

# Enhancing SO<sub>3</sub> Hydrolysis and Nucleation: The Role of Formic Sulfuric Anhydride

Rui Wang<sup>a</sup>, Rongrong Li<sup>a</sup>, Shasha Chen<sup>a</sup>, Ruxue Mu<sup>a</sup>, Changming Zhang<sup>b</sup>,  
Xiaohui Ma<sup>c,\*</sup>, Majid Khan<sup>d</sup>, Tianlei Zhang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Catalysis, School of Chemical & Environment Science, Shaanxi University of Technology, Hanzhong, Shaanxi 723001, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Catalysis, School of Mechanical Engineering, Shaanxi University of Technology, Hanzhong, Shaanxi 723001, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup> School of Environmental Engineering, Henan University of Technology, Zhengzhou, Henan 450001, China

<sup>d</sup> College of Chemistry, Fuzhou University, 350116, Fuzhou, China

## Abstract

Although the nucleation route driven by sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) primarily dominates new particle formation (NPF) in the atmosphere, exploring the role of other trace species on H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub> system is crucial for a more comprehensive insight into NPF processes. Formic sulfuric anhydride (FSA) has been observed in atmospheric environment and is found in abundance in atmospheric fine particles. Nevertheless, its effect on SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis and NPF remain poorly understood. Here, we studied the enhancing effect of FSA on gaseous and interfacial SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis as well as its impact on H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub>-driven NPF occurring through quantum chemical calculations, atmospheric clusters dynamics code (ACDC) kinetics combined with Born-Oppenheimer molecular dynamics (BOMD). Gaseous-phase findings indicate that FSA-catalyzed SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis is nearly barrierless. At an [FSA] = 10<sup>7</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>, this reaction competes effectively with SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis in the presence of HNO<sub>3</sub> (10<sup>9</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>), HCOOH (10<sup>8</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>) and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (10<sup>6</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>) in the range of 280.0-320.0 K. At the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface, BOMD simulations reveal that FSA-mediated SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis follows a stepwise mechanism, completing within a few picoseconds. Notably, FSA enhances the formation rate of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub> clusters by over 10<sup>5</sup> times in regions with relatively high [FSA] at elevated temperatures. Additionally, interfacial FSA<sup>-</sup> ion has the ability to appeal precursor species for particle formation from the gaseous phase to the water nanodroplet interface, thereby facilitating particle growth. These results present new comprehensions into both the pathways of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> formation and aerosol particle growth in polluted boundary layer.

**Keywords:** gas phase, atmospheric behavior, new particle formation, air pollution

---

\* Corresponding authors. Tel: +86-0916-2641083, Fax: +86-0916-2641083.

e-mail: ztianlei88@163.com (T. L Zhang) and mxhsdu@163.com (X. H Ma)

## 1.Introduction

Sulfuric acid (SA) is an important atmospheric pollutant closely associated with new particle formation (NPF) events and is recognized as a vital precursor in the process of converting gases into particles. It facilitates the formation of sulfate aerosols and acid rain in diverse environments, influencing cloud formation, precipitation and the Earth's radiation balance, ultimately contributing to climate change (Yao et al., 2018; Venkataraman et al., 2001; Kumar et al., 2024). Experimental (Couling et al., 2003; Reiner and Arnold, 1993; Bondybey and English, 1985) and theoretical studies (Feng and Wang, 2023; Kumar et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2025) have shown that atmospheric gaseous SA primarily forms via SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis (Sarkar et al., 2019; Tao et al., 2018; Carmona-García et al., 2021). However, the likelihood of direct SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis in the atmosphere is low due to the high activation energy associated with the process (Chen and Plummer, 1985). Introducing a second water molecule has been shown to significantly lower the activation energy, making SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis more efficient (Morokuma and Muguruma, 1994). Further research indicates that, besides water molecules, other species such as formic acid (Kangas et al., 2020), oxalic acid (Yang et al., 2021), nitric acid (Long et al., 2022), SA (Wang et al., 2024) and ammonia (Sarkar et al., 2019) exhibit even greater catalytic efficiency in promoting SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis for SA formation. These findings provide valuable theoretical insights for understanding SA sources, particularly in regions where pollutant concentrations are notably elevated. Nevertheless, further investigation is necessary to fully understand the SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis mechanism in areas with high levels of specific pollutants, to better assess its behavior and effects under different atmospheric conditions.

Carboxylic sulfuric anhydrides (CSAs) are a recently identified class of atmospheric organosulfides, formed by the cycloaddition of SO<sub>3</sub> with organic carboxylic acids present (Fleig et al., 2012). These CSAs exhibit strong acidity and can act as proton transfer bridges, potentially influencing SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis and promoting the formation of SA in regions with high CSA concentrations. Research indicates that the gaseous CSA concentration can reach 10<sup>7</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup> (Smith et al., 2020), creating conditions that may impact SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis. As the simplest CSA, formic sulfuric anhydride (FSA) has been characterized using microwave spectroscopic (Mackenzie et al., 2015). FSA is more acidic than formic acid and may facilitate proton transfer in the gaseous hydrolysis of SO<sub>3</sub>. However, its role in this process has not yet been explored. Besides, it has been

reported that the interfacial environment both initiates the organization and clustering of hydrophilic groups and acts as an effective medium for various atmospheric reactions (Ma et al., 2020; Zhong et al., 2019; Tan et al., 2022; Wan et al., 2023). Notably, proton transfer routes induced by interfacial water molecules accelerate numerous atmospheric reactions taking place on aerosols and droplets surfaces. These reactions typically proceed at accelerated rates and can differ from similar processes in the gas phase or bulk water (Tang et al., 2024; Fang et al., 2024; Martins-Costa and Ruiz-López, 2024). Thus, it is essential to investigate whether FSA accelerates  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis at the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface, as this could offer valuable insights into atmospheric chemistry and the mechanisms driving particle formation.

Additionally, new species generated from gas-phase reactions of  $\text{SO}_3$  with trace substances (Li et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019) can also significantly influence the NPF process. For example, Li et al. (Li et al., 2018) revealed that  $\text{NH}_2\text{SO}_3\text{H}$ , formed from the reaction of  $\text{SO}_3$  with ammonia (A), not only contributes directly to  $\text{SA}-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NH}$  cluster formation but also enhances the maximum rate of NPF from SA and  $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NH}$  by approximately twofold in heavily polluted areas with high concentrations of basic substances. Similarly, Liu et al. (Liu et al., 2019) predicted that methyl hydrogen sulfate (MHS), formed from the reaction of  $\text{SO}_3$  with methanol, significantly impacts  $\text{SA}-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{NH}$  nucleation, particularly in dry regions with high alcohol concentrations. FSA, produced from the reaction of  $\text{SO}_3$  with  $\text{HCOOH}$ , contains the  $-\text{OSO}_3\text{H}$  functional group and exhibits a binding capability comparable to that of SA with nucleation precursors like A. The potential role of FSA in enhancing SA-A nucleation in the atmosphere requires further investigation to fully understand its contribution to NPF processes.

This work examined the catalytic effect of FSA on  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis and SA-A nucleation particle formation. Specifically, the catalytic effects of FSA on gaseous  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis were firstly explored. Following this, the differences between the gaseous and interfacial reactions of FSA-catalyzed  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis were evaluated using BOMD simulations. Subsequently, a qualitative evaluation of FSA's nucleation capability was conducted through molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. Finally, the atmospheric implications of FSA on particle formation were analyzed. This study not only deepens our understanding of the impact of FSA on  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis but also provides new molecular-level mechanisms for the contribution to SA-A particle formation.

## 2. Computational Methods

**2.1 Quantum Chemical Details.** The M06-2X functional (Mardirossian and Head, 2016; Pereira et al., 2017) is highly effective in describing noncovalent interactions and estimating the thermochemistry and equilibrium structures of atmospheric reactions. To investigate the impact of formic sulfuric anhydride (FSA) on gaseous  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis, the M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd) computational method, as implemented in Gaussian 09 software (Frisch, 2009), was employed to analyze the geometric structures and vibrational frequencies of the relevant species. It is noted that the calculated bond distances and bond angles at the M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd) level (Fig. S1) are in good agreement with both experimental data and values obtained using the M06-2X/6-311++G(3df,3pd) method. Meanwhile, the calculations of intrinsic reaction coordinate were carried out to conduct the connections between the transition states and their corresponding pre-reactive and post-reactive complexes. To enhance the reliability of the relative Gibbs free energies, single-point energies at the CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVDZ-F12-CABS level were calculated using the ORCA software (Neese, 2012).

The most stable structure of the  $(\text{FSA})_x(\text{SA})_y(\text{A})_z$  ( $z \leq x + y \leq 3$ ) clusters were obtained by the following three steps. Initially, the ABCluster program (Zhang and Dolg, 2015) was utilized to randomly produce  $n \times 1000$  initial isomers ( $1 \leq n \leq 3$ ), which were subsequently evaluated using the PM6 method via MOPAC 2016 (Partanen et al., 2016). Next, up to  $n \times 100$  lowest-energy isomers were chosen and further refined using the method of M06-2X/6-31+G(d,p). Then, the top  $n \times 10$  isomers were re-optimized at the M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd) method level to ascertain their isomers with the lowest energy. Lastly, based on the optimized geometries of the stable clusters at the M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd) level, the single point energies were calculated at the DLPNO-CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVDZ-F12-CABS level (Tchinda et al., 2022) using the ORCA. The optimized structures and their Gibbs free energies are detailed in Fig. S12 and Table S7, respectively.

**2.2 Rate Coefficient Computations.** Rate coefficients for FSA-assisted  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis were calculated via two steps as follows. First, the VRC-VTST methodology (Zhang et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2024) was applied using the Polyrates program (Meana-Pañeda et al., 2024) to calculate the rate coefficients under high-pressure conditions. Next, the Master Equation Solver for Multi-Energy Well Reactions (Glowacki et al., 2012) was engaged in computing the rate coefficients for FSA-

assisted  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis across a temperature range of 280.0 to 320.0 K. To estimate the rate coefficients for the barrier less formation of pre-reactive complexes from the separated reactants, we applied the Inverse Laplace Transform (ILT) method (Kumar et al., 2021). In parallel, RRKM theory (Bao et al., 2016) was utilized to estimate the rate coefficients for the transition from the pre-reactive complex to the post-reactive complex through a transition state. Additionally, the MESMER calculations in this study applied an Eckart tunneling correction to the reaction rates. Details of the ILT methods and RRKM theory are provided in Part 1 and Part 2 in the Supplement, respectively.

**2.3 BOMD Simulations.** BOMD simulations were conducted with the CP2K program (Hutter et al., 2014). The BLYP functional was applied to address exchange and correlation interactions (Becke, 1988; Lee et al., 1988). Grimme's dispersion-corrected method (Grimme et al., 2010) was employed to account for the dispersion interactions and effectively handle weak dispersion effects. The GTH norm-conserving pseudopotentials (Goedecker et al., 1996), along with the Gaussian DZVP basis set (Phillips et al., 2005) and the auxiliary plane wave basis set, were utilized to describe the core and valence electrons, respectively. The plane wave basis set was established with a 280 Ry energy cutoff, while the Gaussian basis set cutoff was set at 40 Ry. A supercell side length of 15 Å was used in gas phase simulations to eliminate periodic boundary conditions with step of 0.5 fs. For interfacial reactions, a water droplet containing 191 water molecules was initially pre-optimized through BOMD simulation for approximately 5.0 ps at 300 K. Subsequently,  $\text{SO}_3$  and FSA were positioned at the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface to perform the simulations over 10 ps. A supercell side length of 35 Å was set for gas-liquid nanodroplet interface simulations to prevent periodic interactions between neighbouring water droplets, using a step of 1.0 fs. In all simulations under the NVT ensemble, a stable temperature of 300 K was maintained using the Nose-Hoover thermostat.

#### **2.4 Classical Molecular Dynamics Simulation**

MD simulations were conducted using the GROMACS 2024.3 software package (Abraham et al., 2024) with the general AMBER force field (GAFF). GAFF is a comprehensive force field that encompasses nearly all of organic chemical space, including elements such as C, N, O, S, P, H, F, Cl, Br, and I. This force field has been widely utilized in studies of the air-water interface, with the results confirming its suitability for predicting the properties of species at this interface (Li et al., 2024b; Cheng et al., 2025; Zhao et al., 2019). To get the force field parameters, geometry

optimization at the M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd) level were performed, following Electrostatic potential (ESP) calculations at the same level. Geometry optimization and electrostatic potential (ESP) calculations were carried out with the Gaussian 09 software. The restrained electrostatic potential (RESP) charges were calculated using Multiwfn 3.8 (dev) (Lu and Chen, 2012). Subsequently, the AMBER parameter and coordinate files were generated using Packmol (Martínez et al., 2009) and Sobotop (Lu, 2023), respectively.

#### 2.4.1 Surface preference of SO<sub>3</sub>, FSA and SO<sub>3</sub>-FSA

A cubic box with a side length of 4 nm, containing 2165 water molecules, was initially constructed. The box was then extended along the z-axis to a length of 9 nm. The water slab was positioned at the center of the box with the COM coordinates of (2.0 nm, 2.0 nm, 4.5 nm), while the SO<sub>3</sub>, FSA and SO<sub>3</sub>-FSA complexes were placed at (2.0 nm, 2.0 nm, 7.5 nm) (Fig. S6(c)). Subsequently, a 150 ns NVT simulation was conducted.

#### 2.4.2 Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Nucleation

The simulation was performed within a cubic simulation box, each side measuring 200 Å in length. Following energy minimization, the system was further simulated under the NVT and NPT ensembles at 298 K for durations of 100 ps and 40 ns, respectively. The Berendsen pressure coupling method (Berendsen et al., 1984) and the velocity rescaling thermostat (Bussi et al., 2007) were used to regulate pressure and temperature, respectively. The system applied periodic boundary conditions to mimic an infinite environment, with a 1 fs time step. The electrostatic and van der Waals interactions were set with a 1.4 nm cutoff distance, and the Particle-Mesh Ewald method (York et al., 1993) was implemented for long-range electrostatics. All the bond lengths were restricted by the LINCS algorithm (Hess et al., 1997) to preserve structural integrity during the simulation.

**2.5 Atmospheric Cluster Dynamics Code (ACDC) Model.** The ACDC (McGrath et al., 2012) was employed to investigate cluster formation rates and growth mechanisms for (FSA)<sub>x</sub>(SA)<sub>y</sub>(A)<sub>z</sub> clusters. The ACDC simulations were supplied with thermodynamic data, which was derived from quantum chemical calculations performed by M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd). Accounting for all potential collision and evaporation processes, the following formulation represents the birth-death equations:

$$\frac{dc_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < i} \beta_{j,(i-j)} C_j C_{(i-j)} + \sum_j \gamma_{(i+j) \rightarrow i} C_{i+j} - \sum_j \beta_{i,j} C_i C_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j < i} \gamma_{i \rightarrow j} C_i + Q_i - S_i \quad (1)$$

In the above equation,  $c_i$  represents the concentration of  $i$  cluster, while  $\beta_{ij}$  stands for the collision coefficient between  $i$  and  $j$  clusters. The term  $\gamma_{(i+j) \rightarrow i}$  refers to the coefficient at which the larger  $i+j$  cluster breaks down (or evaporates) into  $i$  and  $j$  clusters. Additionally,  $Q_i$  accounts for any possible external source of  $i$  cluster. To consider the external losses of  $i$  cluster, a coagulation sink coefficient of  $2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  was used, aligning with values typically found in polluted environments (Liu et al., 2021b). In ACDC, boundary clusters must be sufficiently stable, which allows them to continue growing. Therefore, the clusters of  $(\text{SA})_4 \cdot (\text{A})_3$ ,  $(\text{SA})_4 \cdot (\text{A})_4$ ,  $(\text{FSA})_4 \cdot (\text{A})_3$ ,  $(\text{FSA})_4 \cdot (\text{A})_4$ ,  $(\text{FSA})_3 \cdot \text{SA} \cdot (\text{A})_3$ ,  $(\text{FSA})_2 \cdot (\text{SA})_2 \cdot (\text{A})_3$  and  $\text{FSA} \cdot (\text{SA})_3 \cdot (\text{A})_3$  were selected as the boundary clusters in the SA-A-FSA system.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 The Hydrolysis of $\text{SO}_3$ Assisted by FSA

The  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with  $\text{HCOOSO}_3\text{H}$  (FSA) can initially occur via the interaction between  $\text{SO}_3$  (or FSA) and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  to form  $\text{SO}_3 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (or  $\text{FSA} \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) dimer. Subsequently, the  $\text{SO}_3 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$  dimer collides with FSA, and the  $\text{FSA} \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$  dimer interacts with  $\text{SO}_3$ . The predicted relative Gibbs free energies of  $\text{SO}_3 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$  is  $0.8 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  at the CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVDZ-F12//M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd) level, which is nearly previously reported values ( $-0.2$  to  $1.0 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ) (Long et al., 2013; Long et al., 2012; Lv et al., 2019; Bandyopadhyay et al., 2017). As compared with  $\text{FSA} \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , the binding free energy of  $\text{SO}_3 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$  is less stable by  $2.6 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ , which leads to the equilibrium coefficient of  $\text{FSA} \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $2.63 \times 10^{-18}$ - $2.49 \times 10^{-19} \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ ) (Table S2) being at least 10 times larger than that of  $\text{SO}_3 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $2.45 \times 10^{-20}$ - $5.10 \times 10^{-21} \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$  within 280.0-320.0 K). Under the available concentrations ( $[\text{FSA}] = 1.0 \times 10^7$ ,  $[\text{SO}_3] = 1.0 \times 10^3 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ ) (Liu et al., 2019), the concentration of  $\text{FSA} \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$  is  $1.36 \times 10^6$ - $6.80 \times 10^6 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$  within 280.0-320.0 K, which is  $10^6$  times larger than that of  $\text{SO}_3 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Table S3). Therefore, it is predicted that  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with FSA predominantly take places via the collision between  $\text{FSA} \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{SO}_3$ .

Starting from the  $\text{FSA} \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{SO}_3$  reactants, an eight-membered ring pre-reactive complex  $\text{SO}_3 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O} \cdots \text{FSA}$  (named as  $\text{IM}_{\text{SA\_FSA}}$ ) is found and its Gibbs free energy relative to the isolated  $\text{SO}_3$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and FSA reactants is  $-2.0 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ . In comparison to the previously reported neutral ( $\text{SO}_3 \cdots 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) and acidic complexes  $\text{SO}_3 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O} \cdots X$  ( $X = \text{HNO}_3$ ,  $\text{HCOOH}$ ,  $(\text{COOH})_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ )

(Yang et al., 2021; Long et al., 2012; Torrent-Sucarrat et al., 2012; Long et al., 2013), the stability of the  $\text{SO}_3 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O} \cdots \text{FSA}$  complex is notably enhanced by 0.2-2.7  $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ . This is because the positive electrostatic potential (ESP) of the hydrogen atom in the FSA molecule (Fig. S5) is stronger than those in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $X$  molecules, resulting in stronger intermolecular interactions of  $\text{SO}_3 \cdots \text{H}_2\text{O} \cdots \text{FSA}$ . Following the  $\text{IM}_{\text{SA\_FSA}}$  complex, the reaction proceeds via  $\text{TS}_{\text{SA\_FSA}}$ , leading to the  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdots \text{FSA}$  formation. For the FSA-catalyzed  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis, its Gibbs free energy barrier is 2.5  $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ , representing a reduction of 22.1  $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  relative to the  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis without FSA (Table S1). Moreover, it is also 1.0-4.0  $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  lower in free energy barrier than those of the  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (Table S1). Therefore, FSA is clearly more effective than  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{HNO}_3$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in decreasing the energy barrier for  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis.  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdots \text{FSA}$  is an eight-membered ring complex, similar to  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdots X$  complexes in the  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with  $X$ . The predicted free energy of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdots \text{FSA}$  (-12.9  $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) is lower by 10.9  $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$  compared to that of the  $\text{IM}_{\text{SA\_FSA}}$  complex. This indicates the thermodynamic favorability of FSA-assisted  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis.

The computed rate coefficients for the hydrolysis of  $\text{SO}_3$  with and without FSA,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $X$  within 280.0-320.0 K are shown in Table 1. As observed at 298.0 K, the rate coefficient for the  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with FSA ( $k_{\text{FSA}}$ ) is  $7.71 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3\cdot\text{molecule}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ , surpassing that of the uncatalyzed  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis by a factor of  $10^{12}$ . Additionally, the value of  $k_{\text{FSA}}$  at 298.0 K is larger by factors of 60.23 and 84.63 than those for the  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  ( $k_{\text{WM}}$ ) and  $\text{HNO}_3$  ( $k_{\text{NA}}$ ), respectively. Similarly, within 280.0-320.0 K in Table 1, FSA can compete with  $\text{HCOOH}$ ,  $(\text{COOH})_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  with the value of  $k_{\text{FSA}}$  being larger by factors of 1.02-1.64 than those of  $k_{\text{FA}}$ ,  $k_{\text{OA}}$  and  $k_{\text{SA}}$ . These findings indicate that the catalytic efficiency of FSA in  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis surpasses that of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{HNO}_3$ , and is comparable to  $\text{HCOOH}$ ,  $(\text{COOH})_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

To consider a contribution of FSA on  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis, the rate ratios between FSA- and  $X$ -catalyzed  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis reactions were calculated, as shown in Table S5. As observed, the  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  is more favorable than with FSA because the  $[\text{H}_2\text{O}]$  ( $10^{16}$ - $10^{18} \text{ molecules}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) is significantly greater than  $[\text{FSA}]$  ( $10^7 \text{ molecules}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ ). When the acid catalysts  $\text{HNO}_3$  ( $10^9 \text{ molecules}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ ),  $\text{HCOOH}$  ( $10^8 \text{ molecules}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) and  $\text{SA}$  ( $10^6 \text{ molecules}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) are considered, FSA dominates over them within 280.0-320.0 K as the rate ratio  $\nu_{\text{WM}}/\nu_X$  is greater than 1. This reveals that the FSA-assisted reaction is indispensable in  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis within regions affected by FSA

pollution and can significantly promote the hydrolysis of SO<sub>3</sub> within 280.0-320.0 K.

### 3.2 FSA-Catalyzed SO<sub>3</sub> Hydrolysis at the Gas-liquid Nanodroplet Interface

Aqueous interfaces are widespread across Earth's atmosphere. (Li et al., 2024a; Zhong et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2024; Gao et al., 2024; Dong et al., 2024). The gas-liquid nanodroplet interface serves as a significant site for adsorption and reactions, potentially enhancing atmospheric reaction rates and leading to the emergence of novel mechanisms. However, at the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface, comprehensive understanding of the mechanism for FSA-assisted SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis was lacking. Notably, during the 150 ns simulation, molecules of SO<sub>3</sub> and FSA and the SO<sub>3</sub>-FSA complex were observed to reside at the interface for 35.8%, 46.3% and 40.5% (Fig. S7), respectively, revealing that the presence of SO<sub>3</sub>, FSA molecule and SO<sub>3</sub>-FSA complex cannot be ignored at the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface. To further investigate this prediction, we performed BOMD simulations to assess the FSA-assisted hydrolysis of SO<sub>3</sub> at the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface. Similar to the reactions of SO<sub>3</sub> with other acidic species at this interface, the interaction between SO<sub>3</sub> and FSA at the aqueous interface might take place via three pathways: (i) direct interaction of SO<sub>3</sub> with adsorbed FSA; (ii) interaction of adsorbed SO<sub>3</sub> with FSA; or (iii) reaction starting from the SO<sub>3</sub>-FSA complex. Given the high reactivity and the brief residency time of SO<sub>3</sub> and FSA at the interface, as evidenced by their short lifetimes (Fig. S8) of only a few picoseconds and rapid formation of SA<sup>-</sup> and FSA<sup>-</sup> ion, the simulations have primarily considered the pathway of (iii). Notably, the contribution of pathway (iii) on the aqueous nanodroplet surface is slight due to the low concentration of SO<sub>3</sub>-FSA complex ( $9.49 \times 10^{-23}$ - $1.80 \times 10^{-22}$  molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup> within 280.0-320.0 K (Table S2)). However, this focus enabled a deeper understanding of the interfacial dynamics and the mechanisms underpinning these rapid transformations.

Unlike the gaseous hydrolysis mechanism of SO<sub>3</sub> with FSA, which occurs through the one-step mechanism, interfacial SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis mediated by FSA occurs via a stepwise mechanism (Fig. 2, Fig. S9 and Movie S1), consisting of three steps: i) SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis along with proton transfer outside the ring; ii) the deprotonation of FSA; and iii) the deprotonation of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Specifically, at 0 ps, a loop-structure complex, SO<sub>3</sub>···(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>···FSA, was initially found with the formations of three hydrogen bonds ( $d_{(O6\cdots H4)} = 1.75$ ;  $d_{(O3\cdots H2)} = 1.92$  and  $d_{(O5\cdots H3)} = 2.39$  Å) and a van der Waals interaction ( $d_{(O1\cdots S)} = 2.31$  Å). Then, the loop structure mechanism proceeded along with the simultaneous event of the proton transfer outside the ring. At 1.01 ps, an arrangement resembling a

transition state was found for the interfacial  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis, characterized by shortening of the S-O1 and O2-H1 bonds and elongation of the O1-H1 bond. By 1.14 ps, the S-O1 and O2-H1 bond lengths had reduced to 1.45 Å and 0.97 Å, respectively, while the O1-H1 bond had elongated to 1.42 Å, indicating the formation of  $\text{HSO}_4^-$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$  ions. Due to the strong acidity of FSA, the H3 atom of FSA was moved to the O5 atom of the  $\text{HSO}_4^-$  ion at 1.87 ps, leading to  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  molecule and  $\text{FSA}^-$  ion. Finally, the deprotonation of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  was completed at 2.18 ps, with the H2 atom of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  moved to one interfacial water molecule inside the ring. In contrast to the  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with FSA in the gas phase, which does not proceed within 100 ps, the reaction at the gas-liquid nanodroplet interface rapidly proceeds within just a few picoseconds. However, considering the harsh reaction conditions between  $\text{SO}_3$  and FSA at the interface (i.e., the two molecules must be sufficiently close to form the  $\text{SO}_3$ -FSA complex) and the high concentration of water molecules at the aqueous interfaces, the direct hydrolysis of  $\text{SO}_3$  at the aqueous interfaces is more advantageous than the  $\text{SO}_3$ -FSA complex reacting on the aqueous surface.

Interestingly, the formation of  $\text{FSA}^-$  and  $\text{HSO}_4^-$  is highly stable, and their dissociation did not occur within 10 ps. Species such as  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (SA),  $\text{NH}_3$  (A),  $\text{HNO}_3$ , and  $(\text{COOH})_2$  are identified as candidates for particle formation, with the SA-A cluster serving as a significant precursor to atmospheric aerosols. Calculated binding free energies of the corresponding bimolecular clusters were shown in Table 2 where the computed binding free energies agree well with previous values (Zhong et al., 2019). As shown, the interactions of  $\text{FSA}^-$ -SA ( $-21.2 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and  $\text{FSA}^-$ - $\text{HNO}_3$  ( $-12.1 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) are stronger than that of SA-A ( $-8.9 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ), illustrating that interfacial  $\text{FSA}^-$  and  $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$  ions can attract precursor molecules from the gaseous phase to the aqueous nanodroplet surface, and thus facilitating particle growth. Additionally, the enhancing potential of the  $\text{FSA}^-$  ion on the SA-A cluster was assessed by examining the binding free energies of the SA-A- $\text{FSA}^-$  and SA-A- $Y$  ( $Y = \text{HOOCCH}_2\text{COOH}$ ,  $\text{HOCCOOSO}_3\text{H}$ ,  $\text{CH}_3\text{OSO}_3\text{H}$ ,  $\text{HOOCCH}_2\text{CH}(\text{NH}_2)\text{COOH}$  and  $\text{HOCH}_2\text{COOH}$ ) clusters. The binding free energies of SA-A- $\text{FSA}^-$  and SA-A- $Y$  clusters listed in Table 2 were consistent with previously reported values (Rong et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2017; Gao et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2021a). Notably, compared to SA-A- $Y$ , the binding free energy of SA-A- $\text{FSA}^-$  ( $-25.6 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) was larger than  $5.2$ - $12.8 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ , indicating that the  $\text{FSA}^-$  at the interface exhibits a greater nucleation capability than gaseous molecule  $Y$ . Consequently,  $\text{FSA}^-$  is expected to demonstrate enhanced nucleation potential at the gas-liquid interface. A further

quantitative assessment of the aerosol nucleation potential of Y ions at the droplet interface could not be conducted, as data on the concentration of Y ions at the interface are not yet available.

### 3.3 FSA's Role in Nucleation and Cluster Formation

Electrostatic potential (ESP) analysis was conducted to predict the potential hydrogen bond binding sites among FSA, SA and A. The -OH moiety in the FSA molecule contains a highly electrophilic hydrogen atom, making it a favorable donor site for hydrogen bonds (ESP value: +60.6 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 3). Meanwhile, the terminal oxygen atoms of the -SO<sub>3</sub>H and -COOH moieties in FSA can act as an effective hydrogen bond receptor site due to their stronger electronegativity (ESP values: -23.8, -22.4 and -13.0 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>). Thus, FSA can form stable clusters by forming hydrogen bonds with SA and A.

Using MD simulations, the aggregation behavior of FSA with SA and A molecules was investigated at various atmospheric temperatures (Fig. 4 and Figs. S10-S11). In these simulation systems, 5 FSA, 5 SA, 10 A, 20 H<sub>2</sub>O, 41 O<sub>2</sub> and 154 N<sub>2</sub> molecules were included. Similar with the previously studies (Ding et al., 2024; Wei et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023), the concentration of precursors has not been considered, and only a qualitative assessment of FSA's involvement in SA-A nucleation was conducted. Notably, the complete stable (FSA)<sub>5</sub>·(SA)<sub>5</sub>·(A)<sub>10</sub> cluster was observed at all the three simulations temperatures. Fig. 4 displayed the snapshots of the nucleation simulation at 258.15 K. The initial simulation at 0 ns shows that there is not effective nucleation, as all molecules in the system are scattered (Fig. 4). Subsequently, at 0.4 ns, various clusters such as SA·A and FSA·A clusters were formed. As molecular aggregation continued, the collision between FSA, SA, and A molecules results in the formation of SA·(A)<sub>2</sub>, FSA·A, FSA·SA·A and FSA·SA·(A)<sub>3</sub> clusters at 1.5 ns, and then the SA·(A)<sub>2</sub>, FSA·SA·A, (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·SA·(A)<sub>3</sub> and (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·(SA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> clusters are formed at 3.0 ns. Next, with further aggregation of the molecules, SA·(A)<sub>2</sub>, (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·SA·(A)<sub>4</sub> and (FSA)<sub>3</sub>·(SA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>4</sub> clusters are observed within 4.0 ns. Finally, the molecules fully aggregate to form (FSA)<sub>5</sub>·(SA)<sub>5</sub>·(A)<sub>10</sub> clusters at 7.5 ns, and this complete cluster stays stable throughout the entire simulation period. It is noteworthy that the numbers of FSA molecules can gradually interact with SA and A molecules to form relatively large clusters, where hydrogen bonds among SA, A and FSA play a crucial role. It is also noteworthy that during the nucleation process, the proton transfer between acid and base molecules plays an important role in acid-base nucleation which cannot be reflected in the classical MD simulation. However, it is initially predicted by classical MD

simulation that FSA could act as a “participator” in NPF and could be directly involved in SA-A nucleation. Further predictions regarding the enhancement effect of FSA on SA-A molecular clustering should be conducted below by considering the cluster stability, the formation rate and the growth pathways.

### 3.4 The Impact of Atmospheric Conditions on the Thermodynamic Clusters Stability

The Gibbs free energies of formation ( $\Delta G$ , kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) and evaporation rate coefficients ( $\gamma$ , s<sup>-1</sup>) of the (FSA)<sub>x</sub>(SA)<sub>y</sub>(A)<sub>z</sub> clusters were analyzed to estimate the thermodynamic stability of the clusters involved in the SA-A-FSA system (Tables S7-S8). The  $\Delta G$  and  $\gamma$  of the important pure SA·A clusters and FSA-containing stable clusters were primarily discussed at three temperature. At 298.15 K, the  $\Delta G$  value of the SA·A cluster was 2.69 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> greater than that of the FSA·A cluster (Fig. 5). Meanwhile, its  $\gamma$  value was about 10<sup>2</sup> times greater than that of the FSA·A cluster, suggesting that the FSA·A cluster is more stable and likely to participate in subsequent growth as an initial cluster. For the (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>2</sub> cluster, its  $\Delta G$  (-31.41 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) was smaller by 3.50 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> than that of the (SA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>2</sub> cluster (-27.91 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) with the  $\gamma$  value of the former one (2.48 s<sup>-1</sup>) at least 10<sup>4</sup> times lower than that of the latter one ( $8.35 \times 10^4$  s<sup>-1</sup>), indicating that the (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>2</sub> cluster is more stable than clusters containing SA and A with the same acid-base number. For the (FSA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> cluster, its  $\gamma$  ( $3.33 \times 10^{-3}$  s<sup>-1</sup>) was nearly 10<sup>5</sup> times lower than that of the (SA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> ( $1.11 \times 10^2$  s<sup>-1</sup>) cluster, allowing (FSA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> to serve as a critical nucleation cluster and participate in subsequent growth. Similarly, at 278.15 K and 258.15 K, the FSA·A, (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>2</sub> and (FSA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> clusters were all more stable than the SA-A binary nucleation clusters with the same acid-base number. Regarding for the (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·SA·(A)<sub>3</sub> and FSA·(SA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> clusters at 298.15 K, the  $\Delta G$  values (-57.73 and -54.83 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) were lower than that of (SA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> (-53.69 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>). Simultaneously, the  $\gamma$  values of the (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·SA·(A)<sub>3</sub> ( $3.38 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>) and FSA·(SA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> ( $5.28 \times 10^{-1}$  s<sup>-1</sup>) clusters were respectively lower 10<sup>6</sup> and 2 times lower than that of (SA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> ( $1.11 \times 10^2$  s<sup>-1</sup>). Likewise, the (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·SA·(A)<sub>3</sub> and FSA·(SA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> clusters were more stable than the (SA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> cluster at low temperatures (278.15 K and 258.15 K) due to their significantly lower evaporation rates. Therefore, compared to pure SA-A clusters, clusters containing FSA molecules exhibit higher stability and are more likely to engage in nucleation and subsequent cluster growth processes as stable clusters. The clusters of (SA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub>, (FSA)<sub>3</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub>, (FSA)<sub>2</sub>·SA·(A)<sub>3</sub> and FSA·(SA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>3</sub> have

the potential to further grow into the boundary clusters  $[(SA)_4 \cdot (A)_3, (SA)_4 \cdot (A)_4, (FSA)_4 \cdot (A)_3, (FSA)_4 \cdot (A)_4, (FSA)_3 \cdot SA \cdot (A)_3, (FSA)_2 \cdot (SA)_2 \cdot (A)_3$  and  $FSA \cdot (SA)_3 \cdot (A)_3]$ , which has relative lower Gibbs free energy and evaporation rates.

### 3.5 Influence of Particle Formation Rates Under Varying Temperatures and Nucleation Precursor Concentrations

To investigate the cluster formation rate ( $J$ ,  $\text{cm}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ) of FSA involved clusters, a range of ACDC simulations were performed using thermodynamic data of the SA-A-FSA clusters at varying temperatures and monomer concentrations ( $[SA] = 10^4 - 10^8$ ,  $[A] = 10^7 - 10^{11}$  and  $[FSA] = 10^3 - 10^7$  molecules $\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ ). The values of  $J$  for the SA-A-FSA system at varying temperatures (Fig. 6) showed that  $J$  increased as the temperature decreased, due to the smaller values of both  $\Delta G$  and  $\gamma$  at lower temperatures. Specifically, when  $[FSA]$  ranges from  $10^3$  to  $10^7$  molecules $\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ ,  $J$  can increase by up to four orders of magnitude at 258.15 K. At 298.15 K,  $J$  shows a significant increase, rising by five orders of magnitude. These findings suggest that the formation rate exhibits a substantial variation at high temperatures. Meanwhile,  $J$  increased with increasing  $[FSA]$ , attributable to the formation of more SA-A-FSA clusters. For example, when  $[FSA]$  exceeds  $10^3$  molecules $\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$  at the high temperature of 298.15 K,  $J$  exhibits a significant increase, rising by five orders of magnitude. This suggests that the involvement of FSA can strongly enhance the nucleation rate in SA-A-based NPF. In addition to temperature and  $[FSA]$ , the varying concentrations of SA and A might have a significant impact on the nucleation rate. Fig. 7 reveals a clear positive correlation between  $J$  and both  $[SA]$  and  $[A]$ . This can also be attributed to the fact that a higher concentration of nucleation precursors promotes an increase in  $J$ .

### 3.6 FSA-Driven Nucleation Enhancement Mechanism

The clusters formed in the simulation system via two main pathways: the pure SA-A pathway and SA-A-FSA pathways (Fig. 8). The pure SA-A nucleation pathway primarily formed stable  $(SA)_3 \cdot (A)_3$  clusters through monomer addition and collision with  $SA \cdot A$  cluster. The SA-A-FSA nucleation pathway can be categorized into two routes, with FSA acting as a “participator” in the SA-A-FSA-based nucleation process. This is in agreement with the results predicted by the molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. One route involved the initial formation of the stable cluster  $FSA \cdot A$ , which then collided with one FSA molecule or another  $FSA \cdot A$  cluster to form subsequent stable clusters and continue growing. The other route involved the initial formation of the stable

(SA)<sub>2</sub>·A cluster, which then collided with one FSA·A cluster to form the stable (SA)<sub>2</sub>·(A)<sub>2</sub>·FSA, continuing to grow through the addition of an A molecule. Interestingly, at varying temperatures and concentrations of nucleating precursors, the FSA molecule exhibited distinct effects and contributions in the SA-A system. As the temperature increased, the contribution of the SA-A-FSA pathway rose from 6% to 92% (Fig. 9(a)). Therefore, the cluster growth pathway involving FSA appears to prevail at relatively higher temperatures, such as during summer or at lower altitudes. The involvement of FSA in the primary cluster formation pathway may also be influenced by the concentration of the precursors. Specifically, the contribution of the FSA participation pathway exhibited a negative correlation with [SA] or [A] at 278.15 K (Fig. 9(b-c)). Consequently, the contributions of the SA-A-FSA pathway may be more substantial in the clean atmospheric boundary layer with low [A] and [SA], such as in area distant from heavy traffic and emission sources of SA. Additionally, the contribution of the SA-A-FSA pathway increases as [FSA] rises (Fig. 9(d)). At lower [FSA] (10<sup>4</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>), the contribution of SA-A-FSA pathway was only 15%, with cluster growth pathways predominantly governed by the formation of pure SA-A clusters. However, as [FSA] increased to 10<sup>5</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>, the contribution of FSA-involved clusters rose to 64%, making the pathway involving FSA dominant for cluster formation in the SA-A-FSA system. Moreover, the SA-A-FSA mechanism contributed more significantly (94%) at higher [FSA] concentrations (10<sup>6</sup>-10<sup>7</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>). In summary, the contribution of the pathway involving FSA is significantly prevalent in the NPF process with decreasing [SA] and [A] and increasing temperature and [FSA]. These results suggest that FSA could be a significant contributor to SA-A atmospheric NPF, and the SA-A-FSA pathway may prevail in regions with relatively higher temperatures and high FSA emissions, such as in Beijing, Shanghai, and Tangshan, where high concentrations of SO<sub>3</sub> and HCOOH are observed.

## 4. Summary and Conclusions

The potential contribution of FSA to gaseous and interfacial SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis, as well as its enhancement of atmospheric particle formation was investigated. Gaseous results indicated that SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis with FSA has a Gibbs free energy barrier as low as 1.5 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> and can effectively compete with SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis by HNO<sub>3</sub> (10<sup>9</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>), HCOOH (10<sup>8</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>) and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (10<sup>6</sup> molecules·cm<sup>-3</sup>) over a temperature range of 280.0-320.0 K. Interfacial BOMD

simulations illustrated that FSA-mediated  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis at the gas-liquid interface occurs through a stepwise mechanism and can be completed within a few picoseconds. ACDC kinetic simulations indicated that FSA significantly enhances cluster formation rates in the  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\text{-NH}_3$  system during summer, increasing rates by more than  $10^7$  times under conditions of high FSA concentrations and low  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and  $\text{NH}_3$  levels. The  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\text{-NH}_3\text{-FSA}$  nucleation mechanism exhibits a stronger nucleation ability than classical nucleation, making it a promising process for urban polluted environments rich in FSA sources. Meanwhile, the interfacial species formed, such as  $\text{HSO}_4^-$ ,  $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$  and  $\text{FSA}^-$ , act to attract precursor species (e.g.,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{HNO}_3$ ) from the gas phase to the nanodroplet interface, thereby facilitating further particle growth. This study broadens our understanding of a novel  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis pathway involving FSA in polluted regions, identifies previously overlooked new particle formation (NPF) sources in industrial areas, and deepens knowledge of the atmospheric organic-sulfur cycle.

#### **Data availability.**

All data presented in this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

#### **Author contributions.**

RW: methodology, investigation, funding acquisition, writing (original draft). RL: writing (review), data curation, methodology, investigation. SC: writing (review), data computation. RM: data curation, data computation. CZ: writing (editing), data curation, visualization, investigation. XM: data curation, project administration, writing (review and editing), funding acquisition. MK: methodology, writing (review and editing). TZ: writing (review and editing), funding acquisition.

#### **Competing interests.**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### **Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 22073059; 22203052; 42107109); the Key Cultivation Project of Shaanxi University of Technology (No: SLG2101); the Education Department of Shaanxi Provincial Government (No. 23JC023).

#### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Reference

- Abraham, M., Alekseenko, A., Basov, V., Bergh, C., Briand, E., Brown, A., Doijade, M., Fiorin, G., Fleischmann, S., Gorelov, S., Gouaillardet, G., Grey, A., Irrgang, M. E., Jalalypour, F., Jordan, J., Kutzner, C., Lemkul, J. A., Lundborg, M., Merz, P., Miletic, V., Morozov, D., Nabet, J., Pall, S., Pasquadibisceglie, A., Pellegrino, M., Santuz, H., Schulz, R., Shugaeva, T., Shvetsov, A., Villa, A., Wingermuehle, S., Hess, B., Lindahl, E. GROMACS 2024.3 Manual., <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13457083>, 2024.
- Bandyopadhyay, B., Kumar, P., and Biswas, P.: Ammonia catalyzed formation of sulfuric acid in troposphere: The curious case of a base promoting acid rain, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 121, 3101-3108, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.7b01172>, 2017.
- Bao, J. L., Zhang, X., and Truhlar, D. G.: Barrierless association of  $\text{CF}_2$  and dissociation of  $\text{C}_2\text{F}_4$  by variational transition-state theory and system-specific quantum Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel theory, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 113, 13606-13611, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616208113>, 2016.
- Becke, A. D.: Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior, *Phys. Rev. A*, 38, 3098-3100, <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrev.38.3098>, 1988.
- Berendsen, H. J. C., Postma, J. P. M., van Gunsteren, W. F., DiNola, A., and Haak, J. R.: Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 81, 3684-3690, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.448118>, 1984.
- Bondybey, V. E., and English, J. H.: Infrared spectra of  $\text{SO}_3$  polymers and complexes in rare gas matrices, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 109, 221-228, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2852\(85\)90308-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2852(85)90308-X), 1985.
- Bussi, G., Donadio, D., and Parrinello, M.: Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 126, 014101, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2408420>, 2007.
- Carmona-García, J., Trabelsi, T., Francés-Monerris, A., Cuevas, C. A., Saiz-Lopez, A., Roca-Sanjuán, D., and Francisco, J. S.: Photochemistry of  $\text{HOSO}_2$  and  $\text{SO}_3$  and implications for the production of sulfuric acid, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 143, 18794-18802, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c10153>, 2021.
- Chen, T., and Plummer, P. L.: Ab initio MO investigation of the gas-phase reaction sulfur trioxide + water. *farw. sulfuric acid*, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 89, 3689-3693, <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100263a023>, 1985.
- Cheng, Y., Ding, C., Zhang, T., Wang, R., Mu, R., Li, Z., Li, R., Shi, J., and Zhu, C.: Barrierless reactions of  $\text{C}_2$  Criegee intermediates with  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and their implication to oligomers and new particle formation, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 149, 574-584, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2023.12.020>, 2025.

482 Couling, S. B., Sully, K. J., and Horn, A. B.: Experimental study of the heterogeneous interaction  
 483 of SO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O: formation of condensed phase molecular sulfuric acid hydrates, *J. Am. Chem.*  
 484 *Soc.*, 125, 1994-2003, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja0210704>, 2003.

485 Ding, C., Wen, M. J., Zhang, T. L., Li, Z. Y., Li, R. R., Wang, R., Ou, T., Song, F. M. and Zhang, Q.:  
 486 Molecular mechanisms and atmospheric implications of the simplest criegee intermediate and  
 487 hydrochloric acid chemistry in the gas phase and at the aqueous interfaces, *Atmos. Environ.*,  
 488 330, 120558, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2024.120558>, 2024.

489 Dong, Z., Francisco, J. S., and Long, B.: Ammonolysis of glyoxal at the air-water nanodroplet  
 490 interface, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 63, e202316060, <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202316060>,  
 491 2024.

492 Fang, Y.-G., Wei, L., Francisco, J. S., Zhu, C., and Fang, W.-H.: Mechanistic insights into chloric  
 493 acid production by hydrolysis of chlorine trioxide at an air-water interface, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,  
 494 146, 21052-21060, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.4c06269>, 2024.

495 Feng, Y., and Wang, C.: Surface Confinement of finite-size water droplets for SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis  
 496 reaction revealed by molecular dynamics simulations based on a machine learning force field,  
 497 *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 145, 10631-10640, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c00698>, 2023.

498 Fleig, D. G., Vainio, E., Andersson, K., Brink, A., Johnsson, F., and Hupa, M.: Evaluation of SO<sub>3</sub>  
 499 measurement techniques in air and oxy-fuel combustion, *Energy Fuels*, 26, 5537-5549,  
 500 <https://doi.org/10.1021/EF301127X>, 2012.

501 Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R.,  
 502 Scalmani, G., Barone, V., Mennucci, B., Petersson, G. A., Nakatsuji, H., Caricato, M., Li, X.,  
 503 Hratchian, H. P., Izmaylov, A. F., Bloino, J., Zheng, G., Sonnenberg, J. L., Hada, M., Ehara,  
 504 M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J., Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y., Kitao, O., Nakai,  
 505 H., Vreven, T., Montgomery, J. A., Jr., Peralta, J. E., Ogliaro, F., Bearpark, M., Heyd, J. J.,  
 506 Brothers, E., Kudin, K. N., Staroverov, V. N., Kobayashi, R., Normand, J., Raghavachari, K.,  
 507 Rendell, A., Burant, J. C., Iyengar, S. S., Tomasi, J., Cossi, M., Rega, N., Millam, J. M., Klene,  
 508 M., Knox, J. E., Cross, J. B., Bakken, V., Adamo, C., Jaramillo, J., Gomperts, R., Stratmann,  
 509 R. E., Yazyev, O., Austin, A. J., Cammi, R., Pomelli, C., Ochterski, J. W., Martin, R. L.,  
 510 Morokuma, K., Zakrzewski, V. G., Voth, G. A., Salvador, P., Dannenberg, J. J., Dapprich, S.,  
 511 Daniels, A. D., Farkas, Ö., Foresman, J. B., Ortiz, J. V., Cioslowski, J., and Fox, D. J.:  
 512 Gaussian09 Revision D. 01, Gaussian Inc. Wallingford CT, <http://www.gaussian.com>, 2009.

513 Gao, J., Wang, R., Zhang, T., Liu, F., and Wang, W.: Effect of methyl hydrogen sulfate on the  
 514 formation of sulfuric acid-ammonia clusters: A theoretical study, *J. Chin. Chem. Soc.*, 70, 689-  
 515 698, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jccs.202200148>, 2023.

516 Gao, Q., Dong, Z., and Long, B.: Reactions of sulfur trioxide with hypochlorous acid catalyzed by  
 517 water in gas phase and at the air-water nanodroplet interface in the atmosphere: An important  
 518 sink for hypochlorous acid, *Atmospheric Environ.*, 331, 120574,  
 519 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2024.120574>, 2024.

520 Glowacki, D. R., Liang, C. H., Morley, C., Pilling, M. J., and Robertson, S. H.: MESMER: an open-  
 521 source master equation solver for multi-energy well reactions, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 116, 9545-  
 522 9560, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp3051033>, 2012.

523 Goedecker, S., Teter, M., and Hutter, J.: Separable dual-space Gaussian pseudopotentials, *Phys. Rev.*  
 524 *B*, 54, 1703, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.1703>, 1996.

525 Grimme, S., Antony, J., Ehrlich, S., and Krieg, H.: A consistent and accurate ab initio  
 526 parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu,  
 527 *J. Chem. Phys.*, 132, 154104, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344>, 2010.

528 Hess, B., Bekker, H., Berendsen, H. J. C., and Fraaije, J. G. E. M.: LINCS: A linear constraint solver  
 529 for molecular simulations, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 18, 1463-1472,  
 530 [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-987X\(199709\)18:12<1463::AID-JCC4>3.0.CO;2-H](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-987X(199709)18:12<1463::AID-JCC4>3.0.CO;2-H),  
 531 1997.

532 Hutter, J., Iannuzzi, M., Schiffmann, F., and VandeVondele, J.: cp2k: atomistic simulations of  
 533 condensed matter systems, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 4, 15-25,  
 534 <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.1159>, 2014.

535 Kangas, P., Hänninen, V., and Halonen, L.: An ab initio molecular dynamics study of the hydrolysis  
 536 reaction of sulfur trioxide catalyzed by a formic acid or water molecule, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 124,  
 537 1922-1928, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.9b11954>, 2020.

538 Kumar, A., Mallick, S., and Kumar, P.: Oxidation of HOSO<sup>•</sup> by Cl<sup>•</sup>: a new source of SO<sub>2</sub> in the  
 539 atmosphere?, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 23, 18707-18711,  
 540 <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CP01048D>, 2021..

541 Kumar, A., Iyer, S., Barua, S., Brean, J., Besic, E., Seal, P., Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D. C. S.,  
 542 Sarnela, N., Jokinen, T., Sipilä, M., Harrison, R. M., and Rissanen, M.: Direct measurements  
 543 of covalently bonded sulfuric anhydrides from gas-phase reactions of SO<sub>3</sub> with acids under  
 544 ambient conditions, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 146, 15562-15575,  
 545 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.4c04531>, 2024.

546 Lee, C., Yang, W., and Parr, R. G.: Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula  
 547 into a functional of the electron density, *Phys. Rev. B*, 37, 785-789,  
 548 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785>, 1988.

549 Li, H., Zhong, J., Vehkamäki, H., Kurtén, T., Wang, W., Ge, M., Zhang, S., Li, Z., Zhang, X.,  
 550 Francisco, J. S., and Zeng, X. C.: Self-Catalytic reaction of SO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> to produce sulfamic  
 551 acid and its implication to atmospheric particle formation, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 140, 11020-  
 552 11028, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b04928>, 2018.

553 Li, L., Zhang, Q. Z., Wei, Y. Y., Wang, Q. and Wang, W. X.: Theoretical Study on the Gas-Phase  
 554 and Aqueous Interface Reaction Mechanism of Criegee Intermediates with 2-Methylglyceric  
 555 Acid and the Nucleation of Products. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24, 5400,  
 556 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24065400>, 2023.

557 Li, M., Li, L., Liu, S., Zhang, Q., Wang, W., and Wang, Q.: Insights into the catalytic effect of  
 558 atmospheric organic trace species on the hydration of Criegee intermediates, *Sci. Total.*  
 559 *Environ.*, 949, 174877, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.174877>, 2024a.

Li, M., Zhang, Y., Yu, X., Li, L., Wang, S., Zhang, Q., Wang, W., and Wang, Q.: Mechanistic insights into Criegee intermediates with benzoic acid at gas-phase and air-water interface and nucleation of product, *Atmospheric Environ.*, 320, 120338, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2024.120338>, 2024b.

Liu, J., Liu, L., Rong, H., and Zhang, X.: The potential mechanism of atmospheric new particle formation involving amino acids with multiple functional groups, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 23, 10184-10195, <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CP06472F>, 2021a.

Liu, L., Zhong, J., Vehkamäki, H., Kurtén, T., Du, L., Zhang, X., Francisco, J. S., and Zeng, X. C.: Unexpected quenching effect on new particle formation from the atmospheric reaction of methanol with SO<sub>3</sub>, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 116, 24966-24971, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1915459116>, 2019.

Liu, L., Yu, F., Tu, K., Yang, Z., and Zhang, X.: Influence of atmospheric conditions on the role of trifluoroacetic acid in atmospheric sulfuric acid-dimethylamine nucleation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 6221-6230, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-6221-2021>, 2021b.

Long, B., Long, Z. W., Wang, Y. B., Tan, X. F., Han, Y. H., Long, C. Y., Qin, S. J., and Zhang, W. J.: Formic acid catalyzed gas-phase reaction of H<sub>2</sub>O with SO<sub>3</sub> and the reverse reaction: A theoretical study, *ChemPhysChem*, 13, 323-329, <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201100558>, 2012.

Long, B., Chang, C.-R., Long, Z.-W., Wang, Y.-B., Tan, X.-F., and Zhang, W.-J.: Nitric acid catalyzed hydrolysis of SO<sub>3</sub> in the formation of sulfuric acid: A theoretical study, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 581, 26-29, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2013.07.012>, 2013.

Long, B., Xia, Y., Bao, J. L., Carmona-García, J., Gómez Martín, J. C., Plane, J. M. C., Saiz-Lopez, A., Roca-Sanjuán, D., and Francisco, J. S.: Reaction of SO<sub>3</sub> with HONO<sub>2</sub> and implications for sulfur partitioning in the atmosphere, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 144, 9172-9177, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c03499>, 2022.

Lu, T., and Chen, F.: Multiwfn: A multifunctional wavefunction analyzer, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 33, 580-592, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.22885>, 2012.

Lu, T.: Sobtop, Version 1.0, <http://sobereva.com/soft/Sobtop/>, 2023.

Lv, G., Sun, X., Zhang, C., and Li, M.: Understanding the catalytic role of oxalic acid in SO<sub>3</sub> hydration to form H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in the atmosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 2833-2844, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-2833-2019>, 2019.

Ma, X., Zhao, X., Huang, Z., Wang, J., Lv, G., Xu, F., Zhang, Q., and Wang, W.: Determination of reactions between Criegee intermediates and methanesulfonic acid at the air-water interface, *Sci. Tot. Environ.*, 707, 135804, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135804>, 2020.

Mackenzie, R. B., Dewberry, C. T., and Leopold, K. R.: Gas phase observation and microwave spectroscopic characterization of formic sulfuric anhydride, *Science*, 349, 58-61, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa9704>, 2015.

Mardirossian N. and Head-Gordon M.: How Accurate Are the Minnesota Density Functionals for Noncovalent Interactions, Isomerization Energies, Thermochemistry, and Barrier Heights

599 Involving Molecules Composed of Main-Group Elements, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 12,  
600 4303-4325, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.6b00637>, 2016.

601 Martínez, L., Andrade, R., Birgin, E. G., and Martínez, J. M.: PACKMOL: A package for building  
602 initial configurations for molecular dynamics simulations, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 30, 2157-2164,  
603 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21224>, 2009.

604 Martins-Costa, M. T., and Ruiz-López, M. F.: The structure of carbon dioxide at the air-water  
605 interface and its chemical implications, *Chem. Eur. J.* 30, e202400825,  
606 <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202400825>, 2024.

607 McGrath, M. J., Olenius, T., Ortega, I. K., Loukonen, V., Paasonen, P., Kurtén, T., Kulmala, M., and  
608 Vehkamäki, H.: Atmospheric Cluster Dynamics Code: a flexible method for solution of the  
609 birth-death equations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 2345-2355, [https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2345-2012)  
610 [2345-2012](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2345-2012), 2012.

611 Meana-Pañeda, R., Zheng, J., Bao, J. L., Zhang, S., Lynch, B. J., Corchado, J. C., Chuang, Y.-Y.,  
612 Fast, P. L., Hu, W.-P., Liu, Y.-P., Lynch, G. C., Nguyen, K. A., Jackels, C. F., Fernández-Ramos,  
613 A., Ellingson, B. A., Melissas, V. S., Villà, J., Rossi, I., Coitiño, E. L., Pu, J., Albu, T. V., Zhang,  
614 R. M., Xu, X., Ratkiewicz, A., Steckler, R., Garrett, B. C., Isaacson, A. D., and Truhlar, D. G.:  
615 Polyrate 2023: A computer program for the calculation of chemical reaction rates for  
616 polyatomics. New version announcement, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 294, 108933,  
617 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2023.108933>, 2024.

618 Morokuma, K., and Muguruma, C.: Ab initio molecular orbital study of the mechanism of the gas  
619 phase reaction  $\text{SO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ : Importance of the second water molecule, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 116,  
620 10316-10317, <https://doi.org/10.1021/JA00101A068>, 1994.

621 Neese, F.: The ORCA program system, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 2, 73-78,  
622 <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcms.81>, 2012.

623 Partanen, L., Vehkamäki, H., Hansen, K., Elm, J., Henschel, H., Kurtén, T., Halonen, R., and  
624 Zapadinsky, E.: Effect of conformers on free energies of atmospheric complexes, *J. Phys.*  
625 *Chem. A*, 120, 8613-8624, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.6b04452>, 2016.

626 Pereira A. T., Ribeiro A. J. M., Fernandes P. A. and Ramos M. J.: Benchmarking of density  
627 functionals for the kinetics and thermodynamics of the hydrolysis of glycosidic bonds  
628 catalyzed by glycosidases, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 117, e254092017,  
629 <https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.25409>, 2017.

630 Phillips, J. C., Braun, R., Wang, W., Gumbart, J., Tajkhorshid, E., Villa, E., Chipot, C., Skeel, R. D.,  
631 Kalé, L., and Schulten, K.: Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 26,  
632 1781-1802, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20289>, 2005.

633 Reiner, T., and Arnold, F.: Laboratory flow reactor measurements of the reaction  $\text{SO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{M}$   
634  $\rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{M}$ : Implications for gaseous  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and aerosol formation in the plumes of jet  
635 aircraft, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 20, 2659-2662, <https://doi.org/10.1029/93GL02996>, 1993.

636 Rong, H., Liu, L., Liu, J., and Zhang, X.: Glyoxylic sulfuric anhydride from the gas-phase reaction  
 637 between glyoxylic acid and SO<sub>3</sub>: A potential nucleation precursor, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 124, 3261-  
 638 3268, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.0c01558>, 2020.

639 Sarkar, S., Oram, B. K., and Bandyopadhyay, B.: Influence of ammonia and water on the fate of  
 640 sulfur trioxide in the troposphere: Theoretical investigation of sulfamic acid and sulfuric acid  
 641 formation pathways, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 123, 3131-3141,  
 642 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.8b09306>, 2019.

643 Smith, C. J., Huff, A. K., Ward, R. M. and Leopold, K. R.: Carboxylic sulfuric anhydrides, *J. Phys.*  
 644 *Chem. A*, 124, 601-612, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.9b09310>, 2020.

645 Sun, G., Li, H., Hou, J., Wang, H., Wang, J., Lu, Z., and Gao, X.: Molecular behavior of ethylene  
 646 glycol/1,2-Butanediol Mixtures at the vapor-liquid interface, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 63, 4853-  
 647 4865, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.3c03410>, 2024.

648 Tan, S., Zhang, X., Lian, Y., Chen, X., Yin, S., Du, L., and Ge, M.: OH group orientation leads to  
 649 organosulfate formation at the liquid aerosol surface, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 144, 16953-16964,  
 650 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c05807>, 2022.

651 Tang, B., Bai, Q., Fang, Y.-G., Francisco, J. S., Zhu, C., and Fang, W.-H.: Mechanistic insights into  
 652 N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-Halide ions chemistry at the air-water interface, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 146, 21742-21751,  
 653 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.4c05850>, 2024.

654 Tao, E. L., Li, J. Y., Soriano, S., and Tao, F.-M. J. C. J. o. C. P.: Quantum chemical study of potential  
 655 energy surface in the formation of atmospheric sulfuric acid, *Chin. J. Chem. Phys.* 31, 503-509,  
 656 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1674-0068/31/cjcp1805126>, 2018.

657 Tchinda N. T., Du L, Liu L and Zhang X. H.: Pyruvic acid, an efficient catalyst in SO<sub>3</sub> hydrolysis  
 658 and effective clustering agent in sulfuric-acid-based new particle formation, *Atmos. Chem.*  
 659 *Phys.*, 22, 1951-1963, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-1951-2022>, 2022.

660 Torrent-Sucarrat, M., Francisco, J. S., and Anglada, J. M.: Sulfuric acid as autocatalyst in the  
 661 formation of sulfuric acid, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 134, 20632-20644,  
 662 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja307523b>, 2012.

663 Venkataraman, C., Mehra, A., and Mhaskar, P.: Mechanisms of sulphate aerosol production in  
 664 clouds: effect of cloud characteristics and season in the Indian region, *Tellus B*, 53, 260-272,  
 665 <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v53i3.16595>, 2001.

666 Wan, Z., Zhu, C., and Francisco, J. S.: Molecular insights into the spontaneous generation of Cl<sub>2</sub>O  
 667 in the reaction of ClONO<sub>2</sub> and HOCl at the air-water interface, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 145, 17478-  
 668 17484, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c06527>, 2023.

669 Wang, R., Cheng, Y., Chen, S., Li, R., Hu, Y., Guo, X., Zhang, T., Song, F., and Li, H.: Reaction of  
 670 SO<sub>3</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and its implications for aerosol particle formation in the gas phase and at the  
 671 air-water interface, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 24, 4029-4046, [https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-4029-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-4029-2024)  
 672 [2024](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-4029-2024), 2024.

673 Wei, Y. Y., Zhang, Q. Z., Huo, X. X., Wang, W. X and Wang, Q.: The reaction of Criegee  
 674 intermediates with formamide and its implication to atmospheric aerosols, *Chemosphere*, 296,  
 675 133717, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.133717>, 2022.

676 Yang, Y., Liu, L., Wang, H., and Zhang, X.: Molecular-Scale mechanism of sequential reaction of  
 677 oxalic acid with SO<sub>3</sub>: Potential participator in atmospheric aerosol nucleation, *J. Phys. Chem.*  
 678 *A*, 125, 4200-4208, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.1c02113>, 2021.

679 Yao, L., Garmash, O., Bianchi, F., Zheng, J., Yan, C., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Mazon, S. B.,  
 680 Ehn, M., Paasonen, P., Sipilä, M., Wang, M., Wang, X., Xiao, S., Chen, H., Lu, Y., Zhang, B.,  
 681 Wang, D., Fu, Q., Geng, F.-H., Li, L., Wang, H., Qiao, L., Yang, X., Chen, J., Kerminen, V.-  
 682 M., Petäjä, T., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M., and Wang, L. J. S.: Atmospheric new particle  
 683 formation from sulfuric acid and amines in a Chinese megacity, *Science*, 361, 278-281,  
 684 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4839>, 2018.

685 York, D. M., Darden, T. A., and Pedersen, L. G.: The effect of long-range electrostatic interactions  
 686 in simulations of macromolecular crystals: A comparison of the Ewald and truncated list  
 687 methods, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 99, 8345-8348, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.465608>, 1993.

688 Zhang, H., Kupiainen-Määttä, O., Zhang, X., Molinero, V., Zhang, Y., and Li, Z.: The enhancement  
 689 mechanism of glycolic acid on the formation of atmospheric sulfuric acid-ammonia molecular  
 690 clusters, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 146, 184308, <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4982929>, 2017.

691 Zhang, H., Wang, W., Pi, S., Liu, L., Li, H., Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhang, X., and Li, Z.: Gas phase  
 692 transformation from organic acid to organic sulfuric anhydride: Possibility and atmospheric  
 693 fate in the initial new particle formation, *Chemosphere*, 212, 504-512,  
 694 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.08.074>, 2018.

695 Zhang, H., Wang, W., Fan, L., Li, J., Ren, Y., Li, H., Gao, R., and Xu, Y.: The role of sulfur cycle in  
 696 new particle formation: Cycloaddition reaction of SO<sub>3</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>S, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 148, 489-501,  
 697 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2023.09.010>, 2025.

698 Zhang, J., and Dolg, M.: ABCluster: the artificial bee colony algorithm for cluster global  
 699 optimization, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 24173-24181,  
 700 <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP04060D>, 2015.


701 Zhang, Z., Yin, H., Shang, Y., and Luo, S.-N.: Accurate rate constants for barrierless dissociation of  
 702 ethanol: VRC-VTST and SS-QRRK calculations with the cheaper DFT method, *Chem. Phys.*  
 703 *Lett.*, 823, 140522, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2023.140522>, 2023.

704 Zhang, Z. P., Wang, S. H., Shang, Y. L., Liu, J. H., and Luo, S. N.: Theoretical study on ethylamine  
 705 dissociation reactions using VRC-VTST and SS-QRRK methods, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 128, 2191-  
 706 2199, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.3c08373>, 2024.

707 Zhao, Z., Kong, K., Wang, S., Zhou, Y., Cheng, D., Wang, W., Zeng, X. C., and Li, H. J. T. J. o. P.  
 708 C. L.: Understanding hygroscopic nucleation of sulfate aerosols: combination of molecular  
 709 dynamics simulation with classical nucleation theory, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 10, 1126-1132,  
 710 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.9b00152>, 2019.

711 Zhong, J., Zhu, C., Li, L., Richmond, G. L., Francisco, J. S., and Zeng, X. C.: Interaction of SO<sub>2</sub>  
712 with the surface of a water nanodroplet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 139, 17168-17174,  
713 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b09900>, 2017.

714 Zhong, J., Li, H., Kumar, M., Liu, J., Liu, L., Zhang, X., Zeng, X. C., and Francisco, J. S.:  
715 Mechanistic insight into the reaction of organic acids with SO<sub>3</sub> at the air-water interface, 58,  
716 8351-8355, <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201900534>, 2019.




717

718


Graphic Abstract

## Figure Captions

- Fig. 1.** Energy diagrams for  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with FSA at the CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVDZ-F12//M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd) level.
- Fig. 2.** BOMD simulations of  $\text{HSO}_4^- \cdots \text{FSA} \cdots \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$  ion pair formation from  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with FSA at the air-water interface. (Top: Snapshot structures from BOMD simulations, showing the ion pair formation. Bottom: Time evolution of key bond distances S-O1, O5-H3, and O1-H2 during the induced mechanism.)
- Fig. 3.** ESP-mapped vdW surfaces of sulfuric acid (SA), ammonia (A) and formic sulfuric anhydride (FSA). Blue, red, yellow, cyan, and white spheres represent N, O, S, C, and H atoms, respectively, with ESP in  $\text{kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ .
- Fig. 4.** Snapshots of nucleation simulation at 258.15 K from FSA, SA and A using the VDW representation, with  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  shown using the line drawing method.
- Fig. 5.** Histogram of (a) Gibbs free energy of formation ( $\Delta G$ ,  $\text{kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and (b) evaporation rate coefficient ( $\gamma$ ,  $\text{s}^{-1}$ ) for key pure SA-A clusters and FSA-containing stable clusters at 258.15, 278.15 and 298.15 K.
- Fig. 6.** Cluster formation rate ( $J$ ,  $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) with  $[\text{SA}] = 10^6 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{A}] = 10^9 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$  at three temperatures (black: 258.15 K, red: 278.15 K, blue: 298.15 K).
- Fig. 7.** The cluster formation rate ( $J$ ,  $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) as a function of (a)  $[\text{SA}]$  and (b)  $[\text{A}]$ , with different concentrations of  $[\text{FSA}] = 10^3$ - $10^7 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$  at 278.15 K.
- Fig. 8.** Primary growth pathways of clusters at  $T = 278.15 \text{ K}$ ,  $[\text{SA}] = 10^6 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{A}] = 10^9 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ , and  $[\text{FSA}] = 10^3$ - $10^7 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ . Blue and orange arrows represent the SA-A-based and SA-A-FSA-based pathways, respectively.
- Fig. 9.** Influence of (a) temperature, (b)  $[\text{SA}]$ , (c)  $[\text{A}]$  and (d)  $[\text{FSA}]$  on the relative contribution of the pure SA-A pathway and the FSA-containing pathway to the flux out of the system.




**Fig. 1.** Energy diagrams for  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with FSA at the CCSD(T)-F12/cc-pVDZ-F12//M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd) level.




**Fig. 2.** BOMD simulations of  $\text{HSO}_4^- \cdots \text{FSA}^- \cdots \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$  ion pair formation from  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with FSA at the air-water interface. (Top: Snapshot structures from BOMD simulations, showing the ion pair formation. Bottom: Time evolution of key bond distances S-O1, O5-H3, and O1-H2 during the induced mechanism.)

751


752




753 **Fig. 3.** ESP-mapped vdW surfaces of sulfuric acid (SA), ammonia (A) and formic sulfuric anhydride  
 754 (FSA). Blue, red, yellow, cyan, and white spheres represent N, O, S, C, and H atoms,  
 755 respectively, with ESP in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>.




**Fig. 4.** Snapshots of nucleation simulation at 258.15 K from FSA, SA and A using the vdW representation, with N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> shown using the line drawing method.




**Fig. 5.** Histogram of (a) Gibbs free energy of formation ( $\Delta G$ ,  $\text{kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and (b) evaporation rate coefficient ( $\gamma$ ,  $\text{s}^{-1}$ ) for key pure SA-A clusters and FSA-containing stable clusters at 258.15, 278.15 and 298.15 K.




**Fig. 6.** Cluster formation rate ( $J$ ,  $\text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ ) with  $[\text{SA}] = 10^6 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{A}] = 10^9 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$  at three temperatures (black: 258.15 K, red: 278.15 K, blue: 298.15 K).



**Fig. 7.** The cluster formation rate ( $J$ ,  $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) as a function of (a)  $[SA]$  and (b)  $[A]$ , with different concentrations of  $[FSA] = 10^3\text{-}10^7 \text{ molecules·cm}^{-3}$  at 278.15 K.



**Fig. 8.** Primary growth pathways of clusters at  $T = 278.15 \text{ K}$ ,  $[\text{SA}] = 10^6 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ ,  $[\text{A}] = 10^9 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ , and  $[\text{FSA}] = 10^3\text{-}10^7 \text{ molecules} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ . Blue and orange arrows represent the SA-A-based and SA-A-FSA-based pathways, respectively.



**Fig. 9.** Influence of (a) temperature, (b) [SA], (c) [A] and (d) [FSA] on the relative contribution of the pure SA-A pathway and the FSA-containing pathway to the flux out of the system.

**Table 1.** Rate constants ( $\text{cm}^3 \cdot \text{molecule}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ ) for  $\text{SO}_3$  hydrolysis with and without FSA,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and  $X$  ( $X = \text{HNO}_3, \text{HCOOH}, (\text{COOH})_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) within the temperature range of 280-320 K

| $T/\text{K}$ | $k_{\text{SA\_FSA}}$   | $k_{\text{SA}}$        | $k_{\text{SA\_WM}}$    | $k_{\text{SA\_FA}}$    | $k_{\text{SA\_NA}}$    | $k_{\text{SA\_OA}}$    | $k_{\text{SA\_SA}}$    |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 280          | $7.94 \times 10^{-11}$ | $6.24 \times 10^{-24}$ | $1.68 \times 10^{-12}$ | $8.88 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.26 \times 10^{-12}$ | $8.02 \times 10^{-11}$ | $5.60 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 290          | $7.84 \times 10^{-11}$ | $8.12 \times 10^{-24}$ | $1.45 \times 10^{-12}$ | $8.17 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.05 \times 10^{-12}$ | $7.74 \times 10^{-11}$ | $5.08 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 298          | $7.71 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.02 \times 10^{-23}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{-12}$ | $7.60 \times 10^{-11}$ | $9.11 \times 10^{-13}$ | $7.48 \times 10^{-11}$ | $4.69 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 300          | $7.67 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.09 \times 10^{-23}$ | $1.24 \times 10^{-12}$ | $7.46 \times 10^{-11}$ | $8.80 \times 10^{-13}$ | $7.42 \times 10^{-11}$ | $4.59 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 310          | $7.46 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.50 \times 10^{-23}$ | $1.07 \times 10^{-12}$ | $6.78 \times 10^{-11}$ | $7.46 \times 10^{-13}$ | $7.06 \times 10^{-11}$ | $4.13 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 320          | $7.21 \times 10^{-11}$ | $2.12 \times 10^{-23}$ | $9.22 \times 10^{-13}$ | $6.12 \times 10^{-11}$ | $6.46 \times 10^{-13}$ | $6.68 \times 10^{-11}$ | $3.70 \times 10^{-11}$ |

**Table 2.** Binding free energy (kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the formation of various clusters at 298 K.

| FSA <sup>-</sup> -SA              | FSA <sup>-</sup> -HNO <sub>3</sub>                     | H <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup> -A                | H <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup> -SA                 | SA-A                              |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| -21.2                             | -12.1                                                  | -51.7 (-49.2) <sup>a</sup>                      | -27.5 (-27.0) <sup>a</sup>                        | -8.9 (-8.9) <sup>a</sup>          |
| HSO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> -SA | HSO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> -<br>(COOH) <sub>2</sub> | HSO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> -HNO <sub>3</sub> | SA-A-FSA <sup>-</sup>                             | SA-A-<br>HOOCCH <sub>2</sub> COOH |
| -41.6                             | -33.6                                                  | -27.8                                           | -25.6                                             | -13.1(13.6) <sup>b</sup>          |
| SA-A-<br>HOCCOOSO <sub>3</sub> H  | SA-A-<br>CH <sub>3</sub> OSO <sub>3</sub> H            | SA-A-<br>HOCH <sub>2</sub> COOH                 | SA-A-HOOCCH <sub>2</sub> CH(NH <sub>2</sub> )COOH |                                   |
| -20.4 (-22.5) <sup>c</sup>        | -18.8 (-20.7) <sup>d</sup>                             | -13.2 (-14.0) <sup>e</sup>                      | -12.8 (-13.5) <sup>f</sup>                        |                                   |

Energies are given in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> and calculated at the M06-2X/6-311++G(2df,2pd) level of theory. References are as follows: <sup>a</sup> Zhong et al. (2019), <sup>b</sup> Zhang et al. (2018), <sup>c</sup> Rong et al. (2020), <sup>d</sup> Gao et al. (2023), <sup>e</sup> J. Liu et al. (2021), <sup>f</sup> Zhang et al. (2017).