5





Reviews and syntheses: Contribution of sulfate to methane oxidation in upland soils: a mini-review

Rui Su¹, Kexin Li^{2,3}, Nannan Wang², Fenghui Yuan², Ying Zhao¹, Yunjiang Zuo², Ying Sun², Liyuan He⁴, Xiaofeng Xu^{4*}, Lihua Zhang^{1,2,5*}

¹College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Minzu University of China, Beijing, 100081, China ²Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecology and Environment, Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, Jilin, 130102, China

- ³University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China
 ⁴Biology Department, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA, 92182, USA
 ⁵State Key Laboratory of Vegetation and Environmental Change, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China
- 15 Corresponding authors: L.Z. (<u>zhanglihua@muc.edu.cn</u>); X.X. (xxu@sdsu.edu)

Abstract. Methane (CH₄) is a potent greenhouse gas, its global warming potential is 25 times higher than carbon dioxide (CO₂), and various environmental factors influence CH₄ oxidation in soil. Sulfate (SO₄²⁻) ion is the main component of atmospheric

- 20 deposition and has been increasing in recent years, it promotes CH_4 production and anaerobic CH_4 oxidation, however, the impact of SO_4^{2-} on CH_4 oxidation remains inconclusive. Due to the limited research on the effects of SO_4^{2-} on CH_4 oxidation, we synthesize current research on the effects of SO_4^{2-} on CH_4 oxidation, examining both its direct impact and its influence on the dynamics of soil substances, and the potential
- 25 indirect effects of SO_4^{2-} on CH₄ oxidation. Through a literature review, we identified that SO_4^{2-} facilitates CH₄ oxidation within a range of 3-42%, moreover, it has been found that various physicochemical properties and processes in the soil are influenced by the addition of SO_4^{2-} , which in turn affects CH₄ oxidation. This review enhances our understanding of the role of SO_4^{2-} in promoting CH₄ oxidation and lays the foundation
- 30 for future studies aimed at validating these findings by quantifying CH₄ flux and oxidation rates, as well as elucidating the underlying microbial processes via experimental research. This review deepens the comprehension of atmospheric CH₄ flux and the global CH₄ cycle, particularly in the context of potential global environmental changes.





35

1 Introduction

 CH_4 is an important greenhouse gas and has increased since pre-industrial times (Place, 2024; Praeg et al., 2016). Its global warming potential is 25 times higher than carbon dioxide (CO₂) owing to its superior heat absorption efficiency (Yang et al., 2023).

- 40 Methanotrophs consume CH₄ under aerobic conditions (Le Mer and Roger, 2001), reducing CH₄ atmospheric concentration (Singh et al., 2010). Consequently, methanotrophs are crucial microbes that play an indispensable role in regulating and mitigating the greenhouse effect on Earth. Soil CH₄ oxidation is the sole known biological sink for atmospheric CH₄ (Ho et al., 2019; Murguia-Flores et al., 2018),
- 45 contributing to 5%–7% of the global annual atmospheric CH₄ uptake (Murguia-Flores et al., 2021). Upland soils are the primary biological CH₄ sink (Bodelier, 2011; Guo et al., 2023), owing to methanotroph-mediated CH₄ consumption reaching approximately 30 teragrams per year (Tg yr⁻¹). This represents the second-largest atmospheric CH₄ consumption sink, surpassed only by hydroxyl radicals depletion (Deng et al., 2019).
- 50 CH₄ oxidation in soil is influenced by many factors, such as soil water content, soil texture, soil type, temperature, soil pH, soil inorganic nitrogen content, metal availability, etc. (Shukla et al., 2013). The ion SO₄²⁻, a significant ion component of acid deposition, may also significantly impact CH₄ oxidation.
- 55 Sulfur is transferred into the earth's surface through dry and wet deposition, with SO4²⁻ being the dominant form. SO4²⁻ deposition induces soil acidification (Huang et al., 2019), alters soil plant diversity (Li et al., 2022), affects microbial properties (Wang et al., 2018), limits grass yield potential (Klessa et al., 1989), and influences greenhouse gas emissions (Fan et al., 2017; Gauci et al., 2004; Schimel, 2004). The cycling of SO4²⁻
- 60 and CH₄ exhibits a significant inter-relationship. SO_4^{2-} suppresses CH₄ production (methanogenesis) primarily due to its thermodynamic and kinetic preference as an electron acceptor (Granberg. et al., 2001; Schimel, 2004), leading to decreased CH₄ emissions (Gauci et al., 2004). SO_4^{2-} has been shown to facilitate anaerobic CH₄

atmospheric CH₄ concentrations.





oxidation in diverse ecosystems, such as oceans (Boetius et al., 2000), wetlands (La et
 al., 2022), and paddy fields (Fan et al., 2021), acting as a crucial electron acceptor.
 However, there is still a lack of a comprehensive review that summarizes the impact of
 SO4²⁻ on soil CH4 oxidation, a process that significantly contributes to reducing

- Previous studies indicated that SO₄²⁻ addition may promote CH₄ oxidation by modulating the community structure or activity of methanotrophs in soils (Bradford et al., 2001b; Sitaula et al., 1995), while some studies indicated no significant impact on CH₄ oxidation (Bradford et al., 2001a). Upon reviewing the literature, we found that the enhancement of SO₄²⁻ on CH₄ oxidation is prominent in numerous studies.
- 75 Furthermore, SO₄²⁻ facilitates CH₄ oxidation within a range of 3-42% (Table 1). Thus, we hypothesize that SO₄²⁻ may stimulate CH₄ oxidation. Nonetheless, the scarcity of data precludes a definitive conclusion regarding the direct effect of SO₄²⁻ on CH₄ oxidation. Further, we reviewed references about the influence of SO₄²⁻ on soil properties, substances, or biochemical processes, aiming to elucidate any indirect effect
- 80 on CH₄ oxidation through variations in soil substances or processes. Indeed, we find that SO_4^{2-} may affect CH₄ oxidation both directly and indirectly. Based on the available literature, we infer that SO_4^{2-} favors CH₄ oxidation. This review provides a comprehensive summary of the direct and potential indirect impacts of SO_4^{2-} on CH₄ oxidation. The review underscores the viability of investigating the effect of SO_4^{2-} on
- 85 CH₄ oxidation, providing a valuable reference for future experimental research. We will experimentally identify the enhancement of CH₄ oxidation following SO₄²⁻ addition, along with elucidating the underlying microbial processes. This will contribute to a deeper understanding of the global CH₄ cycling in the context of increasing acid deposition in the future.
- 90

2 The microbial CH₄ oxidation processes

2.1 CH₄ oxidation processes





Upland soils serve as sinks for atmospheric CH₄, contributing about 6% to the total atmospheric CH₄ consumption (Liu and Greaver, 2009). Aerobic CH₄ oxidation,

- 95 mediated by aerobic methanotrophs (Chistoserdova et al., 2005), occurs in uplands, including grasslands (Kou et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020), forests (Jang et al., 2011; Mohanty et al., 2007), and agricultural soils (Ho et al., 2019), with oxygen serving as final electron acceptor. Soil CH₄ oxidation contributes the largest biological sink for atmospheric CH₄ (Pratscher et al., 2018). Moreover, the highest methanotrophic activity
- 100 is observed in the 6-10 cm layer of surface soil (King and Schnell, 1998; Nanba and King, 2000; Schnell and King, 1994). Methanotrophs oxidize CH₄ through dissimilatory and assimilatory pathways (Mancinelli, 1995). CH₄ is ultimately oxidized to CO₂ through the production of a series of intermediate substances (Fig. 1). In the dissimilatory pathways, CH₄ undergoes sequential oxidation: first, it is oxidized by
- 105 MMO to methanol (CH₃OH, Fig. 1, path ①); methanol is oxidized by methanol dehydrogenase (MDH) to formaldehyde (HCOH, Fig. 1, path ②); formaldehyde is then oxidized by formaldehyde dehydrogenase (FADH) to formate (HCOOH, Fig. 1, path ③); and finally, formate is oxidized by formate dehydrogenase (FDH) to CO₂ (Fig. 1, path ④). Formaldehyde, a crucial intermediate in CH₄ oxidation, can be assimilated
- 110 by methanotrophs to produce cellular biomass. The assimilating of formaldehyde occurs primarily through two pathways: the ribulose monophosphate (RuMP) cycle (Fig.1 path ⁽⁵⁾) and the serine cycle (Fig.1 path ⁽⁶⁾) (Kang and Lee 2016). As an aerobic CH₄ oxidation, CO₂, water (H₂O), and microbial biomass are ultimately produced, and the net reaction can be described as:

115
$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O$$
 microbial biomass (1)

2.2 Methanotrophs

Methanotrophs constitute a distinct subset of methylotrophs, uniquely dependent on the one-carbon compound CH₄ as their sole source of carbon and energy (Hanson and Hanson, 1996). Aerobic methanotrophs belong to various phyla, including *Proteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia* (Koo and Rosenzweig, 2021), and *Actinobacteria*. Proteobacterial methanotrophs are classified into type I, type II, and type X (Li et al.,





2020), based on their cell membrane arrangement, chemotaxonomic properties, physiological characteristics, and phylogenetic location. Type I and type X
125 methanotrophs belong to the *γ-Proteobacteria* class, while type II methanotrophs belong to the *α-Proteobacteria* class (Hanson and Hanson, 1996). Type I methanotrophs assimilate carbon using the RuMp pathway, type II methanotrophs using the serine pathway, and type X methanotrophs can use both pathways (Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Mancinelli, 1995). Verrucomicrobial methanotrophs, a newly discovered methanotrophs group (Op den Camp et al., 2009), are acidophilic and thermophilic

- extremophiles (Schmitz et al., 2021). They lack intracytoplasmic membranes, possess primarily saturated phospholipids, and use the serine and Calvin-Benson-Bassham for carbon fixation (Koo and Rosenzweig, 2021; Op den Camp et al., 2009). The actinobacterial methanotrophs, *Candidatus* Mycobacterium methanotrophicum, has
- 135 only recently been discovered and is preferred in low-pH and high-CH₄ environments (van Spanning et al., 2022). This group of methanotrophs expresses a comprehensive set of enzymes essential for aerobic CH₄ oxidation and uses the RuMp cycle to fix formaldehyde (van Spanning et al., 2022).
- 140 Type I and type X methanotrophs belong to the *Methylococcaceae* family, wherear type II methanotrophs belong to two distinct families: *Methylocystaceae* and *Beijerinckiaceae* (Bowman, 2006; Yun et al., 2012). Verrucomicrobial methanotrophs are classified under the *Methylacidiphilaceae* family (Op den Camp et al., 2009). Up to now, a total of 21 genera and a species of methanotrophs have been identified, of which
- 145 20 genera belong to the Proteobacteria. Type I methanotrophs include Methylomonas, Methylobacter, Methylomicrobium, Methylosphaera, Methylosarcina, Methylohalobius, Methylothermus, Crenothrix, Clonothrix, Methylosoma, Methylovulum, Methylogaea, and Methylomarinum. Type II methanotrophs include Methylocystis, Methylosinus, Methylocella, Methylocapsa and Methyloferula. Type X methanotrophs include
- 150 Methylococcu and Methylocaldum. Verrucomicrobial methanotrophs consist of the genus Methylacidiphilum (Cai et al., 2022; Fenibo et al., 2023; Hanson and Hanson, 1996; Op den Camp et al., 2009). The recently discovered species Candidatus M.





methanotrophicum is classified with the *Mycobacterium* genus (van Spanning et al., 2022). There are two forms of MMOs, soluble cytoplasmic type monooxygenase

(sMMO) and particulate membrane-bound type monooxygenase (pMMO) (Koo and Rosenzweig, 2021). Figure 1 illustrates the differences between pMMO and sMMO, and their distribution across the identified 21 genera. The activity of methanotrophs is influenced by various environmental and climatic factors (Deng et al., 2019) and positively correlated with CH₄ oxidation (Tate, 2015).

160

2.3 The influence of sulfate

Acid rain pollution has been a significant environmental issue (Chen et al., 2020; Qi et al., 2022). SO₄²⁻ is the major ion in acid rain (Wright and Henriksen, 1978) and has profound impacts on substances and biochemical processes in soils. As a crucial

- 165 component of terrestrial ecosystems, soils serve as the ultimate receptor of acid deposition. The deposition of SO₄²⁻ can have numerous adverse effects on soil properties and functions. The application of SO₄²⁻ treatments led to a decrease in soil pH, as well as a reduction in the activities of soil enzymes such as cellulase, invertase, and polyphenol oxidase (Tie et al., 2020). The addition of SO₄²⁻ increased microbial
- biomass carbon (MBC), microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN), and enzyme activities in the forest floor (Wang et al., 2018). SO4²⁻ promoted methylation of mercury to form methylmercury (Jeremiason et al., 2006). However, the generation of methylmercury is influenced by various factors, including oxygen levels, temperature, pH, and the availability of labile organic carbon (Munthe et al., 2007). The significance of the
- 175 impact of SO_4^{2-} on the CH₄ cycle lies in its ability to influence both methanogenesis and anaerobic CH₄ oxidation. The addition of SO_4^{2-} to soil competitively displaced methanogens with sulfate-reducing bacteria (Granberg. et al., 2001), thus inhibiting CH₄ production (Eriksson et al., 2010; Granberg. et al., 2001). The anaerobic CH₄ oxidation process, fueled by SO_4^{2-} , is a crucial mechanism for mitigating methane
- emissions from flooded ecosystems (Boetius et al., 2000; Fan et al., 2021; La et al., 2022), as sulfate (SO4²⁻) functions as the electron acceptor during anaerobic CH4 oxidation. However, a comprehensive review of the influence of SO4²⁻ on aerobic CH4

185





oxidation remains lacking. Therefore, synthesizing the literature regarding the influence of SO_4^{2-} on CH₄ oxidation is crucial for comprehending methane cycling in the context of SO_4^{2-} .

3 Soil CH₄ oxidation in response to SO₄²⁻ addition

In a temperate mixed deciduous woodland, the cumulative uptake of CH₄ oxidation was observed to be 25% higher in the experimental group with H₂SO₄ addition compared to 190 the control group during the final quarter of the study period (Bradford et al., 2001b). This increase in CH₄ oxidation could be attributed to alterations in the activity or community structure of methanotrophs caused by the addition of H₂SO₄ (Bradford et al., 2001b; Sitaula et al., 1995). In Sitaula's study, the experimental group treated with H₂SO₄ exhibited the lowest pH, specifically a pH of 3, at which the CH₄ oxidation rate

- 195 was observed to be the highest (Sitaula et al., 1995). However, given the addition of H₂SO₄ in both experiments, it remains unclear whether the observed enhancement in CH₄ oxidation is primarily due to the decreased pH or the increased concentration of SO₄²⁻ ions. Despite variable responses to pH in the temperate forest, a general trend indicates that CH₄ consumption trends increase with higher pH values (Brumme and
- 200 Borken, 1999; Silver et al., 1999). Furthermore, considering that the experimental soils were temperate forest and acidic (Bradford et al., 2001b; Sitaula et al., 1995), it is plausible that methanotrophs have adapted to these acidic conditions, potentially having a lower optimal pH range for CH₄ oxidation compared to non-acid soils. In a field experiment, a lower pH has been shown to increase CH₄ oxidation (Bradford et al.,
- 205 2001b; Sitaula et al., 1995). Additionally, if the hypothesis that SO_4^{2-} potentially facilitates CH₄ oxidation holds, high-pH soils are resilient to SO_4^{2-} adsorption post-sulfur deposition, thereby enabling acidic soils to absorb more SO_4^{2-} compared to alkaline soils. Consequently, more SO_4^{2-} is desorbed and transported in acidic soils, which could further augment CH₄ oxidation (Prietzel et al., 2004).

210

The promotional effect of SO42- on CH4 oxidation can be further evidenced by





comparing its effects with those of other anions under the same cationic conditions. When NH₄Cl and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ were added to the soil at concentrations of 1µmol and 10 µmol NH₄⁺ per gram of fresh weight, respectively, both compounds caused the same

- level of inhibition on net CH₄ oxidation. However, the inhibition observed at the lower concentration (1 μmol) was significantly lower than that at the higher concentration (10 μmol) (Adamsen and King, 1993; Bradford et al., 2001a; King and Schnell, 1998). NH₄⁺ has been found to inhibit CH₄ oxidation (Bronson and Mosier, 1994; Dunfield and Knowles, 1995). However, the inhibitory effect of NH₄Cl is greater than that of
- (NH₄)₂SO₄, as SO₄²⁻ may enhance the adsorption of NH₄⁺ onto cation exchange sites in the soil (Bradford et al., 2001b; Gulledge and Schimel, 1998; King and Schnell, 1998). This reduced availability of NH₄⁺ to compete with methanotrophs for MMO enzymes further intensifies the inhibitory effect of NH₄Cl compared to (NH₄)₂SO₄. In conclusion, SO₄²⁻ served as a facilitator of CH₄ oxidation, mitigating the inhibitory effects of NH₄⁺
- 225 on this process. Benstead and King (2001) observed that, under equivalent soil acidic conditions, HNO₃ exerted a greater inhibitory effect on CH₄ oxidation than H₂SO₄. Similar results have been reported by Bradford et al. (2001a), who experimentally confirmed the inhibitory effect of nitrate (NO₃⁻) on CH₄ oxidation (Dunfield and Knowles, 1995; Wang and Ineson, 2003). When H₂SO₄ and HNO₃ were added to the
- 230 soil to achieve H⁺ concentrations of 10 and 1 µmol H⁺ per gram of fresh weight (gfw), respectively, both acids inhibited CH₄ oxidation to a similar extent, albeit with H₂SO₄ exhibiting a lesser inhibitory effect than HNO₃. We hypothesize that SO₄²⁻ may promote CH₄ oxidation, as evidenced by the findings of Benstead and King (2001) and Bradford et al. (2001a). Consequently, when H₂SO₄ and HNO₃ are added to the soil, resulting in
- 235 equivalent acidic conditions, the inhibitory effect of H₂SO₄ is less pronounced than that of HNO₃. Nonetheless, future experiments are required to verify the direct effect of SO₄²⁻ on CH₄ oxidation.

Bradford et al. (2001a) observed no significant difference in CH₄ oxidation between
low (564 μM) and high (1408 μM) concentrations of H₂SO₄ compared to the control.
Another possibility is that the H₂SO₄ concentration, relative to the previous study, led





to SO4²⁻ -stimulating CH₄ oxidation. Alternatively, Bradford et al. (2001a) suggested that the short duration of H₂SO₄ addition to the soil might have prevented it from stimulating CH₄ oxidation (Bradford et al., 2001a). Similarly, Hu et al. (2018) reported no significant effect of SO4²⁻ on CH₄ oxidation. Due to the scarcity of studies investigating the direct effect of SO4²⁻ on CH₄ oxidation, no definitive conclusion regarding its impact could be drawn. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct experimental studies to elucidate the influence of SO4²⁻ on CH₄ oxidation and explore its underlying mechanisms.

250

4 Indirect effects of SO4²⁻ on CH₄ oxidation

The influence of SO_4^{2-} on CH_4 oxidation has been relatively understudied in research. Thus, we conducted a literature review focused on studies involving the addition of SO_4^{2-} . Our objective was to demonstrate that SO_4^{2-} can indirectly influence CH_4

- 255 oxidation through its effects on soil physicochemical properties and changes in other soil constituents. Indeed, our review uncovered several significant findings that support this hypothesis. CH₄ oxidation is influenced by various environmental factors (Kou et al., 2017; Shukla et al., 2013), including SO₄²⁻. However, SO₄²⁻ may affect CH₄ oxidation directly (Fig. 2 path c), stemming from variations in methanotrophs activity
- and community structure in response to SO4²⁻ (Fig. 2 path e) (Bradford et al., 2001b;
 Sitaula et al., 1995). Alternatively, it may affect CH₄ oxidation indirectly by influencing soil physical properties (Fan et al., 2017), microbial substrates (Bjorneras et al., 2019;
 Palmer et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2017), soil nutrition content (Islam, 2012), and soil bacterial metabolism (Hu et al., 2013; Lv et al., 2014; Sogn and Abrahamsen, 1998;
- 265 Veraart et al., 2015) (Fig. 2).

First, the addition of SO_4^{2-} altered soil physical properties (Fig. 2 path a), i.e., specifically reducing soil pH (Fig. 2 path ①) (Fasth et al., 1991; Tie et al., 2020) and elevating soil redox potential (Eh) and soil oxidation capacity (OC) (Fig. 2 path ②)

270 (Fan et al., 2017). An increase in soil acidification, resulting from the augmented base





cation leaching associated with SO4²⁻ addition (Hu et al., 2013), led to a decrease in the pH of forest soils (Fasth et al., 1991; Tie et al., 2020). Generally, CH4 consumption is greater at higher pH conditions in general forest soils (Brumme and Borken, 1999; Silver et al., 1999). Therefore, the reduction in soil pH due to SO4²⁻ addition may result
in a decrease in CH4 oxidation. However, in acidic soils, a decrease in pH has been observed to increase CH4 oxidation (Sitaula et al., 1995). Consequently, when considering the impact of SO4²⁻ addition on CH4 oxidation, it is crucial to account for the acid-base status of the study soils (Fig. 2 path i), as methanotrophs exhibit different pH preferences in acidic and alkaline environments (Shukla et al., 2013). SO4²⁻ addition

- has been found to increase soil Eh and soil OC in subtropical forest soil (Fan et al., 2017). Furthermore, the amount of O₂ in the soil was closely correlated with soil Eh, and a decrease in O₂ content can lead to a reduction in soil Eh (Zausig et al., 1993). Therefore, an increase in O₂ content results in an elevation of soil Eh (Fig. 2 path g). Methanotrophs are obligate aerobes (Teh et al., 2005), requiring MMO to bind O₂ for
- 285 the initial step of CH₄ oxidation (Mancinelli, 1995; Shukla et al., 2013). Additionally, CH₄ oxidation exhibited a significant positive correlation with O₂ levels (Mancinelli, 1995). Consequently, the increase in soil Eh and OC, resulting from the elevated O₂ content due to SO₄²⁻ addition, may enhance CH₄ oxidation (Fig. 2 path h).
- 290 Second, SO₄²⁻ could alter the soil microbial substrate (Fig. 2 path b), specifically by decreasing soil DOC concentration (Fig. 2 path ③) (Bjorneras et al., 2019; Palmer et al., 2013) and di-O-alkyl C content (Fig. 2 path ④) (Xu et al., 2017). Sullivan et al. (2013) reported that DOC stimulates CH₄ oxidation in semiarid soils; however, SO₄²⁻ addition reduces DOC concentrations (Bjorneras et al., 2019; Palmer et al., 2013).
- 295 Consequently, it can be inferred that SO4²⁻ addition may indirectly inhibit CH₄ oxidation by decreasing soil DOC concentration (Fig. 2 path 1). In a subtropical forest, SO4²⁻ addition has been shown to increase the activity of gram-negative bacteria in soil by reducing the litter di-O-alkyl C (Fig. 2 path ④ and j) (Xu et al., 2017). Di-O-alkyl C is a component of SOC. SOC degradation occurs more readily with a higher percentage





of di-O-alkyl C (Huang et al., 2021). Conversely, when the content of di-O-alkyl C is low, SOC degradation proceeds relatively slowly in soil, resulting in a greater availability of substrate for utilization by microorganisms, including methanotrophs. Methanotrophs, which are gram-negative bacteria (Schimel and Gulledge, 1998), may experience an increase in activity when SO₄²⁻ is added. This enhancement of methanotrophs activity (Fig. 2 path k), can ultimately promote CH₄ oxidation (Fig. 2 path q). However, further experiments are required to elucidate the mechanism of how SO₄²⁻ affects the activity of methanotrophs.

Third, SO₄²⁻ can alter soil nutrition content (Fig. 2 path d), specifically increasing soil

- Cu availability (Fig. 2 path 5) (Islam, 2012), P content (Fig. 2 path 6) by enhancing acid phosphatase activity (Lv et al., 2014; Veraart et al., 2015), and Al³⁺ toxicity (Fig. 2 path 7) (Hu et al., 2013; Sogn and Abrahamsen, 1998). Cu is a crucial component in CH₄ oxidation processes when it is utilized by methanotrophs in their molecular machinery, synthesized from metabolized CH₄ through the secretion of methanobactin
- 315 into the environment. This process facilitates the oxidization of CH₄ to methanol (Dassama et al., 2016). It was anticipated that methanobactin secreted by methanotrophs during CH₄ oxidation would facilitate Cu uptake (Knapp et al., 2007); however, the specific mechanism of how methanobactin affects Cu uptake remains unclear (Fig. 2 path n). An increase in Cu availability results in the overexpression of
- 320 protein-mediated steps in the conversion of CH₄ to CO₂, including the synthesis of cell walls, lipids, and membranes (DiSpirito et al., 2016). Furthermore, Cu may act as a stimulant for CH₄ oxidation (Ho et al., 2013). Therefore, the addition of SO₄²⁻ may indirectly enhance CH₄ oxidation by augmenting the availability of soil Cu (Fig. 2 path m). A positive correlation has been found between P and CH₄ oxidation in soils (Veraart
- 325 et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2020). P can potentially enhance the activity of soil methanotrophs (Fig. 2 path p) (Zhang et al., 2011), with an increase in soil P content achieved through the hydrolysis of organic compounds, including nucleic acids, phospholipids, and phosphate esters, by acid and alkaline phosphatases (Veraart et al.,





2015). The addition of SO4²⁻ accelerated acid phosphatase activity, thereby increasing
soil P content (Lv et al., 2014). Therefore, we hypothesize infer that SO4²⁻ may indirectly enhance CH₄ oxidation through the augmentation of soil P content, subsequently promoting the activity of methanotrophs in the soil (Fig. 2 path p and q). It is well-established that Al³⁺ inhibits CH₄ oxidation (Tamai et al., 2007; Tamai et al., 2003). Additionally, soil acidification resulting from SO4²⁻ addition has been shown to
intensify the toxicity of Al³⁺ in forest soils (Hu et al., 2013; Sogn and Abrahamsen, 1998). We hypothesize that the addition of SO4²⁻ may indirectly inhibit CH₄ oxidation

by enhancing the toxicity of soil Al^{3+} (Fig. 2 path o).

Finally, the addition of SO42- alters soil microbial metabolism (Fig. 2 path f),

340 specifically including an up-regulation of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (Fig. 2 path [®]) (Wang et al., 2021). Methanotrophs utilize the TCA cycle during the metabolism of the serine cycle to assimilate CH₄ (Trotsenko and Murrell, 2008). A decrease in CH₄ oxidation rates leads to a decline in CH₄ assimilation (Roslev et al., 1997), whereas an increase in CH₄ assimilation in soils may trigger an up-regulation of

345 the TCA cycle (Fig. 2 path s). Thus, the observed up-regulation of the TCA cycle following SO₄²⁻ addition can be attributed to the enhancement of CH₄ oxidation (Fig. 2 path c), which subsequently leads to an increase in CH₄ assimilation (Fig. 2 path r). However, the precise mechanisms of how SO₄²⁻ ultimately impacts CH₄ oxidation and methanotrophs remain to be experimentally verified.

350

5 Implication

The microbiological processes of methanogenesis and CH_4 oxidation are influenced by numerous factors, significantly impacting the global CH_4 cycle. SO_4^{2-} plays a pivotal role in global acid deposition, with annual deposition rates ranging from 141.64 \pm

120.04 TgS a(year)⁻¹ (Gao et al., 2022). Various studies have demonstrated that SO4²⁻ inhibits soil methanogenesis (Eriksson et al., 2010; Granberg. et al., 2001; Schimel, 2004), reducing CH₄ emissions. SO4²⁻ also stimulates anaerobic CH₄ oxidation (Boetius)





et al., 2000; Fan et al., 2021; La et al., 2022), enhancing CH_4 consumption and reducing emissions. Nevertheless, there is a scarcity of studies investigating the impact of SO_4^{2-}

360 on aerobic CH₄ oxidation. By synthesizing the available literature, we observed that SO₄²⁻ enhances CH₄ oxidation by up to 42%, suggesting that increased SO₄²⁻ deposition may promote soil CH₄ oxidation, thereby reducing CH₄ emissions to the atmosphere and influencing the CH₄ cycle, ultimately affecting global warming.

365 6 Conclusions

Upon reviewing recent studies, we concluded that SO₄²⁻ promotes CH₄ oxidation and predicted that its definitive impacts on CH₄ oxidation may be observed in the coming decades. Mechanistically, SO₄²⁻ can either directly enhance CH₄ oxidation or influence it by modulating the activity of methanotrophs, pH, Eh, soil OC, DOC concentration,

370 di-O-alkyl C amount, Cu availability, P content, Al³⁺ toxicity, and the soil TCA cycle. Therefore, SO₄²⁻ reduces CH₄ emissions while enhancing its consumption, which is beneficial for CH₄ mitigation in the context of heightened acid deposition. Moreover, the relationship between SO₄²⁻ and CH₄ oxidation may be mediated by intermediate substances, specifically, SO₄²⁻ affects CH₄ oxidation by modulating methanotrophs or

375 including alterations in other soil components, requiring further experimental validation.

Data availability

All raw data can be provided by the corresponding authors upon request.

380 Author contribution

Rui Su finished writing; Kexin Li, Nannan Wang, Fenghui Yuan, Yunjiang Zuo, Ying Sun, and Liyuan He gave constructive comments and revised the structure and content of the article; Xiaofeng Xu and Lihua Zhang reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

385 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.





Acknowledgments

This study was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32471777; 32271681) and the Joint Funds of China's National Natural Science 390 Foundation (U2006215), and by Key Laboratory of Ecology and Environment in Minority Areas (Minzu University of China), National Ethnic Affairs Commission (KLEEMA202206). X.X. acknowledged the financial assistance provided by the National Science Foundation (2145130) and SPRUCE and NGEE Arctic projects, supported by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research in the Department

395 of Energy Office of Science.







Figure 1: Diagram of the methane oxidation process and MMO-containing status of identified methanotrophs. ① CH4 is oxidized to methanol (CH3OH) by MMO;
2 CH3OH is oxidized to formaldehyde (HCOH) by methanol dehydrogenase (MDH);
3 HCOH is oxidized to formate (HCOOH) by formaldehyde dehydrogenase (FADH);
4 HCOOH is oxidized to CO2 by formate dehydrogenase (FDH); ⑤ HCOH is assimilated into cellular biomass via the RuMp cycle; ⑥ HCOH is assimilated into cellular biomass via the Serine cycle; ⑦ Cu controls two MMOs expression
405 (Hakemian and Rosenzweig, 2007); ⑧ High Cu concentration regulates pMMO

- expression in soil (Hakemian and Rosenzweig, 2007); ⁽⁹⁾ Low Cu concentration regulates sMMO expression in soil (Hakemian and Rosenzweig, 2007). pMMO and sMMO have different structures and mechanisms, and sMMO has broder substrate specificity than pMMO. Most methanotrophs possess pMMO, so the *pmoA* gene is a
- 410 key gene for detecting methanotrophs in environment samples.







Figure 2. Conceptual diagram illustrating SO₄²⁻ affected CH₄ oxidation through

- direct or indirect ways in soil. ①SO4²⁻ decreases soil pH (Fasth et al., 1991; Tie et al., 2020);②SO4²⁻ increases soil Eh (redox potential) and soil OC (oxidation capacity) (Fan et al., 2017); ③SO4²⁻ decreases soil DOC concentration (Bjorneras et al., 2019; Palmer et al., 2013); ④SO4²⁻ decreases soil di-O-alkyl C amount (Xu et al., 2017);⑤SO4²⁻ increases soil Cu availability (Islam, 2012); ⑥SO4²⁻ increases soil P content by
- increasing soil acid phosphatase activity (Lv et al., 2014; Veraart et al., 2015); ⑦SO4²⁻
 increases soil Al³⁺ toxicity (Hu et al., 2013; Sogn and Abrahamsen, 1998); ⑧SO4²⁻
 induced soil TCA cycle up-regulate (Wang et al., 2021); a. Changes in soil physical
 properties due to increased soil SO4²⁻ content; b. Changes in soil microbial substrate
 due to increased soil SO4²⁻ content; c. SO4²⁻ may promote CH4 oxidation; d. Changes
- in soil nutrition content due to increased soil SO4²⁻ content; e. SO4²⁻ affects the activity or community size of methanotrophs in soils (Bradford et al., 2001b; Sitaula et al., 1995); f. Change in soil bacterial metabolism due to increased soil SO4²⁻ content; g. Elevated O₂ content increases soil Eh (Zausig et al., 1993); h. Elevated O₂ level stimulates soil CH₄ oxidation (Mancinelli, 1995); i. Decreased pH may inhibit or
- 430 stimulate soil CH₄ oxidation (Sitaula et al., 1995); j. Decreased di-O-alkyl C amount increases soil gram-negative bacteria activity (Xu et al., 2017); k. Increased activity of gram-negative bacteria may increase activity of methanotrophs; l. Decreased DOC concentration may inhibit soil CH₄ oxidation (Sullivan et al., 2013); m. Elevated Cu availability stimulates soil CH₄ oxidation (Ho et al., 2013); n. mb (methanobactin) is





- 435 expected to accelerate Cu uptake (Knapp et al., 2007); o. Elevated Al³⁺ toxicity inhibits soil CH₄ oxidation (Tamai et al., 2007; Tamai et al., 2003); p. Elevated P content increases soil methanotrophs activity (Zhang et al., 2011); q. Elevated methanotrophs activity stimulates soil CH₄ oxidation (Bradford et al., 2001b; Sitaula et al., 1995); r. Increased CH₄ oxidation may increase CH₄ assimilation (Roslev et al., 1997); s.
- 440 Methanotrophs undergo the TCA cycle during CH₄ metabolism and may cause upregulation of the TCA cycle in soil.

Study site	Sulfate concentration	CH4	Effect	Reference
		concentration		
Perridge Forest	H ₂ SO ₄ (50 Kg S ha ⁻¹)	Ambient air	25 % increased	[28]
Perridge Forest	H ₂ SO ₄ (5mM)	Ambient air	no effect	[30]
	(NH4)2SO4 (5mM)	Ambient air	no effect	
Maine forest	Na ₂ SO ₄ 0.5µg S g ⁻¹ soil	250ppm	3% increased	[37]
Norway Scots	H ₂ SO ₄ pH3	Ambient air	42% increased	[29]
Pine forest				
Birch taiga	Na ₂ SO ₄ 2.8 µmol S g ⁻¹ soil	4ppm	no effect	[63]
	K2SO4 2.8 µmol S g ⁻¹ soil	4ppm	no effect	

Table 1. Promotion effect of sulfate on methane oxidation in diverse biome soils.

445

450

Reference

- Adamsen, A.P.S., King, G.M., Methane consumption in temperate and subarctic forest soils rates, vertical zonation, and responses to water and nitrogen. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 59, 485-490. http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.59.2.485-490.1993, 1993.
- Bjorneras, C., Skerlep, M., Floudas, D., Persson, P., Kritzberg, E.S., High sulfate concentration enhances iron mobilization from organic soil to water. Biogeochemistry 144, 245-259. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-019-00581-6, 2019.
- Bodelier, P.L.E., Interactions between nitrogenous fertilizers and methane cycling in
 wetland and upland soils. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 3, 379-388. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2011.06.002, 2011.
 - Boetius, A., Ravenschlag, K., Schubert, C., Rickert, D., Widdel, F., Gieseke, A., Amann, R., Jorgensen, B., Witte, U., Pfannkuche, O., A marine microbial consortium apparently mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane. Nature 407, 623-626.



475

485

500



460 http://doi.org/10.1038/35036572, 2000.

- Bowman, J., The Methanotrophs—The families Methylococcaceae and Methylocystaceae, in: Dworkin, M., Falkow, S., Rosenberg, E., Schleifer, K., Stackebrandt, E. (Eds.), The Prokaryotes. Springer, New York, NY, pp. 266-289. http://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-30745-1 15, 2006.
- Bradford, M.A., Ineson, P., Wookey, P.A., Lappin-Scott, H.M., The effects of acid nitrogen and acid sulphur deposition on CH₄ oxidation in a forest soil: a laboratory study. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 33, 1695-1702. http://doi.org/10.1016/s0038-0717(01)00091-8, 2001a.
- Bradford, M.A., Wookey, P.A., Ineson, P., Lappin-Scott, H.M., Controlling factors and effects of chronic nitrogen and sulphur deposition on methane oxidation in a temperate forest soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 33, 93-102. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00118-8, 2001b.
 - Bronson, K.F., Mosier, A.R., Suppression of methane oxidation in aerobic soil by nitrogen fertilizers, nitrification inhibitors, and urease inhibitors. Biology and Fertility of Soils 17, 263-268. http://doi.org/10.1007/bf00383979, 1994.
- Brumme, R., Borken, W., Site variation in methane oxidation as affected by atmospheric deposition and type of temperate forest ecosystem. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 13, 493-501. http://doi.org/10.1029/1998gb900017, 1999.
- Cai, Y.F., Yun, J.L., Jia, Z.J., Phylogeny and metabolic potential of the methanotrophic
 lineage MO3 in Beijerinckiaceae from the paddy soil through metagenomeassembled genome reconstruction. Microorganisms 10, 955. http://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10050955, 2022.
 - Chistoserdova, L., Vorholt, J.A., Lidstrom, M.E., A genomic view of methane oxidation by aerobic bacteria and anaerobic archaea. Genome Biology 6, 1-6. http://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2005-6-2-208, 2005.
 - Dassama, L.M., Kenney, G.E., Ro, S.Y., Zielazinski, E.L., Rosenzweig, A.C., Methanobactin transport machinery. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113, 13027-13032. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603578113, 2016.
- 490 Deng, Y., Che, R., Wang, F., Conrad, R., Dumont, M., Yun, J., Wu, Y., Hu, A., Fang, J., Xu, Z., Cui, X., Wang, Y., Upland Soil Cluster Gamma dominates methanotrophic communities in upland grassland soils. Science of the Total Environment 670, 826-836. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.299, 2019.
- DiSpirito, A.A., Semrau, J.D., Murrell, J.C., Gallagher, W.H., Dennison, C.,
 Vuilleumier, S., Methanobactin and the link between copper and bacterial methane oxidation. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 80, 387-409. http://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00058-15, 2016.
 - Dunfield, P., Knowles, R., Kinetics of inhibition of methane oxidation by nitrate, nitrite, and ammonium in a humisol. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 61, 3129-3135. http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.61.8.3129-3135.1995, 1995.
 - Eriksson, T., Oquist, M.G., Nilsson, M.B., Production and oxidation of methane in a boreal mire after a decade of increased temperature and nitrogen and sulfur deposition. Global Change Biology 16, 2130-2144. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-





2486.2009.02097.x, 2010.

505	Fan, J.L., Xu, Y.H., Chen, Z.M., Xiao, J., Liu, D.Y., Luo, J.F., Bolan, N., Ding, W.X., Sulfur deposition suppressed nitrogen-induced soil N ₂ O emission from a subtropical forestland in southeastern China. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 233, 163-170. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.11.017, 2017.
510	Fan, L.C., Schneider, D., Dippold, M.A., Poehlein, A., Wu, W.C., Gui, H., Ge, T.D., Wu, J.S., Thiel, V., Kuzyakov, Y., Dorodnikov, M., Active metabolic pathways of anaerobic methane oxidation in paddy soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 156, 108215. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108215, 2021.
515	Fasth, W.J., David, M.B., Vance, G.F., Sulfate retention and cation leaching of forest soils in response to acid additions. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 21, 32-41.
515	http://doi.org/10.1139/x91-005, 1991. Fenibo, E.O., Selvarajan, R., Wang, H.Q., Wang, Y., Abia, A.L.K., Untapped talents: insight into the ecological significance of methanotrophs and its prospects. Science of the Total Environment 903, 166145. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166145, 2023.
520	Gao, J., Zhou, W., Liu, Y., Sha, L., Song, Q., Lin, Y., Yu, G., Zhang, J., Zheng, X., Fang, Y., Grace, J., Zhao, J., Xu, J., Gui, H., Sinclair, F., Zhang, Y., Litter-derived nitrogen reduces methane uptake in tropical rainforest soils. Science of the Total Environment 849, 157891. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157891, 2022.
525	 Gauci, V., Matthews, E., Dise, N., Walter, B., Koch, D., Granberg, G., Vile, M., Sulfur pollution suppression of the wetland methane source in the 20th and 21st centuries. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101, 12583-12587. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404412101, 2004. Granberg., G., Sund., I., Svensson., B.H., Nilsson, M., Effect of temperature, and
530	 nitrogen and sulfur deposition, on methane emission from a boreal mire. Ecology 82, 1982-1998. 2001. Gulledge, J., Schimel, J., Low-concentration kinetics of atmospheric CH₄ oxidation in soil and mechanism of NH₄⁺ inhibition. Applied and Environmental Microbiology
535	 64, 4291-4298. 1998. Guo, J.H., Feng, H.L., Peng, C.H., Chen, H., Xu, X., Ma, X.H., Li, L., Kneeshaw, D., Ruan, H.H., Yang, H.Q., Wang, W.F., Global climate change increases terrestrial soil CH₄ emissions. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 37, e2021GB007255. http://doi.org/10.1029/2021gb007255, 2023. Hakemian, A.S., Rosenzweig, A.C., The biochemistry of methane oxidation. Annual
540	ReviewofBiochemistry76,223-241.http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.76.061505.175355, 2007.Hanson, R.S., Hanson, T.E., Methanotrophic bacteria. Microbiological Reviews 60, 439-471. http://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.60.2.439-471.1996, 1996.Ho, A., Lee, H.J., Reumer, M., Meima-Franke, M., Raaijmakers, C., Zweers, H., de
545	 Boer, W., Van der Putten, W.H., Bodelier, P.L.E., Unexpected role of canonical aerobic methanotrophs in upland agricultural soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 131, 1-8. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.12.020, 2019. Ho, A., Lüke, C., Reim, A., Frenzel, P., Selective stimulation in a natural community of



550

565

570

580



methane oxidizing bacteria: effects of copper on *pmoA* transcription and activity. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 65, 211-216. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.05.027, 2013.

- Hu, Y.L., Jung, K., Zeng, D.H., Chang, S.X., Nitrogen- and sulfur-deposition-altered soil microbial community functions and enzyme activities in a boreal mixedwood forest in western Canada. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 43, 777-784. http://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2013-0049, 2013.
- 555 Huang, J., Zhou, K.J., Zhang, W., Liu, J.X., Ding, X., Cai, X.A., Mo, J.M., Sulfur deposition still contributes to forest soil acidification in the Pearl River Delta, South China, despite the control of sulfur dioxide emission since 2001. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 26, 12928-12939. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04831-w, 2019.
- 560 Huang, R.L., Crowther, T.W., Sui, Y.Y., Sun, B., Liang, Y.T., High stability and metabolic capacity of bacterial community promote the rapid reduction of easily decomposing carbon in soil. Communications Biology 4, 1376. http://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02907-3, 2021.
 - Islam, M., The effect of different rates and forms of sulfur on seed yield and

micronutrient uptake by chickpea. Plant, Soil and Environment 58, 399-404. http://doi.org/10.17221/145/2012-pse, 2012.

- Jang, I., Lee, S., Zoh, K.-D., Kang, H., Methane concentrations and methanotrophic community structure influence the response of soil methane oxidation to nitrogen content in a temperate forest. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 43, 620-627. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.11.032, 2011.
- Jeremiason, J.D., Engstrom, D.R., Swain, E.B., Nater, E.A., Johnson, B.M., Almendinger, J.E., Monson, B.A., Kolka, R.K., Sulfate addition increases methylmercury production in an experimental wetland. Environmental Science & Technology 40, 3800-3806. http://doi.org/10.1021/es0524144, 2006.
- 575 King, G.M., Schnell, S., Effects of ammonium and non-ammonium salt additions on methane oxidation by Methylosinus trichosporium OB3b and Maine forest soils. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64, 253-257. 1998.
 - Klessa, D.A., Frame, J., Golightly, R.D., Harkess, R.D., THE EFFECT OF FERTILIZER SULFUR ON GRASS PRODUCTION FOR SILAGE. Grass and Forage Science 44, 277-281. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2494.1989.tb02165.x,
 - 1989. Knapp, C.W., Fowle, D.A., Kulczycki, E., Roberts, J.A., Graham, D.W., Methane monooxygenase gene expression mediated by methanobactin in the presence of mineral copper sources. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
- 585 United States of America 104, 12040-12045. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702879104, 2007.
 - Koo, C.W., Rosenzweig, A.C., Biochemistry of aerobic biological methane oxidation. Chemical Society Review 50, 3424-3436. http://doi.org/10.1039/d0cs01291b, 2021.
- 590 Kou, Y.P., Li, J.B., Wang, Y.S., Li, C.N., Tu, B., Yao, M.J., Li, X.Z., Scale-dependent key drivers controlling methane oxidation potential in Chinese grassland soils. Soil



625

635



Biology and Biochemistry 111, 104-114. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.04.005, 2017.

- La, W., Han, X., Liu, C.Q., Ding, H., Liu, M., Sun, F., Li, S., Lang, Y., Sulfate concentrations affect sulfate reduction pathways and methane consumption in coastal wetlands. Water Research 217, 118441. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118441, 2022.
 - Le Mer, J., Roger, P., Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by soil: a review. European Journal of Soil Biology 37, 25-50.
- 600 http://doi.org/10.1016/S1164-5563(01)01067-6, 2001.
 - Li, C.H., Wang, B., Fang, Z., Yu, H.L., Huang, J.Y., Plant species diversity is driven by soil base cations under acid deposition in desert coal-mining region in northwestern China. Ecological Indicators 145, 109682. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109682, 2022.
- 605 Li, Y., Liu, Y.W., Pan, H., Hernández, M., Guan, X.M., Wang, W., Zhang, Q.C., Luo, Y., Di, H.J., Xu, J.M., Impact of grazing on shaping abundance and composition of active methanotrophs and methane oxidation activity in a grassland soil. Biology and Fertility of Soils 56, 799-810. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-020-01461-0, 2020.
- 610 Liu, L., Greaver, T.L., A review of nitrogen enrichment effects on three biogenic GHGs: the CO₂ sink may be largely offset by stimulated N₂O and CH₄ emission. Ecology Letters 12, 1103-1117. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01351.x, 2009.
 - Lv, Y., Wang, C.Y., Jia, Y.Y., Wang, W.W., Ma, X., Du, J.J., Pu, G.Z., Tian, X.J., Effects of sulfuric, nitric, and mixed acid rain on litter decomposition, soil microbial biomass, and enzyme activities in subtropical forests of China. Applied Soil

615 biomass, and enzyme activities in subtropical forests of China. Applied Soi Ecology 79, 1-9. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2013.12.002, 2014.

- Mancinelli, R., The regulation of methane oxidation in soil. Annual Review of Microbiology 49, 581-605. 1995.
- Mohanty, S.R., Bodelier, P.L., Conrad, R., Effect of temperature on composition of the
 methanotrophic community in rice field and forest soil. FEMS Microbiology
 Ecology 62, 24-31. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00370.x, 2007.
 - Munthe, J., Bodaly, R.A., Branfireun, B.A., Driscoll, C.T., Gilmour, C.C., Harris, R., Horvat, M., Lucotte, M., Malm, O., Recovery of mercury-contaminated fisheries. Ambio 36, 33-44. http://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2007)36[33:Romf]2.0.Co;2, 2007.
- Murguia-Flores, F., Arndt, S., Ganesan, A.L., Murray-Tortarolo, G., Hornibrook, E.R.C., Soil Methanotrophy Model (MeMo v1.0): a process-based model to quantify global uptake of atmospheric methane by soil. Geoscientific Model Development 11, 2009-2032. http://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-2009-2018, 2018.
- 630 Murguia-Flores, F., Ganesan, A.L., Arndt, S., Hornibrook, E.R.C., Global uptake of atmospheric methane by soil from 1900 to 2100. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 35, e2020GB006774. http://doi.org/10.1029/2020gb006774, 2021.
 - Nanba, K., King, G., Response of atmospheric methane consumption by maine forest soils to exogenous aluminum salts. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66, 3674-3679. http://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.9.3674-3679.2000, 2000.



640



- Op den Camp, H.J., Islam, T., Stott, M.B., Harhangi, H.R., Hynes, A., Schouten, S., Jetten, M.S., Birkeland, N.K., Pol, A., Dunfield, P.F., Environmental, genomic and taxonomic perspectives on methanotrophic *Verrucomicrobia*. Environmental Microbiology Reports 1, 293-306. http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00022.x, 2009.
- Palmer, S.M., Clark, J.M., Chapman, P.J., van der Heijden, G.M.F., Bottrell, S.H., Effects of acid sulphate on DOC release in mineral soils: the influence of SO₄²⁻ retention and Al release. European Journal of Soil Science 64, 537-544. http://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12048, 2013.
- 645 Place, S.E., Examining the role of ruminants in sustainable food systems. Grass and Forage Science 79, 135-143. http://doi.org/10.1111/gfs.12673, 2024.
 - Praeg, N., Wagner, A.O., Illmer, P., Plant species, temperature, and bedrock affect net methane flux out of grassland and forest soils. Plant and Soil 410, 193-206. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2993-z, 2016.
- 650 Pratscher, J., Vollmers, J., Wiegand, S., Dumont, M.G., Kaster, A.-K., Unravelling the identity, metabolic potential and global biogeography of the atmospheric methane-oxidizing upland soil cluster α. Environmental Microbiology 20, 1016-1029. http://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14036, 2018.
- Prietzel, J., Mayer, B., Legge, A.H., Cumulative impact of 40 years of industrial sulfur
 emissions on a forest soil in west-central Alberta (Canada). Environmental
 Pollution 132, 129-144. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2004.03.016, 2004.
 - Roslev, P., Iversen, N., Henriksen, K., Oxidation and assimilation of atmospheric methane by soil methane oxidizers. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 63, 874-880. http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.63.3.874-880.1997, 1997.
- 660 Schimel, J., Playing scales in the methane cycle: from microbial ecology to the globe. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101, 12400-12401. http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0405075101, 2004.
- Schimel, J., Gulledge, J., Microbial community structure and global trace gases. Global Change Biology 4, 745-758. http://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.1998.00195.x, 1998.
 - Schmitz, R.A., Peeters, S.H., Versantvoort, W., Picone, N., Pol, A., Jetten, M.S.M., Op den Camp, H.J.M., Verrucomicrobial methanotrophs: ecophysiology of metabolically versatile acidophiles. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 45, fuab007. http://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuab007, 2021.
- 670 Schnell, S., King, G.M., Mechanistic analysis of ammonium inhibition of atmospheric methane consumption in forest soils. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 60, 3514-3521. http://doi.org/10.1128/aem.60.10.3514-3521.1994, 1994.
 - Shukla, P.N., Pandey, K.D., Mishra, V.K., Environmental determinants of soil methane oxidation and methanotrophs. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology 43, 1945-2011. http://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2012.672053, 2013.
- Technology 43, 1945-2011. http://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2012.672053, 2013.
 Silver, W.L., Lugo, A.E., Keller, M., Soil oxygen availability and biogeochemistry along rainfall and topographic gradients in upland wet tropical forest soils. Biogeochemistry 44, 301-328. http://doi.org/10.1007/bf00996995, 1999.
 - Singh, B.K., Bardgett, R.D., Smith, P., Reay, D.S., Microorganisms and climate change:





680	terrestrial feedbacks and mitigation options. Nature Reviews Microbiology 8, 779-790. http://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2439, 2010.
	Sitaula, B.K., Bakken, L.R., Abrahamsen, G., CH ₄ uptake by temperate forest soil: effect of N input and soil acidification. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 27, 870-880. http://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(95)00017-9, 1995.
685	Sogn, T., Abrahamsen, G., Effects of N and S deposition on leaching from an acid forest soil and growth of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) after 5 years of treatment. Forest Ecology and Management 103, 177-190. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0378- 1127(97)00188-6, 1998.
	Sullivan, B.W., Selmants, P.C., Hart, S.C., Does dissolved organic carbon regulate
690	biological methane oxidation in semiarid soils? Glob Chang Biol 19, 2149-2157.
	http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12201, 2013.
	atmospheric concentration levels in Japanese forest soil. Soil Piology and
	Biochemistry 39, 1730-1736 http://doi.org/10.1016/i.soilbio.2007.01.029.2007
695	Tamai, N., Takenaka, C., Ishizuka, S., Tezuka, T., Methane flux and regulatory variables
	in soils of three equal-aged Japanese cypress (Chamaecyparis obtusa) forests in
	central Japan. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 35, 633-641.
	http://doi.org/10.1016/s0038-0717(03)00010-5, 2003.
	Tate, K.R., Soil methane oxidation and land-use change - from process to mitigation.
700	Soil Biology and Biochemistry 80, 260-272.
	http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.10.010, 2015.
	oxidation in humid tropical forest soils. Global Change Biology 11, 1283-1297.
705	Tie L H Fu R Penuelas I Sardans I Zhang S B Zhou S X Hu I X Huang
100	C.D., The additions of nitrogen and sulfur synergistically decrease the release of
	carbon and nitrogen from litter in a subtropical forest. Forests 11, 1280.
	http://doi.org/10.3390/f11121280, 2020.
	Trotsenko, Y.A., Murrell, J.C., Metabolic aspects of aerobic obligate methanotrophy.
710	Advances in Applied Microbiology 63, 183-229. http://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2164(07)00005-6, 2008.
	van Spanning, R.J.M., Guan, Q., Melkonian, C., Gallant, J., Polerecky, L., Flot, J.F.,
	Brandt, B.W., Braster, M., Iturbe Espinoza, P., Aerts, J.W., Meima-Franke, M.M.,
- 1 -	Piersma, S.R., Bunduc, C.M., Ummels, R., Pain, A., Fleming, E.J., van der Wel,
715	N.N., Gherman, V.D., Sarbu, S.M., Bodelier, P.L.E., Bitter, W., Methanotrophy by
	Migrobiology 7, 2080, 2100, http://doi.org/10.1028/s/1564.022.01252.3, 2022
	Veraart A I Steenbergh A K Ho A Kim S Y Bodelier PI F Beyond nitrogen:
	the importance of phosphorus for CH ₄ oxidation in soils and sediments. Geoderma
720	259-260, 337-346. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.03.025, 2015.
	Wang, M., Wang, L., Shi, H., Liu, Y., Chen, S., Soil bacteria, genes, and metabolites
	stimulated during sulfur cycling and cadmium mobilization under sodium sulfate
	stress. Environmental Research 201, 111599.





http://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111599, 2021.

- 725 Wang, Q., Kwak, J.-H., Choi, W.-J., Chang, S.X., Decomposition of trembling aspen leaf litter under long-term nitrogen and sulfur deposition: effects of litter chemistry and forest floor microbial properties. Forest Ecology and Management 412, 53-61. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.01.042, 2018.
 - Wang, Z.P., Ineson, P., Methane oxidation in a temperate coniferous forest soil: effects
- 730 of inorganic N. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 35, 427-433. http://doi.org/10.1016/s0038-0717(02)00294-8, 2003.
 - Xu, Y.H., Fan, J.L., Ding, W.X., Gunina, A., Chen, Z.M., Bol, R., Luo, J.F., Bolan, N., Characterization of organic carbon in decomposing litter exposed to nitrogen and sulfur additions: links to microbial community composition and activity. Geoderma 286, 116-124. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.10.032, 2017.
- Geoderma 286, 116-124. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.10.032, 2017.
 Yang, C., Chen, Y.T., Zhang, Q., Qie, X.H., Chen, J.X., Che, Y.J., Lv, D.T., Xu, X.Y., Gao, Y.X., Wang, Z.Y., Sun, J., Mechanism of microbial regulation on methane metabolism in saline–alkali soils based on metagenomics analysis. Journal of Environmental Management 345, 118771.
- 740 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118771, 2023.
 - Yun, J., Zhuang, G., Ma, A., Guo, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Community structure, abundance, and activity of methanotrophs in the Zoige wetland of the Tibetan Plateau. Microbial Ecology 63, 835-843. http://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-011-9981x, 2012.
- 745 Zausig, J., Stepniewski, W., Horn, R., Oxygen concentration redox potential gradients in unsaturated model soil aggregates. Soil Science Society of America Journal 57, 908-916. http://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1993.03615995005700040005x, 1993.
 - Zhang, L.H., Yuan, F.H., Bai, J.H., Duan, H.T., Gu, X.Y., Hou, L.Y., Huang, Y., Yang, M.G., He, J.S., Zhang, Z.H., Yu, L.J., Song, C.C., Lipson, D.A., Zona, D., Oechel,
- 750 W., Janssens, I.A., Xu, X.F., Phosphorus alleviation of nitrogen-suppressed methane sink in global grasslands. Ecology Letters 23, 821-830. http://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13480, 2020.
- Zhang, T., Zhu, W., Mo, J., Liu, L., Dong, S., Increased phosphorus availability mitigates the inhibition of nitrogen deposition on CH₄ uptake in an old-growth tropical forest, southern China. Biogeosciences 8, 2805-2813. http://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-2805-2011, 2011.