Supplement of

Sensitivity Studies of Four-Dimensional Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter Coupled With WRF-Chem Version 3.9.1 for Improving Particulate Matter Simulation



Figure S1. Temporal variations of PM2.5 from Severe-40m-48h, Severe-FR-48h and

observation at six independent verification stations (units: µg m⁻³).



Figure S2. Similar with Figure S2 but for PM₁₀.



Figure S3. Contour maps about spatial distribution of anthropogenic $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , BC and OC emissions in first row (units: $\mu g m^{-2} s^{-1}$) and their standard deviations calculated from 40

ensemble members in second row.



Figure S4. Contour maps of spatial distributions of temporal averaged PM_{2.5} and PM_{10-2.5}
standard deviations in the first guess (first and second row) and analysis (third and fourth
row) of (Severe-60m-48h minus Severe-20m-48h) within simulation period (units: μg m⁻³).
The red dots in analysis of PM_{2.5} and PM_{10-2.5} implies the location of assimilated stations.



Figure S5. Temporal variation about air quality index at six representative sites in moderate

haze event.



Figure S6. Scatter and density plots of PM2.5 and PM10 observations from verification

stations versus those in Moderate-FR-48h, Moderate-20m-48h and Moderate-40m-48h (units:

μg m⁻³).



Figure S7. Contour maps of spatial distributions of temporal averaged PM_{2.5} and PM_{10-2.5} standard deviations in the first guess (first and second row) and analysis (third and fourth row) of Moderate-40m-48h, Moderate-20m-48h and their difference (Moderate-40m-48h minus Moderate-20m-48h) in a moderate haze event (units: µg m⁻³). The red dots in analysis

of $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{10-2.5}$ implies the location of assimilated stations.