

Parameter Sensitivity Study of Energy Transfer Between Mesoscale Eddies and Wind-Induced Near-Inertial Oscillations

Yu Zhang¹, Jintao Gu¹, Shengli Chen^{1*}, Jianyu Hu², Jinyu Sheng³, Jiuxing Xing¹

¹ Institute for Ocean Engineering, Shenzhen International Graduate School, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen, 518055, China

6 ² State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, College of Ocean and Earth Sciences, Xiamen University,

Xiamen, 361102, China

 Department of Oceanography, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS B3H 4R2, Canada

Correspondence to: Shengli Chen (shenglichen@sz.tsinghua.edu.cn)

 Abstract. Analyses of current observations and numerical simulations at two moorings in the northern South China Sea reveal the transfer of near-inertial energy between the background currents associated with mesoscale eddies and near-inertial currents (NICs). A series of numerical experiments are conducted to determine important parameters affecting the energy transfer between idealized mesoscale eddies and NICs generated by rotating winds. Speeds of NICs transferred by both cyclonic and anticyclonic mesoscale eddies increase linearly with the wind stress and eddy strength. The transferred NICs in anticyclonic eddies have current amplitudes of about six times larger than in cyclonic eddies. The translation speed of the mesoscale eddy and the wind rotation frequency also affect the conversion of NICs. The energy transfer rate is elevated with the increase of the positive Okubo-Weiss parameter. A simple theoretical analysis is conducted to verify our findings based on numerical results. Analytical solutions confirm the evident asymmetry of the energy transfer between anticyclonic and cyclonic eddies, and demonstrate quantitatively the relationship between the wind stress and the near-inertial energy transferred by mesoscale eddies.

1 Introduction

 Near-inertial oscillations (NIOs) are very common in the global ocean and they appear as a prominent peak in the spectrum of ocean currents (Garrett, 2001). NIOs contain almost half the total kinetic energy of the internal waves and significantly contribute to the vertical shear in the internal waveband (Ferrari & Wunsch, 2009). When surface winds with high spatiotemporal

 variations act at the ocean surface, strong NIOs could occur in the ocean surface mixed layer (SML, Chen et al., 2015a; D'Asaro et al. 1995; Pollard & Millard, 1970). At the base of the SML, near- inertial internal waves (NIWs) are generated through the horizontal convergence and divergence of the SML (Gill, 1984). These NIWs are free to radiate to the thermocline and deep waters, and low-mode NIWs with long wavelengths can propagate at least hundreds of kilometers toward the equator from their source regions (Alford, 2003; Jochum et al., 2013; Munk & Wunsch, 1998). NIOs not only affect the energy, momentum, and material transport in the upper ocean, but also play an important role in maintaining diapycnal mixing and global ocean circulation (Chen et al., 2016; Greatbatch, 1984; Price et al., 1986; Wunsch & Ferrari, 2004).

 Due to the turbulent and inhomogeneous nature of the ocean, the central frequency of NIOs 39 is influenced by the β effect and shows a significant blue or red shift induced by the relative vorticity of mesoscale eddies (Chen et al., 2015b; Elipot et al., 2010; Kunze, 1985; Mooers, 1975; Perkins, 1976; Sun et al., 2011). If the magnitude of the gradient in the relative vorticity is larger 42 than the β effect (Chelton et al. 2011), mesoscale eddies also modulate the energy distribution and propagation of the near-inertial motions (van Meurs, 1998; Wang et al., 2024). Previous studies of current observations in the northwestern South China Sea (nSCS) demonstrated that the near- inertial energy propagates both upwards and downwards under the influence of the anticyclonic eddies (Chen et al., 2013; Zhai et al., 2007). Using the in situ observations and ray-tracing techniques, Jaimes and Shay (2010) demonstrated that anticyclonic eddies trap the near-inertial kinetic energy which rapidly propagates vertically below the thermocline and even to the deep ocean. Young and Jelloul (1997) suggested that the anticyclonic eddies can improve the vertical propagation rate of the near-inertial energy by deepening the thermocline. Zhai et al. (2005) demonstrated that the wind generated near-inertial kinetic energy is also high in the strong mesoscale motion regions.

 Mesoscale eddies and NIOs are energetic in the SML (Bühler & McIntyre, 2005; Vanneste, 2013; Xie & Vanneste, 2015). Mesoscale eddies not only change the spatial distribution of NIOs, but also exchange the energy with NIOs through the nonlinear interaction (Muller, 1976; Thomas, 2012). Based on the observational studies of specific NIO events, Noh and Nam (2020) found that mesoscale eddies can effectively enhance the intensity of NIOs. The energy transfer also occurs between NIOs and low-frequency geostrophic currents through the nonlinear interaction (Liu et al., 2023; Thomas, 2012; Whalen et al., 2020). Jing et al. (2018) demonstrated that the large-scale

 geostrophic currents of the Gulf Stream affect the distribution of near-inertial energy. Whitt and Thomas (2015) suggested that, in a unidirectional laterally sheared geostrophic flow, a continuous energy transfer occurs between mesoscale eddies and NIOs. In the Kuroshio extension, due to the change of the effective Coriolis frequency caused by the relative vorticity of mesoscale eddies, the energy exchange efficiency between the anticyclonic eddies and NIOs is about twice that between the cyclonic eddies and NIOs (Jing et al., 2017). Based on numerical results in the Icelandic Basin, Barkan et al. (2021) found that a significant energy transfer occurs between NIOs and sub-inertial motions, with the energy transfer rate in winter and summer are about half and a quarter of the local near-inertial wind energy input, respectively. The above and other studies suggested that the energy transfer processes between mesoscale eddies and NIOs should play an important role in the ocean energy cascade (Alford et al., 2016; Ford et al., 2000; McWilliams, 2016; Thomas, 2017). Nevertheless, most of previous studies focused on the energy transfer rate and efficiency. There is a knowledge gap in the amplitude of the near-inertial energy transferred by the mesoscale eddy and the sensitivity of the above-mentioned energy transfer to mesoscale eddies and wind parameters. The main objective of this study is to quantity the energy transfer between the mesoscale eddies and wind-induced NIOs.

 This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 provides a brief description of observational data and reanalysis used in this study. Section 3 presents the original and modified slab models. Model results for the energy transfer between mesoscale eddies and the NIOs are given in Sect. 4. A series of sensitivity experiments for determining the important factors affecting the energy transfer is presented in Sect. 5. The results of sensitivity experiments are verified through theoretical analysis in Sect. 6. Summary and discussions are given in Sect. 7.

2 Observational and Reanalysis Data

 Current observations at two subsurface moorings named S2 and S3 respectively in the nSCS (marked in Fig. 1) are analyzed here. The current observations at these two moorings were made using the Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers (ADCPs). Mooring S2 is located at 117°39.619′ E 86 and 21°37.001′ N. Current observations at this location were made at depth bins from 58 m to 442 m from 22 August 2016 to 8 May 2017. At ADCP mooring S2, the vertical sampling interval is 16 m and the time internal is 60 minutes. ADCP mooring S3 is located at 117°26.528′ E and 21°52.945′ N. Current observations at this mooring were made at depth bins from 37 m to 229 m

 during the same observational period as at location S2. At mooring S3, the vertical sampling interval is 8 m and the time internal is 30 minutes.

 Hourly winds at 10 m above the mean sea level in the nSCS were extracted from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA5 reanalysis. The ERA5 winds from

July 2016 to June 2017 with an interval of 1 hour and the horizontal resolution of 0.5° are used to

calculate the hourly wind stress to be used as the model forcing.

 The surface geostrophic currents used in this study were derived from ECMWF, using the sea level grid data inferred from the global marine satellite observations provided by the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (C3S). The sea surface height anomaly and geostrophic current data in the nSCS from July 2016 to June 2017 are used here, which have a horizontal resolution of 100 0.25° and a time interval of 24 hours.

 The mixed layer depth (MLD) for the nSCS was extracted from the 2018 edition of the World Ocean Atlas (WOA2018) (www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/world-ocean-atlas), with a horizontal resolution of 0.25°. The MLD at each location is defined as the depth at which the vertical change

of the potential density from the ocean surface is 0.125 (sigma units).

 Figure 1. Major bathymetric feature of the northern South China Sea. Two red dots represent locations of two ADCP moorings named S2 and S3. Black contours represent isobaths in meter.

3 Method

3.1 Strain and Vorticity of a Mesoscale Field

111 The vertical component of the relative vorticity (ζ) has been used to measure the rate of fluid rotation within a mesoscale eddy, which is defined as 113 $\zeta = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial y},$ (1)

 where *U* and *V* are zonal (eastward) and meridional (northward) surface geostrophic currents, respectively.

116 The normal and shear components of the rate of strain tensor, S_n and S_s are defined as

$$
S_n = \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial y},\tag{2}
$$

118
$$
S_s = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial y}.
$$
 (3)

119 The relative importance of total strain and relative vorticity is diagnosed with the Okubo-120 Weiss parameter (Okubo 1970):

$$
OW = S_n^2 + S_s^2 - \zeta^2. \tag{4}
$$

122 In this study, the dependence of the energy transfer between the mesoscale eddy and NICs on 123 the relative vorticity is considered.

124 **3.2 Modified Slab Model**

 A simple linear model known as the slab model (Pollard & Millard 1970) was used in simulating NIOs in the SML. Analysis of observed currents in the nSCS (to be discussed in Sect. 4) demonstrates that NIOs can also occur in the SML under the nearly steady winds. This suggests the importance of the energy transfer between the background currents and near-inertial currents 129 (NICs). To investigate this energy transfer, the background geostrophic currents (U, V) are added to the original slab model as the modified slab model (Jing et al., 2017; Weller, 1982):

131
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = fv - ru + \frac{\tau_x}{\rho_o H_{mix}} \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -fu - rv + \frac{\tau_y}{\rho_o H_{mix}}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(5)

132 where (u,v) are zonal and meridional currents averaged vertically in the SML, and H_{mix} is the 133 MLD. The damping coefficient r is set to 1/8 days⁻¹, which is used to parameterize the loss of near-134 inertial energy. In Eq. (5), f is the inertial frequency, and ρ_o is the seawater density set to be 1024 135 kg m⁻³. Wind stress components (τ_x, τ_y) are calculated using 10 m height ERA5 winds with the 136 drag coefficient suggested by Oey et al. (2006).

 The above modified slab model uses two important assumptions: the Rossby number of the geostrophic currents is assumed to be far less than 1, and the horizontal scale of winds to be much larger than that of mesoscale eddies. By ignoring the background geostrophic currents, the above modified slab model becomes the original slab model, which was used in many previous studies of examining the inertial response in the SML (D'Asaro, 1985; Paduan et al. 1989; Pollard & Millard 1970).

143 The modified slab model in Eq. (5) can be solved numerically using an implicit numerical 144 scheme in time to obtain NICs in the SML:

145

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{u^{n+1}-u^n}{\Delta t} + u^{n+1}U_x^{n+1} + v^{n+1}U_y^{n+1} = f v^{n+1} - r u^{n+1} + \frac{\tau_x^{n+1}}{\rho H_{mix}} \\ \frac{v^{n+1}-v^n}{\Delta t} + u^{n+1}V_x^{n+1} + v^{n+1}V_y^{n+1} = -fu^{n+1} - r v^{n+1} + \frac{\tau_y^{n+1}}{\rho H_{mix}} \end{cases}
$$
(6)

146 where Δt is the time step, which is set to 3600 s in this study, and subscripts *x* and *y* in U and V 147 represent partial derivatives. The initial value of the NICs is set to 0. In Eq. (6), variables with 148 superscripts *n* and $n + 1$ represent their values at time $n\Delta t$ and $(n + 1)\Delta t$, respectively.

149 After merging some terms, the numerical scheme of the modified slab model can be written 150 as

151

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{u^{n+1}-u^n}{\Delta t} + a_1^{n+1}u^{n+1} + b_1^{n+1}v^{n+1} = c_1^{n+1} \\
\frac{v^{n+1}-v^n}{\Delta t} + a_2^{n+1}u^{n+1} + b_2^{n+1}v^{n+1} = c_2^{n+1}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(7)

152 where $a_1 = U_x + r$, $a_2 = V_x + f$, $b_1 = U_y - f$, $b_2 = V_y + r$, $c_1 = \frac{\tau_x}{\rho H_{mix}}$, $c_2 = \frac{\tau_y}{\rho H_{mix}}$.

153 Equation (7) can be written in the following tensor form:

154
$$
\begin{bmatrix} a_1^{n+1} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} & b_1^{n+1} \\ a_2^{n+1} & b_2^{n+1} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} u^{n+1} \\ v^{n+1} \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{u^n}{\Delta t} + c_1^{n+1} \\ \frac{v^n}{\Delta t} + c_2^{n+1} \end{Bmatrix}.
$$
 (8)

155 The numerical update equations for currents are given as

156
$$
u^{n+1} = \frac{1 + \Delta t b_2^{n+1}}{(1 + \Delta t a_1^{n+1})(1 + \Delta t b_2^{n+1}) - \Delta t b_1^{n+1} a_2^{n+1}} \Big[\frac{u^n}{\Delta t} + c_1^{n+1} - \frac{\Delta t b_1^{n+1}}{1 + \Delta t b_2^{n+1}} \Big(\frac{v^n}{\Delta t} + c_2^{n+1} \Big) \Big], \quad (9)
$$

$$
v^{n+1} = \frac{1 + \Delta t a_1^{n+1}}{(1 + \Delta t a_1^{n+1})(1 + \Delta t b_2^{n+1}) - \Delta t b_1^{n+1} a_2^{n+1}} \left[\frac{u^n}{\Delta t} + c_1^{n+1} - \frac{\Delta t a_2^{n+1}}{1 + \Delta t a_1^{n+1}} \left(\frac{v^n}{\Delta t} + c_2^{n+1} \right) \right]. \tag{10}
$$

158 **3.3 Analysis of NICs**

 The observed zonal and meridional currents at 58.3 m below the sea surface at location S2 and at 37.7 m at location S3 (marked in Fig. 1) in the nSCS are analyzed to estimate the near- inertial kinetic energy in the SML. The observed NICs are obtained by using a band-pass filter 162 with a frequency band of $0.85f - 1.15f$.

 The surface geostrophic currents described in Sect. 2 are specified in the modified slab model, based on the assumption that the geostrophic currents are vertically uniform in the SML during the study period. The simulated currents by the original and modified slab models are band-passed 166 with the frequency band of $0.85f - 1.15f$ and are further smoothed using a running window of two inertial periods to obtain the simulated NICs.

 To quantitatively assess performances of the original and modified slab models, the correlation analysis and root mean square error (RMSE) analysis between the original slab model, the modified slab model and observations respectively are made.

4 Results

4.1 Observed NICs

 Time series of observed NICs at the top depth bins (58.3 m at S2 and 37.7 m at S3) of two 174 subsurface ADCP moorings (known as \vec{u}_{S2}^{top} and \vec{u}_{S3}^{top}) are shown in black lines in Fig. 2 during the observational period from day 234 (22 August 2016) to day 492 (7 May 2017) with respect to 1 January 2016. Intense NICs were generated and lasted for about 11 days from day 293 to day 177 304 under the largest wind forcing $(\sim 1.6 \text{ N m}^{-2})$ on day 293 (Fig. 2e and 2f). The largest speed of 178 NICs was ~ 0.30 m s⁻¹ on day 295 at the top depth bin at mooring S2 (Fig. 2a) and ~ 0.37 m s⁻¹ on day 296 at the top depth bin at mooring S3 (Fig. 2b). Some NICs were also excited on other days when the winds were relatively weak and nearly steady.

182 **Figure 2.** Speeds (m s⁻¹) of observed (black line) and simulated NICs at the top depth bins of ADCP observations at moorings (a) S2 and (b) S3. Red and blue lines denote model results produced by the modified slab and original slab models respectively. Time series of relative vorticity of the surface geostrophic currents at moorings (c) S2 and (d) S3, and time series of wind stress at moorings (e) S2 and (f) S3. Yearday is the day relative to 00:00:00 (GMT) on 1 January 2016.

 Observed NICs at other depth bins of these two ADCP moorings reveal that the intense NICs occurred on days 293-304 at depths between 60 m and 200 m at mooring S2 (not shown) and these NICs were originated from the SML. At mooring S3, similar intense NICs occurred at depths between 40 m and 180 m on days 280-305 (not shown), and these NIC were also originated from the SML. On days 306-318, in comparison, moderate NICs occurred in the lower layer between 150 m and 310 m at these two moorings.

195 It should be noted that the current observations (\vec{u}_{S2}^{top} and \vec{u}_{S3}^{top}) at the top bins of the two ADCP moorings are in the lower part of the SML or below the SML during the observational period. Based on WOA2018, the climatological monthly mean MLD at the two ADCP moorings is the thinnest and ~20 m in August and increases to the maximum value of ~90 m in January. The MLD decreases from ~56 m in February to ~37 m in May and is about 25 m in June and July. This suggests that the observed NICs at the top depth bin (58.3 m) of S2 were made in the lower part of the SML in December and January (days 335-396), but below the SML on the other days of the observational period (Fig. 2a). By comparison, the observed NICs at the top depth bin (37.7 m) of

 S3 were made in the middle of the SML in December and January (days 335-396), and in the lower part of the SML in October and November (days 274-334) and February-May (days 397-492).

 The relative vorticity estimated from the surface geostrophic currents was negative at the two moorings on days 290-300 (Fig. 2c and 2d), with the maximum negative values of about -0.92 \times 10⁻ ⁵ s⁻¹ at mooring S2 and about -0.68×10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ at mooring S3. The negative values of the relative vorticity during this period resulted from the westward propagation of an anticyclonic eddy. As shown in Fig. 3a and 3f, on day 295, moorings S2 and S3 were located over the area between a relatively strong cyclonic eddy to the southwest and two separate weak cyclonic eddies to the east and south. The anticyclonic eddy moved westward and passed mooring S2 before day 316 (Fig. 212 3b and 3g). On day 316, the relative vorticity was low and positive of about 1.23×10^{-5} s⁻¹ at 213 mooring S2 and 0.83×10^{-5} s⁻¹ at mooring S3 respectively. On days 350-450, an anticyclonic eddy moved westward and passed through these two moorings S2 and S3, and there was a week cyclonic eddy close to the two moorings on day 435 (Fig. 3c, 3d, 3h, and 3i). The relative vorticity was relatively strong and positive during this period, with the maximum positive values of about 217 1.68 \times 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ on day 380 at mooring S2 and 1.29 \times 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ on day 423 at mooring S3 respectively. Between days 451-492, there is a week anticyclonic eddy close to the moorings S2 and S3 and the two moorings are located at the edge of the anticyclonic eddy on day 452 (Fig. 3e and 3j).

day 368 (c), day 435 (d) and day 452 (e). Spatial distributions of the relative vorticity on day 295

224 (f), day 316 (g), day 368 (h), day 435 (i) and day 452 (j). Two red dots mark locations of two ADCP moorings S2 and S3.

4.2 Simulated NICs

 Numerical simulations are made using the original and modified slab models to examine whether the energy exchange occurs between the mesoscale eddy and NICs in the SML at moorings S2 and S3. Both the original and modified slab models assume that the NICs in the SML 230 is vertically uniform and use the damping coefficient r set to a relatively small value of $1/8 \text{ day}^{-1}$. Both the models are forced by time series of wind stress shown in Fig. 2e and 2f.

 The simulated NICs produced by the original slab model at the top bins of two ADCP moorings are shown by the blue lines in Fig. 2a and 2b. The simulated NICs by the original slab 234 model are large and about 0.95 m s^{-1} (0.90 m s^{-1}) at mooring S2 (S3) on day 296 and relatively weak on days 350-430. In comparison with the observed NICs, the original slab model has large deficiency of overpredicting significantly the observed large NICs on days 285-300 at the two stations and also overpredicting moderately the observed NICs on other days of the observational period. It should be noted that the results of both the original and modified slab models using three 239 different damping coefficients ($r = 1/5$ day⁻¹, $1/6$ day⁻¹ and $1/7$ day⁻¹) and annual mean MLD at 240 two stations (\sim 45 m) are highly similar with the model results using $r = 1/8$ day⁻¹ and monthly mean MLD shown in Fig. 2a and 2b.

 In comparison with the original slab model results, the modified slab model generates much smaller NICs than the original slab model on days 280-305 (red lines in Fig. 2a and 2b), with the 244 maximum value of about 0.42 m s^{-1} at mooring S2 and about 0.39 m s^{-1} at mooring S3 on day 295. In comparison with the observed NICs at the two moorings, the modified slab model performs significantly better than the original slab model on days 250-325 and days 451-492, indicating the importance of the energy exchange between the background mesoscale eddies and NICs. On days 350-450, the relative vorticity of the background currents is positive, which results in the simulated NICs produced by the modified slab model to be slightly weaker than the observed NICs at these two moorings. As mentioned in Sect. 4.1, the top depth bins of the ADCP observations at the two moorings were in the lower part of the SML or below the SML during the observational period, which explains partially the differences between observed and simulated NICs by the modified slab model shown in Fig. 2a and 2b. Differences between the observed and simulated NICs can

 also partially be explained by the assumption of vertically uniform geostrophic currents in the SML and exclusion of baroclinic dynamics.

 The above analysis based on results shown in Fig. 2 suggests that, overall, the simulated NICs produced by the modified slab model agree with the observed NICs significantly better than the original slab model at two moorings S2 and S3. This indicates the occurrence of the near-inertial energy transfer induced by the interaction between mesoscale eddies and NICs in the SML during the observational period.

 To quantify the model performance, we use the correlation coefficient (R) and the root mean square error (RMSE) based on the time series of observed and simulated NICs shown in Fig. 2a 263 and 2b. The modified slab model has a higher correlation coefficient $(R = 0.81)$ and smaller root 264 mean square error (RMSE = \sim 0.04 m s⁻¹) than the original slab model (R = \sim 0.70 and RMSE = $265 \sim 0.12 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at mooring S2. At mooring S3, the R value between the observed NICs and results of 266 the modified slab model is ~0.84, and RMSE is ~0.03 m s⁻¹. For the original slab model at location 267 S3, the R value is ~ 0.85 , and RMSE is ~ 0.10 m s⁻¹. These statistical indices suggest that the modified slab model performs better than the original slab model, especially in reproducing the amplitude of the observed NICs. This also suggests the energy transfer between mesoscale eddies and NICs may be a non-negligible process in the energy cascade across different scales in the global ocean.

 Table 1. Correlation coefficients (*R*) and RMSEs between the simulation results of the modified and original slab models and observations for the observational period, respectively.

Mooring	Correlation coefficient (R)		RMSE $(m s-1)$	
		Original slab model Modified slab model Original slab model Modified slab model		
	0.70	0.81	0.12	0.04
S ₃	0.85	0.84	0.10	0.03

5 Sensitivity Study

 A series of numerical experiments (in total 196) are conducted using the original and modified slab models to examine sensitivity of model results to the wind speed, the wind rotation frequency, the translational speed of the mesoscale eddy, and the strength of the mesoscale eddy. Both the cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies with an idealized eddy structure are used for simplicity. Results

280 of the original and modified slab models are both band-pass $(0.60f - 1.40f)$ filtered to get broad

281 NICs signals and then smoothed using a running window to obtain the near-inertial velocity in the

282 SML.

283 **5.1 Idealized Mesoscale Eddy Structure**

284 Based on the composite analysis of satellite altimetry and Argo float data, Zhang et al. (2013) 285 suggested a universal structure of mesoscale eddies in the global ocean. Their universal structure 286 of mesoscale eddies is used in our experiments.

287 The normalized structure $\tilde{P}(\tilde{r}, z)$ of the pressure anomaly in the universal mesoscale eddy 288 used in this study is decomposed into a radial function $R(\tilde{r})$ and a vertical function $H(z)$:

$$
\tilde{P}(\tilde{r}, z) = R(\tilde{r}) H(z), \tag{11}
$$

290
$$
R(\tilde{r}) = \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{r}^2}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{\tilde{r}^2}{2}},
$$
 (12)

$$
H(z_s) = H_0 \sin(kz_s + \theta_0) + H_{ave},
$$
\n(13)

$$
\tilde{r} = \frac{r}{R_0},\tag{14}
$$

$$
z_s = \int_0^z \left(\frac{N}{f}\right) dz,\tag{15}
$$

294 where r is the radial distance to the eddy center, R_0 is the radius of the mesoscale eddy, N is the 295 buoyancy frequency, H_0 , k, θ_0 and H_{ave} are undetermined coefficients, e.g. in this study, $H_0 =$ 296 2/3, $H_{ave} = 2/3$, $N = 10^{-3} (1/s)$, $k = \pi/18000$, $f = 5 \times 10^{-5} (rad/s)$ and $\theta_0 = \pi/6$. 297 The vertical structure function $H(z)$ defined above is similar with the structural diagram in Zhang 298 et al. (2013).

299 The structure function for the idealized mesoscale eddy in the Cartesian coordinate system 300 can be written as

301
$$
\tilde{P}(x, y, z) = \left(1 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2R_0^2}\right) \cdot e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2R_0^2}} \cdot \left[\frac{2}{3} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{900} \cdot z + \frac{\pi}{6}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\right],
$$
(16)

302 with the origin of the coordinate to be the eddy center at the sea surface.

303 Using the pressure anomaly suggested by Wei et al. (2017), the equation for the pressure field 304 based on the different strength of mesoscale eddies can be given as

305
$$
P(x, y, z) = P_0 \cdot \tilde{P}(x, y, z) + \bar{P}(z),
$$
 (17)

$$
P_0 = g \cdot \rho_0 \cdot SLA_c,\tag{18}
$$

307 where P_0 is the strength of mesoscale eddies, ρ_0 is the reference density of seawater taken as 308 1024 kg/m³, g is the gravitational acceleration set to be 9.8 m/s², SLA_c is the sea surface level anomaly (SLA) of the eddy center where the anticyclone eddies are specified as negative values 310 and the cyclonic eddies are positive values, and the average pressure field is denoted by \overline{P} . As only 311 mesoscale eddy signals are added to the ocean in this study, \bar{P} is a function of the vertical direction that is homogeneous in the horizontal direction.

 Using the geostrophic balance, the zonal and meridional components of the geostrophic velocity at the ocean surface are given as

315
$$
u = -\frac{1}{\rho_0 f} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = -\frac{P_0}{\rho_0 f} \left[\frac{y^3 + yx^2 - 4yR_0^2}{2R_0^4} \right] e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2R_0^2}},
$$
(19)

$$
v = \frac{1}{\rho_0 f} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = \frac{P_0}{\rho_0 f} \left[\frac{x^3 + xy^2 - 4xR_0^2}{2R_0^4} \right] e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2R_0^2}}.
$$
 (20)

 In this study, the cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies are set to have the same strength with the opposite relative vorticity. Figure 4 shows currents and relative vorticity at the sea surface for an 319 idealized anticyclonic eddy with the radius of 120 km, R_0 to be 120 kilometers, and P_0 of 320 6400 kg/m · s² (i.e., SLA_c is equal to 0.64 m). Based on Eq. (18), the mesoscale eddy strength P_0 321 is a positive proportional function of the SLA_c under the constant seawater density and gravitational acceleration. The strength of the mesoscale eddy can be characterized by the absolute 323 values of the SLA_c ($|SLA_c|$).

 To examine model results inside the eddy, nine fixed locations in space named P1-P9 along the y-axis (marked in Fig. 4c) are selected. The zonal and meridional components of currents for an anticyclonic eddy increase from the center to the edge of the eddy, and then gradually decrease outside the anticyclonic eddy (Fig. 4a and 4b). The relative vorticity is largest at the eddy center and then reduces gradually from the center to the edge of the eddy (Fig. 4c). The idealized eddy has a velocity reversal from the eddy center outward, which forms a circular positive (negative) vorticity around the periphery of the idealized anticyclonic (cyclonic) eddy in the Northern Hemisphere (Zhang et.al 2013).

332

Figure 4. Distributions of (a) zonal (u) and (b) meridional (v) components (m s^{-1}) of currents and 334 (c) relative vorticity (s^{-1}) for an idealized anticyclonic eddy with of radius of 120 km. Model results 335 at nine fixed locations (P1-P9) denoted by red asterisks along the y-axis in (c) are examined.

336

 Jing et al. (2017) proposed a method to calculate the efficiency of energy transfer from background mesoscale eddies to wind-induced NICs. In this study, we use the differences in the 339 average speeds of NICs between the modified and original model (NICs_U_{AE} and NICs_U_{CE}) as the proxies for the near-inertial energy generated in the mesoscale eddies by the interaction between mesoscale eddies and NICs:

$$
NICs_U_{AE} = NICs_U_{AE}^{Modified} - NICs_U_{AE}^{Original},
$$
\n(21)

$$
3 \hspace{2.5cm} N I
$$

$$
NICs_U_{CE} = NICs_U_{CE}^{Modified} - NICs_U_{CE}^{Original},
$$
\n(22)

344 where $NICs_U_{AE}^{Modified}$ ($NICs_U_{AE}^{Original}$) and $NICs_U_{CE}^{Modified}$ ($NICs_U_{CE}^{Original}$) are the averaged speeds of NICs based on results produced by the modified (original) slab model in the anticyclonic eddies and cyclonic eddies over the same time period, respectively. It should be noted that the simulated NICs by the original slab model represent the near-inertial energy generated directly by the wind forcing. Therefore, after removing the generation of wind-induced NICs, the differences $NICs_U_{AE}$ and $NICs_U_{CE}$ represent the amplitudes of NICs transferred by interactions between mesoscale eddies and NICs in the anticyclonic eddies and the cyclonic eddies respectively.

351 To quantify differences in the NICs transferred by background currents between the 352 anticyclonic and cyclonic eddies, we introduce a simple parameter α , defined as

$$
\alpha = \frac{NICs_U_{AE}}{NICs_U_{CE}}.\tag{23}
$$

354 If α is larger than 1, it means that the anticyclonic eddy can transfer more NICs than the cyclonic 355 eddy with the same strength.

356 **5.2 Effect of Wind Speeds**

 The wind speed affects the energy input from the wind to the SML, and therefore influences interaction between mesoscale eddies and NICs. To facilitate theoretical analysis and generate a reasonable magnitude of the NICs speeds, we conduct the numerical experiments using cyclonically rotating winds and anticyclonically rotating and in the Northern Hemisphere with the 361 constant wind speed (A). The wind stress τ used in our sensitivity study takes a form as follows

$$
\tau_x(t) + i \tau_y(t) = A e^{iBt},\tag{24}
$$

363 where $\tau_x(t)$ and $\tau_y(t)$ are time-dependent zonal and meridional components of wind stress, and

364 B is the wind rotation frequency. Positive wind rotation frequencies represent cyclonically 365 rotating winds and negative wind rotation frequencies indicate anticyclonically rotating winds.

366 Five numerical experiments (ExpA1-5) are conducted with the background idealized 367 mesoscale eddy moving westward with a translational speed of 8 cm s⁻¹. The $|SLA_c|$ of both 368 anticyclonic and cyclonic eddies are set to 0.64 m in these five experiments. The speeds (A) of 369 time-varying winds in these five experiments are set to 5 m s⁻¹, 10 m s⁻¹, 13 m s⁻¹, 15 m s⁻¹, and 20 370 m s⁻¹ respectively, corresponding to wind stress amplitudes of 0.038 N m⁻², 0.150 N m⁻², 0.282 N m^{-2} , 0.412 N m⁻², and 0.876 N m⁻² respectively. The wind forcing rotates cyclonically at the inertial 372 frequency f .

 573 For a cyclonic eddy with $|SLA_c| = 0.64$ m, the sum of averaged speeds of NICs converted from this cyclonic eddy at the above-mentioned nine locations (\sum NICs_U_{CE}) are about 0.009 m s⁻ 374 375 $^{-1}$ and 0.209 m s⁻¹ for cyclonic wind speeds of 5 m s⁻¹ (i.e. 0.038 N m⁻²) and 20 m s⁻¹ (i.e. 0.876 N 376 m⁻²) respectively (Fig. 5a). This suggests that, within the cyclonic eddy, the \sum NICs_U_{CE} increases 377 23 times if the cyclonic wind stress increases 23 times, which is consistent with the conclusion 378 based on the analytical solution in Sect. 6.

379 In an anticyclonic eddy with the same strength of $|SLA_c| = 0.64$ m, the sum of averaged 380 speeds of NICs transferred from this anticyclonic eddy at the nine locations ($\sum NICs_U_{AE}$) also 381 increases with the wind speeds. The \sum NICs_U_{AE} values are about 0.019 m s⁻¹ and 0.437 m s⁻¹ for 382 the cyclonic wind speeds of 5 m s⁻¹ (corresponding to 0.038 N m⁻²) and 20 m s⁻¹ (corresponding to

- 383 0.876 N m⁻²) respectively (Fig. 5a). This indicates that the averaged speeds of NICs generated in anticyclonic eddies by the interaction between background anticyclonic eddies and NICs also increase 23 times if the cyclonic wind stress increases 23 times. But the NICs are stronger in the
- anticyclonic eddy than in the cyclonic eddy under the same wind conditions.

 Figure 5. (a) Sum of averaged speeds of transferred NICs at 9 fixed locations P1-P9 as a function of the wind speeds in the anticyclonic eddy (orange line) and cyclonic eddy (blue line), respectively. (b) Averaged speeds of transferred NICs as a function of the wind speeds in the anticyclonic eddy. 392 The black, red, gray, yellow and purple line indicate respectively the wind speed of 5 m s^{-1} (ExpA1), 393 10 m s⁻¹ (ExpA2), 13 m s⁻¹ (ExpA3), 15 m s⁻¹ (ExpA4) and 20 m s⁻¹ (ExpA5). Numbers on the horizontal axis in (b) denote nine fixed locations P1 to P9. The wind rotates cyclonically at the 395 inertial frequency. Mesoscale eddies move westward at the translational speed of 8 cm s⁻¹ and $|SLA_c| = 0.64$ m.

 It should be noted that, however, the transferred near-inertial energy varies with the actual locations within the mesoscale eddy. We take the example of anticyclonic eddies to illustrate this issue. In anticyclonic eddies, the difference between nine locations P1-P9 is large (Fig. 5b). The amplitude shows a distribution characterized by small values at the eddy center and relatively large values at the eddy edge, and this distribution characteristic is more obvious with the increase of the wind speed. When the wind speed is relatively small, the difference of the energy generation induced by the Okubo-Weiss parameter is not significant. With the increase of the wind energy input, the larger absolute value of the positive Okubo-Weiss parameter gradually has the decisive function in the energy transfer. Therefore, it makes the anticyclonic eddy exhibit superior energy

407 conversion characteristics at the eddy edge, which is consistent with the conclusion based on the 408 energy transfer rate (Fig. 10).

409 The α values (Eq. (23)) are about 2.08 based on the sum of averaged speeds of NICs at the nine locations in the five different wind speeds. This indicates that the anticyclonic eddy is more efficient than the cyclonic eddy in transferring the kinetic energy to NICs (Fig. 5a). The difference in the near-inertial energy transfer efficiency between anticyclonic and cyclonic eddies is not affected very much by magnitudes of wind speeds.

414 **5.3 Effect of Wind Rotation Frequencies**

 The rotation frequency of the winds can affect the generation of the NICs and thus the energy transfer between the mesoscale eddy and NICs, therefore six numerical experiments using different 417 wind rotation frequencies (-1.5f, -1.25f, -1.1f, -0.9f, -0.75f, -0.5f, -0.25f, 0.25f, 0.5f, 0.75f, f , 1.25 f and 1.5 f, where f is the inertial frequency), denoted as ExpB1-13, are conducted. Positive wind rotation frequencies correspond to cyclonically rotating winds, and negative wind rotation frequencies are for anticyclonically rotating winds. In these thirteen experiments, the 421 mesoscale eddy moves westward at the speed of 8 cm s⁻¹ and $|SLA_c| = 0.64$ m. The winds rotate 422 at different frequencies and the wind speed is set to 13 m s^{-1} .

 Figure 6 shows the sum of averaged speeds of the transferred NICs at the nine locations as function of the wind rotation frequency for the cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies. Under cyclonically rotating and anticyclonically rotating wind conditions, there is bidirectional energy transfer between mesoscale eddies and NICs. The closer the absolute value of the wind rotation 427 frequency to f , the stronger the energy transfer between mesoscale eddies and NICs.

428 For cyclonic eddies, the sum of averaged speeds of NICs $(\sum$ NICs₋U_{CE}) is sensitive to the 429 wind rotation frequency. The $\sum NICs_U_{CF}$ values are less than zero when the winds rotate 430 cyclonically at the frequencies of $0.25 f$, $0.75 f$ and $1.25 f$ (Fig. 6b) and the winds rotate 431 anticyclonically at the frequencies of $-1.1f$, $-0.9f$ and $-0.75f$ (Fig. 6a), indicating that direction of 432 the energy transfer is from the NICs to the cyclonic eddies. The amplitudes of the energy 433 transferred from NICs to cyclonic eddies under anticyclonically rotating wind conditions are larger 434 than those transferred under cyclonically rotating wind conditions. The addition of mesoscale 435 eddies has a damping effect for NICs, leading to the negative energy transfer that aligns with the 436 observed results (Fig. 2). When the direction of the energy transfer is positive, the \sum NICs_U_{CE} has

437 a maximum value of about 0.635 m s^{-1} when the winds rotate anticyclonically at the frequency of 438 $-1.25f$.

439 For anticyclonic eddies, the sum of averaged speeds of NICs (\sum NICs_{_U_{AF}) also varies with} 440 the wind rotating frequency. When the winds rotate anticyclonically at frequencies of $-1.5f$, $-1.25f$, 441 $-1.1f$ and $-0.9f$, the direction of the energy transfer is from NICs to anticyclonic eddies (Fig. 6a). 442 The negative energy transfer is strongest at the frequency of -1.1f. The \sum NICs_U_{AE} values are all 443 positive under cyclonically rotating winds, which represent the energy transfer from the 444 anticyclonic eddies to NICs (Fig. 6b). The Σ NICs_U_{AE} values for the anticyclonic eddies increases 445 from the value of ~0.002 m s⁻¹ at the wind rotating frequency of 0.25f to the maximum value of 446 \sim 0.162 m s⁻¹ at the wind rotating frequency of 0.75f. The \sum NICs_U_{AE} values are larger than the 447 Σ NICs_U_{CE} values under the same positive wind rotation frequency. The closer the rotational 448 frequency of the cyclonic winds is to the inertial frequency, the greater the difference in near-449 inertial energy conversion induced by anticyclonic eddies and cyclonic eddies.

450

452 **Figure 6.** Sum of averaged speeds of transferred NICs at 9 fixed locations P1-P9 as a function of 453 rotation frequencies of (a) anticyclonically rotating winds and (b) cyclonically rotating winds. The 454 orange and blue line indicate respectively the anticyclonic eddy and cyclonic eddy. The wind 455 rotation frequencies are normalized by the inertial frequency f .

456 **5.4 Effect of Eddy Translational Speeds**

457 The translational speed of a background mesoscale eddy defines the forcing duration of winds 458 and thus the energy input to NICs in the ocean SML. Based on the observations of mesoscale

459 eddies in the nSCS, nine numerical experiments (ExpC1-9) using different translational speeds of 460 mesoscale eddies $(4 \text{ cm s}^{-1}, 5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}, 6 \text{ cm s}^{-1}, 7 \text{ cm s}^{-1}, 8 \text{ cm s}^{-1}, 9 \text{ cm s}^{-1}, 10 \text{ cm s}^{-1}, 11 \text{ cm s}^{-1}, \text{ and}$ 12 cm s^{-1}) are conducted. In these nine experiments, the speed of cyclonically rotating winds at the

462 inertial frequency is set to 13 m s⁻¹. The mesoscale eddy moves westward and $|SLA_c| = 0.64$ m.

463 For the anticyclonic eddy with $|SLA_c| = 0.64$ m, the sum of average speeds of the converted 464 NICs at the nine locations (\sum NICs_U_{AE}) increases from about 0.133 m s⁻¹ to 0.148 m s⁻¹ as the 465 translational speeds increase from 4 cm s^{-1} to 12 cm s^{-1} (Fig. 7a). The increase of the translational 466 speed enhances the total kinetic energy of mesoscale eddies, which can provide a larger energy 467 source and be more beneficial for the conversion of NICs. It should be noted that the change in the 468 eddy kinetic energy caused by the different translational speeds is relatively small in comparison 469 with the total eddy energy determined by the mesoscale eddy strength. Therefore, the change in 470 the amplitude of the transferred NICs is relatively small.

471 For a cyclonic eddy with $|SLA_C| = 0.64$ m, the sum of average speeds of the transferred NICs 472 at the nine locations (\sum NICs_U_{CE}) range from 0.066 m s⁻¹ to 0.069 m s⁻¹ and are not sensitive to the translational speeds (Fig. 7a). Same as in the anticyclonic eddy case, more total kinetic energy is available for generating NICs within the cyclonic eddy with the same structure but with the faster translational speeds. Different from the anticyclonic eddy case, however, the anticyclonic eddy is not conducive to the energy transfer to NICs naturally. Therefore, the slightly larger energy source caused by the increase of the translational speeds has little influence on the total amount of kinetic energy transferred from the cyclonic eddy to NICs.

479

481 **Figure 7.** (a) Sum of averaged speeds of transferred NICs at 9 fixed locations P1-P9 as a function 482 of the eddy translational speed in the anticyclonic eddy (orange line) and cyclonic eddy (blue line), 483 respectively. (b) The α value as a function of the eddy translational speed. The speed of the 484 cyclonic wind is 13 m s^{-1} , and the wind rotates at the inertial frequency. Mesoscale eddies move 485 westward and $|SLA_c| = 0.64$ m.

486

487 The α value has a maximum value of \approx 2.24 occurring at the eddy translational speeds of 11 488 cm s^{-1} (Fig. 7b). This means that the anticyclonic eddy transfers much more near-inertial energy 489 than the cyclonic eddy does, particular at the translational speed of 11 cm s^{-1} . After exceeding the 490 translational speed of 11 cm s⁻¹, the α values decrease with the increase of the eddy translational 491 speeds. The α value is ~2.16 at the translational speed of 12 cm s⁻¹.

492 A natural question raises whether the variations of α values within the mesoscale eddy are 493 affected by the strength of anticyclonic eddies. To address this issue, we consider the sum of 494 averaged speeds of NICs at nine locations for mesoscale eddies and the α values with different 495 strengths and translational speeds (Fig. 8). We consider the case with the cyclonically rotating 496 wind speed of 13 m s⁻¹ at the inertial frequency. For mesoscale eddies with larger $|SLA_c|$ values, 497 the α values are relatively less sensitive to the translational speed. For mesoscale eddies with 498 different $|SLA_c|$ values, the α values all have the maximum values with the translational speeds of 499 11 cm s⁻¹. The α value decreases with the elevated translational speed when the eddy translational 500 speed is larger than 11 cm s⁻¹.

501

502

503 **Figure 8.** The α values at 9 fixed locations P1-P9 as a function of the strengths and translational 504 speeds of the mesoscale eddies. The speed of the cyclonically rotating winds at the inertial 505 frequency is 13 m s⁻¹. Mesoscale eddies move westward. Different colors of lines represent 506 different mesoscale eddy strengths.

507

508 **5.5 Effect of Mesoscale Eddy Strengths**

 In addition to the effect of the eddy translational speed, other characteristics of mesoscale eddies such as the radius and strength of mesoscale eddies can also affect the energy exchange. Sixteen numerical experiments (denoted as ExpD1-16) are conducted in this section using various strengths of mesoscale eddies. In these sixteen experiments, the speed of cyclonically rotating 513 winds at the inertial frequency is set to 13 m s^{-1} , the translational speed of mesoscale eddies is set to 8 cm s⁻¹, and the eddy translational direction to be westward. The $|SLA_c|$ values are set to 0.13 m, 0.26 m, 0.38 m, 0.51 m, 0.64 m, 0.77 m, 0.89 m, and 1.02 m for cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies, respectively.

517 Figure 9a shows that the sum of averaged speeds of the transferred NICs at nine locations as 518 a function of the mesoscale eddy strengths for the cyclonic (\sum NICs₋U_{CE}) and anticyclonic eddies 519 (∑ NICs_U_{CE}). Both values of ∑ NICs_U_{AE} and ∑ NICs_U_{CE} are larger for higher eddy strengths, 520 particularly for the anticyclonic eddies. For the $|SLA_c|$ values equal to 0.13 m, values of 521 Σ NICs_U_{AE} and Σ NICs_U_{CE} are about 0.019 m s⁻¹ and about 0.003 m s⁻¹. The averaged speeds of

- the converted NICs increase with the $|SLA_c|$ value, particularly for the anticyclonic eddies. For
- $|SLA_c| = 1.02$ m, the averaged speeds of the transferred NICs are ~0.280 m s⁻¹ and ~0.175 m s⁻¹ 523
- 524 for the anticyclonic and cyclonic eddies respectively, which are ~14.74 times and ~58.33 times
- 525 larger than the counterparts at $|SLA_c| = 0.13$ m. As the geostrophic strain field is relatively stronger
- 526 at the eddy edge, the average speeds of the converted NICs at the mesoscale eddy edge are larger
- 527 than that at the mesoscale eddy center.

530 **Figure 9.** (a) Sum of averaged speeds of transferred NICs at 9 fixed locations P1-P9 as a function 531 of the strengths $(|SLA_C|)$ of the mesoscale eddy) of the anticyclonic eddy (orange line) and cyclonic 532 eddy (blue line). (b) The α value as a function of the eddy strengths ($|SLA_{\alpha}|$ of the mesoscale eddy). 533 The mesoscale eddy moves westward at the speed of 8 cm s^{-1} . The speed of the cyclonically 534 rotating wind speed at the inertial frequency is set to 13 m s^{-1} .

535

536 The α value also varies with the mesoscale eddy strength (Fig. 9b). The α value decreases 537 significantly from about 6.66 to 1.60 for the $|SLA_c|$ values in the range of 0.13 and 1.02 m. As mentioned above, cyclonic eddies have limited ability in transferring their kinetic energy to NICs, which differs significantly from anticyclonic eddies. However, stronger cyclonic eddies with more eddy energy provide the more favorable condition for the energy transfer, which can narrow the difference in the near-inertial energy transfer induced by anticyclonic eddies and cyclonic eddies. Furthermore, stronger geostrophic currents lead to stronger geostrophic strain field which can generate stronger NICs.

5.6 Relative Vorticity and Strain

 As mentioned above, the anticyclonic eddies in the SML are more efficient than cyclonic eddies for transferring kinetic energy to NICs, which can be explained by the relative vorticity of 547 the background flow (ζ) defined in Eq. (1). In numerical experiments, the direction of the energy transfer is bidirectional, but primarily positive, that is, from mesoscale eddies to NICs. For cyclonic eddies, the direction of the energy transfer is from the NICs to the cyclonic eddy under 550 cyclonically rotating winds at frequencies of 0.25 f , 0.75 f and 1.25 f and anticyclonically rotating 551 winds at frequencies of $-1.1f$, $-0.9f$ and $-0.75f$. When the frequencies of anticyclonically rotating 552 winds are range from $-1.5f$ to $-0.9f$, the energy transfer is also negative in the anticyclonic eddy. 553 The α value is more than 1.0 for about 88% of these experiments, which indicates that the transferred near-inertial energy is larger in anticyclonic eddies than cyclonic eddies.

 In addition to the relative vorticity and translational speed of a mesoscale eddy, the normal strain and shear strain of the background flow can also affect the energy transfer between the mesoscale eddy and NICs in the SML. Jing et al. (2017) proposed a method to calculate the rate of energy transfer from background mesoscale eddies to wind-induced NICs. Following Jing et al. 559 (2017), the energy transfer rate (ε) between the NICs and the mesoscale eddy in the SML is given as

$$
\varepsilon = -\rho H_{mix}(uuU_x + uvU_y + uvU_x + vvV_y),\tag{25}
$$

562 where u and v are respectively the zonal and meridional components of the near-inertial current 563 velocity reproduced by the modified slab model, and subscripts x and γ in U and V represent partial 564 derivatives. The positive ε mean the energy transfer from the mesoscale eddy to the NICs, and the 565 negative ε indicates the backward energy cascade. In the numerical experiments, the NICs can be generated directly by the cyclonic winds, and the wind-induced NICs can further interact with the mesoscale eddy and transfer the near-inertial energy from the mesoscale eddy to the NICs when the mesoscale eddy passed by the nine locations P1-P9. Therefore, we also calculate the energy transfer rate and Okubo-Weiss parameter at the nine fixed locations P1-P9 in the sensitivity experiments ExpA3, ExpC1-C9 and ExpD1-D16, which are under the same wind conditions.

 When the Okubo-Weiss parameters are negative, the energy transfer rate decreases as the Okubo-Weiss parameter increases. However, when the Okubo-Weiss parameters are positive, the energy transfer rate shows an elevated trend with the increase of the Okubo-Weiss parameter (Fig.

- 574 10). Based on limited sensitivity studies, we found the relative vorticity and the strain of the
- 575 mesoscale eddy both have an influence on the near-inertial energy transferred by interactions
- 576 between mesoscale eddies and NICs.
- 577

578

579 **Figure 10.** Scatterplot between the energy transfer rate and the Okubo-Weiss parameter. The blue 580 (red) line is the linear fitting line when the Okubo-Weiss parameters are negative (positive).

581 **6 Theoretical Analyses**

582 **6.1 Solutions in the Frequency Domain**

 To gain better understanding of the role of the relative vorticity in the background flow for anticyclonic eddies to be significantly more efficient than the cyclonic eddies in transferring their kinetic energy to NICs, we examine analytically the effect of the relative vorticity in the frequency domain solution of the modified slab model.

587 The modified slab model can be written in the tensor form:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{Bmatrix} u \\ v \end{Bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} u \\ v \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{Bmatrix},\tag{26}
$$

589 where, $a_1 = U_x + r$, $a_2 = V_x + f$, $b_1 = U_y - f$, $b_2 = V_y + r$, $c_1 = \tau_x/\rho H_{mix}$ and $c_2 = \tau_y$ / 590 ρH_{mix} . For simplicity, we consider the steady wind forcing to eliminate the wind-induced NICs

- 591 here. In the case of steady winds, we use the Fourier transform to translate the modified slab model
- 592 from the time domain into the frequency domain: $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 + i\omega & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 + i\omega \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{v} \end{Bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \tilde{c_1} \\ \tilde{c_2} \end{Bmatrix}$ 593 $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 + i\omega \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \tilde{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \tilde{c}_2 \end{bmatrix},$ (27)

594 where ω is the frequency and variables with a tilde represent the values after Fourier transform.

595 Assuming the mesoscale eddy is in an almost steady state during an inertial period (Jing et al. 596 2017), the analytical solution for the zonal and meridional components of NICs in the frequency 597 domain can be written as

598
$$
\tilde{u} = \frac{(b_2 + i\omega) \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot c_1 \cdot \delta(\omega) - b_1 \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot c_2 \cdot \delta(\omega)}{(a_1 + i\omega)(b_2 + i\omega) - a_2 b_1},
$$
\n(28)

$$
\tilde{\nu} = \frac{(a_1 + i\omega) \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot c_2 \cdot \delta(\omega) - a_2 \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot c_1 \cdot \delta(\omega)}{(a_1 + i\omega)(b_2 + i\omega) - a_2 b_1},\tag{29}
$$

600 where $\delta(\omega)$ is the Dirac Delta function.

601 Based on Perceval's theorem, the energy of NICs is the same in both the time and frequency 602 domains:

$$
\frac{1}{T}\int |U(t)|^2 dt = \int |U(\omega)|^2 d\omega,\tag{30}
$$

604 where T is the total period.

605 The time-mean near-inertial kinetic energy $\overline{U_{N_1W_s}^2}$ in the time domain can be written as

$$
\overline{U_{NIW_S}^2} = \overline{u^2} + \overline{v^2},\tag{31}
$$

607
$$
\overline{u^2} = \int \frac{((b_2c_1 - b_1c_2)^2 + \omega^2 c_1^2) \cdot ((2 \cdot \pi \cdot \delta(\omega))^2)}{(a_2b_1 - a_1b_2 + \omega^2)^2 + \omega^2(a_1 + b_2)^2} \cdot d\omega,
$$
 (32)

608
$$
\overline{v^2} = \int \frac{((a_1c_2 - a_2c_1)^2 + \omega^2 c_2^2) \cdot ((2 \cdot \pi \cdot \delta(\omega))^2)}{(a_2b_1 - a_1b_2 + \omega^2)^2 + \omega^2(a_1 + b_2)^2} \cdot d\omega.
$$
 (33)

609 For a unidirectional laterally sheared geostrophic flow and the southwestward wind, U=0, 610 V=V(x), c_1 < 0 and c_2 < 0. Substitution of $a_1 = r$, $a_2 = \zeta + f$, $b_1 = -f$ and $b_2 = r$ into Eq. 611 (32) and Eq. (33) yields

612
$$
\overline{u^2} = \int \frac{(rc_1 + fc_2)^2 + \omega^2 c_1^2) ((2 \cdot \pi \cdot \delta(\omega))^2)}{(r^2 - \omega^2 + f(\zeta + f))^2 + 4\omega^2 r^2} d\omega,
$$
 (34)

613
$$
\overline{v^2} = \int \frac{((rc_2 - (\zeta + f)c_1)^2 + \omega^2 c_2^2) ((2 \cdot \pi \cdot \delta(\omega))^2)}{(r^2 - \omega^2 + f(\zeta + f))^2 + 4\omega^2 r^2} d\omega.
$$
 (35)

Since the relative vorticity is negative in anticyclonic eddies, the denominator term for $\overline{u^2}$ in 615 Eq. (34) is less than the value with the relative vorticity to be positive. Therefore, the value of $\overline{u^2}$ 616 is greater in anticyclonic eddies than in cyclonic eddies. For the positive relative vorticity, the

- 617 numerator term of $\overline{v^2}$ is smaller and the denominator term in Eq. (35) becomes larger than the case
- 618 of negative vorticity. This indicates that $\overline{v^2}$ is more elevated in the anticyclonic eddies. Since both
- $\overline{u^2}$ and $\overline{v^2}$ are elevated when the relative vorticity is negative than counterparts with the positive
- relative vorticity, anticyclonic eddies can transfer more near-inertial energy than cyclonic eddies.

6.2 Analytical Solution

 An analytical solution based on the modified slab model is considered here to demonstrate that mesoscale eddies can transfer more near-inertial energy for stronger winds. The modified slab model cane be written as ди

625
$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + a_1 u + b_1 v = c_1,
$$
 (36)

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + a_2 u + b_2 v = c_2,\tag{37}
$$

627 where, $a_1 = U_x + r$, $a_2 = V_x + f$, $b_1 = U_y - f$, $b_2 = V_y + r$, $c_1 = \tau_x/\rho H_{mix}$, $c_2 = \tau_y/\rho H_{mix}$, 628 $\tau_x = A \cos f t$ and $\tau_y = A \sin f t$.

For the cyclonic wind, substitution of Eq. (36) into Eq. (37) yields

630
$$
\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + (a_1 + b_2) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) u = b_2 c_1 - (f + b_1) c_2
$$
 (38)

631
$$
\Delta = (a_1 + b_2)^2 - 4(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1).
$$
 (39)

The analytical solutions of the current to the modified slab model are

$$
u_{Modified} = e^{\gamma t} (Q_1 \cos \beta t + Q_2 \sin \beta t) + Q_3 \cos ft + Q_4 \sin ft, \tag{40}
$$

$$
v_{Modified} = \frac{1}{b_1}(c_1 - e^{\gamma t}(a_1 + \gamma)(Q_1 \cos \beta t + Q_2 \sin \beta t)
$$

635
$$
-e^{\gamma t} \beta (Q_2 \cos \beta t - Q_1 \sin \beta t) + (f Q_3 - a_1 Q_4) \sin ft - (f Q_4 + a_1 Q_3) \cos ft), \tag{41}
$$

where

$$
\gamma = -\frac{a_1 + b_2}{2},\tag{42}
$$

$$
\beta = \frac{\sqrt{-\Delta}}{2},\tag{43}
$$

$$
Q_1 = -Q_3, \t\t(44)
$$

640
$$
Q_2 = \frac{c_1 + \gamma Q_3 - f Q_4}{\beta},
$$
 (45)

641
$$
Q_3 = \frac{Ab_2(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 - f^2) + A(f + b_1)(fa_1 + fb_2)}{\rho H_{mix}((a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 - f^2)^2 + (fa_1 + fb_2)^2)},
$$
\n(46)

642
$$
Q_4 = \frac{\rho H_{mix} Q_3 (fa_1 + fb_2) - A (f + b_1)}{\rho H_{mix}(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 - f^2)}.
$$
 (47)

644
$$
c'_{1} = nc_{1} = \frac{n\tau_{x}}{\rho H_{mix}} = \frac{nAcosft}{\rho H_{mix}},
$$
 (48)

645
$$
c'_{2} = nc_{2} = \frac{n\tau_{y}}{\rho H_{mix}} = \frac{nAsinft}{\rho H_{mix}}.
$$
 (49)

Substitution of
$$
c'_1
$$
 and c'_2 into Q_3 and Q_4 yields

647
$$
Q'_3 = \frac{nAb_2(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 - f^2) + nA(f + b_1)(fa_1 + fb_2)}{\rho H_{mix}((a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 - f^2)^2 + (fa_1 + fb_2)^2)} = nQ_3,
$$
 (50)

648
$$
Q'_{4} = \frac{\rho H_{mix} n Q_{3} (f a_{1} + f b_{2}) - n A (f + b_{1})}{\rho H_{mix}(a_{1} b_{2} - a_{2} b_{1} - f^{2})} = n Q_{4}.
$$
 (51)

649 Substitution of
$$
Q_3
$$
 and Q_4 into Q_1 and Q_2 yields

$$
Q_1' = -nQ_3 = nQ_1, \tag{52}
$$

651
$$
Q_2' = \frac{nc_1 + \gamma n Q_3 - f n Q_4}{\beta} = n Q_2.
$$
 (53)

652 Therefore, the current with the increased wind stress to the modified slab model is given as

$$
u_{Modified}' = n u_{Modified}, \t\t(54)
$$

$$
v_{Modified}' = n v_{Modified}.
$$
\n
$$
(55)
$$

655 The analytical solution of the current to the original slab model is

$$
U_{original} = \frac{Ae^{ift}}{\rho H_{mix}(if+r)} + \left(\left(\frac{Ae^{-(if+r)t}}{\rho H_{mix}(if+r)} \right) \left(\frac{if}{2if+r} \right) \left(1 - e^{(2if+r)t} \right) \right) - \frac{Ae^{-(if+r)t}}{\rho H_{mix}(if+r)}.
$$
 (56)

657 Therefore, the current with the increased wind stress to the original slab model is given as

658 $U_{original}^{\prime} = nU_{original}$. (57)

 The above analytical solutions demonstrate that when the wind stress increases by *n* times, the current speeds simulated by the modified and original slab models both increase by *n* times. The differences in the average speeds of NICs between the modified and original model represent the transferred near-inertial energy by the interaction between mesoscale eddies and near-inertial motions (Eq. (21) and (22)). As the NICs are the component of the total currents in the near-inertial frequency band, the transferred near-inertial energy in the mesoscale eddies also increases by a factor of n times when the wind stress is multiplied by n times. This feature is consistent with our sensitivity experiments in Sect. 5.

667 **7 Summary and Discussion**

668 Analysis of in situ current observations at two offshore ADCP mooring sites in the northern 669 South China Sea (nSCS) demonstrated that relatively strong near-inertial currents (NICs) occurred

 during certain periods of nearly steady winds in the lower part of the ocean surface mixed layer (SML). The NICs produced by the originalslab model are significantly larger than the observations, indicating other important processes operating over the area. We followed Welle (1982) and Jing et al. (2017) and used a modified slab model in this study by including contributions from the background geostrophic currents. Using the surface geostrophic currents inferred from the satellite sea level data and assuming the geostrophic currents in the SML is vertically uniform, we found that the modified slab model performs significantly better than the original slab model in reproducing the observed NICs at two ADCP mooring sites in the nSCS. Examinations of observations and numerical results produced by the modified and original slab models revealed the occurrence of the energy exchange between the mesoscale eddies and the NICs. Based on the energy budget analysis for NICs during the observational period, the difference of the near-inertial wind power input between the original slab model and the modified slab model is the same order as the energy transfer rate (Eq. 25). This also indicates the importance of the near-inertial energy transfer induced by the interaction between mesoscale eddies and NICs in the SML during the observational period.

 The modified slab model and original slab model were then used to examine sensitivity to winds and eddy parameters with idealized mesoscale eddies under cyclonic winds. Both cyclonic and anticyclonic mesoscale eddies were considered, using the universal eddy structure suggested by Zhang et al. (2013). One of our major findings is that anticyclone eddies can transfer more kinetic energy to NICs than cyclonic eddies. Idealized experiments show that induced NICs speed in anticyclonic eddies can reach over 6 times the speed in cyclonic eddies. We also found that the energy transfer rate is related to the Okubo-Weiss parameter. When the Okubo-Weiss parameter is positive, the energy transfer rate is elevated with the larger Okubo-Weiss parameter.

 Analyses of model results in 196 numerical experiments using the modified slab model demonstrated that there exists bidirectional energy transfer between mesoscale eddies and NICs. The direction of the energy transfer is primarily from mesoscale eddies to NICs. When the cyclonic 696 winds rotate at frequencies of 0.25 f, 0.75 f and 1.25 f and the anticyclonic winds rotate at 697 frequencies of -1.1 f , -0.9 f and -0.75 f , the direction of the energy transfer is negative in the cyclonic eddy, that is, from NICs to cyclonic eddies. Under anticyclonically rotating winds at 699 frequencies of -1.5 f , -1.25 f , -1.1 f and -0.9 f , the negative energy transfer also occurs in the anticyclonic eddy. The NICs transferred from mesoscale eddies are stronger for higher wind

 speeds, faster translational speeds and stronger strengths of mesoscale eddies. When the wind stress increases by a factor of n times, the amplitudes of the converted NICs are also multiplied by \ldots n times. The NICs transferred in mesoscale eddies by the interactions between mesoscale eddies and NICs are stronger for higher translational speeds of anticyclonic eddies. At the translational speeds of 11 cm s⁻¹, the ratios of the amplitudes of the converted NICs by anticyclonic eddies to that transferred by cyclonic eddies reach maximum values.

 For analytical considerations, the modified slab model was transferred from the time domain to the frequency domain using the Fourier transform. Using Parseval's theorem, we derived the time-mean value of the induced NICs. The analytical expression was used to demonstrate that, for the negative relative vorticity, i.e., such as within an anticyclonic eddy, the transferred NICs are larger in an anticyclonic eddy than a cyclonic eddy. The analytical solution under the cyclonic winds also demonstrated that the NICs transferred by mesoscale eddies increase linearly with the wind stress. These analytical results are consistent with the results produced by the modified and original slab models.

 We also conducted the same set of numerical experiments using steady winds in both constant speeds and direction and model results in the steady winds are not presented here due to the page limit. Our main findings on the energy transfer between mesoscale eddies and NICs in these experiments with the steady winds are the same as the results using the rotating winds.

 This study suggests that there is bidirectional energy transfer between mesoscale eddies and NICs in the SML, of which the mechanism and influence factors are further explored by idealized simulations. Our findings can further contribute to the understanding of the energy budget in the global ocean and the ocean response to the climate change. In order to examine major physical processes affecting the NICs generated by the mesoscale eddies and quantify their influence on turbulent mixing in the deeper ocean, further studies are needed using a three-dimensional ocean circulation model.

Data availability

All the data can be obtained by contacting the authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

- This study is supported by funds from the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research
- Foundation (2022B1515130006), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (91958203)
- and Shenzhen Science and Technology Innovation Committee (WDZC20200819105831001). We
- thank the editor and reviewers for their useful and constructive comments.

References

- Alford, M. H.: Improved global maps and 54‐year history of wind‐work on ocean inertial motions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(8), https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016614, 2003.
- Alford, M. H., MacKinnon, J. A., & Simmons, H. L.: Near-inertial internal gravity waves in the ocean, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 8, 95–123, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010814- 015746, 2016.
- Barkan, R., Srinivasan, K., & Yang, L.: Oceanic mesoscale eddy depletion catalyzed by internal waves, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48(18), e2021GL094376, https://doi.org/10.1002/essoar.10507068.1, 2021.
- Boyer, T.P., O.K. Baranova, C. Coleman, H.E. Garcia, A. Grodsky, R.A. Locarnini, A.V. Mishonov, C.R. Paver, J.R. Reagan, D. Seidov, I.V. Smolyar, K.W. Weathers, & M.M. Zweng.: NOAA Atlas NESDIS 87, World Ocean Database 2018 [Dataset], https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/world-ocean-atlas-2018/, 2019.
- Bühler, O., & McIntyre, M. E.: Wave capture and wave–vortex duality, J. Fluid Mech., 534, 67– 95. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112005004374, 2005.
- Chelton, D. B., Schlax, M. G., & Samelson, R. M.: Global observations of nonlinear mesoscale eddies, Prog. Oceanogr., 91(2), 167–216, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2011.01.002, 2011.
- Chen, S.: OSF, The Current Observation Data (S2 and S3) in the Mixed Layer [Dataset], https://doi.org/osf.io/r9kyz, 2023.
- Chen, S., J. Polton, Hu, J., & Xing, J.: Local inertial oscillations in the surface ocean generated by 756 time-varying winds, Ocean Dyn., 65, 1633-1641, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-015-0899-6, 2015a.
- Chen, S., Hu, J. & J. A. Polton.: Features of near-inertial motions observed on the northern South China Sea shelf during the passage of two typhoons, Acta Oceanol. Sin., 34, 38–43, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13131-015-0594-y, 2015b.
- Chen, S., J. A. Polton, Hu, J., & Xing, J.: Thermocline bulk shear analysis in the northern North Sea, Ocean Dyn., 66, 499–508, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-016-0933-3, 2016.

- Sun, Z., Hu, J., & Zheng, Q.: Strong near-inertial oscillations in geostrophic shear in the northern South China Sea,J. Oceanogr., 67(4), 377–384, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10872-011-0038- z, 2011.
- Thomas, L. N.: On the effects of frontogenetic strain on symmetric instability and inertia–gravity waves, J. Fluid Mech., 711, 620–640, https://doi.org/ 10.1017/jfm.2012.416, 2012.
- Thomas, L. N.: On the modifications of near-inertial waves at fronts: Implications for energy transfer across scales, Ocean Dyn., 67(10), 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-017- 854 1088-6, 2017.
- van Meurs, P.: Interactions between near-inertial mixed layer currents and the mesoscale: the importance of spatial variabilities in the vorticity field, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 28(7), 1363– 1388, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1998)0282.0.CO;2, 1998.
- Vanneste, J.: Balance and spontaneous wave generation in geophysical flows, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech., 45, 147–172, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-fluid-011212-140730, 2013.
- Wang, Y., Guan, S., Zhang, Z., Zhou, C., Xu, X., Guo, C., Zhao, W. & Tian, J.: Observations of Parametric Subharmonic Instability of Diurnal Internal Tides in the Northwest Pacific, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 54(3), 849-870, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-23-0055.1, 2024.
- Weller, R. A.: The relation of near-inertial motions observed in the mixed layer during the JASIN (1978) experiment to the local wind stress and to the quasi-geostrophic flow field, J. Phys. 865 Oceanogr., 12, 1122–1136, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1982)0122.0.CO;2, 1982.
- Wei, Z., Xue, H., & Qin, H.: Using idealized numerical experiment to study an eddy colliding with an island, J. Trop. Oceanogr., 36(4), 35-47, https://doi.org/10.11978/2016118, 2017.
- Whalen, C. B., Lavergne, C. D., Garabato, A. C. N., Klymak, J. M., & Sheen, K. L.: Internal wave- driven mixing: Governing processes and consequences for climate, Nat Rev Earth Environ, 1(11), 606–621, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-020-0097-z, 2020.
- Whitt, D. B., & L. N. Thomas.: Resonant generation and energetics of wind-forced near-inertial motions in a geostrophic flow, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 45, 181–208, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-14-0168.1, 2015.
- Wunsch, C., & Ferrari, R.: Vertical mixing, energy and the general circulation of the oceans, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech., 36, 281–314, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fluid.36.050802.122121, 2004.
- Xie, J., & Vanneste, J.: A generalised-Lagrangian-mean model of the interactions between near- inertial waves and mean flow, J. Fluid Mech., 774, 143–169, https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2015.251, 2015.
- Young, W. R., & Jelloul, M. B.: Propagation of near-inertial oscillations through a geostrophic flow, J. Mar. Res., 55(4), 735–766, https://doi.org/10.1357/0022240973224283, 1997.
- Zhai, X., Greatbatch, R. & Zhao, J.: Enhanced vertical propagation of storm-induced near-inertial energy in an eddying ocean channel, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32(18), L18602, 884 https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023643, 2005.
- Zhai, X., Greatbatch, R. J. & Eden, C.: Spreading of near-inertial energy in a 1/12° model of the North Atlantic Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(10), 421–428, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL029895, 2007.
- 888 Zhang, Z., Zhang, Y., & Wang, W.: Universal structure of mesoscale eddies in the ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40(14), 3677–3681, https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50736, 2013.