

**Figure S1.** Annual zonal mean temperature response following  $1.35 \times CO_2$  (a,b,c) and  $2.75 \times CH_4$  (d,e,f) in [K]: Absolute temperature difference between the sensitivity simulations (a) ERFCO<sub>2</sub> (fast response) and (b) ECCCO<sub>2</sub> (full response) and their respective reference simulation. (c) Climate response as difference between the temperature responses in panels (a) and (b). Absolute temperature difference between the sensitivity simulations (d) ERFCH<sub>4</sub> (fast response) and (e) ECCCH<sub>4</sub> (full response) and their respective reference simulation. (f) Climate response as difference between the temperature responses in panels (d) and (e). Non-hatched areas are significant on the 95% confidence level according to a Welch's test based on annual mean values. The solid black line indicates the location of the climatological tropopause.



**Figure S2.** Annual zonal mean response of specific humidity following  $1.35 \times CO_2$  (a,b,c) and  $2.75 \times CH_4$  (d,e,f): Relative difference between specific humidity of sensitivity simulations (a) ERFCO<sub>2</sub> (fast response) and (b) ECCCO<sub>2</sub> (full response) and their respective reference simulation in [%]. (c) Climate response as difference between the responses in panels (a) and (b) in percentage points [p.p.]. Relative difference between specific humidity of sensitivity simulations (d) ERFCH<sub>4</sub> (fast response) and (e) ECCCH<sub>4</sub> (full response) and their respective reference simulation in [%]. (f) Climate response as difference between the responses in panels (d) and (e) in percentage points [p.p.]. Non-hatched areas are significant on the 95% confidence level according to a Welch's test based on annual mean values. The solid black line indicates the location of the climatological tropopause.



Figure S3. As Fig. S2 for the hydroxyl radical (OH).



**Figure S4.** Fast response of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> following the CO<sub>2</sub> perturbation: (a) response of total O<sub>3</sub> (same as Fig. 2 (a) in the main manuscript, but differently scaled colour levels to better compare with the response in the individual categories), (b) - (h) response of O<sub>3</sub> in individual source categories relative to total reference O<sub>3</sub> ( $\Delta O_{3_{cat}} = \frac{O_{3_{cat,REF}} - O_{3_{cat,REF}}}{O_{3_{total,REF}}}$ ). Non-hatched areas are significant on the 95% confidence level according to a Welch's test based on annual mean values. The solid black line indicates the location of the climatological tropopause.



Figure S5. Fast response of tropospheric  $O_3$  column following the  $CO_2$  perturbation for individual source categories in DU. Non-hatched regions indicate significant differences between the simulation ERFCO<sub>2</sub> and REF-SSTfix on the 95% interval.

(a)



Figure S6. Climate response of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> column following the CO<sub>2</sub> perturbation for individual source categories in DU. Non-hatched regions indicate significant differences between the fast and the full response.

(a)















Figure S7. Fast response of tropospheric  $O_3$  column following the  $CH_4$  emission flux perturbation for individual source categories in DU. Non-hatched regions indicate significant differences between the simulation ERFCH<sub>4</sub> and REF-SSTfix on the 95% interval.

0

-6

-8

(a)



Figure S8. Climate response of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> column following the CH<sub>4</sub> emission flux perturbation for individual source categories in DU. Non-hatched regions indicate significant differences between the fast and the full response.



**Figure S9.** Zonal mean difference of cold point temperature between sensitivity simulations perturbed by  $1.35 \times CO_2$  mixing ratio (reddish colours) and  $2.75 \times CH_4$  emission flux increase (bluish colours) and the respective references in [K].



**Figure S10.** Stratospheric temperature adjustment corresponding to individual composition changes of  $CO_2$ ,  $H_2O$ ,  $O_3$  and  $CH_4$  in the simulations ERFCO<sub>2</sub> (ERF) or ECCCO<sub>2</sub> (ECC) evaluated at the cold point of the respective perturbation simulation in [K].



**Figure S11.** Stratospheric temperature adjustment corresponding to individual composition changes of  $CH_4$ ,  $H_2O$  and  $O_3$  in the simulations ERFCH<sub>4</sub> (ERF) or ECCCH<sub>4</sub> (ECC) evaluated at the cold point of the respective perturbation simulation in [K].