

# Monitoring Shear-Zone Weakening in East Antarctic Outlet Glaciers through Differential InSAR Measurements

Christian T. Wild<sup>1,8</sup>, Reinhard Drews<sup>1</sup>, Niklas Neckel<sup>2</sup>, Joohan Lee<sup>3</sup>, Sihyung Kim<sup>3</sup>, Hyangsun Han<sup>4</sup>, Won Sang Lee<sup>5</sup>, Veit Helm<sup>2</sup>, Sebastian Harry Reid Rosier<sup>6</sup>, Oliver J. Marsh<sup>7,8</sup> and Wolfgang Rack<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Geosciences, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Alfred Wegener Institute Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Division of Glacial Environment Research, Korea Polar Research Institute, Incheon, South Korea

<sup>4</sup>Department of Geophysics, Kangwon National University, South Korea

<sup>5</sup>Division of Glacier and Earth Sciences, Korea Polar Research Institute, Incheon, South Korea

<sup>6</sup>Department of Geography, University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>7</sup>British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, United Kingdom

<sup>8</sup>Gateway Antarctica, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

*Correspondence to:* Christian T. Wild (christian.wild@uni-tuebingen.de)

**Abstract.** The stability of the Antarctic Ice Sheet depends on ice flux into the ocean through major outlet glaciers, which is resisted by shear stresses in the lateral shear margins both on grounded ice and on floating ice shelves. Within the tidal flexure zone, where the ice sheet transitions from fully grounded to freely floating, ocean tides lead to a characteristic flexural pattern which can be detected by radar satellites in differential interferograms. Here, we investigate how spatially heterogeneous, elastic ice-shelf properties in the shear zones affect tidal flexure and if a corresponding signature can be detected in satellite observations. We use the Young's modulus (which among others depends on ice temperature and/or ice crystal orientation fabric and damage) as a bulk tuning variable for changing ice stiffness across shear zones and show that this leads to cm-scale deviations in vertical displacement compared to a homogeneous elastic flexure model. Using the tidal-flexure zone of Priestley Glacier as an example, we compare homogenous and heterogeneous flexure-model predictions with observations from 31 differential interferograms. After adjusting the local tide model and validating it with in-situ GPS data, we find that a five-fold reduction of the Young's modulus in the shear zone, i.e. an effective shear-zone weakening, reduces the root-mean-square-error of predicted and observed vertical displacement by 84.33 %, from 0.182 m to 0.03 m within the central part of the ice shelf. This suggests that satellite interferometry can detect changing ice stiffness across shear zones with potential to inform ice-flow models about the often unknown spatial variability in ice-shelf properties along the grounding zone.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Context and research question

Outlet glaciers transport ice from the interior of the Antarctic ice sheet to the coast where ice crosses the grounding line and forms floating ice shelves. Ice shelves are largely in hydrostatic equilibrium with the ocean, apart from a few kilometer wide belt just seawards of the grounding ~~zoneline~~, where the ice continuously flexes between the fully grounded and the fully floating areas. The pattern of the vertical displacement arising from this flexure has stirred much previous research for example because it modulates the dynamics of tributary ice streams (Anandakrishnan et al., 2003; Gudmundsson, 2006; Murray et al., 2007), because it may foster exchange of subglacial and ocean water (Walker et al., 2013; Warburton et al., 2020; Robel et al., 2022; Bradley and Hewitt, 2024), because it reveals tidal grounding-line migration (Freer et al., 2023), and also because the ice here is not in hydrostatic equilibrium and so confidently deriving ice thickness ~~in at~~ the grounding ~~zoneline~~ from satellite altimetry is a significant challenge (Fricker and Padman, 2006; Fricker et al., 2009). Here, we explore if the vertical displacement by tides can be used as a natural experiment to highlight spatially variable ice-shelf properties resulting in spatially variable ice stiffness. For example, if the ice stiffness varies spatially and is lower in the lateral shear margins than elsewhere ~~due to ice anisotropy or temperature variability~~, this would reduce ice-shelf buttressing of the upstream ice flow relative to a homogenous case.

## 1.2 Previous work

Previous research has provided much progress in understanding tidal flexure and its ice dynamic implications both in modeling and observations. In terms of observations, satellite coverage and resolution has proliferated in the last decades (e.g., Friedl et al., 2020) using altimeters (e.g., Li et al., 2022; Freer et al., 2023) and interferometric synthetic aperture radar imaging (InSAR, Rignot et al., 2019; Milillo et al., 2019; Milillo et al., 2022; Rignot et al., 2024). Most relevant for this study are differential InSAR applications (DInSAR, Rignot et al., 2011) which image tidal flexure even in regions with minimal tidal range such as the Ross Sea (Padman et al., 2018). As explained in more detail later, this technique captures the spatial extent of the tidal ~~flexure~~ zone and reliably marks the landward and seaward limits of tidal flexure typically within fractions of the local ice thickness (Brunt et al., 2010). Modeling tidal flexure and its ice-dynamic implications is challenging, because all stress terms in the full Stokes equations might be relevant (Rosier and Gudmundsson, 2018), and also because tidal timescales require the consideration of elastic properties together with the viscous deformation (Reeh et al., 2000; Reeh et al., 2003; Gudmundsson, 2011; Wild et al., 2017). Nevertheless, this approach has led to important findings, for example in terms of the subglacial hydrology (Hewitt, 2013; Walker et al., 2013; Rosier and Gudmundsson, 2020) and stress coupling across the grounding line (Rosier and Gudmundsson, 2016).

The lateral shear margin of a glacier is a region of large deformation that separates the fast-flowing ice from stagnant ice or rock. Shearing within lateral margins leads to a resistive force (side drag) that counteracts a large proportion of the glacier

driving stress. The nature of this side drag depends on local ice rheology, which changes as a function of damage, temperature, melting and ice fabric, and these in turn depend on the local shearing (Minchew et al., 2018). Despite their  
65 important role in regulating glacier flow, shear margins are a relatively poorly understood component of glaciers due to the numerous challenges in accessing and measuring these complex regions.

### 1.3 Current shortcomings

Rifts develop along lateral shear zones due to rapid shearing and localized strain (Alley et al., 2019). These surface rifts pose challenges for in-situ data collection (Arcone et al., 2016), limiting the availability of observational data needed to validate  
70 remote-sensing methods (Marsh et al., 2021). The situation is further complicated by significant surface velocity gradients, which cause phase decorrelation in InSAR processing. As a result, monitoring fast-flowing glaciers and ice streams, such as Thwaites Glacier (Milillo et al., 2019; Rignot et al., 2024) and the Dotson-Crosson Ice Shelf system (Milillo et al., 2022), is only possible via lower resolved SAR amplitude tracking when using publicly available satellite SAR data, which typically have repeat passes of multiple days to weeks. Addressing this issue requires dedicated satellite missions with shorter repeat  
75 acquisition intervals (hours to days) to ensure phase coherence for fast-flowing areas and particularly across shear zones. ~~A~~This limitation ~~that~~ currently hinders an Antarctic-wide assessment. Future advancements in satellite radar technology are expected to enhance the temporal resolution of publicly available SAR data, improving their ability to capture the flexural response across shear zones and advancing our understanding of ice-shelf dynamics. It is therefore necessary to develop methods that infer spatially-variable ice properties indirectly.

### 80 1.4 Framework of this paper

Here we investigate if the heterogeneity in ice stiffness can be observed along the ~~grounding~~tidal-flexure zone, but instead of using the horizontal velocities as primary observations, we compare the observed vertical displacement with an elastic flexure model. We use a spatially variable Young's modulus as a proxy for both heterogeneous ice temperature ~~and ice~~-  
fabric and damage. Ice that is warmer and ice in which the ice -fabric is aligned with the principal ice-flow direction will  
85 deform more strongly for a given stress regime than its cold and isotropic counterpart (Hudleston, 2015). In the elastic model ~~this would correspond to~~we approximate this weakening with a lowered Young's modulus. As we will show later, a spatially heterogeneous Young's modulus leads to a non-local response in the prediction of tidal flexure, and misfits between predictions and observations can be used to diagnose such spatial heterogeneities ~~in~~from satellite observations.

90 The study is structured as follows: First, we test the hypothesis that reducing ice stiffness within lateral shear zones can exert a detectable signal in DInSAR mappings. If substantiated, this hypothesis implies that DInSAR imagery can offer insights beyond the conventional ~~observation~~delineation of grounding lines, providing additional information about ice properties. Second, we use repeat-pass satellite measurements from DInSAR to constrain an elastic model of tidal flexure. Using the flexural response of floating ice near the grounding line to tidal forcing as a regional experimental setting, we reveal bulk

95 softening in ice-shelf shear zones. This insight is derived from a comparison between homogeneous and heterogeneous model experiments and satellite-derived tidal flexure patterns of Priestley Glacier. Third, we challenge our assumption of elasticity by incorporating in-situ data of surface deformation recorded both outside and within its tidal-flexure zone.

## 2 Hypothesis and study area

### 2.1 Synthetic model experiments

100 We begin by conducting synthetic modeling experiments to illustrate the hypothesized effects of shear-margin weakening on the tidal-flexure patterns of an idealized outlet glacier. The synthetic ice thickness profile we use is broadly consistent with the known geometry of Priestley Glacier. Specifically, we assume ice thickness of 1000 m at the grounding line, tapering non-linearly to 500 m at the ice edge, following the model geometry described by Holland et al. (2008) and formulated by Walker et al. (2013):


$$105 \quad H = \beta_1 / \left( \beta_2 - (x - x_{gl}) \right)^{1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $H$  represents ice thickness, while parameters  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are adjusted to control the ice thickness at the grounding line and ice edge. The model spans a domain of 12 km by 25 km, incorporating a sinusoidal grounding line to reflect the geometric features within a landward embayment (Fig. 1a).

110 We model the expected vertical deformation due to ocean tides with a peak amplitude of 1 m using an elastic model the details of which are explained in Sect. 3.3. Our baseline simulation to which all other simulations are compared assumes spatially homogeneous elastic properties represented by a constant Young's modulus ( $E$ ). Spatial heterogeneity is introduced within the floating parts in the form of two localized regions with a lower Young's modulus on either side of the model domain (Fig. 1b). Contour lines of vertical displacement for any time interval in a tidal cycle are visualized with a cyclic colormap (Figs. 1 c and d; here specifically one color cycle, or one fringe, corresponds to 10 cm). Such colormaps are  
115 commonly used in satellite interferometry which we will use later as our main source of observations.

We find that introducing elastic heterogeneity leads to a non-local response in tidal flexure which is expressed in difference to the homogeneous case in the form of a distributed bulge most prominently in the ice-shelf center (Fig. 1e). More  
120 specifically, the degree of hydrostatic balance (i.e., the ratio of ice deformation over tidal deformation denoted as ' $\alpha$ ' where 0 % depicts fully grounded and 100 % depicts fully floating ice) changes with the strength of heterogeneity (Fig. 1f). This suggests that the tidal flexure pattern can be used as a proxy to extract bulk heterogeneity. The magnitudes involved (typically < 10 cm) are within the sensitivity of satellite interferometry, but tide models will need to be constrained with in-situ data which are available for our research area. We therefore move to the real-world geometry of Priestley Glacier (Figs.

125 1 g and h). If our hypothesis regarding effective shear zone weakening is correct, we anticipate detecting a similar bulge in the difference fields of various model scenarios and the observed tidal flexure patterns from differential satellite interferometry (DInSAR). This would provide additional insights into the spatial variability of ice rheology, extending beyond the traditional use of DInSAR for monitoring grounding lines.




130 **Figure 1: Hypothesis:** (a) Idealized ice thickness for synthetic model experiments. (b) The Young's modulus field, where values are varied in the shear-zone areas (shown in blue) in steps of 10 % from 10 % to 100 % of the Young's modulus used for the remaining ice-covered areas (indicated in yellow), which is set at 1.6 GPa. (c) Modeled tidal flexure under 1m tidal forcing for a homogeneous case, and (d) a heterogeneous case. (e) Their difference, showing a bulge up to 10 % of the applied tidal forcing. (f) Cross-section along the centerline (dashed lines in panels c and d), illustrating the flexure curves where Young's modulus is reduced in lateral shear zones. Panels (g) and (h) depict vertical tidal flexure during high and low tide scenarios. Each color fringe represents approximately 10 cm of vertical displacement, corresponding to a tidal amplitude of  $\pm 60$  cm in this schematic. The concentrated band of tidal fringes marks the grounding line at its landward edge and the hydrostatic line at its seaward boundary. Beyond this, the ice floats freely on the ocean, oscillating harmonically with the tides. Note that vertical displacement is not to scale in this schematic.

135

140

2.2 Study area

Priestley Glacier is a 96 km (60 mi) long outlet glacier in Victoria Land, East Antarctica, originating from the edge of the polar plateau. It flows through the Transantarctic Mountains, draining into the fjord-like grounding zone of the Nansen Ice Shelf in Terra Nova Bay (Fig. 2). The glacier features floating shear zones with long-term shear strain rates around 0.01 yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S1). A stake network survey conducted on the glacier's true left shear zone revealed spatial variability in ice stiffness, with softer ice in the shear zone and stiffer ice in the central part of the glacier (Still et al., 2022). Observations also identified localized tidal flexure, which could only be modeled by incorporating a spatially heterogeneous Young's modulus or modifying the boundary conditions to account for coupling with a rocky ridge on the glacier's left sidewall. Ice core measurements taken from the same area showed that grain size reduction and preferential c-axis alignment, consistent with shear deformation along a vertical shear plane perpendicular to the flow direction, contributed to the mechanical ice softening (Thomas et al., 2021).



**Figure 2: Landmarks along the Victoria Land coast of East Antarctica. (a) The dashed-red rectangle shows the location of the study area in panel b. (b) The transitioning zone of Priestley Glacier, draining into the Nansen Ice Shelf, superimposed with a velocity field derived from TerraSAR-X data in 2018. The map background is the Landsat image mosaic of Antarctica (LIMA, Bindshadler et al., 2008). The grounding line is sourced from the Antarctic Surface Accumulation and Ice Discharge project (ASAID, Bindshadler et al., 2011). The inset shows the location of the study site along the Transantarctic Mountains in East Antarctica. Map coordinates in an Antarctic Polar Stereographic projection (EPSG:3031).**

### 3 Data and methods

160 We seek to detect differences between ~~predicted~~modeled and observed vertical displacements throughout the tidal cycle. This requires adjustments ~~for~~of the ~~input data~~tidal forcing used for predictions to overcome tide-model inaccuracies, and a decomposition of the ~~double~~-differential tidal displacement measured by DInSAR into a synthesized time series ~~with~~of ~~absolute~~'single-tide' displacements at the times of SAR data acquisitions. The process begins with deriving an  $\alpha$ -map from DInSAR measurements, representing the average tide-deflection ratio. Next, the tide model output is adjusted to align with  
165 DInSAR observations over the freely-floating portion of the ice shelf. This adjusted tide model is then combined with the alpha map to predict average tidal displacements at the times of SAR data acquisition.  
These predicted tidal displacement maps are reassembled to compute residual mismatches with the original DInSAR images. Similar to the tide model adjustment, we then determine the minimal per-pixel offsets needed in the average tidal displacement maps to best match the DInSAR data. By subtracting these offsets from the predicted tidal displacement maps,  
170 we effectively decompose the DInSAR images into their underlying 'single tide' components, enabling the reconstruction of synthetic displacement maps corresponding to each SAR data acquisition.  
When these synthetic maps are again reassembled for comparison with the original DInSAR images, we refer to the resulting products as Mosaics. In the following we detail the individual steps ~~for Priestley Glacier~~required, an example for Darwin Glacier can be found in Wild et al., 2019 : Last, we replace the  $\alpha$ -map from DInSAR measurements with modeled  $\alpha$ -maps  
175 from homogeneous and heterogeneous experiments.

#### 3.1 Remote-sensing of the tidal-flexure zone

##### 3.1.1 Satellite-based surface velocity and DInSAR tidal flexure

TerraSAR-X is the highest resolution sensor (<2 m in StripMap mode) employed in this study and we used it as a baseline to determine the surface velocities, the surface strain-~~rate~~ field and the grounding line. Surface velocities were based on  
180 speckle tracking of two SAR images from November 2018 using the Gamma software package (Werner et al., 2000). Corresponding strain rates were calculated using the strain-~~rate~~ formulation (Alley et al., 2018). DInSAR images stem from ~~triple~~double differencing three consecutive SAR scenes. The double differencing cancels the steady horizontal component of ice flow and contains two interferograms which are calculated out of three overpasses (i.e. both interferograms have one joint ~~scene~~SAR data acquisition in time). We used 3 SAR scenes from June 5, 2018, June 16, 2018 and June 27, 2018 to  
185 compute one TerraSAR-X DInSAR image (Fig. S2) from which we delineated a grounding line located in an approximately 100 m wide grounding zone where the grounding-~~line~~ migrates over a tidal cycle (Drews et al., 2021). Additionally, we used 56 Sentinel-1 SAR ~~images~~scenes acquired between May 2017 to July 2020 to create 31 DInSAR images of the Priestley Glacier (see Neckel et al., 2021 for more detail). These images were phase-unwrapped using a minimum-cost flow algorithm ~~to~~and show double-differential ~~vertical~~tidal displacement.

### 190 | 3.1.2 Derivation of ~~tidal flexure patterns and an~~ $\alpha$ -maps from DInSAR measurements

From the 31 unwrapped DInSAR images we selected a reference location 25 km downstream of the grounding line within the freely-floating part of the ice shelf to measure double differential tidal displacement. We synthesized the tidal flexure pattern across multiple tidal cycles by calculating the mean ratio between the vertical displacement of each pixel in a DInSAR image with the double-differential tidal displacement ~~on the freely-floating ice shelf of the reference~~. This  
 195 empirically-derived map ~~of tidal flexure~~ then shows temporally-averaged, ~~percentage tidal displacement~~ tide-deflection ratio ( $\alpha$ -map, Han and Lee, 2014), where values of 0 % indicate grounded ice and values of 100 % delineate freely-floating areas. Intermediate values quantify the average degree of tidal flexing. An  $\alpha$ -map is thus the mean tidal flexure which can be expected for any tidal amplitude.

### 3.1.3 Tide-model adjustment with DInSAR

200 For our method it is necessary that a tide model used to force the modeling matches the double-differential tidal displacement measured with DInSAR on the freely-floating part of the ice shelf. Despite significant improvements in tide model accuracy for coastal Antarctica—reduced from  $\pm 10$  cm (Padman et al., 2002) to  $\pm 6.5$  cm (Stammer et al., 2014)—this accuracy remains an order of magnitude lower than the millimeter-level precision of DInSAR (Rignot et al., 2011). Therefore, we treated DInSAR measurements of vertical displacement in freely -floating areas as the reference standard, and  
 205 adjusted the tide model output to align with these satellite observations.

We adjust the tide model at 56 time stamps in a least-square fit to as little as possible to match the 31 ~~triple~~ double differences from the DInSAR observations. The corresponding system of equations is  $Cx=b$ , where  $C$  is a  $31 \times 56$  matrix of double-differential SAR image combinations (with 56 rows of SAR images and 31 columns of DInSAR interferograms),  $x$  represents the least-squares combination of desired tidal -forcing offsets, and  $b$  is a vector of the observed misfits on the  
 210 freely-floating area.

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{31 \times 56} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ x_{56} \end{bmatrix}}_{56 \times 1} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ b_{31} \end{bmatrix}}_{31 \times 1} \quad (2)$$

After solving the underdetermined system of linear equations (Eq. 2) using least-squares, we subtracted the computed tidal-  
 215 forcing offsets  $x$  and thus adjusted the tide model at these 56 snapshots to match the 31 vertical displacements observed with DInSAR.

### 3.1.4 ~~Reconstruction of Synthesized~~ displacement maps during SAR data acquisition

The DInSAR images only provide ~~double~~ differential tidal displacement. We followed the methodology detailed in Wild et al. (2019) to estimate ~~vertical~~~~synthesized~~ displacement fields during SAR data acquisitions. ~~This is done using~~~~We briefly~~  
iterate the main steps here which combine the adjusted tidal forcing ~~in combination~~ with the  $\alpha$ -map. An  $\alpha$ -map spatially extends the adjusted tidal forcing from the freely-floating area across the tidal-flexure zone ~~and the grounding line, a step~~  
~~referred to as  $\alpha$ -prediction.~~ An  $\alpha$ -prediction map then shows the mean vertical displacement that can be expected during SAR data acquisition.

Next, these  $\alpha$ -prediction maps were ~~combined~~~~double differenced~~ in a way to calculate the ~~residual~~ misfits  $b$  for each cell in comparison to the DInSAR images. Subsequently, we determine offsets  $\chi$  for every cell in the  $\alpha$ -predictions, by minimizing offsets using least-squares optimization (Eq. 2). After removing these offsets from the  $\alpha$ -predictions, we recalculate the synthesized DInSAR image with minimal misfits (labeled ~~m~~Mosaic in this study). These adjusted  $\alpha$ -prediction maps now show ~~vertical~~~~synthesized~~ displacement during each SAR data acquisition. ~~These predictions are subject to the assumption~~  
that the adjusted tide model is correct everywhere. This assumption is needed to solve the under constrained system of equations. Consequently, we will not capture effects of, e.g., tidal asymmetry leading to ice-shelf tilting which we discuss later.

## 3.2 GNSS measurements of vertical tidal displacement

A dedicated field campaign was conducted at the Priestley Glacier and between November 2, 2018, and December 29, 2018, we deployed two Trimble GNSS systems to record horizontal and vertical displacement at 15-second intervals over four spring-neap cycles. These systems were positioned within the freely-floating area (Shirase) and the tidal-flexure zone (Tuati), and we processed the data using Precise Point Positioning (~~Drews et al., 2021~~). We focus on vertical displacement, while information about horizontal displacement can be found elsewhere (Drews et al., 2021).

## 3.3 Numerical modeling of elastic tidal ice-shelf flexure

### 3.3.1 Governing equations

We modeled vertical tidal flexure with an elastic beam (Walker et al., 2013; Wild et al., 2017):

$$kw + \nabla^2 (D \nabla^2 w) = q, \quad (3)$$

where  $w(x, y, t)$  is the vertical displacement of the neutral layer in a thin plate;  $\nabla^2$  the Laplace operator, and  $k = 5 \text{ Mpa m}^{-1}$  (Walker et al., 2013) a spring constant representing an elastically deforming foundation for the grounded part (i.e. it is zero

for the floating part). The ice-shelf stiffness ( $D$ , Love, 1906, p. 443) and the tidal force underneath the floating portion ( $q$ ) are parameterized as:

$$D = \frac{E H^3}{12(1 - \lambda^2)}, \quad (4)$$

$$q = \rho_{sw} g (A - w), \quad (5)$$

250 where  $E(x, y)$  is the effective Young's modulus for ice. We use the term "effective" Young's modulus to acknowledge that, in natural, real-world conditions, ice behaves differently from theoretical or laboratory-based conditions due to factors like ice fabric, temperature variations, and impurities.  $H(x, y)$  represents ice thickness,  $\lambda = 0.4$  is Poisson's ratio accounting for lateral deformation due to longitudinal strain,  $\rho_{sw} = 1027 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  is ocean water density,  $g = 9.81 \text{ m s}^{-2}$  is the gravitational acceleration, and  $A(t)$  is the time-varying, DInSAR adjusted, tidal forcing. The boundaries of the grounded  
255 portion in the model domain were rigidly anchored ( $w = 0, \nabla^2 w = 0$ ), while the ice-shelf edge moves synchronous with tides ( $w = A(t), \nabla w = 0$ ). To facilitate stress transmission between the floating and grounded portions, a fulcrum was used at the grounding line ( $w = 0$ ). Similar to previous studies (Wild et al., 2017, 2018, 2019), the equations were solved with a finite-element solver implemented in COMSOL Multiphysics using a triangular mesh. The equations were numerically integrated with a fully implicit time-stepping scheme (backward differentiation formula).

260

Unless otherwise stated, we use  $E = 1.6 \text{ GPa}$  for our reference scenario which is motivated by ~~observations from other outlet glaciers along the Transantarctic Mountains~~ tiltmeter measurements across an unconstrained section of the grounding line of the Southern McMurdo Ice Shelf (Wild et al., 2017, 2018). The value of the effective Young's modulus dominates the offshore flexure pattern, while the bed stiffness controls the amount of grounded ice flexure (Appendix A.2) We neglect  
265 viscous deformation on tidal timescales (Reeh et al., 2000, 2003), an assumption that we discuss in Sect. 5. ~~4.2~~. This model setup was also used in a synthetic geometry to motivate our hypothesis (Sect. 2.1), next we detail the real world scenarios at Priestley Glacier.

### 3.3.2. Input variables and forcing for modeling tidal deformation at Priestley Glacier

Common to all simulations is the tidal forcing which is based on the Circum-Antarctic Tidal Simulation model (CATS2008,  
270 Howard et al., 2019, an updated version of the model by Padman et al., 2002) to predict tidal oscillations at a location on the freely-floating area of Priestley Glacier. To correct for the weight of the tidal wave on the Earth's crust, we assumed an elastically deforming bed and used the global barotropic assimilation model from Oregon State University to calculate load tides (TPX09, Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002). Additionally, we corrected for the inverse barometric effect (IBE, Padman et al., 2003) using ERA-5 reanalysis data (Bell et al., 2020). Thereby, a +1 hPa anomaly of atmospheric pressure translates to an  
275 instantaneous -1 cm contribution to the tidal forcing. We validated pressure variations with an available 59-day record of

barometric pressure and found excellent agreement (Pearson correlation: 0.96). In this paper, "tidal forcing" refers to the combined outputs of ocean tide model, load tide model, and the IBE. The tidal forcing was applied only to floating ice, with signals such as mean dynamic topography and storm surges, which cannot be directly measured at the ice-shelf surface, neglected.


280 **3.3.3. Model experiments**

*3.3.3.1 Control model setup*

The Control model represents the model setup based on publicly available input data sets for the Priestley Glacier. We used the ASAILD grounding line (Bindschadler et al., 2011) derived from Landsat-7 data (1999–2003) and ICESat data (2003–2008), and the BedMachine ice thickness (Morlighem, 2020; Morlighem et al., 2020). In the Control model setup (Fig. 3a) 285 the Young’s modulus is homogeneous at 1.6 GPa.

*3.3.3.1 Local model setup*

In the Local model setup we incorporated an updated ice thickness map [from more recent inversion of ice-shelf freeboard](#) and a grounding line delineated from one TerraSAR-X DInSAR image (Fig. 3b). For the thickness update, we used freeboard inversion with surface elevation from the Reference Elevation Model of Antarctica (REMA, Fig. S3a, Howat et al., 2019) 290 with the EIGEN6c4 geoid model (Fig. S3b, Foerste et al., 2014) as the mean sea level. For grounded areas, we used the BedMachine ice-thickness product (Morlighem, 2020; Morlighem et al., 2020). No firm correction is needed for the blue ice surface of Priestley Glacier. The biggest differences of the local model and the control model emerge from the inferred ice thickness at the grounding line, with differences of up to 150 m (Fig. S4).




295 **Figure 3: Setups for the Control and Local model at Priestley Glacier. (a) The Control model uses the ASAILD**  
**grounding line and BedMachine v2 ice thickness. (b) The Local model uses a DInSAR-derived grounding line from**  
**TerraSAR-X data and ice thickness from freeboard inversion for floating ice. Colored outlines indicate boundary**  
**conditions. The finite-element mesh is in the background. The dashed gray line shows the IceBridge flight path (Nov**  
**2013) with radar data for ice-thickness validation (Appendix A). Dashed blue and orange lines represent locations of**  
 300 **homogeneous model solutions in Figure 109 j and k, respectively.**

## 4) Results


### 4.1 Tide-model accuracy before and after adjustment

At Priestley Glacier, modeled ocean tides exhibit the highest amplitude variability, reaching  $\pm 0.4$  m, followed by the IBE at  $\pm 0.15$  m, and the load tide at  $\pm 0.05$  m (Fig. 4a). The combined effect, referred to as tidal forcing, reaches  $\pm 0.6$  m. The tides exhibit mainly diurnal characteristics with a 14-day spring-neap cycle. The correlation between ERA5 reanalysis data and a  
 305 59-day pressure record from a local AWS at Priestley Glacier is 0.96 (Fig. 4b), indicating high confidence in the IBE correction. We use these time series to predict tidal forcing for the 56 Sentinel-1 SAR data collection times (Fig. 4c and d). The mapped vertical displacements of the 56 SAR acquisitions cover a time interval of more than a year and all stages of the tidal oscillation.



**Figure 4: Tidal forcing at Priestley Glacier. (a)** Tidal forcing combines the CATS2008 tide model, TPXO9v2a load model, and the IBE from ERA5 data, compared to an IBE derived from a local AWS. Shaded areas show periods with in-situ data for validation. **(b)** Detailed view of November–December 2018, when AWS and GPS data were collected. **(c)** Predicted tidal forcing at Sentinel-1 SAR acquisition times. **(d)** Focused view of November–December 2018. Gray lines indicate SAR acquisition dates; red dots show tidal forcing values from the model.

Prior to the adjustment with DInSAR observations in the freely floating areas (Fig. 5a), the misfits between the modeled and measured differential tidal displacement is  $\pm 0.15$  m (Fig. 5b). This is larger than the general accuracy of tide models in Antarctica ( $\pm 6.5$  cm, Stammer et al., 2014). After the least-squares adjustment of the modeled tidal forcing (Methods, Section 3.1.3.), the misfit is reduced to milli-meterseffectively zero, withresulting in a good match towith all measurements (Fig. 5c). The required offsets at the 56 time stamps to achieve this reducedgreater accuracy have a mean of 0.04 m and a temporal standard deviation of 0.03 m.



**Figure 5: Sentinel-1 DInSAR measurements and tide model comparison at Priestley Glacier. (a) Vertical displacements along an IceBridge profile in the tidal flexure zone from 31 DInSAR interferograms. Colored dots show tidal forcing on the ice shelf; dashed gray lines mark the Tuati (flexure zone) and Shirase (floating) GPS stations. (b) Measured vs. modeled tidal forcing (colored dots), with the black dashed line indicating a perfect match. (c) Comparison after adjusting the modeled tidal forcing to align with DInSAR measurements.**

The mapping of vertical displacement to individual SAR scenes shows smooth vertical flexure for most stages of the tidal oscillation (Fig. 6). Image quality is overall high, with the exception of a narrow band close to the lateral shear zones which show **notoriously** low coherences throughout the dataset.




Figure 6. Selection of twelve **reconstructed synthesized** vertical displacement maps in the tidal flexure zone of Priestley Glacier, showing vertical displacement due to ocean tides during SAR data acquisitions in 2017. The dashed line indicates the IceBridge

335 flight path (Nov 2013). Red and orange dots mark the GPS stations Shirase (freely-floating) and Tuati (within the tidal flexure zone).

With the 56 ~~vertical~~synthesized displacement maps corresponding to the SAR data acquisition times, ~~it is now possible to perform any image combination~~we can now generate any desired combination of images. We ~~mosaicked~~reassembled the 31 double differences corresponding to the DInSAR combinations to enable a more direct comparison between the measured and mosaicked interferograms. The synthetic interferograms replicate the complex tidal fringes observed in the DInSAR measurements, with discontinuities appearing only outside of the central region of Priestley Glacier (Fig. 7).

340





Figure 7: Selection of three measured and mosaicked images from 31 available DInSAR combinations showing double-differential vertical displacement. The SAR image combination is indicated in the lower left corner. The dashed line marks the IceBridge flight path from November 2013, with red and orange dots showing the locations of the Shirase (freely-floating) and Tuati (tidal flexure zone) GPS stations.

#### 4.2 Effect of ice heterogeneity in lateral shear zones

In the process of DInSAR image mosaicking, we initially used an empirical  $\alpha$ -map derived only from all Sentinel-1 DInSAR images. However, alternative  $\alpha$ -maps, generated from elastic finite-element model solutions, can also be used to create synthesized vertical displacement maps. These strategy allow us to evaluate the effect of reducing the effective ice stiffness in the shear zone on the tidal flexure pattern by using modeled  $\alpha$ -maps where the Young's modulus was locally reduced. The goal is to achieve the best possible match between the mosaicked maps and the root-mean-square error (RMSE) between the measured and mosaicked DInSAR images (Fig. 8a).


We tested three distinct finite-element model configurations: (i) Control model, using input data from publicly available datasets, (ii) Local homogeneous model, incorporating ice thickness from freeboard inversion and DInSAR grounding line but assuming uniform ice properties across the modeling domain, and (iii) the Local heterogeneous model, sharing the same input as (ii) but featuring ice stiffness variability where the Young's modulus is systematically reduced in lateral shear zones. We use the Control model as a test for its applicability for a continent-wide assessment, where updated grounding lines and ice thicknesses are not yet available.

All model configurations exhibit minimal RMSE in the central region of the floating ice shelf (Fig. 8b-d), indicating that they align well with the mosaic (Fig. 8e-g), irrespective of the  $\alpha$ -map used. This demonstrates that our least-squares adjustment routine, as detailed in Sect. 3.1.4, is effective regardless of the applied  $\alpha$ -map. However, variations within the shear zone are random (Fig. 8e-g) and stem from phase decorrelation in these areas. Therefore, to gain a clearer understanding of the impact of ice stiffness on the tidal flexure pattern, it is more insightful to directly compare the measured and modeled  $\alpha$ -maps rather than focusing solely on the RMSE between the measured and modeled DInSAR images.



**Figure 8: RMSE between 31 DInSAR images measured with Sentinel-1 and least-square predictions based on various  $\alpha$ -maps. Panel (a) presents the mosaic using an empirically-derived  $\alpha$ -map from Sentinel-1 data. Panels (b) to (d) show results from different finite-element model setups. Panels (e) to (g) compare the mosaicked and modeled RMSE, showing that all model setups replicate the mosaic accurately within noise levels (<1 cm).**


We now compare measured and modeled  $\alpha$ -maps (Fig. 9). Both the Control model and the Local homogeneous model tend to underestimate the measured flexure profile within the first 10 km of the grounding line, and then overestimate the flexure profile thereafter (Fig. 9 j and k). The Local model provides a better reproduction of the flexural pattern compared to the Control model, attributed to its more accurate grounding-line location derived from TerraSAR-X and its generally thicker ice, which results from the freeboard inversion. This increased thickness helps to account for two-dimensional damping effects (Wild et al., 2018) even at significant distances downstream of the grounding line (12-20 km). The measured flexural pattern is most accurately matched when the Young's modulus in the lateral shear zones is reduced to 20 % (Fig. 9l). Further reducing the Young's modulus to 10 % leads to an overestimation of the measured percentage tidal displacement  $\alpha$ -value.



**Figure 9: Comparison of percentage tidal displacement determined by various  $\alpha$ -maps. Panels (a) to (d) show tidal displacement percentages: (a) measured from 31 Sentinel-1 interferograms, (b) from the Control model, (c) from a homogeneous model, and (d) from a heterogeneous model with 20 % effective stiffness in the lateral shear zones. Black contours outline the hydrostatic line, where the ice is in hydrostatic equilibrium, exhibiting full tidal motion ( $\alpha=100\%$ ). The dashed gray line marks the IceBridge flight path, indicating flexure curve locations in panels (i) to (l). Panel (e) shows measured tidal fringes in Priestley Glacier's grounding**

zone, highlighting phase decorrelation in the shear zones. Panels (f) to (h) present differences between measured and modeled  $\alpha$ -maps, with red and orange dots marking GPS receiver locations on the floating and tidal flexure zones. The green hatched region marks the region analysed in the scatterplots in Figure 11. Panels (i) to (l) show flexure curves, with the heterogeneous model (20 % E in lateral shear zones) closely matching the measured pattern. Dashed lines mark the locations of the Tuati (orange) and Shirase (red) GPS stations.

However, this analysis is confined to the IceBridge flight path. We therefore investigate the spatial distribution of the model fit. In the tidal flexure zone near the grounding line of Priestley Glacier (Fig. 9), Local heterogeneous models with a Young's modulus ranging from 30 % to 100 % consistently underestimate the satellite-derived percentage tidal displacement. The optimal fit is obtained with a 20 % Young's modulus, while a 10 % Young's modulus tends to overestimate the tidal flexure, particularly for high  $\alpha$ -values near the hydrostatic line (Fig. 10).



**Figure 10: Scatterplots comparing measured and modeled  $\alpha$ -maps from the Local heterogeneous model of the tidal flexure zone near Priestley Glacier's grounding line. Point density is color-coded, with yellow denoting high density. The red dashed line represents a perfect match. The heterogeneous model, using 20 % E in the shear zone, aligns best with measured values.**

### 4.3 Validation with GPS records

We validate our findings using in-situ measurements from two GPS sites: Shirase, which is nearly freely floating, and Tuati, located in the tidal flexure zone. At Shirase, the mean ice-flow speed is  $105 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$ , while at Tuati, it is  $131 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$ . These speeds are consistent with TerraSAR-X-derived measurements of  $96 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$  and  $132 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$ , respectively. To isolate the vertical tidal signal, we remove linear trends of  $-0.81 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$  at Shirase and  $-2.44 \text{ m yr}^{-1}$  at Tuati from the GPS elevation records. We then compare the resulting anomalies with tidal forcing predicted using various  $\alpha$ -values from different models (Fig. 11).


410 The measured  $\alpha$ -value for the Shirase GPS site is 89.6 %, which is overestimated by all model setups (Control model: 105.8 %, Local homogeneous: 101.5 %, Local heterogeneous: 104.0 %). For Tuati, the measured  $\alpha$ -value is 17.5 %, with the best match provided by the Local heterogeneous model (Control model: 9.8 %, Local homogeneous: 13.2 %, Local heterogeneous: 16.2 %).

415 Using these  $\alpha$ -values, we linearly scale the previously derived tidal forcing to predict vertical tidal motion at the GPS locations. Including tidal loading, and the IBE reduces the RMSE between the unscaled tidal forcing and the freely-floating Shirase GPS measurements from 0.076 m to 0.067 m. Further scaling with the measured  $\alpha$ -value improves the RMSE to 0.064 m. However, the modeled  $\alpha$ -values slightly worsen the fit for Shirase (Control model: 0.071 m, Local homogeneous: 0.068 m, Local heterogeneous: 0.07 m).

420 ~~The In contrast, the~~ benefits of using an  $\alpha$ -map are more pronounced in the spatially extended tidal flexure zone. The RMSE between the unscaled tidal forcing and the Tuati record is 0.182 m. Scaling with the measured  $\alpha$ -value of 17.5 % ~~significantly improves the fit to 0.03 m (or by ,representing an 84 % improvement).~~ The various modeled  $\alpha$ -maps offer slightly better fits (Control model: 0.027 m, Local homogeneous: 0.027 m, Local heterogeneous: 0.029 m). Therefore, as


425 expected, any  $\alpha$ -value scaling improves the fit between observed and predicted tidal displacements. The differences between using the  $\alpha$ -values from our three model scenarios are small and show only comparatively little variability across the different model scenarios. This means, that the locations of Shirase and Tuati are not particularly sensitive to the modelling choices which is also evident in Figs. 9f-h. However, differences between the different model scenarios are more pronounced when evaluated across the entire flexure zone as opposed to along the central flowline only.

430



**Figure 11:** Example of improved tide-model output over one neap-spring-neap tidal cycle, achieved through linear scaling with the tide-deflection ratio from the Control model. (a) The components of the tidal forcing. (b) Tide modeling accurately predicts measured vertical displacement where ice is freely floating, but (c) neglects the damping effect of ice dynamics in the tidal-flexure zone if unscaled. The locations of the two GPS receivers are shown in Figure 9.

In the tidal flexure zone the grounding line of Priestley Glacier (green hatched region in Fig. 9f-h), Local heterogeneous models with a Young's modulus ranging from 30% to 100% consistently underestimate the satellite-derived percentage tidal displacement. The optimal fit is obtained with a 20% Young's modulus. A 10% Young's modulus tends to overestimate the tidal flexure, particularly for high  $\alpha$ -values near the hydrostatic line (Fig. 11). The RMSE for the homogeneous case is 14.8%, and for the best heterogeneous case is 10%, representing an improvement of 33%. Further reducing the Young's modulus from 20% of its reference value to 10% increases the mismatch again, raising the RMSE to 10.4 %.




**Figure 11: Scatterplots comparing measured and modeled  $\alpha$ -maps from the Local heterogeneous model of the tidal flexure zone near Priestley Glacier's grounding line. Point density is color-coded, with yellow denoting high density. The red dashed line represents a perfect match. The heterogeneous model, using a 20 % Young's modulus in the shear zone, aligns best with measured values. The RMSE for each scatterplot is minimal for 20% E, and maximal for the homogeneous case (100 % E).**

## 5) Discussion

### 5.1 Hypothesis evaluation: Ice stiffness reduction in shear zones

Our results indicate that heterogeneous flexure models provide a better fit to DInSAR observations than their homogeneous counterparts. This supports the idea that satellite interferometry is sensitive to spatial variations in ice stiffness, particularly across shear zones. However, this interpretation depends on the choice of the reference Young's modulus, which we set to  $E = 1.6$  GPa. To explore the sensitivity of our findings to this assumption, we conduct additional simulations using the homogeneous model. We find that lowering the homogeneous Young's modulus to  $E = 1.0$  GPa yields a fit to the DInSAR measurements comparable to that of the best-fit heterogeneous model, which assumes  $E = 1.6$  GPa with a 20% reduction across shear zones (Fig. 12). This non-uniqueness highlights the importance of better constraining field-derived estimates of the effective Young's modulus.



460 **Figure 12: Comparison between the best homogeneous and best heterogeneous flexure model solutions. (a) Elastic flexure for a**  
**homogeneous Young's modulus of  $E = 1.0$  GPa. (b) Difference relative to the homogeneous reference case of  $E = 1.6$  GPa. (c)**  
**Flexure curves for both homogeneous cases compared to the DInSAR measurements and the Control model. (d) Elastic flexure for**  
**a heterogeneous ice stiffness model with  $E = 1.6$  GPa and a 20% reduction in the lateral shear zones. (e) Difference between the**  
465 **best heterogeneous case and the homogeneous reference ( $E = 1.6$  GPa). (f) Best-fit heterogeneous flexure curve compared to**  
**satellite observations and the Control model.**

The reference value of  $E = 1.6$  GPa is based on previous tiltmeter measurements from a relatively simple setting on the Southern McMurdo Ice Shelf, which features a thick firm layer and limited lateral variability (Wild et al., 2017). In contrast, the Priestley Glacier contains well-defined shear zones, consists largely of blue ice, and originates from the polar plateau, where ice temperatures are significantly colder. Given these differences, we expect the Priestley Glacier ice to be inherently

stiffer than that of the Southern McMurdo Ice Shelf. For this reason, we retain  $E = 1.6$  GPa as the reference value for our heterogeneous experiments and do not adopt the reduced homogeneous value as a new baseline.

This result is reminiscent of findings from the Darwin Glacier, where tiltmeter data indicated a Young's modulus of  $E = 1.0$  GPa (Wild et al., 2019). Like Priestley Glacier, Darwin Glacier exhibits a pronounced lateral shear zone and is composed predominantly of blue ice. These similarities raise questions about the appropriateness of using a reduced Young's modulus in such settings, where colder ice temperatures and structural complexity should lead to higher stiffness.

## 5.2 Elastic weakening in shear zones: Contradictions and interpretations

In Wild et al., 2019, we identified a narrow band of relatively larger model misfits stretching from Darwin Glacier's shear margin to the freely-floating ice shelf. These discrepancies were attributed to the microscopic process of ice anisotropy, to explain the observed macroscopic response and accounted for the glacial heterogeneity within the embayment. In the present study, we attempted to replicate a similar signal within the shear zone of Priestley Glacier using Sentinel-1 data. However, phase-decorrelation in the shear zone impeded a direct enhancement of the model fit in these lateral regions.

However, the core issue is that we observe apparent elastic weakening within shear zones, which stands in contrast to laboratory measurements indicating that ice anisotropy has only a minimal effect on the elastic properties of glacier ice (Lutz et al., 2022; Rathmann et al., 2022). This suggests that attributing reductions in Young's modulus within shear zones to ice fabric is not physically justified. Our efforts also rely on isotropic material assumptions, which do not align with natural ice masses (Jacka and Budd, 1989). In regions characterized by simple shear, such as the lateral shear zones of fast-flowing outlet glaciers, there is a notable transition from initially isotropic to anisotropic ice (Thomas et al. 2021). This transformation results in a robust fabric aligned perpendicular to the shear plane, primarily due to the basal glide deformation of individual crystals. As grain rotation and dynamic recrystallization take place within the polycrystal, a preferred orientation fabric emerges, leading to bulk softening of ice stiffness. In polycrystalline shear zone ice with well-developed fabrics, the enhancement of ice flow can be up to ten times greater than in the isotropic case (Hudleston, 2015). This becomes significant in regions where fast-flowing glaciers are constrained along their margins, leading to the disappearance of basal drag, and shear stresses from lateral boundaries become the sole determinant of effective strength to resist grounding-line discharge (Gudmundsson, 2013).

More broadly, the contradictions between field- and laboratory derived properties call into question the appropriateness of using Young's modulus as a bulk tuning parameter to represent the combined effects of fabric, temperature, and damage. While ice fabric has limited influence on elastic properties, it significantly affects the viscous behavior of glacier ice. Given that tidal flexure is fundamentally a viscoelastic process, it would be more physically consistent to vary ice viscosity, rather than elasticity, within shear zones when tuning models to match observations. Nevertheless, because our analysis is based on

comparisons of modeled and observed  $\alpha$ -maps, which are temporally averaged and thus largely filter out transient viscoelastic signals, we sidestep the need to explicitly implement a viscoelastic model in this study.

This  $\alpha$ -map based approach is only feasible because a long time series of Sentinel-1 data is available, capturing the full tidal cycle and enabling a reliable temporal average of the flexural response. As publicly accessible SAR satellite data becomes more abundant, we anticipate significant progress in our understanding of shear-zone weakening. This advancement will enhance the applicability of the methods outlined in this study, potentially informing the next generation of ice-sheet models with improved maps of spatially heterogeneous ice properties along Antarctica's grounding line.

### **5.3 Limitations and Opportunities for Improving Shear Zone Characterization**

Caveats of our method is the reliance on synthesizing "single-tide" displacement maps, and limited interferometric coherence to resolve fringes across the shear zone. These, in turn, hamper our capacity to unequivocally distinguish between potential mechanisms of shear zone weakening—such as anisotropy, shear heating (Perol and Rice, 2015), fracturing (Albrecht and Levermann, 2014), or nonlinear viscous effects governed by Glen's flow law under tidal loading (Rosier and Gudmundsson, 2018). To definitively link the observed weakening in the shear zone of Priestley Glacier to the macroscopic influence of ice-crystal anisotropy, more in-situ data are required. These data should not only capture 'single-tide' vertical flexure, but also resolve fringes across the shear zone, particularly where Sentinel-1 data lose sufficient coherence. It remains unclear whether the phase-decorrelation observed in the shear zone of Priestley Glacier stems from intrinsic differences in setting compared to Darwin Glacier, or if it is related to the use of Sentinel-1 data, which differs from the TerraSAR-X data used in our previous study. Despite this limitation, we successfully matched tidal flexure in the central areas of Priestley Glacier, providing evidence for shear-zone weakening and its macroscopic influence on the flexural pattern.


Terrestrial radar interferometry, as applied by Drews et al. (2021) to the upstream section of Priestley Glacier, could fill this data gap due to its significantly higher temporal resolution (minutes to hours) compared to satellite SAR data (days to weeks). With tidal fringes extending across the shear zone, it would be possible to better constrain viscoelastic models in key regions affecting Priestley Glacier's resistance to vertical displacement, than using its central part as a proxy for processes occurring along its sides.

### **5.1.4 Viscosity and elasticity Justification for using an elastic approximation**

The RMSE provides a useful measure of the absolute fit between the modeled tidal forcing and GPS records. However, it does not offer information about the timing of tidal oscillations at the two sites. To address this, we examine the correlation between unscaled tidal forcing and the GPS records. For Shirase, including load tides and the IBE improves the correlation from 0.92 to 0.94. For Tuati, the correlation increases from 0.62 to 0.66. The difference in correlation between the two GPS sites, about 0.3, suggests varying timing of tidal oscillations, which we attribute to viscoelastic effects. In an ideal elastic

535 scenario, tidal deformation would occur instantaneously, leading to consistent correlations across the tidal flexure zone, though with a reduced tidal amplitude through flexural damping.

Figure 123 illustrates the effect of introducing a synthetic time shift on the correlation between tidal forcing and GPS measurements. Applying a 0.37-hour shift to the unscaled tidal forcing improves the correlation with the Shirase GPS from 0.94 to 0.95. This minor improvement supports the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium at the freely-floating GPS site. In contrast, applying a 1.3 h time shift enhances the correlation between the flexure-zone GPS and tide model output from 0.66 to 0.72, suggesting that viscoelastic time delays occur between the two GPS sites. Although estimating ice viscosity for a more precise fit is theoretically feasible, the elastic model used in this study, achieves an 84 % improvement compared to a tide model excluding tidal flexure, and provides a substantial enhancement. Further exploration of viscoelastic modeling is planned for future research.



**Figure 132: Correlations and associated RMSE between GPS measurements and tide model output as a function of a synthetic time shift. The length of the orange arrow corresponds to a 1.3 h time delay that we largely attribute to viscoelastic time delays in the flexural response between the two GPS sites.**

550 To approximate tidal flexure with an elastic model, significant tidal amplitudes during SAR image acquisition are crucial to minimize viscoelastic time delays (Wild et al., 2017). Instead of selecting individual DInSAR images for large tidal amplitudes, we reduce viscoelastic contamination-effects by computing a percentage tidal displacement field over all 31 Sentinel-1 DInSAR images using an  $\alpha$ -map ( $\alpha$ -map : Han and Lee, 2014; Wild et al., 2019). This averaging approach also addresses geometric effects from horizontal surface motion around a neutrally-deforming layer at the center of the ice shelf

555 (Suppl. Video, Rack et al., 2017; Wild et al., 2018). Generating an  $\alpha$ -map assumes minimal tidal grounding-line migration, as observed at Priestley Glacier, where high-temporal resolution terrestrial radar interferometry indicates horizontal grounding-line migration well below the scale of one ice thickness (Drews et al., 2021).

## 5.2 Processes reducing ice stiffness in lateral shear zones

Our efforts rely on isotropic material assumptions, which do not align with natural ice masses (Jacka and Budd, 1989). In regions characterized by simple shear, such as the lateral shear zones of fast-flowing outlet glaciers, there is a notable transition from initially isotropic to anisotropic ice (Thomas et al. 2021). This transformation results in a robust fabric aligned perpendicular to the shear plane, primarily due to the basal glide deformation of individual crystals. As grain rotation and dynamic recrystallization take place within the crystal lattice, a preferred orientation fabric emerges, leading to bulk softening of ice stiffness. In polycrystalline shear zone ice with well-developed fabrics, the enhancement of ice flow can be up to ten times greater than in the isotropic case (Hudleston, 2015). This becomes significant in regions where fast-flowing glaciers are constrained along their margins, leading to the disappearance of basal drag, and shear stresses from lateral boundaries become the sole determinant of effective strength to resist grounding-line discharge (Gudmundsson, 2013).

Alternative mechanisms for shear zone weakening, aside from anisotropy, include shear heating (Perol and Rice, 2015), fracturing (Albrecht and Levermann, 2014), and the effects of Glen's flow law combined with tidal stresses (Rosier and Gudmundsson, 2018). To definitively link the observed weakening in the shear zone of Priestley Glacier to the macroscopic influence of ice crystal anisotropy, more in-situ data are required. These data should capture tidal flexure across the shear zone, particularly where Sentinel-1 data lose sufficient coherence to calculate interferometric fringes, represented by noise along the lateral shear zones. Terrestrial radar interferometry, as applied by Drews et al. (2021) to the upstream section of Priestley Glacier, could fill this data gap due to its significantly higher temporal resolution (minutes to hours) compared to satellite SAR data, which is typically acquired over days to weeks. With tidal fringes extending across the shear zone, it would be possible to better constrain models in key regions affecting Priestley Glacier's resistance to vertical displacement, than using its central part as a proxy for processes occurring along its sides.

### 5.35 Asymmetric tides and potential role of Ephemeral re-grounding

Our GPS data show an asymmetric ocean tide, with a noticeably steeper rising tide compared to the falling tide (Fig. 14b). This asymmetry is not captured by the tide model, which predicts a more balanced, symmetric tidal oscillation. There are a couple of potential explanations for this discrepancy: (1) preferential propagation of the tidal wave, which might enhance tidal inflow into the sub-ice-shelf cavity more than the outflow during the falling tide, or (2) transient re-grounding of the ice shelf at low tide. The latter has been suggested by Drews et al. (2021) and could be linked to upstream flexure opposing the direction of the falling tide. This opposing flexure may suggest the formation of a temporary fulcrum offshore of the grounding line, potentially aligning with undulations in the bathymetry. However, our tidal flexure model currently does not account for the shape of the offshore bathymetry, preventing us from evaluating the effects of transient re-grounding. Although it would be theoretically possible to incorporate the bathymetry as an elastically deforming boundary condition—similar to the bed beneath grounded ice in the current model setup—the limited availability of bathymetric data in the tidal flexure zone complicates this modeling effort (Appendix A).

## 5.4 Comparison to previous work on Darwin Glacier

In Wild et al., 2019, we identified a narrow band of relatively larger errors stretching from Darwin Glacier's shear margin to the freely floating ice shelf. These discrepancies were attributed to the microscopic process of ice anisotropy, which explained the macroscopic response and accounted for the glacial heterogeneity within the embayment. In the present study, we attempted to replicate a similar signal within the shear zone of Priestley Glacier using Sentinel-1 data. However, phase-decorrelation in the shear zone impeded a direct enhancement of the model fit in these lateral regions.

Despite this limitation, we successfully matched the central areas of Priestley Glacier, providing evidence for shear-zone weakening and its macroscopic influence on the flexural pattern. It remains unclear whether the phase-decorrelation observed in the shear zone of Priestley Glacier stems from intrinsic differences in setting compared to Darwin Glacier, or if it is related to the use of Sentinel-1 data, which differs from the TerraSAR-X data used in our previous study.

As publicly accessible SAR satellite data becomes more abundant, we anticipate significant progress in our understanding of shear-zone weakening. This advancement will enhance the applicability of the methods outlined in this study, potentially informing the next generation of ice-sheet models with improved maps of spatially heterogeneous ice properties along Antarctica's grounding line.

## 6) Conclusions

This study highlights the importance of accounting for ice heterogeneity and tidal flexure in modeling the dynamics of Antarctic outlet glaciers. By integrating Sentinel-1 DInSAR data with tidal-flexure models, we demonstrated that reducing ice stiffness in lateral shear zones significantly improves the accuracy of vertical displacement predictions, particularly along the grounding [zoneline](#). This highlights the broader utility of DInSAR beyond its conventional role in delineating grounding [zoneslines](#) of ice shelves to map spatially variable ice properties—a first step toward mapping ice rheology along the Antarctic coastline [to inform ice-sheet models](#).

Our findings also imply that ice within other shear margins outside the tidal flexure zone, such as those along the edges of polar ice streams, may exhibit significant reductions in stiffness. This could potentially reshape our understanding of ice-stream initiation and the mechanisms driving mass transport from the Antarctic plateau to the ocean. Although challenges like phase-decorrelation in shear zones persist, our results underscore the potential of DInSAR for informing ice-sheet models.

Incorporating DInSAR into large-scale ice-sheet monitoring not only enhances our understanding of glacial dynamics but also provides a critical tool for mapping the mechanical properties of ice, essential for future studies on ice rheology and

deformation. Expanding this approach to other Antarctic outlet glaciers, combined with the increasing availability of satellite data, will further refine our knowledge of ice heterogeneity and its impact on glacier flow, potentially transforming how we predict ice-sheet responses to a changing climate.

Appendix A

630 A.1 Ice-thickness validation with airborne radar

Figure A1 illustrates a radar transect along an IceBridge flight path acquired in November 2013 (Paden et al., 2010), covering Priestley Glacier as it merges with the Nansen Ice Shelf. The REMA surface elevation data closely matches the airborne measurements from IceBridge. However, discrepancies are more pronounced in the ice base measurements. Upstream of the grounding line, marked by a distinct reduction in basal reflectivity on the IceBridge radargram (black line in Fig. A1), the freeboard inversion method significantly overestimates ice thickness by several hundred meters. Consequently, the BedMachine ice thickness product is employed for both the Control and Local models in this region.

In contrast, downstream of the grounding line, the freeboard inversion ice thickness estimates align well with the airborne measurements. Here, the ice thickness decreases from approximately 1000 meters at the grounding line to about 250 meters near the ice-shelf front, consistent with the hydrostatic equilibrium assumed in this portion of the Nansen Ice Shelf.





Figure A1: IceBridge radar profile. The cross-section shown in (a) follows the IceBridge flight path and presents (b) the airborne measurements of ice surface and ice base elevations (blue lines). REMA data indicates the ice surface elevation, while the inversion of freeboard is used to determine the ice base depth (dashed red lines). Mean sea level is referenced against the EIGEN-6c4 geoid (dashed green). The approximate location of the grounding line is marked in black.

645 A.2 Sensitivity tests

Our analysis indicates that the Young's modulus in the shear zones is reduced by a factor of five. To assess whether this effect stems from our model assumptions or represents a robust feature of the data, we perform a number of model experiments. Specifically, we investigate how varying the homogeneous Young's modulus and decreasing the foundation's spring stiffness beneath the grounded ice influence the modeled elastic response in our idealized setup. This setup assumes

an idealized ice thickness and a grounding-line embayment resembling the geometry of Priestley Glacier, as described in Section 2.1.



**Figure A2: Sensitivity of tidal flexure to varying Young's modulus and bed stiffness. (a/c) The range of tidal flexure for Young's modulus/bed stiffness from 20% to 100% as a function of grounding-line geometry. (b/d) Tidal flexure curves for both increasing and decreasing the reference values. (e) Zoom into the upstream bulge area, with the inset showing vertical displacement in centimeters along the y-axis**

We systematically vary the homogeneous Young's modulus around its reference value of 100 %  $E = 1.6$  Gpa and find that reducing the Young's modulus, i.e. softening of the ice, steepens the modeled tidal-flexure curve for a given tidal amplitude of  $A = -1$  m. This steepening is most pronounced at the floating sections of a grounding-line embayment (Fig. A2a), and moves the offshore inflection point, where tidal flexure over-shoots the applied forcing closer to the grounding line (Fig. A2b).

Reducing the foundation stiffness to 5% of the reference value ( $k = 5$  MPa  $m^{-1}$ ) increases the flexure on both the floating and grounded portions of the ice. The differences between 100%  $k$  and 20%  $k$  are most pronounced at the grounded sections of adjacent grounding-line protrusions and least pronounced along the glacier centerline (Fig. A2c). Along the centerline, the modeled flexure curve shows a mean range of  $0.3 \pm 0.3$  cm on the grounded portion and  $1.6 \pm 1.1$  cm on the floating portion, measured from the grounding line to 10 km in the along-flow direction (Fig. A2d). This corresponds to a maximum of 2–3% of the applied 1 m tidal forcing, which is significantly smaller than the 10% bulge observed between the heterogeneous and homogeneous cases.

Interestingly, the inland extent of the grounding-line bump (Fig. A2e) is also influenced by grounding-line geometry, with protrusions exhibiting a greater inland reach than the glacier centerline (Fig. A2c). This occurs because grounding-line protrusions have more surrounding floating ice available to induce flexure on the grounded part compared to a grounding-line embayment.

### Competing interests

RD is a member of the editorial board of The Cryosphere.

## Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DR 822/8-1). CTW, OJM, and WR gratefully acknowledge the support from a NZ Antarctic Research Institute Type-A Grant 2018-1. RD acknowledges support from an Emmy Noether Grant (DR 822/3-1). NN is grateful for the support received from the EU's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant No 689443). Special thanks to Antarctica New Zealand and the Korea Polar Research Institute for providing logistical support during the event K050 from Jang Bogo Station in the 2018/19 season. We express our appreciation to Patrick Power, mountaineer Richard Bottomley, and helicopter-pilot Andrew Hefford for their valuable assistance in the field. Additionally, we acknowledge John Southward for his technical support. Ice-penetrating radar data used in this study were acquired by NASA's Operation IceBridge. TerraSAR-X data were provided by the German Aerospace Agency (DLR) under project number HYD1421. We thank Jan De Rydt for editing and two anonymous referees for their insightful feedback.

## Author contributions

CTW conceived the study, led data analysis and drafted the manuscript. RD participated in fieldwork and contributed to writing. NN processed Sentinel-1 interferograms. JL, HH, SK and WSL facilitated fieldwork from Jang Bogo Station. OJM processed TerraSAR-X data. VH processed GPS data. All authors discussed results, implications, provided feedback and approved of the final manuscript.

## Data availability

Model outputs are available from CTW. Sentinel-1 imagery is available from the Copernicus Open Access Hub (<https://scihub.copernicus.eu/>). Sentinel-1 interferograms are available from NN. TerraSAR-X interferograms are available from OJM. GPS data are available at <https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.936090>. All other data sources mentioned in this study are detailed within the text.

## References

Albrecht T and Levermann A: Fracture-induced softening for large-scale ice dynamics. The Cryosphere, 8(2), pp.587-605. doi:10.5194/tc-8-587-2014, 2014

Alley KE, Scambos TA, Anderson RS, Rajaram H, Pope A and Haran TM: Continent-wide estimates of Antarctic strain rates from Landsat 8-derived velocity grids. *Journal of Glaciology*, 64(244), pp.321-332. doi:10.1017/jog.2018.23, 2018

- 705 Alley KE, Scambos TA, Alley RB and Holschuh N: Troughs developed in ice-stream shear margins precondition ice shelves for ocean-driven breakup. *Science advances*, 5(10), p.eaax2215. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax2215, 2019

Anandakrishnan S, Voigt DE, Alley RB and King MA: Ice stream D flow speed is strongly modulated by the tide beneath the Ross Ice Shelf. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(7). doi:10.1029/2002GL016329, 2003

710

Arcone SA, Lever JH, Ray LE, Walker BS, Hamilton G and Kaluzienski L: Ground-penetrating radar profiles of the McMurdo Shear Zone, Antarctica, acquired with an unmanned rover: Interpretation of crevasses, fractures, and folds within firn and marine ice. *Geophysics*, 81(1), pp.WA21-WA34. doi:10.1190/geo2015-0132.1, 2016

- 715 Bell B, Hersbach H, Berrisford P, Dahlgren P, Horányi A, Muñoz Sabater J, Nicolas J, Radu R, Schepers D, Simmons A and Soci C: ERA5 hourly data on single levels from 1950 to 1978 (preliminary version), Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS) [online], 2020

- 720 Bindshadler R, Vornberger P, Fleming A, Fox A, Mullins J, Binnie D, Paulsen SJ, Granneman B and Gorodetzky D: The Landsat image mosaic of Antarctica. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112(12), pp.4214-4226. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2008.07.006, 2008

- 725 Bindshadler R, Choi H, Wichlacz A, Bingham R, Bohlander J, Brunt K, Corr H, Drews R, Fricker H, Hall M and Hindmarsh R: Getting around Antarctica: new high-resolution mappings of the grounded and freely-floating boundaries of the Antarctic ice sheet created for the International Polar Year. *The Cryosphere*, 5(3), pp.569-588. doi:10.5194/tc-5-569-2011, 2011

Bradley AT and Hewitt IJ: Tipping point in ice-sheet grounding-zone melting due to ocean water intrusion. *Nature Geoscience*, pp.1-7. doi:10.1038/s41561-024-01465-7, 2024

730

Brunt KM, Fricker HA, Padman L, Scambos TA and O'Neel S: Mapping the grounding zone of the Ross Ice Shelf, Antarctica, using ICESat laser altimetry. *Annals of Glaciology*, 51(55), pp.71-79. doi:10.3189/172756410791392790, 2010

- Drews R, Wild CT, Marsh OJ, Rack W, Ehlers TA, Neckel N and Helm V: Grounding-Zone Flow Variability of Priestley Glacier, Antarctica, in a Diurnal Tidal Regime. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(20), p.e2021GL093853. doi:10.1029/2021GL093853, 2021
- Egbert GD and Erofeeva SY: Efficient inverse modeling of barotropic ocean tides. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic technology*, 19(2), pp.183-204. doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019<0183:EIMOBO>2.0.CO;2, 2002
- Freer BID, Marsh OJ, Hogg AE, Fricker HA and Padman L: Modes of Antarctic tidal grounding line migration revealed by Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite-2 (ICESat-2) laser altimetry, *The Cryosphere*, 17, 4079–4101, doi:10.5194/tc-17-4079-2023, 2023
- Fricker HA and Padman L: Ice shelf grounding zone structure from ICESat laser altimetry. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(15). doi:10.1029/2006GL026907, 2006
- Fricker HA, Coleman R, Padman L, Scambos TA, Bohlander J and Brunt KM: Mapping the grounding zone of the Amery ice shelf, East Antarctica using InSAR, MODIS and ICESat. *Antarctic Science*, 21(5), pp.515-532. doi:10.1017/S095410200999023X, 2009
- Friedl P, Weiser F, Fluhrer A and Braun MH: Remote sensing of glacier and ice sheet grounding lines: A review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 201, p.102948. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102948, 2020
- Gudmundsson GH: Fortnightly variations in the flow velocity of Rutford Ice Stream, West Antarctica. *Nature*, 444(7122), pp.1063-1064. doi:10.1038/nature05430, 2006
- Gudmundsson GH: Ice-stream response to ocean tides and the form of the basal sliding law. *The Cryosphere*, 5(1), pp.259-270. doi:10.5194/tc-5-259-2011, 2011
- Gudmundsson GH: Ice-shelf buttressing and the stability of marine ice sheets. *The Cryosphere*, 7(2), pp.647-655. doi:10.5194/tc-7-647-2013, 2013
- Han H and Lee H: Tide deflection of Campbell Glacier Tongue, Antarctica, analyzed by double-differential SAR interferometry and finite element method. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 141, pp.201-213. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2015.01.014, 2014

Hewitt IJ: Seasonal changes in ice sheet motion due to melt water lubrication. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 371, pp.16-25. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.04.022, 2013

770 Holland PR, Jenkins A and Holland DM: The response of ice shelf basal melting to variations in ocean temperature. *Journal of Climate*, 21(11), pp.2558-2572. doi:10.1175/2007JCLI1909.1, 2008

Howard SL, Erofeeva S and Padman L: CATS2008: Circum-Antarctic Tidal Simulation version 2008 U.S. Antarctic  
 775 Program (USAP) Data Center. doi:10.15784/601235, 2019

Hudleston PJ: Structures and fabrics in glacial ice: A review. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 81, pp.1-27. doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2015.09.003, 2015

780 Jacka TH and Budd WF: Isotropic and anisotropic flow relations for ice dynamics. *Annals of glaciology*, 12, pp.81-84. doi:10.3189/S0260305500006996, 1989

Li T, Dawson GJ, Chuter SJ and Bamber JL: A high-resolution Antarctic grounding zone product from ICESat-2 laser altimetry, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 14, 535–557, doi:10.5194/essd-14-535-2022, 2022

785 Love AEH: A treatise on the mathematical theory of elasticity, 4th edn., Cambridge University Press, London, 672, ISBN 0486601749, 2013

[Lutz F, Prior DJ, Still H, Bowman MH, Boucinhas B, Craw L, Fan S, Kim D, Mulvaney R, Thomas RE and Hulbe CL. Ultrasonic and seismic constraints on crystallographic preferred orientations of the Priestley Glacier shear margin, Antarctica. \*The Cryosphere\*, 16\(8\), pp.3313-3329. doi:10.5194/tc-16-3313-2022, 2022](#)

790 Marsh OJ, Price D, Courville ZR and Floricioiu D: Crevasse and rift detection in Antarctica from TerraSAR-X satellite imagery. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 187, p.103284. doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2021.103284, 2021

795 Minchew BM, Meyer CR, Robel AA, Gudmundsson GH and Simons M: Processes controlling the downstream evolution of ice rheology in glacier shear margins: case study on Rutford Ice Stream, West Antarctica. *Journal of Glaciology*, 64(246), pp.583-594. doi:10.1017/jog.2018.47, 2018

800 Milillo P, Rignot E, Rizzoli P, Scheuchl B, Mouginot J, Bueso-Bello JL and Prats-Iraola P: Heterogeneous retreat and ice melt of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica. *Science advances*, 5(1), p.eaau3433. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau3433, 2019

Milillo P, Rignot E, Rizzoli P, Scheuchl B, Mouginot J, Bueso-Bello JL, Prats-Iraola, P and Dini LJNG: Rapid glacier retreat rates observed in West Antarctica. *Nature Geoscience*, 15(1), pp.48-53. doi:10.1038/s41561-021-00877-z, 2022

805

Morlighem M: MEaSUREs BedMachine Antarctica, Version 2, [thickness and bed subsets], Boulder, Colorado USA, NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center [data set], doi:10.5067/E1QL9HFQ7A8M, 2020

Murray T, Smith AM, King MA and Weedon GP: Ice flow modulated by tides at up to annual periods at Rutford Ice Stream, West Antarctica. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(18). doi:10.1029/2007GL031207, 2007

810

Neckel N, Franke S, Helm V, Drews R and Jansen D: Evidence of cascading subglacial water flow at Jutulstraumen Glacier (Antarctica) derived from Sentinel-1 and ICESat-2 measurements. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(20), p.e2021GL094472. doi:10.1029/2021GL094472, 2021

815

Padman L, Fricker HA, Coleman R, Howard S and Erofeeva L: A new tide model for the Antarctic ice shelves and seas. *Annals of Glaciology*, 34, pp.247-254. doi:10.3189/172756402781817752, 2002

Padman L, Erofeeva S and Joughin IAN: Tides of the Ross sea and Ross ice shelf cavity. *Antarctic Science*, 15(1), pp.31-40. doi:10.1017/S0954102003001032, 2003

820

Padman L, Siegfried MR and Fricker HA: Ocean tide influences on the Antarctic and Greenland ice sheets. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 56(1), pp.142-184. doi:10.1002/2016RG000546, 2018

Perol T and Rice JR: Shear heating and weakening of the margins of West Antarctic ice streams. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(9), pp.3406-3413. doi:10.1002/2015GL063638, 2015

825

Rack W, King MA, Marsh OJ, Wild CT and Floricioiu D: Analysis of ice shelf flexure and its InSAR representation in the grounding zone of the southern McMurdo Ice Shelf. *The Cryosphere*, 11(6), pp.2481-2490. doi:10.5194/tc-11-2481-2017, 2017

830

Reeh N, Mayer C, Olesen OB, Christensen EL and Thomsen HH: Tidal movement of Nioghalvfjærdsfjorden glacier, northeast Greenland: observations and modeling. *Annals of Glaciology*, 31, pp.111-117. doi:10.3189/172756400781820408, 2000

835

Reeh N, Christensen EL, Mayer C and Olesen OB: Tidal bending of glaciers: a linear viscoelastic approach. *Annals of Glaciology*, 37, pp.83-89. doi:10.3189/172756403781815663, 2003

840 [Rathmann NM, Grinsted A, Mosegaard K, Lilien DA, Westhoff J, Hvidberg CS, Prior DJ, Lutz F, Thomas RE and Dahl-Jensen D. Elastic wave propagation in anisotropic polycrystals: inferring physical properties of glacier ice. \*Proceedings of the Royal Society A\*, 478\(2268\), p.20220574. doi: 10.1098/rspa.2022.0574, 2022](#)

Rignot E, Mouginot J and Scheuchl B: Antarctic grounding line mapping from differential satellite radar interferometry. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38(10). doi:10.1029/2011GL047109, 2011

845

Rignot E, Mouginot J, Scheuchl B, Van Den Broeke M, Van Wessem MJ and Morlighem M: Four decades of Antarctic Ice Sheet mass balance from 1979–2017. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(4), pp.1095-1103. doi:10.1073/pnas.1812883116, 2019

850

Rignot E, Ciraci E, Scheuchl B, Tolpekin V, Wollersheim M and Dow C: Widespread sea water intrusions beneath the grounded ice of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121(22), p.e2404766121. doi:10.1073/pnas.2404766121, 2024

855 Robel AA, Wilson E and Seroussi, H: Layered seawater intrusion and melt under grounded ice. *The Cryosphere*, 16(2), pp.451-469. doi:10.5194/tc-16-451-2022, 2022

Rosier SH and Gudmundsson GH: Tidal controls on the flow of ice streams. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(9), pp.4433-4440. doi:10.1002/2016GL068220, 2016

860

Rosier SH and Gudmundsson GH: Tidal bending of ice shelves as a mechanism for large-scale temporal variations in ice flow. *The Cryosphere*, 12(5), pp.1699-1713. doi:10.5194/tc-12-1699-2018, 2018

Rosier SH and Gudmundsson GH: Exploring mechanisms responsible for tidal modulation in flow of the Filchner–Ronne Ice Shelf. *The Cryosphere*, 14(1), pp.17-37. doi:10.5194/tc-14-17-2020, 2020

865

Stammer D, Ray R, Andersen O, Arbic B, Bosch W, Carrère L, Cheng Y, Chinn D, Dushaw B, Egbert G, Erofeeva S, Fok H, Green J, Griffiths S, King M, Lapin V, Lemoine F, Luthcke S, Lyard F, Morison J, Müller M, Padman L, Richman J,

- Shriver J, Shum C, Taguchi E, and Yi Y: Accuracy assessment of global barotropic ocean tide models, *Rev. Geophys.*, 52, 243–282, doi:10.1002/2014RG000450, 2014
- Still H, Hulbe C, Forbes M, Prior DJ, Bowman MH, Boucinhas B, Craw L, Kim D, Lutz F., Mulvaney R and Thomas RE: Tidal modulation of a lateral shear margin: Priestley Glacier, Antarctica. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 10, p.828313. doi:10.3389/feart.2022.828313, 2022
- Thomas RE, Negrini M, Prior DJ, Mulvaney R, Still H, Bowman MH, Craw L, Fan S, Hubbard B, Hulbe C and Kim D: Microstructure and crystallographic preferred orientations of an azimuthally oriented ice core from a lateral shear margin: Priestley Glacier, Antarctica. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 9, p.702213. doi:10.3389, 2021
- Walker RT, Parizek BR, Alley RB, Anandakrishnan S, Riverman KL and Christianson, K: Ice-shelf tidal flexure and subglacial pressure variations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 361, pp.422-428. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2012.11.008, 2013
- Warburton KLP, Hewitt DR and Neufeld JA: Tidal grounding-line migration modulated by subglacial hydrology. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(17), p.e2020GL089088. doi:10.1029/2020GL089088, 2020
- Werner C, Wegmüller U, Strozzi T and Wiesmann A: Gamma SAR and interferometric processing software. In *Proceedings of the ers-envisat symposium*, Gothenburg, Sweden (Vol. 1620, p. 1620), 2000
- Wild CT, Marsh OJ and Rack W: Viscosity and elasticity: a model intercomparison of ice-shelf bending in an Antarctic grounding zone. *Journal of Glaciology*, 63(240), pp.573-580. doi:10.1017/jog.2017.15, 2017
- Wild CT, Marsh OJ and Rack W: Unraveling InSAR observed Antarctic ice-shelf flexure using 2-D elastic and viscoelastic modeling. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 6, p.28. doi:10.3389/feart.2018.00028, 2018
- Wild CT, Marsh OJ, and Rack W: Differential interferometric synthetic aperture radar for tide modeling in Antarctic ice-shelf grounding zones, *The Cryosphere*, 13, 3171–3191, doi:10.5194/tc-13-3171-2019, 2019