

 Abstract. As a crucial climate-forcing driver, the aerosol optical enhancement factor (*f*(RH)) is significantly modulated by the evolution of particle number size 24 distribution (PNSD), e.g., during new particle formation (NPF). The mechanisms regulating aerosol optical hygroscopicity during different NPF events and non-event days, particularly those influenced by heatwaves due to global warming, remain poorly understood. In the extremely hot summer of 2022 in urban Chongqing of 28 southwest China, simultaneous measurements of aerosol optical and hygroscopic properties, PNSD, and bulk chemical compositions were conducted. Two distinct types of NPF were identified: the ones with relatively polluted period (P1) and clean cases during heatwave-dominated period (P2). Heatwaves triggered NPF earlier and prolonged the subsequent growth, resulting in smaller aerosol effective radius (Reff) and lower growth rate. This agreed with the concurrently increased aerosol hemispheric backscattering fraction and scattering Ångström exponent. *f*(RH) was generally higher during NPF events in comparison to that for non-event cases in both periods. Heatwave-induced stronger photooxidation may intensify the formation of more hygroscopic secondary components, as well as the subsequent growth of pre-existing particles and newly formed ultrafine ones, thereby enhancing aerosol optical hygroscopicity especially during heatwave-influenced NPF events.The promoted *f*(RH) and lowered Reff could synergistically elevate the aerosol direct radiative forcing, specifically under persistent heatwave conditions. Further in-depth exploration on molecular-level characterizations and aerosol radiative impacts of both 43 direct and indirect interactions during weather extremes (e.g., heatwaves) with the warming climate are recommended.

1 Introduction

 Weather extremes (e.g., heatwaves) have become more and more frequent and intense largely due to the globalclimate change, and the heatwave-driven environmental, climatic, and health effects have garnered widespread attention

 (Hauser et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016). The China Climate Bulletin 2022 confirmed that the national average temperature reached an unprecedented high level since 2012 (China Meteorological Administration, 2022), and the risk of heatwaves in China will persist and potentially intensify in the future (Guo et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017). Extreme heatwave events could pose significant threats to human health, the survival of organisms, agriculture, and socio-economic activities (e.g., power supply restrictions) (Anderson and Bell, 2011; Ma et al., 2021; Su, 2021). Moreover, heatwaves can trigger natural disasters such as droughts and wildfires, affecting social stability (Sharma and Mujumdar, 2017).

 Heatwaves could also affect the atmospheric physical and chemical processes by modulating ambient meteorological conditions. Specifically, extremely high temperature weather is typically characterized by a combination of intensified solar radiation with elevated temperature and low humidity levels. This could significantly affect the formation and evolution of secondary aerosols in the atmosphere (Bousiotis et al., 2021; Hamed et al., 2011; Kurtén et al., 2007), given that the air temperature is crucial for chemical reactions (Xu et al., 2011). New particle formation (NPF) serves as a crucial source of atmospheric particulate matter and plays a significant role in the secondary transformation processes in the atmosphere (Zhu et al., 2021). Generally, 68 NPF involves the initial formation of thermodynamically stable clusters from condensable vapors (e.g., ammonia, sulfuric acid, and organic precursor gases) and subsequent growth of the formed clusters, eventually reaching detectable sizes or even larger dimensions (Kerminen et al., 2018; Kulmala et al., 2003, 2012). Over time, these newly formed particles have the potential to serve as cloud condensation nuclei 73 (CCN), thereby impacting the global climate (Salma et al., 2016). NPF events normally introduce a sharp increase in the number concentration of nucleation mode particles within a short time, altering the particle number size distribution (PNSD). These variations in PNSD likely influence intrinsic physicochemical properties of aerosols, such as the optical hygroscopicity (Chen et al., 2014; Titos et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2019).

 Aerosol hygroscopicity plays a critical role in the atmospheric environment and climate change, given the complex interaction between aerosol particles and water vapor (Zhao et al., 2019; Zieger et al., 2011). Water uptake by aerosols not only alters the particle size and composition (e.g., as reflected in the aerosol refractive index) but also impacts aerosol scattering efficiency, which further contributes to the uncertainty in aerosol radiative forcing estimation (Titos et al., 2016, 2021). The aerosol optical 85 hygroscopicity parameter, $f(RH)$, defined as the ratio of the scattering coefficient at a certain RH to that of the dry condition, was widely used to describe the aerosol scattering enhancement through water uptake (Covert et al., 1972; Titos et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2019). Numerous studies have demonstrated that *f*(RH) is influenced by 89 the size distribution, in addition to particle chemical composition (Chen et al., 2014; 90 Kuang et al., 2017; Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007; Quinn et al., 2005). NPF could alter the size distribution thereby aerosol optical properties, nonetheless, there is currently limited research on the impact of NPF onaerosol optical hygroscopicity (Ma et al., 2016; Ren et al., 2021). It is suggested that the influence of NPF on aerosol hygroscopicity was likely due to changes in aerosol chemical composition at different stages of NPF events (Cheung et al., 2020), whereas the subsequent particle growth associated with NPF events can significantly affect particle hygroscopicity as well (Wu et al., 2016). Although there have been a great many studies on chemical composition dependences of aerosol hygroscopicity (e.g., the variation in composition of precursor species during NPF events), it is important to acknowledge that the 100 utilized chemical compositions of NPF were either from $PM_{2.5}$ or PM_1 bulk data, which may differ from the corresponding composition of newly formed ultrafine particles primarily in the nucleation and Aitken modes. This may further introduce bias in exploring the impacts of NPF events on aerosol optical hygroscopicity if solely based on PM2.5 chemical composition, especially in the initial nucleation stage of NPF. Hence, more comprehensive investigations on the influencing mechanisms of aerosol optical hygroscopicity from different perspectives are required, e.g., for the aspects of the evolution of particle size distribution in modulating aerosol optical and hygroscopic properties (Tang et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019). Additionally, field

 observations on *f*(RH) under extreme weather conditions (e.g., heatwaves) are rather scarce, largely hindering our understanding of how weather extremes (e.g., extremely high temperature) influence the optical hygroscopic properties of aerosols. This knowledge gap further impedes comprehensive understanding of the aerosol water uptake property and resulted effects on air quality and the climate under varied synoptic conditions.

 During the summer of 2022, a rare heatwave event raged throughout the 116 Sichuan-Chongqing region of southwest China, with the daily maximum temperature exceeding 40 ℃ lasted for 29 days observed at Beibei meteorological station in Chongqing (Hao et al., 2023). This persistent heatwave not only impacted residents' daily lives significantly, but also affected the aerosol optical and hygroscopic properties likely through NPF and relevant atmospheric processing during the period. In this study, a field observation was conducted by using a combination of a home-built humidified nephelometer system and a scanning mobility particle sizer 123 (SMPS), along with the total suspended particle (TSP) filter sampling. A main goal of this study is to investigate the influence of heatwaves on NPF events and subsequent impacts on aerosol optical and hygroscopic properties. Furthermore, we aimed to explore the mechanisms behind the variability in *f*(RH) under different meteorological conditions and NPF events. This study will further enrich insights into the potential environmental and climatic impacts due to variations in the aerosol optical hygroscopicity and size distribution, specifically under weather extremes (e.g., heatwaves) with the changing climate.

2 Data and Methods

2.1 Field observation

 A continuous field observation on aerosol optical, hygroscopic and chemical properties was carried out from July 29 to August 19, 2022. The detailed description 136 of the observation site is available in Supporting Information, S1. During the

137 observation period, urban Chongqing suffered a rare heatwave (Fig. S1), which significantly affected the local transportation and industrial activities (Hao et al., 2023). Based on the temperature records and concurrent aerosol light scattering data, the whole study period was categorized into two stages: (1) the normally hot period 141 (with the daily maximum temperature seldomly above 35° C) from 29 July to 6 August (simply labeled as P1); (2) the heatwave-dominated cleaner period (persistent occurrence of the hourly temperature over 40℃, and the hourly total scattering 144 coefficient at 525 nm below 100 Mm⁻¹) during 7-19 August 2022 (marked as P2).

145 **2.2 Instrumentation and methods**

146 **2.2.1 Measurements of aerosol optical hygroscopicity**

 The humidified nephelometer system, consisting of two three-wavelength (i.e., 450, 525, and 635 nm) nephelometers (Model Aurora 3000, Ecotech Inc.) and a humidification unit, was used to determine the aerosol light scattering enhancement 150 factor, *f*(RH). Briefly, the aerosol scattering (σ_{sca, λ}) and backscattering coefficients 151 (σ_{bsca, λ}) were detected in a dry state (RH <30%) and at a fixed RH level of 85% \pm 1%, respectively, with the humidification efficiency regulated automatically by a temperature-controlled water bath.

154 Hence, *f*(RH) could be calculated as the ratio of the aerosol scattering coefficient 155 at a predefined RH ($\sigma_{\text{sca, RH}}$) to the dry ($\sigma_{\text{sca, dry}}$) state, i.e., $f(RH) = \sigma_{\text{sca, RH}} / \sigma_{\text{sca, dry}}$ 156 (Covert et al., 1972). In this study, the *f*(RH) discussed is mainly targeted for the 525 157 nm wavelength, unless otherwise specified. More information about the measurement 158 of humidified nephelometer system was illustrated in S2 of the supplement.

159 In additional to *f*(RH), aerosol optical parameters, such as scattering Ångström 160 exponent (SAE; Schuster et al., 2006) and hemispheric backscattering fraction (HBF; 161 Collaud Coen et al., 2007), were calculated as below:

162
$$
SAE_{\lambda 1/\lambda 2} = \frac{-\ln(\sigma_{sea,\lambda 1}/\sigma_{sea,\lambda 2})}{\ln(\lambda 1/\lambda 2)}
$$
 (1)

$$
HBF_{\lambda} = \frac{\sigma_{b\text{sea},\lambda}}{\sigma_{\text{sea},\lambda}}\tag{2}
$$

164 where $\sigma_{\text{sca}, \lambda}$ and $\sigma_{\text{bsca}, \lambda}$ represent the aerosol scattering and backscattering 165 coefficients at a specific wavelength λ (e.g., λ 1, λ 2), respectively. Both HBF and SAE reflect crucial optical properties of aerosols, e.g., an elevated HBF (or SAE) generally signifies a higher concentration (or a smaller particle size) of fine particles within the aerosol population (Jefferson et al., 2017; Kuang et al., 2017; Luoman et al., 2019). The HBF and SAE discussed in this study are targeted for the dry condition, unless otherwise specified. Based on the measurements with the humidified nephelometer system, the equivalent aerosol liquid water content (ALWC) 172 and the corresponding fraction of $ALWC$ (f_W) can also be obtained (Kuang et al, 2018; see S2 of the supplement).

 The SMPS-measured concurrent particle number size distributions were further 175 utilized to calculate the aerosol effective radius (R_{eff}) and representative parameters for NPF events, e.g., the growth rate (GR) of new particle, condensation sink (CS) and coagulation sink (CoagS) (Kulmala et al., 2012). More details are provided in the supplement.

2.2 Determination of theaerosol direct radiative forcing (ADRF) enhancement factor

 Given the high sensitivity of aerosol optical properties (e.g., *f*(RH)) to the 183 changes in RH under real atmospheric conditions, the influence of RH, or rather the aerosol hygroscopicity, on ADRF can be quantitatively estimated with the radiative transfer model by the following equation (Chylek and Wong, 1995; Kotchenruther et al., 1999; L. Zhang et al., 2015):

187 Δ FR(RH)= $-(S_0/4) \times [T_a^2 \times (1 - Ac)] \times [2 \times (1 - R_s)^2 \times \beta (RH) \times \tau_s - 4 \times R_s \times \tau_a]$ (3)

188 where S_0 is the solar constant, T_a is the atmosphere transmittance, Ac is the 189 fractional cloud amount, R_s is the albedo of the underlying surface, $β(RH)$ is the 190 upscattering fraction at a defined RH, τ_s and τ_a are the optical thicknesses of the aerosol layer due to light scattering and light absorption, respectively, which can be expressed as follows (Kotchenruther et al., 1999):

195 efficiency (MSE), and α_a is the mass absorption efficiency (MAE). The direct radiative forcing is usually calculated with the assumption that the absorption enhancement is negligible, in comparison to the aerosol scattering enhancement (Xia et al., 2023).

199 Hence, the dependence of ADRF on RH (i.e., *f*_{RF}(RH)) can be estimated by 200 equation (5) (Chylek and Wong, 1995; Kotchenruther et al., 1999; L. Zhang et al., 201 2015):

$$
f_{RF}(RH) = \frac{\Delta F_{R}(RH)}{\Delta F_{R}(dry)} = \frac{(1 - R_{s})^{2} \times \beta(RH) \times \alpha_{s} \times f(RH) - 2 \times R_{s} \times \alpha_{a}}{(1 - R_{s})^{2} \times \beta(dry) \times \alpha_{s} \times f(dry) - 2 \times R_{s} \times \alpha_{a}}
$$
(5)

203 where the constant parameters used were $R_s = 0.15$, $\alpha_a = 0.3$ m²·g⁻¹ (Hand and 204 Malm, 2007; Fierz-Schmidhauser et al., 2010). It should benoted that the assumed 205 constant α_a might introduce some uncertainty in the calculated $f_{RF}(RH)$, given the fact 206 that the contribution of absorption by brown carbon was unknown, although the mass 207 fraction of BC in TSP remained almost constant (i.e., $4.6\% \pm 1.1\%$, Fig. S2) during 208 the observation period. The parameter α_s was calculated by dividing $\sigma_{sca, 525}$ in the dry 209 condition by the mass concentration of PM_{2.5} (i.e., $\alpha_s = \sigma_{sca}$, α_{s25} / PM_{2.5}). β could be 210 calculated empirically from the measured HBF: $\beta = 0.0817 + 1.8495 \times HBF - 2.9682$ 211 \times HBF² (Delene and Ogren, 2002).

 Results of the offline chemicalanalysis with TSP filter samples are provided in S3. Given that the particle number and mass size distributions of components such as sulfate and organics from diverse emission sources were primarily concentrated within the submicron size range (An et al., 2024), the bulk chemical compositions of TSP could provide a reasonably good reference for the characterization of NPF and 217 related optical and hygroscopic properties of $PM_{2.5}$. It should be noted that the corresponding massfraction of some components (e.g., crustal materials) likely biased for larger particles. The simultaneous meteorological and air quality data can be found in S4.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Overview of the aerosol optical hygroscopicity and PNSD measurements

 Figure. 1 displayed the time series of the measured aerosol scattering coefficients, *f*(RH), PNSD, and the corresponding meteorological conditions and air pollutants during the study period. A sharp decrease in aerosol scattering coefficients and PM2.5, accompanied with the continuous excellent visibility over 20 km was observed after August 6, indicating a markedly cleaner environment during P2 in comparison to P1 in summer 2022 of Chongqing. This could be largely attributed to the reduction in anthropogenic emissions (e.g., NO2, CO) from limited outdoor activities influenced by the heatwaves in P2, as well as partly suspended industries and transportation to alleviate the power shortage issue. Notably, the increased wind speed and enhanced mixing layer height (MLH) also enabled a more favorable atmospheric diffusion condition in P2, facilitating the dilution of surface air pollutants (Zhang et al., 2008). However, a higher mass concentration of SO² was observed in the P2 period, likely due to a surge in electricity demand and resulted higher emissions from power plants operating almost at full capacity during the heatwave (Su, 2021; Teng et al., 2022). Moreover, significant discrepancies in the aerosol optical and hygroscopic properties were observed under different synoptic conditions (Table S2). Both HBF and SAE were higher during the P2 period,aligning with the smaller Reff (Table S2). The *f*(RH) 240 was found to be larger in heatwave days, with the mean values of 1.6 ± 0.1 and 1.7 ± 1.7 0.2 during the P1 and P2 periods, respectively. Differently, ALWC was more abundant during the normally hot P1 period than the heatwave-dominated P2 period, likely due to that the derivation algorithm of ALWC utilized in this study (Kuang et al.,2018) was partly dependent on (e.g., positively correlated) the aerosol scattering coefficient 245 in the dry condition. The mean σ_{sea} , $_{525}$ for P2 was about 46.8% of that for the P1 period, and the corresponding mean level of ALWC was approximately 55.8% ofthat for P1.This partly agrees with the stronger aerosol optical hygroscopicity with a 248 marginally higher *fw* during the P2 period, highlighting a complex interaction between the optical enhancement and aerosol physicochemical properties.

 Figure 1. Time series of the measured aerosol scattering coefficients, *f*(RH), meteorological conditions, air pollutants, and particle number size distribution during the study period.

3.2 Characteristics of NPF events in different periods

266 Aside from gaseous precursors (e.g., SO₂, volatile organic compounds), meteorological conditions also play a key role in the occurrence of NPF events. In brief, NPF events are more likely to appear under sunny and clean conditions (Bousiotis et al., 2021; Crumeyrolle et al., 2023; Deng et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2017). To further explore the characteristics of NPF events in different periods, the

- time-averaged diurnal variations of meteorological parameters and air pollutant
- concentrations during both NPF events and non-event days are presented in Fig. 2.

 Figure 2. Diurnal variations of temperature **(a)**, PM2.5 mass loading **(b)**, RH **(c)**, SO² **(d)**, UVB **(e)**, H2SO⁴ **(f)**, O3/O^X **(g)**, O³ **(h)**, WS **(i)**, NO² **(j)**, MLH **(k)** and CO **(l)** during P1 (red) and P2 (blue) NPF events (solid line), as well as the corresponding non-event days (dash line).

 NPF events during the P1 period tended to occur in relatively polluted 279 environments compared to that of P2 NPF events, as evidenced by the higher $\sigma_{\text{sea, 525}}$, increased air pollutant concentrations and lower visibility levelsduring P1 (Table S2, 281 Fig. 1). On P2 NPF event days, the overall mean σ_{sea} , $_{525}$ was 33.2 \pm 11.7 Mm⁻¹, decreased by 68.0% (39.3%) in comparison to that for P1 NPF event days (P2 non-event days). In addition, the mean PM2.5 concentration was even lower than 10.0 μ g·m⁻³, and the corresponding visibility level was almost reaching the upper detection limit of 30 km. All the above implies that the P2 NPF events were generally

286 accompanied with a much cleaner environment. It is notable that the increase in SO_2 287 concentration after 9:00 (Fig. 2d), along with the significant decrease in $PM_{2.5}$ mass loadings thereby lowered CS or CoagS after 8:00 during P1 NPF events (Fig. 2b), likely favored the occurrence of NPF events. The higher gas-phase sulfuric acid (i.e., H₂SO₄, as estimated with the UVB and SO₂ concentration, Lu et al., 2019, S4) on the same NPF event days (Fig. 2f), further suggesting that sulfuric acid concentration was a critical factor for the occurrence of P1 NPF events.

 Meanwhile, the diurnal evolutions of meteorological conditions (e.g., T, RH, MLH) for NPF events were distinct between P1 and P2 periods, although relatively insignificant differences were observed for both NPF events and non-event days 296 within a same period (Fig. 2). This might suggest that meteorological factors might not be the predominant determining factor of NPF occurrence, while NPF could be 298 accompanied with quite different meteorological conditions depending on gaseous precursors and preexisting condensation sinks. For instance, the heatwave-influenced NPF events were typically of clean-type NPF, characterized with lower background aerosol loading, higher temperature and favorable atmospheric dispersion capacity with the higher MLH. However, it is reported that excessive heat can increase the evaporation rate of critical acid-base clusters during the nucleation process and reduce the stability of initial molecular clusters (Bousiotis et al., 2021; Kurtén et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2012). On the other hand, the emission rate of biogenic VOCs (BVOCS, e.g., isoprene, monoterpene) from nearby plants and trees would decrease when temperature exceeded around 40 °C (Guenther et al., 1993; Pierce and Waldruff, 1991), despite that BVOCs plays a key role in the nucleation mechanism of NPF (Wang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2004). Hence, the even higher temperature (e.g., T >40 ℃) likely hindered the occurrence and subsequent growth of NPF during 311 non-event days of the P2 period, in spite of higher concentrations of SO_2 and H_2SO_4 .

312 To further investigate the effect of heatwave on NPF events, the diurnal 313 variations of aerosol number and volume concentrations, as well as R_{eff} , for different modes were illustrated in Fig. S4, and the relationship between temperature and the duration of NPF events was displayed in Fig. S5. The NPF events influenced by

 heatwaves usually initiated earlier (Fig. S5), with the number concentration of 317 nucleation mode particles (N_{Nuc}) in P2 NPF cases peaked about an hour earlier (whilst relatively lower) in comparison to P1 event days (Fig. S4a). This implies that heatwaves may accelerate the attainment of the temperature threshold of NPF events, as evidenced by the earlier NPF start time corresponding to higher temperature ranges (Fig. S5). Furthermore, the end time of subsequent particle growth during P2 period 322 was even later (i.e., \sim 21:00 LT) than that of P1 cases (Fig. S5). Given the lower GR during P2 NPF events (Table S2), these explosively formed new particles could persist longer in the warmer atmosphere and probably undergo aging processes with a relatively higher oxidation degree. This is supported by the commonly higher ratios of secondary organic carbon (SOC) to organic carbon (OC) (i.e., SOC/OC >0.5) during 327 the P2 NPF event days (Fig. S2b). The diurnal patterns of aerosol volume concentrations for different size modes were similar to that of aerosol number concentrations during NPF events (Fig. S4b1-b3). It is worth noting that both the Reff 330 of Aitken mode particles (R_{Alt}) and accumulation mode particles (R_{Acc}) were smaller during P2 NPF events than that of P1 NPF events (Fig. S4c2-c3), which may further 332 influence size-dependent aerosol optical and hygroscopic properties (e.g., σ_{sca, 525}, HBF, SAE, *f*(RH)). The decrease in RAit. and RAcc. during heatwaves could be attributed to three factors: (1) evaporation of the outerlayer of particles due to extremely high temperature (Bousiotis et al., 2021; Cusack et al., 2013; Deng et al., 2020;Li et al., 2019); (2) lower GR of particles under a cleaner environment; (3) reduced emissions of larger primary particles during the P2 period.

3.3 Characteristics of the aerosol optical and hygroscopic properties during NPF events

 Diurnal variations of the aerosol optical and hygroscopic parameters during NPF events were shown in Fig. 3, and the corresponding results for non-event days can refer to Fig. S6. Generally, σsca, ⁵²⁵ possessed a similar bimodal diurnal pattern to that 343 of the accumulation mode aerosol volume concentration $(V_{Acc.})$ (Fig. S4b3), as 344 supported by the positive correlation between σ_{sea} , $_{525}$ and SMPS-measured aerosol

 volume concentration (Fig. S8). This is also consistent with the Mie theory, with a stronger increase in the scattering efficiency for accumulation mode particles (Titos et 347 al., 2021). The diurnal pattern of $\sigma_{\text{sea, 525}}$ also varied distinctly between different NPF 348 events. Specifically, a minor peak of σ_{sea} , 525 around 12:00 (Fig. 3a) was influenced by the newly formed particles during P2 NPF events, which contributed more significantly to the aerosol number and volume concentrations within 100 nm size 351 ranges in pretty clean environments (Fig. S3c, g). Instead of a noontime peak, σ_{sca, 525} was observed with an early peak around the morning rush hoursand a maximum value similarly occurred at the nighttime on P1 NPF event days.

 Figure 3. Diurnal variations ofσsca, ⁵²⁵ **(a)**, *f*(RH) **(b)**,HBF⁵²⁵ **(c)**, ALWC **(d)**, SAE635/450 **(e)** and *f*^W **(f)** on NPF event days during P1 (red line) and P2 (blue line) 357 periods. The shaded areas stand for the corresponding $\pm 1\sigma$ standard deviations.

 Both HBF and SAE during P2 NPF events were significantly higher than that of P1 NPF cases (Fig. 3c, e), largely due to the smaller Reff during P2 heatwave-dominated NPF events (Table S2). Moreover, the correlation between HBF

 (or SAE) and particle size in each mode was relatively weaker on NPF event days than on non-event days, especially for P2 NPF events (Fig. S10). A strongest negative correlation was found between HBF and Reff of the accumulation mode in comparison to other modes, highlighting that HBF is more sensitive to the size distribution of accumulation mode particles (Collaud Coen et al., 2007).Given that NPF would largely enhance the abundance of both nucleation and Aitken mode aerosols, no significant variation in HBF was observed during the daytime due to the weakened correlation between HBF and RAcc. of NPF events. SAE is commonly used as an indicator of particle size distribution, almost decreasing monotonously with the increase of aerosol size within 1 μm (Kuang et al., 2017, 2018; Luoma et al., 2019). Accordingly, SAE decreased over the morning and evening rush hours when coarse particles (e.g., aged particles, road dust, automobile exhaust) generated during anthropogenic activities, accompanied with an increase in CO that is taken as the proxy for primary emissions (Fig. 2l) (Yarragunta et al., 2020). On the contrary, the abundant ultrafine particles formed during NPF events led to a continuous increase in SAE during the day.

f(RH) exhibited a similar diurnal pattern on the P1 and P2 NPF event days (Fig. 3b). During the daytime,*f*(RH) remained relatively stable and gradually 379 increased until peaking around 16:00-18:00, with a generally higher $f(RH)$ particularly after 15:00 during P2 NPF events than thatof P1 cases. The insignificant fluctuation of relatively lower *f*(RH) levels before the noon could be attributed to the continuous development of the mixing layer (Fig. 2k), leading to an efficient mixing of particles in the nocturnal residual layer with anthropogenic emissions near the ground. Additionally, photochemical reactions in the afternoon facilitated the formation of more hygroscopic secondary aerosols with a higher oxidation level (Liu 386 et al., 2014; R. Zhang et al., 2015). The diurnal patterns of O_3 and the O_3/O_X ratio (i.e., 387 an indicator of atmospheric oxidation capacity, where $O_X = O_3 + NO_2$, Tian et al., 2021) also showed similar trends (Fig. 2g, 2h). The presence of black carbon (BC) mixed with organic compounds (e.g., from traffic emissions and residential cooking activities) explained the rapid decrease in *f*(RH) during the evening rush hours (Liu et

 al., 2011). Furthermore, the daily mean *f*(RH) during NPF events was higher than that of non-event days (Table S2), particularly after the ending of NPF events around 12:00. Given that newly formed particles were too small to significantly impact the total light scattering (Fig. S7), this indicates that the atmospheric conditions conducive to the occurrence of NPF may promote further growth (e.g., via photooxidation or atmospheric aging processes) of pre-existing particles and newly formed ones, leading to enhanced aerosol optical hygroscopicity as clued from the 398 concurrent variations of ALWC and f_W in urban Chongqing during hot summer (Asmi et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2016). The diurnal pattern of ALWC closely 400 mirrored the variation in σ_{sea} , 525, while f_{W} followed the similar evolution of $f(RH)$. This suggests that ALWC was more sensitive to changes in the aerosol volume concentration, as determined by the corresponding retrieval algorithm (Kuang et al., 403 2018). The relatively higher *f_W* levels (e.g., even exceeded 50% sometimes) verified the enhancement of aerosol hygroscopicity during NPF events in comparison to that of non-event days.

3.4 Heatwave-induced divergent changes in aerosol optical hygroscopicity

 To further explore the impacts of heatwaves on *f*(RH) during diverse NPF events, data mainly within the time window of 08:00-22:00 (i.e., typically covered the complete process of NPF and subsequent growth, while excluded higher RH conditions at night) were utilized for the following discussion.

 A positive correlation between *f*(RH), Reff and the volume fraction of 412 accumulation mode particles (VF_{Acc.}) was found on non-event days (Fig. 4c-d), when the aerosol size distribution was undisturbed by newly formed ultrafine particles and 414 the corresponding VF_{Acc} maintained around a relatively high level of 0.95 (Fig. 4a-b). The notably positive correlation between *f*(RH) and Reffcould be linked to the secondary formation of hygroscopic particles within the accumulation mode, primarily via photochemical reactions and further intensified by heatwaves during the day particularly of the P2 period (Gu et al., 2023;Liu et al., 2014;R. Zhang et al., 2015;Zhang et al., 2024). Consequently, *f*(RH) at a specific Reff was generally higher

- during the P2 period in comparison to that of P1 (Fig. 4c-d), also with high *f*(RH)
- 421 levels observed for smaller size cases of $R_{\text{eff}} \leq 110$ nm under some extremely high
- 422 temperature conditions (T >40 °C, as highlighted by the red dashed circle in Fig. 4d).
- The higher SOC/OC on P2 non-event days further demonstrated the stronger
- secondary aerosol formation in comparison to P1 non-event days (Fig. S2b).

 Figure 4. Diurnal variations of**(a)** the number fraction (NFAcc.) and **(b)** volume fraction of accumulation mode particles (VFAcc.) on P1 (red) and P2 (blue) NPF event days (solid line), as well as non-event days (dash line). The relationship of *f*(RH) with Reff and VFAcc. (as indicated by the colored dots) on P1 **(c)** and P2 non-event days **(d)** during the 08:00-22:00 time window.

 Nevertheless, *f*(RH) was almost independent of the two parameters (i.e., Reff and VFAcc.) for NPF events (Fig. S11a1-a2). This is mainly due to the explosive formation of ultrafine particles during NPF events, significantly altering aerosol size distributions and inducing large fluctuations in the number and volume fractions of

 accumulation mode particles (Fig. 4a-b). Therefore, characterizing *f*(RH) with the corresponding Reff of aerosol populations was no longer applicable. Alternatively, SAE was commonly used to estimate or parameterize *f*(RH)(Titos et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2023; Xue et al., 2022), in line with the similar diurnal patterns of *f*(RH) and SAE observed in this study. Figure 5 demonstrated a significantly positive correlation between *f*(RH) and SAE during NPF events, with a similarslope of approximately 0.65 suggesting the consistent variation of *f*(RH) with SAE across both periods.As larger particles contributed higher to the aerosol volume concentrations (Fig. S3), the 443 decrease of SAE also corresponded to an increase in σ_{sea} , σ_{sea} sense, *f*(RH) increased with SAE whereas decreased with σsca, ⁵²⁵, or rather the pollution level during NPF events. Meanwhile, the cleaner environment of P2 period generally possessed a lower CS (Table S2, as denoted by the size of circles in Fig. 5), thereby in favor of the occurrence of NPF event. Such a positive (negative) correlation of *f*(RH) with SAE (CS) was more pronounced in heatwave-induced high temperature days during P2 period. The possible reasons can beattributed to the following two aspects. One is related to the relatively smaller aerosol Reff (with a larger SAE) due to the lower GR, likely influenced by the evaporation of newly-formed unstable clusters and particle coatings under heatwaves (Bousiotis et al., 2021;Cusack et al., 2013; Deng et al., 2020) during the subsequent growth of aerosols. Secondly, the higher temperature was normally associated with stronger photochemical oxidation, which could intensify the formation of secondary aerosol components with a higher hygroscopicity (Asmi et al., 2010; Gu et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2014;Wu et al., 2016; R. Zhang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2024). This is further 458 supported by the relatively higher levels of UVB (P1: 2.6 ± 1.9 W·m⁻² versus P2: 2.7 $\pm 2.0 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^2$ and O_3/O_X (P1: 0.81 \pm 0.17 versus P2: 0.82 \pm 0.17) during P2 heatwave days, also in line with a recent study which demonstrated that heatwaves affected secondary organic aerosols (SOA) formation and aging by accelerating photooxidation in Beijing (Zhang et al., 2024).

 It is worth noting that *f*(RH) did not show a consistently higher level after the NPF occurrence during P2 period, and it wasslightly higher within the first few hours

 of NPF occurrence during P1 NPF events (Fig. 3b). In fact, aerosol optical hygroscopicity not fully corresponds to the bulk hygroscopicity primarily determined by aerosol chemical components, and the variability in aerosol optical features also plays a key role in *f*(RH). In this sense, the size-dependency of aerosol optical 469 properties should be considered. The size-resolved σ_{sea} 525 distribution and 470 size-resolved cumulative frequency distribution (CFD) of σ_{sea} , 525 over different NPF events were calculated using the Mie theory, with good agreements between the 472 theoretically calculated and measured $\sigma_{\text{sea, 525}}$ values ($R^2 = 0.99$). As shown in Fig. S7 and Fig. S9, new particles must grow into the accumulation mode size at least before they can exert a significant influence on the total scattering coefficient. The critical 475 sizes corresponding to the cumulative frequency of 50% in σ_{sea} , 525 were 358.7 nm and 333.8 nm on P1 and P2 NPF event days, respectively. This indicates that relatively 477 smaller particles contributed a slightly higher portion to $\sigma_{\text{sea}, 525}$ during P2 NPF events, 478 while the σ_{sea} , 525 of P1 NPF events was mainly contributed by larger particles. Nevertheless, the Mie theory suggests that these smaller particles generally have a weaker enhancement in total scattering after hygroscopic growth, in comparison to larger size particles (Collaud Coen et al., 2007, Fig. S7). Consequently, the changes in aerosol optical and hygroscopic properties necessitate consideration of both aerosol optical and chemical characteristics during different NPF events. The contribution of newly formed ultrafine particles to aerosol optical properties was insignificant within the first few hours of NPF occurrence, leading to a reduced enhancement in aerosol 486 light scattering as characterized by a smaller R_{eff} during P2 NPF events in comparison to P1 NPF events. In contrast, the growth of pre-existing and newly formed particles into larger sizes would subsequently affect bulk aerosol optical properties, which was evidenced by the enhancement in aerosol extinction coefficient observed after NPF occurrence in a recent study (Sun et al., 2024). Specifically, particles could undergo a longer and more intensified photochemical aging process during P2 NPF events as influenced by persistent heatwaves, which facilitated the secondary formation of hygroscopic aerosols and resulted in a higher *f*(RH) after 15:00 (Fig. 3b).

 Figure 5. (a1) The relationship between *f*(RH) and SAE635/450, as well as temperature 496 (as indicated by the color of dots) and CS (as denoted by the size of circles), on P1 NPF event days during the 08:00-22:00 time window. The vertical (horizontal) dash line represents the median value of SAE635/450 (*f*(RH)). **(a2)** The corresponding σsca, ⁵²⁵ under different SAE635/450 levels on P1 NPF event days. **(b1-b2)** The same butfor P2 NPF event days.

3.5 *f***(RH)-induced changes in aerosol direct radiative forcing**

 The changes in *f*(RH) have significant implications for aerosol direct radiative 503 forcing. Despite considerably lower $\sigma_{\text{sea},\text{--}525}$ results during heatwaves, the corresponding mean *f*RF(RH) levels particularly for P2 NPF event days were higher 505 than that of the P1 cases (Fig. 6a). A robust positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.68$) was 506 observed between *f*(RH) and aerosol radiative forcing enhancement factor, *f*_{RF}(RH) 507 (Fig. 6b). This is likely attributed to the enhanced $f_{RF}(RH)$ with the larger forward scattering ratio β, or rather higher HBF for smaller particle sizes, as supported by a generally negative correlation between *f*RF(RH) and Reff. Specifically, the highest 510 *f*_{RF}(RH) value of 2.2 \pm 0.2 was observed on P2 NPF event days, characterized with the 511 highest *f*(RH) and smallest R_{eff} (i.e., highest HBF) of the entire study period.

512 The definition of $f_{RF}(RH)$ in Eq.(5) implies the dependences of $f_{RF}(RH)$ on both *f*(RH) and HBF-derived β(RH) and β(dry), or rather the ratio of HBF525, RH/HBF525. 514 The mean HBF_{525, RH} was generally larger than HBF₅₂₅ in this study, specifically with 515 the HBF_{525, RH}/HBF₅₂₅ ratios centered around 1.8 and even approached 2.5 on P2 NPF event days (Fig. 6c, Table S2). This could be different from the classical Mie theory with the spherical-particle premise, i.e., the observed light backscattering was enhanced after hydration likely resulted from the evolution in particle morphology that significantly influences their optical properties (Mishchenko 2009). The 520 organic-rich particles might remain non-spherical even after water uptake due to the efficient evaporation of organic coatings under extremely hot weather conditions, as evidenced by a recent study that high temperature and RH conditions could accelerate the evaporation rate of SOA (Li et al., 2019). Meanwhile, the backward scattering intensity of non-spherical particles is suggested to be much larger than its spherical counterparts at scattering angles between 90° and 150° (Mishchenko 2009; Yang et al., 2007). Furthermore, ultrafine particles would significantly contribute to both total light scattering and backscattering coefficients (Fig. S7) after hygroscopic growth, if the aerosol population was large enough (e.g., during NPF processes). These combined effects could potentially change particle morphology and optical properties

530 (e.g., elevated the $HBF_{525, RH}$) particularly during heatwave-influenced NPF events, 531 characterized with the smallest aerosol R_{eff} (102.8 \pm 12.4 nm), lowest number fraction 532 of accumulation mode particles (0.20 ± 0.10) , and a higher SOC/OC ratio. The higher 533 HBF525, RH/HBF⁵²⁵ ratios increased the HBF-derived β(RH)/β(dry) levels, in 534 combination of the elevated $f(RH)$, further resulting in the highest $f_{RF}(RH)$ observed 535 during P2 NPF events. Given that previously observed HBF $_{525, RH}$ was typically lower 536 than HBF⁵²⁵ (Titos et al., 2021; Xia et al., 2023; L. Zhang et al., 2015), the mean 537 *f*_{RF}(RH) results of this study ($f_{RF}(85\%)$ = 2.0 \pm 0.2) were significantly higher than 538 those observed in the Yangtze River Delta $(f_{RF}(85\%) = 1.5$, L. Zhang et al., 2015), the 539 North China Plain $(f_{RF}(80\%) = 1.6 \pm 0.2$, Xia et al., 2023), and some other regions in 540 the world (Titos et al., 2021, Fig. 6d). It should be noted that the reported $f_{RF}(RH)$ for 541 the UGR site (Spain) was even higher, likely due to the relatively larger HBF in that 542 area (Titos et al., 2014; 2021).

544 **Figure 6. (a)** The box-plot of *f*RF(RH) during P1 or P2 NPF event and non-event days. 545 **(b)** The relationship between $f_{RF}(RH)$ and $f(RH)$, as colored by the corresponding R_{eff} , 546 during P1 or P2 NPF event and non-event days (shown in different symbols). **(c)**

547 Occurrence frequency of the ratio HBF_{525, RH}/HBF₅₂₅ during P1 or P2 NPF event and non-event days. **(d)** The mean *f*RF(RH) under different *f*(RH) levels (the error bars 549 stand for \pm one standard deviations corresponding to $f_{RF}(RH)$ and $f(RH)$, respectively),

550 along with the reported $f_{RF}(RH)$ and $f(RH)$ data for other regions in the world.

551 A recent study has indicated that continuous reduction of $PM_{2.5}$ mass loadings can increase the net solar radiation, thereby promoting NPF events (Zhao et al., 2021). Given the complexity and dynamic evolution of the atmospheric environment, these can further alter the intrinsic properties of aerosol particles (e.g., *f*(RH), HBF, morphology), potentially feeding back into aerosol-radiation interactions. Our findings suggest that NPF and growth events may elevate aerosol optical hygroscopicity in rather hot environments, e.g., the Basin area and tropical regions. Meanwhile, NPF serves as a crucial secondary transformation process in the atmosphere (Zhu et al., 2021). The favorable atmospheric diffusion capability ensured the mixing of newly formed particles into the upperboundary layer, where is colder and more humid than that near the surface during heatwaves (Jin et al., 2022). Hence, the enhancement of aerosol optical hygroscopicity during the subsequent growth of pre-existing and newly formed particles possibly exacerbates secondary pollution and even triggers haze events (Hao et al., 2024; Kulmala et al., 2021). On the other hand, the new particles of higher hygroscopicity could contribute more to the activation of CCN, thereby modulating the aerosol-cloud interactions and further the global climate 567 (Ren et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2024; Wu et al., 2015). Additionally, the simultaneous decrease in aerosol effective radius and possibly evaporation-induced non-spherical particle morphology further enhance the aerosol direct radiative forcing enhancement factor, potentially amplifying the cooling effect mainly caused by light scattering aerosols. This highlights the needs for further in-depth exploration on aerosol radiative impacts at weather extremes (e.g., heatwaves) with the changing climate, given the continuous reductions of anthropogenic emissions and more intense 574 emissions of biogenic origins with the global warming. Besides, more detailed information on the evolution of particle morphology with the changing environment (e.g., varied temperature and RH) would enrich insights into the aerosol radiative

- forcing.
-

4 Conclusions and implications

 NPF events frequently occurred in urban Chongqing of southwest China in the summer of 2022, accompanied with continuous heatwaves. Concurrent measurements of aerosol optical and hygroscopic properties, PNSD, and bulk chemical compositions were conducted to elucidate the mechanisms behind the variations in aerosol optical hygroscopicity during different NPF event and non-event days.

 NPF events exhibited distinct characteristics during the normally hot (P1, 586 relatively polluted) and heatwaves-dominated (P2, quite clean) periods. NPF within P1 period was favored by the decrease in background aerosol loading and the higher abundance of H2SO4. NPF events that occurred during the heatwave P2 period were 589 characterized with relatively lower CS, CoagS, and GR, as well as a smaller R_{eff} , than P1 NPF cases. In comparison to the P1 NPF events, heatwaves initiated NPF earlier and prolonged the subsequent growth during P2, likely intensifying the photochemical oxidation due to heatwave-induced aging processes and modulating the evolution of aerosol size distributions differently.

 Heatwaves also significantly influenced the aerosol optical and hygroscopic 595 properties. Distinct diurnal patterns of σ_{sea} , 525 were observed for different types of 596 NPF events, with a minor σ_{sea} 525 noontime peak occurred in P2 instead of peaked 597 earlier around the morning rush hours on P1 NPF event days. HBF and SAE were 598 significantly higher on P2 NPF event days, primarily due to the relatively smaller Reff for heatwave-influenced NPF cases. *f*(RH) remained relatively stable during the daytime of NPF event days and peaked around 16:00-18:00, likely due to the intensive photochemical reactions and accordingly enhanced formation of more hygroscopic secondary aerosols. These secondary components could be more abundant due to heatwave-induced stronger photooxidation, further resulting in a higher *f*(RH) particularly during the subsequent growth of pre-existing particles and

 newly formed ultrafine ones during P2 NPF events in comparison to that of P1 NPF cases.

 Compared with non-event cases, the generally higher levels of daily mean *f*(RH) suggested that the aerosol optical hygroscopicity was enhanced during NPF events in hot summer of urban Chongqing. A significantly positive (negative) correlation between *f*(RH) and SAE (CS, σsca, ⁵²⁵, orrather the pollution level) was observed for both periods, with a more pronounced correlation during heatwave-influenced NPF events. The aerosol light scattering or volume concentration was mainly contributed by the largeraccumulation-mode particles, while more ultrafine particles dominated the size distribution especially for the initial stage of heatwave-influenced NPF events, further leading to a diminished aerosol scattering enhancement capability in comparison to P1 NPF events.

 Changes in *f*(RH) have significant implications for the aerosol direct radiative 618 forcing. A robust positive (negative) correlation existed between $f_{RF}(RH)$ and $f(RH)$ (Reff). Despite a lowerσsca, ⁵²⁵ during heatwaves, the corresponding mean *f*RF(RH) was 620 relatively higher and the maximum value of 2.2 ± 0.2 was observed on P2 NPF event 621 days, associated with the highest $f(RH)$ (1.7 \pm 0.2), smallest R_{eff} (102.8 \pm 12.4 nm), 622 and highest HBF₅₂₅, $_{RH}/HBF_{525}$ ratios (1.8 \pm 0.3). The above highlights that heatwaves could influence the NPF and atmospheric processing (although with a decreased aerosol effective radius likely due to evaporation-resulted non-spherical particle morphology under persistently high temperature conditions), thereby enhancing aerosol optical hygroscopic growth and potentially reducing the net solar radiation directly especially in hot summer. Further explorations on detailed molecular characterizations and aerosol radiative impacts including the aerosol-cloud interactions ofweather extremes (e.g., heatwaves) with the changing climate are highly recommended.

Data availability. Data will be available upon request.

References

 An, J., Lu, Y., Huang, D. D., Ding, X., Hu, Q., Yan, R., Qiao, L., Zhou, M., Huang, C., Wang, H., Fu, Q., Yu, F., and Wang, L.: Sectoral Size ‐ Resolved Particle Number Emissions With Speciation: Emission Profile ‐ Based Quantification and a Case Study in the Yangtze River Delta Region, China, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 1–22, https://doi.org/10.1029/2024JD041234, 2024.

 Asmi, E., Frey, A., Virkkula, A., Ehn, M., Manninen, H. E., Timonen, H., Tolonen-Kivim̈aki, O., Aurela, M., Hillamo, R., and Kulmala, M.: Hygroscopicity and chemical composition of antarctic sub-micrometre aerosol particles and observations

- of new particle formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4253–4271, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4253-2010, 2010.
- Bousiotis, D., Brean, J., Pope, F. D., Dall'Osto, M., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Perez,
- N., Petäjä, T., Massling, A., Klenø Nøjgaard, J., Nordstrøm, C., Kouvarakis, G.,
- Vratolis, S., Eleftheriadis, K., Niemi, J. V., Portin, H., Wiedensohler, A., Weinhold, K., Merkel, M., Tuch, T., and Harrison, R.M.: The effect of meteorological conditions and atmospheric composition in the occurrence and development of new particle formation (NPF) events in Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 3345–3370, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-3345-2021, 2021.
- Brooke Anderson, G. and Bell, M. L.: Heat waves in the United States: Mortality risk during heat waves and effect modification by heat wave characteristics in 43 U.S. communities, Environ. Health Perspect., 119, 210–218, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002313, 2011.
- Chen, J., Zhao, C. S., Ma, N., and Yan, P.: Aerosol hygroscopicity parameter derived from the light scattering enhancement factor measurements in the North China Plain, Atmos.Chem. Phys., 14, 8105–8118, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8105-2014, 2014.
- China Meteorological Administration, 2022. China Climate Bulletin 2022.
- https://www.cma.gov.cn/zfxxgk/gknr/qxbg/202303/t20230324_5396394.html.
- Accessed on 23 March 2023. (in Chinese).

 Cho Cheung, H., Chung-Kuang Chou, C., Siu Lan Lee, C., Kuo, W. C., and Chang, S. C.: Hygroscopic properties and cloud condensation nuclei activity of atmospheric aerosols under the influences of Asian continental outflow and new particle formation at a coastal site in eastern Asia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 5911–5922, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-5911-2020, 2020.

- Chylek, P. and Wong, J.: Effect of absorbing aerosols on global radiation budget,
- Geophys. Res. Lett., 22, 929–931, https://doi.org/10.1029/95GL00800, 1995.
- Collaud Coen, M., Weingartner, E., Nyeki, S., Cozic, J., Henning, S., Verheggen, B.,
- Gehrig, R., and Baltensperger, U.: Long-term trend analysis of aerosol variables at the
- high-alpine site Jungfraujoch, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112,
- https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007995, 2007.
- Covert,D. S., Charlson, R. J., and Ahlquist, N. C.: A Study of the Relationship of 691 Chemical Composition and Humidity to Light Scattering by Aerosols, J. Appl. Meteorol., 11, 968–976,
- https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1972)011<0968:asotro>2.0.co;2, 1972.
- Crumeyrolle, S., Kontkanen, J. S. S., Rose, C., Velazquez Garcia, A., Bourrianne, E., Catalfamo, M., Riffault, V., Tison, E., Ferreira De Brito, J., Visez, N., Ferlay, N., Auriol, F., and Chiapello, I.: Measurement report: Atmospheric new particle formation at a peri-urban site in Lille, northern France, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 183–201, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-183-2023, 2023.
- Cusack, M., Alastuey, A., and Querol, X.: Case studies of new particle formation and evaporation processes in the western Mediterranean regional background, Atmos. Environ., 81, 651–659, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.09.025, 2013.
- Dada, L., Paasonen, P., Nieminen, T., Buenrostro Mazon, S., Kontkanen, J., Peräkylä, O., Lehtipalo, K., Hussein, T., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V. M., Bäck, J., and Kulmala, M.: 704 Long-term analysis of clear-sky new particle formation events and nonevents in Hyytiälä, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 6227–6241, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-6227-2017, 2017.
- Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P. P., and
- Lehtinen, K. E. J.: Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: Eight years

- of aerosol size distribution data from SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland, Boreal Environ.
- Res., 10, 323–336, 2005.
- Delene, D. J. and Ogren, J. A.: Variability of aerosol optical properties at four North
- American surface monitoring sites, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 1135–1150,
- https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2002)059<1135:VOAOPA>2.0.CO;2, 2002.
- Deng, C., Cai, R., Yan, C., Zheng, J., and Jiang, J.: Formation and growth of sub-3 nm particles in megacities: Impact of background aerosols, Faraday Discuss., 226,
- 348–363, https://doi.org/10.1039/d0fd00083c, 2021.
- Deng, C., Fu, Y., Dada, L., Yan, C., Cai, R., Yang, D., Zhou, Y., Yin, R., Lu, Y., Li,
- X., Qiao, X., Fan, X., Nie, W., Kontkanen, J., Kangasluoma, J., Chu, B., Ding, A.,
- Kerminen, V. M., Paasonen, P., Worsnop, D. R., Bianchi, F., Liu, Y., Zheng, J., Wang,
- L., Kulmala, M., and Jiang, J.: Seasonal characteristics of new particle formation and growth in urban Beijing, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 8547–8557, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00808, 2020.
- Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Zieger, P., Gysel, M., Kammermann, L., Decarlo, P. F., Baltensperger, U., and Weingartner, E.: Measured and predicted aerosol light scattering enhancement factors at the high alpine site Jungfraujoch, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 10, 2319–2333, 2010.
- Gu, Y.,Huang, R. J., Duan, J., Xu, W., Lin, C., Zhong, H., Wang, Y., Ni, H., Liu, Q., Xu, R., Wang, L., and Li, Y. J.: Multiple pathways for the formation of secondary organic aerosol in the North China Plain in summer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 5419–5433, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-5419-2023, 2023.
- Guenther, A. B., Monson, R. K., and Fall, R.: Isoprene and monoterpene emission rate variability: Observations with eucalyptus and emission rate algorithm development, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 96, 10799–10808, https://doi.org/10.1029/91jd00960, 1991.

- Guo, X., Huang, J., Luo, Y., Zhao, Z., and Xu, Y.: Projection of heat waves over
- China for eight different global warming targets using 12 CMIP5 models, Theor. Appl.
- Climatol., 128, 507–522, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-015-1718-1, 2016.
- Hamed, A., Korhonen, H., Sihto, S. L., Joutsensaari, J., Jrvinen, H., Petäjä, T., Arnold,
- F., Nieminen, T., Kulmala, M., Smith, J. N., Lehtinen, K. E. J., and Laaksonen, A.:
- 740 The role of relative humidity in continental new particle formation, J. Geophys. Res.
- Atmos., 116, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014186, 2011.
- Hand, J. L. and Malm, W. C.: Review of aerosol mass scattering efficiencies from
- ground-based measurements since 1990, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008484, 2007.
- Hao, Y., Gou, Y., Wang, Z., Huang, W., Wan, F., Tian, M., and Chen, J.: Current challenges in the visibility improvement of urban Chongqing in Southwest China: From the perspective of PM2.5-bound water uptake property over 2015–2021, Atmos. Res., 300, 107215, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2023.107215, 2024.
- Hao, Z., Chen, Y., Feng, S., Liao, Z., An, N., and Li, P.: The 2022 750 Sichuan-Chongqing spatio-temporally compound extremes: a bitter taste of novel hazards, Sci. Bull., 68, 1337–1339, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2023.05.034, 2023.
- Hauser, M., Orth, R., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Role of soil moisture versus recent climate change for the 2010 heat wave in western Russia, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 2819–2826, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL068036, 2016.
- Jefferson, A., Hageman, D., Morrow, H., Mei, F., and Watson, T.: Seven years of aerosol scattering hygroscopic growth measurements from SGP: Factors influencing water uptake, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 9451–9466, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026804, 2017.
- Jin, X.,Li, Z., Wu, T., Wang, Y., Cheng, Y., Su, T., Wei, J., Ren, R., Wu, H., Li, S., Zhang, D., and Cribb, M.: The different sensitivities of aerosol optical properties to

- particle concentration, humidity, and hygroscopicity between the surface level and the
- upper boundary layer in Guangzhou, China, Sci. Total Environ., 803, 150010,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150010, 2022.
- Kerminen, V. M., Chen, X., Vakkari, V., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., and Bianchi, F.:
- Atmospheric new particle formation and growth: Review of field observations,
- Environ. Res. Lett., 13, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aadf3c, 2018.
- Kotchenruther, R. A., Hobbs, P. V., and Hegg, D. A.: Humidification factors for
- atmospheric aerosols off the mid-Atlantic coast of the United States, J. Geophys. Res.
- Atmos., 104, 2239–2251, https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD01751, 1999.
- Kuang,Y., He, Y., Xu, W., Zhao, P., Cheng, Y., Zhao, G., Tao, J., Ma, N., Su, H.,
- Zhang, Y., Sun, J., Cheng, P., Yang, W., Zhang, S., Wu, C., Sun, Y., and Zhao, C.:
- Distinct diurnal variation in organic aerosol hygroscopicity and its relationship with oxygenated organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 865–880, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-865-2020, 2020.
- Kuang,Y., Zhao, C. S., Zhao, G., Tao, J. C., Xu, W., Ma, N., and Bian, Y. X.: A novel method for calculating ambient aerosol liquid water content based on measurements of a humidified nephelometer system, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 2967–2982, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2967-2018, 2018.
- Kuang,Y., Zhao, C., Tao, J., Bian, Y., Ma, N., and Zhao, G.: A novel method for deriving the aerosol hygroscopicity parameter based only on measurements from a humidified nephelometer system, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 6651–6662, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-6651-2017, 2017.
- Kulmala, M., Dada, L., Daellenbach, K. R., Yan, C., Stolzenburg, D., Kontkanen, J.,
- Ezhova, E., Hakala, S., Tuovinen, S., Kokkonen, T. V., Kurppa, M., Cai, R., Zhou, Y.,
- Yin, R., Baalbaki, R., Chan, T., Chu, B., Deng, C., Fu, Y., Ge, M., He, H., Heikkinen,
- L., Junninen, H., Liu, Y., Lu, Y., Nie, W., Rusanen, A., Vakkari, V., Wang, Y., Yang, G.,

- Yao, L., Zheng, J., Kujansuu, J., Kangasluoma, J., Petaja, T., Paasonen, P., Jarvi, L., Worsnop, D., Ding, A., Liu, Y., Wang, L., Jiang, J., Bianchi, F., and Kerminen, V. M.: Is reducing new particle formation a plausible solution to mitigate particulate air pollution in Beijing and other Chinese megacities?, Faraday Discuss., 226,334–347, https://doi.org/10.1039/d0fd00078g, 2021. Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., Nieminen, T., Sipilä, M., Manninen, H. E., Lehtipalo, K., Dal
- Maso, M., Aalto, P. P., Junninen, H., Paasonen, P., Riipinen, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A., and Kerminen, V. M.: Measurement of the nucleation of atmospheric aerosol particles, Nat. Protoc., 7, 1651–1667, https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2012.091, 2012.
- Kulmala, M.: How Particles Nucleate and Grow, Science, 302, 1000–1001, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1090848, 2003.
- Kurtén, T., Torpo, L., Ding, C. G., Vehkamäki, H., Sundberg, M. R., Laasonen, K., and Kulmala, M.: A density functional study on water-sulfuric acid-ammonia clusters and implications for atmospheric cluster formation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112, 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007391, 2007.
- Li, Y., Ding, Y., and Li, W.: Observed trends in various aspects of compound heat 804 waves across China from 1961 to 2015, J. Meteorol. Res., 31, 455-467, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-017-6150-2, 2017.
- Li, Z., Tikkanen, O. P., Buchholz, A., Hao, L., Kari, E., Yli-Juuti, T., and Virtanen, A.: Effect of Decreased Temperature on the Evaporation of α-Pinene Secondary Organic Aerosol Particles, ACS Earth Sp. Chem., 3, 2775–2785, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00240, 2019.
- Liu, H. J., Zhao, C. S., Nekat, B., Ma, N., Wiedensohler, A., Van Pinxteren, D., Spindler, G., Müller, K., and Herrmann, H.: Aerosol hygroscopicity derived from size-segregated chemical composition and its parameterization in the North China

- Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2525–2539, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-2525-2014,
- 2014.
- Liu, P. F., Zhao, C. S., Göbel, T., Hallbauer, E., Nowak, A., Ran, L., Xu, W. Y., Deng,
- Z. Z., Ma, N., Mildenberger, K., Henning, S., Stratmann, F., and Wiedensohler, A.:
- Hygroscopic properties of aerosol particles at high relative humidity and their diurnal
- variations in the north China plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 3479–3494,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3479-2011, 2011.
- Lu, Y., Yan, C., Fu, Y., Chen, Y., Liu, Y., Yang, G., Wang, Y., Bianchi, F., Chu, B.,
- Zhou, Y., Yin, R., Baalbaki, R., Garmash, O., Deng, C., Wang, W., Liu, Y., Petäjä, T.,
- Kerminen, V. M., Jiang, J., Kulmala, M., and Wang, L.: A proxy for atmospheric
- daytime gaseous sulfuric acid concentration in urban Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19,
- 1971–1983, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-1971-2019, 2019.
- Luoma, K., Virkkula, A., Aalto, P., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: Over a 10-year record of aerosol optical properties at SMEAR II, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 11363–11382,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11363-2019, 2019.
- Ma, C. Sen, Ma, G., and Pincebourde, S.: Survive a Warming Climate: Insect Responses to Extreme High Temperatures, Annu. Rev. Entomol., 66, 163–184, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-041520-074454, 2021.
- Ma, N., Zhao, C., Tao, J., Wu, Z., Kecorius, S., Wang, Z., Groß, J., Liu, H., Bian, Y., 832 Kuang, Y., Teich, M., Spindler, G., Muller, K., Van Pinxteren, D., Herrmann, H., Hu, M., and Wiedensohler, A.: Variation of CCN activity during new particle formation events in the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 8593–8607, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-8593-2016, 2016.
- Mishchenko, M. I.: Electromagnetic scattering by nonspherical particles: A tutorial 837 review, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf., 110, 808–832, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2008.12.005, 2009.

- 839 Petters, M. D. and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter representation of
- hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7,
- 1961–1971, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-1961-2007, 2007.
- Pierce, T. E. and Waldruff, P. S.: Pc-beis: A personal computer version of the biogenic
- emissions inventory system, J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc., 41, 937–941,
- https://doi.org/10.1080/10473289.1991.10466890, 1991.
- Qi, X. M., Ding, A. J., Nie, W., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V. M., Herrmann, E., Xie, Y. N.,
- Zheng, L. F., Manninen, H., Aalto, P., Sun, J. N., Xu, Z. N., Chi, X. G., Huang, X.,
- Boy, M., Virkkula, A., Yang, X. Q., Fu, C. B., and Kulmala, M.: Aerosol size
- distribution and new particle formation in the western Yangtze River Delta of China:
- 2 years of measurements at the SORPES station, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15,
- 12445–12464, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-12445-2015, 2015.
- Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Baynard, T., Clarke, A. D., Onasch, T. B., Wang, W., Rood,
- M. J., Andrews, E., Allan, J., Carrico, C. M., Coffman, D., and Worsnop, D.: Impact
- of particulate organic matter on the relative humidity dependence of light scattering: A
- simplified parameterization, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, 1–4,
- https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024322, 2005.
- Ren, J., Chen, L., Fan, T., Liu, J., Jiang, S., and Zhang, F.: The NPF Effect on CCN Number Concentrations: A Review and Re-Evaluation of Observations From 35 Sites Worldwide, Geophys. Res. Lett., 48, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL095190, 2021.
- Salma, I., Thén, W., Aalto, P., Kerminen, V. M., Kern, A., Barcza, Z., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: Influence of vegetation on occurrence and time distributions of regional new aerosol particle formation and growth, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 2861–2880, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-2861-2021, 2021.

- Schuster, G. L., Dubovik, O., and Holben, B. N.: Angstrom exponent and bimodal
- aerosol size distributions, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, 1–14,
- https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006328, 2006.
- Sharma, S. and Mujumdar, P.: Increasing frequency and spatial extent of concurrent
- meteorological droughts and heatwaves in India, Sci. Rep., 7, 1–9,
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15896-3, 2017.
- Su, Y. W.: The effects of extreme high temperature day off on electricity conservation,
- Weather. Clim. Soc., 13, 769–782, https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-20-0176.1, 2021.
- Sun, J., Hermann, M., Weinhold, K., Merkel, M., Birmili, W., Yang, Y., Flentje, H.,
- Ries, L., Couret, C., Elsasser, M., Sohmer, R., Wirtz, K., Meinhardt, F., Schü, M.,
- Bath, O., Hellack, B., Kerminen, V., Kulmala, M., Ma, N., and Wiedensohler, A.:
- Measurement report : Contribution of atmospheric new particle formation to ultrafine
- particle concentration , cloud condensation nuclei and radiative forcing : Results from
- five-year observations in Central Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 1–34, 2024.
- Sun, Y., Song, L., Yin, H., Zhang, X., Stott, P., Zhou, B., and Hu, T.: 20. Human influence on the 2015 extreme high temperature events in Western China, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 97, S102–S106, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-16-0158.1, 2016.
- Tang, M., Chan, C. K., Li, Y. J., Su, H., Ma, Q., Wu, Z., Zhang, G., Wang, Z., Ge, M., Hu, M., He, H., and Wang, X.: A review of experimental techniques for aerosol hygroscopicity studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 12631–12686, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-12631-2019, 2019.
- Tao, L., Zhou, Z., Tao, J., Zhang, L., Wu, C., Li, J., Yue, D., Wu, Z., Zhang, Z., Yuan, Z., Huang, J., and Wang, B.: High contribution of new particle formation to ultrafine particles in four seasons in an urban atmosphere in south China, Sci. Total Environ., 889, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.164202, 2023.

- Teng, M., Liao, H., Burke, P. J., Chen, T., and Zhang, C.: Adaptive responses: the
- effects of temperature levels on residential electricity use in China, Clim. Change, 172,
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-022-03374-3, 2022.
- Tian, J., Wang, Q., Zhang, Y., Yan, M., Liu, H., Zhang, N., Ran, W., and Cao, J.: Impacts of primary emissions and secondary aerosol formation on air pollution in an urban area of China during the COVID-19 lockdown, Environ. Int., 150, 106426, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106426, 2021.
- Titos, G., Burgos, M. A., Zieger, P., Alados-Arboledas, L., Baltensperger, U., Jefferson, A., Sherman, J., Weingartner, E., Henzing, B., Luoma, K., O'Dowd, C., Wiedensohler, A., and Andrews, E.: A global study of hygroscopicity-driven light-scattering enhancement in the context of other in situ aerosol optical properties, Atmos.Chem. Phys., 21, 13031–13050, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-13031-2021, 2021.
- Titos, G., Cazorla, A., Zieger, P., Andrews, E., Lyamani, H., Granados-Muñoz, M. J., Olmo, F. J., and Alados-Arboledas, L.: Effect of hygroscopic growth on the aerosol light-scattering coefficient: A review of measurements, techniques and error sources, Atmos.Environ., 141, 494–507, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.07.021, 2016.
- Titos, G., Lyamani, H., Cazorla, A., Sorribas, M., Foyo-Moreno, I., Wiedensohler, A., and Alados-Arboledas, L.: Study of the relative humidity dependence of aerosol light-scattering in southern Spain, Tellus, Ser. B Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 66, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v66.24536, 2014.
- Wang, Y., Li, Z., Zhang, R., Jin, X., Xu, W., Fan, X., Wu, H., Zhang, F., Sun, Y., Wang, Q., Cribb, M., and Hu, D.: Distinct Ultrafine- and Accumulation-Mode Particle Properties in Clean and Polluted Urban Environments, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 10918–10925, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084047, 2019.

- Wang, Z. B., Hu, M., Sun, J. Y., Wu, Z. J., Yue, D. L., Shen, X. J., Zhang, Y. M., Pei,
- X. Y., Cheng, Y. F., and Wiedensohler, A.: Characteristics of regional new particle
- formation in urban and regional background environments in the North China Plain,
- Atmos.Chem. Phys., 13, 12495–12506, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-12495-2013,
- 2013.
- Wang, Z., Wu, Z., Yue, D., Shang, D., Guo, S., Sun, J., Ding, A., Wang, L., Jiang, J.,
- Guo, H., Gao, J., Cheung, H. C., Morawska, L., Keywood, M., and Hu, M.: New
- particle formation in China: Current knowledge and further directions, Sci. Total
- Environ., 577, 258–266, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.177, 2017.
- Wu, Z. J., Poulain, L., Birmili, W., Größ, J., Niedermeier, N., Wang, Z. B., Herrmann,
- H., and Wiedensohler, A.: Some insights into the condensing vapors driving new

particle growth to CCN sizes on the basis of hygroscopicity measurements, Atmos.

- Chem. Phys., 15, 13071–13083, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-13071-2015, 2015.
- Wu, Z. J., Zheng, J., Shang, D. J., Du, Z. F., Wu, Y. S., Zeng, L. M., Wiedensohler, A.,
- and Hu, M.: Particle hygroscopicity and its link to chemical composition in the urban
- atmosphere of Beijing, China, during summertime,Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16,
- 1123–1138, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1123-2016, 2016.
- Xia, C., Sun, J., Hu, X., Shen, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, S., Wang, J., Liu, Q., Lu, J., Liu, S., and Zhang, X.: Effects of hygroscopicity on aerosol optical properties and direct radiative forcing in Beijing: Based on two-year observations, Sci. Total Environ., 857, 159233, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159233, 2023.
- Xu, W. Y., Zhao, C. S., Ran, L., Deng, Z. Z., Liu, P. F., Ma, N., Lin, W. L., Xu, X. B.,
- Yan, P., He, X., Yu, J., Liang, W. D., and Chen, L. L.: Characteristics of pollutants and
- their correlation to meteorological conditions at a suburban site in the North China Plain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4353–4369, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-4353-2011,
- 2011.

- Xue, B., Kuang, Y., Xu, W., and Zhao, P.: Joint increase of aerosol scattering 942 efficiency and aerosol hygroscopicity aggravate visibility impairment in the North China Plain, Sci. Total Environ., 839, 141163, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156279, 2022.
- Yang, P., Feng, Q., Hong, G., Kattawar, G. W., Wiscombe, W. J., Mishchenko, M. I., Dubovik, O., Laszlo, I., and Sokolik, I. N.: Modeling of the scattering and radiative properties of nonspherical dust-like aerosols, J. Aerosol Sci., 38, 995–1014, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2007.07.001, 2007.
- Yarragunta, Y., Srivastava, S., Mitra, D., and Chandola, H. C.: Source apportionment
- of carbon monoxide over India: a quantitative analysis using MOZART-4, Environ.
- Sci. Pollut. Res., 28, 8722–8742, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11099-y, 2021.
- Zhang, L., Sun, J. Y., Shen, X. J., Zhang, Y. M., Che, H., Ma, Q. L., Zhang, Y. W., Zhang, X. Y., and Ogren, J. A.: Observations of relative humidity effects on aerosol light scattering in the Yangtze River Delta of China,Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8439–8454, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-8439-2015, 2015.
- Zhang, R., Khalizov, A. F., Pagels, J., Zhang, D., Xue, H., and McMurry, P. H.: Variability in morphology, hygroscopicity, and optical properties of soot aerosols during atmospheric processing, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 105, 10291–10296, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0804860105, 2008.
- Zhang, R., Khalizov, A., Wang, L., Hu, M., and Xu, W.: Nucleation and growth of nanoparticles in the atmosphere, Chem. Rev., 112, 1957–2011, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2001756, 2012.
- Zhang, R., Suh, I., Zhao, J., Zhang, D., Fortner, E. C., Tie, X., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Atmospheric new particle formation enhanced by organic acids, Science (80-.)., 304, 1487–1490, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1095139, 2004.

- Zhang, R., Wang, G., Guo, S., Zamora, M. L., Ying, Q., Lin, Y., Wang, W., Hu, M.,
- and Wang, Y.: Formation of Urban Fine Particulate Matter, Chem. Rev., 115,
- 3803–3855, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00067, 2015.
- Zhang, Z., Xu, W., Zeng, S., Liu, Y., Liu, T., Zhang, Y., Du, A., Li, Y., Zhang, N.,
- Wang, J., Aruffo, E., Han, P., Li, J., Wang, Z., and Sun, Y.: Secondary Organic 971 Aerosol Formation from Ambient Air in Summer in Urban Beijing: Contribution of S/IVOCs and Impacts of Heat Waves, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.4c00415, 2024.
- 974 Zhao, C., Yu, Y., Kuang, Y., Tao, J., and Zhao, G.: Recent Progress of Aerosol Light-scattering Enhancement Factor Studies in China, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 36, 1015–1026, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-019-8248-1, 2019.
- Zhao, S., Yu, Y., Li, J., Yin, D., Qi, S., and Qin, D.: Response of particle number concentrations to the clean air action plan: Lessons from the first long-term aerosol measurements in a typical urban valley in western China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 14959–14981, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-14959-2021, 2021.
- Zhu, Y., Shen, Y., Li, K., Meng, H., Sun, Y., Yao, X., Gao, H., Xue, L., and Wang, W.: Investigation of Particle Number Concentrations and New Particle Formation With Largely Reduced Air Pollutant Emissions at a Coastal Semi-Urban Site in Northern China, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 126, 1–20, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035419, 2021.
- Zieger, P., Weingartner, E., Henzing, J., Moerman, M., De Leeuw, G., Mikkilä, J., Ehn, M., Petäjä, T., Clémer, K., Van Roozendael, M., Yilmaz, S., Frieß, U., Irie, H., Wagner, T., Shaiganfar, R., Beirle, S., Apituley, A., Wilson, K., and Baltensperger, U.: Comparison of ambient aerosol extinction coefficients obtained from in-situ, MAX-DOAS and LIDAR measurements at Cabauw, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2603–2624, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-2603-2011, 2011.