invoke as a major 599 source of uncertainty for the tropical regions, other potential model issues (e.g., larger than 600 currently expected uncertainties in lightning-generated ozone in the tropical upper troposphere) 601 may be worth further consideration. We note that there are large differences (a range of a factor of 602 two or more) between the tropospheric ozone burden changes predicted by various global models 603 in the work by Wang et al. (2022). Also, Liu et al. (2022) show that significant regional differences 604 in ozone column trends exist in their model results (using the NASA Goddard Earth Observing 605 System Chemistry Climate Model, GEOSCCM), with near zero trends over the tropical western 606 Pacific; their modeled TCO trend results underestimate the observed positive TCO trends.

607 Regarding ozone UT variability, we found that the annual, semi-annual, and ENSO terms 608 dominate the variability in the tropical upper troposphere. The TCO interannual variability has 609 been known to be heavily influenced by ENSO (Ziemke and Chandra, 2003; Ziemke et al., 2010). 610 Oman et al. (2013) found that the ENSO relationship for ozone could be simulated by a chemical 611 climate model driven by observed SSTs. The observed and matching simulated sensitivity 612 coefficients imply increased downwelling from the stratosphere and suppressed convection during 613 El Niño periods for regions of positive sensitivity (Chandra et al., 1998; Sudo and Takahashi, 614 2001; Oman et al., 2013). The MLS UT ozone variations and their relation to ENSO were 615 discussed by Oman et al. (2013), who showed patterns of ozone sensitivity to ENSO at 147 hPa 616 (their Figure 6) that resemble the ones we produced here (in Fig. 7) from analyses of MLS data 617 over almost twice as long a period.

#### 618 3.2 Tropical UT CO

#### 619 3.2.1 CO climatologies

620 For CO, a similar set of annual mean climatological plots as those from Fig. 1 is provided in 621 Fig. 8. We observe that the model CO values follow the patterns of the MLS UT CO fields fairly 622 well, and the zonal mean model biases are usually around -10% to -20%; the model biases are 623 most often negative, and more so in the northern tropics at 215 hPa. The model mean CO biases 624 shown in Fig. 8 are well within the MLS CO systematic uncertainties mentioned in Sect. 2.1; the 625 CAM-chem-CEDS climatological UT CO is slightly closer to the MLS UT CO climatology than is the WACCM-CEDS CO climatology. As in the case of ozone, the aforementioned model versus 626 627 data CO biases are found to exist not only for annual averages, but also on a month-to-month basis. 628 The SPARC Data Initiative report (SPARC, 2017) and the more recent update by Hegglin et al. 629 (2021) showed that MLS CO values in the tropical UT are within about 10–15% of the mean values 630 that include other data from ACE-FTS and the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric 631 Sounding (MIPAS). However, the MLS mean values are larger than the multi-instrument mean at 632 100 hPa by about 10-20%, which can account for more than half of the MLS/model bias at this 633 level (not shown here). Also, just considering the theoretical systematic uncertainty estimates 634 provided in Sect. 2.1, it is possible that most (or even all) of the bias between models and MLS at 635 100 hPa is caused by a positive bias in the MLS CO data. However, an earlier WACCM-CEDS 636 version (WACCM4) underestimated CO and other hydrocarbon data in the southern tropical UT, 637 as described by Park et al. (2013); those authors noted that model deficiencies in emission source 638 strengths or in the upward rate of transport could potentially explain these model underestimates.

#### 640 **3.2.2 CO zonal mean trends**

641 For CO, the zonal mean time series provided in Fig. S2 show that there are some slight 642 differences in the trends between observed and modeled (WACCM-CEDS) CO, with more 643 negative trends in the MLS series than in the model series. The large variability seen in the MLS 644 CO series shows correlation with WACCM-CEDS (see the large correlation coefficient values, R, 645 in the 12°S series for 147 and 215 hPa). We know that the largest CO peaks in these time series 646 are tied to surface emissions, convection, and subsequent transport into the upper troposphere and 647 lower stratosphere (UTLS), with a strong connection to El Niño-related droughts and intense fire 648 (biomass burning) events (see, e.g., Schoeberl et al., 2006, Jiang et al., 2007, Liu et al., 2013, Park 649 et al., 2021, Duncan et al., 2003, 2007). At 12°N, however, the observed CO variability is 650 somewhat smaller than at 12°S, and the model variability is much more muted, while the model 651 versus MLS phasing agreement is quite poor, especially at 215 hPa (where R is very small and the 652 MLS time series annual phase is very poorly matched by the model). We have checked that this 653 poor correlation is not tied to an issue involving the smoothing of model profiles to account for 654 the MLS averaging kernels; indeed, Fig. S3 shows the small relative impact resulting from a 655 smoothed (versus vertically interpolated) model series on the average CO profile at 215 hPa and 656 12°N, as well as regarding the smoothed time series and its phasing.

657 For the UT CO zonal mean trends, Figure 9 provides results in a similar way to Fig. 2 for ozone, 658 but for just the two MLS CO retrieval levels at 147 and 215 hPa. In contrast to ozone, we can see 659 that the MLS-derived tropical UT CO values have typically decreased from 2005 to 2020; these 660 CO trends display negligible latitude dependence. Using the same approach as for ozone, but based on the Fig. 9 results, we obtain an average MLS-based UT CO trend of  $-0.25 \pm 0.30$  %yr<sup>-1</sup> 661 (equivalent to  $-0.20 \pm 0.23$  ppbv yr<sup>-1</sup>). The trends at 215 hPa (-0.16 %yr<sup>-1</sup>) are a factor of two 662 smaller than those at 147 hPa (-0.34 % yr<sup>-1</sup>), although both of these numbers agree within the  $(2\sigma)$ 663 trend uncertainties of 0.3 %yr<sup>-1</sup>; based on the error bars, the CO trend from MLS at 147 hPa is 664 665 different from zero, while the corresponding MLS trend at 215 hPa is not. In contrast, the average 666 CAM-chem-CAMS UT CO trend at these levels is  $0.22 \pm 0.19$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with little difference 667 between 147 and 215 hPa. The two simulations that use CEDS emissions (WACCM-CEDS and 668 CAM-chem-CEDS) yield smaller trends for CO, namely  $0.0 \pm 0.14$  %yr<sup>-1</sup>, with slightly negative 669 average trends at 147 hPa and slightly positive average trends at 215 hPa. This difference in trends

670 can be explained by significant decreases in Chinese anthropogenic emissions in CEDSv2, despite

671 the increasing anthropogenic tropical CO emissions in both CAMS-GLOB-ANTv5.1 and CEDSv2

672 (see Fig. S4).

673 Furthermore, larger MLS CO abundances in 2020 explain why the MLS CO UT trends are 674 more negative if one stops the analyses in 2018 or 2019, as can be seen from Fig. 10, which is 675 analogous to the ozone trend sensitivity study provided in Fig. 3. Regarding another aspect of CO 676 trend sensitivities, we considered the issue of large peaks in the observed MLS CO time series (see 677 examples in Fig. S2) typically resulting from El Niño related biomass burning events, followed by 678 convective uplift and CO advective transport into the UTLS. If the model has smaller peaks than 679 the MLS data show, it may be that this could explain some differences, or even a change of sign 680 in the trends. This would stand out more if the large peaks occurred close to the beginning or end 681 of the time series. As a sensitivity test, we artificially suppressed the peaks in these series by setting 682 any CO value larger than 2.5 times the  $(1\sigma)$  variability to a value of 1.5 times this variability, and we found the impact on the linear trends to be negligible (well within the error bars shown here). 683 684 Such a sensitivity study gives added confidence in the robustness of these trends.

685 In Fig. 11, we show the MLS, WACCM-CEDS, and CAM-chem-CEDS climatological mean 686 CO changes over the annual cycle at 215 hPa for 12°N and 12°S, along with the range of variability 687 (twice the standard deviations about the means). The fits from the models to the MLS CO behavior 688 at 12°S are quite good. The MLS CO curves show the two maxima previously observed in seasonal 689 analyses of biomass burning events, with related upward injections of CO and their subsequent 690 transport to the UT being implicated. Based on fire counts from satellite data (see e.g., Duncan et 691 al., 2003, 2007), a March biomass burning maximum has been associated with the northern 692 hemisphere (mainly from Southeast Asia, but also from northern Africa); outflow from the Asian 693 monsoon contributes to the August NH maximum. The September/October maximum arises from 694 the southern hemisphere (Indonesia, Malaysia, Southern Africa, Brazil). We should also note 695 (more broadly) that the climatological double maximum CO structure measured by MLS near 215 696 hPa over the broader (20°S–20°N) tropics is well matched by MIPAS CO zonal means (see SPARC 697 DI, 2017, chapter 4). At 12°N, however, the lack of correlation between the model variations and 698 those deduced from MLS in Fig. 11 appears to stem from the poorly modeled double maximum 699 structure; we also find that these poorer fits occur more generally throughout the northern tropics. 700 The model underestimates the boreal winter buildup of CO (Gaubert et al., 2020; 2023), which

701 may explain a poor representation of the northern hemisphere March/April maximum. Also, 702 biomass burning emission biases can vary regionally and this might explain some of the 703 model/data differences, with some regions providing somewhat better comparisons than others. 704 We do not ascribe the larger model/MLS discrepancies at 215 hPa in the northern tropics to an 705 undue influence of the MLS a priori on the retrievals in this region, as the (averaged) a priori MLS 706 values (although not shown in Fig. 11) follow the WACCM-CEDS fields quite well, and the MLS 707 CO retrievals are producing significantly different variations. To explore this hemispherical 708 asymmetry further, we show CO column comparisons between zonal mean time series from 709 MOPITT, CAM-chem-CEDS and WACCM-CEDS in Fig. 12; all CO columns are averaged over 710 the same latitudes (10°N–14°N and 10°S–14°S). We obtain much better agreement in the phasing 711 of these CO column comparisons for 12°N than we do in the model versus MLS CO comparisons 712 at 215 hPa in Fig. 11. This is clearly seen in the time series evolution, as well as in the correlation 713 coefficients shown in both of these Figures, although R is smaller at 12°N than at 12°S in Fig. 12 714 (but still about 0.75 to 0.8). We also look at this issue for the gridded fields and provide R values 715 for the 12°N and 12°S bins in Fig. 13, where we superpose the column CO model results versus 716 MOPITT and the 215 hPa model results versus MLS as a function of longitude. Again, we observe 717 that R is much higher for the CO total columns than for the 215 hPa level, especially so in the 718 northern tropics. The patterns versus longitude indicate that poorer correlations exist over the 719 Atlantic Ocean (just West of the Greenwich meridian) than over land masses. We do not have clear 720 explanations for the exact patterns in Fig. 13, except for the suggestion that regions with strong 721 land convection might show better UT correlations between models and data, while outflow 722 regions (downwind of convection) in the upper troposphere could be more poorly modeled. The 723 models do not follow the observed UT CO seasonal behavior in a narrow UT region of the northern 724 tropics, even if the modeled seasonal total columns compare well to MOPITT columns in that 725 region. More in-depth analysis would be needed to probe whether this might be caused by a poor 726 representation of emissions and/or transport to this region. Alternatively, it might be that currently 727 unaccounted for variations of the MLS vertical averaging kernels could affect the (properly 728 smoothed) model values in the northern hemisphere tropics at 215 hPa, in ways that are somehow 729 significantly different than what we show in Fig. S3; this is highly unlikely, given that the 730 smoothed model plots in this Figure hardly change if we replace the tropical MLS averaging kernel 731 values used in that plot by kernels appropriate for 70°N. Another potential issue might be poorly

understood cloud impacts on the 215 hPa MLS retrievals, specifically in the northern hemisphere
tropics; although this is speculative, it might be worth exploring in the future.

#### 734 **3.2.3 CO mapped trends and variability**

735 In Fig. 14, we show the mapped CO trend results for MLS and all three simulations (WACCM-736 CEDS, CAM-chem-CAMS, and CAM-chem-CEDS) at 147 and 215 hPa. As seen above, MLS 737 CO trends in the UT are generally negative, with the more statistically significant result occurring 738 at 147 hPa (where the trends are more negative than at 215 hPa). There is an indication of slightly 739 positive trends over or near western Africa, mainly at 215 hPa, although this is not statistically 740 significant. The binned model results from CAM-chem-CAMS confirm the zonal mean view from 741 this model, with mostly positive trends, in contrast to the generally negative tendencies in the MLS 742 trend results. The average trends (from both pressure levels) based on all grid cells for MLS is -0.25 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, as opposed to +0.24 % yr<sup>-1</sup> obtained from CAM-chem-CAMS. Of note, these values lie 743 744 well outside twice the standard errors in the means (of 0.1 % yr<sup>-1</sup>), although one should understand 745 that there are limitations in the use of such a small error bar, given the existence of correlations in 746 atmospheric variability between the various bins. When the CEDS emissions are used, as done for 747 WACCM-CEDS and CAM-chem-CEDS, there is a general decrease in the UT CO trends, with 748 some small negative values, although the vast majority of the model CO trends obtained here are 749 not statistically different from zero within any given bin. The averaged UT mapped trend for CAMchem-CEDS is 0.0 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with twice the standard error in the mean also about 0.1 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. While 750 751 the use of the model CEDS emissions does lead to a better model agreement with the gridded MLS 752 UT CO trends, the MLS-derived trends are still, on the whole, more negative than these simulated 753 CO trends.

754 For CO, we repeat in Fig. 15 the explained variance analysis provided in Fig. 6 for O<sub>3</sub>. Overall, 755 the full fits explain less of the variability in the CO case, in part because of the large ENSO-related 756 peaks that occur throughout the MLS and WACCM-CEDS records, which the regression model, 757 as designed, can only imperfectly match. Also, there are regions in the southern tropics where the 758 annual cycle in the model is better fit by the regression than in the MLS case, and this translates 759 to a somewhat better overall full fit. For both MLS and model, the semi-annual cycle component 760 shows peaks over the South Atlantic region, which is likely linked to biomass burning in Africa 761 and related CO transport to the UT following convective activity (e.g., Duncan et al., 2007; Park

762 et al., 2013, 2021). As for the ozone case, the QBO-related UT variability in the tropics is very small (as seen from the OBO R<sup>2</sup> contributions). For both MLS and model representations, the 763 764 ENSO-related correlation patterns are broadly similar to the ozone case, in that there is larger 765 variance in the more extreme longitudes of both western and eastern sides. As for  $O_3$ , there are 766 somewhat smaller variance contributions in the Eastern hemisphere from ENSO and the semi-767 annual term than in the MLS case. At 215 hPa (see Fig. S6), the ENSO variance contribution is 768 slightly larger than at 147 hPa only in a small number of bins, but the overall ENSO-related 769 patterns are not stronger, as seen also in the CO sensitivity coefficients to ENSO in Figure 16 770 slight differences between the two pressure levels. below. which shows only

771

#### 772 **3.2.4 CO discussion**

773 Regarding the CO climatology, the models underestimate the MLS UT values by up to 20%, 774 and these differences could be readily caused by systematic biases in either MLS or the models, 775 or both. Park et al. (2013) also found that model CO values from a (WACCM4) simulation at 776 147 hPa were smaller than the ACE-FTS (and MLS) CO abundances, especially in the SH sub-777 tropics; they attributed this to a possible underestimation of surface emissions or transport via deep 778 convection. We note that low biases in simulated tropospheric CO have also been found before at 779 northern latitudes and may arise from various factors, such as underestimated CO emissions, high 780 biases in modeled tropospheric OH (Strode et al., 2016; Gaubert et al., 2023), or issues with 781 simulated CO dry deposition rates (Stein et al., 2014). Based on our model/MLS comparisons of 782 UT CO seasonal changes, we find significantly poorer matches at 215 hPa in the northern tropics 783 than in the southern tropics. The detailed causes of this discrepancy are currently not clear to us, 784 given the better matches (correlation coefficients) we obtain between MOPITT total CO columns 785 and modeled CO columns. Potential causes could include model inaccuracies (possibly related to 786 convection and/or CO emissions and subsequent transport in this fairly narrow latitude region), or 787 an alternate explanation having to do with poorly understood limitations of the MLS data in this 788 same region.

For the CO trends, the average tropical MLS UT trend is  $-0.25 \pm 0.30 \text{ %yr}^{-1}$ , whereas the corresponding trends from CAM-chem-CEDS and WACCM-CEDS are close to zero ( $0.0 \pm 0.14$ %yr^{-1}) for this region; these average trend results are statistically in agreement, even if the MLS CO trends tend to generally be more negative than the simulation results. However, the CAM- chem-CAMS simulations (which use CAMS anthropogenic CO emissions, see sect. 2.2), yield statistically significant positive average tropical UT CO trends ( $\pm 0.22 \pm 0.19 \, \text{%yr}^{-1}$ ). More specifically, these simulated latitude-dependent trends are significantly different from the MLS CO trends in the 12°N-24°N latitude bins. Larger MLS CO abundances in 2020 explain why the MLS CO UT trends are more negative if one stops the analyses in 2018 or 2019. The mapped MLS CO trends in the UT are also negative, with the more statistically significant result (stronger negative trends) occurring at 147 hPa.

800 While there have not been any past decades-long trend estimates for CO in the broad tropical 801 UT region, our results yield somewhat smaller rates of decrease than other trends mentioned in the Introduction, for example -0.5 to -2 %yr<sup>-1</sup>, based on IAGOS UT data at northern midlatitudes 802 803 (Cohen et al., 2018). The mapped model UT CO trends obtained here confirm the zonal mean 804 model results. Column CO in the free troposphere has generally shown decreasing trends since the turn of the century, typically between about -0.5 and -1.5 %yr<sup>-1</sup>, as observed in particular by 805 806 MOPITT and AIRS (Worden et al., 2013a, Strode et al., 2016; Buchholz et al., 2021; Hedelius et 807 al., 2021). Liu et al. (2022) presented a recent analysis of MOPITT CO data from 2005–2018, 808 along with tropospheric model comparisons to observed CO and O<sub>3</sub> time series. These authors 809 found (as shown here and described for MLS and ACE-FTS data by Park et al., 2021) that their 810 modeled and observational time series both exhibit large interannual variability, with some of the 811 largest interannual changes driven by El Niño events in 2006 and 2015 and related biomass burning 812 and CO enhancements tied to droughts over the Indonesian region (see also Logan et al., 2008; 813 Zhang et al., 2011; Livesey et al., 2013; Worden et al., 2013b; Park et al., 2013; Field et al., 2016). 814 Liu et al. (2022) found that modeled CO column trends over various regions of the globe were 815 generally negative, although a lower latitude region (India) exhibited a positive model trend. Jiang 816 et al. (2017) provide some arguments (and other references) pointing to flat biomass burning 817 emission trends over Africa for the first 10–15 years since the turn of the century. Not including 818 the strong tropical anomaly caused by El Niño in 2015, they infer a negative trend in global 819 biomass burning emissions. Uncertainties in the temporal evolution of OH (a major sink for CO) 820 could also explain model CO trend issues. However, Jiang et al. (2017) implied that changes in 821 global OH abundances could not readily explain global CO decreases, given constraints from 822 methyl chloroform surface data (this species also having OH as a major sink, as discussed by 823 Montzka et al., 2011) and despite large uncertainties in OH, especially during the last decade.

824 Rather, these authors conclude that decreasing CO emissions from anthropogenic and biomass 825 burning sources are the main cause of tropospheric CO decreases, although some regional 826 increasing emission trends do exist. While a systematic model bias cannot readily lead to a 827 significant discrepancy in model trend estimates (in percent per year) versus observations, time-828 dependent emission biases could (e.g., Gaubert et al., 2023). To first order, the decreasing UT CO 829 tropical trends derived from MLS for 2005–2020 agree with (but tend to be smaller in magnitude 830 than) total column CO trends discussed previously in the literature. As discussed by others, some 831 temporal non-linearity in CO trends may be responsible for some of the differences between past 832 tropospheric CO trend results over different periods.

833 For CO in particular, the temporal variability that MLS has observed in the upper troposphere 834 is difficult to fit completely using standard linear regression, given the existence of short-term 835 variability in the troposphere (e.g., Dunkerton and Crum, 1995; Ziemke et al., 2015), as well as 836 large episodic and somewhat random enhancements in the UT CO abundances. Regarding this CO 837 variability, we note that ACE-FTS UT CO monthly zonal mean time series track those from MLS, 838 as shown by Park et al. (2021); this helps to validate the UT time series and variability from MLS. 839 We find that the CO sensitivity to ENSO is much more spatially uniform in sign than the O<sub>3</sub> 840 sensitivity; UT  $O_3$  generally increases toward the tropopause while CO decreases, leading to 841 opposite sensitivities to increased upwelling phase over the Pacific (Figs. 7 and 16). In some 842 regions, the CO sensitivity has the same sign as for ozone, and in other regions, it differs; 843 moreover, the model's UT CO sensitivity coefficient to ENSO seems to broadly match the 844 observational sensitivity from MLS, as it shows positive values throughout the tropics. These 845 different behaviors between tropical UT O<sub>3</sub> and CO seem to mainly reflect a stronger (and positive) 846 sensitivity to biomass burning events in the case of CO.

847

#### 848 **5** Conclusions

We have analyzed tropical ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO) distributions in the upper troposphere (UT) and their temporal changes for 2005–2020 using Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) observations and chemistry climate model simulations. Upper tropospheric trends and variability diagnostics were obtained from multiple linear regression analyses.

#### 854 *Tropical UT O<sub>3</sub>*:

855 We have compared the model and MLS annual ozone climatologies, focusing on the 147 hPa 856 and 215 hPa pressure levels; the model abundances are typically ~5–15% smaller than MLS O<sub>3</sub> at 857 215 hPa, but larger than the MLS values at 147 hPa by ~20%. MLS O<sub>3</sub> has an averaged UT zonal mean trend at  $20^{\circ}\text{S}-20^{\circ}\text{N}$  of  $+0.39 \pm 0.28 \text{ %yr}^{-1}$ . We obtain excellent agreement with the above 858 859 result from the (averaged) CAM-chem-CEDS  $O_3$  zonal mean trends (0.38  $\pm$  0.28 %yr<sup>-1</sup>) and 860 somewhat poorer agreement from the smaller WACCM-CEDS trends  $(0.21 \pm 0.23 \text{ % yr}^{-1})$ . Our 861 analyses for specific latitude/longitude bins yield positive mapped O<sub>3</sub> trends up to 1.4 %yr<sup>-1</sup> over 862 Indonesia and East of that region, as well as over tropical Africa and the tropical Atlantic. Positive 863 tropical UT mapped O<sub>3</sub> trends are generally captured by the model simulations, although in a more 864 muted way. We find broad similarities (and some differences) between the mapped MLS UT O<sub>3</sub> 865 trends and corresponding mapped trends of tropospheric column ozone for the same time period.

866

#### 867 Tropical UT CO:

868 The model climatologies generally show an underestimate versus the MLS CO climatology, 869 with model average biases usually about -10% to -20%. Also, in the northern hemisphere tropics, 870 we find significantly poorer model fits to the observed phasing of CO seasonal changes at 215 hPa 871 than at 147 hPa. This discrepancy is much smaller for the comparison of modeled and 872 Measurements of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) V9J CO columns. The MLS zonal mean 873 CO UT trend is  $-0.25 \pm 0.30$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the corresponding model CO trends are close to zero 874  $(0.0 \pm 0.14 \text{ % yr}^{-1})$  when the anthropogenic emissions used in CAM-chem and WACCM are taken 875 from Community Emissions Data System (CEDS) version 2. The non-CEDS version of CAM-876 chem (the CAM-chem-CAMS simulation) yields averaged CO UT trends of  $0.22 \pm 0.19$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>, in 877 contrast to the negative tendencies prevalent in the MLS CO trends throughout the tropics. The 878 negative MLS tropical UT CO trends for 2005-2020 agree with (but tend to be smaller in 879 magnitude than) previously published total column CO trends. We also find that the sensitivity of 880 UT CO to El Niño / Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is positive at all tropical longitudes, in contrast 881 to the (well-known) dipolar longitudinal structure that exists for the UT O<sub>3</sub> ENSO sensitivity.

882

883 The MLS-derived upper tropospheric tropical trends in O<sub>3</sub> and CO arise from a well-sampled 884 multi-year data set, with the results showing a first-order correlation to large-scale changes in lower tropospheric composition (O<sub>3</sub> increases and CO decreases). We find that there are broad similarities (and a few differences) between the measured UT trends and corresponding results from model simulations, which incorporate state-of-the-art representations of the complex interplay between emissions, photochemistry, convection, and transport in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere. These results will contribute to the continuing assessments of tropospheric evolution, in particular the large community efforts regarding TOAR-II and CMIP-7.

891 Changes in O<sub>3</sub> precursor emissions have been implicated previously as a driver for global 892 tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> changes (e.g., long-term increases), while decreasing CO emissions from 893 anthropogenic and biomass burning sources have been suggested as the main causes of recent 894 decreases in tropospheric CO. We believe that further investigations into how well different 895 models of O<sub>3</sub> and CO in the tropical UT match the corresponding MLS UT trends are warranted, 896 to provide better understanding of differences between models. There may still be adjustments to 897 make to the models regarding the assumed CO surface emissions, convection, and/or transport-898 related issues, even though such studies are beyond the scope of this paper. Indeed, biomass 899 burning from Africa or South America and emissions from Asia, followed by transport, can (and 900 will continue to) influence the tropical upper tropospheric abundances of CO and O<sub>3</sub> (e.g., 901 Tsivlidou et al., 2023). On a longer timescale, the troposphere is a region where the relative 902 importance of multiple factors might change over the multi-decadal timescale of climate change; 903 also, longer-term projections from (free running) models may not be representative of changes 904 from a particular decade or two (see Fiore et al., 2022, regarding model ensemble projections). For 905 example, long-term positive trends in the influx of ozone from the stratosphere to the troposphere 906 may be expected as a result of climate change (Meul et al., 2018), probably with more of an 907 influence on the extra-tropical upper troposphere. Regarding the tropics, Stevenson et al. (2013) 908 showed that a number of chemistry climate model simulations of climate change scenarios yielded 909 long-term ozone decreases in the lower troposphere as a result of enhancements in water vapor 910 (implying more ozone destruction), but low latitude upper tropospheric ozone could be expected 911 to rise, following increased production from lightning. Obtaining accurate enough observations of 912 large-scale tropospheric composition change over the long-term is expected to represent a 913 continuing, but worthy challenge.

#### 915 Data availability.

916 The MLS data files analyzed here come from the MLS Level 3 data sets (zonal mean and gridded 917 quantities), which are publicly available from the Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services 918 (GES https://earthdata.nasa.gov/eosdis/daacs/gesdisc. Center DISC) at 919 The MOPITT Version 9 products are available from NASA through the Earthdata portal 920 (https://earthdata.nasa.gov/; https://asdc.larc.nasa.gov/project/MOPITT/MOP03JM 9; or directly from the 921 ASDC archive (https://asdc.larc.nasa.gov/data/MOPITT/). We used the following site. 922 ftp://ftp.seismo.nrcan.gc.ca/spaceweather/solar flux/monthly averages/solflux monthly average.txt to 923 obtain monthly means of the Canadian F10.7 solar flux measurements (Tapping, 2013); these series (see 924 http://www.spaceweather.gc.ca) were included in our regression fits. The QBO-related equatorial wind 925 monthly time series were obtained from the public website at https://www.geo.fu-926 berlin.de/en/met/ag/strat/produkte/qbo. The multivariate ENSO index dataset was obtained from the NOAA 927 Physical Sciences Laboratory website at https://www.psl.noaa.gov/enso/mei/ (Wolter and Timlin, 2011; 928 Zhang et al., 2019). OMI/MLS tropospheric ozone data were obtained from the NASA satellite tropospheric 929 ozone webpage https://acd-ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data\_services/cloud\_slice/.

930

931 **Supplement.** Supplementary material is included as a separate file.

932

933 Author contributions. LF analyzed the MLS and model data for trends and variability, and prepared the 934 manuscript, along with contributions from all co-authors. DEK, CGB, and BG provided inputs for running 935 the model runs, as well as properly averaged and formatted outputs from the model, as well as pertinent 936 model-related comments and interpretation of results. JRZ provided TCO datasets and comments on the 937 manuscript. NJL, MJS, WGR, and others on the MLS team provided analyses and expertise to enable the 938 production of the Aura MLS data sets; NJL, MJS, and WGR also provided comments on the manuscript; 939 RAF provided programming assistance for the creation of the MLS data sets and for storage and analyses 940 of the MLS and model files.

941

942 **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

943

944 Acknowledgments. We are thankful to the whole MLS team (past and present) for their contributions over 945 the years to the MLS instrument, data, processing, and database management. We very much acknowledge 946 the referees, who helped improve the initial manuscript with quite a list of useful and detailed comments. 947 We acknowledge the encouragement from Owen Cooper and Helen Worden to link our paper to the 948 TOAR-II Copernicus special issue; thanks also to Kai-Lan Chang for briefly reviewing aspects of our 949 statistical approach regarding the guidelines adopted for reporting TOAR-linked results. WACCM-CEDS 950 is a component of the CESM, supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF). We acknowledge high-951 performance computing support from Chevenne (doi:10.5065/D6RX99HX) provided by NCAR's 952 Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, sponsored by the NSF. F10.7 data collection and 953 dissemination are supported by the National Research Council of Canada, with the participation of Natural 954 Resources Canada and support by the Canadian Space Agency. Work at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 955 California Institute of Technology, was performed under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space 956 Administration (80NM0018D0004). Copyright 2024. All rights reserved.

957

Financial support. LF and RAF were funded by the NASA Atmospheric Composition Modeling and
Analysis Program (ACMAP). NJL, MJS, and WGR (as well as LF) were supported by the Aura Microwave
Limb Sounder project. DEK, BG, and CGB were funded separately by NASA and NSF grants. Part of this
material is based on work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is a major
facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977. The
NSF NCAR MOPITT project is supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
Earth Observing System (EOS) program.

#### 965 **References**

- Andela, N., Morton, D. C., Giglio, L., Chen, Y., van der Werf, G. R., Kasibhatla, P. S., DeFries, R. S., Collatz, G. J.,
  Hantson, S., Kloster, S., Bachelet, D., Forrest, M., Lasslop, G., Li, F., Mangeon, S., Melton, J. R., Yue, C., and
  Randerson, J. T.: A human-driven decline in global burned area, Science, 356(6345):1356-1362, doi:
  10.1126/science.aal4108, 2017.
- Bouarar, I., Gaubert, B., Brasseur, G. P., Steinbrecht, W., Doumbia, T., Tilmes, S., et al.: Ozone anomalies in the free
  troposphere during the COVID-19 pandemic, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, e2021GL094204.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL094204, 2021.
- Bourassa, A. E., Degenstein, D. A., Randel, W. J., Zawodny, J. M., Kyrölä, E., McLinden, C. A., Sioris, C. E., and
  Roth, C. Z.: Trends in stratospheric ozone derived from merged SAGE II and Odin-OSIRIS satellite observations,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6983-6994, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-6983-2014</u>, 2014.
- Brasseur, G. P., Gupta, M., Anderson, B. E., Balasubramanian, S., Barrett, S., Duda, D., Fleming, G., Forster, P. M.,
  Fuglestvedt, J., Gettelman, A., Halthore, R. N., Jacob, D., Jacobson, M. Z., Khodayari, A., Liou, K.-N., Lund, M.
- 978 T., Miake-Lye, R. C., Minnis, P., Olsen, S., Penner, J. E., Prinn, R., Schumann, U., Selkirk, H. B., Sokolov, A.,
- 979 Unger, N., Wolfe, P., Wong, H.-W., Wuebbles, D. W., Yi, B., Yang, P., and Zhou, C.: Impact of aviation on
- 980 climate, FAA's Aviation Climate Change Research Initiative (ACCRI) Phase II, Bull. Amer. Met. Soc.,
  981 10.1175/BAMS-D-13-00089.1, 2016.
- Buchholz, B. R., Worden H. M., et al.: Air pollution trends measured from Terra: CO and AOD over industrial, fireprone, and background regions, Remote Sensing of Environment, 256, 112275,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.112275, 2021.
- Burkholder, J. B., Sander, S. P., Abbatt, J. P. D., Barker, J. R., Cappa, C., Crounse, J. D., Dibble, T. S., Huie, R. E.,
  Kolb, C. E., Kurylo, M. J., Orkin, V. L., Percival, C. J., Wilmouth, D. M., and Wine, P. H.: Chemical kinetics and
- 987 photochemical data for use in atmospheric studies, Evaluation No. 19, JPL Publication 19-5, Jet Propulsion
- Plate and the state of the stat
- Calvo, N., Garcia, R. R., Randel, W. J., and Marsh, D.: Dynamical mechanism for the increase in tropical upwelling
  in the lowermost tropical stratosphere during warm ENSO events, J. Atmos. Sci., 67, 2331–2340,
  https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JAS3433.1. 2010.
- Chandra, S., Ziemke, J. R., Min, W., and Read, W. G.: Effects of 1997–1998 El Niño on tropospheric ozone and water
  vapor, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25(20), 3867–3870, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/98GL02695</u>, 1998.
- Coddington, O., Lean, J., Pilewskie, P., Snow, M., and Lindholm, D.: A solar irradiance climate data record, Bull.
  Amer. Meteor. Soc., https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00265.1, 2016.
- Cohen, Y., Petetin, H., Thouret, V., Marécal, V., Josse, B., Clark, H., Sauvage, B., Fontaine, A., Athier, G., Blot, R.,
  Boulanger, D., Cousin, J.-M., and Nédélec, P.: Climatology and long-term evolution of ozone and carbon
  monoxide in the upper troposphere–lower stratosphere (UTLS) at northern midlatitudes, as seen by IAGOS from
- 1000 1995 to 2013, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 5415–5453, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-5415-2018</u>, 2018.

- Collins, W. J., Derwent, R. G., Garnier, B., C. E. Johnson, C. E., Sanderson, M. G., and Stevenson, D. S.: Effect of stratosphere-troposphere exchange on the future tropospheric ozone trend, J. Geophys. Res., 108, D12, 8528, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002617, 2003.
- Cooper, O. R., Parrish, D. D., Ziemke, J. R., Balashov, N. V., Cupeiro, M., Galbally, I., Gilge, S., Horowitz, L., Jensen,
   N. R., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Oltmans, S. J., Schwab, J., Shindell, D. T., Thompson, A. M., Thouret, V., Wang,
- Y., and Zbinden, R. M.: Global distribution and trends of tropospheric ozone: An observation-based review,
   Elementa, 2, 000029, <u>https://doi.org/10.12952/journal.elementa.000029</u>, 2014.
- Crutzen, P. J.: A discussion of the chemistry of some minor constituents in stratosphere and troposphere, Pure Appl.
   Geophys., 106, 1385–1399, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00881092</u>, 1973.
- 1010 Crutzen, P. J., and Andreae, M. O.: Biomass burning in the tropics: Impact on atmospheric chemistry and
   1011 biogeochemical cycles, Science, 250, 1669–1678, <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.250.4988.1669</u>, 1990.
- Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J.-F., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K., Edwards, J., Emmons, L. K.,
  Fasullo, J., Garcia, R., Gettelman, A., Hannay, C., Holland, M. M., Large, W. G., Lauritzen, P. H., Lawrence, D.
- 1014 M., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Lindsay, K., Lipscomb, W. H., Mills, M. J., Neale, R., Oleson, K. W., Otto-Bliesner, B.,
- 1015 Phillips, A. S., Sacks, W., Tilmes, S., van Kampenhout, L., Vertenstein, M., Bertini, A., Dennis, J., Deser, C.,
- 1016 Fischer, C., Fox-Kemper, B., Kay, J. E., Kinnison, D. E., Kushner, P. J., Larson, V. E., Long, M. C.,
- 1017 Mickelson, S., Moore, J. K., Nienhouse, E., Polvani, L., Rasch, P. J., and Strand, W. G.: The Community Earth 1018 System Model Version 2 (CESM2), J. Adv. in Modeling Earth 12, Systems, 1019 https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001916, 2020.
- Darmenov, A., and da Silva, A. M.: The Quick Fire Emissions Dataset (QFED) Documentation of versions 2.1, 2.2
  and 2.4, NASA/TM-2015-104606, 2015;38:183, <u>http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/pubs/tm/</u>, 2014.
- Davis, N. A., Callaghan, P., Simpson, I. R., and Tilmes, S.: Specified dynamics scheme impacts on wave-mean flow
   dynamics, convection, and tracer transport in CESM2 (WACCM6), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 197–
   214, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-197-2022, 2022.
- Deeter, M., Francis, G., Gille, J., Mao, D., Martínez-Alonso, S., Worden, H., Ziskin, D., Drummond, J., Commane,
   R., Diskin, G., and McKain, K.: The MOPITT Version 9 CO product: sampling enhancements and validation,
   Atmos. Meas. Tech., 15, 2325–2344, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-2325-2022, 2022.
- Doherty, R. M., Stevenson, D. S., Johnson, C. E., Collins, W. J., and Sanderson, M. G.: Tropospheric ozone and El
   Niño–Southern Oscillation: Influence of atmospheric dynamics, biomass burning emissions, and future climate
   change, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D19304, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006849, 2006.
- Duncan, B. N., Martin, R. V., Staudt, A. C., Yevich, R., and Logan, J. A.: Interannual and seasonal variability of
   biomass burning emissions constrained by satellite observations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4100,
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2002jd002378, 2003.
- Duncan, B. N., Strahan, S. E., Yoshida, Y., Steenrod, S. D., and Livesey, N.: Model study of the cross-tropopause
   transport of biomass burning pollution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3713–3736, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-3713-
- 1036 <u>2007</u>, 2007.

- 1037 Dunkerton, T. J., and Crum, F. X.: Eastward propagating eastward ~2- to 15-day equatorial convection and its relation 1038 oscillation, J. Geophys. 100. D12, 25781-25790, to the tropical intraseasonal Res., 1039 http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/95JD02678, 1995.
- Ebojie, F., Burrows, J. P., Gebhardt, C., Ladstter-Weienmayer, A., von Savigny, C., Rozanov, A., Weber, M., and
  Bovensmann, H.: Global tropospheric ozone variations from 2003 to 2011 as seen by SCIAMACHY, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 16, 417–436, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-417-2016, 2016.
- Efron, B., and Tibshirani, R.: An Introduction to the Bootstrap, Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability 57,
   Chapman and Hall, 1993.
- Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J. F., Pfister, G. G., Fillmore, D., Granier, C. Guenther, A.
  Kinnison, D., Laepple, T., Orlando J., Tie, X., Tyndall, G., Wiedinmyer, C., Baughcum S. L., and Kloster,
  S.: Description and evaluation of the Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-*Geoscientific Model Development*, 3(1), 43-67. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-43-2010</u>.
- Emmons, L. K., Schwantes, R. H., Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G., Kinnison, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Marsh, D., Mills, M. J.,
  Tilmes, S., Bardeen, C., Buchholz, R. R., Conley, A., Gettelman, A., Garcia, R., Simpson, I., Blake, D. R.,
  Meinardi, S., and Pétron, G.: The Chemistry Mechanism in the Community Earth System Model version 2
  (CESM2), Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001882, 2020.
- 1053 Feely, R. A., Gammon, R. H., Taft, B. A., Pullen, P. E., Waterman, L. S., Conway, T. J., Gendron, J. F., and 1054 Wisegarver, D. P.: Distribution of chemical tracers in the eastern equatorial Pacific during and after the 1982-1055 1983 92, El Niño/Southern Oscillation Event, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 6545-6558, 1056 https://doi.org/10.1029/JC092iC06p06545, 1987.
- Field, R. D., van der Werf, G. R., Fanin, T., Fetzer, E. J., Fuller, R., Jethva, H., Levy, R., Livesey, N. J., Luo, M.,
  Torres, O., and Worden, H. M.: Indonesian fire activity and smoke pollution in 2015 show persistent nonlinear
  sensitivity to El Niño-induced drought, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
  America, 113, 9204–9209, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1524888113, 2016.
- 1061 Fiore, A. M., Hancock, S. E., Lamarque, J.-F., Correa, G. P., Chang, K.-L., Ru, M., Cooper, O., Gaudel, A., Polvani,
- L. M., Sauvage, B., and Ziemke, J. R.: Understanding recent tropospheric ozone trends in the context of large
  internal variability: a new perspective from chemistry-climate model ensembles, Environ. Res.: Climate 1 (2022)
  025008, https://doi.org/10.1088/2752-5295/ac9cc2, 2022.
- Froidevaux, L., Kinnison, D. E., Wang, R., Anderson, J., and Fuller, R. A.: Evaluation of CESM1 (WACCM) free running and specified dynamics atmospheric composition simulations using global multispecies satellite data
   records, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 4783–4821, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-4783-2019, 2019.
- Froidevaux, L., Kinnison, D. E., Santee, M. L., Millán, L. F., Livesey, N. J., Read, W. G., Bardeen, C. G., Orlando, J.
   J., and Fuller, R. A.: Upper stratospheric ClO and HOCl trends (2005–2020): Aura Microwave Limb Sounder and
- 1070 model results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 4779–4799, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-4779-2022</u>, 2022.
- Gaubert, B., Worden, H. M., Arellano, A. F. J., Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S., Barré, J., Martinez Alonso, S., Vitt, F.,
  Anderson, J. L., Alkemade, F., Houweling, S., and Edwards, D. P.: Chemical feedback from decreasing carbon
  monoxide emissions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 9985–9995, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074987, 2017.

- 1074 Gaubert, B., Emmons, L. K., Raeder, K., Tilmes, S., Miyazaki, K., Arellano Jr., A. F., Elguindi, N., Granier, C., Tang,
- 1075 W., Barré, J., Worden, H. M., Buchholz, R. R., Edwards, D. P., Franke, P., Anderson, J. L., Saunois, M., Schroeder,
- 1076 J., Woo, J.-H., Simpson, I. J., Blake, D. R., Meinardi, S., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J., Teng, A., Kim, M.,
- 1077 Dickerson, R. R., He, H., Ren, X., Pusede, S. E., and Diskin, G. S.: Correcting model biases of CO in East Asia:
- 1078 impact on oxidant distributions during KORUS-AQ, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 14617–14647,
   1079 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-14617-2020, 2020.
- Gaubert, B., Edwards, D. P., Anderson, J. L., Arellano, A.F., Barré, J., Buchholz, R.R., Darras, S., Emmons, L.K.,
  Fillmore, D., Granier, C., et al.: Global Scale Inversions from MOPITT CO and MODIS AOD, Remote Sens. 15,
  4813, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15194813, 2023.
- 1083 Gaudel, A., Cooper, O. R., Ancellet, G., Barret, B., Boynard, A., Burrows, J. P., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P. F., Cuesta,
- J., Cuevas, E., Doniki, S., Dufour, G., Ebojie, F., Foret, G., Garcia, O., Granados-Muñoz, M. J., Hannigan, J. W.,
  Hase, F., Hassler, B., Huang, G., Hurtmans, D., Jaffe, D., Jones, N., Kalabokas, P., Kerridge, B., Kulawik, S.,
- 1086 Latter, B., Leblanc, T., Le Flochmoën, E., Lin, W., Liu, J., Liu, X., Mahieu, E., McClure-Begley, A., Neu, J. L.,
- 1087 Osman, M., Palm, M., Petetin, H., Petropavlovskikh, I., Querel, R., Rahpoe, N., Rozanov, A., Schultz, M. G.,
- 1088 Schwab, J., Siddans, R., Smale, D., Steinbacher, M., Tanimoto, H., Tarasick, D. W., Thouret, V., Thompson, A.
- 1089 M., Trickl, T., Weatherhead, E., Wespes, C., Worden, H. M., Vigouroux, C., Xu, X., Zeng, G., and Ziemke, J.:
- 1090 Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Present-day distribution and trends of tropospheric ozone relevant to 1091 climate and global atmospheric chemistry model evaluation, Elem. Sci. Anth., 6. 39. 1092 https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.291, 2018.
- 1093 Gaudel, A., Cooper, O. R., Chang, K.-L., Bourgeois, I., Ziemke, J. R., Strode, S. A., Oman, L. D., Sellitto, P., Nédélec, 1094 P., Blot, R., Thouret, V., and Granier, C.: Aircraft observations since the 1990s reveal increases of tropospheric 1095 multiple the Northern Hemisphere, Sci. ozone at locations across Adv., 6, eaba8272, 1096 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba8272, 2020.
- Gaudel, A., Bourgeois, I., Li, M., Chang, K.-L., Ziemke, J., Sauvage, B., Stauffer, R. M., Thompson, A. M., Kollonige,
  D. E., Smith, N., Hubert, D., Keppens, A., Cuesta, J., Heue, K.-P., Veffkind, P., Aikin, K., Peischl, J., Thompson,
- 1099 C. R., Ryerson, T., B., Frost, G., J., McDonald, B. C., and Cooper, O. R.: Tropical tropospheric ozone distribution
  and trends from in situ and satellite data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-3095,
- 1101 **2024**.
- Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suarez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., Randles, C. A., Darmenov,
  A., Bosilovich, M. G., Reichle, R., Wargan, K., Coy, L., Cullather, R., Draper, C., Akella, S., Buchard,
  V., Conaty, A., da Silva, A. M., Gu, W., Kim, G.-K., Koster, R., Lucchesi, R., Merkova, D., Nielsen, J.
- 1105 E., Partyka, G., Pawson, S., Putman, W., Rienecker, M., Schubert, S. D., Sienkiewicz, M., and Zhao, B.: The
- Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA2), J. Clim., 30, 5419–
  5454, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1, 2017.
- 1108 Gettelman, A., Mills, M. J., Kinnison, D. E., Garcia, R. R., Smith, A. K., Marsh, D. R., Tilmes, S., Vitt, F., Bardeen,
- 1109 C. G., McInerny, J., Liu, H.-L., Solomon, S. C., Polvani, L. M., Emmons, L. K., Lamarque, J.-F., Richter, J. H.,
- 1110 Glanville, A. S., Bacmeister, J. T., Phillips, A. S., Neale, R. B., Simpson, I. R., DuVivier, A. K., Hodzic, A., and

- Randel, W. J.: The Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model version 6 (WACCM6), J. Geophys. Res.Atmos., 124, 12,380–12,403, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030943</u>, 2019.
- 1113 Gratz, L. E., Jaffe, D. A., and Hee, J. R.: Causes of increasing ozone and decreasing carbon monoxide in springtime 1114 2004 2013, at the Mt. Bachelor Observatory from to Atm. Env., 109. 323-330, 1115 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.05.076, 2015.
- 1116 He, H., Stehr, J. W., Hains, J. C., Krask, D. J., Doddridge, B. G., Vinnikov, K. Y., Canty, T. P., Hosley, K. M.,
- Salawitch, R. J., Worden, H. M., and Dickerson, R. R.: Trends in emissions and concentrations of air pollutants in
  the lower troposphere in the Baltimore/Washington airshed from 1997 to 2011, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-7859-2013, 2013.
- Hedelius, J. K., Toon, G. C., Buchholz, R. R., Iraci, L. T., Podolske, J. R., Roehl, C. M., et al.: Regional and urban
  column CO trends and anomalies as observed by MOPITT over 16 years, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 126,
  e2020JD033967, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033967, 2021.
- Hegglin, M. I., and Shepherd, T. G.: Large Climate-Induced Changes in Ultraviolet Index and Stratosphere-to
  Troposphere Ozone Flux, Nature Geoscience, 2, 687-691, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ngeo604</u>, 2009.
- 1125 Hegglin, M. I., Tegtmeier, S., Anderson, J., Bourassa, A. E., Brohede, S., Degenstein, D., Froidevaux, L., Funke, B.,
- 1126 Gille, J., Kasai, Y., Kyrölä, E. T., Lumpe, J., Murtagh, D., Neu, J. L., Pérot, K., Remsberg, E. E., Rozanov, A.,
- 1127 Toohey, M., Urban, J., von Clarmann, T., Walker, K. A., Wang, H.-J., Arosio, C., Damadeo, R., Fuller, R. A.,
- Lingenfelser, G., McLinden, C., Pendlebury, D., Roth, C., Ryan, N. J., Sioris, C., Smith, L., and Weigel, K.:
- Overview and update of the SPARC Data Initiative: comparison of stratospheric composition measurements from
   satellite limb sounders, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 1855–1903, <a href="https://10.5194/essd-13-1855-2021">https://10.5194/essd-13-1855-2021</a>, 2021.
- Hess, P. G., and Zbinden, R.: Stratospheric impact on tropospheric ozone variability and trends: 1990–2009, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 13, 649–674, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-649-20132013</u>, 2013.
- Heue, K.-P., Coldewey-Egbers, M., Delcloo, A., Lerot, C., Loyola, D., Valks, P., and van Roozendael, M.: Atmos.
  Meas. Tech., 9, 5037–5051, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-5037-2016, 2016.
- 1135 Horr, P., Borken-Kleefeld, J., Caro, D., Dessens, O., Endresen, O., Gauss, M., Grewe, V., Hauglustaine, D., Isaksen,
- I. S. A., Jockel, P., Lelieveld, J., Myhre, G., Meijer, E., Olivie, D., Prather, M., Schnadt Poberaj, C., Shine, K. P.,
  Staehelin, J., Tang, Q., van Aardenne, J., van Velthoven, P., and Sausen, R.: The impact of traffic emissions on
  atmospheric ozone and OH: results from QUANTIFY, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3113–3136, <u>www.atmos-chem-</u>
- 1139 <u>phys.net/9/3113/2009/</u>, 2009.
- Hsu, J. and Prather, M. J.: Is the residual vertical velocity a good proxy for stratosphere-troposphere exchange of ozone?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, 9024–9032, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061994, 2014.
- Huang, L., Fu, R., Jiang, J. H., Wright, J. S., and Luo, M.: Geographic and seasonal distributions of CO transport
  pathways and their roles in determining CO centers in the upper troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4683–4698,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-4683-2012, 2012.
- Huang, L., Fu, R., and Jiang, J. H.: Impacts of fire emissions and transport pathways on the interannual variation of
- 1146 CO in the tropical upper troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 4087–4099, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-4087-</u>
- <u>2014,</u> 2014.

- Huang, L., Jiang, J. H., Murray, L. T., Damon, M. R., Su, H., and Livesey, N. J.: Evaluation of UTLS carbon monoxide
  simulations in GMI and GEOS-Chem chemical transport models using Aura MLS observations, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 16, 5641–5663, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-5641-2016, 2016.
- 1151 Hubert, D., Lambert, J.-C., Verhoelst, T., Granville, J., Keppens, A., Baray, J.-L., Bourassa, A. E., Cortesi, U.,
- 1152 Degenstein, D. A., Froidevaux, L., Godin-Beekmann, S., Hoppel, K. W., Johnson, B. J., Kyrölä, E., Leblanc, T.,
- Lichtenberg, G., Marchand, M., McElroy, C. T., Murtagh, D., Nakane, H., Portafaix, T., Querel, R., Russell III, J.
- 1154 M., Salvador, J., Smit, H. G. J., Stebel, K., Steinbrecht, W., Strawbridge, K. B., Stübi, R., Swart, D. P. J., Taha,
- 1155 G., Tarasick, D. W., Thompson, A. M., Urban, J., van Gijsel, J. A. E., Van Malderen, R., von der Gathen, P.,
- 1156 Walker, K. A., Wolfram, E., and Zawodny, J. M.: Ground-based assessment of the bias and long-term stability of
- 1157 14 limb and occultation ozone profile data records, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 2497–2534,
   1158 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-2497-2016, 2016.
- Jiang, J. H., Livesey, N. J., Su, H., Neary, L., McConnell, J. C., and Richards, N. A. D.: Connecting surface emissions,
  convective uplifting, and long-range transport of carbon monoxide in the upper troposphere: New observations
  from the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L18812, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2007gl030638</u>,
- 1162 2007.
- Jiang, Z., Worden, J. R., Worden, H., Deeter, M., Jones, D. B. A., Arellano, A. F., and Henze, D. K.: A 15-year record
  of CO emissions constrained by MOPITT CO observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 4565–4583,
  <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp17-4565-2017</u>, 2017.
- Jones, C. D., Collins, M., Cox, P. M., and Spall, S. A.: The Carbon Cycle Response to ENSO: A Coupled Climate–
  Carbon Cycle Model Study, J. Climate, 14, 4113–4129, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-</u>
  0442(2001)014<4113:tccrte>2.0.CO;2, 2001.
- Kinnison, D. E., Brasseur, G. P., Walters, S., Garcia, R. R., Sassi, F., Boville, B. A., Marsh, D. Harvey, L., Randall,
  C., Randel, W., Lamarque, J. F., Emmons, L. K., Hess, Orlando, J., Tyndall, G., and Pan, L.: Sensitivity of
  chemical tracers to meteorological parameters in the MOZART-3 chemical transport model, J. Geophys. Res.,
  1172 112, D20302, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007879, 2007.
- Khalil, M. A. K., and Rasmussen, R. A.: The global cycle of carbon monoxide: trends and mass balance, Chemosphere,
  20, Nos. 1–2, pp. 227–242, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6535(90)90098-E</u>, 1990.
- Kumar, A.,Wu, S.,Weise, M. F., Honrath, R., Owen, R. C., Helmig, D., Kramer, L., Val Martin, M., and Li, Q.: Freetroposphere ozone and carbon monoxide over the North Atlantic for 2001–2011, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 12537–
  12547, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-12537-2013, 2013.
- Laken, B. A., and Shahbaz, T.: Satellite-Detected Carbon Monoxide Pollution during 2000–2012: Examining Global
   Trends and also Regional Anthropogenic Periods over China, the EU and the USA, Climate, 2014, 2, 1-16,
   <a href="https://doi.org10.3390/cli2010001">https://doi.org10.3390/cli2010001</a>, 2014.
- 1181 Lee, D. S., Fahey, D. W., Skowron, A., Allen, M. R., Burkhardt, U., Chen, Q., Doherty, S. J., Freeman, S., Forster, P.
- 1182 M., Fuglestvedt, J., Gettelman, A., De Leon, R. R., Lim, L. L., Lund, M. T., Millar, R. J., Owen, B., Penner, J. E.,
- 1183 Pitari, G., Prather, M. J., Sausen, R., and Wilcox, L. J.: The contribution of global aviation to anthropogenic climate
- forcing for 2000 to 2018, Atmos. Env., 244, 117834, doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117834, 2021.

- Leventidou, E., Weber, M., Eichmann, K.-U., Burrows, J. P., Heue, K.-P., Thompson, A. M., and Johnson, B. J.:
  Harmonisation and trends of 20-year tropical tropospheric ozone data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 9189–9205,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-9189-2018, 2018.
- Li, L., and Liu, Y.: Space-borne and ground observations of the characteristics of CO pollution in Beijing, 2000–2010,
  Atmos. Env., 45, 2367–2372. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.02.026</u>, 2011.
- Liu, J., Logan, J. A., Murray, L. T., Pumphrey, H. C., Schwartz, M. J., and Megretskaia, I. A.: Transport analysis and
  source attribution of seasonal and interannual variability of CO in the tropical upper troposphere and lower
  stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Physics, 13, 129–146. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-129-2013</u>, 2013.
- Liu, J., Strode, S. A., Liang, Q., Oman, L. D., Colarco, P. R., Fleming, E. L., Manyin, M. E., Douglass, A. R., Ziemke,
  J. R., Lamsal, L. N., and Li, C.: Change in tropospheric ozone in the recent decades and its contribution to global
  total ozone, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 127, e2022JD037170. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037170, 2022.
- Livesey, N. J., and Read, W. G.: Direct retrieval of line-of-sight atmospheric structure from limb sounding
  observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 891-894, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL010964</u>, 2000.
- Livesey, N. J., Van Snyder, W., Read, W. G., and Wagner, P. A.: Retrieval algorithms for the EOS Microwave Limb
  Sounder (MLS), IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 44, 1144-1155, https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2006.872327,
  2006.
- Livesey, N. J., Filipiak, M. J., Froidevaux, L., Read, W. G., Lambert, A., Santee, M. L., Jiang, J. H., Waters, J. W.,
  Cofield, R. E., Cuddy, D. T., Daffer, W. H., Drouin, B. J., Fuller, R. A., Jarnot, R. F., Jiang, Y. B., Knosp, B. W.,
- 1203 Li, Q. B., Perun, V. S., Schwartz, M. J., Snyder, W. V., Stek, P. C., Thurstans, R. P., Wagner, P. A., Pumphrey, H.
- 1204 C., Avery, M., Browell, E. V., Cammas, J.-P., Christensen, L. E., Edwards, D. P., Emmons, L. K., Gao, R.-S., Jost,
- 1205 H.-J., Loewenstein, M., Lopez, J. D., N'ed'elec, P., Osterman, G. B., Sachse, G. W., and Webster, C. R.: Validation
- 1206 of Aura Microwave Limb Sounder O3 and CO observations in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, J.
- 1207 Geophys. Res., 113, D15S02, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008805, 2008.
- Livesey, N. J., Logan, J. A., Santee, M. L., Waters, J. W., Doherty, R. M., Read, W. G., Froidevaux, L., and Jiang, J.
   H.: Interrelated variations of O<sub>3</sub>, CO and deep convection in the tropical/subtropical upper troposphere observed
- 1210
   by the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) during 2004-2011, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 579–598,

   1211
   https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-579-2013, 2013.
- Livesey, N. J., Read, W. G., Wagner, P. A., Froidevaux, L., Santee, M. L., Schwartz, M. J., Lambert, A., Millan Valle,
  L. F., Pumphrey, H. C., Manney, G. L., Fuller, R. A., Jarnot, R. F., Knosp, B. W., and Lay, R. R.: EOS MLS
  Version 5.0x Level 2 and 3 data quality and description document, Tech. rep., Jet Propulsion Laboratory D-105336
- 1215 Rev. B, Jan. 30, 2022, https://mls.jpl.nasa.gov/eos-aura-mls/documentation.php, 2022.
- Logan, Jennifer A.: Tropospheric ozone: Seasonal behavior, trends, and anthropogenic influence, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 90, 10463–10482, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD090iD06p10463, 1985.
- Logan, J. A., Prather, M. J., Wofsy, S. C., and McElroy, M. B.: Tropospheric chemistry: a global perspective, J.
  Geophys. Res., 86, 7210–7254, https://doi.org/10.1029/JC086iC08p07210, 1981.

- Logan, J. A., Megretskaia, I., Nassar, R., Murray, L. T., Zhang, L., Bowman, K. W., Worden, H. M., and Luo, M.:
  Effects of the 2006 El Niño on tropospheric composition as revealed by data from the Tropospheric Emission
  Spectrometer (TES), Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L03816, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL031698, 2008.
- 1223 McDuffie, E. E., Smith, S. J., O'Rourke, P., Tibrewal, K., Venkataraman, C., Marais, E. A., Zheng, B., Crippa, M.,
- 1224Brauer, M., and Martin, R. V.: A global anthropogenic emission inventory of atmospheric pollutants from sector-1225and fuel-specific sources (1970–2017): an application of the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), Earth1226
- 1226 Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 3413–3442, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3413-2020</u>, 2020.
- 1227 Meinshausen, M., Vogel, E., Nauels, A., Lorbacher, K., Meinshausen, N., Etheridge, D. M., Fraser, P. J., Montzka, S.
- A., Rayner, P. J., Trudinger, C. M., Krumme, P. B., Beyerle, U., Canadell, J. G., Daniel, J. S., Enting, I. G., Law,
  R. M., Lunder, C. R., O'Doherty, S., Prinn, R. G., Reimann, S., Rubino, M., Velders, G. J. M., Vollmer, M. K.,
  Wang, R. H.-J., and Weiss, R.: Historical greenhouse gas concentrations for climate modelling (CMIP6),
- 1231 Geoscientific Model Development, 10(5), 2057–2116. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2057-2017</u>, 2017.
- Meinshausen, M., Nicholls, Z. R. J., Lewis, J., Gidden, M. J., Vogel, E., Freund, M., Beyerle, U., Gessner, C., Nauels,
  A., Bauer, N., Canadell, J. G., Daniel, J. S., John, A., Krummel, P. B., Luderer, G., Meinshausen, N., Montzka, S.
- 1234 A., Rayner, P. J., Reimann, S., Smith, S. J., van den Berg, M., Velders, G. J. M., Vollmer, M. K., and Wang, R.
- H. J.: The shared socio-economic pathway (SSP) greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions to 2500,
  Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 3571–3605, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-3571-2020, 2020.
- Meul, S., Langematz, U., Kröger, P., Oberländer-Hayn, S, and Jöckel, P.: Future changes in the stratosphere-to troposphere ozone mass flux and the contribution from climate change and ozone recovery, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
   18, 7721–7738, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-7721-2018, 2018.
- Miyazaki, K., Bowman, K., Sekiya, T., Takigawa, M., Neu, J. L., Sudo, K., Osterman, G., and Eskes, H.: Global
  tropospheric ozone responses to reduced NOx emissions linked to the COVID-19 worldwide lockdowns, Science
  Advances, 7(24), eabf7460, <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abf7460</u>, 2021.
- Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law, K. S.,
  Mills, G. E., Stevenson, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret, V., von Schneidemesser, E., Sommariva, R., Wild, O., and
  Williams, M. L.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-
- 1246 lived climate forcer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8889–8973, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015, 2015.
- Montzka, S. A., Krol, M., Dlugokencky, E., Hall, B., Jöckel, P., and Lelieveld, J.: Small Interannual Variability of
  Global Atmospheric Hydroxyl, Science, 331, 67–69, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1197640, 2011.
- Murray, L. T., Mickley, L. J., Kaplan, J. O., Sofen, E. D., Pfeiffer, M., and Alexander, B.: Factors controlling
  variability in the oxidative capacity of the troposphere since the Last Glacial Maximum, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14,
  3589–3622, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-3589-2014, 2014.
- Nassar, R., Logan, J. A., Megretskaia, I. A., Murray, L. T., Zhang, L., & Jones, D. B. A., Analysis of tropical
  tropospheric ozone, carbon monoxide, and water vapor during the 2006 El Niño using TES observations and the
  GEOS-Chem model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D17304, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009jd011760, 2009.
- 1255 Neely, R. R., and Schmidt, A.: VolcanEESM: Global volcanic sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emissions database from 1850
- 1256 to present Version 1.0., https://doi.org/10.5285/76ebdc0b-0eed-4f70-b89e-55e606bcd568, 2016.

- Neu, J. L., Flury, T., Manney, G. L., Santee, M. L., Livesey, N. J., and Worden, J.: Tropospheric ozone variations
  governed by changes in stratospheric circulation, Nat. Geosci., 7, 340–344, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2138,
  2014.
- O'Neill, B. C., Tebaldi, C., van Vuuren, D. P., Eyring, V., Friedlingstein, P., Hurtt, G., Knutti, R., Kriegler, E.,
  Lamarque, J.-F., Lowe, J., Meehl, G. A., Moss, R., Riahi, K., and Sanderson, B. M.: The Scenario Model
  Intercomparison Project (Scenario MIP) for CMIP6, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 3461–3482,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3461-2016, 2016.
- 1264 Oman, L. D., Ziemke, J. R., Douglass, A. R., Waugh, D. W., Lang, C., Rodriguez, J. M., and Nielsen, J. E.: The 1265 tropospheric ozone ENSO. Geophys. Res. response of tropical to Lett., 38. L13706, 1266 https://doi.org/10.1029/2011g1047865, 2011.
- Oman, L. D., Douglass, A. R., Ziemke, J. R., Rodriguez, J. M., Waugh, D. W., and Nielsen, J. E.: The ozone response
   to ENSO in Aura satellite measurements and a chemistry-climate simulation, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 965–976,
   https://doi.org/10.1029/2012jd018546, 2013.
- Park, M., Randel, W. J., Kinnison, D. E., Emmons, L. K., Bernath, P. F., and Walker, K. A., Boone, C. D., and Livesey,
  N. J.: Hydrocarbons in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere observed from ACE-FTS and comparisons
  with WACCM, J. Geophys. Res-Atmos., 118, 1964–1980, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD018327, 2013.
- Park, K., Wang, Z., Emmons, L. K., and Mak, J. E.: Variation of atmospheric CO, δ<sup>13</sup>C, and δ<sup>18</sup>O at high northern
  latitude during 2004–2009: Observations and model simulations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 120, 11,024–11,036,
  https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023191, 2015.
- Park, M., Worden, H. M., Kinnison, D. E., Gaubert, B., Tilmes, S., Emmons, L. K., Santee, M. L., Froidevaux, L.,
  and Boone, C. D.: Fate of pollution emitted during the 2015 Indonesian fire season, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 126,
  e2020JD033474, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033474</u>, 2021.
- Patel, A., Mallika, C., Chandrab, N., Patrab, P. K., Steinbacherd, M., Revisiting regional and seasonal variations in
  decadal carbon monoxide variability: Global reversal of growth rate, Science of the Total Environment, 909,
  168476, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168476</u>, 2024.
- Petzold, A., Thouret, V., Gerbig, C., Zahn, A., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Gallagher, M., Hermann, M., Pontaud, M.,
  Ziereis, H., Boulanger, D., Marshall, J., Nédélec, P., Smit, H. G. J., Friess, U., Flaud, J.-M., Wahner, A., Cammas,
- 1284J.-P., and Volz-Thomas, A.: Global-scale atmosphere monitoring by in-service aircraft current achievements and1285future prospects of the European Research Infrastructure IAGOS, Tellus B, 67, 28452,
- 1286 <u>https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v67.28452</u>, 2015.
- Price, C., and Rind, D.: A simple lightning parameterization for calculating global lightning distributions, J. Geophys.
   Res.-Atmos., 97, 9919–9933, https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD00719, 1992.
- Price, C., Penner, J., and Prather, M.: NOx from lightning: 1. Global distribution based on lightning physics, J.
  Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 102(D5), 5929–5941. https://doi.org/10.1029/96JD03504, 1997.
- Randel, W. J., Park, M., Wu, F., and Livesey, N. J.: A large annual cycle in ozone above the tropical tropopause linked
  to the Brewer-Dobson circulation, J. Atmos. Sci., 64, 4479–4488, https://doi.org/10.1175/2007JAS2409.1, 2007.

- Read, W. G., Shippony, Z., and Snyder, W. V.: The clear-sky unpolarized forward model for the EOS Aura microwave
  limb sounder (MLS), IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 44, 1367-1379,
  https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2006.862267, 2006.
- 1296 Riahi, K., van Vuuren, D. P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O'Neill, B. C., Fujimori, S., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Dellink,
- 1297 R., Fricko, O., Lutz, W., Popp, A., Crespo Cuaresma, J., Samir, K. C., Leimbach, M., Jiang, L., Kram, T., Rao, S.,
- 1298 Emmerling, J., Ebi, K., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Humpenöder, F., Da Silva, A., Smith, S., Stehfest, E., Bosetti,
- 1299 V., Eom, J., Gernaat, D., Masui, T., Rogelj, J., Strefler, J., Drouet, L., Krey, V., Luderer, G., Harmsen, M.,
- 1300 Takahashi, K., Baumstark, L., Doelman, J. C., Kainuma, M., Klimont, Z., Marangoni, G., Lotze-Campen, H.,
- Obersteiner, M., Tabeau, A., Tavoni, M.: The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy, land use, and
   greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview, Global Environ. Chang., 42, 1045 153–168,
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenycha.2016.05.009, 2017.
- Rodgers, C.: Inverse Methods for Atmospheric Sounding: Theory and Practice, Vol. 2 of Series on Atmospheric,
  Oceanic and Planetary Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
- Rowlinson, M. J., Rap, A., Arnold, S. R., Pope, R. J., Chipperfield, M. P., McNorton, J., Forster, P., Gordon, H.,
  Pringle, K. J., Feng, W., Kerridge, B. J., Latter, B. L., and Siddans, R.: Impact of El Niño–Southern Oscillation on
  the interannual variability of methane and tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 8669–8686,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8669-2019, 2019.
- Schoeberl, M. R., Duncan, B. N., Douglass, A. R., Waters, J., Livesey, N., Read, W., and Filipiak, M.: The carbon
  monoxide tape recorder, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L12811, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2006gl026178</u>, 2006.
- Schumann, U., and Huntrieser, H.: The global lightning-induced nitrogen oxides source, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7,
  3823–3907, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-3823-2007</u>, 2007.
- 1314 Soulié, A., Granier, C., Darras, S., Zilbermann, N., Doumbia, T., Guevara, M., Jalkanen, J.-P., Keita, S., Liousse, C.,
- Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Hoesly, R., and Smith, S. J.: Global anthropogenic emissions (CAMS-GLOB-ANT) for
   the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service simulations of air quality forecasts and reanalyses, Earth Syst.
- 1317 Sci. Data, 16, 2261–2279, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-16-2261-2024, 2024.
- Souri, A. H., Choi, Y., Jeon, W., Woo, J.-H., Zhang, Q., and Kurokawa, J.: Remote sensing evidence of decadal
  changes in major tropospheric ozone precursors over East Asia, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 122, 2474–2492,
  https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025663, 2017.
- SPARC: The SPARC Data Initiative: Assessment of stratospheric trace gas and aerosol climatologies from satellite
   limb sounders, M. I. Hegglin and S. Tegtmeier (eds.), SPARC Report No. 8, WCRP-5/2017, available at
   www.sparc-climate.org/publications/sparc-reports/, 2017.
- Stein, O., M. G. Schultz, M. G., Bouarar, I., Clark, H., Huijnen, V., A. Gaudel, A., George, M., and Clerbaux, C.: On
  the wintertime low bias of Northern Hemisphere carbon monoxide found in global model simulations, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 14, 9295–9316, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-9295-2014, 2014.
- 1327 Steinbrecht, W., Kubistin, D., Plass-Dülmer, C., Davies, J., Tarasick, D. W., Gathen, P. v. d., Deckelmann, H., Jepsen,
- 1328 N., Kivi, R., Lyall, N., Palm, M., Notholt, J., Kois, B., Oelsner, P., Allaart, M., Piters, A., Gill, M., Van Malderen,
- 1329 R., Delcloo, A. W., Sussmann, R., Mahieu, E., Servais, C., Romanens, G., Stübi, R., Ancellet, G., Godin-

- 1330 Beekmann, S., Yamanouchi, S., Strong, K., Johnson, B., Cullis, P., Petropavlovskikh, I., Hannigan, J. W.,
- 1331 Hernandez, J.-L., Diaz Rodriguez, A., Nakano, T., Chouza, F., Leblanc, T., Torres, C., Garcia, O., Röhling, A. N.,
- 1332 Schneider, M., Blumenstock, T., Tully, M., Paton-Walsh, C., Jones, N., Querel, R., Strahan, S., Stauffer, R. M.,
- 1333 Thompson, A. M., Inness, A., Engelen, R., Chang, K.-L., and Cooper, O. R.: COVID-19 Crisis Reduces Free
- 1334 Tropospheric Ozone Across the Northern Hemisphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, e2020GL091987, 1335 https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091987, 2021.
- <u>nups.//doi.org/10.1029/202001091907</u>, 2021.
- 1336 Stevenson, D. S., Young, P. J., Naik, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Voulgarakis, A., Skeie, R. B., Dalsoren, S.
- 1337 B., Myhre, G., Berntsen, T. K., Folberth, G. A., Rumbold, S. T., Collins, W. J., MacKenzie, I. A., Doherty, R. M.,
- 1338 Zeng, G., van Noije, T. P. C., Strunk, A., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Plummer, D. A., Strode, S. A.,
- 1339 Horowitz, L., Lee, Y. H., Szopa, S., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Josse, B., Cionni, I., Righi, M., Eyring, V., Conley,
- A., Bowman, K. W., Wild, O., and Archibald, A.: Tropospheric ozone changes, radiative forcing and attribution
- to emissions in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 13, 3063–3085, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-3063-2013</u>, 2013.
- Strode, S. A., Worden, H. M., Damon, M., Douglass, A. R., Duncan, B. N., Emmons, L. K., Lamarque, J.-F., Manyin,
  M., Oman, L. D., Rodriguez, J. M., Strahan, S. E., and Tilmes, S.: Interpreting space-based trends in carbon
  monoxide with multiple models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 7285–7294, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-7285-2016</u>,
  2016.
- Sudo, K. and Takahashi, M.: Simulation of tropospheric ozone changes during 1997–1998 El Niño: Meteorological
  impact on tropospheric photochemistry, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 4091–4094,
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL013335</u>, 2001.
- Sudo, K., Takahashi, M., and Akimoto, H.: Future changes in stratosphere-troposphere exchange and their impacts on
   future tropospheric ozone simulations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 24, 2256, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018526</u>
   , 2003.
- Tapping, K.F.: The 10.7 cm solar radio flux (F10.7), Space Weather, 11, 394-406, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/swe.20064</u>,
  2013.
- Thompson, A. M., Doddridge, B. G., Witte, J. C., Hudson, R. D., Luke, W. T., Johnson, J. E., Johnson, B. J., Oltmans,
  S. J., and Weller, R.: A tropical Atlantic ozone paradox: Shipboard and satellite views of a tropospheric ozone
  maximum and wave-one in January–February 1999, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 3317–3320,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL011273, 2000.
- 1359 Thompson, A. M., Witte, J. C., Oltmans, S. J., Schmidlin, F. J., Logan, J. A., Fujiwara, M., Kirchhoff, V. W. J., Posny,
- 1360 F., Coetzee, G. J. R., Hoegger, B., Kawakami, S., Ogawa, T., Fortuin, J. P. F., and Kelder, H. M.: Southern 1361 Hemisphere Additional Ozonesondes (SHADOZ) 1998-2000 tropical ozone climatology. 2. Tropospheric 1362 108(D2), variability and the zonal wave-one, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 8241, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002241, 2003. 1363
- Thompson, A. M., Stauffer, R. M., Wargan, K., Witte, J. C., Kollonige, D. E., and Ziemke, J. R.: Regional and
  seasonal trends in tropical ozone from SHADOZ profiles: Reference for models and satellite products, J. Geophys.
  Res.-Atmos., 126, e2021JD034691, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD034691, 2021.

- Tilmes, S., Hodzic, A., Emmons, L. K., Mills, M. J., Gettelman, A., Kinnison, D. E., Park, M., Lamarque, J.-F., Vitt,
  F., Shrivastava, M., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., and Liu, X.: Climate forcing and trends of organic
  aerosols in the Community Earth System Model (CESM2), J. of Adv. in Modeling Earth Systems, 11, 4323–4351,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001827, 2019.
- Tsivlidou, M., Sauvage, B., Bennouna, Y., Blot, R., Boulanger, D., Clark, H., Le Flochmoën, E., Nédélec, P., Valérie
  Thouret, V., Wolff, P., and Barret, B.: Tropical tropospheric ozone and carbon monoxide distributions:
  characteristics, origins, and control factors, as seen by IAGOS and IASI, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 14039–14063,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-14039-2023, 2023.
- Verma, S., Yadava, P. K., Lal, D. M., Mall, R. K., Kumar, H., and Payra, S.: Role of Lightning NOx in Ozone
  Formation: A Review, Pure Appl. Geophys., <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-021-02710-5</u>, 2021.
- 1377 Voulgarakis, A., Marlier, M. E., Faluvegi, G., Shindell, D. T., Tsigaridis, K., and Mangeon, S.: Interannual variability
   1378 of tropospheric trace gases and aerosols: The role of biomass burning emissions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.,120,
   1379 7157–7173, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022926, 2015.
- Wang, P.-H., Fishman, J., Harvey, V. L., and Hitchman, M. H.: Southern tropical upper tropospheric zonal ozone
  wave-1 from SAGE II observations (1985–2002), J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D08305,
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006221, 2006.
- Wang, H., Lu, X., Jacob, D. J., Cooper, O. R., Chang, K.-L., Li, K., Gao, M., Liu, Y., Sheng, B., Wu, K., Wu, T.,
  Zhang, J., Sauvage, B., Nédélec, P., Blot, R., and Fan, S.: Global tropospheric ozone trends, attributions, and
  radiative impacts in 1995–2017: an integrated analysis using aircraft (IAGOS) observations, ozonesonde, and
  multi-decadal chemical model simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 13753–13782, <a href="https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-13753-2022">https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-13753-2022</a>, 2022.
- Warner, J., Carminati, F., Wei, Z., Lahoz, W., and Attié, J.-L.: Tropospheric carbon monoxide variability from AIRS
   under clear and cloudy conditions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 12469–12479, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-12469-</u>
   <u>2013</u>, 2013.
- Waters, J., Froidevaux, L., Harwood, R., Jarnot, R., Pickett, H., Read, W., Siegel, P., Cofield, R., Filipiak, M., Flower,
  D., Holden, J., Lau, G., Livesey, N., Manney, G., Pumphrey, H., Santee, M., Wu, D., Cuddy, D., Lay, R., Loo, M.,
- 1393 Perun, V., Schwartz, M., Stek, P., Thurstans, R., Boyles, M., Chandra, S., Chavez, M., Chen, G.-S., Chudasama,
- B., Dodge, R., Fuller, R., Girard, M., Jiang, J., Jiang, Y., Knosp, B., LaBelle, R., Lam, J., Lee, K., Miller, D.,
- 1395 Oswald, J., Patel, N., Pukala, D., Quintero, O., Scaff, D., Snyder, V., Tope, M., Wagner, P., and Walch, M.: The
- Earth Observing System Microwave Limb Sounder (EOS MLS) on the Aura satellite, IEEE Transac. Geosci.
  Remote Sens., 44, 5, https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2006.873771, 2006.
- Witte, J. C., Schoeberl, M. R., Douglass, A. R., and Thompson, A. M.: The quasi-biennial oscillation in tropical ozone
  from SHADOZ and HALOE, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 3929–3936, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-3929-2008, 2008.
- Wolter, K., and Timlin, M. S.: El Niño/Southern Oscillation behaviour since 1871 as diagnosed in an extended
  multivariate ENSO index (MEI.ext), Intl. J. Climatology, 31, 1074–1087, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2336, 2011.
- 1402 Worden, H. M., Deeter, M. N., Frankenberg, C., George, M., Nichitiu, F., Worden, J., Aben, I., Bowman, K. W.,
- 1403 Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P. F., de Laat, A. T. J., Detweiler, R., Drummond, J. R., Edwards, D. P., Gille, J. C.,

- Hurtmans, D., Luo, M., Martinez- Alonso, S., Massie, S., Pfister, G., and Warner, J. X.: Decadal record of satellite
  carbon monoxide observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 837–850, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-837-2013</u>,
  2013a.
- Worden, J., Wecht, K., Frankenberg, C., Alvarado, M., Bowman, K., Kort, E., Kulawik, S., Lee, M., Payne, V., and
  Worden, H.: CH<sub>4</sub> and CO distributions over tropical fires during October 2006 as observed by the Aura TES
  satellite instrument and modeled by GEOS-Chem, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3679–3692,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-3679-2013, 2013b.
- Yoon, J., and Pozzer, A.: Model-simulated trend of surface carbon monoxide for the 2001–2010 decade, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 14, 10465–10482, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-10465-2014</u>, 2014.
- Zhang, L., Li, Q. B., Jin, J., Liu, H., Livesey, N., Jiang, J. H., Mao, Y., Chen, D., Luo, M., and Chen, Y.: Impacts of
  2006 Indonesian fires and dynamics on tropical upper tropospheric carbon monoxide and ozone, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 11, 10929–10946, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-10929-2011, 2011.
- Zhang, S., Zhao, P., He, L., Yang, Y., Liu, B., He, W., Cheng, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, S., Hu, Q., Huang, C., Wu, Y., Onboard monitoring (OBM) for heavy-duty vehicle emissions in China: Regulations, early-stage evaluation and
  policy recommendations, Science of the Total Environment, Vol. 731, 139045, ISSN 0048-9697,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139045, 2020.
- Zhang, T., Hoell, A., Perlwitz, J., Eischeid, J., Murray, D., Hoerling, M., and Hamill, T.: Towards Probabilistic
  Multivariate ENSO Monitoring, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL083946, 2019.
- Zhang, Y., Cooper, O. R., Gaudel, A., Thompson, A. M., Nédélec, P., Ogino, S.-Y., and West, J. J.: Tropospheric
   ozone change from 1980 to 2010 dominated by equatorward redistribution of emissions, Nat. Geosci., 9, 875–879,
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2827</u>, 2016.
- Zheng, B., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Yin, Y., Deeter, M. N., Worden, H. M., Wang, Y., Zhang, Q., and He, K.: Rapid decline in carbon monoxide emissions and export from East Asia between years 2005 and 2016, Environ. Res.
  Lett., 13, 044007, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aab2b3, 2018.
- Ziemke, J. R., and Chandra, S.: La Niña and El Niño–induced variabilities of ozone in the tropical lower atmosphere
  during 1970–2001, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 3, 1142, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016387</u>, 2003.
- Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., Oman, L. D., and Bhartia, P. K.: A new ENSO index derived from satellite measurements
  of column ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3711–3721, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-3711-2010</u>, 2010.
- Ziemke, J. R., A. R. Douglass, A. R., L. D. Oman, L. D., S. E. Strahan, S. E., and B. N. Duncan, B. N.: Tropospheric
  ozone variability in the tropics from ENSO to MJO and shorter timescales, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8037–8049,
  <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-8037-2015</u>, 2015.
- Ziemke, J. R., Oman, L. D., Strode, S. A., Douglass, A. R., Olsen, M. A., McPeters, R. D., Bhartia, P. K., Froidevaux,
  L., Labow, G. J., Witte, J. C., Thompson, A. M., Haffner, D. P., Kramarova, N. A., Frith, S. M., Huang, L.-K.,
- 1437 Jaross, G. R., Seftor, C. J., Deland, M. T., and Taylor, S. L.: Trends in global tropospheric ozone inferred from a
- 1438 composite record of TOMS/OMI/MLS/OMPS satellite measurements and the MERRA-2 GMI simulation, Atmos.
- 1439 Chem. Phys., 19, 3257–3269, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3257-2019, 2019.

- 1440 Ziemke, J. R., Kramarova, N. A., Frith, S. M., Huang, L.-K., Haffner, D. P., and Wargan, K.: NASA satellite
- 1441 measurements show global-scale reductions in free tropospheric ozone in 2020 and again in 2021 during COVID-
- 1442 19, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, e2022GL098712, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL098712</u>, 2022.
- 1443

**Table 1.** Some characteristics of the three chemistry climate model simulations used in this work.

144	5
-----	---

Model Designation	Simulation Name	CO Anthropogenic Emissions Dataset	CO Biomass Burning dataset	Nudging timescale (hours)	Tropical Lightning NOx (Tg N yr <sup>-1</sup> )	Aircraft NOx Dataset <sup>1</sup>
CAM-chem	CAM-chem-CAMS	CAMS- GLOB- ANT_v5.1	QFED	6	2.34	Soulié et al. (2024)
CAM-chem	CAM-chem-CEDS	CEDSv2	QFED	6	2.34	Soulié et al. (2024)
WACCM	WACCM-CEDS	CEDSv2	QFED	12	2.78	CMIP6

<sup>1</sup>For 2005–2014, the aircraft NO<sub>x</sub> emissions for WACCM-CEDS and both CAM-chem model simulations
 are identical. From 2015 onward, the WACCM-CEDS emissions are kept constant.

## 1453 **Figures** 1454



1457 Figure 1. Annually-averaged climatological comparisons between MLS and model ozone fields for 2005-1458 2020 at low latitudes (26°S to 26°N) at 147 hPa ((a) through (g)) and at 215 hPa ((h) through (n)). For 147 1459 hPa: (a) climatological O<sub>3</sub> maps from MLS, (b) from WACCM-CEDS, (c) from CAM-chem-CEDS; (d) 1460 shows the zonal mean climatology from the MLS data and both model simulations, with (e) giving the 1461 differences in zonal means for both model simulations minus MLS (color-coded as shown in the (d) legend), 1462 while (f) provides a difference map of the climatologies from WACCM-CEDS minus MLS, and (g) gives 1463 the difference map for CAM-chem-CEDS minus MLS. Panels (h) through (n) provide the same information 1464 as (a) through (g), but for 215 hPa. We note that in panels (d) and (k), CAM is an abbreviation for the CAM-1465 chem-CEDS simulation, and WACCM is an abbreviation for the WACCM-CEDS simulation.



 $\begin{array}{c} 1469 \\ 1470 \end{array}$ 

1471 **Figure 2.** Ozone zonal mean trends versus latitude in the tropical upper troposphere, for 2005–2020, based 1472 on MLR analyses of time series from MLS (black), WACCM-CEDS (red), CAM-chem-CAMS (cyan) and 1473 CAM-chem-CEDS (blue). Each row corresponds to a different pressure level: (a) for 147 hPa, (b) for 178 1474 hPa, and (c) for 215 hPa, as labeled above each panel. Error bars give the uncertainties  $(2\sigma)$  in the estimated 1475 linear trends (see text for more details).



1478 **Figure 3.** Ozone zonal mean trends versus latitude in the tropical upper troposphere, with results from MLS 1479 data analyses shown in the left panels, and model results from CAM-chem-CEDS in the right panels. Each 1480 row corresponds to a different pressure level, as labeled. All panels show the trend sensitivity to the time 1481 period used in the regression fits. For example, black is used to show the period from 2005 through 2020; 1482 results from four other time periods are also shown, with the start or end year shifted by one or two years 1483 (see legend for the meaning of the various colors). The error bars given here represent the  $(2\sigma)$  uncertainties 1484 in the estimated linear trends.







**Figure 4.** Maps of upper tropospheric  $O_3$  trends (% yr<sup>-1</sup>) in the tropics for 147 hPa (top row) and 215 hPa (bottom row); the latitude range is from 26°S to 26°N, with maps all centered on the Greenwich meridian. MLS trends (left column) are compared to trends from WACCM-CEDS (middle column) and CAM-chem-CEDS (right column). Black crosses show grid boxes for which the trend estimate is not significantly different from zero (based on our 2 $\sigma$  error estimates).





**Figure 5.** (a) The top map shows MLS ozone trends (2005–2020) at 178 hPa, (b) the bottom map displays horizontally-smoothed tropospheric column ozone trends for the same time period, following the analyses of Ziemke et al. (2019), (c) cross sections of the above mapped trends in 4°-wide latitude bins centered at 12°N, 0°, and 12°S (see legend) for MLS (black) and TCO (red), and (d) correlation coefficient values R (on the x axis) between the MLS ozone trends at different pressures (see legend) and the TCO trends as a function of longitude, at different tropical latitudes (y axis). This panel provides a broader picture of the trend correlations, which exhibit a minimum near the Equator and maxima near 12°S and 12°N.



0.00 0.04 0.08 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 0.00 0.04 0.08 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 0.00 0.04 0.08 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 **Figure 6.** Contributions to the time series variance from the main fitted components of the regression to the gridded tropical MLS ozone time series at 147 hPa (top 6 panels) and the same for the WACCM-CEDS time series (bottom 6 panels). The titles in each panel indicate that the explained variance is from specific components (annual, semi-annual, short-term, meaning 3- and 4-months, QBO, ENSO, and full fit).



1514 1515

1516 **Figure 7.** Sensitivity coefficient to ENSO for ozone at 147 hPa (top panels) and 215 hPa (bottom panels); 1517 MLS results are shown in the left panels and the WACCM-CEDS results in the right panels. The black 1518 crosses show the grid boxes for which the sensitivity is not significantly different from zero (based on the 1519  $2\sigma$  error estimates). Note that this color bar is assymetric, with much larger negative values than positive 1520 values.







Figure 8. Same as Fig. 1, but for CO.







Figure 10. Same as Fig. 3, but for CO tropical zonal mean trends from MLS and CAM-chem-CEDS atthe MLS CO UT retrieval levels of 147 and 215 hPa.



1556 Figure 11. CO climatology at 215 hPa (using the 2005–2020 period) from MLS, WACCM-CEDS, and 1557 CAM-chem-CEDS for 4°-wide latitude bins centered at (a) 12°N and (b) 12°S. The thick solid lines 1558 represent the mean values from MLS (black), WACCM-CEDS (red) and CAM-chem-CEDS (blue), with 1559 corresponding variability estimates (twice the standard deviations) given by the colored dashed lines about 1560 each mean. 1561



and from CAM-chem-CEDS (blue) and WACCM-CEDS (red) for 4°-wide latitude bins centered at (a) 12°N

and (b) 12°S.





Figure 13. Correlation coefficient values (R) for the zonal mean time series from the model CO columns
(CAM-chem-CEDS in blue, WACCM-CEDS in red) versus MOPITT columns (dashed) and from the same
two models' CO mixing ratios versus MLS CO at 215 hPa (solid) for 4°-wide latitude bins centered at (a)
12°N and (b) 12°S.







Figure 15. Same as Fig. 6, but for CO



Figure 16. Same as Fig. 7, but for CO; unlike for O<sub>3</sub>, there is no need here for an asymmetric color bar,
but the positive range is the same as in the O<sub>3</sub> Figure.