

Physicochemical and urban land-use characteristics associated with resistance to precipitation in estuaries vary across scales

Anna B. Turețcaia^{1,*}, Nicole G. Dix^{2,3}, Hannah Nicklay⁴, Matthew C. Ferner⁵, Emily B. Graham^{1,6,*}

¹ Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA

² Guana Tolomato Matanzas National Estuarine Research Reserve, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Ponte Vedra Beach, FL 32082, USA

³ Biology Department, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA

⁴ University of Wisconsin Madison, Division of Extension, Lake Superior National Estuarine Research Reserve, Superior, WI 54880, USA

⁵ San Francisco State University, Estuary and Ocean Science Center, Tiburon, CA 94920, USA

⁶ School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164, USA

Correspondence to: Anna B. Turețcaia (abturetcaia@gmail.com) and Emily B. Graham (emily.graham@pnnl.gov)

Abstract. Estuaries are subject to frequent stressors, including elevated nutrient loading and extreme hydrologic events, which impact water quality and disrupt ecosystem stability and function. The capacity of an estuary to resist changes in function in response to precipitation events is an important descriptor of ecosystem response dynamics, especially when interpreted within the context of baseline system condition and ecological state. However, the factors related to estuarine responses to extreme precipitation remain poorly constrained. This knowledge gap complicates our ability to identify estuaries that are more likely to undergo major shifts in ecosystem services and predict the effects of urban and precipitation disturbances on estuarine water quality. We investigate which physicochemical and land-use characteristics are associated with ecological resistance to precipitation – defined as the magnitude of ecosystem change induced by an event – in five disparate estuaries distributed across the continental United States. Using long-term meteorological and water quality data from the National Estuarine Research Reserve System along with land use/land cover and population data, we examine relationships between the resistance index – a proxy for ecosystem stability calculated using dissolved oxygen – and physicochemical and urban land use characteristics on local-to-continental scales. Contrary to our initial hypothesis, we found that more urbanized estuaries tended to be more resistant to precipitation events in this dataset, possibly due to persistent disturbances on their baseline dissolved oxygen levels, and that water temperature, water column depth, turbidity, nitrogen, and chlorophyll-*a* showed significant but variable associations with resistance at the continental scale. These continental-scale patterns were modulated by estuarine salinity and varied across individual estuaries, where additional relationships between resistance and salinity, phosphate concentrations, N:P, tree cover, and cropland emerged. Our findings suggest that the relationships between urbanization and estuarine stability are complex and context-dependent, and thus management strategies need to consider both broad generalizations and local conditions. Considering emerging stressors from new environmental scenarios and from urbanization, these results may help improve representation of the impacts of disturbances in large-scale models and inform management decisions regarding estuarine water quality.

1. Introduction

Estuaries are highly dynamic environments that often connect freshwater and saltwater systems, cycle organic matter and nutrients from land to oceans, and provide essential ecosystem services (Bianchi, 2007; He and Silliman, 2019). The function of estuarine ecosystems as unique sites for carbon and nutrient cycling, and habitats for macro- and micro-flora and fauna, relies on stability of a predictable range of dynamic processes like temperature fluctuations, hydrology and nutrient mixing. However, anthropogenic activities and extreme precipitation events threaten estuarine ecosystem stability and function (Kemp et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2010). Predicted increases in urban population size and in the frequency and intensity of precipitation events highlight the urgency to understand the response of estuaries to urbanization and new precipitation patterns (Kyzar et al., 2021; Li et al., 2019; Martínez et al., 2007; Pickett et al., 2011). Yet, the factors that impact urban estuaries' response to precipitation are not fully understood.

When combined with intense precipitation, watershed urbanization and associated changes in land use/land cover (LULC) often result in increased stream hydrological flashiness (Gannon et al., 2022; Grimm et al., 2008; Reisinger et al., 2017). Hydrological flashiness induces high flow rates that cause changes in channel morphology (Booth and Jackson, 1997; Gregory, 2011; Leopold, 1968; Vietz et al., 2016), habitat destruction (Walsh et al., 2005), and disruption of microbial metabolic processes (Reisinger et al., 2017; Uehlinger, 2000). Flashiness can also drastically affect primary production – a regulatory component of dissolved oxygen (DO) dynamics in aquatic environments – through increases in flow velocity, transport of phytoplankton, sediment migration, and light limitation through increased turbidity (Bernot et al., 2010; Fisher et al., 1982; McSweeney et al., 2017; Reisinger et al., 2017; Uehlinger, 2000).

While DO is dynamic and depends on myriad biological, chemical, and physical processes, it is essential for many estuarine processes and has been widely used as an indicator for overall ecosystem function (Abdul-Aziz et al., 2007; Abdul-Aziz and Gebreslase, 2023; Chapra, 2008; Cox, 2003; Kannel et al., 2007; Zhi et al., 2021). Dissolved oxygen is critical to maintaining the life cycles of macro- and micro-fauna, and supports the biogeochemical cycling of carbon and nutrients by serving as a terminal electron acceptor (Bernhardt et al., 2018; Chapra, 2008; Zarnetske et al., 2012). Urban areas in particular have been linked to altered aquatic DO concentrations and other water quality parameters (Bernhardt et al., 2008; Chang, 2005; Freeman

et al., 2019; Vietz et al., 2016). Given the tight link between aquatic DO, land use, and diverse ecosystem functions, DO is an important indicator and holistic measure of estuarine condition.

In addition to impacts on hydrology, extreme precipitation events can increase nutrient delivery and salinity change, both of which influence DO dynamics, particularly in waterways adjacent to urban-type LULC (Walsh et al., 2005). Elevated nitrogen (N) influx can overstimulate primary production leading to short-term DO increases followed by spikes in microbial respiration and DO demand. Freshwater influx (i.e., runoff) and storm surges, can alter salinity, strengthen stratification, reduce DO replenishment to bottom waters and induce hypoxia (Rabalais et al., 2010; Wetz and Yoskowitz, 2013; Zhang et al., 2010). However, the relative importance of these processes is expected to vary among estuaries and across spatial scales. For example, in well-mixed estuaries, precipitation-driven mixing may temporarily increase DO through reaeration, whereas in stratified or poorly flushed estuaries, enhanced nutrient loading combined with reduced vertical mixing may promote sustained DO depletion.

Identifying common responses to major precipitation events across disparate estuaries can help project long-term estuarine function under increasing urbanization and more extreme precipitation events. However, such commonalities have been difficult to discern and decipher, in part, due to the complex and interconnected dynamics within individual estuaries and their varied responses to precipitation. While Ombadi & Varadharajan (2022) report contrasting effects of urbanization on salinity during flood events when regional dynamics are considered, a continental-scale study by Kaushal et al. (2018) suggests that anthropogenic activity is associated with increasing salinity in waterways. However, Kaushal et al. (2018) recognize that regional, weather-related, LULC, and geologic variabilities also influence salinization patterns. Similarly, continental-scale evaluations showed that small watersheds appear consistently less flashy than large watersheds; and that there is a substantial amount of variability in these relationships at regional scale (Baker et al., 2004; Gannon et al., 2022; Hopkins et al., 2015; Poff et al., 2006). Such variation in relationships across scales may be particularly prevalent in ecosystems influenced by anthropogenic activities (Hopkins et al., 2015; Poff et al., 2006), which demonstrates the importance of considering multiple spatial scales in understanding estuarine responses to changes in precipitation patterns and watershed land use.

We aim to uncover generalizable responses to large precipitation events across five estuaries that span a gradient of urbanization and physicochemical characteristics. Using DO as an

integrative indicator of ecosystem function (Abdul-Aziz and Gebreslase, 2023), we evaluate estuarine resistance to precipitation – defined here as the ability of estuaries to maintain DO stability (Isbell et al., 2015; Lake, 2013; McCluney et al., 2014; Pimm, 1984; Utz et al., 2016; Van Meerbeek et al., 2021). In the context of physicochemical and land-use factors, we evaluate estuarine resistance at: 1) the continental-scale (i.e., across all estuaries); 2) across estuaries grouped by salinity; and 3) within each estuary. We hypothesize that urbanization decreases estuarine resistance to precipitation, and that relationships between resistance and physicochemical and land-use factors will vary with spatial scale and ambient salinity. This study helps advance understanding of how ongoing changes in the Earth system influence estuarine ecosystem function.

2. Methods

2.1 Estuaries and monitoring locations.

We used long-term water quality monitoring data from five estuaries in the National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS, 2023) to understand factors associated with their resistance to precipitation events. Lake Superior (LKS), WI; Chesapeake Bay Maryland (CBM), MD (Jug Bay only); Guana Tolomato Matanzas (GTM), FL; Weeks Bay (WKB), AL; and San Francisco Bay (SFB), CA span ecoregions, land uses, and salinity (0.1–35 ppt) (Fig. 1, Table 1). Across all estuaries, there were a total of 19 monitoring locations.

Briefly, LKS NERR is a freshwater estuary situated at the confluence of the St. Louis River and Lake Superior, that includes four continuous water quality monitoring locations influenced by lake seiche: Blatnik Bridge, Oliver Bridge, Pokegama Bay, and Barker’s Island. CBM NERR at Jug Bay, is a semi-diurnal minimally tidal estuary. Jug Bay is located in the upper tidal reaches of the Patuxent River, it is dominated by tidal freshwater marsh, and it comprises Railroad Bridge, Iron Pot Landing, and Mataponi Creek monitoring locations. WKB NERR is a partially-mixed (i.e., lagoonal/ semi-isolated), diurnal micro-tidal estuary located on the eastern shore of the Mobile Bay. WKB comprises Fish River, Weeks Bay, Middle Bay, and Magnolia River monitoring locations. SFB NERR estuary is a mixed, semi-diurnal tidal system comprising four monitoring locations across two embayments. The locations include China Camp and Gallinas Creek in the San Pablo Bay embayment within the China Camp State Park, and First Mallard and Second Mallard in the Suisun Bay embayment within the Rush Ranch Open Space Preserve. GTM NERR is a mixed, semi-diurnal tidal estuary associated with the Tolomato and Guana River

estuarine systems to the north and the Matanzas River estuary to the south. Monitoring locations include Pine Island, Fort Matanzas, San Sebastian, and Pellicer Creek, with the latter site noted to experience stratification (i.e., salt-wedge).

Upstream reservoirs are reported for several monitoring locations across the set of estuaries (Table S1).




Figure 1. Selected National Estuarine Research Reserve (NERR) stations. a) Map of monitoring locations and land use/land cover within associated watersheds at Lake Superior (LKS) NERR, Chesapeake Bay, Maryland (CBM– Jug Bay) NERR, Guana Tolomato Matanzas (GTM) NERR, Weeks Bay (WKB) NERR, and San Francisco Bay (SFB) NERR. b) Salinity from 2012 to 2022 for each monitoring location at each NERR (all $n > 150,000$). Boxes indicate interquartile range. Means are shown in white circles. Black lines inside the boxes indicate medians.

Table 1. Land use/land cover (LULC) and population density in five National Estuarine Research Reserve System estuaries within 10 km to water quality and nutrient monitoring locations.

LULC class	Estuary and LULC class within 10-km to monitoring locations (%)				
	Lake	Chesapeake Bay	Guana	Weeks	San Francisco Bay (SFB)

	<i>Superior (LKS)</i>	<i>(CBM–Jug Bay)</i>	<i>Tolomato Matanzas (GTM)</i>	<i>Bay (WKB)</i>	<i>(San Pablo Bay)</i>	<i>(Suisun Bay)</i>
Water	10.43	2.30	8.58	4.85	5.04	3.59
Tree cover	58.78	53.72	45.11	38.52	26.91	1.16
Flooded vegetation	0.88	1.37	13.04	0.05	1.40	21.33
Crops	0.19	4.63	0.19	30.36	18.05	19.67
Built area	18.84	27.19	23.99	19.33	31.65	21.87
Bare ground	0.06	0.25	0.26	0.10	0.11	1.17
Rangeland	9.82	10.53	8.82	6.76	16.47	31.2
Surface area and population estimates						
Area (km ²)	489	218	646	213	105	206
Estimated population within the area (ppl)	71,111	49,291	148,557	17,404	48,161	100,582
Population density (ppl km ⁻²)	145	225	230	81	458	488

LULC definitions per ESRI (Karra et al., 2021):

Water – areas where water was present throughout the year. Excludes man-made structures like docks.

Tree cover – vegetation with closed/dense canopy ≥ 15 meters.

Flooded vegetation – areas with intermixing of water and vegetation flooded seasonally or predominantly throughout the year.

Crops – human planted vegetation (cereals, grasses, and corps) that are not at tree height.

Built area – human made structures like roads, railroad networks, parking spaces, industrial and residential buildings.

Bare ground – areas dominated by rocks, soil, sand (i.e. desert) with sparse to no vegetation throughout the year.

Rangeland – homogeneous grasses, mixes of vegetation below tree-height with rocks and soil, clearings in the forests.

2.2 Land use and land cover.

To assess the relationship between resistance and urbanization, we used LULC data at 10-meter resolution from ESRI (2017–2020) (Karra et al., 2021) and population density data at 100-meter resolution from World Population Hub (Bondarenko et al., 2020). The NERR watersheds shapefiles were obtained from NERRS (NERRS, 2023). We analyzed LULC and population density using QGIS 3.30.3 (QGIS Geographic Information System, 2024) equipped with a semi-automatic classification plug-in, which generates percentages of each class within a given area based on the classification raster. The resulting breakdown of LULC and population density within watersheds is shown in Table S2.

Further, because the resistance index was calculated for precipitation events across short time-scales (days), large watershed areas could overrepresent hydrologic contributions to water quality at monitoring locations (watershed area range: 114 – 11,704 km², Table S2). To better match the temporal scale of precipitation responses, we restricted watershed characterization to a 10-km proximity zone surrounding each monitoring location. This approach captures watershed areas most likely to influence water quality over short time scales, and improves comparability among estuaries (Table 1). LULC and population density were quantified within these proximity zones. For the SFB watershed, separate 10-km proximity zones were delineated for San Pablo and Suisun embayments to account for different hydrologic dynamics.

All data were collected using NERRS standard operating procedures. Briefly, water column DO (mg L⁻¹, calculated from % air saturation, temperature, and salinity at the time of measurement; thereby accounting for the impacts of temperature and salinity fluctuations on DO concentration), temperature, turbidity, salinity, and depth were measured at 15-min intervals using synchronized YSI EXO2 multiparameter sondes. Meteorological conditions, including precipitation, were also measured at 15-min intervals using NERRS standard weather station instrumentation. PO₄³⁻, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻ and chlorophyll-*a* (Chl-*a*) were measured monthly from grab samples and analyzed in the lab (NERRS, 2023). Samples for nutrients were analyzed following the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) methods (O'Dell, 1996b, a; U.S. EPA., 1993a). For Chl-*a* analysis, the samples were collected as whole water, then filtered onto 0.45 µm pore size glass-fiber filters (0.7 µm at GTMNERR) and processed following APHA (2001) and U.S. EPA. (1993b) methods. While Chl-*a* is also measured as chlorophyll fluorescence using an optical sensor with YSI sondes, these data were not included in our analysis due to the

confounding effects of various temperature, turbidity, fluorescent dissolved organic matter and more on sensor-based Chl-*a* measurements (and sensor and lab-based measurements often do not correspond to each other; Dix et al., 2026). We omitted all data flagged as ‘suspect’ or ‘out of range’.

Additionally, we calculated water column depth as the sum of measured water depth plus the distance between the sonde and sediment bed (Table S1). We also calculated the sum of NO_3^- , NO_2^- , and NH_4^+ to assess dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentrations and the ratio of DIN to PO_4^{3-} (hereafter, N:P).

2.3 Determination of major precipitation events.

Because hydrologic dynamics and related estuarine functions can vary dramatically with annual weather conditions, we first selected one ‘wet’ and one ‘dry’ year for each estuary using precipitation records from nearby airports. The purpose of selecting years with disparate rainfall patterns was to encompass the maximum range of variability in expected estuarine resistance. Following Murrell et al. (2018), we calculated the long-term interquartile range (IQR, 1990-2020) of total monthly precipitation for each estuary and then selected relatively wet/dry years based on the number of months plotting above/below IQR and total annual precipitation. Possible wet and dry years were further filtered based on the completeness of NERRS data available for each estuary (Fig. S1). Long-term precipitation records included: Duluth International, Washington Reagan International, Jacksonville International, Birmingham, and San Francisco International airports available from the National Center for Environmental Information.

Further, we selected major precipitation events within each wet and dry year by plotting daily precipitation using data from NERR meteorological stations (Fig. S2). Specifically, because the definition of ‘major’ precipitation events is hard to quantify and it varies across estuaries, we first considered hurricanes, tropical storms, Nor’easters, atmospheric rivers, and other major storm events noted within NERR metadata sheets when selecting precipitation events. For example, at GTM we focused on tropical storms Colin, Julia, and Hermine, hurricanes Matthew and Irma, and two Nor’easters (Table S3). Data availability was a second consideration – we removed possible events for which there was a substantial amount of missing data. Lastly, because metabolic and hydrologic processes vary across seasons, we chose to focus on warm season events, with the

exception of San Francisco Bay where most precipitation occurs in the cool season but seasonal temperature fluctuations are generally lower than in other systems (Fig. S2 and S3, Table S3).

2.4 Calculation of resistance.

To investigate physicochemical and urban land-use characteristics associated with estuarine responses to precipitation events, we calculated the resistance index described in Orwin & Wardle (2004). The resistance index is a normalized parameter (−1 to +1) describing the magnitude of shift in a response variable from an initial condition. It has been used across a wide variety of ecosystems and response variables, including aquatic ecosystems (Thayne et al., 2022, 2023; Tsai et al., 2011). The resistance index is calculated as:

$$\text{Resistance} = 1 - \frac{2|D_0|}{(C_0 + |D_0|)} \text{ (eq. 1)}$$

where, C_0 = concentration of the response variable pre-disturbance, and D_0 = difference between the concentration of the response variable pre- and post-disturbance (P_0) (i.e., $D_0 = C_0 - P_0$). An index value of +1 indicates the highest possible resistance. Index values between 0 and 1 show that the magnitude of response variable shift is less than the magnitude of the baseline (i.e., $|D_0| \leq C_0$). A resistance index of 0 indicates that the shift in the response variable is equivalent to the magnitude of the baseline (i.e., $|D_0| = C_0$), whereas index values between < 0 and −1 reflect that change in the response variable is greater than the magnitude of the baseline (i.e., $|D_0| > C_0$). Overall, index values closer to 1 indicate more resistant systems (Orwin and Wardle, 2004).

While the resistance index is indicative of the ability of a system to maintain its pre-disturbance functions and ecological state (i.e., ecosystem stability), we emphasize that it is a normalized value that does not in itself convey information about overall estuarine function. Similarly, the resistance index alone cannot infer if a shift has a positive or a negative effect on ecosystem function. The resistance index enables the comparison of the amount of change induced by disturbance across vastly different estuaries.

In parallel, it is also useful to consider the absolute value of the response variable (in this case DO) pre- and post-disturbance, which conveys information on the ambient state of an estuary and the directionality of its response to the disturbance. We therefore present C_0 and P_0 (Fig. 2a–e, Table S4, Fig. S4) to define differences in DO within and across estuaries. We also present the resistance index, which pairs C_0 and P_0 values for the same event (Fig. 2f–j), to understand the ecosystem stability within and across estuaries after precipitation.

Because DO is critical to myriad functions that regulate aquatic ecosystems (Abdul-Aziz et al., 2007; Abdul-Aziz & Gebreslase, 2023; Caffrey, 2004; Mulholland et al., 2001; Murrell et al., 2018; Odum, 1956), and because bi-directional flow makes estuarine metabolism virtually impossible to model (Loken et al., 2021), we calculated the resistance index using temperature- and salinity-adjusted DO (mg L^{-1}). Additionally, the resistance index is highly sensitive to the researcher-defined baseline and post-disturbance time periods that are used to calculate C_0 and D_0 . Therefore, we calculated C_0 as average DO during a manually-curated timespan preceding each precipitation event (~24 hours to 6 days, without precipitation) where DO dynamics looked unremarkable (Fig. S5, Table S3). The timespan for estimating P_0 was also manually selected in the context of each event, defined here as the maximum displacement from C_0 during and after the event (Fig. S5, Table S3). We also verified that the resistance index calculated using DO (mg L^{-1}) versus DO (% sat.) showed no notable differences (Fig. S6).

2.5 Statistical analysis.

To compare resistance, nutrient concentrations, and concentrations of DO pre- and post-precipitation within and among estuaries, we used ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey HSD or Kruskal-Wallis test, as appropriate based on the Shapiro-Wilk normality test.

To test specific physicochemical and land-use factor associations with estuarine resistance, we used linear regressions at continental and local scales independently (i.e., all estuaries combined versus within each individual estuary) and within salinity-based groups (i.e., using a threshold of average annual salinity less than or above 10 ppt calculated for wet and dry years separately). Specifically, we tested the relationships between resistance and turbidity, salinity, water temperature, water column depth, DIN, PO_4^{3-} , N:P, Chl-*a*, percent trees, percent crops, percent built area, and population density. For linear regressions that involved land-use factors, we used LULC and population density from the 10-km proximity zone (Table 1). Because of discrepancies in the temporal intervals at which measurements were collected, we conducted separate regressions involving turbidity, salinity, water temperature, water column depth (collected at 15-minute intervals) versus DIN, PO_4^{3-} , N:P, and Chl-*a* (collected at monthly intervals) predictors. The low-salinity group included all LKS and CBM locations, First Mallard and Second Mallard locations at SFB during wet and dry years, as well as Fish River, Middle Bay, Magnolia Bay, and Weeks Bay (Weeks Bay during dry year only) at WKB estuary. The high-

salinity group included all monitoring locations at GTM, China Camp and Gallinas Creek at SFB estuary for wet and dry years, and Weeks Bay at WKB during the wet year.

We used annual mean values for continental-scale, local-scale, and salinity-based groups regressions involving nutrients and Chl-*a* because monthly sampling intervals of lab-based measurements did not always correspond with selected precipitation events. This resulted in two data points per monitoring location for nutrients and Chl-*a* regressions. While not directly associated with any particular precipitation event, relationships of nutrients and Chl-*a* with resistance values analyzed on an annual basis carry valuable information about how the ambient conditions of the system can impact an estuary's response to precipitation. This knowledge is essential for deriving and testing hypotheses that describe why a certain estuary may respond to a storm event in a particular way.

For regressions involving sensor-based measurements, we attempted to provide as much resolution as possible into specific events. We therefore used values averaged over the event-specific baseline periods (Table S3) for sensor measurements across scales and salinity-based groupings. Values averaged over event-specific baseline periods were matched with corresponding event-specific resistance index resulting in one data point per precipitation event per each monitoring location. We did not include LULC and population density as predictors of resistance at individual estuaries because of the close proximity of some monitoring locations to one another (< 800 m).

To ensure the robustness of linear regression analysis, we assessed the dataset for multicollinearity (Fig. S7) and conducted principal component analysis (PCA) with Varimax rotation on loadings (Fig. S8). For PCA, we used sensor-based, 15-minute interval measurements (temperature, salinity, turbidity, water column depth) and omitted the nutrient data collected at monthly intervals, to keep most data points in our analysis while remaining consistent with continental-scale linear regressions. Most variables were largely uncorrelated and the PCA results agreed with continental-scale linear regressions.

Statistical analyses and visualizations were performed in Python 3.10.11 using scipy, statsmodels, seaborn, scikit-learn, and matplotlib libraries.

3. Results

3.1 Land use/land cover and nutrient concentrations across estuaries.


The five study estuaries spanned strong gradients in LULC and nutrient concentrations. Both embayments of the SFB had higher percentages of urban-type land (e.g., built area) and population density than any other estuary, followed by CBM (Table 1). Agricultural land was more prevalent at WKB (30.36%) compared to other estuaries. GTM and LKS had more mixed LULC, with high proportions of tree cover. SFB and CBM had high DIN concentrations compared to LKS and GTM ($p < 0.01$). Mean DIN values at all SFB and CBM monitoring locations were $> 0.50 \text{ mg-N L}^{-1}$ versus $< 0.16 \text{ mg-N L}^{-1}$ at LKS and GTM (Fig. S9). Phosphate concentrations were the highest at SFB (mean across all monitoring locations = $0.135 \text{ mg-P L}^{-1}$, $\text{SD} = 0.08$; means at all other estuaries $< 0.03 \text{ mg-P L}^{-1}$, $p < 0.001$, Fig. S9). Overall, mean N:P across LKS, CBM, GTM, WKB, and SFB estuaries were 28.04 ($\text{SD} = 28.08$), 26.56 ($\text{SD} = 10.75$), 2.84 ($\text{SD} = 0.91$), 83.82 ($\text{SD} = 55.27$), and 5.10 ($\text{SD} = 1.4$), respectively (Fig. S10), with GTM and SFB indicating N limiting conditions based on a mass-based 7.2N:1P Redfield ratio (Redfield, 1934).

3.2 Changes in dissolved oxygen and resistance to precipitation across estuaries and monitoring locations.

Dissolved oxygen concentrations pre- and post-precipitation differed across all estuaries, when evaluating the overall directionality (pre- (C_0): $F = 45.6$, $p < 0.0001$; and post- (P_0): $F = 17.1$, $p < 0.0001$, Fig. 2a–e). Across all precipitation events (i.e., non-specific to an event), SFB had the highest pre-precipitation DO concentration of all estuaries. Generally, at SFB and CBM (more urban) DO declined following precipitation ($p < 0.01$). At LKS, precipitation events significantly increased DO concentration ($F = 5.1$, $p = 0.03$). There was no significant difference between the overall pre- and post-precipitation DO concentrations at GTM and at WKB (more agricultural) (GTM: $F = 0.5$, $p = 0.48$; WKB: $F = 1.23$, $p = 0.27$).

Resistance also differed across estuaries ($F = 26.3$, $\text{df}_1 = 4$, $\text{df}_2 = 172$, $p < 0.0001$, Fig. 2). SFB monitoring locations had the highest mean resistance (mean = 0.66), while monitoring locations at WKB were the least resistant (mean = 0.23). Within individual estuaries, resistance varied across monitoring locations at LKS ($F = 13.9$, $\text{df}_1 = 3$, $\text{df}_2 = 24$, $p < 0.0001$), CBM ($F = 7.9$, $\text{df}_1 = 2$, $\text{df}_2 = 27$, $p < 0.01$), and SFB ($F = 10.2$, $\text{df}_1 = 3$, $\text{df}_2 = 27$, $p < 0.001$) but was not significantly different between monitoring locations within GTM ($F = 3.5$, $\text{df} = 3$, $p = 0.32$) and WKB ($F = 0.5$, $\text{df} = 3$, $p = 0.65$) (Fig. 2f–j). Resistance was most variable across monitoring locations at LKS (−0.26 to 0.89) and least variable across locations at SFB (0.44 to 0.86).

338



339

340 **Figure 2:** Variation in pre- and post-disturbance distribution of dissolved oxygen and resistance
 341 within individual estuaries. Boxes show the quartiles of the dataset and the whiskers show the rest
 342 of the distribution. Means are shown in white circles, and medians are shown in black solid lines.
 343 a-e) Distribution of dissolved oxygen concentrations prior to (C_0 , yellow boxes) and post (P_0 , pink
 344 boxes) precipitation. f-j) Resistance index across monitoring locations at: Lake Superior (LKS)
 345 NERR (all $n = 7$), Chesapeake Bay, Maryland (CBM– Jug Bay) NERR (all $n = 10$), Guana
 346 Tolomato-Matanzas (GTM) NERR (all $n = 7$), Weeks Bay (WKB) NERR (all $n = 15$), San
 347 Francisco Bay (SFB) NERR ($n = 8$ except Second Mallard (SM) $n = 7$).

348

349 3.3 Continental, salinity-based, and local relationships between resistance and 350 physicochemical factors, land use/land cover, and population density.

351 When data from the five estuaries were considered together (i.e., continental-scale), we
 352 found generally weak but significant positive relationships between resistance and water column
 353 depth ($p < 0.0001$; $R^2 = 0.11$), turbidity ($p = 0.0014$; $R^2 = 0.06$), $\log(\text{DIN})$ ($p = 0.037$; $R^2 = 0.12$),
 354 percent built area ($p = 0.01$; $R^2 = 0.16$), and population density ($p < 0.001$; $R^2 = 0.29$), as well as
 355 significant negative relationships with water temperature ($p < 0.0001$; $R^2 = 0.42$) and Chl-*a* ($p <$
 356 0.0001 ; $R^2 = 0.43$) (Fig. 3, Table S5).

357 When grouped by salinity, estuarine resistance was more strongly related to
 358 physicochemical and land-use factors (Fig. 3, Table S5). Within ‘low-salinity’ estuaries, resistance

was positively related to depth of the water column ($p < 0.0001$; $R^2 = 0.20$), which is consistent with continental-scale results; and negatively related to salinity and percent cropland ($p < 0.0001$, $R^2 = 0.23$, and $p = 0.031$, $R^2 = 0.19$, respectively), relationships not found on continental-scale. Within ‘high-salinity’ estuaries, mean resistance showed positive relationships with annual mean log(DIN) ($p = 0.004$; $R^2 = 0.54$) and percent built area ($p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.73$), consistent with continental-scale results. Also, resistance in high-salinity estuaries was positively related to turbidity ($p < 0.0001$, $R^2 = 0.28$). Observations present in ‘high-salinity’ estuaries but absent from continental-scale evaluations included negative relationship between mean resistance and tree cover ($p = 0.012$; $R^2 = 0.45$), as well as negative relationship with N:P ($p < 0.0001$; $R^2 = 0.80$). Additionally, mean resistance in both low- and high-salinity groups was positively related to population density ($p = 0.033$; $R^2 = 0.18$, and $p < 0.001$; $R^2 = 0.73$, respectively), and negatively related to water temperature ($p < 0.0001$; $R^2 = 0.36$, and $p < 0.0001$; $R^2 = 0.580$, respectively) and Chl-*a* ($p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.49$, and $p = 0.042$; $R^2 = 0.32$, respectively). The temperature and Chl-*a* relationships were consistent with continental-scale results. Generally, the ‘low-salinity’ estuaries had fewer significant relationships between resistance and physicochemical factors, LULC, and population density compared to ‘high-salinity’ estuaries. The strength of the relationships between resistance, physicochemical and LULC factors generally increased with estuarine salinity. In the ‘high-salinity’ group, the coefficient of determination (R^2) was above 0.5 in five of eight significant relationships, whereas all significant relationships identified in the ‘low-salinity’ group and in the continental-scale analysis had R^2 values below 0.5.




Figure 3. Continental-scale and salinity-based relationships of resistance with physicochemical factors, land use/land cover, and population density. Continental-scale regressions considered all monitoring locations across all estuaries. Significant relationships ($p < 0.05$) are shown in dotted green, solid red, and solid blue lines for continental-scale, high-salinity and low-salinity estuaries, respectively. Standard errors of the mean are shown in vertical and horizontal lines. The LULC parameters and population density were used from within the 10-km proximity zone adjoined to the monitoring locations. Please refer to Table S5 for resulting statistics and to Fig. S7 for information on covariance among predictor variables.

At local scales (i.e., within each estuary), resistance was related to some physicochemical factors not observed in continental-scale or salinity-based groups. The number, strength, and

direction of relationships between local-scale resistance and physicochemical factors varied substantially across estuaries (Fig. 4, Fig. S11, Fig. S12). GTM had the highest number of significant relationships between resistance and physicochemical factors. Resistance was negatively related to water temperature ($p < 0.001$; $R^2 = 0.52$), PO_4^{3-} ($p = 0.013$; $R^2 = 0.67$) and Chl-*a* concentrations ($p = 0.009$; $R^2 = 0.71$), as well as positively related to salinity and N:P ($p = 0.02$, $R^2 = 0.19$ and $p = 0.009$, $R^2 = 0.71$, respectively). In contrast, at CBM, resistance was related only to water column depth (positive, $p = 0.013$; $R^2 = 0.21$). At LKS, resistance was positively related to water column depth ($p < 0.0001$; $R^2 = 0.50$), and negatively related to turbidity ($p = 0.004$; $R^2 = 0.28$). At WKB, relationships between resistance and water temperature, salinity, turbidity, and Chl-*a* concentrations were all negative ($p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.41$; $p = 0.047$, $R^2 = 0.07$; $p = 0.002$, $R^2 = 0.16$; and $p = 0.03$, $R^2 = 0.58$, respectively). At SFB, resistance was negatively related to water column depth ($p < 0.0001$; $R^2 = 0.48$), and positively related to salinity ($p = 0.00016$; $R^2 = 0.42$). There was no overarching relationship between resistance and total precipitation amount of each event, except for significant but weak negative relationships at GTM and WKB estuaries (at GTM: $p = 0.026$, $R^2 = 0.18$; at WKB: $p = 0.002$, $R^2 = 0.15$; Fig. S13).




Figure 4. Relationships between resistance and physicochemical factors for each estuary. National Estuarine Reserve System (NERR) estuary abbreviations: Lake Superior (LKS) NERR, Chesapeake Bay, Maryland (CBM– Jug Bay) NERR, Guana Tolomato Matanzas (GTM) NERR, Weeks Bay (WKB) NERR, and San Francisco Bay (SFB) NERR. Significant correlations ($p < 0.05$) are shown with black lines. Standard errors of the mean are shown in vertical and horizontal black lines for relationships using annual means for chlorophyll-*a* (Chl-*a*), PO_4^{3-} , and N:P. For additional results see Fig. S11, Fig. S12, and Fig. S14.

In summary, some relationships between estuarine resistance and physicochemical factors and urban land use appeared to be more generalizable while others varied across scales (Fig. 5). For instance, resistance was related to water temperature, water column depth, turbidity, and Chl-*a* across continental- and local-scales, and within salinity-based estuary groups. Dissolved inorganic N, percent built area, and population density were all related to resistance at the continental scale and in salinity-based groups. Both local and salinity-based evaluations revealed that N:P, salinity, and turbidity were related to resistance. Unique relationships included PO_4^{3-} at the local scale (GTM only), tree cover in ‘high-salinity’ and percent cropland in ‘low-salinity’ estuaries. The strength of the relationships varied among groups, with higher coefficients of determination present in ‘high-salinity’ estuarine group (i.e., most $R^2 > 0.5$, Fig. 3, Table S5).




Figure 5. Cross-scale significant relationships between estuarine resistance and physicochemical and land-use factors. a) Venn diagram of resistance relationships with physicochemical and land-

use factors in high- vs. low-salinity estuaries. Positive or negative relationships are indicated with ‘+’ and ‘–’, respectively. Italicized text identifies relationships with coefficient of determination (R^2) < 0.5 across both high- and low-salinity groups. Factors in the overlap zone with regular text have contrasting R^2 across groups. b) Venn diagram of relationships between resistance and physicochemical and land-use factors in continental, local, and salinity-based groups. Estuarine resistance with land use/land cover and population density marked with asterisks (*) were not evaluated at local-scale due to overlap in the spatial domains of some monitoring locations. Italicized text identifies continental-scale relationships with R^2 < 0.5.

4. Discussion

Discerning estuarine response patterns following precipitation is important for predicting future impacts of urbanization and changing global weather patterns on estuarine ecosystems. Previous studies have shown that patterns identified at large scales may not be applicable across different ecoregions, geologies, and/or other ecosystem factors (Baker et al., 2004; Gannon et al., 2022; Hopkins et al., 2015; Kaushal et al., 2018; Ombadi and Varadharajan, 2022; Poff et al., 2006). Our results underscore the importance of cross-scale evaluations that can elucidate commonalities in estuarine response to precipitation, as well as variability in the factors associated with resistance across individual estuaries.

We show that while relationships between estuarine resistance, urbanization, and DIN may exist at the continental-scale, they do not consistently apply within individual estuaries. This is because an estuary’s resistance depends on myriad specific factors in addition to many factors identified at larger scales. In contrast to our overarching hypothesis, we found that urbanized estuaries tend to have higher resistance than pristine estuaries. These results suggest that the effects of watershed urbanization may impact estuarine stability by either providing a mechanism that allows estuaries responding to major precipitation events to dampen large shifts in DO; or by disturbing the baseline DO to an extent where even a major precipitation event would not produce a significant shift in DO, making the system appear highly resistant.

4.1 Dissolved oxygen dynamics differ between estuaries influenced by urban or agricultural land use and those with minimal urbanization.

There were vast differences in geometry, circulation, and hydrologic conditions among urbanized estuaries versus estuaries surrounded by agricultural land in this study. Yet, despite these differences, several estuaries exhibited similar DO responses to precipitation. Precipitation generally reduced DO concentrations at SFB and CBM (Fig. 2a–e), whereas LKS, the estuary with the lowest proportion of urbanized land cover, experienced an overall increase in DO concentration following precipitation.

While a wide range of physical factors can impact DO in estuaries including channel geometry, river discharge, circulation, wind patterns, residence time, and upstream reservoirs (Kemp and Boynton, 1980; Raimonet and Cloern, 2017; Raymond et al., 2012; Raymond and Cole, 2001; Scully, 2010; Zheng et al., 2024), urban estuaries in particular are often impacted by a combination of these processes. Urban estuaries often serve as basins for wastewater treatment outflows, which can supply continued freshwater discharge and nutrients during baseflow. Both urban and agriculturally influenced estuaries are prone to increased nutrient loading during and shortly after precipitation events (Chapin et al., 2004; Costanzo et al., 2003; Mallin et al., 2009), which impacts primary production and microbial metabolism and may lead to declines in DO concentrations (e.g., algal blooms). Similarly, power-generating dams and other large reservoirs often present in urban estuaries can strongly influence DO dynamics through their regulation of flow conditions, salinity, temperature, microbial processes, and solute residence time (Abbott et al., 2022; Ferencz et al., 2021b, a).

Therefore, closely examining the directionality of the change in DO response to precipitation is important for informing the environmental significance of storm events for a specific estuary. An increase in DO following precipitation could reduce hypoxia through water column reaeration (Bianucci et al., 2018; Bohórquez-Bedoya et al., 2024), and have positive effects on nutrient cycling and growth conditions for macro- and micro- fauna (Harris et al., 2015). A decrease in DO following precipitation may reflect the opposite effects (e.g., hypoxia), leading to changes in microbial community structure, disruptions in nutrient cycles, and fish die-off (Diaz et al., 1992; Llansó, 1992). Further, the extent to which ecosystem function is linked to DO changes is intertwined with its ambient ecological state and the magnitude of the DO change. An increase in DO following a storm may be less consequential for estuaries with DO-replete ambient conditions as compared to estuaries with chronically depleted DO. This implies that a comprehensive assessment of storm impacts on estuarine ecosystem stability and function should

consider resistance index alongside historical DO conditions and the magnitude and directionality of DO change.

For example, resistance index values for the more pristine and tree-cover dominated Pokegama Bay at LKS was comparable to most monitoring locations at WKB, which were associated with agriculture. However, Pokegama Bay and WKB experienced different patterns in DO change following precipitation (Fig. 2). While the full nature of the causes and impacts of DO change in each estuary is beyond the scope of this study, the differences in absolute DO change suggests that different underlying processes influence stability in estuarine dynamics in response to precipitation across different estuaries. Our goal is to identify common predictors of estuarine resistance at the continental scale despite substantial variability in processes, while also identifying salinity-dependent and estuary-specific predictors that help elucidate dynamics that may be particularly influential in individual systems.

4.2 Urbanization and inorganic nitrogen correspond with elevated resistance to precipitation at the continental-scale.

Higher resistance to precipitation in the most urbanized estuaries and overarching relationships between urban LULC and resistance across all estuaries reflect that estuaries within urban watersheds typically experience relatively small shifts in DO following precipitation (Fig. 2, Fig. 3). These results contradict our hypothesis that urban estuaries should show low resistance to precipitation because of greater physical and chemical disturbances like flashiness, streambed scouring, N loading, and turbidity that impact DO dynamics (Bernhardt et al., 2008; Groffman et al., 2004; Hession et al., 2003; Hopkinson & Vallino, 1995; Walsh et al., 2005).

It is possible that watershed urbanization could equip estuaries with adaptations that help dampen precipitation impacts on shifts in DO. For instance, an increase in flashiness in waterways would increase flow velocity and water column reaeration (Raymond et al., 2012; Raymond and Cole, 2001) and contribute to phytoplankton removal via transport or turbidity-driven light attenuation (Caffrey, 2004; Pennock and Sharp, 1986). This impact may be particularly important for estuaries whose baseline DO conditions are influenced by algal blooms, which induce large diel DO fluctuations by overproducing DO during the day and severely depleting it at night (Chapin et al., 2004; Ni et al., 2020). Managing phytoplankton overgrowth could help maintain DO closer to baseline conditions. Supporting this explanation, turbidity-driven limitations on

phytoplankton were previously reported for the SFB estuary (Cloern, 1987). We also found that turbidity was positively related to built area, Chl-*a* was negatively related to population density, and PO_4^{3-} concentration was negatively related to tree-cover (Fig. S7, Fig. S15). Tree-populated riparian zones, often reduced or absent in urban environments, can help regulate phytoplankton overgrowth and DO dynamics by significantly reducing PO_4^{3-} concentrations (Keller and Fox, 2019).

Relatively high levels of ambient inorganic N concentrations and lower baseline DO in urban estuaries may also contribute to smaller DO responses to precipitation. Here, estuarine inorganic N concentrations were positively associated with urbanization and were significantly, but weakly, related to resistance at the continental scale (Fig. 3, Fig. S15). While moderate levels of N support biological metabolisms (Howarth, 1988; Howarth and Marino, 2006; Vitousek and Howarth, 1991; Zhang et al., 2021), nutrient loading, including N, is a significant problem for urban aquatic environments (Beman et al., 2005; Bernot et al., 2010; Bettez et al., 2015; Black et al., 2011; Hopkinson and Vallino, 1995; Mulholland et al., 2008; Reisinger et al., 2016). For urban estuaries, where N loading is associated with chronically low DO availability, this could mean that precipitation-driven increases in DO under simultaneous high N input would be small, which would result in higher resistance. Nitrogen limitation, in contrast, is prevalent in coastal marine systems and can negatively impact overall estuarine function by constraining biological activity (Elser et al., 2007; Guildford and Hecky, 2000; Paerl, 2018; Paerl and Piehler, 2008). Relationships of resistance with N and urbanization were particularly evident in more coastal (high-salinity) estuaries where we found positive relationships between resistance, N:P, and built area (Fig. 3). Thus, altered N dynamics in urban estuaries may be key to understanding their comparatively low change in response to precipitation.

While the mechanisms behind positive relationship between resistance and N are not clear, changes in concentrations of NH_4^+ were previously suggested to regulate algal blooms in the San Francisco Bay estuary (Dugdale et al., 2007; Parker et al., 2012). Elevated NH_4^+ concentrations resulting from changes in water-treatment practices in San Francisco were shown to inhibit NO_3^- uptake and suppress algal blooms, thereby altering a major control on DO dynamics in SFB. Therefore, the prevalent N species and shifts in DIN composition following precipitation events should be considered in attempts to explain the underlying mechanisms behind the positive relationship between DIN and resistance.

Alternatively, we also consider if baseline DO concentrations in urban estuaries are already disturbed to such an extent that precipitation events cause minimal further disruption. Urbanization itself can promote large diel DO fluctuations, as suggested by Gold et al.(2020), and if a precipitation event induces fluctuations that are similar in magnitude, the post-precipitation DO concentrations will not deviate significantly from baseline conditions. Under such a scenario, urban estuaries will appear more resistant to precipitation events compared to more pristine systems.

4.3 Water column depth, temperature, turbidity, and Chl-*a* are related to resistance across all scales.

We found generalizable patterns (across all scales), in which resistance was positively but weakly related to water column depth and turbidity, and negatively related to water temperature and Chl-*a* (Figs. 3–5). As discussed above, phytoplankton dynamics may be an important factor in regulating DO in response to disturbance due to their tight linkage with N loading, which is consistent with results previously reported by others (Thayne et al., 2023). This is further underscored by the existence of a relationship between Chl-*a* and resistance across continental, salinity-based, and local analyses. In parallel, the relationship between resistance and turbidity was positive at the continental scale and in the high-salinity group (Fig. 3), while at LKS resistance and turbidity correlated negatively (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). The positive relationship between resistance and turbidity and simultaneous negative relationship with Chl-*a* can be attributed to turbidity-driven light attenuating conditions that restrict phytoplankton growth, which is further confirmed through negative relationship between turbidity and Chl-*a* (Fig. S7).

Water column depth can also influence estuarine resistance to precipitation through its effects on DO dynamics. Through dilution, deeper estuaries may show a more buffered response to short-term hydrologic changes following precipitation by attenuating changes associated with freshwater inflow, nutrient loading, turbulence, and gas exchange. Similarly, deeper estuaries generally have longer equilibration time with environmental conditions and less diel variability in parameters like temperature (Caissie, 2006; Macan, 1958). These characteristics can contribute to a more stable baseline DO conditions and more moderate responses to precipitation. However, greater depth can also enhance vertical stratification and reduce oxygen replenishment to bottom waters, potentially increasing susceptibility to hypoxia (Cloern, 2001; Cloern and Jassby, 2010).

Deeper estuarine channels are frequently associated with urbanization, which exhibited high resistance in this study, because channel incision, dredging, and altered sediment transport are more common in urban and agricultural watersheds (O'Driscoll et al., 2010; Simon and Rinaldi, 2006; Walsh et al., 2005). Accordingly, water column depth appears to be an important factor influencing estuarine resistance to precipitation, although its effects on DO dynamics depend on interactions with stratification, hydrodynamics, and watershed characteristics.

Lastly, because temperature controls various chemical and biological processes that impact DO availability (e.g., microbial growth, oxygen solubility), many studies have focused on the impact of rising temperature on DO dynamics in estuaries (Apple et al., 2006; Caffrey, 2003; Caffrey et al., 2014). Highlighted results link changes in weather patterns to thermal pollution of aquatic systems following rain events (Zahn et al., 2021) and link elevated global temperatures to decreased primary production (Song et al., 2018). As such, estuaries with elevated ambient temperatures may have a decreased capacity to resist disturbances to DO dynamics relative to estuaries with more moderate temperatures.

4.4 High variability in factors associated with resistance at local scales.

The substantial differences in physicochemical factors linked to resistance across individual estuaries highlight the need to consider both generalized and local estuarine patterns when predicting and managing the effects of major precipitation events and watershed urbanization on water quality (Fig. 3–5). Although several factors were significantly associated with resistance, these relationships explained relatively little of the observed variance and may have been influenced by non-independence among reported observations from the same monitoring sites and estuaries. Individual estuaries may require consideration of factors beyond water temperature, water column depth, turbidity, and Chl-*a* – identified here as generalizable at the continental scale – and be mindful of differences in the directionality of relationships between physicochemical factors and resistance among estuaries. For example, we found that resistance at CBM is related to one factor (water column depth), while at GTM, resistance is related to five factors (salinity, water temperature, Chl-*a*, PO_4^{3-} , and N:P), from which three factors were not identified at the continental scale. Similarly, although resistance and salinity are positively related at GTM and SFB, at WKB this relationship is negative. Likewise, while the relationship between

resistance and water column depth is negative at SFB, at CBM and LKS this relationship is positive.

Variabilities in responses to stressors, at both the system and individual factor levels, is common. Understanding how individual physicochemical factors respond to precipitation broadly and within each system provides insight into the observed relationships between those factors and resistance. For example, Chl-*a* and dissolved inorganic phosphorus have been shown to respond more strongly to storms in some estuaries than in others, due to differences in light availability, grazing, and nutrient concentrations (Chen et al., 2015; Cloern, 2001; Cloern and Jassby, 2010; Dix et al., 2013; Liao et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022). Likewise, water temperature and salinity also have variable responses to precipitation (Buelo et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2015; Dix et al., 2008), leading to differences in biological processes and phytoplankton activity across estuaries (Apple et al., 2008). System dependencies on groundwater discharge – as a driver of nutrient inputs and a regulator of DO dynamics (Brookfield et al., 2021; Kornelsen and Coulibaly, 2014) – or on microbial community structure (Cheng et al., 2021) should also be appraised when evaluating factors associated with urban estuaries' resistance to precipitation.

4.5. Conceptual model for resistance to precipitation based on estuary characteristics.

Through observed associations between resistance and physicochemical and land-use factors in this dataset, we propose the following conceptual model for expected resistance based on estuarine characteristics (Fig. 6, Table S1). This conceptual model is intended as a hypothesis-generating framework for future work rather than a deterministic classification of estuarine behavior. We suggest that the highest resistance may occur in tidal/ marine-dominated or urban-influenced estuaries that are well-mixed and have relatively short residence time, similar to SFB. In such estuaries, the disturbances to DO dynamics driven by precipitation events, riverine freshwater inputs and/or terrestrial-aquatic exchange across mixed land uses (e.g., changes in salinity, turbidity, and/or nutrients), are mediated by tidal mixing. In contrast, the lowest resistance index could be expected in enclosed, shallow, poorly mixed (i.e., stratified) estuaries with long residence time, strong influence from river-systems, and/or surrounded by agricultural LULC, similar to WKB, Pellicer Creek (GTM), or Pokegama Bay (LKS). In such estuaries, the abrupt disruptions to ambient salinity, turbidity, and/or nutrient concentrations driven by precipitation

events are expected to be associated with longer-lasting changes and larger fluctuations in DO, which in turn would result in low resistance.

Because estuaries are characterized by a continuum of interacting variables, we expect that many estuaries will show moderate resistance that varies with precipitation events of different sizes. Based on the associations revealed in this dataset, medium-high resistance may occur in well-mixed estuarine systems with engineered flow, relatively short residence time, and periodic nutrient loading conditions (e.g., CBM, remaining LKS and GTM sites). Conversely, medium-low resistance may be more common in systems with partial stratification, periodic nutrient loading and moderate residence time. However, these patterns are context-dependent and likely influenced by additional interacting factors not explicitly resolved here.

High Resistance • Tidal-dominated • Well-mixed • High salinity • Short residence time • Varying depth • Varying urbanization • Moderate nutrient loading	Medium-high Resistance • Engineered flow • Well-mixed • Low salinity • Short residence time • Deep • Urbanized • Periodic nutrient loading
Medium-low Resistance • River-dominated • Partly-mixed • Low salinity • Moderate residence time • Deep • Varying urbanization • Periodic nutrient loading	Low Resistance • Enclosed / Lagoon • Poorly-mixed • Abrupt change in salinity • Long residence time • Shallow • Agricultural • High nutrient loading

Fig. 6. Conceptual model for expected estuarine resistance to precipitation events based on estuarine characteristics.

Although numerous estuary-specific interactions can produce different responses to stressors, our results provide a framework for understanding generalizable responses to

precipitation based on measurable characteristics, while also highlighting the importance of system variability in determining estuarine resistance. We recognize that additional scales of investigation (e.g., regional) and other ecological, hydrological and environmental factors could further refine predictions of estuarine resistance. Incorporating these elements in future studies could strengthen our ability to anticipate estuarine responses to disturbances.

5. Conclusions.

In light of increasing urbanization and changing rainfall patterns, cross-scale evaluations of estuarine responses to precipitation events are imperative for developing effective management strategies. We observed that urban estuaries tended to show higher resistance to changes in DO in response to precipitation than more pristine estuaries; although the relationships varied across systems and explanatory power was generally limited. Cross-scale analyses identified that water column depth, water temperature, turbidity, and Chl-*a* variables broadly associate with estuarine resistance. However, across different scales, we found that system variability results in additional factors that are important to consider when managing the responses to major precipitation events of individual estuaries. Based on our results, we suggest that investigations targeting N, nutrient delivery mechanisms, and microbial activity could help understand what makes urban estuaries more resistant to large shifts in DO following precipitation. We also highlight that high-resolution water quality and nutrient data surrounding precipitation events, along with careful considerations of local variabilities and models for system responses to precipitation, are needed to help elucidate the underlying mechanisms for high resistance of urban estuaries. This study serves as a platform for improvement of guidelines and predictive capabilities addressing system response to future weather and urbanization scenarios.

Data availability: This study used publicly available datasets, which included: 1) Long-term estuarine water quality, nutrients and meteorological conditions, and watershed boundaries for Lake Superior (LKS) NERR, Chesapeake Bay, Maryland (CBM) NERR, Guana Tolomato Matanzas (GTM) NERR, Weeks Bay (WKB) NERR, and San Francisco Bay (SFB) NERR stations from <https://cdmo.baruch.sc.edu>; 2) Long-term precipitation data from U.S. airports from <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/cdo-web/datasets>; 3) Land use/land cover maps from

<https://livingatlas.arcgis.com/landcover/>; 4) U.S. population data from <https://www.worldpop.org/>. For data and processing code see Tureţcaia et al. (2024).

Author Contribution: E.B.G. and A.B.T. developed the study and interpreted the results. A.B.T. performed data analysis and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to conceptualization and manuscript editing.

Competing interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Acknowledgements:

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research program Early Career award to EBG. The work was performed by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, operated by Battelle Memorial Institute for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC05-76RL01830. We thank the National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS), supported by awards from the Office for Coastal Management, National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and Kyle Derby and Dr. Scott Phipps from Chesapeake Bay, Maryland NERR and Weeks Bay NERR, respectively, for maintaining water quality monitoring locations and providing publicly available data upon which this publication is based. We also thank Drs. Alexander J. Reisinger and Matthew H. Kaufman for his insight into metabolism models for aquatic environments.

References

Abbott, K. M., Zaidel, P. A., Roy, A. H., Houle, K. M., and Nislow, K. H.: Investigating impacts of small dams and dam removal on dissolved oxygen in streams, PLOS ONE, 17, e0277647, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0277647>, 2022.

Abdul-Aziz, O. I. and Gebreslase, A. K.: Emergent Scaling of Dissolved Oxygen (DO) in Freshwater Streams Across Contiguous USA, Water Resour. Res., 59, e2022WR032114, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022WR032114>, 2023.

Abdul-Aziz, O. I., Wilson, B. N., and Gulliver, J. S.: Calibration and Validation of an Empirical Dissolved Oxygen Model, J. Environ. Eng., 133, 698–710, [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9372\(2007\)133:7\(698\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2007)133:7(698)), 2007.

APHA: Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, (SM10200H), 20th

ed., United Book Press, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland, 2001.

Apple, J. K., Giorgio, P. A. del, and Kemp, W. M.: Temperature regulation of bacterial production, respiration, and growth efficiency in a temperate salt-marsh estuary, *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, 43, 243–254, <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame043243>, 2006.

Apple, J. K., Smith, E. M., and Boyd, T. J.: Temperature, Salinity, Nutrients, and the Covariation of Bacterial Production and Chlorophyll-a in Estuarine Ecosystems, *J. Coast. Res.*, 2008, 59–75, <https://doi.org/10.2112/SI55-005.1>, 2008.

Baker, D. B., Richards, R. P., Loftus, T. T., and Kramer, J. W.: A New Flashiness Index: Characteristics and Applications to Midwestern Rivers and Streams1, *JAWRA J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc.*, 40, 503–522, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2004.tb01046.x>, 2004.

Beman, M. J., Arrigo, K. R., and Matson, P. A.: Agricultural runoff fuels large phytoplankton blooms in vulnerable areas of the ocean, *Nature*, 434, 211–214, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03370>, 2005.

Bernhardt, E. S., Band, L. E., Walsh, C. J., and Berke, P. E.: Understanding, Managing, and Minimizing Urban Impacts on Surface Water Nitrogen Loading, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1134, 61–96, <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1439.014>, 2008.

Bernhardt, E. S., Heffernan, J. B., Grimm, N. B., Stanley, E. H., Harvey, J. W., Arroita, M., Appling, A. P., Cohen, M. J., McDowell, W. H., Hall Jr., R. O., Read, J. S., Roberts, B. J., Stets, E. G., and Yackulic, C. B.: The metabolic regimes of flowing waters, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 63, S99–S118, <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10726>, 2018.

Bernot, M. J., Sobota, D. J., Hall Jr, R. O., Mulholland, P. J., Dodds, W. K., Webster, J. R., Tank, J. L., Ashkenas, L. R., Cooper, L. W., Dahm, C. N., Gregory, S. V., Grimm, N. B., Hamilton, S. K., Johnson, S. L., McDowell, W. H., Meyer, J. L., Peterson, B., Poole, G. C., Valett, H. M., Arango, C., Beaulieu, J. J., Burgin, A. J., Crenshaw, C., Helton, A. M., Johnson, L., Merriam, J., Niederlehner, B. R., O'brien, J. M., Potter, J. D., Sheibley, R. W., Thomas, S. M., and Wilson, K.: Inter-regional comparison of land-use effects on stream metabolism, *Freshw. Biol.*, 55, 1874–1890, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2010.02422.x>, 2010.

Bettez, N. D., Duncan, J. M., Groffman, P. M., Band, L. E., O'neil-dunne, J., Kaushal, S. S., Belt, K. T., and Law, N.: Climate Variation Overwhelms Efforts to Reduce Nitrogen Delivery to Coastal Waters, *Ecosystems*, 18, 1319–1331, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-015-9902-9>, 2015.

Bianchi, T. S.: *Biogeochemistry of Estuaries*, Oxford University Press, USA, 721 pp., 2007.

Bianucci, L., Balaguru, K., Smith, R. W., Leung, L. R., and Moriarty, J. M.: Contribution of hurricane-induced sediment resuspension to coastal oxygen dynamics, *Sci. Rep.*, 8, 15740, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33640-3>, 2018.

Black, R. W., Moran, P. W., and Frankforter, J. D.: Response of algal metrics to nutrients and physical factors and identification of nutrient thresholds in agricultural streams, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 175, 397–417, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-010-1539-8>, 2011.

760 Bohórquez-Bedoya, E., Rovelli, L., and Lorke, A.: Rainfall as a driver for near-surface
 761 turbulence and air-water gas exchange in freshwater aquatic systems, PLOS ONE, 19, e0299998,
 762 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0299998>, 2024.

763 Bondarenko, M., Kerr, D., Sorichetta, A., and Tatem, A.: Census/projection-disaggregated
 764 gridded population datasets for 189 countries in 2020 using Built-Settlement Growth Model
 765 (BSGM) outputs, <https://doi.org/10.5258/SOTON/WP00684>, 2020.

766 Booth, D. B. and Jackson, C. R.: Urbanization of Aquatic Systems: Degradation Thresholds,
 767 Stormwater Detection, and the Limits of Mitigation¹, JAWRA J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc., 33,
 768 1077–1090, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1997.tb04126.x>, 1997.

769 Brookfield, A. E., Hansen, A. T., Sullivan, P. L., Czuba, J. A., Kirk, M. F., Li, L., Newcomer, M.
 770 E., and Wilkinson, G.: Predicting algal blooms: Are we overlooking groundwater?, Sci. Total
 771 Environ., 769, 144442, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144442>, 2021.

772 Buelo, C. D., Besterman, A. F., Walter, J. A., Pace, M. L., Ha, D. T., and Tassone, S. J.:
 773 Quantifying Disturbance and Recovery in Estuaries: Tropical Cyclones and High-Frequency
 774 Measures of Oxygen and Salinity, Estuaries Coasts, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-023-01255-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-023-01255-1)
 775 1, 2023.

776 Caffrey, J. M.: Production, Respiration and Net Ecosystem Metabolism in U.S. Estuaries, in:
 777 Coastal Monitoring through Partnerships: Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium on the
 778 Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP) Pensacola Beach, FL, U.S.A.,
 779 April 24–27, 2001, edited by: Melzian, B. D., Engle, V., McAlister, M., Sandhu, S., and Eads, L.
 780 K., Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 207–219, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-0299-7_19,
 781 2003.

782 Caffrey, J. M.: Factors controlling net ecosystem metabolism in U.S. estuaries, Estuaries, 27, 90–
 783 101, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803563>, 2004.

784 Caffrey, J. M., Murrell, M. C., Amacker, K. S., Harper, J. W., Phipps, S., and Woodrey, M. S.:
 785 Seasonal and Inter-annual Patterns in Primary Production, Respiration, and Net Ecosystem
 786 Metabolism in Three Estuaries in the Northeast Gulf of Mexico, Estuaries Coasts, 37, 222–241,
 787 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-013-9701-5>, 2014.

788 Caissie, D.: The thermal regime of rivers: a review, Freshw. Biol., 51, 1389–1406,
 789 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01597.x>, 2006.

790 Chang, H.: Spatial and Temporal Variations of Water Quality in the Han River and Its
 791 Tributaries, Seoul, Korea, 1993–2002, Water. Air. Soil Pollut., 161, 267–284,
 792 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-005-4286-7>, 2005.

793 Chapin, T. P., Caffrey, J. M., Jannasch, H. W., Coletti, L. J., Haskins, J. C., and Johnson, K. S.:
 794 Nitrate sources and sinks in Elkhorn Slough, California: Results from long-term continuous in
 795 situ nitrate analyzers, Estuaries, 27, 882–894, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02912049>, 2004.

796 Chapra, S. C.: Surface Water-Quality Modeling, Waveland Press, 865 pp., 2008.

797 Chen, N., Wu, Y., Chen, Z., and Hong, H.: Phosphorus export during storm events from a human
 798 perturbed watershed, southeast China: Implications for coastal ecology, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*,
 799 166, 178–188, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.03.023>, 2015.

800 Cheng, Y., Bhoot, V. N., Kumbier, K., Sison-Mangus, M. P., Brown, J. B., Kudela, R., and
 801 Newcomer, M. E.: A novel random forest approach to revealing interactions and controls on
 802 chlorophyll concentration and bacterial communities during coastal phytoplankton blooms, *Sci.*
 803 *Rep.*, 11, 19944, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-98110-9>, 2021.

804 Cloern, J. E.: Turbidity as a control on phytoplankton biomass and productivity in estuaries,
 805 *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 7, 1367–1381, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4343\(87\)90042-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4343(87)90042-2), 1987.

806 Cloern, J. E.: Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem, *Mar. Ecol.*
 807 *Prog. Ser.*, 210, 223–253, <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps210223>, 2001.

808 Cloern, J. E. and Jassby, A. D.: Patterns and Scales of Phytoplankton Variability in Estuarine–
 809 Coastal Ecosystems, *Estuaries Coasts*, 33, 230–241, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9195-3>,
 810 2010.

811 Costanzo, S. D., O’Donohue, M. J., and Dennison, W. C.: Assessing the seasonal influence of
 812 sewage and agricultural nutrient inputs in a subtropical river estuary, *Estuaries*, 26, 857–865,
 813 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02803344>, 2003.

814 Cox, B.: A review of currently available in-stream water-quality models and their applicability
 815 for simulating dissolved oxygen in lowland rivers, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 314–316, 335–377,
 816 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(03\)00063-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(03)00063-9), 2003.

817 Diaz, R. J., Neubauer, R. J., Schaffner, L. C., Pihl, L., and Baden, S. P.: Continuous monitoring
 818 of dissolved oxygen in an estuary experiencing periodic hypoxia and the effect of hypoxia on
 819 macrobenthos and fish, in: *Marine Coastal Eutrophication*, edited by: Vollenweider, R. A.,
 820 Marchetti, R., and Viviani, R., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1055–1068, [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-89990-3.50091-2)
 821 [0-444-89990-3.50091-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-89990-3.50091-2), 1992.

822 Dix, N., Philips, E., and Suscy, P.: Factors Controlling Phytoplankton Biomass in a Subtropical
 823 Coastal Lagoon: Relative Scales of Influence, *Estuaries Coasts*, 36, 981–996,
 824 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-013-9613-4>, 2013.

825 Dix, N., Nicklay, H., Cianci-Gaskill, J., Dunnigan Kimmel, S., Tanner, J. S., Cressman, K.,
 826 McMurray, S. E., Rii, Y. M., Jeppesen, R., Guy, R., and Smith, E.: Refining techniques for high-
 827 frequency monitoring of chlorophyll a fluorescence in estuarine systems, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*
 828 *Methods*, n/a, e70065, <https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.70065>, 2026.

829 Dix, N. G., Philips, E. J., and Gleeson, R. A.: Water Quality Changes in the Guana Tolomato
 830 Matanzas National Estuarine Research Reserve, Florida, Associated with Four Tropical Storms,
 831 *J. Coast. Res.*, 2008, 26–37, <https://doi.org/10.2112/SI55-008.1>, 2008.

832 Dugdale, R. C., Wilkerson, F. P., Hogue, V. E., and Marchi, A.: The role of ammonium and
 833 nitrate in spring bloom development in San Francisco Bay, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 73, 17–29,

834 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2006.12.008>, 2007.

835 Elser, J. J., Bracken, M. E. S., Cleland, E. E., Gruner, D. S., Harpole, W. S., Hillebrand, H.,
836 Ngai, J. T., Seabloom, E. W., Shurin, J. B., and Smith, J. E.: Global analysis of nitrogen and
837 phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems,
838 *Ecol. Lett.*, 10, 1135–1142, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01113.x>, 2007.

839 Ferencz, S. B., Cardenas, M. B., and Neilson, B. T.: Aerobic respiration in riparian exchange
840 zones of regulated river corridors, *Hydrol. Process.*, 35, e14386,
841 <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14386>, 2021a.

842 Ferencz, S. B., Muñoz, S., Neilson, B. T., and Cardenas, M. B.: Riverbed Temperature and Heat
843 Transport in a Hydropeaked River, *Water Resour. Res.*, 57, e2021WR029609,
844 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR029609>, 2021b.

845 Fisher, S. G., Gray, L. J., Grimm, N. B., and Busch, D. E.: Temporal Succession in a Desert
846 Stream Ecosystem Following Flash Flooding, *Ecol. Monogr.*, 52, 93–110,
847 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2937346>, 1982.

848 Freeman, L. A., Corbett, D. R., Fitzgerald, A. M., Lemley, D. A., Quigg, A., and Steppe, C. N.:
849 Impacts of Urbanization and Development on Estuarine Ecosystems and Water Quality,
850 *Estuaries Coasts*, 42, 1821–1838, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-019-00597-z>, 2019.

851 Gannon, J. P., Kelleher, C., and Zimmer, M.: Controls on watershed flashiness across the
852 continental US, *J. Hydrol.*, 609, 127713, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.127713>, 2022.

853 Gold, A., Thompson, S., Magel, C., and Piehler, M.: Urbanization alters coastal plain stream
854 carbon export and dissolved oxygen dynamics, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 747, 141132,
855 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141132>, 2020.

856 Gregory, K. J.: Wolman MG (1967) A cycle of sedimentation and erosion in urban river
857 channels. *Geografiska Annaler* 49A: 385-395., *Prog. Phys. Geogr.*, 35, 831–841,
858 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133311414527>, 2011.

859 Grimm, N. B., Faeth, S. H., Golubiewski, N. E., Redman, C. L., Wu, J., Bai, X., and Briggs, J.
860 M.: Global Change and the Ecology of Cities, *Science*, 319, 756–760, 2008.

861 Groffman, P. M., Law, N. L., Belt, K. T., Band, L. E., and Fisher, G. T.: Nitrogen Fluxes and
862 Retention in Urban Watershed Ecosystems, *Ecosystems*, 7, 393–403,
863 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-003-0039-x>, 2004.

864 Guildford, S. J. and Hecky, R. E.: Total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and nutrient limitation in
865 lakes and oceans: Is there a common relationship?, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 45, 1213–1223,
866 <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2000.45.6.1213>, 2000.

867 Harris, L. A., Hodgkins, C. L. S., Day, M. C., Austin, D., Testa, J. M., Boynton, W., Van Der
868 Tak, L., and Chen, N. W.: Optimizing recovery of eutrophic estuaries: Impact of destratification
869 and re-aeration on nutrient and dissolved oxygen dynamics, *Ecol. Eng.*, 75, 470–483,

870 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.11.028>, 2015.

871 He, Q. and Silliman, B. R.: Climate Change, Human Impacts, and Coastal Ecosystems in the
872 Anthropocene, *Curr. Biol.*, 29, R1021–R1035, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.08.042>, 2019.

873 Hession, W. C., Pizzuto, J. E., Johnson, T. E., and Horwitz, R. J.: Influence of bank vegetation
874 on channel morphology in rural and urban watersheds, *Geology*, 31, 147–150,
875 [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(2003\)031%3C0147:IOBVOC%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2003)031%3C0147:IOBVOC%3E2.0.CO;2), 2003.

876 Hopkins, K. G., Morse, N. B., Bain, D. J., Bettez, N. D., Grimm, N. B., Morse, J. L., Palta, M.
877 M., Shuster, W. D., Bratt, A. R., and Suchy, A. K.: Assessment of Regional Variation in
878 Streamflow Responses to Urbanization and the Persistence of Physiography, *Environ. Sci.*
879 *Technol.*, 49, 2724–2732, <https://doi.org/10.1021/es505389y>, 2015.

880 Hopkinson, C. S. and Vallino, J. J.: The relationships among man’s activities in watersheds and
881 estuaries: A model of runoff effects on patterns of estuarine community metabolism, *Estuaries*,
882 18, 598–621, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1352380>, 1995.

883 Howarth, R. W.: Nutrient Limitation of Net Primary Production in Marine Ecosystems, *Annu.*
884 *Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, 19, 89–110, 1988.

885 Howarth, R. W. and Marino, R.: Nitrogen as the limiting nutrient for eutrophication in coastal
886 marine ecosystems: Evolving views over three decades, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 51, 364–376,
887 https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_part_2.0364, 2006.

888 Isbell, F., Craven, D., Connolly, J., Loreau, M., Schmid, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., Bezemer, T. M.,
889 Bonin, C., Bruehlheide, H., de Luca, E., Ebeling, A., Griffin, J. N., Guo, Q., Hautier, Y., Hector,
890 A., Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., Lanta, V., Manning, P., Meyer, S. T., Mori, A. S., Naeem, S.,
891 Niklaus, P. A., Polley, H. W., Reich, P. B., Roscher, C., Seabloom, E. W., Smith, M. D., Thakur,
892 M. P., Tilman, D., Tracy, B. F., van der Putten, W. H., van Ruijven, J., Weigelt, A., Weisser, W.
893 W., Wilsey, B., and Eisenhauer, N.: Biodiversity increases the resistance of ecosystem
894 productivity to climate extremes, *Nature*, 526, 574–577, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15374>,
895 2015.

896 Kannel, P. R., Lee, S., Lee, Y.-S., Kanel, S. R., and Khan, S. P.: Application of Water Quality
897 Indices and Dissolved Oxygen as Indicators for River Water Classification and Urban Impact
898 Assessment, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 132, 93–110, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-006-9505-1>,
899 2007.

900 Karra, K., Kontgis, C., Statman-Weil, Z., Mazzariello, J. C., Mathis, M., and Brumby, S. P.:
901 Global land use / land cover with Sentinel 2 and deep learning, in: 2021 IEEE International
902 Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium IGARSS, IGARSS 2021 - 2021 IEEE International
903 Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 4704–4707,
904 <https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS47720.2021.9553499>, 2021.

905 Kaushal, S. S., Likens, G. E., Pace, M. L., Utz, R. M., Haq, S., Gorman, J., and Grese, M.:
906 Freshwater salinization syndrome on a continental scale, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 115, E574–
907 E583, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711234115>, 2018.

908 Keller, A. A. and Fox, J.: Giving credit to reforestation for water quality benefits, PLOS ONE,
909 14, e0217756, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0217756>, 2019.

910 Kemp, W. M. and Boynton, W. R.: Influence of biological and physical processes on dissolved
911 oxygen dynamics in an estuarine system: Implications for measurement of community
912 metabolism, *Estuar. Coast. Mar. Sci.*, 11, 407–431, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0302-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0302-3524(80)80065-X)
913 3524(80)80065-X, 1980.

914 Kemp, W. M., Testa, J. M., Conley, D. J., Gilbert, D., and Hagy, J. D.: Temporal responses of
915 coastal hypoxia to nutrient loading and physical controls, *Biogeosciences*, 6, 2985–3008,
916 <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-2985-2009>, 2009.

917 Kornelsen, K. C. and Coulibaly, P.: Synthesis review on groundwater discharge to surface water
918 in the Great Lakes Basin, *J. Gt. Lakes Res.*, 40, 247–256,
919 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2014.03.006>, 2014.

920 Kyzar, T., Safak, I., Cebrian, J., Clark, M. W., Dix, N., Dietz, K., Gittman, R. K., Jaeger, J.,
921 Radabaugh, K. R., Roddenberry, A., Smith, C. S., Sparks, E. L., Stone, B., Sundin, G., Taubler,
922 M., and Angelini, C.: Challenges and opportunities for sustaining coastal wetlands and oyster
923 reefs in the southeastern United States, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 296, 113178,
924 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113178>, 2021.

925 Lake, P. S.: Resistance, Resilience and Restoration, *Ecol. Manag. Restor.*, 14, 20–24,
926 <https://doi.org/10.1111/emr.12016>, 2013.

927 Leopold, L. B.: Hydrology for urban land planning - A guidebook on the hydrologic effects of
928 urban land use, Circular, U.S. Geological Survey, <https://doi.org/10.3133/cir554>, 1968.

929 Li, C., Zwiers, F., Zhang, X., Chen, G., Lu, J., Li, G., Norris, J., Tan, Y., Sun, Y., and Liu, M.:
930 Larger Increases in More Extreme Local Precipitation Events as Climate Warms, *Geophys. Res.*
931 *Lett.*, 46, 6885–6891, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082908>, 2019.

932 Liao, A., Han, D., Song, X., and Yang, S.: Impacts of storm events on chlorophyll-a variations
933 and controlling factors for algal bloom in a river receiving reclaimed water, *J. Environ. Manage.*,
934 297, 113376, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113376>, 2021.

935 Llansó, R. J.: Effects of hypoxia on estuarine benthos: the lower Rappahannock River
936 (Chesapeake Bay), a case study, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 35, 491–515,
937 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714\(05\)80027-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(05)80027-7), 1992.

938 Loken, L. C., Van Nieuwenhuysse, E. E., Dahlgren, R. A., Lenocho, L. E. K., Stumpner, P. R.,
939 Burau, J. R., and Sadro, S.: Assessment of multiple ecosystem metabolism methods in an
940 estuary, *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods*, 19, 741–757, <https://doi.org/10.1002/lom3.10458>, 2021.

941 Macan, T. T.: The temperature of a small stony stream, *Hydrobiologia*, 12, 89–106,
942 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00034143>, 1958.

943 Mallin, M. A., Johnson, V. L., and Ensign, S. H.: Comparative impacts of stormwater runoff on

944 water quality of an urban, a suburban, and a rural stream, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 159, 475–91,
 945 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-008-0644-4>, 2009.

946 Martínez, M. L., Intralawan, A., Vázquez, G., Pérez-Maqueo, O., Sutton, P., and Landgrave, R.:
 947 The coasts of our world: Ecological, economic and social importance, *Ecol. Econ.*, 63, 254–272,
 948 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2006.10.022>, 2007.

949 McCluney, K. E., Poff, N. L., Palmer, M. A., Thorp, J. H., Poole, G. C., Williams, B. S.,
 950 Williams, M. R., and Baron, J. S.: Riverine macrosystems ecology: sensitivity, resistance, and
 951 resilience of whole river basins with human alterations, *Front. Ecol. Environ.*, 12, 48–58,
 952 <https://doi.org/10.1890/120367>, 2014.

953 McSweeney, J. M., Chant, R. J., Wilkin, J. L., and Sommerfield, C. K.: Suspended-Sediment
 954 Impacts on Light-Limited Productivity in the Delaware Estuary, *Estuaries Coasts*, 40, 977–993,
 955 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-016-0200-3>, 2017.

956 Mulholland, P. J., Fellows, C. S., Tank, J. L., Grimm, N. B., Webster, J. R., Hamilton, S. K.,
 957 Martí, E., Ashkenas, L., Bowden, W. B., Dodds, W. K., McDowell, W. H., Paul, M. J., and
 958 Peterson, B. J.: Inter-biome comparison of factors controlling stream metabolism, *Freshw. Biol.*,
 959 46, 1503–1517, <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2001.00773.x>, 2001.

960 Mulholland, P. J., Helton, A. M., Poole, G. C., Hall, R. O., Hamilton, S. K., Peterson, B. J.,
 961 Tank, J. L., Ashkenas, L. R., Cooper, L. W., Dahm, C. N., Dodds, W. K., Findlay, S. E. G.,
 962 Gregory, S. V., Grimm, N. B., Johnson, S. L., McDowell, W. H., Meyer, J. L., Valett, H. M.,
 963 Webster, J. R., Arango, C. P., Beaulieu, J. J., Bernot, M. J., Burgin, A. J., Crenshaw, C. L.,
 964 Johnson, L. T., Niederlehner, B. R., O'Brien, J. M., Potter, J. D., Sheibley, R. W., Sobota, D. J.,
 965 and Thomas, S. M.: Stream denitrification across biomes and its response to anthropogenic
 966 nitrate loading, *Nature*, 452, 202–205, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06686>, 2008.

967 Murrell, M. C., Caffrey, J. M., Marcovich, D. T., Beck, M. W., Jarvis, B. M., and Hagy, J. D.:
 968 Seasonal oxygen dynamics in a warm temperate estuary: effects of hydrologic variability on
 969 measurements of primary production, respiration, and net metabolism, *Estuaries Coasts J. Estuar.*
 970 *Res. Fed.*, 41, 690–707, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-0328-9>, 2018.

971 NOAA National Estuarine Research Reserve System (NERRS): <https://cdmo.baruch.sc.edu/>, last
 972 access: 26 July 2023.

973 Ni, W., Li, M., and Testa, J. M.: Discerning effects of warming, sea level rise and nutrient
 974 management on long-term hypoxia trends in Chesapeake Bay, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 737, 139717,
 975 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139717>, 2020.

976 O'Dell, J. W.: Determination Of Nitrate-Nitrite Nitrogen by Automated Colorimetry, in:
 977 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Environmental Samples, Elsevier, 464–478,
 978 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-8155-1398-8.50026-4>, 1996a.

979 O'Dell, J. W.: Determination of Phosphorus by Semi-Automated Colorimetry, in: Methods for
 980 the Determination of Metals in Environmental Samples, Elsevier, 479–495,
 981 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-8155-1398-8.50027-6>, 1996b.

982 O'Driscoll, M., Clinton, S., Jefferson, A., Manda, A., and McMillan, S.: Urbanization Effects on
 983 Watershed Hydrology and In-Stream Processes in the Southern United States, *Water*, 2, 605–
 984 648, <https://doi.org/10.3390/w2030605>, 2010.

985 Odum, H. T.: Primary Production in Flowing Waters, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 1, 102–117,
 986 <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1956.1.2.0102>, 1956.

987 Ombadi, M. and Varadharajan, C.: Urbanization and aridity mediate distinct salinity response to
 988 floods in rivers and streams across the contiguous United States, *Water Res.*, 220, 118664,
 989 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118664>, 2022.

990 Orwin, K. H. and Wardle, D. A.: New indices for quantifying the resistance and resilience of soil
 991 biota to exogenous disturbances, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 36, 1907–1912,
 992 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.04.036>, 2004.

993 Paerl, H. W.: Why does N-limitation persist in the world's marine waters?, *Mar. Chem.*, 206, 1–
 994 6, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2018.09.001>, 2018.

995 Paerl, H. W. and Piehler, M. F.: Chapter 11 - Nitrogen and Marine Eutrophication, in: *Nitrogen*
 996 *in the Marine Environment (Second Edition)*, edited by: Capone, D. G., Bronk, D. A.,
 997 Mulholland, M. R., and Carpenter, E. J., Academic Press, San Diego, 529–567,
 998 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372522-6.00011-6>, 2008.

999 Parker, A. E., Hogue, V. E., Wilkerson, F. P., and Dugdale, R. C.: The effect of inorganic
 1000 nitrogen speciation on primary production in the San Francisco Estuary, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf*
 1001 *Sci.*, 104–105, 91–101, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.04.001>, 2012.

1002 Pennock, J. R. and Sharp, J. H.: Phytoplankton production in the Delaware Estuary: temporal and
 1003 spatial variability, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 34, 143–155, 1986.

1004 Pickett, S. T. A., Cadenasso, M. L., Grove, J. M., Boone, C. G., Groffman, P. M., Irwin, E.,
 1005 Kaushal, S. S., Marshall, V., McGrath, B. P., Nilon, C. H., Pouyat, R. V., Szlavetz, K., Troy, A.,
 1006 and Warren, P.: Urban ecological systems: Scientific foundations and a decade of progress, *J.*
 1007 *Environ. Manage.*, 92, 331–362, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.08.022>, 2011.

1008 Pimm, S. L.: The complexity and stability of ecosystems, *Nature*, 307, 321–326,
 1009 <https://doi.org/10.1038/307321a0>, 1984.

1010 Poff, N. L., Bledsoe, B. P., and Cuhaciyan, C. O.: Hydrologic variation with land use across the
 1011 contiguous United States: Geomorphic and ecological consequences for stream ecosystems,
 1012 *Geomorphology*, 79, 264–285, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.06.032>, 2006.

1013 QGIS Geographic Information System: <https://www.qgis.org/en/site/>, last access: 30 January
 1014 2024.

1015 Rabalais, N. N., Díaz, R. J., Levin, L. A., Turner, R. E., Gilbert, D., and Zhang, J.: Dynamics and
 1016 distribution of natural and human-caused hypoxia, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 585–619,
 1017 <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-585-2010>, 2010.

- 1018 Raimonet, M. and Cloern, J. E.: Estuary–ocean connectivity: fast physics, slow biology, *Glob.*
1019 *Change Biol.*, 23, 2345–2357, <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13546>, 2017.
- 1020 Raymond, P. A. and Cole, J. J.: Gas exchange in rivers and estuaries: Choosing a gas transfer
1021 velocity, *Estuaries*, 24, 312–317, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1352954>, 2001.
- 1022 Raymond, P. A., Zappa, C. J., Butman, D., Bott, T. L., Potter, J., Mulholland, P., Laursen, A. E.,
1023 McDowell, W. H., and Newbold, D.: Scaling the gas transfer velocity and hydraulic geometry in
1024 streams and small rivers: Gas transfer velocity and hydraulic geometry, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*
1025 *Fluids Environ.*, 2, 41–53, <https://doi.org/10.1215/21573689-1597669>, 2012.
- 1026 Redfield, A. C.: On the Properties of Organic Derivatives in Sea Water and Their Relation to
1027 Composition of the Phytoplankton, in: James Johnstone Memorial Volume, University Press of
1028 Liverpool, 176–192, 1934.
- 1029 Reisinger, A. J., Groffman, P. M., and Rosi-Marshall, E. J.: Nitrogen-cycling process rates across
1030 urban ecosystems, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 92, fiw198, <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiw198>,
1031 2016.
- 1032 Reisinger, A. J., Rosi, E. J., Bechtold, H. A., Doody, T. R., Kaushal, S. S., and Groffman, P. M.:
1033 Recovery and resilience of urban stream metabolism following Superstorm Sandy and other
1034 floods, *Ecosphere*, 8, e01776, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1776>, 2017.
- 1035 Scully, M. E.: Wind Modulation of Dissolved Oxygen in Chesapeake Bay, *Estuaries Coasts*, 33,
1036 1164–1175, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-010-9319-9>, 2010.
- 1037 Simon, A. and Rinaldi, M.: Disturbance, stream incision, and channel evolution: The roles of
1038 excess transport capacity and boundary materials in controlling channel response,
1039 *Geomorphology*, 79, 361–383, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.06.037>, 2006.
- 1040 Song, C., Dodds, W. K., Rüegg, J., Argerich, A., Baker, C. L., Bowden, W. B., Douglas, M. M.,
1041 Farrell, K. J., Flinn, M. B., Garcia, E. A., Helton, A. M., Harms, T. K., Jia, S., Jones, J. B.,
1042 Koenig, L. E., Kominoski, J. S., McDowell, W. H., McMaster, D., Parker, S. P., Rosemond, A.
1043 D., Ruffing, C. M., Sheehan, K. R., Trentman, M. T., Whiles, M. R., Wollheim, W. M., and
1044 Ballantyne, F.: Continental-scale decrease in net primary productivity in streams due to climate
1045 warming, *Nat. Geosci.*, 11, 415–420, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0125-5>, 2018.
- 1046 Thayne, M. W., Kraemer, B. M., Mesman, J. P., Ibelings, B. W., and Adrian, R.: Antecedent lake
1047 conditions shape resistance and resilience of a shallow lake ecosystem following extreme wind
1048 storms, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 67, S101–S120, <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11859>, 2022.
- 1049 Thayne, M. W., Kraemer, B. M., Mesman, J. P., Pierson, D., Laas, A., de Eyto, E., Ibelings, B.
1050 W., and Adrian, R.: Lake surface water temperature and oxygen saturation resistance and
1051 resilience following extreme storms: chlorophyll a shapes resistance to storms, *Inland Waters*,
1052 13, 339–361, <https://doi.org/10.1080/20442041.2023.2242081>, 2023.
- 1053 Tsai, J.-W., Kratz, T. K., Hanson, P. C., Kimura, N., Liu, W.-C., Lin, F.-P., Chou, H.-M., Wu, J.-
1054 T., and Chiu, C.-Y.: Metabolic changes and the resistance and resilience of a subtropical

1055 heterotrophic lake to typhoon disturbance, *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.*, 68, 768–780,
 1056 <https://doi.org/10.1139/f2011-024>, 2011.

1057 Tureçcaia, A. B., Dix, N. G., Nicklay, H., Ferner, M. C., and Graham, E. B.: Physicochemical
 1058 Factors and Urban Land-Use Characteristics Associated with Resistance to Precipitation in
 1059 Estuaries Vary Across Scales, <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25050197>, 2024.

1060 Uehlinger, U.: Resistance and resilience of ecosystem metabolism in a flood-prone river system,
 1061 *Freshw. Biol.*, 45, 319–332, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2000.00620.x>, 2000.

1062 U.S. EPA.: Method 350.1: Nitrogen, Ammonia (Calorimetric, Automated Phenate), 1993a.

1063 U.S. EPA.: Method 446.0: In Vitro Determination of Chlorophylls a, b, c1+c2 and Pheopigments
 1064 in Marine and Freshwater Algae by Visible Spectrophotometry, 1993b.

1065 Utz, R. M., Hopkins, K. G., Beesley, L., Booth, D. B., Hawley, R. J., Baker, M. E., Freeman, M.
 1066 C., and L. Jones, K.: Ecological resistance in urban streams: the role of natural and legacy
 1067 attributes, *Freshw. Sci.*, 35, 380–397, <https://doi.org/10.1086/684839>, 2016.

1068 Van Meerbeek, K., Jucker, T., and Svenning, J.-C.: Unifying the concepts of stability and
 1069 resilience in ecology, *J. Ecol.*, 109, 3114–3132, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13651>, 2021.

1070 Vietz, G. J., Walsh, C. J., and Fletcher, T. D.: Urban hydrogeomorphology and the urban stream
 1071 syndrome: Treating the symptoms and causes of geomorphic change, *Prog. Phys. Geogr. Earth
 1072 Environ.*, 40, 480–492, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133315605048>, 2016.

1073 Vitousek, P. M. and Howarth, R. W.: Nitrogen limitation on land and in the sea: How can it
 1074 occur?, *Biogeochemistry*, 13, 87–115, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00002772>, 1991.

1075 Walsh, C. J., Roy, A. H., Feminella, J. W., Cottingham, P. D., Groffman, P. M., and Morgan, R.
 1076 P.: The urban stream syndrome: current knowledge and the search for a cure, *J. North Am.
 1077 Benthol. Soc.*, 24, 706–723, <https://doi.org/10.1899/04-028.1>, 2005.

1078 Wetz, M. S. and Yoskowitz, D. W.: An ‘extreme’ future for estuaries? Effects of extreme
 1079 climatic events on estuarine water quality and ecology, *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 69, 7–18,
 1080 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.01.020>, 2013.

1081 Zahn, E., Welty, C., Smith, J. A., Kemp, S. J., Baeck, M.-L., and Bou-Zeid, E.: The Hydrological
 1082 Urban Heat Island: Determinants of Acute and Chronic Heat Stress in Urban Streams, *JAWRA J.
 1083 Am. Water Resour. Assoc.*, 57, 941–955, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12963>, 2021.

1084 Zarnetske, J. P., Haggerty, R., Wondzell, S. M., Bokil, V. A., and González-Pinzón, R.: Coupled
 1085 transport and reaction kinetics control the nitrate source-sink function of hyporheic zones, *Water
 1086 Resour. Res.*, 48, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012WR011894>, 2012.

1087 Zhang, J., Gilbert, D., Gooday, A. J., Levin, L., Naqvi, S. W. A., Middelburg, J. J., Scranton, M.,
 1088 Ekau, W., Peña, A., Dewitte, B., Oguz, T., Monteiro, P. M. S., Urban, E., Rabalais, N. N.,
 1089 Ittekkot, V., Kemp, W. M., Ulloa, O., Elmgren, R., Escobar-Briones, E., and Van der Plas, A. K.:

1090 Natural and human-induced hypoxia and consequences for coastal areas: synthesis and future
1091 development, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 1443–1467, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-1443-2010>, 2010.

1092 Zhang, M., Krom, M. D., Lin, J., Cheng, P., and Chen, N.: Effects of a Storm on the
1093 Transformation and Export of Phosphorus Through a Subtropical River-Turbid Estuary
1094 Continuum Revealed by Continuous Observation, *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences*, 127,
1095 e2022JG006786, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JG006786>, 2022.

1096 Zhang, Q., Fisher, T. R., Trentacoste, E. M., Buchanan, C., Gustafson, A. B., Karrh, R., Murphy,
1097 R. R., Keisman, J., Wu, C., Tian, R., Testa, J. M., and Tango, P. J.: Nutrient limitation of
1098 phytoplankton in Chesapeake Bay: Development of an empirical approach for water-quality
1099 management, *Water Res.*, 188, 116407, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116407>, 2021.

1100 Zheng, Y., Huang, J., Feng, Y., Xue, H., Xie, X., Tian, H., Yao, Y., Luo, L., Guo, X., and Liu,
1101 Y.: The Effects of Seasonal Wind Regimes on the Evolution of Hypoxia in Chesapeake Bay:
1102 Results from A Terrestrial-Estuarine-Ocean Biogeochemical Modeling System, *Prog. Oceanogr.*,
1103 103207, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2024.103207>, 2024.

1104 Zhi, W., Feng, D., Tsai, W.-P., Sterle, G., Harpold, A., Shen, C., and Li, L.: From
1105 Hydrometeorology to River Water Quality: Can a Deep Learning Model Predict Dissolved
1106 Oxygen at the Continental Scale?, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 55, 2357–2368,
1107 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c06783>, 2021.

1108