

Dimethyl sulfide chemistry over the industrial era: comparison of key oxidation mechanisms and long-term observations

Ursula A. Jongebloed¹, Jacob I. Chalif², Linia Tashmim³, William C. Porter³, Kelvin H. Bates⁴, Qianjie Chen⁵, Erich C. Osterberg², Bess G. Koffman⁶, Jihong Cole-Dai⁷, Dominic A. Winski⁸, David G. Ferris², Karl J. Kreutz⁸, Cameron P. Wake⁹, and Becky Alexander¹

¹Department of Atmospheric and Climate Science, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195, United States of America ²Department of Earth Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, 03755, United States of America

³Department of Environmental Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA, 92521, United States of America

⁴Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, 80309, United States of America

⁵Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR 999077, China

⁶Department of Geology, Colby College, Waterville, ME, 04901, United States of America

⁷Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD, 57006, United States of America ⁸Climate Change Institute and School of Earth and Climate Science, University of Maine, Orono, ME, 04469, United States of America

⁹The Center for North Atlantic Studies, University of New England, Biddeford, ME, 04005, United States of America

Correspondence: Ursula A. Jongebloed (ujongebl@uw.edu) and Becky Alexander (beckya@uw.edu)

Abstract. Dimethyl sulfide (DMS) is primarily emitted by marine phytoplankton and oxidized in the atmosphere to form methanesulfonic acid (MSA) and sulfate aerosols, which affect climate by scattering incoming solar radiation and influencing cloud properties. Ice cores in regions affected by anthropogenic pollution show an industrial-era decline in MSA, which has previously been interpreted as indicating a decline in phytoplankton abundance. However, a simultaneous increase in DMS-

- 5 derived sulfate (bio SO_4) in a Greenland ice core suggests that pollution-driven oxidant changes caused the decline in MSA by influencing the relative production of MSA versus $bioSO₄$. Here we use GEOS-Chem, a global chemical transport model, over three time periods (preindustrial, peak North Atlantic NO_x pollution, and $21st$ century) to investigate the chemical drivers of the industrial-era changes in MSA and bioSO4, and examine whether four DMS oxidation mechanisms reproduce trends and seasonality in DMS, MSA, and $bioSO₄$ observations. We find that GEOS-Chem and box model simulations can reproduce
- 10 ice core trends in MSA and bioSO₄, but model results are sensitive to DMS oxidation mechanism and oxidant concentrations. Our simulations support the hypothesized nitrate-radical driven decline in MSA over the industrial era, but none of the GEOS-Chem simulations can capture the seasonality of in situ DMS observations while simultaneously reproducing trends in ice core MSA and bioSO4. To reduce uncertainty in modeling DMS-derived aerosols, future work should investigate aqueous-phase chemistry, which produces $82-99\%$ of MSA and bioSO₄ in our simulations, and constrain atmospheric oxidant concentrations,
- 15 including the nitrate radical, hydroxyl radical, and reactive halogens.

1 Introduction

Marine phytoplankton are primary producers and an important source of atmospheric sulfur through the emission of dimethyl sulfide (DMS, CH_3SCH_3). In the atmosphere, DMS oxidation forms methanesulfonic acid (MSA, CH_3SO_3H) and sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) aerosols, both of which play an important role in the formation and growth of new particles and cloud condensation 20 nuclei (e.g., Beck et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2015; Weber et al., 1997; Kaufman and Tanré, 1994) and influence aerosol radiative forcing (e.g., Fung et al., 2022; Carslaw et al., 2013; Regayre et al., 2020). Uncertainty in past, present, and future DMS emissions and oxidation chemistry contribute to uncertainty in aerosol radiative forcing estimates (e.g., Carslaw et al., 2013, 2017; Fung et al., 2022; Kaufman and Tanré, 1994). Ice core records of MSA concentrations, traditionally considered a proxy for DMS emissions, have been used to infer phytoplankton abundance (Kurosaki et al., 2022; Osman et al., 2019; 25 Polashenski et al., 2018) and sea ice extent (Abram et al., 2013; Curran et al., 2003; Maselli et al., 2017; Osterberg et al.,

- 2015). Based on industrial-era declines in MSA concentrations across many Greenland ice cores, it was inferred that DMS emissions—and consequently, marine phytoplankton abundance—had declined in the North Atlantic between the preindustrial and early 21st century (Osman et al., 2019). A more recent study found an increase in Greenland MSA from 2002–2014 and attributed the increase to declining sea ice extent (Kurosaki et al., 2022). More recently, sulfur isotopes of sulfate $(\delta^{34}S(SO_4^{2-}))$
- 30 from a Summit, Greenland ice core showed that DMS-derived sulfate (bioSO4) had increased in the North Atlantic region since the preindustrial (Jongebloed et al., 2023a). The time period of minimum MSA concentrations (1969–1995 CE) aligns with peak anthropogenic NO*^x* pollution in the regions affecting Greenland, causing Jongebloed et al. (2023a) to hypothesize that the trends in MSA and bioSO₄ are driven by changes in DMS oxidation chemistry due to changes in atmospheric oxidant abundances. In support of this hypothesis, a mid- $20th$ century through early $21st$ -century decline in MSA concentrations in the
- 35 Denali, Alaska ice core, which is influenced by DMS emissions from the North Pacific, was found to align with an increase in East Asian oxidant precursor emissions starting in the 1950s (Chalif et al., 2024).

Jongebloed et al. (2023a) and Chalif et al. (2024) hypothesized that increased industrial-era NO*^x* and VOC emissions drive increases in the nitrate radical (NO₃), and that oxidation of DMS by the nitrate radical favors the production of sulfate over the production of MSA. Using a global chemistry-climate model with updated DMS oxidation chemistry, Fung et al. (2022) found

- 40 a 59% decrease in global MSA burden from the preindustrial to present day in a global climate model with updated DMS oxidation chemistry, supporting the hypothesis of a pollution-driven decline in MSA. Chalif et al. (2024) used a box model with gas-phase DMS oxidation chemistry from recent studies (Fung et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2018; Cala et al., 2023; Novak et al., 2021), and found a $NO₃$ -driven decline in modeled MSA concentrations of the same magnitude as the decline in MSA observed in the Summit, Greenland and Denali, Alaska ice cores. However, this box model approach does not include aqueous-
- 45 phase chemistry, which is likely the dominant MSA formation pathway in the atmosphere (Chen et al., 2018). Additionally, the rapidly evolving representation of DMS oxidation mechanisms in atmospheric chemistry models compels a careful comparison of these various mechanisms.

Many atmospheric models have simple DMS oxidation schemes which include three gas-phase reactions with the hydroxyl radical (OH) and the nitrate radical (Chin et al., 1996). In recent years, these have been updated to include both additional gas-

- 50 phase and aqueous-phase reactions involving additional MSA and sulfate precursors. Chen et al. (2018) implemented updates to DMS oxidation chemistry in the global chemical transport model GEOS-Chem, including the reaction with bromine monoxide (BrO) and the chlorine radical (Cl), formation of important intermediates such as dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, CH3SOCH3) and methanesulfinic acid (MSIA, CH₃SO₂H), and the aqueous-phase formation of MSA from these intermediates. Tashmim et al. (2024) built on the Chen et al. (2018) mechanism to include gas-phase chemistry producing hydroperoxymethyl thioformate
- 55 (HPMTF, HOOCH2SCHO), which has been observed in the atmosphere (Novak et al., 2021; Siegel et al., 2023; Veres et al., 2020) and laboratory studies (Goss and Kroll, 2024; Shen et al., 2022; Ye et al., 2022) and forms sulfate in the aqueous phase (Novak et al., 2021). Along with HPMTF, Tashmim et al. (2024) included other gas-phase intermediates such as the methylthiomethylperoxy radical (MSP or MTMP; CH_3SCH_2OO) and the CH_3SO_2 radical. Chen et al. (2023) implemented a DMS oxidation mechanism in GEOS-Chem that included the temperature-dependent gas-phase production of MSA and
- 60 sulfate through the CH3SO² radical, which has been observed in recent chamber studies (Berndt et al., 2023; Goss and Kroll, 2024; Shen et al., 2022; Ye et al., 2022). Gas-phase production of MSA increases in simulations using the Chen et al. (2023) mechanism relative to the simple three gas-phase reaction mechanism, which could be important for new particle formation. Similar DMS oxidation mechanisms with a wide range in complexity have been implemented into chemical transport models,

chemistry-climate models, and box models. Hoffmann et al. (2021) and Revell et al. (2019) found that different DMS oxidation

- 65 schemes yield order-of-magnitude differences in SO₂, MSA, and sulfate concentrations. Similarly, Fung et al. (2022) found that updating the DMS chemistry mechanism in a chemistry-climate model causes a decreased estimated aerosol radiative forcing, demonstrating the importance of DMS chemistry to climate modeling. Cala et al. (2023) implemented gas-phase DMS oxidation similar to Tashmim et al. (2024) and Fung et al. (2022), but do not include aqueous-phase oxidation of DMS, DMSO, and MSIA or the reaction of DMS with BrO and Cl. Cala et al. (2023) also found significant variation in DMS
- 70 oxidation products under different oxidation mechanisms and highlight the need to investigate the kinetics of small sulfur radical intermediates (CH_3S , CH_3SO_2 , and CH_3SO_3). Finally, Bhatti et al. (2024) used a global chemistry-climate model to implement seven simple DMS oxidation mechanisms from other models, none of which included HPMTF chemistry, and found a range in global aerosol optical depth that is twice as large as the modeled change from preindustrial to present-day aerosol optical depth.
- 75 Recent chamber, modeling, and observation studies highlight remaining uncertainties in DMS oxidation, including uncertainty in the MSP isomerization rate to form HPMTF (Jernigan et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2022); the fate of HPMTF, including gas-phase oxidation to form SO_2 (Novak et al., 2021; Jonge et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2015), in-cloud oxidation to form sulfate (Novak et al., 2021; Vermeuel et al., 2020), or photolysis (Khan et al., 2021); the formation and loss of dimethyl sulfone (Scholz et al., 2023; Shen et al., 2022); the kinetics of small sulfur radical intermediates (CH₃S, CH₃SO₂, and CH₃SO₃) to
- 80 form sulfate and MSA (Berndt et al., 2023; Cala et al., 2023; Chen et al., 2021, 2023; Goss and Kroll, 2024; Ye et al., 2022); the reaction of MSIA in the aqueous phase (Liu et al., 2023); and the reaction of MSA with OH in the aqueous phase to form sulfate (Kwong et al., 2018; Mungall et al., 2018). In addition to remaining uncertainties in DMS oxidation chemistry, uncertainties in modeled oxidant abundances affect the relative abundance of DMS oxidation products, and representation of atmospheric oxidants varies drastically by model (Murray et al., 2021; Young et al., 2013).

- 85 Here we implement four DMS oxidation mechanisms from previous studies (Chen et al., 2018; Tashmim et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2023; Cala et al., 2023) into a global atmospheric chemistry model to investigate how modeled abundances of DMS oxidation products over the industrial era compare to long-term in situ observations and to ice cores from Summit, Greenland and Denali, Alaska. We use these four mechanisms to represent a range in the complexity and characteristics of the representation of intermediates. We investigate which oxidants and reactions drive trends in MSA and bioSO₄, where 90 knowledge gaps remain in DMS oxidation chemistry, and the potential global implications of these mechanisms for DMS
- oxidation products.

2 Methodology

2.1 GEOS-Chem model

To investigate modeled industrial-era trends in MSA and DMS-derived biogenic sulfate, we use GEOS-Chem versions 12.9.3 95 (abbreviated as GC12; https://zenodo.org/records/3974569) and 13.2.1 (abbreviated as GC13; https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5

- 500717) (Bey et al., 2001). We use two model versions to test the sensitivity of our results to different oxidant concentrations (see Section 3.1). GEOS-Chem is driven by assimilated meteorology from MERRA2 and has detailed HO*x*-NO*x*-VOC-O3 halogen chemistry including recently updated halogen and cloud chemistry (Bates and Jacob, 2019; Chen et al., 2017; Holmes et al., 2019; Schmidt et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019, 2021). We run simulations at $4^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$ resolution with varying anthropogenic
- 100 emissions (for years 1750, peak NO_x pollution in 1979, and 2007) from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS; McDuffie et al., 2020). DMS emissions from the ocean are described in Lana et al. (2011) and are based on a climatology of sea-surface DMS concentrations with flux controlled by sea surface temperature- and wind-dependent gas transfer velocity (Johnson, 2010; Nightingale et al., 2000). In all mechanisms, we add tracers to GEOS-Chem to track DMS-derived SO₂ and bioSO₄ separately from other sources of SO₂ and sulfate while preserving total modeled sulfur. Dry deposition is parameterized
- 105 as a resistance-in-series model (Wang et al., 1998; Wesely, 1989) and wet deposition includes both scavenging and washout of soluble species (Liu et al., 2001). In version 13.2.1, the wet deposition is updated to include spatially and temporally varying in-cloud condensed water and a higher washout rate for nitric acid (Luo et al., 2019, 2020). To test different time periods, we use the same meteorology and natural emissions from 2007 across all simulations, but prescribe anthropogenic emissions from other years representing each time period, following Zhai et al. (2021) and Jongebloed et al. (2023c).
- 110 We implement DMS oxidation chemistry from Chen et al. (2018), Chen et al. (2023), Cala et al. (2023), and Tashmim et al. (2024) to represent a range in DMS oxidation chemistry, such as the inclusion of HPMTF chemistry (Cala, Tashmim, J. Chen), the inclusion of DMS loss to reactive halogens (Q. Chen, Tashmim), and different representations of the shortlived organosulfur intermediates such as CH₃SO₂ (Cala, Tashmim, and J. Chen). We then quantify global implications of different DMS oxidation mechanisms and oxidant concentrations for DMS oxidation products. DMS oxidation mechanisms
- 115 are described in Section 2.2.

This study does not consider how changes in meteorology might affect long-term trends in MSA and sulfate. Changes in meteorology are potentially important in the Denali ice core, where the snow accumulation rate has increased by a factor of

1.2–2.3 since the preindustrial (Winski et al., 2017; Chalif et al., 2024). Chalif et al. (2024) showed that these accumulation rate changes alone cannot explain the trend in MSA concentrations, and here we use the same meteorology across all simulations 120 to investigate how changing atmospheric chemistry influences trends in ice core concentrations of DMS oxidation products.

Importantly, this study also does not consider how potential past and future changes in DMS emissions might affect longterm trends in MSA and sulfate. We use the same DMS emissions from Lana et al. (2011) in every simulation; however, uncertainty in or changes to DMS emissions could affect comparison of model simulations with long-term ice core and in situ observations. DMS emissions inventories in models vary by up to a factor of 2 or more, and may not capture spatiotemporal

125 variability in DMS emissions (Bhatti et al., 2023; Galí et al., 2018; Hulswar et al., 2022; Lana et al., 2011; Steiner et al., 2012). Furthermore, DMS emissions vary under different temperature, pH, and nutrient availability, and may change under global warming (Hopkins et al., 2020, 2023; Kloster et al., 2007; Saint-Macary et al., 2021; Øyvind Seland et al., 2020; Six et al., 2013; Sunda et al., 2007; Tjiputra et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2022; Zhao et al., 2024; Zindler et al., 2014). Therefore, potential changes in present, past, and future DMS emissions should also be considered when interpreting observed or modeled long-

130 term trends of MSA and sulfate.

Finally, this study does not include emissions of methanethiol (CH₃SH; MeSH), which is emitted at about 3–40% of the rate of DMS emission (Gros et al., 2023; Lawson et al., 2020; Novak et al., 2022), and may favor SO₂ and sulfate production over MSA (Novak et al., 2022), potentially affecting our model-observation comparison of MSA, bioSO₄, and MSA/bioSO₄.

2.2 DMS oxidation mechanisms

135 We perform simulations using four DMS oxidation mechanisms, which are summarized in Table 1 and Figure 1, and discussed in detail below. Detailed schematics for the mechanisms can be found in Figures S1-S4. Table S1 shows Henry's law constants of aqueous-phase intermediates for the four mechanisms and Table 2 shows the time periods simulated.

In the Q. Chen mechanism, we use the DMS oxidation scheme from Chen et al. (2018). This mechanism includes DMS oxidation by key oxidants, including OH via addition and abstraction, the nitrate radical, bromine monoxide (BrO), ozone (O_3)

- 140 via gas- and aqueous-phase chemistry, and the chlorine radical (Cl). Chen et al. (2018) include aqueous-phase production of MSA via DMSO reacting with OH to produce MSIA, followed by MSIA reacting with OH and ozone to produce MSA. Chen et al. (2018) also include the aqueous-phase destruction of MSA by OH to produce sulfate. However, this reaction appears to be overly efficient when implemented in the Tashmim mechanism (Fig. S5) and is therefore omitted from all mechanisms in this study.
- 145 The Tashmim mechanism includes all the reactions in the aqueous-phase and addition pathways from Chen et al. (2018) (Tashmim et al., 2024). This mechanism updates the abstraction pathway to include the isomerization component, including intermediates such as MSP, CH₃SO₂, HPMTF, and the aqueous-phase formation of sulfate from HPMTF.

The J. Chen mechanism includes the gas-phase chemistry from Chen et al. (2023) and aqueous-phase chemistry from Chen et al. (2018) and Tashmim et al. (2024). Henry's law constants for all aqueous-phase species are from Chen et al. (2023) (see

150 Table S1). The main difference between the J. Chen mechanism and the Tashmim mechanism is that the J. Chen mechanism adds gas-phase MSA and sulfate production through the $CH₃SO₂$ radical intermediate. Other important differences include

Table 1. Description of mechanisms.

the citations associated with each mechanism.

 b In all mechanisms, SO₂ is oxidized to sulfate in the gas phase through reaction with OH and in the aqueous phase through reaction of</sup> S(IV) with H₂O₂, O₃, HOBr, HOCl, and O₂ catalyzed by transition metals iron and manganese (Alexander et al., 2009, 2012; Chen et al., 2017).

^c Other sensitivity tests performed include the Tashmim mechanism with MSA + OH (aq) \rightarrow SO²[−] included, shown in Figure S5.

Table 2. Time periods simulated in the GEOS-Chem model.

Time Period	Description
1750	2007 meteorology, 2007 natural emissions, and 1750 anthropogenic emissions.
1979	2007 meteorology, 2007 natural emissions, and 1979 anthropogenic emissions.
2007	2007 meteorology, 2007 natural emissions, and 2007 anthropogenic emissions.

the omission of the DMS + BrO, DMS + O_3 , and DMS + Cl reactions. Although Fung et al. (2022), Chen et al. (2018), and Khan et al. (2016) show that DMS + BrO may be a significant sink for DMS (8–29% globally), we omit these reactions from our J. Chen mechanism for consistency with Chen et al. (2023). Other notable differences between the J. Chen and 155 Tashmim mechanisms include intermediates such as methanesulfenic acid (MSEA), and the reactions connecting the addition

and abstraction branches through the oxidation of MSEA and MSIA to form $CH₃SO₂$, which can then form both MSA and sulfate. Finally, we include the aqueous-phase chemistry from Chen et al. (2018) and Tashmim et al. (2024) in the J. Chen mechanism to include the aqueous-phase formation of MSA and sulfate from DMSO and HPMTF, respectively.

Figure 1. Simplified schematic of DMS oxidation chemistry in Table 1, which includes the DMS oxidation mechanisms in Chen et al. (2018), Tashmim et al. (2024), Chen et al. (2023), and Cala et al. (2023). The purple box shows the abstraction and isomerization branch, which forms MSP, HPMTF, CH₃SO₂, other short-lived organosulfur compounds, bioSO₄, MSA, and carbonyl sulfide (OCS) (Chen et al., 2018; Tashmim et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2023; Cala et al., 2023). In the yellow box, the addition branch includes DMSO, MSIA, SO2, bioSO4, and MSA (Chen et al., 2018; Tashmim et al., 2024). DMSO, MSIA, and HPMTF intermediates partition into the aqueous phase. Percentages above reaction arrows show the percent of the precursor oxidized through each pathway, where the range is based on range in the global mean in simulations with various oxidation mechanisms in Table 1. Below each compound, a range in mass-weighted mean tropospheric concentrations and tropospheric lifetimes is shown as a range across all mechanisms and model versions in Table 1. All concentration, lifetime, and percent oxidation numbers are from the 2007 (present day) simulations. $SO₂$ produced from DMS oxidation can be dry deposited or oxidized in the gas and aqueous phases to form sulfate (Alexander et al., 2009, 2012; Chen et al., 2017). Reaction rates and detailed descriptions of the reactions can be found in Chen et al. (2018), Tashmim et al. (2024), Chen et al. (2023), and Cala et al. (2023).

For the Cala mechanism, we implement gas-phase DMS oxidation chemistry from Cala et al. (2023) with aqueous-phase 160 chemistry from Chen et al. (2018) and Tashmim et al. (2024). We note that oxidation of HPMTF in aerosol to form sulfate is similar in Cala et al. (2023) and Tashmim et al. (2024), but Cala et al. (2023) do not include aqueous-phase oxidation of

DMSO and MSIA or cloud loss of HPMTF. Similar to the J. Chen mechanism, the Cala mechanism does not include DMS + BrO, DMS + O_3 , or DMS + Cl.

Figure 2. Ice core observations from Denali, Alaska (left; Chalif et al., 2024) and Summit, Greenland (right; Jongebloed et al., 2023a). a) Denali MSA concentrations, where the thin line is annual concentrations and the thick line is annual concentrations smoothed with a Hann window function. b) Summit MSA concentrations, which are sub-decadal from 1700 to 1980 and annual from 1980 to 2007. c) a map showing the locations of Denali (63˚N, 151˚W) and Summit (73˚N, 39˚W) and the source regions shown as dashed lines for Denali (Polashenski et al., 2018) and Summit (Osman et al., 2019). d) Summit bioSO⁴ concentrations. e) Summit MSA/bioSO⁴ molar ratios. Dotted lines show Bayesian changepoint analysis from Jongebloed et al. (2023a) and Chalif et al. (2024). Error bars from Summit core (b, d, and e) show 1-sigma propagation of uncertainty in isotope measurements and calculations.

2.3 Box modeling of DMS oxidation chemistry

- 165 We use the Framework for 0-Dimensional Atmospheric Modeling (F0AM, Wolfe et al., 2016) to isolate the impacts of changing oxidant concentrations on trends in MSA and bioSO4. We follow Chalif et al. (2024) by using March–October mass-weighted oxidant concentrations in the marine boundary layer \ll 2 km) from the 1750, 1979, and 2007 simulations (Table 1) as inputs for the box model. We model the four oxidation mechanisms described in Table 1 and oxidant concentrations from both GC12 and GC13. Box model simulations, including those in Chalif et al. (2024), only model gas-phase chemistry. We approximate 170 the aqueous-phase pathways by allowing MSIA oxidation to form only MSA, which is informed by GEOS-Chem simulations
- where 90% of the MSIA forms MSA (Fig. 1). This approximation of aqueous-phase chemistry increases the absolute ratio of $MSA/bioSO_4$ by about a factor of two, but does not affect modeled trends in MSA, bioSO₄, or MSA/bioSO₄ in any mechanism.

2.4 Long-term observations: ice core and in situ measurements

We use ice core measurements of MSA from the Denali, Alaska ice core (Chalif et al., 2024) and MSA and bio SO_4 from a 175 Summit, Greenland ice core (Jongebloed et al., 2023a) to investigate observed trends in DMS oxidation products. Figure 2 shows ice core observations and the locations of Denali and Summit. The Denali ice core is located in the sub-Arctic North Pacific region, which is influenced by East Asian emissions, and includes annually-resolved MSA concentrations from 1700 to 2013 CE (Fig. 2a). The Summit ice core is from the sub-Arctic North Atlantic region, which is influenced by anthropogenic emissions from eastern North America and western Europe, includes MSA concentrations (Fig. 2b) that are consistent with

180 a composite MSA record from ice cores across Greenland (Fig. S6; Osman et al., 2019). The Summit ice core observations also include DMS-derived biogenic sulfate (bioSO₄) concentrations (Fig. 2d) and the ratio of MSA/bioSO₄ from 1700 to 2007 (Fig. 2e) determined via isotope apportionment of sulfate sources (Jongebloed et al., 2023a, b, c). We cannot estimate bioSO⁴ and MSA/bioSO⁴ for the Denali ice core because the sulfur isotopic composition of sulfate was not measured.

To compare the model results to the ice core observations, we have considered several methods based on previous work.

185 Zhai et al. (2021) and Jongebloed et al. (2023c) compared Greenland ice cores to the tropospheric burden of relevant species in a 5-day HYSPLIT back-trajectory region of Greenland. Osman et al. (2019) and Chalif et al. (2024) investigated Greenland ice cores using a smaller HYSPLIT back-trajectory region. Moseid et al. (2022) and Zhang et al. (2024) compared sulfate and black carbon in ice cores to several models by examining the modeled deposition of each species in the grid cell containing the ice core. For this study, we follow Moseid et al. (2022) and Zhang et al. (2024) and compare the trends in grid cell deposition 190 to trends in ice core concentration, but results are qualitatively similar using other methods.

In addition to ice core observations, we compare model results to long-term in situ observations of MSA, DMS, and $MSA/nssSO₄^{2–} from Ayers et al. (1995), Becagli et al. (2019), Gondwe et al. (2004), Kouvarakis and Mihalopoulos (2002),$ Quinn et al. (2009), Schmale et al. (2022), and Sharma et al. (2019). We include details on these in situ measurements and note the limitations of these comparisons in Section 3.4.

195 3 Results and discussion

3.1 Changes in oxidant concentrations across model versions and simulation years

Figure 3 shows that concentrations of DMS oxidants are substantially different across two different GEOS-Chem model versions (GC12 and GC13) and simulation years (1750, 1979, and 2007) in the Summit source region (Fig. 3a-e), Denali source region (Fig. 3f-j), and global mean (Fig. 3k-o). We analyzed the Summit and Denali source regions (Fig. 3a and 3f) to examine 200 how oxidants have changed in the upwind regions influencing each ice core site (Osman et al., 2019; Polashenski et al., 2018; Chalif et al., 2024). Oxidants are influenced by trends in anthropogenic pollution, which differ across each of these regions over the industrial era. We show NO_x emissions from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS; McDuffie et al., 2020) in Figure 3, which increase from the late $19th$ through late $20th$ century and decrease from the late $20th$ through early $21st$ century in North America and the European Union (i.e., upwind of Summit; Fig. 3b-e). In East Asia, (i.e., upwind of Denali; 205 Fig. 3g-j), NO_x emissions increase rapidly in the late $20th$ through early $21st$ century. We show concentrations of OH, NO₃, BrO, and O₃, but not the chlorine radical (Cl), because modeled trends in Cl are similar to results shown in Zhai et al. (2021) and Cl is expected to be a minor oxidant of DMS; however, we note that anthropogenic emissions of chlorine are not included in either model version and current reactive chlorine chemistry mechanisms underestimate observed reactive chlorine (Chen et al., 2022, 2024).

- 210 Tropospheric nitrate radical concentrations increased between 1750 and 1979 and plateaued between 1979 and 2007 in the Summit source region (Fig. 3b) and Denali source region (Fig. 3g) and increased further in the global mean (Fig. 3l). The tropospheric nitrate radical concentrations increased by similar factors in both GC12 and GC13 in the Summit source region (factor of 2.3 and 2.8), Denali source region (1.2 and 1.6), and global mean (0.7 and 1.0), consistent with changes simulated by another global model (Khan et al., 2015). Notably, the absolute concentrations are a factor of 2.2–4.5 higher in GC13 than
- 215 GC12. The higher nitrate radical concentrations may be driven in part by differences in ozone concentrations in model versions (Fig. 3d, 3i, and 3n), which are consistently 6–11 ppb higher in GC13 compared to version 12.9.3 across all simulation years. Both versions are within the range of ozone concentrations over the industrial era in Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) simulations (Young et al., 2013). In contrast to ozone and the nitrate radical, BrO concentrations are 0.04–0.19 ppt lower in GC13 compared to GC12. Modeled concentrations of BrO in the Summit source
- 220 region increased by 12–42% from 1750 to 2007 (Fig. 3b), similar to a 16% increase in Russian Arctic BrO concentrations modeled by Zhai et al. (2024). Tropospheric mean OH is up to 1.7×10^5 molec cm⁻³ higher in 13.2.1 compared to 12.9.3, but changes between 1750 and 1979 mean OH vary from -19% to $+13\%$ (Fig. 3e, 3j, and 3o). The 2–8% increase in OH between 1979 and 2007 is consistent with modeled northern hemisphere OH anomalies based on methane ${}^{13}C$ (Turner et al., 2017) and trends in global mean tropospheric OH from ACCMIP simulations (Murray et al., 2021). Investigating the reasons for the
- 225 differences in oxidant concentrations between model versions is beyond the scope of this study, but the substantial differences in modeled oxidant concentrations between GC12 and GC13 are useful for examining the sensitivity of DMS oxidation to oxidant concentrations.

Figure 3. Annual air-mass weighted tropospheric mean oxidant concentrations in the Summit source region (top), Denali source region (middle), and global mean from the preindustrial to the present day. In all figures showing oxidants, the dashed lines represent oxidant concentrations from version GEOS-Chem 13.2.1 (GC13) and the solid lines represent GEOS-Chem 12.9.3 (GC12). CEDS anthropogenic NO_x emissions from North America and the European Union (b-e), East Asia (g-j) and global (l-o) are shown with a gray line with shading showing one standard deviation (McDuffie et al., 2020). Meteorology and natural emissions are the same in all simulations. a) The Summit ice core site and source region. b-e) Changes in tropospheric air-mass weighted NO₃ (turquoise), BrO (gray), O₃ (red), and OH (dark blue) in the 1750, 1979, and 2007 simulations in the Summit ice core source region. f) The Denali ice core site and source region. g-j) Changes in tropospheric air-mass weighted NO³ (turquoise), BrO (gray), O³ (red), and OH (dark blue) in the 1750, 1979, and 2007 simulations in the Denali ice core source region. k) An icon representing the global calculations in l-o. l-o) Global tropospheric air-mass weighted NO₃ (turquoise), BrO (gray), O_3 (red), and OH (dark blue) in the 1750, 1979, and 2007 simulations.

3.2 Comparison between ice core and modeled changes in MSA, bioSO₄, and MSA/bioSO₄

Figure 4 shows that some GEOS-Chem and box model simulations partially capture Summit trends in MSA, bioSO4, and 230 MSA/bioSO4, and box model simulations partially capture Denali MSA trends, but all GEOS-Chem simulations model MSA trends that are opposite to the observed trends at Denali. At Denali, ice core MSA concentrations decline by 32 ± 13 % between the preindustrial (1700–1962; Chalif et al., 2024) and late $20th$ century (1962-1995), and by $49\pm13\%$ between the preindustrial and the turn of the century (1996 to 2013). In contrast, the modeled MSA is 7–31% higher in the Denali grid cell in 1979 and 2007 compared to 1750 in all mechanisms and model versions in GEOS-Chem (Fig. 4a). All box model simulations produce

235 a decline in MSA from 1750 to 1979 and a small increase from 1979 to 2007. The reasons for the discrepancy between GEOS-Chem simulations and observations from Denali are explored in Section 3.3.

Some model simulations partially capture observed trends in Summit MSA (Fig. 4b). Summit ice core MSA concentrations decrease by $57 \pm 19\%$ between the preindustrial (1200 to 1865; Jongebloed et al., 2023a) and Greenland minimum MSA concentrations (1969 to 1995). At the top of the ice core (1996–2007), MSA increases to be only $31 \pm 17\%$ lower relative to

- 240 the preindustrial. The Cala and Tashmim mechanisms using GC13 oxidants in the box model reproduce the direction of these trends, but are too small in magnitude. In GEOS-Chem, the Cala and Tashmim mechanisms in GC13 and the Chen mechanism in GC12 simulate a decrease in MSA across these time periods of 16–36%, which is also qualitatively similar to the ice core trend but smaller in magnitude (Fig. 4b). None of the GEOS-Chem simulations show an increase in MSA between 1979 and 2007, in contrast to the observed $59 \pm 29\%$ increase in the Summit ice core. In addition, the Tashmim mechanism in GC12
- 245 produces trends in MSA from 1750 to 1979 and 2007 (12–14% increase) that are opposite in sign to results produced by the Tashmim mechanism in GC13 (35–36% decrease), indicating sensitivity of these results to oxidants in different model versions. Summit ice core bioSO₄ increases from the preindustrial to Greenland MSA minimum (1969 to 1995) by $20 \pm 11\%$ and decreases to the preindustrial mean at the turn of the century (1996 to 2007; Fig. 4d). However, no GEOS-Chem simulations show a significant increase in bioSO₄ in the Summit grid cell. Across all GEOS-Chem simulations, the change in bioSO₄ from
- 250 1750 to 1979 and from 1750 to 2007 ranges from -33 to $+1\%$. In contrast, the box model simulations with the Cala, Tashmim, and J. Chen mechanisms show an increase in bio SO_4 , qualitatively aligning with the ice core trends. Sulfur isotopes of sulfate have not been measured in the Denali ice core, so we cannot estimate bioSO₄ concentrations, but all modeled mechanisms and model versions simulate an increase in bioSO₄ of 6–87% between 1750 and 1979 to 2007 (Fig. 4c).
- Figure 4f shows that modeled MSA/bioSO₄ at Summit varies from 0.2 to 2.0 across model versions and DMS oxidation 255 mechanisms. In comparison, ice core MSA/bioSO₄ ranges from 0.06 to 0.56 (Fig. 1e; Jongebloed et al., 2023a). Summit ice core MSA/bioSO₄ decreases from 0.25 ± 0.09 in the preindustrial to 0.09 ± 0.04 during the MSA minimum and increases to 0.15 ± 0.07 at the top of the ice core. The box model using the Cala and Tashmim mechanisms and GC13 oxidants qualitatively align with ice core trends in $MSA/bioSO₄$. In GEOS-Chem, the Cala mechanism in GC13 simulates a decrease in $MSA/bioSO₄$ similar to the observed ice core decrease, but $MSA/bioSO₄$ is a factor of 2.4–5.3 higher than ice core $MSA/bioSO₄$ over these
- 260 time periods (Fig. 4f). The J. Chen mechanism in GC12 also simulates a decrease in $MSA/bioSO₄$ from 1750 to 1979 of $29 \pm 11\%$, which is the largest of all simulations, but still smaller than the observed decrease in MSA/bioSO₄ of 60 $\pm 36\%$ in the Summit ice core. The J. Chen mechanism also shows the highest MSA/bioSO₄ ratios in both GEOS-Chem and box model simulations of up to an order of magnitude higher than the ice core $MSA/bioSO₄$. The Tashmim mechanism in GC13 simulates MSA/bioSO₄ of 0.20–0.22 in the Summit grid cell, which is within the range of observed MSA/bioSO₄; however, unlike the
- 265 Summit ice core, modeled MSA/bioSO₄ show negligible changes between 1750, 1979, and 2007 in both GEOS-Chem and box model simulations. The Tashmim mechanism in GC12 simulates the opposite trends observed in the Summit ice core and substantially different from results using the Tashmim mechanism in GC13 (Fig. 4f). MSA/bioSO₄ in the Denali ice core is not available, but the range in MSA/bioSO₄ in the Denali grid cell is similar to the range at Summit.

Figure 4. Ice core MSA (top), bioSO₄ (middle), and MSA/bioSO₄ (bottom) in the Denali (left) and Summit (right) ice cores compared to GEOS-Chem and box model results. Large markers are ice core observations, small solid markers are GEOS-Chem model results, and small outlined markers are box model results. MSA and bioSO₄ changes are shown as a percent change from the preindustrial for both ice core observations and model results. Markers show the percent change relative to the 1750 preindustrial baseline (left marker, always zero) in 1979 (middle marker) and 2007 (right marker) for MSA (a-b) and bioSO₄ (c-d). In e and f, MSA/bioSO₄ is shown for 1750 (left markers), 1979 (middle markers) and 2007 (right markers). Denali ice core observations in a and c are shown as percent changes between the preindustrial (1700 to 1962), the late- $20th$ century (1962 to 1995), and top of the ice core (1996 to 2013). Summit ice core observations in b and d are shown as percent changes between the preindustrial (1200 to 1865), Greenland minimum MSA (1969 to 1995), and top of ice core (1996 to top of ice core). Ice core error bars show the uncertainty propagated from measurement error and uncertainty in sulfur isotopic source signatures (Jongebloed et al., 2023a; Chalif et al., 2024). GEOS-Chem model error bars show the range in MSA, bioSO4, and MSA/bioSO⁴ in the ice core grid cell and the surrounding eight grid cells. DMS emissions are the same in all GEOS-Chem simulations and DMS concentrations are the same in all box model simulations.

In summary, some of the GEOS-Chem and box model simulations reproduce observed trends in the Summit, Greenland ice 270 core MSA, bioSO₄, and MSA/bioSO₄, but there are substantial differences across mechanisms and model versions. Box model simulations that consider only changes in oxidants and DMS chemistry mechanisms are able to at least qualitative reproduce the observations in some simulations. In GEOS-Chem, only mechanisms without DMS + BrO (Cala and J. Chen) simulate both a decrease in MSA and a decrease in MSA/bioSO₄ in qualitative alignment with the Summit ice core. Mechanisms with DMS + BrO (Tashmim and Q. Chen) simulate negligible change or the opposite change as the trends observed in Summit MSA,

 275 bioSO₄, and MSA/bioSO₄. No GEOS-Chem simulation reproduces the observed increase in Summit bioSO₄, but box model simulations produce more positive bio SO_4 trends compared to GEOS-Chem, in better alignment with the Summit ice core. All but one GEOS-Chem simulations overestimates the MSA/bioSO₄ ratio. The Tashmim mechanism simulates different trends in GC12 compared to GC13 in both GEOS-Chem and box model simulations, indicating the sensitivity of these results to oxidant concentrations. The differences in results across model versions and oxidation mechanisms are investigated in Section 3.3.

280 3.3 Explaining modeled changes in MSA, bioSO₄, and MSA/bioSO₄

Figure 5 shows that the DMS oxidation rate by each oxidant changed between the preindustrial and polluted time periods in every mechanism and model version in the GEOS-Chem simulations. In the Summit source region across all simulations, oxidation of DMS via OH (addition) and OH (abstraction) decreases from 1750 to 1979 and 2007 by 7–30% (Fig. 5a) due to the combination of a decrease in OH concentration over these time periods in GC12 (Fig. 3e) and competition from other 285 oxidants in both GC12 and GC13. Oxidation of DMS by the nitrate radical increases by a factor of 2.8–11 in all simulations (Fig. 5b) due to an increase in nitrate radical concentrations in all simulations (Fig. 3b). This increase in DMS + $NO₃$ favors bioSO₄ production, leading to a change in MSA/bioSO₄ partitioning that drives a decrease in MSA. In the Tashmim (GC13), Tashmim (GC12) and Q. Chen (GC12) simulations, which are the only simulations that include DMS + BrO and DMS + O_3 , an increase in BrO and O_3 concentrations (Fig. 3c and 3d) drive a 35–110% increase in DMS + BrO and a 3–18% increase 290 in DMS + O_3 . These changes cause an increase in DMS oxidation via the addition pathway, which favors MSA production and drives an increase in MSA, a decrease in bioSO₄, and subsequently an increase in MSA/bioSO₄ in Tashmim (GC12) and J. Chen (GC12) simulations (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the lower BrO concentrations and higher nitrate radical concentrations in GC13 compared to GC12 cause different results in simulations using the same mechanism. The Tashmim mechanism in GC13 simulates a 5–10% decrease in MSA/bioSO₄ from 1750 to 1979 despite the increase in the addition pathway driven by DMS 295 + BrO. In contrast, the increase in DMS + BrO dominates in the Tashmim mechanism in GC12, leading to a 48% increase in

MSA/bioSO⁴ from 1750 to 1979 (Fig. 4f).

DMS oxidation by each pathway also changes over the industrial era in the Denali source region (Fig. 5b). The change in contributions of the DMS + OH (addition) plus DMS + OH (abstraction) pathways ranges from -12% to $+14\%$ from 1750 to 1979 and 2007. In the Denali source region, $DMS + NO₃$ increases by a factor of 3.5–21, driving an increase in the abstraction 300 and isomerization pathway that drives a decrease in $MSA/bioSO₄$ in the Cala (GC13) and J. Chen (GC12) simulations (Fig. 4e). However, in the Tashmim (GC13), Tashmim (GC12) and Q. Chen (GC12) simulations, the up to 120% increase in DMS $+$ BrO and up to 30% increase in DMS + O₃ drives an increase in DMS oxidation via the addition pathway, favoring MSA

Figure 5. Annual tropospheric mean reaction rate (10³ molec cm⁻³ s⁻¹) of DMS via OH-addition (blue with hatching), OH-abstraction (blue), nitrate radical (green), BrO (gray), chlorine radical (yellow), and ozone (red) in the a) Summit source region, b) Denali source region, c) and global mean. The model mechanism from Table 1 and GEOS-Chem version are shown on the x-axis. For each mechanism and model version, the left column shows 1750, the middle shows 1979, and the right column shows 2007 (Table 1).

production and offsetting the increase in the abstraction and isomerization pathway, leading to a small increase of 0–5% in $MSA/bioSO₄$ (Fig. 5e).

- 305 The changes in DMS oxidation between 1750, 1979, and 2007 are relatively small on the global scale (Fig. 5c) due to a relatively smaller change in global mean oxidant concentrations (Fig. 3l-o). Across all simulations, DMS + OH (addition) is 22–49%, DMS + OH (abstraction) is 36–48%, DMS + BrO is 0–34%, DMS + NO₃ is 1–15%, DMS + O₃ is 0–4%, and DMS + Cl is 0–3% (Figure 5c). The relative contribution from the nitrate radical increased by 1–15% globally from 1750 to 1979 and 2007, while the reactions between DMS and other oxidants changed by $\pm 5\%$ (Fig. 5c).
- 310 Figure 6 shows that a decrease in the atmospheric lifetime of DMS and oxidation intermediates (DMSO, MSIA, SO_2 , etc.) due to increasing oxidant concentrations can cause a local trend in DMS oxidation products $(MSA + bi60A)$ in regions affected by anthropogenic pollution. While DMS emissions were the same in the 1750 and 2007 simulations, regional changes in MSA + bioSO₄ deposition of up to $\pm 50\%$ occur in regions where pollution affects oxidant concentrations. As anthropogenic pollution causes modeled oxidant concentrations to increase (Fig. 3), the global mean DMS lifetime decreases in all simulations 315 by 10–19% (Fig. S7). As a result, the deposition of DMS oxidation products $(MSA + biSO₄)$ increases in regions within or
- near both DMS emissions and oxidant changes, such as the North Atlantic, North Pacific, and the Southern Ocean near South America and Australia, where DMS is oxidized more quickly relative to the preindustrial (Figure 6b). Simultaneously, Figure 6b shows that $MSA + bi₆SO₄$ deposition decreases in regions that are distant from DMS emissions and influenced by pollution (i.e., over continents such as North America, Eurasia, and North Africa). While Figure 6 shows $MSA + bioSO₄$ for the Cala 320 simulations, results for other simulations are similar.

Figure 6. Modeled deposition of total biogenic sulfur (MSA + bioSO₄) in 1750 (a) and the percent change from 1750 to 2007 (b) in the Cala (GC13) simulations. Markers show the locations of the Denali and Summit ice cores. DMS emissions are the same in both simulations.

The modeled changes in $MSA + bioSO₄$ deposition is smaller in Antarctica compared to Denali and Summit (Fig. 6b) due to the relatively small influence of anthropogenic pollution in this region. We examine modeled trends in MSA, bioSO₄, and MSA/bioSO⁴ in five grid cells containing Antarctic ice core records of MSA concentrations (Abram et al., 2010; Becagli et al., 2009; Curran et al., 2003; Nilsson et al., 2024; Osman et al., 2017; Rahaman et al., 2016; Vega et al., 2016), and find

simulations amplify the increase by up to +3%.

325 that the model simulates a change in MSA, bioSO₄, and MSA/bioSO₄ of $\lt \pm 10\%$ at any ice core location (Fig. S8). The relatively low influence of pollution on Southern Ocean region oxidants, and consequently MSA, bioSO4, and MSA/bioSO4, indicates that trends in MSA may be driven by other factors such as sea ice concentration, primary production, meteorology, and other variables. However, MSA can undergo post-depositional loss in low-accumulation regions such as East Antarctica via oxidation to form sulfate, which can affect long-term trends in MSA (Hattori et al., 2024). Additionally, vertical migration 330 of the methanesulfonate ion can smooth annual and sub-decadal signals, especially in low-accumulation regions (Osman et al.,

2017).

A trend in MSA or bioSO₄ due to a decrease in DMS lifetime can offset or amplify a trend in that occurs due to a change in MSA/bioSO₄ partitioning, which is demonstrated in Figure 7. To estimate the effect of changing DMS lifetime on local trends in MSA deposition in Figure 7, we multiply the fractional change in modeled MSA + bioSO4 deposition (Fig. 6b) by 335 the preindustrial MSA deposition flux in each grid cell:

$$
\Delta \text{MSA}_{\text{DMS}}\text{ lifetime} = \frac{(\text{MSA} + \text{bioSO}_4)_{1979} - (\text{MSA} + \text{bioSO}_4)_{1750}}{(\text{MSA} + \text{bioSO}_4)_{1750}} \times \text{MSA}_{1750}
$$
(1)

Where ∆MSA_{DMS lifetime} is the change in MSA deposition due to a change in DMS lifetime between 1750 and 1979, (MSA + bioSO₄)₁₉₇₉ is the MSA + bioSO₄ deposition in 1979, (MSA + bioSO₄)₁₇₅₀ is the MSA + bioSO₄ deposition in 1750, and $MSA₁₇₅₀$ is the MSA deposition in 1750. The change in DMS lifetime also incorporates the change in lifetime of other MSA 340 and $bioSO_4$ precursors, e.g. DMSO, MSIA, and SO_2 .

To estimate the effect of changing MSA/bioSO₄ partitioning on MSA trends, we subtract ∆MSA_{DMS lifetime} from the change in MSA:

$$
\Delta \text{MSA}_{\text{partitioning}} = \Delta \text{MSA} - \Delta \text{MSA}_{\text{DMS lifetime}} \tag{2}
$$

Where ∆MSA_{partitioning} is the change in MSA between 1750 and 2007 due to a change in MSA/bioSO₄ partitioning, which is 345 caused by oxidants favoring different DMS pathways (Fig. 1), and ∆MSA is the total change in MSA deposition between 1750 and 1979. Equations 1 and 2 are also applied to bio SO_4 to estimate the change in bio SO_4 due to a change in DMS lifetime (∆bioSO4DMS lifetime) and change in bioSO⁴ due to a change in MSA/bioSO⁴ partitioning (∆bioSO4partitioning).

Figure 7 shows that modeled ∆MSA_{partitioning} and ∆bioSO_{4partitioning} can be offset or amplified by ∆MSA_{DMS lifetime} and Δ bioSO_{4DMS lifetime}. While the Denali ice core shows a change in MSA concentration of $-32 \pm 13\%$ between the preindustrial 350 and 1962–1995, the model simulates 7–51% increase in MSA due to ∆MSA_{DMS lifetime} across all simulations (Fig. 7a). This change is partially offset by a decrease in $MSA/bioSO₄$ in the Cala (GC13) and J. Chen (GC12) simulations, but the other

Figure 7b shows that the modeled decrease in DMS lifetime between 1750 and 1979 contributes a 10–32% decrease in Summit MSA deposition across all simulations. This ∆MSA_{DMS lifetime} is offset by a modeled increase in MSA/bioSO₄ in the 355 Tashmim (GC12) and Q. Chen (GC12) simulations, causing a net positive trend modeled MSA, which is in contrast to the $57 \pm 19\%$ decrease in MSA over this time period in the Summit ice core. However, in the Cala (GC13), Tashmim (GC13) and J. Chen (GC12) simulations, the decrease in MSA/bioSO₄ partitioning drives an additional decrease in MSA of $4-11\%$,

Figure 7. Ice core and modeled changes in MSA (top), bioSO₄ (middle) and MSA/bioSO₄ (bottom) at Denali (left) and Summit (right). Gray bars show the modeled change in MSA, bioSO₄, and MSA/bioSO₄ in the ice core grid cell due to change in DMS lifetime (eq. 1). Pink bars show the modeled change in MSA, bioSO₄, and MSA/bioSO₄ in the ice core grid cell due to change in MSA/bioSO₄ partitioning (eq. 2). Blue triangles show the net change in MSA, bioSO₄, and MSA/bioSO₄, and error bars are the range in net change in the surrounding grid cells. Ice core observations are shown as large blue triangles. This figure shows changes from 1750 to 1979, and similar changes between 1750 and 2007 are shown in Fig. S9.

which amplifies ∆MSA_{DMS lifetime} and qualitatively aligns with the Summit ice core MSA. In Figure 7d, ∆bioSO_{4DMS lifetime} ranges from -10 to -32% , which is partially offset by the decrease in MSA/bioSO₄ in the Cala (GC13), Tashmim (GC13), 360 and J. Chen (GC12) simulations, but amplified by the increase in $MSA/bioSO₄$ in the Tashmim (GC12) and Q. Chen (GC12) simulations (Fig. 7d). A larger increase in MSA/bioSO₄ of 50–90% would be needed to reproduce the observed $20 \pm 11\%$

increase in Summit ice core bioSO₄. However, while the Summit ice core MSA/bioSO₄ changes by $-64 \pm 37\%$ between the preindustrial and the MSA minimum (1969–1995), no model simulation reproduces a decrease of this magnitude (Fig. 7f). The model simulations show a wide range in MSA/bioSO₄ change, including of $-18 \pm 10\%$ in the Cala (GC13) simulation, 365 $-7 \pm 7\%$ in the Tashmim (GC13) simulation, $46 \pm 17\%$ in the Tashmim (GC12) simulation, $36 \pm 9\%$ in the Q. Chen (GC12) simulation, and $17 \pm 8\%$ in the J. Chen (GC12) simulation.

In summary, the overall similarity between box model results (Fig. 4) and GEOS-Chem ∆MSA_{partitioning}, ∆bioSO_{4partitioning}, and MSA/bioSO₄ (Fig. 7) suggest that discrepancies between GEOS-Chem and the box model are primarily driven by ∆MSA_{DMS lifetime}. Additionally, overall better alignment between model simulations using GC13 and ice core observations suggest two takeaways.

370 First, better model-observation comparison in GC13 versus GC12 suggests that oxidant trends and concentrations over the industrial era could be more accurate in GC13 than GC12. Second, ∆MSA_{DMS lifetime} and ∆bioSO_{4DMS lifetime} in GEOS-Chem lead to misalignment with both ice core observations (Fig. 7) and box model results (Fig. 4), suggesting that under- or overefficient transport and deposition could contribute to model-observation discrepancies in GEOS-Chem simulations, especially at Denali.

375 3.4 Comparison between model simulations and in situ observations

Figure 8 shows that simulations in GC13 better reproduce monthly surface MSA concentrations at four Arctic sites compared to GC12. The four sites include Alert, Canada (82◦N, 62◦W; 1980 to 2019), Ny Ålesund/Zeppelin, Svalbard (79◦N, 12◦E; 1990 to 2004), Utqiagvik/Barrow, Alaska (71°N, 157°W; 1997 to 2022), and Qaanaak/Thule, Greenland (77°N, 69°W; 2010 to 2020) (Becagli et al., 2019; Quinn et al., 2009; Schmale et al., 2022; Sharma et al., 2019).

- 380 Model simulations using GC12 consistently overestimate surface MSA concentrations at Arctic sites by a factor of 2–80 during the months of highest MSA concentrations in the spring and summer (Figure 8). With an updated wet deposition scheme resulting in reduced atmospheric concentrations of soluble species (Luo et al., 2019, 2020), GC13 simulations with the Cala and Tashmim mechanisms overestimate spring/summer MSA concentrations by a smaller factor of 0–20 at Utqiagvik, Qanaak, and Ny Ålesund (Fig. 8e), and underestimate spring/summer MSA concentrations at Alert or in winter/fall months
- 385 at other stations by up to a factor of 8. Winter MSA concentrations at Arctic stations are close to zero in observations and in GC13 simulations, but overestimated by up to 0.05 μ g m⁻³ at all stations in simulations using GC12. GEOS-Chem model simulations using the updated wet deposition scheme in GC13 (Luo et al., 2019, 2020) has been shown to better represent surface observations of aerosols in prior studies (Dutta and Heald, 2023; Gao et al., 2022). The global annual surface mean MSA and bio SO_4 concentrations in each simulation are shown in Figure S10.
- 390 Figure 9 compares observed and modeled DMS mixing ratio at four island or coastal sites. The four stations are Crete Island (CI; 35˚N, 26˚E; 1997–1999; Kouvarakis and Mihalopoulos, 2002), Amsterdam Island (AI; 38˚S, 77˚E; 1987–2006; Castebrunet et al., 2009) Cape Grim (CG; 40˚S, 144˚ E; 1989–1992; Ayers et al., 1995), and Dumont D'Urville (DU; 66˚S, 140˚E; 1998–2006; Castebrunet et al.,2009). At Crete Island, observed DMS concentrations peak in July through October, but modeled DMS concentrations peak in May to June (Fig. 9b). The magnitude of the peak DMS mixing ratio (96–121 ppt)
- 395 in June across all simulations is similar to the peak observed mixing ratio (111-104 ppt) in July. Model mechanisms that do

Figure 8. Observed monthly mean surface MSA concentrations (black lines in b-e) at four Arctic sites (a) compared to modeled MSA concentrations in several DMS oxidation mechanisms (colored lines in b-e). The four Arctic Sites include b) Utqiagvik/Barrow, Alaska, c) Alert, Canada, d) Qaanaak/Thule, Greenland, and e) Ny Ålesund/Zeppelin, Svalbard. The error bars show the $25th$ to $75th$ percentiles and the solid black line is the monthly surface MSA concentration following Becagli et al. (2019). The simulations include the four mechanisms in Table 1, including the J. Chen mechanism in GC12 (dashed turquoise line), the Tashmim mechanism in GC12 (dashed purple line), the Tashmim mechanism in GC13 (solid purple line), the Q. Chen mechanism in GC12 (dashed yellow line), and the Cala mechanism in GC13 (solid pink line). DMS emissions are the same in all simulations.

not include DMS + BrO (Cala, J. Chen) do not reproduce the observed seasonality in DMS mixing ratio in Southern Ocean sites, similar to findings from Chen et al. (2018) (Figure 9c-e). Observed DMS missing ratio is at a maximum in in austral summer (DJF) at Amsterdam Island (Fig. 9c), Cape Grim (Fig. 9e), and Dumont D'Urville (Fig. 9e). This austral summer peak is reproduced by mechanisms that include DMS + BrO (Tashmim, Q. Chen), but these simulations underestimate summer 400 DMS mixing ratio by 50–70% during December at Cape Grim and Amsterdam Island and overestimate summer DMS mixing ratio by up to a factor of 3 at Dumont D'Urville. The mechanisms that do not include DMS + BrO (Cala, J. Chen) show a winter peak in DMS in the month of July at Dumont D'Urville (Fig. 9e) and variable DMS mixing ratios without a distinct seasonality at Amsterdam Island (Fig. 9c) and Cape Grim (Fig. 9d). In all three Southern Ocean sites, winter (JJA) DMS mixing ratio is overestimated by 20–180% in the J. Chen (GC12), Cala (GC13), Tashmim (GC12), and Q. Chen (GC12) simulation. 405 The discrepancy between observed and modeled DMS concentrations and seasonality may reflect inaccurate magnitude and seasonality in DMS emissions, missing or mischaracterized DMS oxidation chemistry, or both.

Figure 9. Monthly DMS mixing ratios in model simulations (colored lines) compared to long-term observations (black lines) at four sites including b) Crete Island, (CI; 35˚N, 26˚E), c) Amsterdam Island (AI; 38˚S, 77˚E), d) Cape Grim (CG; 40˚S, 144˚E), and e) Dumont D'Urville (DU; 66˚S, 140˚E). The error bars show the 25th to 75th percentiles and the solid black line is the monthly surface concentration anomaly of MSA following Chen et al. (2018). The simulations include the four mechanisms in Table 1, including the J. Chen mechanism in GC12 (dashes turquoise line), the Tashmim mechanism in GC12 (dashed purple line), the Tashmim mechanism in GC13 (solid purple line), the Q. Chen mechanism in GC12 (dashed yellow line), and the Cala mechanism in GC13 (solid pink line). DMS emissions are the same in all simulations.

Figure 10 compares modeled and observed ratios of MSA to non-sea salt sulfate ($nssO₄²$) at 23 stations around the globe,

and shows that all model simulations overestimate MSA/nssSO $_4^{2-}$ relative to observations at most sites. Most of the data are obtained from Gondwe et al. (2004), except for Crete Island from Kouvarakis and Mihalopoulos (2002) and Amsterdam 410 Island, Palmer, Kohnen, and Dome C from Casterbrunet et al. (2009). We compute the normalized mean bias (N_{MB}) for each simulation following Chen et al. (2018): $N_{MB} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{23} (M_i - O_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{23} O_i}$, where M_i is the modeled MSA/nssSO₄² in the surface grid cell of each station and O_i is the observed MSA/nssSO²⁻. (N_{MB}) ranges from 155% to 692%, indicating a large overestimation in $MSA/nssSO₄²$ by all simulations (Fig. 10). The overestimate is largest in the Southern Hemisphere stations on the Antarctic Coast, where there is negligible influence from anthropogenic emissions on $nssO_4^{2-}$. Observed MSA/nssSO $_4^{2-}$ ranges from 415 0.005 (Crete Island) to 0.35 (Palmer). Modeled MSA/nss SO_4^{2-} ranges from 0.006–3.02 in model simulations. The maximum MSA/nssSO $_4^{2-}$ in each modeled mechanism is 0.61 to 3.02, a factor of 1.8–8.4 higher than the observed maximum. We note that

Figure 10. Comparison between modeled and observed MSA/nssSO $_4^{2-}$. a) Observed annual mean MSA/nssSO $_4^{2-}$ at 23 sites around the world. b) Comparison between observed annual mean MSA/nss SO_4^{2-} and modeled MSA/nss SO_4^{2-} in the J. Chen (GC12) simulation (turquoise square), the Tashmim (GC12) simulation (left-pointing purple triangle), the Tashmim (GC13) simulation (right-pointing purple triangle), the Q. Chen (GC12) simulation (yellow diamond), and the Cala (GC13) simulation (pink circle) using the same 2007 meteorology and emissions in all simulations. Stations include Dye (DY; 66˚N, 53˚E), Heimaey (HE; 63˚N, 20˚W), United Kingdom (UK; 58˚N, 20˚W), Mace Head (MH; 53°N, 10°W), Crete Island (CI; 35°N, 25°E), Bermuda (BE; 32°N, 65°W), Tenerife (TE; 28°N, 17°W), Midway Island (MD; 28˚N, 177˚W), Miami (MI; 26˚N, 80˚W), Barbados (BA; 13˚N, 60˚W), Fanning Island (FI; 4˚N, 159˚W), American Samoa (AS; 14˚S, 170˚W), New Caledonia (NC; 21˚S, 166˚E), Norfolk Island (NI; 29˚S, 168˚E), Amsterdam Island (AI; 38˚S, 77˚E), Cape Grim (40˚S, 144˚E), Palmer (PA; 65˚S, 64˚W), Dumont D'Urville (DU; 66˚S, 140˚E), Mawson (MA; 67˚S, 63˚E), Neumayer (NE; 70˚S, 8˚W), Halley Bay (HB; 75˚S, 26˚W), Kohnen (KO; 75˚S, 0˚E), and Dome C (DC; 75˚S, 123˚E). The legend also shows the normalized mean bias (NMB) for each simulation. The dashed gray line shows the delineation between northern and southern hemisphere sites.

discrepancies between observed and modeled MSA/nss SO_4^{2-} could occur due to mischaracterized DMS oxidation chemistry, SO_2 oxidation chemistry, DMS emissions, anthropogenic SO_2 emissions, and/or natural sulfur emissions.

4 Conclusions

- 420 We investigate DMS oxidation chemistry over the industrial era by comparing model simulations with four different DMS chemical oxidation mechanisms to ice core and in situ observations of DMS, MSA, bioSO₄, and MSA/nssSO²[−]. Jongebloed et al. (2023a) and Chalif et al. (2024) hypothesize that a pollution-driven increase in nitrate radical in the Summit and Denali ice core source regions drove the observed industrial-era decline in ice core MSA and concurrent increase in bioSO₄. We show that GEOS-Chem and box model simulations can reproduce trends in DMS oxidation products at Summit, but different oxidation
- 425 mechanisms and model versions lead to a wide range in results, and only box model simulations capture trends at Denali. In agreement with the hypothesized NO₃-driven MSA decline from Jongebloed et al. (2023a) and Chalif et al. (2024), we find that DMS + NO₃ increased over the industrial era in both the North Atlantic and North Pacific regions, favoring the production of bioSO₄ in all simulations and driving a decrease in MSA, which aligns with ice core observations. In simulations that

include the reaction of DMS with BrO, the industrial-era increase in BrO drives an increase the production of MSA and offsets 430 the $NO₃$ -driven decrease, which results in a discrepancy between modeled and observed trends in MSA in some simulations. DMS + BrO is needed to capture the seasonality of atmospheric concentrations of DMS, but a potential overestimate in MSA production in simulations with DMS + BrO could result from overestimated BrO concentrations, underestimated $NO₃$ concentrations, overly efficient MSA production from the addition pathway, or other missing or misrepresented DMS oxidation chemistry.

- 435 Substantially different results using the Tashmim mechanism in two different model versions (GC12 and GC13 in both GEOS-Chem and box modeling) show that inaccurate oxidant concentrations may contribute to model-observation discrepancies in simulations that cannot reproduce ice core trends. The sensitivity of our results to oxidant concentrations suggests that improving our understanding of oxidant changes is critical to improving comparison between modeled and observed DMS oxidation products. GEOS-Chem and box model simulations using GC13 better align with ice core trends, suggesting that GC13
- 440 may more accurately represent trends and concentrations in oxidants over the industrial era compared to GC12. Interestingly, the trends, seasonality, and surface concentrations in MSA, bioSO₄, DMS, and MSA/nssSO²[−] are similar in the Tashmim and Q. Chen mechanisms when using the same model version. The Q. Chen mechanism includes $DMS + OH$, $NO₃$, Bro , Cl, and O3, and intermediates such as DMSO and MSIA, but does not explicitly account for the formation of HPMTF and other short-lived isomerization pathway intermediates, suggesting that a simplified mechanism for DMS chemistry may be sufficient
- 445 in modeling the abundance, seasonality, and trends DMS-derived aerosols and their effects on global radiative forcing. The discrepancies between observed and modeled trends in MSA and bioSO $_4$ in some simulations might imply missing or misrepresented DMS oxidation chemistry. Recent studies investigating gas-phase DMS chemistry have discovered important pathways of MSA and sulfate production through intermediates such as HPMTF, MSP, and $CH₃SO₂$. We suggest that future studies should investigate aqueous-phase chemistry, which produces $82-99\%$ of MSA and bioSO₄ in our simulations. Addi-
- 450 tionally, future studies analyzing the oxygen isotopes of MSA might indicate missing or misrepresented chemistry in the DMS oxidation mechanism by quantifying the importance of different oxidation pathways, similar to previous studies quantifying sulfate formation through $\Delta^{17}O(SO_4^{2-})$ (Hattori et al., 2021, 2024; Sofen et al., 2011). Inclusion of methanethiol may improve model-observation comparison because methanethiol favors bioSO₄ production over MSA (Novak et al., 2022). Finally, it is possible that uncertainty in reaction rates for key reactions (e.g., $DMS + NO₃$) could contribute to discrepancies between
- 455 modeled and observed trends in DMS oxidation products.

In general, interpretation of ice core or in situ observations of short-lived oxidized species, such as MSA and sulfate, should consider how changes in the lifetimes of precursors and in DMS oxidation pathways can influence long-term trends. For example, in regions influenced by pollution or other factors that affect oxidant concentrations, trends in MSA should be assumed to at least partially reflect changing oxidation chemistry. Currently, model simulations alone cannot be used to estimate the

460 potential influence of changing atmospheric chemistry on long-term trends in MSA. Instead, measurements of sulfur isotopes that provide estimates of total biogenic sulfur $(MSA + biqO_4)$ and the ratio of oxidation products $(MSA/bioq)$ can indicate whether and how much atmospheric chemistry has influenced DMS oxidation and trends in MSA and bioSO₄.

DMS is a major source of aerosol and cloud condensation nuclei that influence global climate and is an increasingly large fraction of atmospheric sulfate as anthropogenic pollution emissions decrease (Jongebloed et al., 2023a). The four mechanisms 465 of DMS oxidation tested in this study simulate different magnitudes of MSA and bioSO₄, and different fractions of MSA and sulfate produced in the gas vs. aqueous phase, which has implications for new particle formation and aerosol-cloud interactions. Understanding and accurately modeling DMS oxidation is critical for understanding past and future climate, especially in light of proposed marine cloud brightening efforts to offset warming caused by greenhouse gases and potential future decreases in anthropogenic aerosol radiative forcing.

- 470 *Code and data availability.* Ice core data were obtained from the referenced papers Jongebloed et al. (2023a, b, c); Chalif et al. (2024) and are available in the NSF Arctic Data Center at https://doi.org/10.18739/A2WW7717K, https://doi.org/10.18739/A2N873162, https://doi.org/10.18739/A26T0GX7K, and https//doi.org/10.18739/A2Q814T9K. In situ data were obtained from the referenced papers (Ayers et al., 1995; Becagli et al., 2019; Gondwe et al., 2004; Kouvarakis and Mihalopoulos, 2002; Quinn et al., 2009; Schmale et al., 2022). GEOS-Chem versions 12.9.3 and 13.2.1 are available online (https://zenodo.org/records/3974569 and https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5500717).
- 475 *Author contributions.* U.A.J. designed the research study, ran the simulations, performed the analysis, and wrote the manuscript. B.A. initiated and supervised the study. J.I.C. contributed to study design and J.I.C., E.C.O., and B.G.K. contributed to analysis. B.A., L.T., W.P., K.H.B., and Q.C. contributed to model development. U.A.J., B.A., and J.C.-D. contributed to Summit ice core data collection and analysis. J.I.C., E.C.O., B.G.K., D.A.W., K.J.K., D.G.F., and C.P.W. contributed to Denali ice core data collection and analysis. All authors contributed to interpretation and writing.
- 480 *Competing interests.* At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics.

Acknowledgements. U.A.J. and B.A. acknowledge awards PLR 1904148, PLR 2230350, and AGS 2202287. Q.C. acknowledges the Hong Kong Research Grants Council (Grant Nos. 15223221 and 15219722). D.A.W. acknowledges awards AGS 1204035 and OPP 2002470. K.J.K. acknowledges AGS 0713974, 1203838, 1502783, 1806422, 2002483. E.C.O. and J.I.C. acknowledge AGS 1204035 and C.P.W. acknowledges AGS 1203863.

485 References

- Abram, N. J., Thomas, E. R., McConnell, J. R., Mulvaney, R., Bracegirdle, T. J., Sime, L. C., and Aristarain, A. J.: Ice core evidence for a 20th century decline of sea ice in the Bellingshausen Sea, Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014644, 2010.
- Abram, N. J., Wolff, E. W., and Curran, M. A.: A review of sea ice proxy information from polar ice cores, Quaternary Science Reviews, 79, 490 168–183, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.01.011, 2013.
	- Alexander, B., Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., and Gong, S.: Transition metal-catalyzed oxidation of atmospheric sulfur: Global implications for the sulfur budget, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 114, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010486, 2009.
- Alexander, B., Allman, D. J., Amos, H. M., Fairlie, T. D., Dachs, J., Hegg, D. A., and Sletten, R. S.: Isotopic constraints on the formation pathways of sulfate aerosol in the marine boundary layer of the subtropical northeast Atlantic Ocean, Journal of Geophysical Research 495 Atmospheres, 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016773, 2012.
	- Ayers, G. P., Bentley, S. T., Ivey, J. P., and Forgan, B. W.: Dimethylsulfide in marine air at Cape Grim, 41°S, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 100, 21 013–21 021, https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD02144, 1995.
- Bates, K. H. and Jacob, D. J.: A new model mechanism for atmospheric oxidation of isoprene: global effects on oxidants, nitrogen oxides, organic products, and secondary organic aerosol, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 9613–9640, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19- 500 9613-2019, 2019.
- Becagli, S., Castellano, E., Cerri, O., Curran, M., Frezzotti, M., Marino, F., Morganti, A., Proposito, M., Severi, M., Traversi, R., and Udisti, R.: Methanesulphonic acid (MSA) stratigraphy from a Talos Dome ice core as a tool in depicting sea ice changes and southern atmospheric circulation over the previous 140 years, Atmospheric Environment, 43, 1051–1058, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.11.015, 2009.
- Becagli, S., Amore, A., Caiazzo, L., Iorio, T. D. D., di Sarra, A., Lazzara, L., Marchese, C., Meloni, D., Mori, G., Muscari, G., Nuccio, C.,
- 505 Pace, G., Severi, M., and Traversi, R.: Biogenic aerosol in the Arctic from eight years of MSA data from Ny Ålesund (Svalbard Islands) and Thule (Greenland), Atmosphere, 10, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10070349, 2019.
	- Beck, L. J., Sarnela, N., Junninen, H., Hoppe, C. J., Garmash, O., Bianchi, F., Riva, M., Rose, C., Peräkylä, O., Wimmer, D., Kausiala, O., Jokinen, T., Ahonen, L., Mikkilä, J., Hakala, J., He, X. C., Kontkanen, J., Wolf, K. K., Cappelletti, D., Mazzola, M., Traversi, R., Petroselli, C., Viola, A. P., Vitale, V., Lange, R., Massling, A., Nøjgaard, J. K., Krejci, R., Karlsson, L., Zieger, P., Jang, S., Lee, K., Vakkari, V.,
- 510 Lampilahti, J., Thakur, R. C., Leino, K., Kangasluoma, J., Duplissy, E. M., Siivola, E., Marbouti, M., Tham, Y. J., Saiz-Lopez, A., Petäjä, T., Ehn, M., Worsnop, D. R., Skov, H., Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V. M., and Sipilä, M.: Differing Mechanisms of New Particle Formation at Two Arctic Sites, Geophysical Research Letters, 48, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL091334, 2021.
	- Berndt, T., Hoffmann, E. H., Tilgner, A., Stratmann, F., and Herrmann, H.: Direct sulfuric acid formation from the gas-phase oxidation of reduced-sulfur compounds, Nature communications, 14, 4849, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-40586-2, 2023.
- 515 Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A., Field, B. D., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q., Liu, H. Y., Mickley, L. J., and Schultz, M. G.: Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated meteorology: Model description and evaluation, Journal of Geophysical Research, 106, 23,073–23,095, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000807, 2001.
	- Bhatti, Y. A., Revell, L. E., Schuddeboom, A. J., McDonald, A. J., Archibald, A. T., Williams, J., Venugopal, A. U., Hardacre, C., and Behrens, E.: The sensitivity of Southern Ocean atmospheric dimethyl sulfide (DMS) to modeled oceanic DMS concentrations and emissions,
- 520 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 23, 15 181–15 196, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-15181-2023, 2023.

- Bhatti, Y. A., Revell, L. E., McDonald, A. J., Archibald, A. T., Schuddeboom, A. J., Williams, J., Hardacre, C., Mulcahy, J., and Lin, D.: Aerosol and Dimethyl Sulfide Sensitivity to Sulfate Chemistry Schemes, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 129, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JD040635, 2024.
- Cala, B. A., Archer-Nicholls, S., Weber, J., Abraham, N. L., Griffiths, P. T., Jacob, L., Shin, Y. M., Revell, L. E., Woodhouse, M., and 525 Archibald, A. T.: Development, intercomparison, and evaluation of an improved mechanism for the oxidation of dimethyl sulfide in the
	- UKCA model, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 23, 14 735–14 760, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-14735-2023, 2023.
	- Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Rap, A., Forster, P. M., Mann, G. W., Spracklen, D. V., Woodhouse, M. T., Regayre, L. A., and Pierce, J. R.: Large contribution of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing, Nature, 503, 67–71, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12674, 2013.
- 530 Carslaw, K. S., Gordon, H., Hamilton, D. S., Johnson, J. S., Regayre, L. A., Yoshioka, M., and Pringle, K. J.: Aerosols in the Pre-industrial Atmosphere, https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-017-0061-2, 2017.
	- Castebrunet, H., Martinerie, P., Genthon, C., and Cosme, E.: A three-dimensional model study of methanesulphonic acid to non sea salt sulphate ratio at mid and high-southern latitudes, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 9449–9469, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-9449- 2009, 2009.
- 535 Chalif, J. I., Jongebloed, U. A., Osterberg, E. C., Koffman, B. G., Alexander, B., Winski, D. A., Polashenski, D. J., Stamieszkin, K., Ferris, D. G., Kreutz, K. J., Wake, C. P., and Cole-Dai, J.: Pollution drives multidecadal decline in subarctic methanesulfonic acid, Nature Geoscience, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-024-01543-w, 2024.
	- Chen, H., Ezell, M. J., Arquero, K. D., Varner, M. E., Dawson, M. L., Gerber, R. B., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: New particle formation and growth from methanesulfonic acid, trimethylamine and water, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 17, 13 699–13 709,
- 540 https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP00838G, 2015.
	- Chen, J., Berndt, T., Møller, K. H., Lane, J. R., and Kjaergaard, H. G.: Atmospheric Fate of the CH3SOO Radical from the CH3S + O2 Equilibrium, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 125, 8933–8941, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.1c06900, 2021.
		- Chen, J., Lane, J. R., Bates, K. H., and Kjaergaard, H. G.: Atmospheric Gas-Phase Formation of Methanesulfonic Acid, Environmental Science and Technology, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c07120, 2023.
- 545 Chen, Q., Schmidt, J. A., Shah, V., Jaeglé, L., Sherwen, T., and Alexander, B.: Sulfate production by reactive bromine: Implications for the global sulfur and reactive bromine budgets, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 7069–7078, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073812, 2017.
	- Chen, Q., Sherwen, T., Evans, M., and Alexander, B.: DMS oxidation and sulfur aerosol formation in the marine troposphere: A focus on reactive halogen and multiphase chemistry, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 13 617–13 637, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18- 13617-2018, 2018.
- 550 Chen, Q., Xia, M., Peng, X., Yu, C., Sun, P., Li, Y., Liu, Y., Xu, Z., Xu, Z., Wu, R., Nie, W., Ding, A., Zhao, Y., and Wang, T.: Large Daytime Molecular Chlorine Missing Source at a Suburban Site in East China, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 127, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035796, 2022.
	- Chen, Q., Wang, X., Fu, X., Li, X., Alexander, B., Peng, X., Wang, W., Xia, M., Tan, Y., Gao, J., Chen, J., Mu, Y., Liu, P., and Wang, T.: Impact of Molecular Chlorine Production from Aerosol Iron Photochemistry on Atmospheric Oxidative Capacity in North China,
- 555 Environmental Science and Technology, 58, 12 585–12 597, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c02534, 2024.
	- Chin, M., Jacob, D. J., Gardner, G. M., Foreman-Fowler, M. S., Spiro, P. A., and Savoie, D. L.: A global three-dimensional model of tropospheric sulfate, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 101, 18 667–18 690, https://doi.org/10.1029/96jd01221, 1996.

- Curran, M. A. J., van Ommen, T. D., Morgan, V. I., Phillips, K. L., and Palmer, A. S.: Ice Core Evidence for Antarctic Sea Ice Decline Since the 1950s, Science, 302, 1203–1206, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1087888, 2003.
- 560 Dutta, I. and Heald, C. L.: Exploring Deposition Observations of Oxidized Sulfur and Nitrogen as a Constraint on Emissions in the United States, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 128, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JD039610, 2023.
- Fung, K. M., Heald, C. L., Kroll, J. H., Wang, S., Jo, D. S., Gettelman, A., Lu, Z., Liu, X., Zaveri, R. A., Apel, E. C., Blake, D. R., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Veres, P. R., Bates, T. S., Shilling, J. E., and Zawadowicz, M.: Exploring dimethyl sulfide (DMS) oxidation and implications for global aerosol radiative forcing, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 22, 1549–1573, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-565 22-1549-2022, 2022.

- Galí, M., Levasseur, M., Devred, E., Simó, R., and Babin, M.: Sea-surface dimethylsulfide (DMS) concentration from satellite data at global and regional scales, Biogeosciences, 15, 3497–3519, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-3497-2018, 2018.
- Gao, C. Y., Heald, C. L., Katich, J. M., Luo, G., and Yu, F.: Remote Aerosol Simulated During the Atmospheric Tomography (ATom) Campaign and Implications for Aerosol Lifetime, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 127, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD036524, 570 2022.
	- Gondwe, M., Krol, M., Klaassen, W., Gieskes, W., and de Baar, H.: Comparison of modeled versus measured MSA:nss SO ₄ ⁼ ratios: A global analysis, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 18, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002144, 2004.

Goss, M. B. and Kroll, J. H.: Chamber studies of OH + dimethyl sulfoxide and dimethyl disulfide: insights into the dimethyl sulfide oxidation mechanism, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 24, 1299–1314, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-1299-2024, 2024.

- 575 Gros, V., Bonsang, B., Sarda-Estève, R., Nikolopoulos, A., Metfies, K., Wietz, M., and Peeken, I.: Concentrations of dissolved dimethyl sulfide (DMS), methanethiol and other trace gases in context of microbial communities from the temperate Atlantic to the Arctic Ocean, Biogeosciences, 20, 851–867, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-851-2023, 2023.
- Hattori, S., Iizuka, Y., Alexander, B., Ishino, S., Fujita, K., Zhai, S., Sherwen, T., Oshima, N., Uemura, R., Yamada, A., Suzuki, N., Matoba, S., Tsuruta, A., Savarino, J., and Yoshida, N.: Isotopic evidence for acidity-driven enhancement of sulfate formation after SO 2 emission 580 control, Sci. Adv, 7, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3403111, 2021.
	- Hattori, S., Ishino, S., Suzuki, N., Nakazawa, F., Oyabu, I., Tsutaki, S., Hirabayashi, M., Noro, K., Takenaka, N., Kawamura, K., Yoshida, N., and Motoyama, H.: Latitudinal difference in sulfate formation from methanesulfonate oxidation in Antarctic snow imprinted on 17Oexcess signature, Applied Geochemistry, 162, 105 901, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2024.105901, 2024.
- Hoffmann, E. H., Heinold, B., Kubin, A., Tegen, I., and Herrmann, H.: The Importance of the Representation of DMS Oxidation in Global 585 Chemistry-Climate Simulations, Geophysical Research Letters, 48, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL094068, 2021.
	- Holmes, C. D., Bertram, T. H., Confer, K. L., Graham, K. A., Ronan, A. C., Wirks, C. K., and Shah, V.: The Role of Clouds in the Tropospheric NOx Cycle: A New Modeling Approach for Cloud Chemistry and Its Global Implications, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 4980–4990, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL081990, 2019.

Hopkins, F. E., Suntharalingam, P., Gehlen, M., Andrews, O., Archer, S. D., Bopp, L., Buitenhuis, E., Dadou, I., Duce, R., Goris, N., Jickells,

590 T., Johnson, M., Keng, F., Law, C. S., Lee, K., Liss, P. S., Lizotte, M., Malin, G., Murrell, J. C., Naik, H., Rees, A. P., Schwinger, J., and Williamson, P.: The impacts of ocean acidification on marine trace gases and the implications for atmospheric chemistry and climate, Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 476, https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2019.0769, 2020.

Hopkins, F. E., Archer, S. D., Bell, T. G., Suntharalingam, P., and Todd, J. D.: The biogeochemistry of marine dimethylsulfide, Nature 595 Reviews Earth & Environment, 4, 361–376, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-023-00428-7, 2023.

- Hulswar, S., Simó, R., Galí, M., Bell, T. G., Lana, A., Inamdar, S., Halloran, P. R., Manville, G., and Mahajan, A. S.: Third revision of the global surface seawater dimethyl sulfide climatology (DMS-Rev3), Earth System Science Data, 14, 2963–2987, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-2963-2022, 2022.
- Jernigan, C. M., Fite, C. H., Vereecken, L., Berkelhammer, M. B., Rollins, A. W., Rickly, P. S., Novelli, A., Taraborrelli, D.,
- 600 Holmes, C. D., and Bertram, T. H.: Efficient Production of Carbonyl Sulfide in the Low-NOx Oxidation of Dimethyl Sulfide, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL096838, 2022.
	- Johnson, M. T.: A numerical scheme to calculate temperature and salinity dependent air-water transfer velocities for any gas, Ocean Science, 6, 913–932, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-6-913-2010, 2010.
	- Jonge, R. W. D., Elm, J., Rosati, B., Christiansen, S., Hyttinen, N., Lüdemann, D., Bilde, M., and Roldin, P.: Secondary aerosol formation
- 605 from dimethyl sulfide-improved mechanistic understanding based on smog chamber experiments and modelling, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 9955–9976, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-9955-2021, 2021.
	- Jongebloed, U. A., Schauer, A. J., Cole-Dai, J., Larrick, C. G., Porter, W. C., Tashmim, L., Zhai, S., Salimi, S., Edouard, S. R., Geng, L., and Alexander, B.: Industrial-era decline in Arctic methanesulfonic acid is offset by increased biogenic sulfate aerosol, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2307587120, 2023a.
- 610 Jongebloed, U. A., Schauer, A. J., Hattori, S., Cole-Dai, J., Larrick, C. D., Salimi, S., Edouard, S. R., Geng, L., and Alexander, B.: Sulfur isotopes quantify the impact of anthropogenic activities on industrial-era Arctic sulfate in a Greenland ice core, Environmental Research Letters, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acdc3d, 2023b.
	- Jongebloed, U. A., Schauer, A. J., Cole-Dai, J., Larrick, C. G., Wood, R., Fischer, T. P., Carn, S. A., Salimi, S., Edouard, S. R., Zhai, S., Geng, L., and Alexander, B.: Underestimated Passive Volcanic Sulfur Degassing Implies Overestimated Anthropogenic Aerosol Forcing,
- 615 Geophysical Research Letters, 50, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL102061, 2023c. Kaufman, Y. J. and Tanré, D.: Effect of variations in super-saturation on the formation of cloud condensation nuclei, Nature, 369, 45–48, https://doi.org/10.1038/369045a0, 1994.
- Khan, M. A., Cooke, M. C., Utembe, S. R., Archibald, A. T., Derwent, R. G., Xiao, P., Percival, C. J., Jenkin, M. E., Morris, W. C., and Shallcross, D. E.: Global modeling of the nitrate radical (NO3) for present and pre-industrial scenarios, Atmospheric Research, 164-165, 620 347–357, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.06.006, 2015.
	- Khan, M. A., Gillespie, S. M., Razis, B., Xiao, P., Davies-Coleman, M. T., Percival, C. J., Derwent, R. G., Dyke, J. M., Ghosh, M. V., Lee, E. P., and Shallcross, D. E.: A modelling study of the atmospheric chemistry of DMS using the global model, STOCHEM-CRI, Atmospheric Environment, 127, 69–79, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.12.028, 2016.
- Khan, M. A. H., Bannan, T. J., Holland, R., Shallcross, D. E., Archibald, A. T., Matthews, E., Back, A., Allan, J., Coe, H., Artaxo, P., and 625 Percival, C. J.: Impacts of Hydroperoxymethyl Thioformate on the Global Marine Sulfur Budget, ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 5,
	- 2577–2586, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.1c00218, 2021.
	- Kloster, S., Six, K. D., Feichter, J., Maier-Reimer, E., Roeckner, E., Wetzel, P., Stier, P., and Esch, M.: Response of dimethylsulfide (DMS) in the ocean and atmosphere to global warming, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JG000224, 2007.
- 630 Kouvarakis, G. and Mihalopoulos, N.: Seasonal variation of dimethylsulfide in the gas phase and of methanesulfonate and non-sea-salt sulfate in the aerosols phase in the Eastern Mediterranean atmosphere, Atmospheric Environment, 36, 929–938, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352- 2310(01)00511-8, 2002.

- Kurosaki, Y., Matoba, S., Iizuka, Y., Fujita, K., and Shimada, R.: Increased oceanic dimethyl sulfide emissions in areas of sea ice retreat inferred from a Greenland ice core, Communications Earth & Environment, 3, 327, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00661-w, 2022.
- 635 Kwong, K. C., Chim, M. M., Hoffmann, E. H., Tilgner, A., Herrmann, H., Davies, J. F., Wilson, K. R., and Chan, M. N.: Chemical Transformation of Methanesulfonic Acid and Sodium Methanesulfonate through Heterogeneous OH Oxidation, ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 2, 895–903, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.8b00072, 2018.
	- Lana, A., Bell, T. G., Simó, R., Vallina, S. M., Ballabrera-Poy, J., Kettle, A. J., Dachs, J., Bopp, L., Saltzman, E. S., Stefels, J., Johnson, J. E., and Liss, P. S.: An updated climatology of surface dimethlysulfide concentrations and emission fluxes in the global ocean, Global
- 640 Biogeochemical Cycles, 25, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GB003850, 2011.
	- Lawson, S. J., Law, C. S., Harvey, M. J., Bell, T. G., Walker, C. F., de Bruyn, W. J., and Saltzman, E. S.: Methanethiol, dimethyl sulfide and acetone over biologically productive waters in the southwest Pacific Ocean, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20, 3061–3078, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3061-2020, 2020.
- Liu, H., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., and Yantosca, R. M.: Constraints from 210Pb and 7Be on wet deposition and transport in a global three-645 dimensional chemical tracer model driven by assimilated meteorological fields, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 106, 12 109–12 128, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900839, 2001.
	- Liu, T., Ma, L., Yang, Y., and Ding, A.: Kinetics of the Reaction MSI- + O3 in Deliquesced Aerosol Particles: Implications for Sulfur Chemistry in the Marine Boundary Layer, Geophysical Research Letters, 50, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL105945, 2023.
	- Luo, G., Yu, F., and Schwab, J.: Revised treatment of wet scavenging processes dramatically improves GEOS-Chem 12.0.0 simu-
- 650 lations of surface nitric acid, nitrate, and ammonium over the United States, Geoscientific Model Development, 12, 3439–3447, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-3439-2019, 2019.
	- Luo, G., Yu, F., and Moch, J. M.: Further improvement of wet process treatments in GEOS-Chem v12.6.0: Impact on global distributions of aerosols and aerosol precursors, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 2879–2903, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-2879-2020, 2020.
- Maselli, O. J., Chellman, N. J., Grieman, M., Layman, L., McConnell, J. R., Pasteris, D., Rhodes, R. H., Saltzman, E., and Sigl, 655 M.: Sea ice and pollution-modulated changes in Greenland ice core methanesulfonate and bromine, Climate of the Past, 13, 39–59, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-13-39-2017, 2017.
	- McDuffie, E. E., Smith, S. J., O'Rourke, P., Tibrewal, K., Venkataraman, C., Marais, E. A., Zheng, B., Crippa, M., Brauer, M., and Martin, R. V.: A global anthropogenic emission inventory of atmospheric pollutants from sector- And fuel-specific sources (1970-2017): An application of the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), Earth System Science Data, 12, 3413–3442, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-
- 660 12-3413-2020, 2020.
	- Moseid, K. O., Schulz, M., Eichler, A., Schwikowski, M., McConnell, J. R., Olivié, D., Criscitiello, A. S., Kreutz, K. J., and Legrand, M.: Using Ice Cores to Evaluate CMIP6 Aerosol Concentrations Over the Historical Era, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 127, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD036105, 2022.

Mungall, E. L., Wong, J. P., and Abbatt, J. P.: Heterogeneous Oxidation of Particulate Methanesulfonic Acid by the Hydroxyl Radical:

- 665 Kinetics and Atmospheric Implications, ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 2, 48–55, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.7b00114, 2018.
	- Murray, L. T., Fiore, A. M., Shindell, D. T., Naik, V., and Horowitz, L. W.: Large uncertainties in global hydroxyl projections tied to fate of reactive nitrogen and carbon, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2115204118/- /DCSupplemental, 2021.

- 670 Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J., and Upstill-Goddard, R. C.: In situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange parameterizations using novel conservative and volatile tracers, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 14, 373–387, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB900091, 2000.
	- Nilsson, E., Vega, C. P., Divine, D., Eichler, A., Martma, T., Mulvaney, R., Schlosser, E., Schwikowski, M., and Isaksson, E.: Methane Sulphonic Acid in East Antarctic Coastal Firn and Ice Cores and Its Relationship with Chlorophyll-a and Sea Ice Extent, EGUsphere

675 [preprint], 2024.

- Novak, G. A., Fite, C. H., Holmes, C. D., Veres, P. R., Neuman, J. A., Faloona, I., Thornton, J. A., Wolfe, G. M., Vermeuel, M. P., Jernigan, C. M., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., Thompson, C. R., Bourgeois, I., Warneke, C., Gkatzelis, G. I., Coggon, M. M., Sekimoto, K., Bui, T. P., Dean-Day, J., Diskin, G. S., Digangi, J. P., Nowak, J. B., Moore, R. H., Wiggins, E. B., Winstead, E. L., Robinson, C., Thornhill, K. L., Sanchez, K. J., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Dollner, M., Weinzierl, B., Blake, D. R., and Bertram, T. H.: Rapid cloud removal of dimethyl
- 680 sulfide oxidation products limits SO 2 and cloud condensation nuclei production in the marine atmosphere, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118, e2110472 118, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2110472118/-/DCSupplemental, 2021.
	- Novak, G. A., Kilgour, D. B., Jernigan, C. M., Vermeuel, M. P., and Bertram, T. H.: Oceanic emissions of dimethyl sulfide and methanethiol and their contribution to sulfur dioxide production in the marine atmosphere, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 22, 6309–6325, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-6309-2022, 2022.
- 685 Osman, M., Das, S. B., Marchal, O., and Evans, M. J.: Methanesulfonic acid (MSA) migration in polar ice: Data synthesis and theory, Cryosphere, 11, 2439–2462, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2439-2017, 2017.
	- Osman, M. B., Das, S. B., Trusel, L. D., Evans, M. J., Fischer, H., Grieman, M. M., Kipfstuhl, S., McConnell, J. R., and Saltzman, E. S.: Industrial-era decline in subarctic Atlantic productivity, Nature, 569, 551–555, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1181-8, 2019.
- Osterberg, E. C., Hawley, R. L., Wong, G., Kopec, B., Ferris, D., and Howley, J.: Coastal ice-core record of recent northwest Greenland 690 temperature and sea-ice concentration, Journal of Glaciology, 61, 1137–1146, https://doi.org/10.3189/2015JoG15J054, 2015.
- Polashenski, D. J., Osterberg, E. C., Koffman, B. G., Winski, D., Stamieszkin, K., Kreutz, K. J., Wake, C. P., Ferris, D. G., Introne, D., Campbell, S., and Lewis, G. M.: Denali Ice Core Methanesulfonic Acid Records North Pacific Marine Primary Production, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123, 4642–4653, https://doi.org/10.1178/BAMS-D-14-00110.1, 2018.
- Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Schulz, K., and Shaw, G. E.: Decadal trends in aerosol chemical composition at Barrow, Alaska: 1976-2008, 695 Atmos. Chem. Phys, 9, 8883–8888, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-8883-2009, 2009.
	- Rahaman, W., Thamban, M., and Laluraj, C.: Twentieth-century sea ice variability in the Weddell Sea and its effect on moisture transport: Evidence from a coastal East Antarctic ice core record, The Holocene, 26, 338–349, https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683615609749, 2016.

Regayre, L. A., Schmale, J., Johnson, J. S., Tatzelt, C., Baccarini, A., Henning, S., Yoshioka, M., Stratmann, F., Gysel-Beer, M., Grosvenor, D. P., and Carslaw, K. S.: The value of remote marine aerosol measurements for constraining radiative forcing uncertainty, Atmospheric 700 Chemistry and Physics, 20, 10 063–10 072, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-10063-2020, 2020.

- Revell, L. E., Kremser, S., Hartery, S., Harvey, M., Mulcahy, J. P., Williams, J., Morgenstern, O., Mcdonald, A. J., Varma, V., Bird, L., and Schuddeboom, A.: The sensitivity of Southern Ocean aerosols and cloud microphysics to sea spray and sulfate aerosol production in the HadGEM3-GA7.1 chemistry-climate model, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 15 447–15 466, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19- 15447-2019, 2019.
- 705 Saint-Macary, A. D., Barr, N., Armstrong, E., Safi, K., Marriner, A., Gall, M., McComb, K., Dillingham, P. W., and Law, C. S.: The Influence of Ocean Acidification and Warming on DMSP & DMS in New Zealand Coastal Water, Atmosphere, 12, 181, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12020181, 2021.

- Schmale, J., Sharma, S., Decesari, S., Pernov, J., Massling, A., Hansson, H. C., Salzen, K. V., Skov, H., Andrews, E., Quinn, P. K., Upchurch, L. M., Eleftheriadis, K., Traversi, R., Gilardoni, S., Mazzola, M., Laing, J., and Hopke, P.: Pan-Arctic seasonal cycles and long-term trends
- 710 of aerosol properties from 10 observatories, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 22, 3067–3096, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-3067- 2022, 2022.
	- Schmidt, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Horowitz, H. M., Hu, L., Sherwen, T., Evans, M. J., Liang, Q., Suleiman, R. M., Oram, D. E., Breton, M. L., Percival, C. J., Wang, S., Dix, B., and Volkamer, R.: Modeling the observed tropospheric BrO background: Importance of multiphase chemistry and implications for ozone, OH, and mercury, Journal of Geophysical Research, 121, 11 819–11 835,
- 715 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024229, 2016.
- Scholz, W., Shen, J., Aliaga, D., Wu, C., Carbone, S., Moreno, I., Zha, Q., Huang, W., Heikkinen, L., Jaffrezo, J. L., Uzu, G., Partoll, E., Leiminger, M., Velarde, F., Laj, P., Ginot, P., Artaxo, P., Wiedensohler, A., Kulmala, M., Mohr, C., Andrade, M., Sinclair, V., Bianchi, F., and Hansel, A.: Measurement report: Long-range transport and the fate of dimethyl sulfide oxidation products in the free troposphere derived from observations at the high-altitude research station Chacaltaya (5240ma.s.l.) in the Bolivian Andes, Atmospheric Chemistry 720 and Physics, 23, 895–920, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-895-2023, 2023.
- Sharma, S., Barrie, L., Magnusson, E., Brattström, G., Leaitch, W., Steffen, A., and Landsberger, S.: A Factor and Trends Analysis of Multidecadal Lower Tropospheric Observations of Arctic Aerosol Composition, Black Carbon, Ozone, and Mercury at Alert, Canada, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 14 133–14 161, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030844, 2019.
- Shen, J., Scholz, W., He, X.-C., Zhou, P., Marie, G., Wang, M., Marten, R., Surdu, M., Rörup, B., Baalbaki, R., Amorim, A., Ataei, F., Bell, 725 D. M., Bertozzi, B., Brasseur, Z., Caudillo, L., Chen, D., Chu, B., Dada, L., Duplissy, J., Finkenzeller, H., Granzin, M., Guida, R., Heinritzi, M., Hofbauer, V., Iyer, S., Kemppainen, D., Kong, W., Krechmer, J. E., Kürten, A., Lamkaddam, H., Lee, C. P., Lopez, B., Mahfouz, N. G. A., Manninen, H. E., Massabò, D., Mauldin, R. L., Mentler, B., Müller, T., Pfeifer, J., Philippov, M., Piedehierro, A. A., Roldin, P., Schobesberger, S., Simon, M., Stolzenburg, D., Tham, Y. J., Tomé, A., Umo, N. S., Wang, D., Wang, Y., Weber, S. K., Welti, A., de Jonge, R. W., Wu, Y., Zauner-Wieczorek, M., Zust, F., Baltensperger, U., Curtius, J., Flagan, R. C., Hansel, A., Möhler, O., Petäjä, T., Volkamer,
- 730 R., Kulmala, M., Lehtipalo, K., Rissanen, M., Kirkby, J., El-Haddad, I., Bianchi, F., Sipilä, M., Donahue, N. M., and Worsnop, D. R.: High Gas-Phase Methanesulfonic Acid Production in the OH-Initiated Oxidation of Dimethyl Sulfide at Low Temperatures, Environmental Science & Technology, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c05154, 2022.
- Siegel, K., Gramlich, Y., Haslett, S. L., Freitas, G., Krejci, R., Zieger, P., and Mohr, C.: Arctic observations of hydroperoxymethyl thioformate (HPMTF) – seasonal behavior and relationship to other oxidation products of dimethyl sulfide at the Zeppelin Observatory, Svalbard, 735 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 23, 7569–7587, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-7569-2023, 2023.
- Six, K. D., Kloster, S., Ilyina, T., Archer, S. D., Zhang, K., and Maier-Reimer, E.: Global warming amplified by reduced sulphur fluxes as a result of ocean acidification, Nature Climate Change, 3, 975–978, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1981, 2013.
	- Sofen, E. D., Alexander, B., and Kunasek, S. A.: The impact of anthropogenic emissions on atmospheric sulfate production pathways, oxidants, and ice core ∆17O(SO42-), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 3565–3578, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3565-2011,
- 740 2011.
	- Steiner, N. S., Robert, M., Arychuk, M., Levasseur, M. L., Merzouk, A., Peña, M. A., Richardson, W. A., and Tortell, P. D.: Evaluating DMS measurements and model results in the Northeast subarctic Pacific from 1996-2010, Biogeochemistry, 110, 269–285, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-011-9669-9, 2012.

- Sunda, W. G., Hardison, R., Kiene, R. P., Bucciarelli, E., and Harada, H.: The effect of nitrogen limitation on cellular DMSP and DMS 745 release in marine phytoplankton: climate feedback implications, Aquatic Sciences, 69, 341–351, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-007- 0887-0, 2007.
	- Tashmim, L., Porter, W. C., Chen, Q., Alexander, B., Fite, C. H., Holmes, C. D., Pierce, J. R., Croft, B., and Ishino, S.: Contribution of expanded marine sulfur chemistry to the seasonal variability of dimethyl sulfide oxidation products and size-resolved sulfate aerosol, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 24, 3379–3403, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-24-3379-2024, 2024.
- 750 Tjiputra, J. F., Schwinger, J., Bentsen, M., Morée, A. L., Gao, S., Bethke, I., Heinze, C., Goris, N., Gupta, A., He, Y.-C., Olivié, D., Øyvind Seland, and Schulz, M.: Ocean biogeochemistry in the Norwegian Earth System Model version 2 (NorESM2), Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 2393–2431, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-2393-2020, 2020.
	- Turner, A. J., Frankenberg, C., Wennberg, P. O., and Jacob, D. J.: Ambiguity in the causes for decadal trends in atmospheric methane and hydroxyl, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114, 5367–5372, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616020114, 2017.
- 755 Vega, C. P., Schlosser, E., Divine, D. V., Kohler, J., Martma, T., Eichler, A., Schwikowski, M., and Isaksson, E.: Surface mass balance and water stable isotopes derived from firn cores on three ice rises, Fimbul Ice Shelf, Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 10, 2763–2777, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-2763-2016, 2016.
	- Veres, P. R., Neuman, J. A., Bertram, T. H., Assaf, E., Wolfe, G. M., Williamson, C. J., Weinzierl, B., Tilmes, S., Thompson, C. R., Thames, A. B., Schroder, J. C., Saiz-Lopez, A., Rollins, A. W., Roberts, J. M., Price, D., Peischl, J., Nault, B. A., Møller, K. H., Miller, D. O.,
- 760 Meinardi, S., Li, Q., Lamarque, J. F., Kupc, A., Kjaergaard, H. G., Kinnison, D., Jimenez, J. L., Jernigan, C. M., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A., Dollner, M., Day, D. A., Cuevas, C. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Burkholder, J., Bui, T. P., Brune, W. H., Brown, S. S., Brock, C. A., Bourgeois, I., Blake, D. R., Apel, E. C., and Ryerson, T. B.: Global airborne sampling reveals a previously unobserved dimethyl sulfide oxidation mechanism in the marine atmosphere, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 117, 4505–4510, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1919344117, 2020.
- 765 Vermeuel, M. P., Novak, G. A., Jernigan, C. M., and Bertram, T. H.: Diel Profile of Hydroperoxymethyl Thioformate: Evidence for Surface Deposition and Multiphase Chemistry, Environmental Science and Technology, 54, 12 521–12 529, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04323, 2020.
	- Wang, X., Jacob, D. J., Eastham, S. D., Sulprizio, M. P., Zhu, L., Chen, Q., Alexander, B., Sherwen, T., Evans, M. J., Lee, B. H., Haskins, J. D., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Thornton, J. A., Huey, G. L., and Liao, H.: The role of chlorine in global tropospheric chemistry, Atmospheric
- 770 Chemistry and Physics, 19, 3981–4003, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3981-2019, 2019. Wang, X., Jacob, D. J., Downs, W., Zhai, S., Zhu, L., Shah, V., Holmes, C. D., Sherwen, T., Alexander, B., Evans, M. J., Eastham, S. D., Neuman, J. A., Veres, P. R., Koenig, T. K., Volkamer, R., Huey, L. G., Bannan, T. J., Percival, C. J., Lee, B. H., and Thornton, J. A.: Global tropospheric halogen (Cl, Br, I) chemistry and its impact on oxidants, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 13 973–13 996, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-13973-2021, 2021.
- 775 Wang, Y., Jacob, D. J., and Logan, J. A.: Global simulation of tropospheric O 3-NO x-hydrocarbon chemistry 1. Model formulation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 103, 10,713–10,725, https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD00158, 1998.
	- Weber, R. J., Marti, J. J., McMurry, P. H., Eisele, F. L., Tanner, D. J., and Jefferson, A.: Measurements of new particle formation and ultrafine particle growth rates at a clean continental site, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102, 4375–4385, https://doi.org/10.1029/96JD03656, 1997.
- 780 Wesely, M. M.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models, Atmospheric Environment, 23, 1293–1304, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.10.058, 1989.

- Winski, D., Osterberg, E., Ferris, D., Kreutz, K., Wake, C., Campbell, S., Hawley, R., Roy, S., Birkel, S., Introne, D., and Handley, M.: Industrial-age doubling of snow accumulation in the Alaska Range linked to tropical ocean warming, Scientific Reports, 7, 17 869, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18022-5, 2017.
- 785 Wolfe, G. M., Marvin, M. R., Roberts, S. J., Travis, K. R., and Liao, J.: The Framework for 0-D Atmospheric Modeling (F0AM) v3.1, Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 3309–3319, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3309-2016, 2016.
	- Wu, R., Wang, S., and Wang, L.: New mechanism for the atmospheric oxidation of dimethyl sulfide. The importance of intramolecular hydrogen shift in a CH3SCH2OO radical, Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 119, 112–117, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp511616j, 2015.
	- Xu, F., Zhang, H., Jia, T., Yan, S., Wu, J., Liu, C., and Yang, G.: Effects of Phytoplankton on the Production and Emission of Es-
- 790 tuarine Dimethyl Sulfide Under Different Nutrient Inputs From Changjiang River, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 127, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC017983, 2022.
	- Ye, Q., Goss, M. B., Krechmer, J. E., Majluf, F., Zaytsev, A., Li, Y., Roscioli, J. R., Canagaratna, M., Keutsch, F. N., Heald, C. L., and Kroll, J. H.: Product distribution, kinetics, and aerosol formation from the OH oxidation of dimethyl sulfide under different RO ₂ regimes, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 22, 16 003–16 015, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-16003-2022, 2022.
- 795 Young, P. J., Archibald, A. T., Bowman, K. W., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Stevenson, D. S., Tilmes, S., Voulgarakis, A., Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R. B., Shindell, D. T., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Pre-industrial to end 21st century projections of tropospheric ozone from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 2063–2090, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13- 800 2063-2013, 2013.
- - Zhai, S., Wang, X., McConnell, J. R., Geng, L., Cole-Dai, J., Sigl, M., Chellman, N., Sherwen, T., Pound, R., Fujita, K., Hattori, S., Moch, J. M., Zhu, L., Evans, M., Legrand, M., Liu, P., Pasteris, D., Chan, Y. C., Murray, L. T., and Alexander, B.: Anthropogenic Impacts on Tropospheric Reactive Chlorine Since the Preindustrial, Geophysical Research Letters, 48, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL093808, 2021. Zhai, S., McConnell, J. R., Chellman, N., Legrand, M., Opel, T., Meyer, H., Jaeglé, L., Confer, K., Fujita, K., Wang, X., and Alexander, B.:
- 805 Anthropogenic Influence on Tropospheric Reactive Bromine Since the Pre-industrial: Implications for Arctic Ice-Core Bromine Trends, Geophysical Research Letters, 51, https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GL107733, 2024.
	- Zhang, B., Chellman, N. J., Kaplan, J. O., Mickley, L. J., Ito, T., Wang, X., Wensman, S. M., McCrimmon, D., Steffensen, J. P., McConnell, J. R., and Liu, P.: Improved biomass burning emissions from 1750 to 2010 using ice core records and inverse modeling, Nature Communications, 15, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-47864-7, 2024.
- 810 Zhao, J., Zhang, Y., Bie, S., Bilsback, K. R., Pierce, J. R., and Chen, Y.: Changes in global DMS production driven by increased CO2 levels and its impact on radiative forcing, npj Climate and Atmospheric Science, 7, 18, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-024-00563-y, 2024.
	- Zindler, C., Marandino, C. A., Bange, H. W., Schütte, F., and Saltzman, E. S.: Nutrient availability determines dimethyl sulfide and isoprene distribution in the eastern Atlantic Ocean, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 3181–3188, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059547, 2014.
- Øyvind Seland, Bentsen, M., Olivié, D., Toniazzo, T., Gjermundsen, A., Graff, L. S., Debernard, J. B., Gupta, A. K., He, Y.-C., Kirkevåg, 815 A., Schwinger, J., Tjiputra, J., Aas, K. S., Bethke, I., Fan, Y., Griesfeller, J., Grini, A., Guo, C., Ilicak, M., Karset, I. H. H., Landgren, O., Liakka, J., Moseid, K. O., Nummelin, A., Spensberger, C., Tang, H., Zhang, Z., Heinze, C., Iversen, T., and Schulz, M.: Overview
	- of the Norwegian Earth System Model (NorESM2) and key climate response of CMIP6 DECK, historical, and scenario simulations, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 6165–6200, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-6165-2020, 2020.