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Figure S1. Averaged spatial distributions of (a) AOD monitored by Himawari-8, (b) O$_3$ total VCDs monitored by OMI, (c) NO$_2$ VCDs monitored by TROPOMI, (and d) HCHO VCDs monitored by TROPOMI from May to July 2019.
Figure S2. The diurnal variation of PBL in Nam Co from May to July 2019. The top and bottom of the box represented 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively. The lines and dots within the boxes were the median and mean, respectively.
Figure S3. Spatial distributions of 24-h WPSCF values for NO$_2$ at (a) 300 m, and (b) 400 m height layers from 01 May to 09 July 2019 over CAS (NAMORS).
Figure S4. Ozone vertical profile measure by (a) TROPOMI at Nam Co, (b) lidar at Yangbajing (Feng et al., 2019), (c) ozonesonde at Qaidam (Zhang et al., 2020), and (d) lidar at Lhasa (Yu et al., 2022).
Figure S5. Wind direction and wind speed at (a) 10 m, (b) 500 m, (c) 1000 m, (d) 1300 m, and (e) 1800 m at a range of 25°N-35°N and 85°E-95°E, respectively.
Figure S6. Scatter plots of HONO vs NO$_2$ at (a-b) 0-0.2 km, (c-d) 0.4-0.6 km, (e-f) 0.8-1.0 km, (g-h) 1.2-1.4 km, and (i-j) 1.6-1.8 km coloured by aerosol extinction coefficients and water vapour in the top and bottom row, respectively, from 01 May to 09 July 2019.
Figure S7. The percentage of allocation to each mean 48-h backward trajectory cluster arriving at CAS (NAMORS) at (a) 200 m, (b) 600 m, (c) 1000 m, (d) 1400 m, and (e) 1800 m height layers from 01 May to 09 July 2019.
Figure S8. Spatial distributions of 48-h WPSCF values for O$_3$ at (a) 200 m, (b) 600 m, (c) 1000 m, (d) 1400 m, and (e) 1800 m height layers from 01 May to 09 July 2019 over CAS (NAMORS).
Figure S9. The spatial distribution of fire point in south Asian subcontinent from May to July 2019.
Appendix: WRF model configurations

The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model was used to simulate the planetary boundary layer (PBL) height on the Tibetan Plateau. The detailed description of WRF model was given in the WRF website (http://www.wrf-model.org/index.php). In this work, the simulation domain covered 25°N-35°N and 80°E-100°E. The horizontal resolution of this simulation was set to 20×20 km², and we set 26 hybrid pressure-sigma levels in the vertical direction. We selected the 6-h final operational global analysis (FNL) data as the initial meteorological fields and boundary conditions. The data were provided by the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) with a 1° × 1° spatial resolution. Moreover, the NCEP Administrative Data Processing (ADP) Global Surface Observational Weather Data (ds461.0) and Upper Air Observational Weather Data (ds351.0) with 6-h temporal resolution were used to accurately reproduce the methodology. The physical parameterization schemes adopted in this study were described in Table S1.

Table S1. Model physical parameterization schemes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schemes</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microphysics</td>
<td>Purdue Lin Scheme (Chen et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longwave radiation</td>
<td>Rapid radiative RRTMG Scheme (Iacono et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortwave radiation</td>
<td>RRTMG Scheme (Iacono et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulus parameterization</td>
<td>Grell-Freitas Ensemble Scheme (Grell et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land surface</td>
<td>Unified Noah Land Surface Model (Tewari et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planetary boundary layer</td>
<td>Yonsei University Scheme (Hong et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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