



# <sup>1</sup> **Enhancing SO<sup>3</sup> Hydrolysis and Nucleation: The Role of**

# <sup>2</sup> **Formic Sulfuric Anhydride**

**Rui Wang <sup>a</sup> , Rongrong Li <sup>a</sup> , Shasha Chen <sup>a</sup> , Ruxue Mu <sup>a</sup> , Changming Zhang <sup>b</sup>** 3 **, Xiaohui Ma c, \* , Majid Khan <sup>d</sup> , Tianlei Zhang a,**  4

*<sup>a</sup>* 5 *Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Catalysis, School of Chemical & Environment Science, Shaanxi* 

6 *University of Technology, Hanzhong, Shaanxi 723001, P. R. China*

*<sup>b</sup>*7 *Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Catalysis, School of Mechanical Engineering, Shaanxi University of* 

8 *Technology, Hanzhong, Shaanxi 723001, P. R. China*

*<sup>c</sup>*9 *School of Environmental Engineering, Henan University of Technology, Zhengzhou, Henan*  10 *450001, China*

*<sup>d</sup>*11 *College of Chemistry, Fuzhou University, 350116, Fuzhou, China*

12

### 13 **Abstract**

-

14 Although the nucleation route driven by sulfuric acid  $(H_2SO_4)$  and ammonia  $(NH_3)$  primarily 15 dominates new particle formation (NPF) in the atmosphere, exploring the role of other trace species 16 on H2SO4-NH<sup>3</sup> system is crucial for a more comprehensive insight into NPF processes. Formic 17 sulfuric anhydride (FSA) has been observed in atmospheric environment and is found in abundance 18 in atmospheric fine particles. Nevertheless, its effect on SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis and NPF remain poorly 19 understood. Here, we studied the enhancing effect of FSA on gaseous and interfacial  $SO<sub>3</sub>$  hydrolysis 20 as well as its impact on H2SO4-NH3-driven NPF occurring through quantum chemical calculations, 21 atmospheric clusters dynamics code (ACDC) kinetics combined with Born-Oppenheimer molecular 22 dynamics (BOMD). Gaseous-phase findings indicate that FSA-catalyzed SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis is nearly barrierless. At an [FSA] =  $10^7$  molecules⋅cm<sup>-3</sup>, this reaction competes effectively with SO<sub>3</sub> 24 hydrolysis in the presence of  $HNO<sub>3</sub> (10<sup>9</sup>$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>), HCOOH (10<sup>8</sup> molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>) and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>  $(10^6 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3})$  in the range of 280.0-320.0 K. At the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface, BOMD 26 simulations reveal that FSA-mediated SO<sup>3</sup> hydrolysis follows a stepwise mechanism, completing 27 within a few picoseconds. Notably, FSA enhances the formation rate of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub> clusters by over 28 10<sup>7</sup> times in regions with relatively high [FSA] at elevated temperatures. Additionally, interfacial FSA- 29 ion has the ability to appeal precursor species for particle formation from the gaseous phase 30 to the water nanodroplet interface, thereby facilitating particle growth. These results present new 31 comprehensions into both the pathways of  $H_2SO_4$  formation and aerosol particle growth in polluted 32 boundary layer.

33 **Keywords:** gas phase, atmospheric behavior, new particle formation, air pollution

Corresponding authors. Tel: +86-0916-2641083, Fax: +86-0916-2641083.

e-mail: ztianlei88@l63.com (T. L Zhang) and mxhsdu@163.com (X. H Ma)





## **1. Introduction**

 Sulfuric acid (H2SO4) is an important atmospheric pollutant closely associated with new particle formation (NPF) events and is recognized as a vital precursor in the process of converting gases into particles. It facilitates the formation of sulfate aerosols and acid rain in diverse environments, influencing cloud formation, precipitation and the Earth's radiation balance, ultimately contributing to climate change (Yao et al., 2018; Venkataraman et al., 2001; Kumar et al., 2024). Experimental (Couling et al., 2003; Reiner and Arnold, 1993; Bondybey and English, 1985) and theoretical studies (Feng and Wang, 2023; Kumar et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2025) have 42 shown that atmospheric gaseous H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> primarily forms via SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis (Sarkar et al., 2019; Tao 43 et al., 2018; Carmona-García et al., 2021). However, the likelihood of direct SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis in the atmosphere is low due to the high activation energy associated with the process(Chen and Plummer, 1985). Introducing a second water molecule has been shown to significantly lower the activation energy, making SO<sup>3</sup> hydrolysis more efficient (Morokuma and Muguruma, 1994). Further research indicates that, besides water molecules, other species such as formic acid (Kangas et al., 2020), oxalic acid (Yang et al., 2021), nitric acid (Long et al., 2022), H2SO<sup>4</sup> (Wang et al., 2024) and 49 ammonia (Sarkar et al., 2019) exhibit even greater catalytic efficiency in promoting SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis 50 for H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> formation. These findings provide valuable theoretical insights for understanding H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> sources, particularly in regions where pollutant concentrations are notably elevated. Nevertheless, 52 further investigation is necessary to fully understand the  $SO<sub>3</sub>$  hydrolysis mechanism in areas with high levels of specific pollutants, to better assess its behavior and effects under different atmospheric conditions.

 Carboxylic sulfuric anhydrides (CSAs) are a recently identified class of atmospheric organosulfides, formed by the cycloaddition of SO<sup>3</sup> with organic carboxylic acids present (Fleig et al., 2012). These CSAs exhibit strong acidity and can act as proton transfer bridges, potentially influencing SO<sup>3</sup> hydrolysis and promoting the formation of H2SO<sup>4</sup> in regions with high CSA 59 concentrations. Research indicates that the gaseous CSA concentration can reach  $10<sup>7</sup>$  molecules cm- $3^{3}$  (Smith et al., 2020), creating conditions that may impact SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis. As the simplest CSA, formic sulfuric anhydride (FSA) has been characterized using microwave spectroscopic (Mackenzie et al., 2015). FSA is more acidic than formic acid and may facilitate proton transfer in the gaseous







73 Additionally, new species generated from gas-phase reactions of SO<sub>3</sub> with trace substances (Li 74 et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019) can also significantly influence the NPF process. For example, Li et al. 75 (Li et al., 2018) revealed that  $NH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>H$ , formed from the reaction of  $SO<sub>3</sub>$  with  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ , not only 76 contributes directly to  $H_2SO_4$ -(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH cluster formation but also enhances the maximum rate of 77 NPF from  $H_2SO_4$  and  $(CH_3)_2NH$  by approximately twofold in heavily polluted areas with high 78 concentrations of basic substances. Similarly, Liu et al. (Liu et al., 2019) predicted that methyl 79 hydrogen sulfate (MHS), formed from the reaction of SO<sub>3</sub> with methanol, significantly impacts 80  $H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH$  nucleation, particularly in dry regions with high alcohol concentrations. FSA, 81 produced from the reaction of SO<sub>3</sub> with HCOOH, contains the -OSO<sub>3</sub>H functional group and 82 exhibits a binding capability comparable to that of  $H_2SO_4$  with nucleation precursors like NH<sub>3</sub>. The 83 potential role of FSA in enhancing H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub> nucleation in the atmosphere requires further 84 investigation to fully understand its contribution to NPF processes.

85 This work examined the catalytic effect of FSA on  $SO_3$  hydrolysis and  $H_2SO_4$ -NH<sub>3</sub> nucleation 86 particle formation. Specifically, the catalytic effects of FSA on gaseous  $SO<sub>3</sub>$  hydrolysis were firstly 87 explored. Following this, the differences between the gaseous and interfacial reactions of FSA-88 catalyzed SO<sup>3</sup> hydrolysis were evaluated using BOMD simulations. Subsequently, a qualitative 89 evaluation of FSA's nucleation capability was conducted through molecular dynamics (MD) 90 simulations. Finally, the atmospheric implications of FSA on particle formation were analyzed. This 91 study not only deepens our understanding of the impact of FSA on SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis but also provides 92 new molecular-level mechanisms for the contribution to  $H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ -NH<sub>3</sub> particle formation.





### **2. Computational Methods**

 **2.1 Quantum Chemical Details**. To investigate the impact of formic sulfuric anhydride (FSA) on gaseous SO<sup>3</sup> hydrolysis, the M06-2X/6-311++G(2*df*,2*pd*) computational method, as implemented in Gaussian 09 software (Frisch, 2009), was employed to analyze the geometric structures and vibrational frequencies of the relevant species. We also carried out the calculation of intrinsic reaction coordinate to conduct the connections between the transition states and their corresponding pre-reactive and post-reactive complexes. To enhance the reliability of the relative Gibbs free energies, single-point energies at the CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVDZ-F12 level were calculated using the ORCA software (Neese, 2012).

102 The most stable structure of the  $(FSA)_x(A)_z(z \le x + y \le 3)$  clusters were obtained by the following three steps. Initially, the ABCluster program (Zhang and Dolg, 2015) was utilized to 104 randomly produce  $n \times 1000$  initial isomers (where  $n = 2$  to 4) which were subsequently evaluated using the PM6 method via MOPAC 2016 (Partanen et al., 2016). Next, up to *n* × 100 lowest-energy isomers were chosen and further refined using the method of M06-2X/6-31+G(*d,p*). Lastly, the top *n* × 10 isomers were re-optimized at the M06-2X/6-311++G(*2df,2pd*) method level to ascertain their isomers with the lowest energy. The optimized structures and their Gibbs free energies are detailed in Fig. S10 and Table S6, respectively.

**2.2 Rate Coefficient Computations.** Rate coefficients for FSA-assisted SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis were calculated via two steps as follows. First, the VRC-VTST methodology (Zhang et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024) was applied using the Polyrate program (Meana-Pañeda et al., 2024) to calculate the rate coefficients under high-pressure conditions. Next, the Master Equation Solver for Multi-Energy Well Reactions (Glowacki et al., 2012) was engaged in computing the rate coefficients for FSA- assisted SO<sup>3</sup> hydrolysis across a temperature range of 280.0 to 320.0 K. To estimate the rate coefficients for the barrier less formation of pre-reactive complexes from the separated reactants, we applied the Inverse Laplace Transform (ILT) method (Kumar et al., 2021). In parallel, RRKM theory (Bao et al., 2016) was utilized to estimate the rate coefficients for the transition from the pre- reactive complex to the post-reactive complex through a transition stat. Details of the ILT methods and RRKM theory are provided in Part 1 and Part 2 in the Supplement, respectively.

**2.3 BOMD Simulations**. BOMD simulations were conducted with the CP2K program





 (Hutter et al., 2014). The BLYP functional was applied to address exchange and correlation interactions (Becke, 1988; Lee et al., 1988). Grimme's dispersion-corrected method (Grimme et al., 2010) was employed to account for the dispersion interactions and effectively handle weak dispersion effects. The Goedecker-Teter-Hutter conservation pseudopotentials (Goedecker et al., 1996) were done by using Gaussian DZVP basis set (Phillips et al., 2005) and an auxiliary plane wave basis, ensuring accurate treatment of both valence and core electrons. The plane wave basis set was established with a 280 Ry energy cutoff, while the Gaussian basis set cutoff was set at 40 Ry. A supercell side length of 15 Å was used in gas phase simulations to eliminate periodic boundary conditions with step of 0.5 fs. For interfacial reactions, a water droplet containing 191 water molecules was initially pre-optimized through BOMD simulation for 132 approximately 5.0 ps at 300 K. Subsequently, SO<sub>3</sub> and FSA were positioned at the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface to perform the simulations over 10 ps. A supercell side length of 35 Å was set for gas-liquid nanodroplet interface simulations to prevent periodic interactions between neighbouring water droplets, using a step of 1.0 fs. In all simulations under the NVT ensemble, a 136 stable temperature of 300 K was maintained using the Nose-Hoover thermostat.

 **2.4 Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Nucleation**. Complete nucleation pathway was simulated using the GROMACS 2024.3 software (Abraham et al., 2024), employing the general AMBER force field, a widely utilized approach for modelling molecular dynamics (Li et al., 2024b; Cheng et al., 2025; Zhao et al., 2019). The electrostatic potential was computed at M06- 2X/6-311++G(2*df*,2*pd*) level and the restrained electrostatic potential charges were determined using Multiwfn 3.8 (Lu and Chen, 2012). The AMBER parameter and coordinate files were constructed using Sobtop (Lu, 2023) and Packmol (Martínez et al., 2009), respectively. The simulation was performed within a cubic simulation box, each side measuring 200 Å in length. Following energy minimization, the system was further simulated under the NVT and NPT ensembles at 298 K for durations of 100 ps and 40 ns, respectively. The Berendsen pressure coupling method (Berendsen et al., 1984) and the velocity rescaling thermostat (Bussi et al., 2007) were used to regulate pressure and temperature, respectively. The system applied periodic boundary conditions to mimic an infinite environment, with a 1 fs time step. The electrostatic and van der Waals interactions were set with a 1.4 nm cutoff distance, and the Particle-Mesh Ewald method (York et al., 1993) was implemented for long-range electrostatics. Bond lengths were restricted by the





- 152 LINCS algorithm (Hess et al., 1997) to preserve structural integrity during the simulation.
- 153 **2.5 Atmospheric Cluster Dynamics Code (ACDC) Model.** The ACDC (McGrath et al., 154 2012) was employed to investigate cluster formation rates and growth mechanisms for 155 (FSA)*x*(SA)*y*(A)*<sup>z</sup>* clusters. The ACDC simulations were supplied with thermodynamic data, 156 which was derived from quantum chemical calculations performed by M06-2X/6- 157 311++G(2*df*,2*pd*). Accounting for all potential collision and evaporation processes, the 158 following formulation represents the birth-death equations:

159 
$$
\frac{dc_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j (1)
$$

160 In the above equation,  $c_i$  represents the concentration of *i* cluster, while  $\beta_i$  stands for the collision rate between *i* and *j* clusters. The term *γ(i*+*j*)→*<sup>i</sup>*→*i* refers to the rate at which the larger *i+j* cluster breaks down (or evaporates) into *i* and *j* clusters. Additionally, *Q*<sup>i</sup> accounts for any possible external source of *i* cluster. To consider the external losses of *i* cluster, a coagulation sink coefficient 164 of  $2 \times 10^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup> was used, aligning with values typically found in polluted environments (Liu et al., 2021b). In ACDC, boundary clusters must be sufficiently stable, which allows them to continue 166 growing. Therefore, the clusters of  $(FSA)_2 \cdot (SA)_2 \cdot (A)_3$ ,  $(FSA)_1 \cdot (SA)_3 \cdot (A)_3$ ,  $(SA)_4 \cdot (A)_3$  and (SA)<sub>4</sub>·(A)<sub>4</sub> were selected as the boundary clusters in the SA-A-FSA system.

### 168 **3. Results and discussion**

### 169 **3.1 The Hydrolysis of SO<sup>3</sup> Assisted by FSA**

170 The  $SO_3$  hydrolysis with  $HCOOSO<sub>3</sub>H$  (FSA) can initially occur via the interaction between 171 SO<sub>3</sub> (or FSA) and H<sub>2</sub>O to form SO<sub>3</sub>····H<sub>2</sub>O (or FSA···H<sub>2</sub>O) dimer. Subsequently, the SO<sub>3</sub>····H<sub>2</sub>O 172 dimer collides with FSA, and the FSA $\cdots$ H<sub>2</sub>O dimer interacts with SO<sub>3</sub>. The predicted relative Gibbs 173 free energies of  $SO_3 \cdots H_2O$  was 0.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> at the CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVDZ-F12//M06-2X/6- $174$   $311++G(2df,2pd)$  level, which is nearly previously reported values (-0.2 to 1.0 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>) (Long et 175 al., 2013; Long et al., 2012; Lv et al., 2019; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2017). As compared with 176 FSA $\cdots$ H<sub>2</sub>O, the binding free energy of SO<sub>3</sub> $\cdots$ H<sub>2</sub>O is less stable by 2.6 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>, which leads to 177 the equilibrium coefficient of FSA···H<sub>2</sub>O (2.63  $\times$  10<sup>-18</sup>-2.49  $\times$  10<sup>-19</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>) (Table S2) 178 being at least 10 times larger than that of  $SO_3 \cdots H_2$ O (2.45 × 10<sup>-20</sup>-5.10 × 10<sup>-21</sup> molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> within 179 280.0-320.0 K). Under the available concentrations ([FSA] =  $1.0 \times 10^7$ , [SO<sub>3</sub>] =  $1.0 \times 10^3$ 180 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>) (Liu et al., 2019), the concentration of FSA···H<sub>2</sub>O is  $1.36 \times 10^6$ -6.80  $\times 10^6$ 







203 within 280.0-320.0 K are shown in Table 1. As observed at 298.0 K, the rate coefficient for the SO<sub>3</sub> 204 hydrolysis with FSA ( $k_{FSA}$ ) is 7.71  $\times$  10<sup>-11</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>·molecule<sup>-1</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>, surpassing that of the uncatalyzed 205 SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis by a factor of  $10^{12}$ . Additionally, the value of  $k_{FSA}$  at 298.0 K is larger by factors of 206 60.23 and 84.63 than those for the  $SO_3$  hydrolysis with H<sub>2</sub>O ( $k_{WM}$ ) and HNO<sub>3</sub> ( $k_{NA}$ ), respectively. 207 Similarly, within 280.0-320.0 K in Table 1, FSA can compete with HCOOH, (COOH)<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 208 with the value of  $k_{\text{FSA}}$  being larger by factors of 1.02-1.64 than those of  $k_{\text{FA}}$ ,  $k_{\text{OA}}$  and  $k_{\text{SA}}$ . These 209 findings indicate that the catalytic efficiency of FSA in SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis surpasses that of H<sub>2</sub>O and 210 HNO<sub>3</sub>, and is comparable to HCOOH,  $(COOH)_2$  and  $H_2SO_4$ .







 2017; Sun et al., 2024; Gao et al., 2024; Dong et al., 2024). The gas-liquid nanodroplet interface serves as a significant site for adsorption and reactions, potentially enhancing atmospheric reaction rates and leading to the emergence of novel mechanisms. However, at the gas-liquid nanodroplet 224 interface, comprehensive understanding of the mechanism for FSA-assisted  $SO<sub>3</sub>$  hydrolysis was 225 lacking. Notably, during the 150 ns simulation, SO<sub>3</sub>, the FSA molecule and the SO<sub>3</sub>-FSA complex were observed to reside at the interface for 35.8%, 46.3% and 40.5% (Fig. S5), respectively, 227 revealing that the presence of SO<sub>3</sub>, FSA molecule and SO<sub>3</sub>-FSA complex cannot be ignored at the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface. To further investigate this prediction, we performed BOMD 229 simulations to assess the FSA-assisted hydrolysis of SO<sub>3</sub> at the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface. Similar to the reactions of  $SO<sub>3</sub>$  with other acidic species at this interface, the interaction between SO<sup>3</sup> and FSA at the aqueous interface might take place via three pathways: (*i*) direct interaction of SO<sup>3</sup> with adsorbed FSA; (*ii*) interaction of adsorbed SO<sup>3</sup> with FSA; or (*iii*) reaction starting from 233 the SO<sub>3</sub>-FSA complex. Given the high reactivity and the brief residency time of SO<sub>3</sub> and FSA at the interface, as evidenced by their short lifetimes (Fig. S6) of only a few picoseconds and rapid formation of SA- and FSA- ion, the simulations have primarily considered the model of (*iii*). This focus enabled a deeper understanding of the interfacial dynamics and the mechanisms underpinning these rapid transformations.

238 Unlike the gaseous hydrolysis mechanism of  $SO<sub>3</sub>$  with FSA, which occurs through the one-239 step mechanism, interfacial SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis mediated by FSA occurs via a stepwise mechanism (Fig. 240 2, Fig. S7 and Movie S1), consisting of three steps: *i*) SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis along with proton transfer







256 Interestingly, the formation of FSA and HSO<sub>4</sub> is highly stable, and their dissociation did not 257 occur within 10 ps. Species such as  $H_2SO_4(SA)$ , NH<sub>3</sub> (A), HNO<sub>3</sub>, and (COOH)<sub>2</sub> are identified as candidates for particle formation, with the SA-A cluster serving as a significant precursor to atmospheric aerosols. Calculated binding free energies of the corresponding bimolecular clusters were shown in Table 2 where the computed binding free energies agree well with previous values 261 (Zhong et al., 2019). As shown, the interactions of FSA-SA  $(-21.2 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1})$  and FSA-HNO<sub>3</sub>  $(-1.2 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1})$ 262 12.1 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) are stronger than that of SA-A (-8.9 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>), illustrating that interfacial FSA and  $H_3O^+$  ions can attract precursor molecules from the gaseous phase to the aqueous nanodroplet 264 surface, and thus facilitating particle growth. Additionally, the enhancing potential of the FSA ion 265 on the SA-A cluster was assessed by examining the binding free energies of the SA-A-FSA and SA-A-*Y* (*Y* = HOOCCH2COOH, HOCCOOSO3H, CH3OSO3H, HOOCCH2CH(NH2)COOH and HOCH2COOH) clusters. The binding free energies of SA-A-FSA- and SA-A-*Y* clusters listed in Table 2 were consistent with previously reported values (Rong et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2017; Gao et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2021a). Notably, compared to SA-A-*Y*, the binding 270 free energy of SA-A-FSA  $(-25.6 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})$  was larger than 5.2-12.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that the





- FSA- 271 at the interface exhibits a greater nucleation capability than gaseous molecule *Y*. Consequently,
- 272 FSA is expected to demonstrate enhanced nucleation potential at the gas-liquid interface.

#### 273 **3.3 FSA's Role in Nucleation and Cluster Formation**

 Electrostatic potential (ESP) analysis was conducted to predict the potential hydrogen bond binding sites among FSA, SA and A. The -OH moiety in the FSA molecule contains a highly electrophilic hydrogen atom, making it a favorable donor site for hydrogen bonds (ESP value: +60.6 277 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 3). Meanwhile, the terminal oxygen atoms of the -SO<sub>3</sub>H and -COOH moieties in FSA can act as an effective hydrogen bond receptor site due to their stronger electronegativity (ESP 279 values: -23.8, -22.4 and -13.0 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>). Thus, FSA can form stable clusters by forming hydrogen bonds with SA and A.

281 Using MD simulations, the aggregation behavior of FSA with SA and A molecules was 282 investigated at various atmospheric temperatures (Fig. 4 and Figs. S8-S9). In these simulation 283 systems, 5 FSA, 5 SA, 10 A, 20 H<sub>2</sub>O, 41 O<sub>2</sub> and 154 N<sub>2</sub> molecules were included. Notably, the 284 complete stable  $(FSA)_{5}(SA)_{5}(A)_{10}$  cluster was observed at all the three simulations temperatures. 285 With rising temperatures, the aggregation time for the formation of  $(FSA)_{5} (SA)_{5}(A)_{10}$  cluster (Fig. 286 4(a)) increases. This observed phenomenon of aggregation implies that lower temperatures are more 287 conducive to form the  $(FSA)$ 5' $(SA)$ <sub>5</sub>' $(A)$ <sub>10</sub> cluster. Fig. 4(b) displayed the snapshots of the nucleation 288 simulation at 258.15 K. The initial simulation at 0 ns shows that there is not effective nucleation, as 289 all molecules in the system are scattered (Fig. 4(b)). Subsequently, FSA can bind with SA and A to 290 form FSA $\cdot$ A, FSA $\cdot$ SA $\cdot$ A and FSA $\cdot$ SA $\cdot$ A $\cdot$ A)<sub>3</sub> clusters at 1.5 ns, and then the FSA $\cdot$ SA $\cdot$ A<sub>1</sub> 291 (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·SA·(A)<sub>3</sub> and (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·(SA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> clusters are formed at 3.0 ns. Next, with further aggregation 292 of FSA molecules,  $(FSA)_2$ · $SA·(A)_4$  and  $(FSA)_3·(SA)_3·(A)_4$  clusters are observed within 4.0 ns. 293 Finally, the FSA molecules fully aggregate to form  $(FSA)$ 5' $(SA)$ <sub>5</sub>' $(A)$ <sub>10</sub> clusters at 7.5 ns, and this 294 complete cluster stays stable throughout the entire simulation period. It is noteworthy that the 295 numbers of FSA molecules can gradually interact with SA and A molecules to form relatively large 296 clusters, where hydrogen bonds among SA, A and FSA play a crucial role. Therefore, it is initially 297 predicted that FSA could act as a "participator" in NPF and could be directly involved in SA-A 298 nucleation. Further predictions regarding for the enhancement effect of FSA on SA-A molecular 299 clustering have been studied by considering the cluster stability, the formation rate and the growth 300 pathways.

302 **Stability**





# 301 **3.4 The Impact of Atmospheric Conditions on the Thermodynamic Clusters**

# The Gibbs free energies of formation  $(\Delta G, \text{kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})$  and evaporation rate coefficients  $(\gamma, s^{-1})$ 304 of the  $(FSA)_x(SA)_y(A)_z$  clusters were analyzed to estimate the thermodynamic stability of the 305 clusters involved in the SA-A-FSA system (Tables S6-S7). The Δ*G* and *γ* of the important pure 306 SAA clusters and FSA-containing stable clusters were primarily discussed at three temperature. At 298.15 K, the ∆G value of the SA·A cluster was 2.1 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> greater than that of the FSA·A cluster 308 (Fig. 5). Meanwhile, its *γ* value was about 10 times greater than that of the FSA·A cluster, suggesting 309 that the FSA $\cdot$ A cluster is more stable and likely to participate in subsequent growth as an initial 310 cluster. For the  $(FSA)_2 \cdot (A)_2$  cluster, its  $\Delta G$  (-31.1 kcal-mol<sup>-1</sup>) was smaller by 4.6 kcal-mol<sup>-1</sup> than that 311 of the  $(SA)_2$ <sup>-</sup> $(A)_2$  cluster (-26.5 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) with the *γ* value of the former one  $(5.34 \times 10^{1} \text{ s}^{-1})$  at least 312 10<sup>4</sup> times lower than that of the latter one  $(6.13 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1})$ , indicating that the (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>2</sub> cluster is 313 more stable than clusters containing SA and A with the same acid-base number. For the  $(FSA)_{3}(\cdot)_{3}$ 314 cluster, its *γ* (3.30 × 10<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) was nearly 10<sup>3</sup> times lower than that of the (SA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> (2.25 × 10<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) 315 cluster, allowing  $(FSA)$ <sup>3</sup>(A)<sub>3</sub> to serve as a critical nucleation cluster and participate in subsequent 316 growth. Similarly, at 278.15 K and 258.15 K, the FSA $(A)$ <sub>2</sub> (A)<sub>2</sub> and (FSA)<sub>3</sub> $(A)$ <sub>3</sub> clusters 317 were all more stable than the SA-A binary nucleation clusters with the same acid-base number. 318 Regarding for the (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·SA·(A)<sub>3</sub>·and FSA·(SA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> clusters at 298.15 K, the  $\Delta G$  values (-56.7) 319 and -54.1 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>) were lower than that of  $(SA)_{3}(A)_{3}$  (-52.0 kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>). Simultaneously, the *γ* 320 values of the  $(FSA)_2$ · $SA·(A)_3$  (8.49 × 10<sup>-4</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and  $FSA·(SA)_2·(A)_3$  (5.75 × 10<sup>1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) clusters were 321 respectively lower 10<sup>6</sup> and 10 times lower than that of  $(SA)_{3}(A)_{3}(2.25 \times 10^{2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ . Likewise, the 322 (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·SA·(A)<sub>3</sub> and FSA·(SA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> clusters were more stable than the  $(SA)_{3}$ ·(A)<sub>3</sub> cluster at low 323 temperatures (278.15 K and 258.15 K) due to their significantly lower evaporation rates. Therefore, 324 compared to pure SA-A clusters, clusters containing FSA molecules exhibit higher stability and are 325 more likely to engage in nucleation and subsequent cluster growth processes as stable clusters. The 326 clusters of  $(SA)$ <sub>3</sub>  $(KSA)$ <sub>2</sub> $(SA)(A)$ <sub>3</sub> and  $FSA'(SA)$ <sub>2</sub> $(A)$ <sub>3</sub> have the potential to further grow into 327 the boundary clusters  $[(FSA)_2 \cdot (SA)_2 \cdot (A)_3$ ,  $(FSA)_1 \cdot (SA)_3 \cdot (A)_3$ ,  $(SA)_4 \cdot (A)_3$  and  $(SA)_4 \cdot (A)_4$ . 328 **3.5 Influence of Particle Formation Rates Under Varying Temperatures and**  329 **Nucleation Precursor Concentrations**

330 To investigate the cluster formation rate  $(J, \text{cm}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1})$  and the enhancement factor  $(R)$  of cluster







 The clusters formed in the system via two main pathways: the pure SA-A pathway and SA-A-359 FSA pathways (Fig. 8). The pure SA-A nucleation pathway primarily formed stable  $(SA)_{3} \cdot (A)_{3}$ clusters through monomer addition and collision with SA·A cluster. The SA-A-FSA nucleation







# **4. Summary and Conclusions**

388 The potential contribution of FSA to gaseous and interfacial SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis, as well as its enhancement of atmospheric particle formation was investigated. Gaseous results indicated that SO<sup>3</sup>







### **Acknowledgments**

- This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 22203052;
- 22073059; 42107109); the Key Cultivation Project of Shaanxi University of Technology (No:
- SLG2101); the Education Department of Shaanxi Provincial Government (No. 23JC023).
- **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal

relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### **Reference**

 Abraham, M., Alekseenko, A., Basov, V., Bergh, C., Briand, E., Brown, A., Doijade, M., Fiorin, G., Fleischmann, S., Gorelov, S., Gouaillardet, G., Grey, A., Irrgang, M. E., Jalalypour, F., Jordan, J., Kutzner, C., Lemkul, J. A., Lundborg, M., Merz, P., Miletic, V., Morozov, D., Nabet, J., Pall, S., Pasquadibisceglie, A., Pellegrino, M., Santuz, H., Schulz, R., Shugaeva, T., Shvetsov, A., Villa, A., Wingbermuehle, S., Hess, B., Lindahl, E. GROMACS 2024.3 Manual., https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13457083, 2024. Bandyopadhyay, B., Kumar, P., and Biswas, P.: Ammonia catalyzed formation of sulfuric acid in

 troposphere: The curious case of a base promoting acid rain, J. Phys. Chem. A, 121, 3101-3108, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.7b01172, 2017.















































## 662 **Figure Captions**

663 **Fig. 1.** Energy diagrams for SO<sup>3</sup> hydrolysis with FSA at the CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVDZ-F12//M06- 664 2X/6-311++G(2*df*,2*pd*) level.

665 **Fig. 2.** BOMD simulations of  $HSA$   $\cdot \cdot \cdot HA \cdot \cdot H_3O^+$  ion pair formation from  $SO_3$  hydrolysis with

666 FSA at the air-water interface. (Top: Snapshot structures from BOMD simulations, showing the ion

- 667 pair formation. Bottom: Time evolution of key bond distances S-O1, O5-H3, and O1-H2 during the 668 induced mechanism.)
- 669 **Fig. 3.** ESP-mapped vdW surfaces of sulfuric acid (SA), ammonia (A) and formic sulfuric anhydride
- 670 (FSA). Blue, red, yellow, cyan, and white spheres represent N, O, S, C, and H atoms, respectively, 671 with ESP in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>.

672 **Fig. 4.** (a) Bar graph of aggregation completion time at different atmospheric temperatures; (b) 673 snapshots of nucleation simulation at 258.15 K from FSA, SA and A using the VDW representation,

674 with  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  shown using the line drawing method.

**Fig. 5.** Histogram of (a) Gibbs free energy of formation  $(\Delta G, \text{kcal-mol}^{-1})$  and (b) evaporation rate 676 coefficient (*γ*, s<sup>-1</sup>) for key pure SA-A clusters and FSA-containing stable clusters at 258.15, 278.15 677 and 298.15 K.

**Fig.** 6. (a) Cluster formation rate (*J*, cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and (b) enhancement factor *R* with [SA] =  $10^6$ 679 molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $[A] = 10^9$  molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup> at three temperatures (black: 258.15 K, red: 278.15 K, 680 blue: 298.15 K).

**Fig. 7.** (a) The cluster formation rate  $(J, \text{cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$  and (b) enhancement factor *R* as a function of [A]

682 with  $[FSA] = 10^6$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> at 278.15 K for five [SA] levels (black:  $10^4$ , red:  $10^5$ , blue:  $10^6$ , 683 green:  $10^7$ , purple:  $10^8$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>).

**Fig. 8.** Primary growth pathways of clusters at  $T = 278.15$  K,  $[SA] = 10^6$  molecules $\cdot$ cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $[A] = 10^9$ 685 molecules $cm<sup>3</sup>$ , and [FSA] =  $10<sup>3</sup>$ -10<sup>7</sup> molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>. Blue and orange arrows represent the SA-A-686 based and SA-A-FSA-based pathways, respectively.

687 **Fig. 9.** Influence of (a) temperature, (b) [SA], (c) [A] and (d) [FSA] on the relative contribution of 688 the pure SA-A pathway and the FSA-containing pathway to the flux out of the system.







691 2X/6-311++G(2*df*,2*pd*) level.

689







692

693 **Fig. 2.** BOMD simulations of HSO<sub>4</sub>  $\cdots$  FSA  $\cdots$  H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup> ion pair formation from SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis with 694 FSA at the air-water interface. (Top: Snapshot structures from BOMD simulations, showing the ion 695 pair formation. Bottom: Time evolution of key bond distances S-O1, O5-H3, and O1-H2 during the

696 induced mechanism.)







699 **Fig. 3.** ESP-mapped vdW surfaces of sulfuric acid (SA), ammonia (A) and formic sulfuric anhydride

700 (FSA). Blue, red, yellow, cyan, and white spheres represent N, O, S, C, and H atoms, respectively,

701 with ESP in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>.







702

703 **Fig. 4.** (a) Bar graph of aggregation completion time at different atmospheric temperatures; (b) 704 snapshots of nucleation simulation at 258.15 K from FSA, SA and A using the VDW representation,

705 with  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  shown using the line drawing method.







706

**Fig. 5.** Histogram of (a) Gibbs free energy of formation (Δ*G*, kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) and (b) evaporation rate

708 coefficient (*γ*, s<sup>-1</sup>) for key pure SA-A clusters and FSA-containing stable clusters at 258.15, 278.15 709 and 298.15 K.







- **Fig.** 6. (a) Cluster formation rate (*J*, cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and (b) enhancement factor *R* with [SA] =  $10^6$
- 712 molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $[A] = 10^9$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> at three temperatures (black: 258.15 K, red: 278.15 K, 713 blue: 298.15 K).

710







- **Fig.** 7. (a) The cluster formation rate  $(J, \text{cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$  and (b) enhancement factor *R* as a function of [A]
- 716 with  $[FSA] = 10^6$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup> at 278.15 K for five [SA] levels (black:  $10^4$ , red:  $10^5$ , blue:  $10^6$ , 717 green:  $10^7$ , purple:  $10^8$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>).







- 718 **Fig. 8.** Primary growth pathways of clusters at  $T = 278.15$  K,  $[SA] = 10^6$  molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $[A] = 10^9$
- 720 molecules $cm<sup>3</sup>$ , and [FSA] =  $10<sup>3</sup>$ -10<sup>7</sup> molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>. Blue and orange arrows represent the SA-A-
- 721 based and SA-A-FSA-based pathways, respectively.
- 722









724 **Fig. 9.** Influence of (a) temperature, (b) [SA], (c) [A] and (d) [FSA] on the relative contribution of













728







## Table 2. Binding free energy (kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the formation of various clusters at 298 K.

T30 Energies are given in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> and calculated at the M06-2X/6-311++G(2 $df$ ,2 $pd$ ) level of theory. References are as

731 follows: <sup>a</sup> Zhong et al. (2019), <sup>b</sup> Zhang et al. (2018), <sup>c</sup> Rong et al. (2020), <sup>d</sup> Gao et al. (2023), <sup>e</sup> J. Liu et al. (2021), <sup>4</sup>

732 Zhang et al. (2017).