Physicochemical Perturbation Increases Nitrous Oxide Production from Denitrification in Soils and Sediments

Nathaniel B. Weston[1], Cynthia Troy[1], Patrick J. Kearns[2,3], Jennifer L. Bowen[2], William Porubsky[4], Christelle Hyacinthe[5], Christof Meile[5], Philippe Van Cappellen[6] and Samantha B. Joye[5]

[1] Department of Geography and the Environment, Villanova University, Villanova, PA 19085, USA
[2] Department of Marine and Environmental Sciences, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA
[3] Current address: Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, MA 02125, USA
[4] Algenol Biofuels, Fort Meyers, FL, 33912, USA
[5] Department of Marine Sciences, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA
[6] Water Institute, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada

Correspondence to: Nathaniel B. Weston (nathaniel.weston@villanova.edu)

Abstract. Atmospheric concentrations of nitrous oxide (N_2O), a potent greenhouse gas that is also responsible for significant stratospheric ozone depletion, have increased in response to intensified use of agricultural fertilizers and other human activities that have accelerated nitrogen cycling processes. Microbial denitrification in soils and sediments is a major source of N_2O, produced as an intermediate during the reduction of oxidized forms of nitrogen to dinitrogen gas (N_2). Substrate availability (nitrate and organic matter) and environmental factors such as oxygen levels, temperature, moisture, and pH influence rates of denitrification and N_2O production. Here we describe the role of physicochemical perturbation (defined here as a change from the ambient environmental conditions) on denitrification and N_2O production. Changes in salinity, temperature, moisture, pH, and zinc in agricultural soils induced a short-term perturbation response characterized by lower rates of total denitrification and higher rates of net N_2O production. The N_2O to total denitrification ratio (N_2O:DNF) increased strongly with physicochemical perturbation. A salinity press experiment on tidal freshwater marsh soils revealed that increased N_2O production was likely driven by transcriptional inhibition of the nitrous oxide reductase (nos) gene, and that the microbial community adapted to altered salinity over a relatively short (within one month) timeframe. Perturbation appeared to confer resilience to subsequent disturbance, and denitrifiers from an environment without salinity fluctuations (tidal freshwater estuarine sediments) demonstrated a stronger N_2O perturbation response than denitrifiers from environments with more variable salinity (oligohaline and mesohaline estuarine sediments), suggesting that the denitrifying community from physicochemically stable environments may have a stronger perturbation response. These findings provide a framework for improving our understanding of the dynamic nature of N_2O production in soils and sediments, in which changes in physical and/or chemical conditions initiate a short-term perturbation response that promotes N_2O production that moderates over time and with subsequent physicochemical perturbation.

1 Introduction

Human activities continue to accelerate the global nitrogen (N) cycle through the industrial fixation of dinitrogen gas (N_2) for use as agricultural fertilizer, increased cultivation of N-fixing crops, and combustion of fossil fuels (Galloway et al., 2004). As a result, the availability of reactive N continues to increase in terrestrial and aquatic systems worldwide. Because many ecosystems are N limited (Vitousek & Howarth, 1991), increased levels of reactive N in the biosphere can have deleterious impacts, including the eutrophication of inland and coastal waters (Nixon, 2009). Denitrification is an anaerobic pathway of

microbial respiration that removes reactive N through the reduction of inorganic nitrogen [nitrate (NO_3^-) or nitrite (NO_2^-)] to unreactive dinitrogen gas (N_2; Payne, 1973; Knowles, 1982; Seitzinger, 1988). The complete reduction of NO_3^- to N_2 occurs in several steps that require the reduction of the intermediate gases nitric oxide (NO) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) and is accomplished by a series of enzymatic reactions catalyzed by NO_3^- reductase (Nar), NO_2^- reductase (Nir), NO reductase (Nor), and N_2O reductase (Nos; Knowles, 1982). N_2O is produced transiently during denitrification, and some N_2O escapes reduction and is emitted from zones of active denitrification to overlying waters and/or the atmosphere (Seitzinger, 1988). The increase in global reactive N fuels greater rates of denitrification, resulting in increased emissions of N_2O from soils, sediments, and waters (Denman et al., 2007; Beaulieu et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2020). N_2O is also produced through fungal denitrification (Maeda et al., 2015), microbial nitrification (Davidson et al., 1986), abiotic chemodenitrification (abiotic denitrification; Grabb et al., 2017; Robinson et al. 2021), and, possibly, dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonia (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013). The contribution of these processes to global N_2O budgets is less clear, but in many instances where direct comparisons have been made, bacterial denitrification is often the dominant N_2O source from soils and sediments (Mathieu et al., 2006; Vilain et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2015). More recently, however, (Bahram et al., 2022) found that Archaeal nitrifiers may play a more important role in N_2O production in soils than previously recognized. In the troposphere, N_2O is a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential 298 times that of carbon dioxide over a 100-year timeframe (Forster et al., 2007). Concentrations of N_2O in the atmosphere have risen by more than 18% with an estimated increase of roughly 0.26 % per year over the past several decadesfrom 1980 through 2005 (Forster et al., 2007). In addition, N_2O is currently the single most important ozone-depleting atmospheric trace gas and is expected to remain so throughout the 21st century (Ravishankara et al., 2009). Given the potency of N_2O as a greenhouse gas and ozone depleting substance, a better understanding of N_2O production dynamics in the geosphere is needed (Wuebbles, 2009).

Despite the importance of N_2O to climate change and stratospheric ozone dynamics, the factors that regulate net N_2O production from soils and sediments during denitrification (DNF; defined here as the sum of N_2O and N_2 production) remain unclear, and we do not yet know why the ratio of N_2O production to total denitrification (N_2O:DNF) varies in denitrifying environments. Denitrification rates are spatially and temporally heterogeneous in soils and sediments, resulting in 'hotspots' and 'hot moments' of activity (McClain et al., 2003; Groffman et al., 2009). Likewise, N_2O emissions from soils vary considerably over space and time, and our ability to predict this variation is limited (Huang et al., 2011; Henault et al., 2012; Harrison-Kirk et al., 2013; Weitzman et al., 2021). Several environmental variables impact rates of denitrification and N_2O emissions, including the availability of substrates (NO_3^-, and labile organic matter, and other electron donors such as ferrous iron). In general, the proportion of N_2O released from soils increases with increasing NO_3^- availability (Firestone et al., 1980; Barnard et al., 2005; Bao et al., 2012) and since denitrification is an anaerobic respiration process, it can be sensitive to oxygen (O_2) concentrations and soil moisture levels, which affects O_2 diffusion into soils (Firestone et al., 1980; Seitzinger, 1988; Conrad, 1996; Wang et al., 2023). Although rates of denitrification generally decline as oxygen concentrations increase (Knowles, 1982; Rosamond et al., 2012), the N_2O:DNF ratio can increase with higher O_2 availability (Firestone et al., 1980; Betlach & Tiedje, 1981; Burgin & Groffman, 2012).

In addition to NO_3^-, organic matter,substrate availability and O_2, other soil/sediment physicochemical factors can influence rates of denitrification and N_2O production. Soil pH exerts a potential control on rates of denitrification and N_2O:DNF ratios (Firestone et al., 1980; Weslien et al., 2009; Baggs et al., 2010). Typically, under more acidic conditions rates of denitrification are lower and the N_2O:DNF ratio is higher (vVan den Heuvel et al., 2011; Raut et al., 2012). Liu et al. (2014) suggest that posttranscriptional inhibition of the nitrous oxide reductase enzyme under lower pH conditions was responsible for the greater relative N_2O production. Similarly, increasing concentrations of heavy metals inhibits the reduction of N_2O, leading to higher N_2O fluxes (Magalhaes et al., 2007; Ruyters et al., 2010). Temperature (Seitzinger, 1988; Larsen et al., 2011; Billings & Tiemann, 2014), soil moisture (Teh et al., 2011; Bao et al., 2012; Brown et al., 2012), hydrogen sulfide (Porubsky et al., 2009),

and salinity (Giblin et al., 2010; Teixeira et al., 2013) can also exert control on denitrification and N_2O production. While physicochemical conditions can clearly influence denitrification, our understanding of how environmental controls impact denitrification coupled with N_2O production remains limited (Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013), and the resilience of microbial communities to changes in physicochemical conditions is not straightforward (Griffiths & Philippot, 2013). We addressed this knowledge gap by investigating whether pulse and press disturbances (Bender et al., 1984) that arise from changing physicochemical conditions elicit a perturbation response from the denitrifying community. We define perturbation as a deviation from ambient physicochemical conditions encountered by the denitrifying microbial community in soils or sediments. We explore how perturbation alters rates of denitrification, N_2O production, the N_2O:DNF production ratio, and changes in the gene expression of the nitriate reductase (*nirS*) and nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*) genes that code for key enzymes that mediate N_2O production and consumption.

2. Methods

Several experiments were conducted to evaluate environmental controls on denitrification (defined as N_2 + N_2O production), N_2O production, and the N_2O to total denitrification ratio (N_2O:DNF). We conducted three discrete experiments (Table 1) that addressed 1) the short-term perturbation response induced by manipulation of the physicochemical (salinity, temperature, pH, soil moisture, and zinc toxicity) status of agricultural soils, 2) the short-term response of denitrifying communities from environments experiencing a range in one parameter (salinity in estuarine sediments) to changes in that parameter, and 3) the long-term response (changes in process rates and gene expression) of the denitrifying community to a change in a single parameter (salinity in estuarine sediments). We elected to focus on changes in salinity in the 2nd and 3rd experiments because it is a parameter that changes over daily (tidal) and seasonal timescales in estuarine environments and is therefore ecologically relevant, it is a parameter that will be altered in some environments in response to climate change, and it is relatively easy to manipulate and to measure. We did not have the resources to investigate additional physicochemical parameters beyond the 1st experiment. We manipulated the physicochemical (salinity, temperature, pH, soil moisture, zinc toxicity) status of agricultural soils and assessed the response in rates of denitrification and nitrous oxide production, subjected sediments collected along an estuarine salinity gradient to changes in salinity, and conducted a 6-month press/pulse salinity experiment with soils from a tidal freshwater marsh. In all experiments, soils/sediments were incubated in oxygen-free, gas-tight headspace vials and the production of N_2O was measured with and without acetylene (Balderston et al., 1976; Yoshinari & Knowles, 1976; Groffman et al., 2006). N_2O production rates without acetylene reflect N_2O produced by the microbial community. Acetylene inhibits N_2O reductase and thus blocks the final step in the denitrification process, resulting in the buildup of N_2O rather than N_2 (Balderston et al., 1976; Yoshinari & Knowles, 1976). N_2O production rates with acetylene therefore reflect the total rate of denitrification (N_2 + N_2O; DNF). Headspace gas samples were taken at several (typically 3 to 4 times) during the incubation (see Appendix for example) and Rates rates of denitrification and N_2O production were calculated from the linear increase in N_2O over time. Incubation times were during the relatively short (typically <12 hr) incubation period to avoid changes in denitrifier population, longer-term adaptation of the denitrifying community to changes in physicochemical disturbance, and changes in substrate concentrations. In instances where nonlinearity in the production of N_2O was observed due to these (or other) factors, the data from later timepoints was not used and only the linear portion of the timecourse incubations were used to calculate N_2O production. All rRates are reported as µmol N_2 + N_2O (DNF) or N_2O per gram fresh

115   soil/sediment per day (μmol g^{-1} d^{-1}). The ratio of N_2O produced to total denitrification (N_2O:DNF) was calculated on a per mole basis.

2.1 Agricultural Soils – Salinity, pH, Zinc, Temperature and Moisture ~~Perturbation~~Pulse Perturbations

Experiments were conducted on agricultural soil samples collected from two sites in July 2011, one farmed conventionally (40.07464 N, 76.212008 W) and one farmed using organic practices (40.069779 N, 76.238079 W), in Lancaster, PA. Surface

120   soils (0-2 cm) were collected from each site~~.~~, The temperature of the surface soils (37 °C) was measured at the time of collection. The soils were returned to the laboratory, homogenized, and visible roots were removed. The soil water content (0.48 g g^{-1} for the conventional soil and 0.46 g g^{-1} for the organic soil) was determined by the loss of weight upon drying at 80 °C for 48 hours, and soil pH (7.37 in the conventional soil and 7.09 in the organic soil) was measured with a pH probe after mixing 20 g of soil with 25 ml deionized water. ~~homogenized, and~~ For each perturbation assay, approximately 20 g of soil was

125   placed into a 410 mL headspace jar ~~and s.~~ ~~T~~treatments with varying salinity, pH, zinc, temperature, and moisture were achieved to evaluate changes in N_2O production and denitrification rates ~~on these soils~~(Table 1). All experiments except for the moisture treatment received 10 mL of water, and all treatments were amended ~~to a final concentration of~~with 1 mM NO_3^- and 2 mM glucose.

A series of jars were amended to achieve various salinities (0, 1, 3, 5, 10, and 30 g kg^{-1}) using an artificial saltwater solution

130   (350 mM NaCl, 45.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 24.2 mM Na_2SO_4, 8.9 mM $CaCl_2$, 2 mM $NaHCO_3$, and 0.5 mM KCl for salinity of 30 g kg^{-1}, and diluted as appropriate with deionized water for other salinities). Similarly, a series of jars were amended to obtain various zinc concentrations (0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5 and 1.0 g Zn L^{-1} in deionized water)) by addition of a zinc chloride solution. pH treatments were achieved by amending the pH of the soil solution ~~(conventional soil pH 7.37; organic soil pH 7.09)~~ by additions of dilute ~~solutions of~~ hydrochloric acid or sodium hydroxide (in deionized water) to achieve deviations from ambient pH to

135   +1, +2, +3, -1, -2, ~~and~~ -3, and 0 (reference) ~~in deionized water~~. For the temperature treatments, 10 ml of deionized water was added to jars which were incubated at a range of temperatures (20, 30, 37, 43, and 52°C) to achieve positive and negative deviations from ambient (3~~7~~4 °C ~~soil temperature measured at time of collection~~). Moisture treatments were achieved by air drying soils for ~~one~~several days and adding various amounts of deionized water to ~~approximately 10 g of~~the dry soil to achieve 0.~~0~~, 0.05, 0.09, 0.17, 0.33, and 0.50 g water g^{-1} soil (weight:weight) soil moisture treatments.

140   Six jars were prepared for each treatment for each of the two soils. All jars were purged with N_2 gas to remove oxygen, and three jars of each treatment received acetylene (10%). Jars were incubated for approximately 12 hr at ambient temperature (3~~7~~4°C; ~~)~~except for the temperature treatments) and the headspace was sampled several times to determine N_2O production rates as described above. For the temperature treatments, jars were incubated for times ranging from 8 hr (43 and 52°C treatments) to 24 hr (20°C treatment) to allow for adequate biogeochemical activity and N_2O production across the range of

145   temperatures. The laboratory incubations for each of the five perturbation parameters were conducted on different days over a several week period and therefore the rates in the reference treatment for each perturbation assay should not be compared. Headspace samples were taken using 10 mL syringes with a gas-tight valve, and N_2O was determined on an Agilent Technologies 6850 Series II electron capture gas chromatograph within a day of collection.

2.2 Estuarine Sediments – Salinity Pulse Perturbation

150   We examined the denitrifier perturbation response to pulse disturbance induced by a single physicochemical parameter (salinity) in environments that naturally experience a range in that parameter (estuarine sediments; Table 1). Sediments were sampled from three locations along the salinity gradient (ambient salinities of 0, 5, and 24 g kg^{-1}) in the Scheldt River estuary

in Brussels and the Netherlands and we assessed rates of sediment denitrification and N_2O production across a range of
salinities (from 0 to ~~24~~30 $g\ kg^{-1}$). Intact sediment cores were collected from freshwater (Appels, salinity 0 $g\ kg^{-1}$ at time of
collection; 51.030309 N, 4.041905 E), oligohaline (Waarde, salinity 5 $g\ kg^{-1}$ at time of collection; 51.410664 N, 4.068669 E),
and mesohaline (Rattekaai, salinity 24 $g\ kg^{-1}$ at time of collection; 51.449888 N, 4.195477 E) sites. The freshwater Appels site
occupies the tidal freshwater region of the Scheldt River which is uniformly fresh (van Damme et al. 2005). ~~, and the~~ The
oligohaline Waarde site is in the Westerschelde Estuary into which the Scheldt River drains, with salinities ranging from 2 to
25 $g\ kg^{-1}$ (van Damme et al. 2005). The mesohaline Rattekaai site is located in the Oosterschelde, which ~~that receives less
direct freshwater input~~Gerringa et al. (1998) report has higher salinities (around 30 $g\ kg^{-1}$) that are less variable because of
little~~s.~~ freshwater input.

Sediment ~~c~~Cores were sectioned and approximately 2 g of surface (0-2 cm) sediment and 10 mL of water were placed into 38
cm^3 headspace vials. The salinity of the water added to the vials was amended by mixing 0.7 μm filtered freshwater (salinity
= 0 $g\ kg^{-1}$; collected from the Appels site) and seawater (S = 30 $g\ kg^{-1}$; collected from the Scheldt Estuary). ~~The~~ Sediments
from the freshwater Appels site~~s~~ ~~was~~ were incubated under salinities of 0 (ambient), 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, and 30 $g\ kg^{-1}$, the
oligohaline Waarde site~~s~~ was incubated under salinities of 0, 1, 3, 5 (ambient), 10, 15, and 30 $g\ kg^{-1}$, and the mesohaline
Rattekaai site was incubated under salinities of 0, 3, 5, 10, 24 (ambient), and 30 $g\ kg^{-1}$ (n=6 for each sediment/salinity
treatment). All ~~salinity~~ treatments also received 4 mM glucose and 2 mM NO_3^-.

After purging each vial with He to remove oxygen, the headspace of three vials for each treatment ~~were~~ was amended with
10% acetylene. Vials were then incubated for approximately 24 hours at room temperature (20°C). Gas samples from the
headspace of each vial were removed at several timepoints during the incubation into 10 mL evacuated headspace vials. The
concentration of N_2O was determined by electron capture gas chromatography on a Shimadzu GC8 gas chromatograph within
one month of collection.

2.3 Estuarine Soils – Press ~~—~~ Pulse Salinity Perturbation ~~Experiment~~

We investigated the response to long-term (~months) changes in physicochemical conditions (press perturbation) to contrast
to the pulsed perturbation response described above (Table 1). Surface (0-2 cm) soils from a tidal freshwater marsh on the
Delaware River estuary (Rancocas Creek; 39.9888002 N, 74.84483 W) were collected and 0.75 L of soil was mixed with 0.75
L of either artificial freshwater or saline water (using salts as described ~~above~~in section 2.1) to achieve long-term incubation
(press) salinities of S = 0 $g\ kg^{-1}$ (control) or S = 20 $g\ kg^{-1}$ (press treatment). Duplicates of each treatment were incubated for 6
months in stoppered flasks with an oxygen-free headspace (purged with N_2 gas) under gentle mixing. To alleviate substrate
limitation over the incubation period, the jars were amended ~~to a final concentration of~~by 0.4 mM NO_3^- and 0.8 mM glucose
weekly.

On days 0, 7, 14, 21, 35, 49, 70, 110, and 181, the long-term incubations were sub-sampled into smaller vials for short-term
assays of denitrification and N_2O production. 10 ml of the soil solution was sub-sampled into a 410 mL headspace vial, 10 ml
of the appropriate salinity water was added to each vial along with 0.4 mM NO_3^- and 0.8 mM glucose ~~to achieve 0.4 and 0.8
mM final concentrations, respectively~~. Due to differences in starting salinity from the press treatments, the salinities after
amendment that were assayed for the S = 0 and S = 20 press treatment were 0.0, 4.3, 7.6, 16.9, and 25.6 $g\ kg^{-1}$ (for S = 0) and
3.5, 7.4, 11.6, 20.0, and 28.3 $g\ kg^{-1}$ (for S = 20). Four oxygen-free vials for each press/pulse combination ~~was~~ were prepared
by purging the headspace with N_2, and acetylene (10% final volume) ~~were~~ was added to 2 vials. Vials were incubated for <12
hr and the production of N_2O was determined by subsampling the vials using 10 mL syringes with a gas-tight valve which

were analyzed by gas chromatography (as described aboveAgilent Technologies 6850 Series II electron capture gas chromatograph) within a day of collection.

On days 7, 35, and 110, immediately following the final headspace sampling for N_2O, the S = 0 and S = 20 press treatment soils that represented no pulse (salinity of 0 $g \, kg^{-1}$ for S = 0 and salinity of 20.0 $g \, kg^{-1}$ for S = 20) and pulse (salinity of 25.6 $g \, kg^{-1}$ for S = 0 and salinity of 3.5 $g \, kg^{-1}$ for S = 20) conditions were frozen at -80°C (samples without acetylene addition only). Nitriate reductase (*nirS*) and standard nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*) gene abundance (DNA), transcription products (cDNA), and expression (cDNA:DNA ratios) were measured on these soil samples. From each sample, DNA was extracted using the MoBio PowerSoil DNA isolation kit and RNA was extracted following a modification of the extraction methods described by Mettel et al. (Mettel et al., 2010) and Kearns et al. (Kearns et al., 2016) which uses Q Sepharose chromatography and is optimized for soils with high humic acid content. After extraction, the RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using Invitrogen's SuperScript III reverse transcriptase, following manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of DNA and cDNA was measured using Quant-iT PicoGreen and RiboGreen, respectively, following manufacturer's instructions and nucleic acids were normalized to 3 ng $\mu \square L^{-1}$, prior to amplification via quantitative PCR (qPCR) on a Stratagene MX-3005p quantitative thermocycler using *nirS* primers from Braker et al. (Braker et al., 1998) and *nosZ* primers from Henry et al. (Henry et al., 2006), following previously described protocols (Bowen et al., 2011; Kearns et al., 2015). Standards for both genes were derived from purified PCR products and standard curves had slopes > 0.99, and amplification efficiencies of ~85%.

3 Results

Pulsed physicochemical perturbation elicited a short-term (~hours) response that resulted in reduced rates of denitrification with increasing levels of perturbation across the five mechanisms of perturbation investigated here. Both conventionally farmed and organically farmed Aagricultural soils subjected to gradients of temperature, pH, toxicity (zinc), ionic strength (salinity), and moisture demonstrated reductions in rates of denitrification as the physicochemical variable deviated further from ambient conditions (Fig. 1). Rates of N_2O production, in contrast, generally increased with increasing levels of perturbation (with the exception of zinc in the conventionally farmed soils; Fig. 1b), though N_2O production rates exhibited a parabolic relationship with salinity (both soil types; Fig. 1a,f), zinc (for organically farmed soils; Fig. 1g), and soil moisture (both soils; Fig. 1e,j) such that, at the highest levels of salinity and zinc and the lowest soil moisture treatments, we observed declines in net N_2O production (Fig. 1a,b,e). There were no observed declines in N_2O production with increasing deviation away from ambient temperature (for conventionally farmed soils; Fig. 1d) or pH (for both soil types; Fig. 1c,hd). N_2O production declined with increasing zinc in conventionally farmed soils (Fig. 1b) and declined with lower temperatures in organically farmed soils (Fig. 1i). In all cases, the N_2O:DNF ratio increased with increasing physicochemical perturbation, with N_2O accounting for between less than 1510% (temperature) and nearly 100% (soil moisture and salinity) of total denitrification at the highest level of disturbance (Fig. 1).

In the experiment in which a salinity perturbation was imposed on estuarine sediments from three sites that had varying ambient salinities (0, 5, and 24 $g \, kg^{-1}$), we found the highest rates of denitrification at the ambient salinity with declining denitrification with deviation in salinity (Fig. 2). The lowest N_2O production rates and N_2O:DNF ratios were likewise observed at ambient salinities (Fig. 2). N_2O production rates and N_2O:DNF ratios increased with deviation in salinity away from ambient conditions. The highest N_2O production rate and N_2O:DNF ratios for freshwater sediments were observed at the highest salinity. In

contrast, the highest N_2O production and N_2O:DNF ratio was found in the lowest salinity for the mesohaline sediment (Fig. ~~3~~2).

We investigated the response to long-term (~months) changes in physicochemical conditions (press perturbation) to contrast to the pulsed perturbation response~~s~~ described above43. Soils from a tidal freshwater marsh (0 g kg⁻¹ ambient salinity) in the Delaware River estuary were incubated under anaerobic conditions for 6 months after adjusting the salinity to 20 g kg⁻¹ (the press treatment), along with a set of freshwater controls. Soils from these long-term incubations were subsampled throughout the 6-month period and assayed for rates of denitrification and N_2O production when exposed to a range of salinities (approximately 0, 5, 10, 15, and 25 g kg⁻¹). The pulse perturbation response to this range of salinities in both the freshwater control and saltwater-amended treatments was similar immediately following the initiation of the experiment (on day 0) and remained consistent in the freshwater controls throughout the 6-month experiment (as indicated by N_2O:DNF ratios; Fig. 3, black lines). In contrast, the response in soils subjected to the long-term press disturbance (the salinity-amended treatment) changed markedly over the 6-month period (Fig. 3, red lines). After 7 days at a salinity of 20 g kg⁻¹, the press treatment soils did not respond to changes in salinity (N_2O:DNF ratios were similar across pulse salinity treatments), though the consistently elevated N_2O:DNF ratios (compared to the controls at a salinity of 0 g kg⁻¹) indicated a perturbation response across all pulsed salinity levels (Fig. 3). At one month, the microbial community had adjusted to the higher salinity in the press treatment and exhibited a pulse perturbation response at lower salinities. This pattern was maintained for at least 6 months (Fig. 3).

The denitrifier gene expression in the press-pulse experiment demonstrated that ~~In contrast, *nirS*~~ expression was not correlated with either N_2O production or the N_2O:DNF ratio in either the controls or press treatment (p > 0.05; ~~see Supplementary Information~~Fig. 4). In contrast,~~.~~ standard *nosZ* expression was negatively correlated with ~~N_2O production and~~ the N_2O:DNF ratio in soils subjected to the press treatment (p = 0.02~~64~~44; Fig. 4). The relationship between *nosZ* expression and the N_2O:DNF ratio in control soils was similar, though the relationship was not significant (p = 0.10; Fig. 4). There was very little *nosZ* expression in any of the soils that experienced a pulsed change in salinity, either an increase in salinity for the controls or a decrease in salinity for the press treatment (Fig. 4). ~~We found that *nosZ* expression increased along with concomitant decreases in the N_2O:DNF ratio over time in the press perturbation treatment that did not receive subsequent pulse perturbation (Fig. 4). In contrast, *nirS* expression was not correlated with N_2O production (see Supplementary Information).~~

4. Discussion

We found that changes in ionic strength (salinity), metal toxicity (zinc concentration), pH, temperature, and soil moisture all resulted in declines in denitrification, increased rates of N_2O production (with decreased N_2O production at higher levels of perturbation in some instances), and increased N_2O:DNF ratios (Fig. 1). There was a relatively consistent short-term response in rates of denitrification and N_2O production in response to a wide range of physicochemical perturbations (Fig. 1). We propose that changes in physicochemical conditions can induce a generalized short-term perturbation response from the soil denitrifying community, with higher N_2O:DNF ratios and ~~,~~ increased net N_2O production, with reductions in N_2O production at higher levels of perturbation for some parameters (Fig. 5a).~~at moderate levels of perturbation, increased net N_2O production (Fig. 5a).~~ Physicochemical perturbation is defined here as a shift from the ambient physical and/or chemical conditions experienced by a soil microbial community.

There exists ample evidence that physical and chemical conditions influence denitrification and N_2O production at the ecosystem scale. Temperature (Seitzinger, 1988; Larsen et al., 2011; Billings & Tiemann, 2014), salinity (Giblin et al., 2010; Teixeira et al., 2013), pH (Firestone et al., 1980; Weslien et al., 2009; Baggs et al., 2010), toxic heavy metals (Magalhaes et

265 al., 2007; Ruyters et al., 2010), organic pesticides (Yang et al., 2023), and soil moisture (Teh et al., 2011; Brown et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2023) have all been posited as important in controlling denitrification and N_2O emissions in soils and/or sediments. Our findings, except for the press-pulse salinity experiment, are not applicable for elucidating the long-term controls of these environmental factors on denitrification and N_2O production. Rather, this study expands our understanding of the short-term response of the denitrifying community to alterations in their environment. Field measurements of N_2O emissions have found
270 pulses of N_2O following physical disturbance of soils (Wang et al., 2005; Elder & Lal, 2008; Xu et al., 2015), soil thawing (Goodroad & Keeney, 1984; Chen et al., 2018), soil drying (Hou et al., 2012), clearcutting, and hurricane disturbance (Steudler et al., 1991). Our findings suggest a framework (Fig. 5) for a better understanding of the response of the denitrifying community to physicochemical perturbation.

While some of the physicochemical variables investigated here may have long-lasting effects on the denitrifying community
275 and N_2O production, such as low soil pH (Liu et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014), there are others that do not appear to might not exert impacts on denitrification and N_2O production indefinitely. For instance, high or low salinity does not inherently induce a perturbation response. Rather, the deviation from *in situ* conditions creates a disturbance to which the microbial denitrifying community responds and recovers from (Fig. 5b), indicating that the perturbation response is relative to the background environmental conditions experienced by the denitrifying community (Fig. 2). The press-pulse experiment further indicates
280 that a microbial community can become adjusted to a new physicochemical condition such that a return to the original condition, given enough time (about a month in this case), amounts to additional perturbation (Fig. 3).

The press-pulse experiment (Fig. 3) further indicates that initial perturbation confers subsequent resilience to further perturbation in the denitrifying microbial community (Fig. 5c; Philippot et al., 2008; Griffiths & Philippot, 2013). The physicochemical pulse perturbation response consistently exceeds 60% N_2O production at higher salinities in the freshwater
285 controls (Fig. 3). In contrast, N_2O production did not exceed 20% across all pulsed salinities after 6 months in the press treatments (Fig. 3). Similarly, the pulse perturbation response exceeded 50% N_2O production in tidal freshwater sediments that do not normally experience fluctuations in salinity and remained below 20% N_2O production in sediments from the oligohaline and mesohaline sites that experience daily (tidal) and seasonal fluctuations in salinity (Fig. 2). The observations from the press/pulse experiment (Fig. 3) and measurements along an estuarine salinity gradient (Fig. 2) together suggest that denitrifying
290 microbial communities that experience changing physicochemical conditions may be more resilient to subsequent disturbance than an undisturbed denitrifying community as would be found in more physicochemically stable environments (Fig. 5c). Further research to determine the generality of this finding across ecosystem types and forms of physicochemical perturbation is warranted.

An aspect that requires consideration is the methodological approach we used in the current study. We utilized the acetylene
295 block technique with the addition of substrates to soil or sediment slurries. The use of acetylene with the addition of substrates in this approach provides a measure of 'potential' denitrification rather than *in situ* rates of denitrification or N_2O production (Groffman et al., 1999; Groffman et al., 2006). Acetylene is toxic to microbial nitrifiers (Hynes & Knowles, 1978) and potentially other members of the microbial community, which can inhibit coupled nitrification-denitrification and introduce other discrepancies that can alter nitrogen cycling (Groffman et al., 2006). The use of soil/sediment slurries further alters the
300 biogeochemical zonation found in soils and sediments that is critical to creating the conditions in which redox-sensitive nitrogen cycling processes proceed (Froelich et al., 1979). However, the acetylene block method remains a powerful tool for evaluating controls on denitrification (Groffman et al., 2009), and our approach allowed us to feasibly explore a range of physicochemical variables at various levels and sites (i.e., Figs. 1 and 2) and over time (i.e., Fig. 3) in a controlled setting that would be extremely difficult to undertake with other, less intrusive methods and with intact soils/sediments. Nevertheless, the
305 generalizability of the perturbation response model to various physicochemical variables (Fig. 5) requires further investigation

8

with less intrusive methodologies such as substrate isotope labelling (Nielsen 1992) that maintain microbial nitrogen cycling dynamics in relatively intact soils and sediments.

The physicochemical perturbation response we observed includes decreased rates of denitrification (Figs. 1 and 2), indicating inhibition of some portion of the microbial community responsible for the reduction of nitrogen oxides to dinitrogen gas. The changing N_2O:DNF ratio, however, clearly indicates that the processes governing the production and consumption of N_2O respond differently to the same physicochemical perturbation. Members of the microbial denitrifying community contain a large degree of modularity (Graf et al., 2014; Roco et al., 2017), possessing some or all of the genes that encode the enzymes necessary for catalyzing the nitrogen oxide reduction reactions. Changes in environmental conditions may promote modularity or may drive shifts in these communities, both of which could result in an alteration of the N_2O:DNF ratio. For example, some denitrifiers lack the catalytic subunit gene for N_2O reductase (*nosZ*) and produce N_2O as the final metabolic product (Hedlund et al., 2011; Philippot et al., 2011). Complex microbial denitrifying communities in sediment/soil environments have been shown to include a variety of regulatory phenotypes that can result in the sequential transcription of genes in the denitrification pipeline likely triggered by the production of intermediates (Liu et al. 2019). Further, aAn atypical *nosZ* gene was identified that encodes a functional N_2O reductase which, in many cases, is found in otherwise non-denitrifying organisms (Sanford et al., 2012), and the N_2O uptake kinetics appear to differ between microbes with the standard and atypical *nosZ* genes (Yoon et al., 2016). Fungal denitrification, in which N_2O is the terminal product, has likewise received increased attention following the finding that some fungi are able to denitrify (Maeda et al., 2015). Rates of denitrification and N_2O emission from soils have been linked to the structure of the denitrifying community (Cavigelli & Robertson, 2001; Ruyters et al., 2010; Philippot et al., 2011), thus deviations in physicochemical conditions that promote or inhibit modularity, influence transcription, and/or select for certain portions of the denitrifying community may alter rates of denitrification and N_2O emissions.

Community composition and relative gene abundance (Ruyters et al., 2010; Billings & Tiemann, 2014), transcription of the genes coding enzymes for N_2O production and reduction (Magalhaes et al., 2011), and post-transcriptional interference with enzyme assembly and/or function (Liu et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014) may all play a role in the observed N_2O perturbation response. Our press-pulse experiment results indicate that the N_2O salinity perturbation response is driven, at least in part, by inhibition of the expression of the nitrous oxide reductase enzyme, thereby resulting in a higher proportion of N_2O as the final product (Fig. 5b). We observed low *nosZ* expression in all pulse treatments, and reduced expression in the press treatment that increased over time through the experiment (Fig. 4). We observed no pattern correlation in between the transcription of *nirS* expression and either N_2O production (Table S4) or the N_2O:DNF ratio (Fig. 4) across either press or pulse treatments. Further, *nosZ* expression was significantly correlated with the N_2O:DNF ratio (Fig. 4), suggesting that inhibited expression of the *nosZ* enzyme responsible for the reduction of N_2O to N_2 was the likely mechanism for increased net N_2O production in our press-pulse salinity experiment. In contrast, (Liu et al., 2014) found that post-transcriptional interference of *nosZ* enzyme assembly in low pH soils was the likely mechanism driving increased N_2O production from soils. The mechanisms resulting in the N_2O perturbation response may therefore differ between physicochemical variables, with likely combinations of both transcription and post-transcription enzyme inhibition together with more generalized impacts on the microbial community resulting in alternations to nitrogen cycling processes.

The pulse-press salinity experiment hints at the time required for the denitrifying community in estuarine sediments to adapt to salinity perturbation. We observed little *nosZ* expression in any of the pulse treatments with salinity perturbation whether from the control or press treatments (Fig. 4). In contrast, the *nosZ* expression in the press treatment without additional salinity perturbation had recovered somewhat after one week at higher salinity (Fig. 4). Expression of *nosZ* appeared to have fully recovered by one month, with no further change observed (Fig. 4). Likewise, N_2O:DNF ratios in the press treatment demonstrated little response to pulsed changes in salinity after one week - the fraction of N_2O produced is elevated (~20%)

relative to the control without any pulse perturbation (~5%), but considerably lower than the control treatment at higher levels of salinity perturbation (which approach 100% N_2O; Fig. 3). At one-month post-press, the denitrifying community had adapted to the higher salinity and exhibited a perturbation response instead to reduced salinity~~the perturbation response was in response to reduced salinity rather than increased salinity~~, and the response was muted in comparison to the perturbation response of freshwater soils throughout the experiment (Fig. 3). There was little change in the salinity perturbation response in the press treatment after one month (Fig. 3). Both the N_2O:DNF ratios (Fig. 3) and *nosZ* expression results (Fig. 4) indicate that the microbial community recovered from the initial perturbation and adapted to the increased salinity level in the press treatment within one month, which suggests a generalizable model of perturbation recovery as gene expression changes with longer term changes in the microbial community (Fig. 5b). Chen et al. (2018) found that pulsed N_2O emissions following thawing of soils extended for 18 days, which suggests a similar timeframe for ~~the~~ a perturbation response to thawing. In contrast, Steudler et al. (1991) found higher N_2O emissions for 7 months following a hurricane in subtropical forest soils. The transient perturbation response of the estuarine denitrifying community to salinity may be similar for some ~~other~~ physicochemical variables, but it is unlikely to be the response to all changes in the environment. For instance, higher N_2O production response was observed in soils that had been subjected to low pH conditions for over 20 years and was linked to post-transcription inhibition of *nosZ* (Liu et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014), indicating that the microbial community does not recover from all perturbations and the timing of any recovery might vary substantially.

5. Conclusions

Our research indicates that deviations away from physicochemical conditions to which the microbial denitrifying community is adapted can induce a perturbation response that promotes increased net N_2O production over a broad range of environmental variables (Fig. 1). We suggest a generalized conceptual model of the physicochemical perturbation response characterized by declining denitrification accompanied by increases in the N_2O:DNF ratio, with increased net N_2O production at moderate levels of disturbance (Fig. 5a). We show that the microbial denitrifying community may adapt to some physicochemical variables over time, such as salinity (Fig. 3), with moderation of the pulse perturbation response under press disturbance conditions (Fig. 5b). The pulse salinity perturbation response is characterized by an initial inhibition of ~~n~~*NosZ* enzyme expression (Fig. 4) that gives way to more effective N_2O reduction likely driven by recovery of gene expression and/or change in the denitrifier community composition (Fig. 5c). These findings indicate that an experimental press perturbation (Fig. 3) and *in situ* exposure to changes in physicochemical conditions such as salinity changes in oligohaline and mesohaline sediment (Fig. 2) confer resilience to subsequent perturbation (Fig. 5b; Philippot et al., 2008; Li et al., 2014). We therefore hypothesize that the perturbation response will be stronger in denitrifying communities from physicochemically stable ecosystems (i.e., ocean sediments and deep tropical soils) than from ecosystems that experience more physicochemical variability (i.e., temperate soils and tidal marshes). It is likely that this generalized perturbation response model (Fig. 5) does not describe the response of the denitrifying community to all changes in the physicochemical environment (such as low soil pH; Liu et al., 2014~~)~~). However, this conceptual model may provide a useful framework for understanding (and potentially mitigating) N_2O emissions from sediments and soils. Changing environmental conditions that perturb the denitrifying community likely

promote 'hotspots' and 'hot moments' (Groffman et al., 2009) of N$_2$O emissions and account for some of the variability in observed N$_2$O emissions from soils and sediments.

5. 6. Appendix

Figure A1. Example of timecourse concentrations of N$_2$O in the headspace of soil incubations for the determination of N$_2$O production and denitrification (DNF; N$_2$O + N$_2$ production measured by amendment with acetylene). These soils are from the temperature assay with agricultural soils.

7. Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this paper are available in the in the Environmental Data Initiative Supplementary Materials.

86. CRediT authorship contribution statement

Nathaniel B. Weston: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. Cynthia Troy: Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. Patrick J. Kearns: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft. Jennifer L. Bowen: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. William Porubsky: Investigation, Writing – original draft. Christelle Hyacinthe: Investigation, Writing – review and editing. Christof Meile: Investigation, Writing – review and editing. Philipe Van Cappellen: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Writing – review and editing. Samantha B Joye: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing.

97. Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

108. Acknowledgements

410   **11. References**

Baggs, E. M., Smales, C. L., and Bateman, E. J.: Changing pH shifts the microbial sources as well as the magnitude of N_2O
    emission from soil, Biology and Fertility of Soils, 46, 793-805, 10.1007/s00374-010-0484-6, 2010.
Bahram, M., Espenberg, M., Pärn, J., Lehtovirta-Morley, L., Anslan, S., Kasak, K., Koljalg, U., Liira, J., Maddison, M., Moora,
    M., Niinemets, Ü., Öpik, M., Pärtel, M., Soosaar, K., Zobel, M., Hildebrand, F., Tedersoo, L., and Mander, Ü.: Structure
415   and function of the soil microbiome underlying N_2O emissions from global wetlands, Nature Communications, 13,
    10.1038/s41467-022-29161-3, 2022.
Balderston, W. L., Sherr, B., and Payne, W. J.: Blockage by acetylene of nitrous-oxide reduction in Pseudomonas
    perfectomarinus, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 31, 504-508, 10.1128/aem.31.4.504-508.1976, 1976.
Bao, Q. L., Ju, X. T., Gao, B., Qu, Z., Christie, P., and Lu, Y. H.: Response of nitrous oxide and corresponding bacteria to
420   managements in an agricultural soil, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 76, 130-141, 10.2136/sssaj2011.0152, 2012.
Barnard, R., Leadley, P. W., and Hungate, B. A.: Global change, nitrification, and denitrification: A review, Global
    Biogeochemical Cycles, 19, 10.1029/2004gb002282, 2005.
Beaulieu, J. J., Tank, J. L., Hamilton, S. K., Wollheim, W. M., Hall, R. O., Mulholland, P. J., Peterson, B. J., Ashkenas, L. R.,
    Cooper, L. W., Dahm, C. N., Dodds, W. K., Grimm, N. B., Johnson, S. L., McDowell, W. H., Poole, G. C., Valett, H. M.,
425   Arango, C. P., Bernot, M. J., Burgin, A. J., Crenshaw, C. L., Helton, A. M., Johnson, L. T., O'Brien, J. M., Potter, J. D.,
    Sheibley, R. W., Sobota, D. J., and Thomas, S. M.: Nitrous oxide emission from denitrification in stream and river
    networks, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108, 214-219,
    10.1073/pnas.1011464108, 2011.
Bender, E. A., Case, T. J., and Gilpin, M. E.: Perturbation experiments in community ecology - theory and practice, Ecology,
430   65, 1-13, 10.2307/1939452, 1984.
Betlach, M. R. and Tiedje, J. M.: Kinetic explanation for accumulation of nitrite, nitric-oxide, and nitrous-oxide during
    bacterial denitrification, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 42, 1074-1084, 1981.
Billings, S. A. and Tiemann, L. K.: Warming-induced enhancement of soil N_2O efflux linked to distinct response times of
    genes driving N_2O production and consumption, Biogeochemistry, 119, 371-386, 10.1007/s10533-014-9973-2, 2014.
435   Bowen, J. L., Ward, B. B., Morrison, H. G., Hobbie, J. E., Valiela, I., Deegan, L. A., and Sogin, M. L.: Microbial community
    composition in sediments resists perturbation by nutrient enrichment, Isme Journal, 5, 1540-1548, 10.1038/ismej.2011.22,
    2011.
Braker, G., Fesefeldt, A., and Witzel, K. P.: Development of PCR primer systems for amplification of nitrite reductase genes
    (nirK and nirS) to detect denitrifying bacteria in environmental samples, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 64,
440   3769-3775, 1998.
Brown, J. R., Blankinship, J. C., Niboyet, A., van Groenigen, K. J., Dijkstra, P., Le Roux, X., Leadley, P. W., and Hungate,
    B. A.: Effects of multiple global change treatments on soil N_2O fluxes, Biogeochemistry, 109, 85-100, 10.1007/s10533-
    011-9655-2, 2012.
Burgin, A. J. and Groffman, P. M.: Soil O2 controls denitrification rates and N_2O yield in a riparian wetland, Journal of
445   Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 117, 10.1029/2011jg001799, 2012.
Butterbach-Bahl, K., Baggs, E. M., Dannenmann, M., Kiese, R., and Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.: Nitrous oxide emissions
    from soils: how well do we understand the processes and their controls?, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society
    B-Biological Sciences, 368, 10.1098/rstb.2013.0122, 2013.
Cavigelli, M. A. and Robertson, G. P.: Role of denitrifier diversity in rates of nitrous oxide consumption in a terrestrial
450   ecosystem, Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 33, 297-310, 10.1016/s0038-0717(00)00141-3, 2001.

12

Chen, Z., Yang, S. Q., Zhang, A. P., Jing, X., Song, W. M., Mi, Z. R., Zhang, Q. W., Wang, W. Y., and Yang, Z. L.: Nitrous oxide emissions following seasonal freeze-thaw events from arable soils in Northeast China, Journal of Integrative Agriculture, 17, 231-246, 10.1016/s2095-3119(17)61738-6, 2018.

Conrad, R.: Soil microorganisms as controllers of atmospheric trace gases (H_2, CO, CH_4, OCS, N_2O, and NO), Microbiological Reviews, 60, 609-640, 10.1128/mmbr.60.4.609-640.1996, 1996.

Davidson, E. A., Swank, W. T., and Perry, T. O.: Distinguishing between nitrification and denitrification as sources of gaseous nitrogen production in soil, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 52, 1280-1286, 10.1128/aem.52.6.1280-1286.1986, 1986.

Elder, J. W. and Lal, R.: Tillage effects on gaseous emissions from an intensively farmed organic soil in North Central Ohio, Soil & Tillage Research, 98, 45-55, 10.1016/j.still.2007.10.003, 2008.

Firestone, M. K., Firestone, R. B., and Tiedje, J. M.: Nitrous oxide from soil denitrification: Factors controlling its biological production, Science, 208, 749-751, 10.1126/science.208.4445.749, 1980.

Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., and Myhre, G.: Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the 4th Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007.

Froelich, P. N., Klinkhammer, G. P., Bender, M. L., Luedtke, N. A., Heath, G. R., Cullen, D., Dauphin, P., Hammond, D., Hartman, B., and Maynard, V.: Early oxidation of organic-matter in pelagic sediments of the eastern Equatorial Atlantic - suboxic diagenesis, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 43, 1075-1090, 10.1016/0016-7037(79)90095-4, 1979.

Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Asner, G. P., Cleveland, C. C., Green, P. A., Holland, E. A., Karl, D. M., Michaels, A. F., Porter, J. H., Townsend, A. R., and Vorosmarty, C. J.: Nitrogen cycles: Past, present, and future, Biogeochemistry, 70, 153-226, 10.1007/s10533-004-0370-0, 2004.

Gerringa, L. J. A., Hummel, H., Moerdijk-Poortvliet, T. C. W.: Relations between free copper and salinity, dissolved and particulate organic carbon in the Oosterschelde and Westerschelde, Netherlands, Journal of Sea Research, 40, 193-203, 10.1016/S1385-1101(98)00021-5, 1998.

Giblin, A. E., Weston, N. B., Banta, G. T., Tucker, J., and Hopkinson, C. S.: The effects of salinity on nitrogen losses from an oligohaline estuarine sediment, Estuaries and Coasts, 33, 1054-1068, 10.1007/s12237-010-9280-7, 2010.

Goodroad, L. L. and Keeney, D. R.: Nitrous oxide emissions from soils during thawing, Canadian Journal of Soil Science, 64, 187-194, 10.4141/cjss84-020, 1984.

Grabb, K. C., Buchwald, C., Hansel, C. M., and Wankel, S. D.: A dual nitrite isotopic investigation of chemodenitrification by mineral-associated Fe(II) and its production of nitrous oxide, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 196, 388-402, 10.1016/j.gca.2016.10.026, 2017.

Graf, D. R. H., Jones, C. M., and Hallin, S.: Intergenomic comparisons highlight modularity of the denitrification pathway and underpin the importance of community structure for N_2O emissions, Plos One, 9, 10.1371/journal.pone.0114118, 2014.

Griffiths, B. S. and Philippot, L.: Insights into the resistance and resilience of the soil microbial community, Fems Microbiology Reviews, 37, 112-129, 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00343.x, 2013.

Groffman, P. M., Holland, E., Myrold, D. D., Robertson, G. P., and Zou, X.: Denitrification, in: Standard Soil Methods for Long Term Ecological Research, edited by: Robertson, G. P., Bledsoe, C. S., Coleman, D. C., and Sollins, P., Oxford University Press, New York, NY, 272-288, 1999.

Groffman, P. M., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Fulweiler, R. W., Gold, A. J., Morse, J. L., Stander, E. K., Tague, C., Tonitto, C., and Vidon, P.: Challenges to incorporating spatially and temporally explicit phenomena (hotspots and hot moments) in denitrification models, Biogeochemistry, 93, 49-77, 10.1007/s10533-008-9277-5, 2009.

Groffman, P. M., Altabet, M. A., Bohlke, J. K., Butterbach-Bahl, K., David, M. B., Firestone, M. K., Giblin, A. E., Kana, T. M., Nielsen, L. P., and Voytek, M. A.: Methods for measuring denitrification: Diverse approaches to a difficult problem, Ecological Applications, 16, 2091-2122, 10.1890/1051-0761(2006)016[2091:mfmdda]2.0.co;2, 2006.

13

495   Harrison-Kirk, T., Beare, M. H., Meenken, E. D., and Condron, L. M.: Soil organic matter and texture affect responses to dry/wet cycles: Effects on carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions, Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 57, 43-55, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.10.008, 2013.

Hedlund, B. P., McDonald, A. I., Lam, J., Dodsworth, J. A., Brown, J. R., and Hungate, B. A.: Potential role of Thermus thermophilus and T. oshimai in high rates of nitrous oxide (N_2O) production in ~80 °C hot springs in the US Great Basin,
500   Geobiology, 9, 471-480, 10.1111/j.1472-4669.2011.00295.x, 2011.

Henault, C., Grossel, A., Mary, B., Roussel, M., and Leonard, J.: Nitrous oxide emission by agricultural soils: A review of spatial and temporal variability for mitigation, Pedosphere, 22, 426-433, 2012.

Henry, S., Bru, D., Stres, B., Hallet, S., and Philippot, L.: Quantitative detection of the nosZ gene, encoding nitrous oxide reductase, and comparison of the abundances of 16S rRNA, narG, nirK, and nosZ genes in soils, Applied and
505   Environmental Microbiology, 72, 5181-5189, 10.1128/aem.00231-06, 2006.

Hou, H. J., Peng, S. Z., Xu, J. Z., Yang, S. H., and Mao, Z.: Seasonal variations of CH_4 and N_2O emissions in response to water management of paddy fields located in Southeast China, Chemosphere, 89, 884-892, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.04.066, 2012.

Hu, H. W., Chen, D., and He, J. Z.: Microbial regulation of terrestrial nitrous oxide formation: Understanding the biological
510   pathways for prediction of emission rates, Fems Microbiology Reviews, 39, 729-749, 10.1093/femsre/fuv021, 2015.

Huang, X. D., Grace, P., Mengersen, K., and Weier, K.: Spatio-temporal variation in soil derived nitrous oxide emissions under sugarcane, Science of the Total Environment, 409, 4572-4578, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.07.044, 2011.

Hynes, R. K. and Knowles, R.: Inhibition by acetylene of ammonia oxidation in Nitrosomonas-europaea, Fems Microbiology Letters, 4, 319-321, 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1978.tb02889.x, 1978.
515   Kearns, P. J., Angell, J. H., Feinman, S. G., and Bowen, J. L.: Long-term nutrient addition differentially alters community composition and diversity of genes that control nitrous oxide flux from salt marsh sediments, Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science, 154, 39-47, 10.1016/j.ecss.2014.12.014, 2015.

Kearns, P. J., Angell, J. H., Howard, E. M., Deegan, L. A., Stanley, R. H. R., and Bowen, J. L.: Nutrient enrichment induces dormancy and decreases diversity of active bacteria in salt marsh sediments, Nature Communications, 7,
520   10.1038/ncomms12881, 2016.

Knowles, R.: Denitrification, Microbiological Reviews, 46, 43-70, 1982.

Larsen, K. S., Andresen, L. C., Beier, C., Jonasson, S., Albert, K. R., Ambus, P., Arndal, M. F., Carter, M. S., Christensen, S., Holmstrup, M., Ibrom, A., Kongstad, J., van der Linden, L., Maraldo, K., Michelsen, A., Mikkelsen, T. N., Pilegaard, K., Prieme, A., Ro-Poulsen, H., Schmidt, I. K., Selsted, M. B., and Stevnbak, K.: Reduced N cycling in response to elevated
525   CO_2, warming, and drought in a Danish heathland: Synthesizing results of the CLIMAITE project after two years of treatments, Global Change Biology, 17, 1884-1899, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02351.x, 2011.

Li, Y., Fu, X. Q., Liu, X. L., Shen, J. L., Luo, Q., Xiao, R. L., Li, Y. Y., Tong, C. L., and Wu, J. S.: Spatial variability and distribution of N_2O emissions from a tea field during the dry season in subtropical central China, Geoderma, 193, 1-12, 10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.10.008, 2013.
530   Liu, B. B., Frostegård, Å., and Bakken, L. R.: Impaired reduction of N_2O to N_2 in acid soils is due to a posttranscriptional interference with the expression of nosZ, Mbio, 5, 10.1128/mBio.01383-14, 2014.

Liu, B. B., Morkved, P. T., Frostegard, A., and Bakken, L. R.: Denitrification gene pools, transcription and kinetics of NO, N_2O and N_2 production as affected by soil pH, Fems Microbiology Ecology, 72, 407-417, 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00856.x, 2010.
535   Liu, B. B., Zhang, X., Bakken, L. R., Snipen, L., Frostegård, Å.: Rapid succession of actively transcribing denitrifier populations in agricultural soil during an anoxic spell, Front. Microbiol., 9, 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03208, 2019.

Maeda, K., Spor, A., Edel-Hermann, V., Heraud, C., Breuil, M. C., Bizouard, F., Toyoda, S., Yoshida, N., Steinberg, C., and Philippot, L.: N_2O production, a widespread trait in fungi, Scientific Reports, 5, 10.1038/srep09697, 2015.

Magalhaes, C., Costa, J., Teixeira, C., and Bordalo, A. A.: Impact of trace metals on denitrification in estuarine sediments of the Douro River estuary, Portugal, Marine Chemistry, 107, 332-341, 10.1016/j.marchem.2007.02.005, 2007.

Magalhaes, C. M., Machado, A., Matos, P., and Bordalo, A. A.: Impact of copper on the diversity, abundance and transcription of nitrite and nitrous oxide reductase genes in an urban European estuary, Fems Microbiology Ecology, 77, 274-284, 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01107.x, 2011.

Mathieu, O., Hénault, C., Lévêque, J., Baujard, E., Milloux, M. J., and Andreux, F.: Quantifying the contribution of nitrification and denitrification to the nitrous oxide flux using ^{15}N tracers, Environmental Pollution, 144, 933-940, 10.1016/j.envpol.2006.02.005, 2006.

McClain, M. E., Boyer, E. W., Dent, C. L., Gergel, S. E., Grimm, N. B., Groffman, P. M., Hart, S. C., Harvey, J. W., Johnston, C. A., Mayorga, E., McDowell, W. H., and Pinay, G.: Biogeochemical hot spots and hot moments at the interface of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, Ecosystems, 6, 301-312, 10.1007/s10021-003-0161-9, 2003.

Denman, K.L., Brasseur, G., Chidthaisong, A., Ciais, P., Cox, P.M., Dickinson, R.E., Hauglustaine, D., Heinze, C., Holland, E., Jacob, D., Lohmann, U., Ramachandran, S., da Silva Dias, P.L., Wofsy, S.C. and Zhang, X.: Couplings between changes in the climate system and biogeochemistry, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M. and Miller, H.L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 499-507, 2007.

Mettel, C., Kim, Y., Shrestha, P. M., and Liesack, W.: Extraction of mRNA from Soil, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 76, 5995-6000, 10.1128/aem.03047-09, 2010.

Nielsen, L. P.: Denitrification in sediment determined from nitrogen isotope pairing, FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 86, 357-362, 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1992.tb04828.x 1992.

Nixon, S. W.: Eutrophication and the macroscope, Hydrobiologia, 629, 5-19, 10.1007/s10750-009-9759-z, 2009.

Payne, W. J.: Reduction of nitrogenous oxides by microorganisms, Bacteriological Reviews, 37, 409-452, 10.1128/mmbr.37.4.409-452.1973, 1973.

Philippot, L., Andert, J., Jones, C. M., Bru, D., and Hallin, S.: Importance of denitrifiers lacking the genes encoding the nitrous oxide reductase for N_2O emissions from soil, Global Change Biology, 17, 1497-1504, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02334.x, 2011.

Philippot, L., Cregut, M., Chèneby, D., Bressan, M., Dequiet, S., Martin-Laurent, F., Ranjard, L., and Lemanceau, P.: Effect of primary mild stresses on resilience and resistance of the nitrate reducer community to a subsequent severe stress, Fems Microbiology Letters, 285, 51-57, 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01210.x, 2008.

Porubsky, W. P., Weston, N. B., and Joye, S. B.: Benthic metabolism and the fate of dissolved inorganic nitrogen in intertidal sediments, Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science, 83, 392-402, 10.1016/j.ecss.2009.04.012, 2009.

Raut, N., Dorsch, P., Sitaula, B. K., and Bakken, L. R.: Soil acidification by intensified crop production in South Asia results in higher $N_2O/(N_2+N_2O)$ product ratios of denitrification, Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 55, 104-112, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.06.011, 2012.

Ravishankara, A. R., Daniel, J. S., and Portmann, R. W.: Nitrous oxide (N_2O): The dominant ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st Century, Science, 326, 123-125, 10.1126/science.1176985, 2009.

Robinson, T. C., Latta, D. E., Notini, L., Schilling, K. E., Scherer, M. M.: Abiotic reduction of nitrite by Fe(II): a comparison of rates and N_2O production, Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts, 23, 1531-1541, 2021.

Roco, C. A., Bergaust, L. L., Bakken, L. R., Yavitt, J. B., and Shapleigh, J. P.: Modularity of nitrogen-oxide reducing soil bacteria: Linking phenotype to genotype, Environmental Microbiology, 19, 2507-2519, 10.1111/1462-2920.13250, 2017.

Rosamond, M. S., Thuss, S. J., and Schiff, S. L.: Dependence of riverine nitrous oxide emissions on dissolved oxygen levels, Nature Geoscience, 5, 715-718, 10.1038/ngeo1556, 2012.

Ruyters, S., Mertens, J., T'Seyen, I., Springael, D., and Smolders, E.: Dynamics of the nitrous oxide reducing community during adaptation to Zn stress in soil, Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 42, 1581-1587, 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.05.036, 2010.

585 Sanford, R. A., Wagner, D. D., Wu, Q. Z., Chee-Sanford, J. C., Thomas, S. H., Cruz-Garcia, C., Rodriguez, G., Massol-Deya, A., Krishnani, K. K., Ritalahti, K. M., Nissen, S., Konstantinidis, K. T., and Loffler, F. E.: Unexpected nondenitrifier nitrous oxide reductase gene diversity and abundance in soils, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109, 19709-19714, 10.1073/pnas.1211238109, 2012.

Seitzinger, S. P.: Denitrification in fresh-water and coastal marine ecosystems - ecological and geochemical significance, Limnology and Oceanography, 33, 702-724, 1988.

590 Steudler, P. A., Melillo, J. M., Bowden, R. D., Castro, M. S., and Lugo, A. E.: The effects of natural and human disturbances on soil nitrogen dynamics and trace gas fluxes in a Puerto Rican wet forest, Biotropica, 23, 356-363, 10.2307/2388252, 1991.

Teh, Y. A., Silver, W. L., Sonnentag, O., Detto, M., Kelly, M., and Baldocchi, D. D.: Large greenhouse gas emissions from a temperate peatland pasture, Ecosystems, 14, 311-325, 10.1007/s10021-011-9411-4, 2011.

595 Teixeira, C., Magalhaes, C., Joye, S. B., and Bordalo, A. A.: The role of salinity in shaping dissolved inorganic nitrogen and N_2O dynamics in estuarine sediment-water interface, Marine Pollution Bulletin, 66, 225-229, 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.11.004, 2013.

Tian, H. Q., Xu, R. T., Canadell, J. G., Thompson, R. L., Winiwarter, W., Suntharalingam, P., Davidson, E. A., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Prather, M. J., Regnier, P., Pan, N. Q., Pan, S. F., Peters, G. P., Shi, H., Tubiello, 600 F. N., Zaehle, S., Zhou, F., Arneth, A., Battaglia, G., Berthet, S., Bopp, L., Bouwman, A. F., Buitenhuis, E. T., Chang, J. F., Chipperfield, M. P., Dangal, S. R. S., Dlugokencky, E., Elkins, J. W., Eyre, B. D., Fu, B. J., Hall, B., Ito, A., Joos, F., Krummel, P. B., Landolfi, A., Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Li, W., Lienert, S., Maavara, T., MacLeod, M., Millet, D. B., Olin, S., Patra, P. K., Prinn, R. G., Raymond, P. A., Ruiz, D. J., van der Werf, G. R., Vuichard, N., Wang, J. J., Weiss, R. F., Wells, K. C., Wilson, C., Yang, J., and Yao, Y. Z.: A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources 605 and sinks, Nature, 586, 248-256, 10.1038/s41586-020-2780-0, 2020.

van Damme, S., Struyf, E., Maris, T., Ysebaert, T., Dehairs, F., Tackx, M., Heip, C., and Meire, P.: Spatial and temporal patterns of water quality along the estuarine salinity gradient of the Scheldt estuary (Belgium and The Netherlands): Results of an integrated monitoring approach, Hydrobiologia, 540, 29-45, 10.1007/s10750-004-7102-2, 2005.

~~Van~~ van den Heuvel, R. N., Bakker, S. E., Jetten, M. S. M., and Hefting, M. M.: Decreased N_2O reduction by low soil pH 610 causes high N_2O emissions in a riparian ecosystem, Geobiology, 9, 294-300, 10.1111/j.1472-4669.2011.00276.x, 2011.

Vilain, G., Garnier, J., Decuq, C., and Lugnot, M.: Nitrous oxide production from soil experiments: denitrification prevails over nitrification, Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 98, 169-186, 10.1007/s10705-014-9604-2, 2014.

Vitousek, P. M. and Howarth, R. W.: Nitrogen limitation on land and in the sea - how can it occur, Biogeochemistry, 13, 87-115, 1991.

615 Wang, H., Yan, Z. F., Ju, X. T., Song, X. T., Zhang, J. B., Li, S. L., and Xia, Z. B.: Quantifying nitrous oxide production rates from nitrification and denitrification under various moisture conditions in agricultural soils: Laboratory study and literature synthesis, Frontiers in Microbiology, 13, 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1110151, 2023.

Wang, L. F., Cai, Z. C., Yang, L. F., and Meng, L.: Effects of disturbance and glucose addition on nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide emissions from a paddy soil, Soil & Tillage Research, 82, 185-194, 10.1016/j.still.2004.06.001, 2005.

620 Weitzman, J. N., Groffman, P. M., Adler, P. R., Dell, C. J., Johnson, F. E., Lerch, R. N., and Strickland, T. C.: Drivers of hot spots and hot moments of denitrification in agricultural systems, Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 126, 10.1029/2020jg006234, 2021.

Weslien, P., Klemedtsson, A. K., Borjesson, G., and Klemedtsson, L.: Strong pH influence on N_2O and CH_4 fluxes from forested organic soils, European Journal of Soil Science, 60, 311-320, 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01123.x, 2009.

625 Wuebbles, D. J.: Nitrous oxide: No laughing matter, Science, 326, 56-57, 10.1126/science.1179571, 2009.

Xu, J. Z., Wei, Q., Yang, S. H., Gao, X. L., and Lv, Y. P.: Influence of watering methods on the short-term pulse emissions of nitrous oxide from disturbed horticultural soil, Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 46, 2688-2706, 10.1080/00103624.2015.1089269, 2015.

Yang, Z., Deng, Y., Zhong, L., Xiao, R., and Su, X. X.: Responses of soil bacterial and fungal denitrification and associated N_2O emissions to organochloride pesticide, Science of the Total Environment, 905, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.167321, 2023.

Yoon, S., Nissen, S., Park, D., Sanford, R. A., and Löffler, F. E.: Nitrous oxide reduction kinetics distinguish bacteria harboring Clade I Nosz from those harboring Clade II NosZ, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 82, 3793-3800, 10.1128/aem.00409-16, 2016.

Yoshinari, T. and Knowles, R.: Acetylene inhibition of nitrous oxide reduction by denitrifying bacteria, Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 69, 705-710, 10.1016/0006-291x(76)90932-3, 1976.

Table 1. Summary of the three experiments in which the response of denitrification and nitrous oxide production to physicochemical perturbation was investigated (experiment number corresponds to the subsections in the Methods section).

Expt.	Brief Description	Type of Soil/Sediment	Perturbation
1	Short-term (pulse) effect of perturbation by various physicochemical parameters	Agricultural soils (Lancaster, Pennsylvania, USA)	Salinity, pH, Zinc, Temperature, and Moisture
2	Short-term (pulse) effect of perturbation on sediments that experience natural variation in the perturbation parameter	Estuarine sediments (Scheldt River, Netherlands/Belgium)	Salinity
3	Long-term (press) effect and subsequent short-term (pulse) response to perturbation together with gene abundance and expression	Estuarine sediments (Delaware River, New Jersey, USA)	Salinity

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Average ($n = 3$; ± 1 standard deviation; n = 3) rates of total denitrification (DNF), nitrous oxide production (N_2O), and the N_2O:DNF ratios in an conventionally farmed (a – e) and organically farmed (f – j) agricultural soils as a function of changes in (a) salinity (a and f), (b) zinc concentration (b and g), (c) pH (c and h), (d) temperature (d and i), and (e) soil moisture (e and j)in an agricultural soil. Quadratic equations have been fit through all data and significant relationships are indicated. The arrows denote increasing deviation away from *in situ* conditions (i.e., 'perturbation').

Figure 2. Average (± 1 standard deviation; n = 3) rates of total denitrification (DNF), nitrous oxide production (N_2O), and the N_2O:DNF ratios in estuarine soils from tidal freshwater (*in situ* salinity = 0 g kg^{-1}), oligohaline (5 g kg^{-1}), and mesohaline (24 g kg^{-1}) sites in the Scheldt Estuary as a function of changes in salinity. The arrows denote deviation away from *in situ* salinity.

Figure 3. Average N_2O:DNF ratios (± standard deviation; n = 2) in a long-term (6 month) laboratory experiment in which tidal freshwater marsh soils were incubated under freshwater control (S = 0) or salinity-amended press conditions (S = 20). The soils were assayed for their short-term (pulse) salinity perturbation response on days 0 (immediately following salinity amendment), 7, 35, and 181 (results from sampling on days 14, 21, 49, 70, and 110 are included in Table S3). The horizontal dashed lines in the day 181 panel indicate the maximum N_2O:DNF ratios observed in the two treatments.

Figure 4. The relationship between N_2O:DNF ratios and *nosZ* enzyme expression from tidal freshwater marsh soils incubated under long-term freshwater control (S = 0) or saline press (S = 20) conditions and assayed on days 7, 35, and 110 with pulse or no-pulse salinity conditions. The timing of sampling (in days) is noted.

Figure 5. Conceptual model based on the results of this study that shows (a) relative rates of total denitrification (DNF), nitrous oxide (N_2O) production, and the N_2O:DNF ratio in sediments and soils as a function of a physicochemical perturbation

18

gradient, (b) response of the denitrifying microbial community to physicochemical perturbation over time, and (c) the hypothesized relationship between ecosystem physicochemical variability and the perturbation response.

Conceptual model of (a) relative rates of total denitrification (DNF), nitrous oxide (N_2O) production, and the N_2O:DNF ratio in sediments and soils as a function of a physicochemical perturbation gradient, (b) response of the denitrifying microbial community to physicochemical perturbation over time, and (c) the hypothesized relationship between ecosystem physicochemical variability and the perturbation response.

Formatted: Normal