

Responses to reviewer and editor comments

Quantifying degradation of the Imja Lake moraine dam with fused InSAR and SAR feature tracking time series

George Brencher, Scott T. Henderson, David E. Shean

November 29, 2025

Editor:

Dear George Brencher and co-authors,

Thank you for the work on this manuscript and for considering the reviewers' comments. Upon receiving the last comments, I am very happy to recommend the manuscript for publication. Congratulations!

The reviewer pointed out some matters in the reference list. Please address these, along with giving the manuscript a careful read.

Again, it is great to see this paper get published, and I certainly consider it a highly valuable contribution. Thank you for your efforts and patience through this process.

Best regards,
Ian

Dear Editor,

We're very happy to hear that the manuscript has been recommended for publication. We have addressed the issue with the references list. We have also done a careful read-through, and made minor edits to address grammar, typos, inconsistent terminology, and to make sure the manuscript complies with the style guide. We have also archived the code and data related to this work on Zenodo and added corresponding references. These edits have not changed the content of the manuscript. Thank you very much for all your efforts throughout this process!

Sincerely,

George Brencher, on behalf of all authors

Reviewer 3:

Dear authors,

thank you for considering my comments to further improve the interesting and relevant study. It can be accepted now from my point of view. But please make sure that the reference list is updated before resubmission.

Best regards,

Tobias Bolch

Dear Reviewer,

Thank you again for your helpful comments. We have updated the reference list to include all the work cited in the text.

Best wishes,

George Brencher, on behalf of all authors