



Dynamics of island mass effect. Part I: detecting the extent

Guillaume Bourdin^{1*}, Lee Karp-Boss¹, Fabien Lombard², Gabriel Gorsky², and Emmanuel Boss¹ ¹School of Marine Sciences, University of Maine, Orono, USA ²Laboratoire d'Océanographie de Villefranche-Sur-Mer, Sorbonne Université, France **Correspondence:** Guillaume Bourdin (guillaume.bourdin@maine.edu)

Abstract. In the vast Pacific Ocean, remote islands and atolls induce mesoscale and sub-mesoscale processes that significantly impact the surrounding oligotrophic ocean, collectively referred to as the Island Mass Effect (IME). These processes include nutrient upwelling and phytoplankton biomass enhancement around islands, creating spatial and temporal heterogeneity in biogeochemical properties. Previous algorithms developed for detecting IME using satellite data are based on monthly or longer

- 5 averages of satellite derived chlorophyll concentrations. As such, they tend to underestimate the true extent of this phenomenon because they do not take into account sub-mesoscale and short term temporal variations and because of the sensitivity of the detection algorithm to single pixel variability. Here we present a new approach that enhances satellite data recovery by merging products from multiple sensors and applying the POLYMER atmospheric correction. By integrating modelled surface currents with higher temporal resolution satellite observations, we dynamically track chlorophyll enhancements associated with IME
- 10 and the advection of detached patches and filaments over distances exceeding 1000 km from their source. Our findings, applied to four island groups in the South Pacific, suggest that the ecological influence of IME on the oligotrophic ocean is much larger than previously recognized. This work provides a foundation for improved mechanistic understanding of IME and suggests broader implications for ocean ecology in subtropical regions. The approach developed here could be also be applied in studies on biological responses to other mesoscale and sub-mesoscale processes in other parts of the world's oceans.

15 1 Introduction

The Pacific Ocean is the largest ocean on our planet, covering approximately one-third of Earth's surface. Embedded in this vast open ocean are remote islands and atolls that are a source of perturbations to the open ocean ecosystem. As winds and currents interact with island topography, they induce mesoscale processes (i.e. local upwelling, eddies) at their wake downstream of islands. These in turn alter vertical and horizontal fields of temperature, light, and nutrients (Eden and Timmermann, 2004;

- 20 Dong et al., 2007; Hasegawa et al., 2009; De Falco et al., 2022, and references therein). In most cases, increased chlorophyll concentration ([Chla], see Table 1 for definitions of all acronyms and variables used in this manuscript) is observed in the vicinity of islands, likely triggered by nutrient inputs from land and/or upwelling of nutrient-rich deep water around islands (Shiozaki et al., 2014; Gove et al., 2016; Caputi et al., 2019). This phenomenon, known as Island Mass Effect (IME), alters the growth and mortality rates of plankton species and introduces spatio-temporal heterogeneity in biogeochemical properties in
- the surrounding oligotrophic ocean. Signatures and effects of these IMEs can be detected hundreds of kilometers away from islands around which they were initiated (Messié et al., 2020, 2022). The first study on IME evaluated the enhancement of



30



carbon fixation as a measure of productivity near Oahu island (Hawaii) relative to the background ocean (BO), which was defined as the furthest station along a transect (in that case, 30 km away from the island's shore). This approach assumed that the IME was confined to an area located between the island's shore and the location of "BO station" (Doty and Oguri, 1956). The first basin-scale study of IME used in situ chlorophyll fluorescence measurements (Dandonneau and Charpy, 1985) and showed ubiquitous enhancements of chlorophyll fluorescence in the vicinity of large islands in the western Pacific (e.g. Vanuatu, Fiji, Tonga and Samoa islands).

 Table 1. Table of notation

SPSG	South Pacific Subtropical Gyre
IME	Island Mass Effect
BO	Background Ocean
IME_M	Island Mass Effect zone delineated with the Messié et al. (2022) algorithm
IME_D	Dynamic Island Mass Effect zone delineated with the algorithm developed in this study
IME_T	Total Island Mass Effect zone delineated with the algorithm developed in this study. $IME_M + IME_D =$
	IME_T
BO_M	Background ocean zone relative to IME_M zone, defined as: BO_M area == IME_M area, located outside of
	IME_M zone, and closest to the 30 m isobath
BO_T	Background ocean zone relative to IME_T zone, defined as: BO_T area == IME_T area, located outside of
	IME_T zone, and closest to the 30 m isobath
[Chla]	Total chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentration $(mg.m^{-3})$
c_{p660}	Particulate beam attenuation coefficient at 660 nm (m^{-1})
chl_min	Minimum [Chla] detected in the first pixel band adjacent to the 30 m isobath (shallow pixel polygon) of
	each island
chl_max	Maximum [Chla] detected in the first pixel band adjacent to the 30 m isobath (shallow pixel polygon) of
	each island
chl_{5th}	5^{th} percentile $[Chla]$ of the IME _T predicted zone
chl_{95th}	95 th percentile [Chla] of the IME _T predicted zone
$\Delta [Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T}$	IME _T [Chla] enhancement computed as $[Chla]_{IME_T} - [Chla]_{BO_T} (mg.m^{-3})$
$\Delta [Chla]_{IME_M-BO_M}$	IME _M [Chla] enhancement computed as $[Chla]_{IME_M} - [Chla]_{BO_M} (mg.m^{-3})$
$\sum [Chla]_{IME_T}$	IME _T surface-integrated [Chla] $(mg.m^{-1})$
$\sum [Chla]_{IME_M}$	IME_M surface-integrated [Chla] (mg.m ⁻¹)
$\Delta \sum [Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T}$	IME _T surface-integrated [Chla] enhancement computed as $\sum [Chla]_{IME_T} - \sum [Chla]_{BO_T} (mg.m^{-1})$
$\Delta \sum [Chla]_{IME_M-BO_M}$	IME _M surface-integrated [Chla] enhancement computed as $\sum [Chla]_{IME_M} - \sum [Chla]_{BO_M} (mg.m^{-1})$
$SEM^{f}_{\Delta[Chla]_{IME_{T}}-BO_{T}}$	Standard error of mean associated with $\sum [Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T}$ (mg.m ⁻³ ; see appendix B)
$SEM^{f}_{\Delta \sum [Chla]_{IME_{T}}-BO_{T}}$	Standard error of mean associated with $\Delta \sum [Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T} (mg.m^{-1}; \text{see appendix B})$





The limited accessibility to vast areas in the South Pacific Ocean make ocean color remote sensing approaches well-suited for basin-scale studies of IME. Using long term averages of [Chla] from ocean color remote sensing data (July 2002 to June 2012), Gove et al. (2016) showed that IME is a nearly-ubiquitous phenomenon across the Pacific Ocean. They estimated the magnitude of IMEs by looking at changes in [Chla] within a ~30 km wide band around each island's 30 m isobath, relative to BO reference pixels located just outside this band (Gove et al., 2013, 2016). In practice, this detection method uses the same quantitative approach as Doty and Oguri (1956) and accurately assesses the magnitude of the [Chla] enhancement associated with IME as long as the BO reference pixels are outside the region affected by IME. This assumption is reasonable for small islands (most islands in Gove et al. (2016) were smaller than a 30 km equivalent spherical diameter) and when using multi-year averages of [Chla] that tend to highlight only locations with permanent [Chla] enhancement (see below). A more recent basin-scale study of IME aimed to capture more complex spatial beterogeneity around islands by defining a specific [Chla] contour

scale study of IME aimed to capture more complex spatial heterogeneity around islands by defining a specific [Chla] contour to delineate the extent of IME, allowing the detection of IME to extend further than 30 km away from the 30 m isobath (Messié et al., 2022).

- 45 Generally speaking, approaches for the detection of IME from remotely sensed [Chla] require a full or nearly full pixel data recovery over the entire study area for an accurate delineation of the extent of IME. Messié et al. (2022) used yearly and monthly averages of 4 km spatial resolution [Chla] maps for their basin-scale estimation of IME. While this temporal and spatial averaging enables the production of gap-less [Chla] maps, it reduces the ability to detect fine-scale heterogeneity in space and time (Lee et al., 2018), only highlighting [Chla] enhancement observable at the same location over the time frame
- 50 of the averaging period and therefore generally confined to regions directly adjacent to islands. Indeed, determining the spatial extent of the biological response of IME and its effect on the ecology and bio-geochemistry of the adjacent oligotrophic ocean is challenging due to its spatial heterogeneity and the transient nature of phytoplankton responses to perturbations (Messié et al., 2020; Cassianides et al., 2020). Surface ocean properties, as observed by satellite sensors, are advected by wind and currents across a kilometer-wide pixel on a timescale of a few hours. Therefore, observations of the ocean using yearly averages only
- 55 capture spatial patterns due to dominant winds and currents over this time frame, ignoring spatial and temporal heterogeneity caused by short-term wind and current variability. Thus, a more accurate quantification of IME extent and dynamics requires temporal averaging of satellite data over shorter time scales (e.g. to resolve mesoscale variability up to two weeks) and tracking the evolution of IMEs over space and time using surface currents data (Cassianides et al., 2020). Ideally, daily observations of the entire global ocean would provide the necessary temporal resolution to track IMEs. In reality, satellite measurements of
- 60 the ocean surface in visible and near-infrared wavelengths are often obstructed by clouds or affected by sun-glint, limiting the extent of data recovery at the necessary temporal scales.

Here, we present a method to increase satellite data recovery to improve spatial and temporal resolution of satellite observations by merging products from up to five different satellite sensors and using an atmospheric correction that is less sensitive to glint and adjacency effect. These merged products reveal frequent occurrences of higher [Chla] patches that are detached from

65 islands and advected offshore (referred to as "delayed IME" in Messié et al., 2020). The higher temporal resolution achieved allows a more accurate estimation of [Chla] accumulation as a proxy for phytoplankton biomass accumulation (termed as "blooms") associated with IMEs. Building upon the work of Messié et al. (2022), we integrate modelled surface currents to





develop a dynamic algorithm for the detection of IME. We applied this algorithm to four island groups in the South Pacific Ocean (i.e. Rapa Nui, Society Islands, Samoa, and Fiji) over a six-month period and show that accounting for detached patches
significantly increases estimates of total [Chla] stocks associated with IME in the area of study. This implies that IME has a much larger impact on the oligotrophic ocean than previously estimated.

2 Method

2.1 Level-3 multi-satellite composites

- The use of a single satellite sensor often results in maps with significant gaps in data due to intermittent cloud cover or glint (which depends on satellite-specific viewing angle). To address this, we have adapted NASA Ocean Color's processing strategy to produce level 3 custom-made composite products from level-1A (L1A) top-of-the-atmosphere radiance. We merged data collected by three different sensor types (MODIS, VIIRS, and OLCI) onboard up to five polar-orbiting satellites (Aqua, Terra, SNPP, JPSS1, Sentinel-3a, and 3b). By taking advantage of their different overpass times, swaths, and viewing geometry, we decreased the impact of clouds and glint on data recovery. Additionally, we applied the POLYMER atmospheric correction
- 80 (Steinmetz et al., 2011) to further improve data recovery in areas impacted by glint and adjacency effect (e.g. close to shore and clouds, see Steinmetz et al., 2011). The conceptual diagram of the processing pipeline, from level-1 to level-3, is shown in Fig. A1.

2.1.1 Level-3 satellite products computation

We downloaded all MODIS and VIIRS level-1 (L1A) images in the vicinity of islands of interest from the Ocean Color repository, and OLCI level-1 images from the Copernicus repository. We processed these L1A images into atmospherically corrected level-2 remote sensing reflectance (R_{rs}) data using the POLYMER algorithm. We removed bad quality data pixels by applying the flags and recommendations of POLYMER (Steinmetz et al., 2011). Subsequently, we projected each satellite image onto the same equally spaced one kilometer spatial resolution plate-carré reference grid using nearest-neighbor interpolation. We estimated [Chla] using the CI-OCx blended algorithm based on the most recent update of the color index algorithm (Hu et al.,

2019) and the OCx algorithm (O'Reilly and Werdell, 2019). We computed surface-integrated [Chla] as a metric for two dimensional phytoplankton biomass in metric tons of Chla per depth meter $(mt.m^{-1})$ by summing the [Chla] of each pixel within a predefined zone (i.e. here, the zone influenced by IME) multiplied by the area of that pixel:

$$\sum [Chla]_{IME} = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{pixel}} [Chla]_n \times area_{pixel_n} \tag{1}$$

2.1.2 In situ data

95 We calibrated remote sensing products to minimize inter-sensor variability and biases using in situ data collected during the *Tara* Pacific Expedition (Gorsky et al., 2019; Lombard et al., 2023). We measured hyper-spectral absorption (*a*) and attenuation





(c) quasi-continuously near islands with a SeaBird ACs spectrophotometer mounted in an underway flow-through system. We computed particulate absorption and attenuation coefficients (i.e., a_p and c_p) by referencing these sensor measurements to hourly samples taken through a 0.2µm filter (Dall'Olmo et al., 2009; Slade et al., 2010; Boss et al., 2019). Particulate beam attenuation at 660 nm (c_{p660}) was used as a proxy for particulate organic carbon (Gardner et al., 2006; Cetinić et al., 2012). We estimated absorption specific to [Chla]-containing particles using the line-height of the a_p peak at 676 nm (a_{p676LH}; Boss et al., 2013). We collected surface samples daily around 10:30 am local time for pigment analysis via high-pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC; see Gorsky et al., 2019; Lombard et al., 2023). We then estimated [Chla] from a_p by applying the well-constrained linear relationship between the logarithm of a_{p676LH} amplitude and the logarithm of total [Chla] estimated

105 from HPLC (Fig. B2.a).

2.1.3 In situ and satellite match-ups

We performed match-ups between the calibrated [Chla] estimated from the underway system and the [Chla] estimated from satellites to choose the best algorithm (i.e. least noisy or biased) to compute [Chla] from satellite R_{rs} . We downloaded L1A top-of-the-atmosphere radiance from MODIS-Aqua, MODIS-Terra, VIIRS-SNPP, VIIRS-JPSS1, OLCI-S3a, and OLCI-S3b

- 110 along the entire *Tara* Pacific transect (May 2016 to October 2018 see Gorsky et al., 2019) with the python download utility "getOC" (https://github.com/OceanOptics/getOC) and processed them into atmospherically corrected level-2 R_{rs} . We then derived products following the same scheme as the level-3 products aforementioned but without re-projecting, nudging, or merging the products to keep each satellite's native resolution (Fig. A1). For comparison, we also generated the standard NASA R_{rs} using the atmospheric correction of SeaDAS (i.e. "l2gen") using the Ocean Color processor (OCSSW) V2022.3.
- 115 We then estimated [Chla] from these R_{rs} using the same blended CI-OCx algorithm (i.e. chlor_a; Hu et al., 2019) and the simple OCx algorithm (i.e. chl_ocx; O'Reilly and Werdell, 2019). We matched these three different [Chla] products (i.e. chlor_a_polymer, chl_ocx_seadas, chlor_a_seadas) with the calibrated [Chla] estimated from the underway system following Bailey and Werdell (2006). We extracted and averaged underway [Chla] measurements within a ± 3 hour period of each satellite overpass, and satellite data from the 25 closest pixels to underway data locations, following the application of rec-
- 120 ommended Level-2 masks. We computed median coefficients of variation of normalized water-leaving radiance (nLw) for bands between 412 and 555 nm and for the aerosol optical thickness at 865 nm for each match-up and tested several homogeneity thresholds and minimum number of unmasked pixels to maximize the number of valid match-ups without introducing noise to the in situ-satellite correlations (Bailey and Werdell, 2006). Only match-ups with a minimum of 7 unmasked pixels and coefficients of variation lower than 0.15 were kept (Fig. B2(b), (c), and (d)). We compared the parameters of the ro-
- 125 bust linear regressions of valid match-ups to choose for the best [Chla] derivation methods (Table B1). We found 33% more valid match-ups with [Chla] computed using POLYMER R_{rs} (N = 428) than valid match-ups with [Chla] computed using SeaDAS R_{rs} (N = 321). [Chla] computed with the blended CI-OCx using POLYMER R_{rs} showed, on average, the highest coefficient of determination ($\overline{R^2}_{chlor_a_polymer} = 0.78 \pm 0.05$), slopes closest to 1 ($\overline{slope}_{chlor_a_polymer} = 0.99 \pm 0.10$), and intercepts closest to 0 ($\overline{intercept}_{chlor_a_polymer} = -0.06 \pm 0.10$) when compared to in situ [Chla]. In contrast, the normalized
- 130 root mean square error of the correlation between in situ [Chla] and [Chla] computed with the blended CI-OCx using POLY-





MER R_{rs} ($\overline{nRMSE}_{chlor_a_polymer} = 21.81 \pm 6.34\%$) was higher than with the other two [Chla] computed using SeaDAS R_{rs} ($\overline{nRMSE}_{chlor_a_seadas} = 16.55 \pm 1.70\%$ and $\overline{nRMSE}_{chl_ocx_seadas} = 20.94 \pm 3.18\%$). Considering the smaller bias (slope closer to 1 and intercept closer to 0) and better data recovery (higher number of valid match-up) associated with the computation of [Chla] with the blended CI-OCx algorithm applied on POLYMER R_{rs} , we choose this method for the rest of the analysis to minimize differences between sensors while maximizing valid pixel recovery.

135

Satellite products adjustment and merging 2.1.4

We followed a similar merging strategy to that of GlobColour: each sensor's satellite product was derived separately before merging them (Garnesson et al., 2019), rather than merging reflectances before calculating the products (Sathyendranath et al., 2019). This method offers two important advantages; (1) it does not require simulations of the 510 nm band, which are not 140 available on VIIRS and MODIS, and (2) it benefits from sensor-specific algorithm coefficients that account for variability in R_{rs} across sensors to produce consistent products (Garnesson et al., 2019). To improve consistency and minimize the differences across satellite sensors, we individually calibrated the [Chla] data from each sensor with the underway in situ [Chla] measurements (using parameters from their respective robust linear regressions, see Table B1) to produce "calibrated" products before merging them. This nudging method reduced the inter-satellite variability and improved the spatial smoothness

- 145 of the binned products. Since [Chla] was calibrated to in situ data, the bias associated with the estimation of [Chla] from each satellite was centered, and likely reduced, to the bias of in situ data. For each study area, we binned the calibrated data temporally to reconstruct full satellite images. Time-series of 8-day medians were the smallest temporal binning we could achieve to recover nearly full satellite images in all the studied regions for six-month long time-series. Before computing the median of a given 8-day period and a given region, we grouped all re-projected level-2 images and removed outliers (see
- appendix C). We produced a six-month long time-series of 8-day medians of [Chla] for each of the four case-studies presented 150 here. Each case-study region was centered geographically on an island sampled during the Tara Pacific Expedition, and each six-month time-series was centered temporally on the day of in situ sampling (Gorsky et al., 2019; Lombard et al., 2023). We propagated errors associated with [Chla] estimation, nudging, and merging throughout each step to represent the final [Chla] uncertainty of the merged product $(SEM_{[Chla]_{IME}}^{f}; appendix B)$. We used this final uncertainty to determine if the [Chla] enhancement associated with an IME was significant or not. 155

2.1.5 Spatial resolution

Most operational level-3 products are available at spatial resolutions of 4 or 9 km. While this resolution is usually sufficient to capture important mesoscale spatial features in the open ocean, it does not resolve sub-mesoscale features like fronts, small eddies, and filaments around islands. Additionally, bottom reflectance in coastal waters prevents data recovery closer than 4

160

and 9 km from shore at these spatial resolutions. Moreover, it is a common practice in coastal studies to remove at least one neighboring pixel around shallow areas to limit the impact of adjacency effects and ensure no contamination from bottom reflectance. Therefore, the closest data recovered with a 4 km spatial resolution is most often centered at least 6 km away from all 30 m isobaths. However, most islands in the ocean are smaller than 2 km^2 . For instance, the median island area in the





2593 km x 2593 km region analyzed around the Fiji Archipelago is ~0.06 km² with ~ 86% of all islands smaller than 2 km².
Therefore, having the closest pixel 6 km away from shore, and a pixel size that is at least twice the size of ~ 86% of islands, limits our ability to accurately quantify their IME (see example around Niue island Fig. A2). With the approach presented here, we can maximize data recovery close to shore while keeping the nominal resolution of 1 km of the operational MODIS and VIIRS level-2 (L2) products. Ideally, we would produce this type of multi-satellite composite for the entire Pacific Ocean, but we had to limit our study area to four case-studies around islands of interest due to computational and data storage capacity
limitations. In each case, the maps were large enough (i.e. > 1200 km x 1200 km area) to capture the full extent of the IME around the group of islands studied and were limited to a maximum size of 2600 km x 2600 km area.

2.2 Island Mass Effect Detection

2.2.1 Bathymetry, island, and submerged reef databases

We created masks at one kilometer spatial resolution denoting land (land mask) and areas shallower than 30 m depth (shallow mask) for the studied areas using the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) database. Since a large number of islands and reefs are smaller than the spatial resolution of the GEBCO database (i.e. 15 arc-seconds corresponding to 463 m at the equator), we utilized the 30 m spatial resolution global island database (Sayre et al., 2019, 2020) to refine the land and shallow masks for the study areas. We then extended the shallow mask by one additional pixel to ensure all shallow pixels are masked. Subsequently, we merged the global island database and the submerged reef database from Messié et al. (2022) into a

- 180 single database. To ensure accuracy, we automatically verified all island centroids to confirm their alignment with a land pixel on the land mask and to ensure their associated land polygon was not significantly smaller than the reported island area in the global island database. Similarly, we automatically checked all submerged reef centroids to confirm their alignment with a shallow mask pixel and to ensure their associated shallow mask polygons were not significantly smaller than the reported reef area in the Messié et al. (2022) database. We manually corrected any discrepancies that were identified when comparing to the bathymetry data and saved the corrections for reference. For simplicity, the term "islands" in this study also refers to
- submerged seamounts or reefs shallower than 30 m depth.

2.2.2 IME contour delineation

The [Chla] contour value delineating the IME was determined in three successive steps to dynamically detect detached IME

- patches. The first step used the method from Messié et al. (2022) to detect IMEs on each 8-day composite map of the time-series
 (see Fig. 1.a: step 1). This method defines the [Chla] contour value with an iterative process starting from the highest (chl_max) to the lowest [Chla] (chl_min) values detected one pixel away from the 30 m isobath of each island and ending when a set of specified conditions were met. These conditions include: (1) when [Chla] values fall below chl_min, (2) when the IME mask touches the domain borders or a continent masks, and (3) when regions with [Chla] exceeding 80% of the chl_max are detected farther than 150 km away from the 30 m isobath. This 150 km threshold was set to allow for the detection of water masses that
- 195 were detached from an island and advected offshore (denoted as "detached IMEs") but, at the same time, to prevent potential



200

215



bias by accounting for non-IME related [Chla] variability far from the island. We observed that this algorithm performed well when the IME is directly adjacent to the 30m isobath of an island and when the IME is spatially homogeneous, with the highest [Chla] values typically located near the island and decreasing with distance from shore (similar to the IME detected on monthly or yearly satellite averages; Messié et al., 2022). Therefore, this method is valuable as the first step for detecting the strongest IME signal that surrounds an island, referred to in this study as IME_M (Fig. 1.a: step 1). However, this approach underestimates

- the entire extent of an IME when applied on 8-day [Chla] products because it fails to detect elevated [Chla] patches that have been detached from their originating IME or when pixels with [Chla] > 0.8 * chl max were detected more than 150 km from the island of origin. Detached IMEs, typically comprised of dynamic filaments and eddies that are quickly advected away from islands, are detectable on 8-day averaged satellite products, but often not captured using monthly or yearly averages such as the
- products used by Messié et al. (2022). We therefore extended the method proposed by Messié et al. (2022) by adding another 205 set of detection protocols. We utilized modeled daily surface currents (i.e. global ocean ensemble physics reanalysis products provided by Copernicus Marine Services) to predict the general locations of IME patches that detach from islands (Fig. 1 step 2). For clarity, we refer to the detached IME area obtained with this approach as IME_D (Fig. 1 step 3). The sum of both IME_M and IME_D areas (i.e. total IME) is referred to as IME_T . The following sequence was applied to detect IMEs in each 8-day 210 median composite of the time-series (Fig. 1):

Step 1: Detection of IME_M (Messié et al., 2022, Fig. 1.a).

- Step 2: Prediction of the general location of IME_D by applying the average current u and v vectors from the previous 8-day period (t = -1) to the location of IME_T detected at t = -1 (Fig. 1.d). When step 2 is performed on the first 8-day median of the time-series (t = 0), the surface current at t = 0 is applied to the IME_M detected at t = 0 instead (Fig. 1.b).
- Step 3: Delineation of IME_D and IME_T using a second round of [Chla] value iteration ranging from the 95th to the 5^{th} percentiles of [Chla] measured within the predicted zone and only keeping the patches that overlap with the predicted zone location as explained below.







Figure 1. Island mass effect detection method. **a.** Step 1: $[IME_M]_{t_0}$ detection following the method from Messié et al. (2022), **b.** step 2 at t = 0 (first image of the time-series): prediction of the detached IME ($[IME_D]_{t_0}$) location applying t_0 surface currents ($[u,v]_{t_0}$) to $[IME_M]_{t_0}$ location, **c.** step 3 at t = 0: detached IME contour detection ($[IME_D]_{t_0}$) iterating from the 95th to 5th percentile of [Chla] (chl_{95th} and chl_{5th} respectively) detected within the $[IME_M]_{t_0}$ and the t_0 predicted zone, **d.** step 2 at t > 0 (rest of the time-series): prediction of $[IME_D]_t$ location applying t_{-1} surface currents ($[u,v]_{t_{-1}}$) to the total IME location detected on the previous image ($[IME_T]_{t_{-1}}$), **e.** step 3 at t > 0: $[IME_D]_t$ contour detection iterating from chl_{95th} and chl_{5th} detected within the $[IME_T]_{t_{-1}}$ and the t predicted zone.

and are accessible at Bourdin (2024a).





Step 3 of the detection involves a second round of [Chla] iteration which is based on the IME_M detection method but 220 adapted to the higher resolution satellite composites. First, we modified the detection of the [Chla] range, defining the range of iteration for a given IME, to better capture the dynamic range in [Chla] of the entire IME while avoiding potential biases in pixels adjacent to the island due to bottom reflectance and adjacency effect. We performed the [Chla] iteration from the 95th to the 5th [Chla] percentiles of the entire predicted zone (chl_{95th} and chl_{5th}) instead of performing the [Chla] iteration from chl_max to chl_min of the first pixel band around the 30 m isobath of each island. Additionally, the iteration step size was automatically defined to always correspond to 30 [Chla] steps within the [Chla] range of the entire predicted zone (from chl_{95th} 225 to ch_{5th}). The number of [Chla] iteration steps (i.e. 30 iterations) was optimized by trial and error to better detect IME around Rapa Nui, where the [Chla] dynamic range is the lowest and where a small change in [Chla] contour has the most impact on the IME surface detected. Similarly to the IME_M detection, once the [Chla] contour value was found, the iteration was performed again starting at the preceding iteration but with an iteration step size divided by 10 in order to delineate the IME patch more accurately. As a result, the [Chla] iteration step value ranged from 10^{-4} to 10^{-1} mg m⁻³ which, in low dynamic 230 range regions, is smaller than the 10^{-3} mg m⁻³ step value used in Messié et al. (2022), and smaller than the accuracy of absolute [Chla] retrieval from satellites (10⁻¹ mg m⁻³, discussed below). This smaller [Chla] iteration step value improved the performance of the detection algorithm around islands in regions with a very low dynamic range in [Chla] (e.g. Rapa Nui). We also modified the conditions to stop the [Chla] iteration, removing the condition that stopped the [Chla] iteration when pixels 235 with [Chla] > 0.8 * chl max are located more than 150 km away from the studied island to allow the detection of detached IME further than 150 km away from the island (i.e. condition number 3; Messié et al., 2022). Additionally, instead of stopping the [Chla] iteration when the IME touched the domain border, the IME was considered to be exiting the domain and the iteration was stopped when, for a given [Chla] contour, more than 25% of the predicted pixel location overlapped with a chlorophyll patch touching the border. This modification improved detection of IME by tolerating a small proportion of the IME patch to 240 be advected near the domain border while still stopping the iteration when the [Chla] contour becomes too low and includes features that are not part of the IME. We also added a condition to stop the IME_D [Chla] iteration when the IME_D [Chla] contour intersected an IME_D contour associated with another island. Finally, as in Messié et al. (2022), the BO reference zones associated with each IME zone (i.e. IME_M , IME_D , and IME_T) were defined as the area equal to the size of the corresponding IME zone but located outside of the IME zone, closest to the shallow mask (i.e. BO zone associated with IME_M denoted as BO_M and BO zone associated with IME_T denoted as BO_T, Table 1). The difference in average [Chla] and $\sum [Chla]_{IME_T}$ 245 between the IME and their corresponding BO reference zone were computed to estimate the biomass increase associated with an IME relative to the BO (i.e. $\Delta [Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T}$ and $\Delta \sum [Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T}$ respectively). The [Chla] enhancement attributed to a given IME was deemed significant when both the mean and integrated values were above their uncertainty, e.g. $\Delta[Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T} - SEM^f_{\Delta[Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T}} > 0 \text{ or } \Delta\sum[Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T} - SEM^f_{\Delta\sum[Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T}} > 0. \text{ Examples of } \Delta\sum[Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T} > 0. \text{ Examples of } \Delta\sum[Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T} = 0. \text{ Examples of } \Delta\sum[Chla]_{IM$ IME zones detected on the six-month long map time-series around Fiji/Tonga and Samoa/Niue (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3) show 250 contours outlining the IME_M (i.e. red contours), the extension of the algorithm to detect the IME_D (i.e. green contours), and their associated BO_T zones (i.e. blue contours). The same analysis was performed around Rapa Nui and the Society Islands,

10







Figure 2. Snapshot of six-month long time series of 8-day multi-satellite composites of total chlorophyll *a* concentration ([Chla]) around Fiji and Tonga archipelagos. The IME_{*M*} (Messié et al., 2022) contours are delineated in red, the IME_{*D*} contours added in this study are delineated in green, and the BO_{*T*} associated with each IME_{*T*} area is delineated in light blue. Overlaid arrows represent modeled surface current. Entire six-month animated time series accessible in video supplements or at Bourdin (2024a).

2.2.3 Detecting IME around neighboring islands

In the case of neighboring islands, it is important to define which island, among a group of islands within a common IME_M patch, contributes the most to the IME_M (referred to as the "lead island"). In Messié et al. (2022), the lead island was defined as the island with the highest chl_min value detected on the first pixel band adjacent to its shallow mask polygon. In our study the 8-day median composite product maps are more spatially heterogeneous than monthly or yearly averages used in Messié et al. (2022) and therefore chl_min values may not be the best indicator to assign a lead island. Moreover, the first pixel band adjacent to the shallow mask, from which the chl_min value is extracted, is the most likely to be impacted by adjacency effect and bottom reflectance, leading to potential mis-assignment of the lead island. For example, the six-month map time-series around Fiji shows regions of enhanced [Chla] that have been advected in different directions around the archipelago with the







Figure 3. Snapshot of six-month long time series of 8-day multi-satellite composites of total chlorophyll *a* concentration ([Chla]) around Samoa (north of the map), Tonga (east of the map), and Niue (center of the map). The IME_M (Messié et al., 2022) contours are delineated in red, the IME_D contours added in this study are delineated in green, and the BO_T associated with each IME_T area is delineated in light blue. Overlaid arrows represent modeled surface current. Entire six-month animated time series accessible in video supplements or at Bourdin (2024a).

largest bloom always centered on Fiji's two largest islands (i.e. Viti Levu = 10912 km² and Vanua Levu = 5817 km²; Fig. 2). When applying the IME_M criteria, the lead island was assigned to smaller islands (e.g. Koro Island = 105 km², Yalewa Kalou Island = 0.2 km^2) or to a 20 km² submerged reef in 19% of the realizations in this time series. Likewise, when applying the IME_M criteria on Society Islands' IME, the lead island was assigned to small islands in 24% of the 8-day frames in the time-series although the bloom was always centered on Tahiti. Based on observations of the time-series of [Chla] maps, we found that for large islands (> 100 km²), the largest IMEs, in terms of area and magnitude [Chla], are generally located around islands with the largest land area. For that reason, in our dynamic model the lead island was reassigned after the IME_M detection (step 1; Fig. 1.a) following a different ranking (see below), which was also later used as the order of detection of the IME_D (Fig. 1 step 3). All islands of a specific study region were first sorted by 100 km² increments of land area categories (e.g. smaller than 100 km², between 100 km² and 200 km², etc.), then within each category they were further sorted by increments of 10





 km^2 30 m isobath area sub-categories (representing the reef area). Thus, land area is ranked higher than reef area only when islands are larger or equal to 100 km². We further ranked islands within each land area category and reef area sub-category using their IME intensity based on chl_{95th} values, rounded to the closest 0.1 mg m⁻³. Finally, islands of similar rounded land 275 area, rounded reef area, and rounded chl_{95th} were ranked by their calculated IME_M area. The IME_T detection was performed following this ranking order, thus for a given IME_T zone encompassing multiple islands, the lead island was defined as the top ranked island in the IME_T zone. Once all IME_T detections were performed, the "lead islands" assigned by this ranking were verified to ensure that among all islands associated with a given IME_T patch, the lead island was indeed selected as the first 280 island in the ranking previously defined. Considering the complexity of the currents around archipelagos, we acknowledge that although a single lead island was assigned to a given IME_T, the enhancement in [Chla] associated with IMEs could originate from the influence of multiple islands. For instance, the IME associated with Fiji was a combination of IMEs of all islands and submerged reefs of the archipelago which was also often mixed with the substantial IME influence of the Tonga archipelago. Therefore, IMEs of all islands and reefs associated with archipelagos were combined into "archipelagos IME", such as the 285 "Fiji-Tonga" IME example (Fig. 2), to track the evolution of the combined IME over the six-month time-series produced (i.e. 88 islands and 140 submerged reefs; Fig. 5). Likewise, the IME_T associated with Samoa encompassed the IMEs of Savaii, Upolu, and Tutuila Islands and all the other small islands and reefs contained within the IME_T patch detected around the archipelago (i.e. 7 islands and 38 submerged reefs; Fig. 4). The IME around Society Islands in French Polynesia were also combined into one large IME that encompassed the Society Islands themselves, the Tuamotu Archipelago, and all small islands and reefs located in the large IME zone detected around Tahiti (i.e. 176 islands and 34 submerged reefs; Fig. E2). The IME_T 290 associated with Rapa Nui encompassed Rapa Nui and Sala y Gómez islands and two submerged reefs (Fig. E1).

3 Assessment

3.1 Benefit of multi-sensor composites

Observation and tracking of water masses in the ocean from space is challenging due to glint and clouds that significantly reduce the amount of data recovered from satellite ocean color sensors. Furthermore, even without clouds or glint, uncertainties associated with satellite retrieval remain substantial mainly due to atmospheric gases (Gilerson et al., 2022). This impact is even larger in oligotrophic and ultra-oligotrophic regions where less light is reflected back to the satellites by the ocean in comparison to the atmosphere. Merging data from multiple satellites with different overpass times and viewing angles offers several advantages: (1) changing cloud coverage over time may allow zones masked by clouds in the morning to be visible

- 300 in the afternoon; (2) observing the ocean from varying viewing angles improves data recovery by minimizing the impact of sun-glint; (3) assuming no bias, combining data from sensors with different inherent uncertainties likely reduces the overall uncertainty of the merged product; and (4) as atmospheric properties (other than clouds) change over time, merging data from multiple overpass times can further decrease the relative uncertainty of the final product. Moreover, the correction of adjacency effect and glint by the POLYMER atmospheric correction further increases data recovery and reduces uncertainties around
- 305 clouds and in glint impacted areas. By merging products from multiple satellites, we maximized the amount of data available







Figure 4. Six-month long time series of satellite derived IME properties of the IME zones (IME_M = red dashed line, IME_D = green dashdotted line, and IME_T = black solid line) detected around Samoa (Savaii, Upolu, and Tutuila). A and C: average of properties within the IME zones, B and D: difference between properties within each IME zones and their associated BO zones. A and B: chlorophyll a concentration ([Chla]), B and C: IME integrated chlorophyll a ($\sum [Chla]_{IME}$), E: IME zone area, F: surface current velocity.

at a given time and location (\sim 10 measurements per pixels in average for a given 8-day period). Recovery of sufficient data for binning was critical to identify and remove outliers, and obtain smooth level-3 products. To further minimize the weight of outliers on the end level-3 products, the binning was performed with medians instead of averages. This method allowed a gap-less and smooth coverage of the zones analyzed during six month time-series at an 8-day frequency, and therefore improve the detection of sub-mesoscale currents, filaments, and eddies associated with IME.

3.2 IME detection algorithm refinement

Time-series of remote sensing maps reveal the complexity of currents around islands and the rather chaotic advection patterns of IME into the open-ocean and between islands (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). The four case studies were located in the South Pacific







Figure 5. Six-month long time series of satellite derived IME properties of the IME zones (IME_M = red dashed line, IME_D = green dashdotted line, and IME_T = black solid line) detected around Fiji and Tonga archipelagos combined. A and C: average of properties within the IME zones, B and D: difference between properties within each IME zones and their associated BO zones. A and B: chlorophyll a concentration ([Chla]), B and C: IME integrated chlorophyll a ($\sum [Chla]_{IME}$), E: IME zone area, F: surface current velocity.

Subtropical Gyre (SPSG) where geostrophic currents are low and mesoscale and sub-mesoscale currents interact with island topography from variable directions. In this region, the "upstream" sides of islands also show enhanced [Chla] which suggests IME water masses are advected in all directions around islands (e.g. Fig. A2). Under these conditions and contrary to the assumption in Messié et al. (2022), there are generally no strict upstream pixels directly adjacent to an island. Consequently, defining the lower end of the [Chla] iteration as the minimum [Chla] detected in the first pixel band around the shallow pixel mask may result in an overestimation of the lower threshold of the [Chla] iteration, and thus an underestimation of the IME area. Therefore, to better capture the local range in [Chla] and to avoid potential remaining impact of adjacency effect and bottom reflectance on satellite retrievals, we extracted the range of the [Chla] iteration from the entire predicted zone of the

IME location. In addition, to improve robustness and reduce sensitivity to noise, we used the 95^{th} to the 5^{th} percentiles instead of the maximum and minimum [Chla] values. By construction, all IME [Chla] were higher than the [Chla] of their





respective BO zones, however, while mean [Chla] of all IME_T zones were significantly higher than their BO_T counterparts 325 (i.e. $\Delta[Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T} - uncertainty > 0$; Fig. 4, Fig. 5, Fig. E1, Fig. E2), IME_M [Chla] were not significantly higher than their BO_M counterparts in several occurrences in the eastern SPSG (i.e. $\Delta[Chla]_{IME_M-BO_M} - uncertainty < 0$; Fig. E1, Fig. E2). This suggests that the larger relative uncertainty in [Chla] retrieval and the very low dynamic range in [Chla] in this region (Fig. E1) prevented accurate delineation of the entire IME zone using the [Chla] iteration step size of the IME_M algorithm. To improve IME detection in ultra-oligotrophic regions, we used a dynamic [Chla] iteration step size as a function of the regional [Chla] dynamic range instead of a fixed step size. This adaptive iteration step size resulted in a smaller step size in ultra-oligotrophic regions than the value used in Messié et al. (2022), and smaller than the accuracy of [Chla] retrieval from satellites. While a 0.01 mg m⁻³ iteration step is appropriate for accurately delineating IME in mesotrophic regions (Messié

et al., 2022), it represents most of the [Chla] variability of ultra-oligotrophic regions (Fig. A2). Satellite measurements may exhibit a notable relative uncertainty when retrieving absolute [Chla], particularly in oligotrophic regions. This is mostly due 335 to the atmospheric contribution being significantly larger than the contribution of the water-leaving radiance to the top-ofatmosphere radiance measured by satellites (Gilerson et al., 2022). However, given that these Pacific Ocean regions are distant from major sources of absorbing aerosols, atmospheric properties are expected to be relatively uniform within a specific satellite image (i.e. MODIS images cover 600 km² at the equator). Consequently, the precision of the signal necessary to

delineate spatial patterns in [Chla] is expected to be higher than the accuracy of retrieved [Chla]. An advantage of this iterative
method is that it does not rely on absolute values of [Chla] to delineate IME, but rather on spatial increases in [Chla] around islands. Indeed, reducing the step size of the [Chla] iteration improved the performance of the detection algorithm around small islands and in ultra-oligotrophic regions where the dynamic range of [Chla] is very low (e.g. Rapa Nui).

In the current study, we adjusted the satellite measurements of [Chla] to best match in situ values and improve our confidence in accurately retrieving absolute [Chla]. We note that a similar IME delineation accuracy can be achieved, even without in situ 345 data, by nudging [Chla] of all satellite sensors to one of them to minimize inter-sensor heterogeneity and obtain spatially homogeneous composites. Even though this method may introduce a bias towards the satellite sensor chosen as reference, this bias will be equivalent to the bias associated with the use of a single satellite sensor, and, since for the detection of IME we do not rely on absolute [Chla] values, we expect to achieve a similar accuracy in mapping the extent of IME.

3.3 Detached IME detection

- 350 When quantifying IME, one challenge is to only account for [Chla] increases associated with this phenomena and not with other mesoscale processes. Messié et al. (2022) solved this problem by stopping the [Chla] iteration when pixels with [Chla] > $0.8 * chl_max$ are located more than 150 km away from the 30m isobath of an island. When comparing IME_T and IME_M contours on the same 8-day median [Chla] products, we found that this restriction was the primary reason the IME_M algorithm underestimated the IME area. With the higher resolution time series obtained here, we show that pixels with the highest [Chla]
- within an IME, are heterogeneously distributed and frequently detected further than 150 km from the 30 m isobath. A detection of such pixel with the IME_M algorithm will result in the termination of the iteration process before the entire IME is detected. Therefore, in this study, we adapted and improved the IME detection algorithm of Messié et al. (2022) to work with the spatial





accounting for potential [Chla] increases due to non-IME related processes by using modelled surface currents to select and 360 track only the high [Chla] patches that were advected away from islands and submerged reefs. We nonetheless expect a potential overestimation of IME where processes not associated with IME trigger [Chla] accumulation in the surface ocean away from an island and advect this water mass from the open ocean, around an island, and towards the open ocean again downstream of the island (e.g. advection of continental coastal processes, equatorial upwelling, etc.). In these regions, clustering water masses based on more properties than just [Chla] may help differentiate between non-IME [Chla] increases and IME patches. This

and temporal heterogeneity of our level-3 merged satellite products. We removed this aforementioned condition and minimized

- clustering method was initially explored in this study using Self-Organizing Maps (SOM; Vesanto and Alhoniemi, 2000) to 365 delineate IME zones based on [Chla], back-scattering coefficient (b_{bp}) , SST, the ratio of [Chla] and b_{bp} , and phytoplankton physiological stress indicators (not shown). While the SOM clustering accurately delineated the IME zones in regions with sufficient dynamic range (e.g. in the western SPSG, around Fiji, or Samoa), the method often failed in the ultra-oligotrophic regions (e.g. in the eastern SPSG around Rapa Nui) where the signal-to-noise ratio of b_{bn} and the physiological stress indices
- 370 were too low to delineate IME zones as accurately as the iterative [Chla] method. Therefore, because this study also focuses on regions with relatively low dynamic ranges, we decided not to use the SOM clustering method; nonetheless it could be a good alternative or complement method in regions under continental or upwelling influence where the [Chla] iteration method might overestimate IME. In the four case studies presented here, the high temporal resolution products show that most, if not all, increases in [Chla] initiated close to islands or submerged reefs. The mixed layer depth in the SPSG is almost exclusively
- shallower than 80 m, which is significantly shallower than the nutricline in most of the gyre (~150-220 m; Longhurst, 2007; 375 Raimbault et al., 2008). It implies that wind-driven divergence in this region generally upwells nutrient-deplete water from above the nutricline. In this context, islands and shallow submerged topography may provide the most significant perturbations in this strongly stratified system, with the potential to introduce nutrients to the euphotic zone and trigger phytoplankton blooms as large as the IME zones observed.

380 3.4 IME detection method validation

Consistent with satellite imagery, IME_M and IME_D zones were characterized by elevated underway [Chla] and c_{p660} in comparison to the BO_T zones in all four cases studied (Fig. 6, Fig. D1, Fig. D2, and Fig. D3). Both variables collected with the underway system increased steeply on the inbound transect to Fiji (left hand side panel of Fig. 6) and decreased gradually on the outbound transect (right hand side panel of Fig. 6). Southward currents were the dominant surface currents on the western

- side of Fiji during the 16-day period overlapping with in situ sampling. The pattern shown along the outbound transect indicates 385 the demise and/or dilution of the bloom as it was advected south of Fiji. The increase in [Chla] and c_{p660} was ubiquitous near shore and was captured by the satellite IME_T detection algorithm. In comparison, the IME_M algorithm detected the strongest [Chla] increase within IMEs (Fig. 6, Fig. D1, Fig. D2, Fig. D3) but often missed the [Chla] gradient from IME to background ocean (e.g. outbound transect from Society Islands Fig. D2), and systematically missed the IME_D (e.g. inbound transect to
- Samoa Fig. D3 and departure from Fiji Fig. 6). 390







Figure 6. Validation of the extent of IME using in situ underway data around Fiji archipelago. **Top row**: 8-day median [Chla] at the time of sampling along the transect inbound to Fiji (top left panel) and at the time of sampling along the transect outbound from Fiji (top right panel). [Chla] measured in situ with the underway system are overlaid on the satellite data background, **middle row**: Chlorophyll a concentration ([Chla]), **bottom row**: beam attenuation at 660 nm (proxy for particulate organic carbon). Data sampled with the underway system during the transect sailing towards Fiji (**left**) and sailing away from Fiji (**right**). Data colored when located within the IME zones detected on the overlapping 8-day satellite composite (BO_T = black circle, IME_M = red square, or IME_D = green diamond). The underway data points are minute binned and the solid lines are smoothed underway data. The smoothing was performed applying a 2h low-pass digital filter to the minute binned data. The grey patch highlights the time *Tara* was sailing in coastal water (< 6 nautical miles away from a submerged reef or coast).

3.5 Extent of IME using different algorithms

Similarly, the IME zones detected during the six-month time-series around Fiji/Tonga, Samoa/Niue, Rapa Nui, and the Society Islands (Fig. 2, Fig. 3, and Bourdin, 2024a) suggest that the IME_M detection algorithm generally performs well in capturing





the core of an IME as long as the associated [Chla] distribution is concentric on the island with the highest [Chla] located 395 close to shore. In all four case studies, the IME_M algorithm generally failed to capture the full extent of the IME area at 8-day observation frequency (i.e. IME_M area $\ll IME_T$ area; Fig. 7 and Table 2). To compare the IME_M algorithm to the one developed here, we calculated the absolute and percent differences in mean [Chla], detected IME area, and surface-integrated chlorophyll a $(\sum [Chla])$ derived from the two approaches applied on the same 8-day median [Chla] products (Fig. 7 and Table 2). [Chla] averages in IME_M zones were equivalent or higher than in the IME_T zones (Fig. 7 and Table 2) because the minimum value of the [Chla] used in the iteration to find the IME_T contour was always lower than the minimum value used in 400 the IME_M algorithm. Therefore, when different from the IME_T contour, the IME_M contour was always located closer to the island shore where [Chla] is generally higher than in the rest of the IME_T zone, explaining the negative differences in average [Chla] between IME_T and IME_M (Table 2, Fig 7). The area and surface-integrated chlorophyll a were largely underestimated in IME_M in comparison to IME_T in all four case studies (Fig. 7 and Table 2). For instance, the large bloom event that developed around Fiji between March and May 2017 detected in the IME_T zone was not detectable in the IME_M zone. The IME_T also 405 captured a nearly continuous increase in biomass around the Society Islands while it was only intermittently captured by the IME_M contour (Fig. 7). In each case, the underestimation of IME_M compared to IME_T was variable over time, suggesting the criteria used to delineate the extent of the IME_M are sensitive to noise in a given satellite image and thus depends on the spatial smoothness of the [Chla] map used to delineate the IME_M. The modification of these criteria in the IME_T algorithm reduced

	• .	• • •		• •	• •	• • • • • • •
A10	110	concitivity	to	cinal	0 nivol	Variability
410	11.5	SCHSILIVILV		511121		vanaphilv.

Variables	Island group	$\Delta [IME_T - IME_M]$	Δ [%]
[Chla] _{IME}	Rapa Nui	$7\times 10^{-4}\pm 5.7\times 10^{-3}mg.m^{-3}$	$1\pm10\%$
	Society Isl.	$-2.2\times10^{-3}\pm3.2\times10^{-3}mg.m^{-3}$	$-4\pm6\%$
	Samoa	$-1.7\times10^{-2}\pm3.9\times10^{-2}mg.m^{-3}$	$-14 \pm 30\%$
	Fiji&Tonga	$-3.6\times10^{-2}\pm3.6\times10^{-2}mg.m^{-3}$	$\textbf{-}21{\pm}21\%$
IME area	Rapa Nui	$7\times10^4\pm6.3\times10^4km^2$	$58\pm 39\%$
	Society Isl.	$2.2 \times 10^5 \pm 2.2 \times 10^5 km^2$	$33{\pm}32\%$
	Samoa	$5.7\times10^4\pm5.8\times10^4 km^2$	$49{\pm}35\%$
	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$3.1 \times 10^5 \pm 2.2 \times 10^5 km^2$	$60{\pm}28\%$
\sum [Chla] _{IME}	Rapa Nui	$4.1 \pm 4.1 m t.m^{-1}$	$58\pm27\%$
	Society Isl.	$10.4 \pm 11.7 mt.m^{-1}$	$32{\pm}31\%$
	Samoa	$4.6 \pm 4.9 m t.m^{-1}$	$45{\pm}34\%$
	Fiji&Tonga	$52.3 \pm 35.2 mt.m^{-1}$	$58{\pm}27\%$

Table 2. IME_M and IME_T detection methods comparison summary: six month mean and standard deviation of differences







Figure 7. Differences (%) in IME area (solid line), chlorophyll a concentration ([Chla]; dash-dotted line), and IME surface-integrated chlorophyll a ($\sum [Chla]_{IME}$; dash line) estimated by the IME_M and IME_T algorithms for the four case studies (Rapa Nui, Society Isl., Samoa, Fiji-Tonga)

3.6 IME quantification metric

415

The [Chla] enhancement associated with IME was quantified as the difference between surface-integrated [Chla] in a given IME zone and surface-integrated [Chla] in the respective BO zone (chosen to have the same surface area, see Methods) to better represent the total Chla enhancement. In all four cases, the surface-integrated [Chla] enhancement associated with IME_T relative to their BO_T counterparts was significant during the entire six-month time-series (i.e. $\Delta \sum [Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T} - uncertainty > D$

0) except for two 8-day occurrences around Rapa Nui.

It should be emphasized that [Chla] can be associated with large uncertainties as a measure of phytoplankton biomass due to photo-acclimation, a process of intra-cellular pigment adjustment in response to changes in light and nutrient conditions (Cullen, 1982; Geider et al., 1998). This is especially the case in regions with increased mesoscale activity and upwelling such

420 as those adjacent to islands. When low-light adapted cells with larger intra-cellular [Chla] are upwelled to the surface, satellites can measure an apparent increase in [Chla] that is not necessarily associated with an increase in biomass (Hasegawa et al., 2008). In all case studies presented here, the increased [Chla] detected in IME zones was associated with increased c_{p660} , which





is a proxy of total organic biomass (including phytoplankton biomass) that is not impacted by photo-acclimation (Behrenfeld and Boss, 2006). This observation provides confidence that detected IME zones were indeed associated with spatial increases
in phytoplankton biomass around islands. When investigating the ecological consequences of IME, it is important to note that both satellite data and our underway measurements only describe surface ocean properties and do not inform about the vertical distribution of biomass in IME zones. Gove et al. (2016) showed that the increase in [Chla] associated with IME propagated below the surface and suggested this increase in [Chla] represented a strong increase in biomass at depth. Although strong subsurface chlorophyll maximums (SCM) are generally measured in subtropical regions, most of the SCM signal is often due
to photo-acclimation to low light availability at depth and only associated with a moderate increase in biomass (Kitchen and Zaneveld, 1990; Fennel and Boss, 2003; Furuya, 1990).

3.7 The utility of capturing IME's temporal dynamics

The high temporal resolution products revealed the high spatial and temporal heterogeneity of IME and frequent connectivity between IME zones of distant islands. This dynamic IME detection method permitted tracking in time the accumulation of chlorophyll *a* in surface waters, which suggested frequent temporal increases in phytoplankton biomass in addition to the spatial increase in phytoplankton biomass already detected around islands. For instance, the accumulation of integrated [Chla] in IME zones suggests the occurrence of two distinct blooms in Samoa's IME zone and a large bloom in Fiji-Tonga's IME zone. These blooms were sustained for weeks while being advected off-shore and eventually detached from the island they originated from (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). The first one around Samoa was initiated around mid-September 2016 and was advected southward towards Niue (see area and $\Delta[Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T}$ increases; Fig. 4). The integrated [Chla] of this bloom continued to increase after the water mass detached from Samoa and persisted near Niue until the end of November 2016 (i.e. ~10 weeks after detaching from Samoa; Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). The second bloom detected in Samoa's IME initiated around November 22^{nd} 2016 was advected east, detaching from the archipelago and reaching a maximum surface-integrated [Chla] enhancement relative to BO of 13.6 $mt.m^{-1}$ before ending around January 24^{th} 2017 (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). A third bloom observed in the

- same region detached from Tonga and was detected more than \sim 1300 km east of the island. Phytoplankton biomass can continue to accumulate in advected water masses even without an additional influx of nutrients. For example, if the rate of horizontal dilution of a bloom with its surrounding oligotrophic waters reduces encounter rates, and hence grazing pressure, phytoplankton biomass will continue to accumulate even if the remaining nutrients only support a low growth rate (as long as the growth rate exceeds the grazing rate; Lehahn et al., 2017). Interestingly, both bloom initiation events detected around
- 450 Samoa were synchronised with a sudden increase in the average surface current velocity within the IME_M zone. The increased current interacting with the island topography may have promoted sub-mesoscale and mesoscale mixing and the upwelling of nutrient and trace metal enriched water to the surface close to shore. The current data overlaid on the [Chla] map time-series also show increased surface current close to shore when and where each of the three blooms started to detach from their island of origin (Bourdin, 2024a). This suggests that when IME water parcels were detached from their source of nutrients (i.e. the
- 455 island) and diluted into the surrounding oligotrophic ocean, the phytoplankton biomass in the growing patch continued to accumulate due to a reduction of grazing while using the limited nutrient supply advected with it. This dynamic emphasizes





the fact that although phytoplankton blooms in IME zones are triggered by local enrichment of macro-nutrients and trace metals near islands (Messié et al., 2020, 2022; Gove et al., 2016, 2013; De Verneil et al., 2017; Hasegawa et al., 2009; Caputi et al., 2019; Palacios, 2002; Signorini et al., 1999), they are also tightly controlled by loss processes such as grazing. In the case of Fiji-Tonga, the IME surface-integrated [Chla] enhancement relative to the BO (i.e. $\Delta \sum [Chla]_{IME_T-BO_T}$) increased 460 up to 88.7 \pm 15.8 $mt_{[Chla]}$. m^{-1} , covered an area up to ~1 million km², with a longitudinal extent of ~2000 km. The IME surface-integrated [Chla] decreased from May 5^{th} to September 2^{nd} to finally reach pre-bloom values again in August 2017, approximately five months after the bloom initiated; Fig. 5). In contrast to the Samoa case study, no apparent increase in current speed was detected near Fiji or Tonga during the period covered by the time series. In this case, the timing of this large bloom observed around the Fiji and Tonga archipelagos coincided with the annual Trichodesmium spp. blooms observed in this 465 region during the austral summer (Dandonneau and Gohin, 1984; Dupouy et al., 2000). The high underwater volcanic activity characteristic of this region can supply significant amount of trace metals directly into the euphotic zone and support these large blooms of Trichodesmium spp. (Bonnet et al., 2023; Guieu et al., 2018; Berman-Frank et al., 2001; Lory et al., 2022; Rubin et al., 2011). These known shallow hydrothermal vents were systematically located within the detected IME zone associated with Tonga and Fiji suggesting the detected IME is likely a combined effect of islands and shallow hydrothermal vents in 470 this region. The longer generation time of *Trichodesmium* spp., which allows surface currents to spread them horizontally, and their ability to partially escape grazing pressure may explain why these blooms can be maintained for five months and cover a significant area of ~ 1 million km² (Capone et al., 1997; Messié et al., 2020). These two case studies show how the dynamic detection of IME provides information about IME phenology and about island connectivity in comparison to a frozen field

475 observation of the ocean for which all maps are independent of each other.

4 Conclusions

The method developed here describes the history of a given IME with finer resolution, and highlights dynamics that are not detectable using monthly and yearly average remote sensing products. Such a method is essential for improving our mechanistic understanding of IME (e.g. whether the cause is island runoff or upwelling) and the ecological succession within IMEs. De Falco et al. (2022) highlight the uniqueness of interactions between a given island topography and surrounding wind and current flows, suggesting that phytoplankton responses depend on these interactions. Here we show that IMEs are highly dynamic, they can induce large coherent blooms that can sustain for month while being advected more than 1000 km away from their source. These advected IMEs seed the oligotrophic ocean and other islands with water masses characterized by higher phytoplankton abundance and potentially different species composition than the surrounding oligotrophic ocean such as the

485 *Trichodesmium* blooms in the south-west Pacific ocean. This analysis reveals a broader spatial extent of IMEs in subtropical regions, suggesting that islands have a greater impact on food web dynamics and biogeochemical processes in these areas, which are traditionally considered oligotrophic. This detection method can also be adapted to track water masses with specific optical properties being advected in upwelling regions or in river plumes. We suggest that future studies use more satellite





variables than just [Chla] in regions where processes other than the one studied can cause elevated surface [Chla] to better discriminate the underlying processes.

We demonstrated the importance of using gap-less high temporal and spatial resolution satellite products and modeled surface currents to identify and track sub-mesoscale filaments and eddies associated with IME around islands in the subtropical Pacific Ocean. We minimized satellite uncertainties by augmenting the number of observations and maximized data recovery by using all available NASA and ESA polar-orbiting ocean color satellites. At the current dawn of global hyperspectral ocean color sensing, we recommend having sensors with different overpass times when planning for new ocean color satellites as part

495 color sensing, we recommend having sensors with different overpass times when planning for new ocean color satellites as part of the future constellation to help maximize coverage and understand the dynamic of mesoscale and sub-mesoscale processes in the Ocean.

Code and data availability. HPLC data is accessible on BCO-DMO repository. In situ underway optical data can be accessed on Tara Pacific SeaBASS repository. The satellite binning software package used to create custom level-3 multi-satellite products from level-2 satellite data, to remove outliers, to nudge, and propagate uncertainties is accessible at Bourdin (2024b). Level-3 multi-satellite composites data,

downloaded current data, the dynamic IME detection algorithm software, and its main outputs for each case study, including island databases

500

490

Author contributions. TPC supported the collection of in situ data and logistics and G.G., F.L., and E.B. designed and coordinated in situ sampling. G.B. collected and processed underway in situ data. G.B. designed the satellite merging method and the dynamic IME detection
 method. G.B., L.K.B., and E.B. assessed the method and wrote the original draft. All authors have read and reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests

for all region and their IME and BO masks, are available at Bourdin (2024a).

Acknowledgements. Special thanks to the Tara Ocean Foundation, the R/V Tara crew and the Tara Pacific Expedition Participants (Tara Pacific Consortium). We are keen to thank the commitment of the following institutions for their financial and scientific support that made this unique Tara Pacific Expedition possible: CNRS, PSL, CSM, EPHE, Genoscope, CEA, Inserm, Université Côte d'Azur, ANR, agnès

- 510 b., UNESCO-IOC, the Veolia Foundation, the Prince Albert II de Monaco Foundation, Région Bretagne, Billerudkorsnas, AmerisourceBergen Company, Lorient Agglomération, Oceans by Disney, L'Oréal, Biotherm, France Collectivités, Fonds Français pour l'Environnement Mondial (FFEM), Etienne Bourgois, and the Tara Ocean Foundation teams. Tara Pacific would not exist without the continuous support of the participating institutes. The authors also particularly thank Serge Planes, Denis Allemand, and the Tara Pacific consortium. This work could not have been completed without the support of grants from the NASA Ocean Biology and Biogeochemistry program (grants
- 515 #NNX13AE58G, #NNX15AC08G, and #80NSSC20K1641) and NSF Biological Oceanography program (grant #2025402) to the University of Maine. We gratefully acknowledge Monique Messié for her insightful discussions on the Island Mass Effect and for generously sharing her island and submerged reef database. This is publication number 27 of the Tara Pacific Consortium.





Appendix A: Satellite merging pipeline

MODIS, VIIRS, and OLCI L1A radiance data were processed with SeaDAS l2gen and POLYMER algorithms to produce atmospherically corrected level-2 R_{rs} data. Low-quality data pixels were removed by applying the recommended atmospheric correction flags on their respective R_{rs} data. Every scene was then projected onto the same equally spaced one-kilometer spatial resolution plate-carré reference grid using nearest-neighbor interpolation before [Chla] computation. Each satellite [Chla] were nudged to best match in situ values before merging them into 8-day median composites (Fig. A1).



Figure A1. Satellite composite production flowchart.







Figure A2. Multi-satellite composite of [Chla] around Niue island (2016-09-11 to 2016-09-18) at 1 km spatial resolution. The white squares represent the 4 and 9 km resolution pixel sizes of the level-3 NASA and the red contour represents the shallow pixel mask at 4 km spatial resolution.

Appendix B: Uncertainty estimates

525 The 8-day merged products represent a composite of multiple overpasses and satellites that included ~120 ocean color images (daytime) for a ~2500 km² square region around the Fiji archipelago. Therefore, each pixel of the merged product is a median of *n* number of observations of the original images with standard deviations (σ_{Vbin}) representing the temporal variability of a variable *V* in a given pixel during each 8-day period and the variability between sensors after nudging. The number of non-flagged observations (n_{Vbin}) used to bin each merged pixel was generally sufficient, with 8-day long periods and an operational constellation of five to six satellites, to produce smooth merged [Chla] products. For example, the median number of non-flagged [Chla] observations used to bin each pixel was n_{bin[Chla]} = 10 for the entire time series around Fiji, with less than 2.5% of the pixels binned with less than 3 non-flagged observations (Fig. B1).

Known uncertainties were propagated from in situ data to satellite [Chla] end-products. HPLC derived [Chla] and in situ a_p spectra were measured along track. The error associated with the computation of [Chla] from the underway system was estimated by the normalized root mean square error ($nRMSE_{udw}$ in %) of the relation between the underway chlorophyll line height (a_{p676LH}) and total [Chla] measured from HPLC during the *Tara* Pacific expedition (Fig. B2.a).

The error associated with the computation of [Chla] from satellites was estimated by the $nRMSE_{sat}$ of the relation between the underway chlorophyll line height (a_{p676LH}) and [Chla] obtained from each satellite sensor along the transect of the *Tara* Pacific expedition (Fig. B2 (b), (c), and (d)). The uncertainties of binned satellite end-products were computed as follows:

540
$$\sigma_V = \sqrt{\sigma_{Vbin}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{n_c} (\tilde{V} \times nRMSE_c)^2}$$
(B1)







Figure B1. Distribution of the number of valid [Chla] (i.e. not flagged) observations per merged pixel over each 8-day period along the six-month time-series around the Fiji archipelago (2017-02-18 to 2017-09-05).

Variables	Satellite sensor	R^2	nRMSE [%]	Slope	Intercept	Ν
	MODISA	0.78	24.38	1.09	-0.01	111
DOLVMED D	MODIST	0.81	20.48	1.08	-0.01	96
POLYMER R_{rs}	VIIRSN	0.82	16.18	0.90	-0.19	109
Biended CI-OCX [Chia] (chior_a)	VIIRSJ1	0.70	31.47	1.02	0.05	27
	OLCI	0.79	16.56	0.89	-0.13	85
	MODISA	0.74	18.59	0.84	-0.27	85
SDAG D	MODIST	0.71	16.61	0.70	-0.43	67
SeaDAS R_{rs}	VIIRSN	0.70	16.17	0.81	-0.56	92
Biended CI-OCX [Chia] (chior_a)	VIIRSJ1	0.84	17.37	1.15	0.14	22
	OLCI	0.81	13.99	1.01	-0.10	55
	MODISA	0.66	20.00	0.71	-0.32	85
SDAG D	MODIST	0.67	19.30	0.75	-0.35	67
SeaDAS R_{rs}	VIIRSN	0.62	25.97	1.20	-0.50	92
	VIIRSJ1	0.74	21.76	1.36	0.19	22
	OLCI	0.70	17.65	0.80	-0.11	55

Table B1. Robust correlations parameters of match-ups between satellite and in situ underway data

With \tilde{V} the binned variable, n_c the number of calibration/correction, and $nRMSE_c$ the nRMSE associated with each of the n_c correction. The standard error of the mean of the adjusted satellite end-products of each pixel were computed as follows:

$$SEM_V = \frac{\sigma_V}{\sqrt{n_{V_{bin}}}} \tag{B2}$$







Figure B2. Robust linear regressions between [Chla] measured from HPLC and a_p Chla absorption peak at 676 nm measured from the underway system (a), and between calibrated [Chla] estimated from a_p underway measurements and [Chla] estimated from satellite data using the blended CI-OCx algorithm on POLYMER R_{rs} (b), the blended CI-OCx algorithm on l2gen R_{rs} (c), and the OCx algorithm on l2gen R_{rs} (d). In situ measurements were conducted during the *Tara* Pacific expedition (May 2016 to October 2018).

The final uncertainty estimate associated with [Chla] within entire IME or BO zones (SEM^f_{[Chla]IME}) as presented in Fig. E1,
545 Fig. E2, Fig. 4, and Fig. 5 were expressed as the average standard error of the mean of the adjusted [Chla] within entire IME or BO zones:

$$SEM_{[Chla]_{IME}}^{f} = \frac{\overline{\sigma}_{[Chla]_{IME}}}{\sum n_{[Chla]_{bin_{IME}}}} + S_{[Chla]_{unc}} \times \overline{[Chla]}_{IME}$$
(B3)

With ∑n_{[Chla]binIME} the total number of [Chla] observations within the IME zone before merging and S_{[Chla]unc} the weighted bias associated with the computation of the slopes of the regressions between in situ [Chla] and each satellite [Chla] estimates.
550 S_{[Chla]unc} was computed as follows:

$$S_{[Chla]_{unc}} = |1 - S_{[Chla]sat}| \times \frac{n_{M_{sat}}}{N_{M_{tot}}}$$
(B4)

With $S_{[Chla]sat}$ the slope of the relation between in situ [Chla] and [Chla] of a given satellite, $n_{M_{sat}}$ the number of valid matchups of the same satellite, and $N_{M_{tot}}$ the total number of valid match-ups. $S_{[Chla]unc}$ represents the maximum bias associated





with the computation of the merged satellite [Chla] which we assume to be equivalent to the potential likelihood bias of the merged satellite [Chla]. Assuming enough valid match-ups with each satellite, $S_{[Chla]_{unc}}$ is a conservative estimate of the bias associated with the slopes computation because the merging method forces each satellite [Chla] to agree with in situ data using sensor-specific corrections, which likely reduces the bias of the merged product. IME area uncertainties ($\sigma_{A_{IME}}$) were computed during the detection of the IME [Chla] contours as the difference in IME area between the last two iterations of [Chla] contours:

$$560 \quad \sigma_{A_{IME}} = A_{IME_{cChl_f}} - A_{IME_{cChl_{f-1}}} \tag{B5}$$

With $A_{IME_{cChl_f}}$ the IME area at the final IME contour value and $A_{IME_{cChl_{f-1}}}$ the IME area at the previous contour value. Therefore, $\sigma_{A_{IME}}$ represents the area detection resolution associated with the size of the step of [Chla] iteration. The uncertainties associated with the estimation of IME surface-integrated [Chla] ($\sum [Chla]_{IME}$) were computed as follows:

$$SEM_{\sum[Chla]_{IME}}^{f} = \sum [Chla]_{IME} \times \sqrt{\left(\frac{SEM_{[Chla]_{IME}}^{f}}{[Chla]_{IME}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{A_{IME}}}{A_{IME}}\right)^{2}}$$
(B6)

565 Appendix C: Outliers removal

Bio-optical variables in the ocean, including [Chla], generally follow a log-normal distribution (Campbell, 1995) with fewer high values forming a heavy-tail in the high end of the dynamic range. After appropriate flagging, low quality data pixels impacted by sun glint, adjacency effect, and bottom reflectance are rare and account for a few pixels scattered on either end of the log-normal distribution and beyond realistic values for a given region (generally < 1^{st} percentile or >> 99th percentile;

570 Fig. C1). Computing the median of these pixels can result in noisy merged products when they are the only available data over a given 8-day period and at a given location (i.e. pixel). Consequently, to improve consistency of the level-3 merged products, rare outliers of a given variable were removed from all re-projected level-2 images of a given 8-day period and a given region based on the distribution of all individual *x* measurements (i.e. pixels). First, we grouped all the re-projected level-2 images of a given variable, 8-day period, and region together, and applied a log normal transformation to the data:

575
$$x_t = \ln(x - \min(x) + 1)$$
 (C1)

We partitioned x_t into N bins of width W defined using the Freedman-Diaconis rule that is more suited to a heavy-tailed distribution due to its low sensitivity to outliers (Freedman and Diaconis, 1981):

$$W = 2 \times \frac{IQR(x_t)}{\sqrt[3]{n}} \tag{C2}$$

Where IQR is the inter-quartile range and n is the number of observations in the data x_t . The minimum number of pixels per 580 bin threshold (n_{min}^b) was computed as a rounded fraction of n of a given variable (i.e. horizontal line in Fig. C1):

$$n_{\min}^{b} = \lfloor n \times 10^{-6} \rceil \tag{C3}$$







Figure C1. Example distribution of all valid [Chla] (i.e. not flagged) observations from all satellite sensors merged (i.e. MODISA-Aqua, MODIS-Terra, VIIRS-SNPP, OLCI-S3A) from 2016-09-19 01:00 to 2016-09-26 21:30 UTC (8-day period) around Niue and Samoa (77 satellite images merged) before outlier removal (subplots (a) and (b)), and after outlier removal (subplots (c) and (d)). The number of pixels per bin are displayed on a linear scale on subplots (a) and (c) and on a log base 10 scale on subplots (b) and (d). The dashed lines represent the 1^{st} and the 99^{th} percentiles, the solid horizontal line represents the cut-off value in pixel per bin for outlier removal (m_{min}^b) , and the red shaded area highlights the pixels removed.

585

The lower-end threshold t_L was determined by finding the first bin with less pixels than n_{min}^b (i.e. gap in normal distribution), going from the median \tilde{x} to x_{min} ($x_{min} \le t_L < \tilde{x}$). Similarly, the higher-end threshold t_H was determined by finding the first bin with less than n_{min}^b pixels per bin, going from \tilde{x} to x_{max} ($\tilde{x} < t_H \le x_{max}$). This threshold detection was iterated up to 15 times or until t_L and t_H did not change from one iteration to the other. Any re-projected level-2 pixel from a given 8-day period, region, and variable falling out of the range (t_L , t_H) were deleted before computing the medians of the merged level-3 products.







Appendix D: Rapa Nui, Society Isl., and Samoa validation transects

Figure D1. Validation of the IME extent using in situ underway data around Rapa Nui. Top row: Chlorophyll a concentration ([Chla]), and bottom row: beam attenuation at 660 nm (proxy for particulate organic carbon). Data sampled with the underway system during the transect sailing towards Rapa Nui (left) and sailing away from Rapa Nui (right). Data colored when located within the IME zones detected on the overlapping 8-day satellite composite (BO_T = black circle or IME_M = red square). The points are minute binned underway data and the solid lines are smoothed underway data. The smoothing was performed by applying a 2h low-pass digital filter to the minute binned data. The grey patch highlights the time *Tara* was sailing in coastal water (< 50 m depth).



Figure D2. Validation of the IME extent using in situ underway data around Society Islands in French Polynesia. Top row: Chlorophyll a concentration ([Chla]), bottom row: beam attenuation at 660 nm (proxy for particulate organic carbon). Data sampled with the underway system during the transect sailing towards Society Islands (left) and sailing away from Society Islands (right). Data colored when located within the IME zones detected on the overlapping 8-day satellite composite (BO_T = black circle, IME_M = red square, or IME_D = green diamond). The points are minute binned underway data and the solid lines are smoothed underway data. The smoothing was performed applying a 2h low-pass digital filter to the minute binned data. The grey patch highlights the time *Tara* was sailing in coastal water (< 50 m depth).







Figure D3. Validation of the IME extent using in situ underway data around Samoa. Top row: Chlorophyll a concentration ([Chla]), and bottom row: beam attenuation at 660 nm (proxy for particulate organic carbon). Data sampled with the underway system during the transect sailing towards Samoa (left) and sailing away from Samoa (right). Data colored when located within the IME zones detected on the overlapping 8-day satellite composite (BO_T = black circle or IME_M = red square). The points are minute binned underway data and the solid lines are smoothed underway data. The smoothing was performed by applying a 2h low-pass digital filter to the minute binned data. The grey patch highlights the time *Tara* was sailing in coastal water (< 50 m depth).







Appendix E: Rapa Nui and Society Isl. time-series

Figure E1. Six-month long time series of satellite derived IME properties of the IME zones (IME_M = red dashed line, IME_D = green dash-dotted line, and IME_T = black solid line) detected around Rapa Nui. A and C: average of properties within the IME zones, B and D: difference between properties within each IME zones and their associated BO zones. A and B: chlorophyll a concentration ([Chla]), B and C: IME integrated chlorophyll a ($\sum [Chla]_{IME}$), E: IME zone area, F: surface current velocity.







Figure E2. Six-month long time series of satellite derived IME properties of the IME zones (IME_M = red dashed line, IME_D = green dash-dotted line, and IME_T = black solid line) detected around Society Islands in French Polynesia. A and C: average of properties within the IME zones, B and D: difference between properties within each IME zones and their associated BO zones. A and B: chlorophyll a concentration ([Chla]), B and C: IME integrated chlorophyll a ($\sum [Chla]_{IME}$), E: IME zone area, F: surface current velocity.





590 References

- Bailey, S. W. and Werdell, P. J.: A Multi-Sensor Approach for the on-Orbit Validation of Ocean Color Satellite Data Products, Remote Sensing of Environment, 102, 12–23, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.01.015, 2006.
- Behrenfeld, M. J. and Boss, E.: Beam Attenuation and Chlorophyll Concentration as Alternative Optical Indices of Phytoplankton Biomass, Journal of Marine Research, 64, 431–451, https://doi.org/10.1357/002224006778189563, 2006.
- 595 Berman-Frank, I., Cullen, J. T., Shaked, Y., Sherrell, R. M., and Falkowski, P. G.: Iron Availability, Cellular Iron Quotas, and Nitrogen Fixation in *Trichodesmium*, Limnology and Oceanography, 46, 1249–1260, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2001.46.6.1249, 2001.
- Bonnet, S., Guieu, C., Taillandier, V., Boulart, C., Bouruet-Aubertot, P., Gazeau, F., Scalabrin, C., Bressac, M., Knapp, A. N., Cuypers, Y., González-Santana, D., Forrer, H. J., Grisoni, J.-M., Grosso, O., Habasque, J., Jardin-Camps, M., Leblond, N., Le Moigne, F. A. C., Lebourges-Dhaussy, A., Lory, C., Nunige, S., Pulido-Villena, E., Rizzo, A. L., Sarthou, G., and Tilliette, C.: Natural Iron Fertilization by
- Shallow Hydrothermal Sources Fuels Diazotroph Blooms in the Ocean, Science, 380, 812–817, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abq4654, 2023.
 - Boss, E., Picheral, M., Leeuw, T., Chase, A., Karsenti, E., Gorsky, G., Taylor, L., Slade, W., Ras, J., and Claustre, H.: The Characteristics of Particulate Absorption, Scattering and Attenuation Coefficients in the Surface Ocean; Contribution of the Tara Oceans Expedition, Methods in Oceanography, 7, 52–62, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mio.2013.11.002, 2013.
- 605 Boss, E., Haëntjens, N., Ackleson, S. G., Balch, B., Chase, A., Dall'Olmo, G., Freeman, S., Liu, Y., Loftin, J., Neary, W., Nelson, N., Novak, M., Slade, W. H., Proctor, C. W., Tortell, P., and Westberry, T. K.: Ocean Optics & Biogeochemistry Protocols for Satellite Ocean Colour Sensor Validation. Volume 4: Inherent Optical Property Measurements and Protocols: Best Practices for the Collection and Processing of Ship-Based Underway Flow-Through Optical Data (V. 4.0)., IOCCG Protocol Series, 4, https://doi.org/10.25607/OBP-664, 2019.

Bourdin, G.: Dynamic Island Mass Effect from Space: Detection Input & Output Data, https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.13376152, 2024a.

610 Bourdin, G.: Dynamic Island Mass Effect from Space: Multi-Satellite Binning Package, Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.13376824, 2024b.

Campbell, J. W.: The Lognormal Distribution as a Model for Bio-optical Variability in the Sea, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 100, 13 237–13 254, https://doi.org/10.1029/95JC00458, 1995.

Capone, D. G., Zehr, J. P., Paerl, H. W., Bergman, B., and Carpenter, E. J.: Trichodesmium, a Globally Significant Marine Cyanobacterium,

 615
 Science, New Series, 276, 1221–1229, 1997.

- Caputi, L., Carradec, Q., Eveillard, D., Kirilovsky, A., Pelletier, E., Pierella Karlusich, J. J., Rocha Jimenez Vieira, F., Villar, E., Chaffron, S., Malviya, S., Scalco, E., Acinas, S. G., Alberti, A., Aury, J.-M., Benoiston, A.-S., Bertrand, A., Biard, T., Bittner, L., Boccara, M., Brum, J. R., Brunet, C., Busseni, G., Carratalà, A., Claustre, H., Coelho, L. P., Colin, S., D'Aniello, S., Da Silva, C., Del Core, M., Doré, H., Gasparini, S., Kokoszka, F., Jamet, J.-L., Lejeusne, C., Lepoivre, C., Lescot, M., Lima-Mendez, G., Lombard, F., Lukeš, J., Maillet,
- N., Madoui, M.-A., Martinez, E., Mazzocchi, M. G., Néou, M. B., Paz-Yepes, J., Poulain, J., Ramondenc, S., Romagnan, J.-B., Roux, S., Salvagio Manta, D., Sanges, R., Speich, S., Sprovieri, M., Sunagawa, S., Taillandier, V., Tanaka, A., Tirichine, L., Trottier, C., Uitz, J., Veluchamy, A., Veselá, J., Vincent, F., Yau, S., Kandels-Lewis, S., Searson, S., Dimier, C., Picheral, M., Tara Oceans Coordinators, Bork, P., Boss, E., De Vargas, C., Follows, M. J., Grimsley, N., Guidi, L., Hingamp, P., Karsenti, E., Sordino, P., Stemmann, L., Sullivan, M. B., Tagliabue, A., Zingone, A., Garczarek, L., d'Ortenzio, F., Testor, P., Not, F., d'Alcalà, M. R., Wincker, P., Bowler, C., and Iudicone,
- 625 D.: Community-Level Responses to Iron Availability in Open Ocean Plankton Ecosystems, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 391–419, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GB006022, 2019.





- Cassianides, A., Martinez, E., Maes, C., Carton, X., and Gorgues, T.: Monitoring the Influence of the Mesoscale Ocean Dynamics on Phytoplanktonic Plumes around the Marquesas Islands Using Multi-Satellite Missions, Remote Sensing, 12, 2520, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12162520, 2020.
- 630 Cetinić, I., Perry, M. J., Briggs, N. T., Kallin, E., D'Asaro, E. A., and Lee, C. M.: Particulate Organic Carbon and Inherent Optical Properties during 2008 North Atlantic Bloom Experiment, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 117, 2011JC007771, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007771, 2012.
 - Cullen, J. J.: The Deep Chlorophyll Maximum: Comparing Vertical Profiles of Chlorophyll *a*, Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 39, 791–803, https://doi.org/10.1139/f82-108, 1982.
- 635 Dall'Olmo, G., Westberry, T. K., Behrenfeld, M. J., Boss, E., and Slade, W. H.: Significant Contribution of Large Particles to Optical Backscattering in the Open Ocean, Biogeosciences, 6, 947–967, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-947-2009, 2009.
 - Dandonneau, Y. and Charpy, L.: An Empirical Approach to the Island Mass Effect in the South Tropical Pacific Based on Sea Surface Chlorophyll Concentrations, Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers, 32, 707–721, https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(85)90074-3, 1985.
- 640 Dandonneau, Y. and Gohin, F.: Meridional and Seasonal Variations of the Sea Surface Chlorophyll Concentration in the Southwestern Tropical Pacific (14 to 32°S, 160 to 175°E), Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers, 31, 1377–1393, https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(84)90078-5, 1984.
 - De Falco, C., Desbiolles, F., Bracco, A., and Pasquero, C.: Island Mass Effect: A Review of Oceanic Physical Processes, Frontiers in Marine Science, 9, 894 860, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.894860, 2022.
- 645 De Verneil, A., Rousselet, L., Doglioli, A. M., Petrenko, A. A., and Moutin, T.: The Fate of a Southwest Pacific Bloom: Gauging the Impact of Submesoscale vs. Mesoscale Circulation on Biological Gradients in the Subtropics, Biogeosciences, 14, 3471–3486, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-3471-2017, 2017.
 - Dong, C., McWilliams, J. C., and Shchepetkin, A. F.: Island Wakes in Deep Water, Journal of Physical Oceanography, 37, 962–981, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO3047.1, 2007.
- 650 Doty, M. S. and Oguri, M.: The Island Mass Effect, ICES Journal of Marine Science, 22, 33–37, https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/22.1.33, 1956.
 - Dupouy, C., Neveux, J., Subramaniam, A., Mulholland, M. R., Montoya, J. P., Campbell, L., Carpenter, E. J., and Capone, D. G.: Satellite Captures Trichodesmium Blooms in the Southwestern Tropical Pacific, Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union, 81, 13–16, https://doi.org/10.1029/00EO00008, 2000.
- 655 Eden, C. and Timmermann, A.: The Influence of the Galápagos Islands on Tropical Temperatures, Currents and the Generation of Tropical Instability Waves, Geophysical Research Letters, 31, L15 308, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020060, 2004.

Fennel, K. and Boss, E.: Subsurface Maxima of Phytoplankton and Chlorophyll: Steady-state Solutions from a Simple Model, Limnology and Oceanography, 48, 1521–1534, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2003.48.4.1521, 2003.

Freedman, D. and Diaconis, P.: On the Histogram as a Density Estimator:L 2 Theory, Zeitschrift fur Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete, 57, 453–476, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01025868, 1981.

Furuya, K.: Subsurface Chlorophyll Maximum in the Tropical and Subtropical Western Pacific Ocean: Vertical Profiles of Phytoplankton Biomass and Its Relationship with Chlorophylla and Particulate Organic Carbon, Marine Biology, 107, 529–539, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01313438, 1990.



665

670



- Gardner, W., Mishonov, A., and Richardson, M.: Global POC Concentrations from In-Situ and Satellite Data, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 53, 718–740, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.01.029, 2006.
- Garnesson, P., Mangin, A., Fanton d'Andon, O., Demaria, J., and Bretagnon, M.: The CMEMS GlobColour Chlorophyll a; Product Based on Satellite Observation: Multi-Sensor Merging and Flagging Strategies, Ocean Science, 15, 819–830, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-15-819-2019, 2019.
 - Geider, R. J., MacIntyre, H. L., and Kana, T. M.: A Dynamic Regulatory Model of Phytoplanktonic Acclimation to Light, Nutrients, and Temperature, Limnology and Oceanography, 43, 679–694, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.4.0679, 1998.
- Gilerson, A., Herrera-Estrella, E., Foster, R., Agagliate, J., Hu, C., Ibrahim, A., and Franz, B.: Determining the Primary Sources of Uncertainty in Retrieval of Marine Remote Sensing Reflectance From Satellite Ocean Color Sensors, Frontiers in Remote Sensing, 3, 857 530, https://doi.org/10.3389/frsen.2022.857530, 2022.
- Gorsky, G., Bourdin, G., Lombard, F., Pedrotti, M. L., Audrain, S., Bin, N., Boss, E., Bowler, C., Cassar, N., Caudan, L., Chabot, G., Cohen,
 N. R., Cron, D., De Vargas, C., Dolan, J. R., Douville, E., Elineau, A., Flores, J. M., Ghiglione, J. F., Haëntjens, N., Hertau, M., John, S. G.,
 Kelly, R. L., Koren, I., Lin, Y., Marie, D., Moulin, C., Moucherie, Y., Pesant, S., Picheral, M., Poulain, J., Pujo-Pay, M., Reverdin, G.,
 Romac, S., Sullivan, M. B., Trainic, M., Tressol, M., Troublé, R., Vardi, A., Voolstra, C. R., Wincker, P., Agostini, S., Banaigs, B., Boissin,
 E., Forcioli, D., Furla, P., Galand, P. E., Gilson, E., Reynaud, S., Sunagawa, S., Thomas, O. P., Thurber, R. L. V., Zoccola, D., Planes,
 S., Allemand, D., and Karsenti, E.: Expanding Tara Oceans Protocols for Underway, Ecosystemic Sampling of the Ocean-Atmosphere
- 680 Interface During Tara Pacific Expedition (2016–2018), Frontiers in Marine Science, 6, 750, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00750, 2019.
 - Gove, J. M., Williams, G. J., McManus, M. A., Heron, S. F., Sandin, S. A., Vetter, O. J., and Foley, D. G.: Quantifying Climatological Ranges and Anomalies for Pacific Coral Reef Ecosystems, PLoS ONE, 8, e61 974, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061974, 2013.

Gove, J. M., McManus, M. A., Neuheimer, A. B., Polovina, J. J., Drazen, J. C., Smith, C. R., Merrifield, M. A., Friedlander, A. M., Ehses, J. S. Young, C. W. Dillon, A. K. and Williams, G. L. Nagr Johnst Johnston Design Participation and Communications.

- J. S., Young, C. W., Dillon, A. K., and Williams, G. J.: Near-Island Biological Hotspots in Barren Ocean Basins, Nature Communications, 7, 10581, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10581, 2016.
 - Guieu, C., Bonnet, S., Petrenko, A., Menkes, C., Chavagnac, V., Desboeufs, K., Maes, C., and Moutin, T.: Iron from a Submarine Source Impacts the Productive Layer of the Western Tropical South Pacific (WTSP), Scientific Reports, 8, 9075, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27407-z, 2018.
- 690 Hasegawa, D., Yamazaki, H., Ishimaru, T., Nagashima, H., and Koike, Y.: Apparent Phytoplankton Bloom Due to Island Mass Effect, Journal of Marine Systems, 69, 238–246, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.04.019, 2008.
 - Hasegawa, D., Lewis, M. R., and Gangopadhyay, A.: How Islands Cause Phytoplankton to Bloom in Their Wakes, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, L20 605, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL039743, 2009.

Hu, C., Feng, L., Lee, Z., Franz, B. A., Bailey, S. W., Werdell, P. J., and Proctor, C. W.: Improving Satellite Global Chlorophyll

- 695 *a* Data Products Through Algorithm Refinement and Data Recovery, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 124, 1524–1543, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC014941, 2019.
 - Kitchen, J. C. and Zaneveld, J. R. V.: On the Noncorrelation of the Vertical Structure of Light Scattering and Chlorophyll α in Case I Waters, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 95, 20 237–20 246, https://doi.org/10.1029/JC095iC11p20237, 1990.
 - Lee, K. A., Roughan, M., Malcolm, H. A., and Otway, N. M.: Assessing the Use of Area- and Time-Averaging Based on Known
- 700 De-correlation Scales to Provide Satellite Derived Sea Surface Temperatures in Coastal Areas, Frontiers in Marine Science, 5, 261, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00261, 2018.





- Lehahn, Y., Koren, I., Sharoni, S., d'Ovidio, F., Vardi, A., and Boss, E.: Dispersion/Dilution Enhances Phytoplankton Blooms in Low-Nutrient Waters, Nature Communications, 8, 14 868, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14868, 2017.
- Lombard, F., Bourdin, G., Pesant, S., Agostini, S., Baudena, A., Boissin, E., Cassar, N., Clampitt, M., Conan, P., Da Silva, O., Dimier, C.,
 Douville, E., Elineau, A., Fin, J., Flores, J. M., Ghiglione, J.-F., Hume, B. C. C., Jalabert, L., John, S. G., Kelly, R. L., Koren, I., Lin,
 Y., Marie, D., McMinds, R., Mériguet, Z., Metzl, N., Paz-García, D. A., Pedrotti, M. L., Poulain, J., Pujo-Pay, M., Ras, J., Reverdin, G.,
- Romac, S., Rouan, A., Röttinger, E., Vardi, A., Voolstra, C. R., Moulin, C., Iwankow, G., Banaigs, B., Bowler, C., De Vargas, C., Forcioli, D., Furla, P., Galand, P. E., Gilson, E., Reynaud, S., Sunagawa, S., Sullivan, M. B., Thomas, O. P., Troublé, R., Thurber, R. V., Wincker, P., Zoccola, D., Allemand, D., Planes, S., Boss, E., and Gorsky, G.: Open Science Resources from the Tara Pacific Expedition across Coral
- Reef and Surface Ocean Ecosystems, Scientific Data, 10, 324, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01757-w, 2023.
 Longhurst, A.: Ecological Geography of the Sea, Elsevier, second edition edn., https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-455521-1.X5000-1, 2007.
 - Lory, C., Van Wambeke, F., Fourquez, M., Barani, A., Guieu, C., Tilliette, C., Marie, D., Nunige, S., Berman-Frank, I., and Bonnet, S.: Assessing the Contribution of Diazotrophs to Microbial Fe Uptake Using a Group Specific Approach in the Western Tropical South Pacific Ocean, ISME Communications, 2, 41, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-022-00122-7, 2022.
- 715 Messié, M., Petrenko, A., Doglioli, A. M., Aldebert, C., Martinez, E., Koenig, G., Bonnet, S., and Moutin, T.: The Delayed Island Mass Effect: How Islands Can Remotely Trigger Blooms in the Oligotrophic Ocean, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085282, 2020.
 - Messié, M., Petrenko, A., Doglioli, A. M., Martinez, E., and Alvain, S.: Basin-Scale Biogeochemical and Ecological Impacts of Islands in the Tropical Pacific Ocean, Nature Geoscience, 15, 469–474, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-022-00957-8, 2022.
- 720 O'Reilly, J. E. and Werdell, P. J.: Chlorophyll Algorithms for Ocean Color Sensors OC4, OC5 & OC6, Remote Sensing of Environment, 229, 32–47, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.04.021, 2019.
 - Palacios, D. M.: Factors Influencing the Island-mass Effect of the Galápagos Archipelago, Geophysical Research Letters, 29, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016232, 2002.
 - Raimbault, P., Garcia, N., and Cerutti, F.: Distribution of Inorganic and Organic Nutrients in the South Pacific Ocean Evidence for Long-
- Term Accumulation of Organic Matter in Nitrogen-Depleted Waters, Biogeosciences, 5, 281–298, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-281-2008, 2008.
 - Rubin, M., Berman-Frank, I., and Shaked, Y.: Dust- and Mineral-Iron Utilization by the Marine Dinitrogen-Fixer Trichodesmium, Nature Geoscience, 4, 529–534, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1181, 2011.
- Sathyendranath, S., Brewin, R., Brockmann, C., Brotas, V., Calton, B., Chuprin, A., Cipollini, P., Couto, A., Dingle, J., Doerffer, R., Donlon,
 C., Dowell, M., Farman, A., Grant, M., Groom, S., Horseman, A., Jackson, T., Krasemann, H., Lavender, S., Martinez-Vicente, V.,
 Mazeran, C., Mélin, F., Moore, T., Müller, D., Regner, P., Roy, S., Steele, C., Steinmetz, F., Swinton, J., Taberner, M., Thompson, A.,
 Valente, A., Zühlke, M., Brando, V., Feng, H., Feldman, G., Franz, B., Frouin, R., Gould, R., Hooker, S., Kahru, M., Kratzer, S., Mitchell,
 B., Muller-Karger, F., Sosik, H., Voss, K., Werdell, J., and Platt, T.: An Ocean-Colour Time Series for Use in Climate Studies: The Experience of the Ocean-Colour Climate Change Initiative (OC-CCI), Sensors, 19, 4285, https://doi.org/10.3390/s19194285, 2019.
- 735 Sayre, R., Noble, S., Hamann, S., Smith, R., Wright, D., Breyer, S., Butler, K., Van Graafeiland, K., Frye, C., Karagulle, D., Hopkins, D., Stephens, D., Kelly, K., Basher, Z., Burton, D., Cress, J., Atkins, K., Van Sistine, D. P., Friesen, B., Allee, R., Allen, T., Aniello, P., Asaad, I., Costello, M. J., Goodin, K., Harris, P., Kavanaugh, M., Lillis, H., Manca, E., Muller-Karger, F., Nyberg, B., Parsons, R., Saarinen, J., Steiner, J., and Reed, A.: A New 30 Meter Resolution Global Shoreline Vector and Associated Global Is-



740

745

750



lands Database for the Development of Standardized Ecological Coastal Units, Journal of Operational Oceanography, 12, S47–S56, https://doi.org/10.1080/1755876X.2018.1529714, 2019.

Sayre, R., Martin, M. T., Cress, J. J., Holmes, N., McDermott-Long, O., Weatherdon, L., Spatz, D., VanGraafeiland, K., and Will, D.: The Geography of Islands, in: GIS for Science: Applying Mapping and Spatial Analysis, Volume 2, edited by Esri Press, vol. 2, pp. 4–21, Esri Press, 2020.

Shiozaki, T., Kodama, T., and Furuya, K.: Large-scale Impact of the Island Mass Effect through Nitrogen Fixation in the Western South Pacific Ocean, Geophysical Research Letters, 41, 2907–2913, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059835, 2014.

Signorini, S. R., McClain, C. R., and Dandonneau, Y.: Mixing and Phytoplankton Bloom in the Wake of the Marquesas Islands, Geophysical Research Letters, 26, 3121–3124, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL010470, 1999.

Slade, W. H., Boss, E., Dall'Olmo, G., Langner, M. R., Loftin, J., Behrenfeld, M. J., Roesler, C., and Westberry, T. K.: Underway and Moored Methods for Improving Accuracy in Measurement of Spectral Particulate Absorption and Attenuation, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 27, 1733–1746, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JTECH0755.1, 2010.

Steinmetz, F., Deschamps, P.-Y., and Ramon, D.: Atmospheric Correction in Presence of Sun Glint: Application to MERIS, Optics Express, 19, 9783, https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.19.009783, 2011.

Tara Pacific Consortium: Tara Pacific Expedition Participants, https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.3777760, 2020.

Vesanto, J. and Alhoniemi, E.: Clustering of the Self-Organizing Map, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 11, 586–600,
 https://doi.org/10.1109/72.846731, 2000.

38