



# **Urban Area Observing System (UAOS) Simulation Experiment Using DQ-1 Total Column Concentration Observations**

4 Jinchun YI<sup>1</sup>, Yiyang Huang<sup>1</sup>, Zhipeng Pei<sup>2</sup>, Ge Han<sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Hubei Key Laboratory of Quantitative Remote Sensing of Land and Atmosphere, School of Remote
- Sensing and Information Engineering, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China
- <sup>2</sup> State Key Laboratory of Information Engineering in Surveying, Mapping and Remote Sensing, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China
- *Correspondence to*: Ge Han (udhan@whu.edu.cn)

 **Abstract.** Satellite observations of the total column dry-air CO2 (XCO2) have been proven to support the monitoring and constraining of fossil fuel CO2 (ffCO2) emissions at the urban scale. We utilized the XCO2 retrieval data from China's first laser carbon satellite dedicated to comprehensive atmospheric environmental monitoring, DQ-1, in conjunction with a high-resolution transport model and a Bayesian inversion system, to establish a system for quantifying and detecting CO2 emissions in urban areas. Additionally, we quantified the impact of uncertainties from satellite measurements, transport models, and biospheric fluxes on emission inversions. To address uncertainties from the transport model, we introduced random wind direction and speed errors to the ffCO2 plumes and conducted  $10<sup>4</sup>$  simulations to obtain the error distribution. In our pseudo-data experiments, ODIAC overestimated fossil fuel emissions for Beijing and Riyadh, while underestimating emissions for Cairo. Specifically, we simulated Beijing and leveraged DQ-1's active remote sensing capabilities, utilizing its rapid day-night revisit ability. We assessed the impact of daily biospheric fluxes on ffXCO2 enhancements and further analyzed the diurnal variations of biospheric flux impacts on local XCO2 enhancements using three-hourly average NEE data. The results indicate that a significant proportion of local XCO2 enhancements are notably influenced by biospheric CO2 variations, potentially leading to substantial biases in ffCO2 emission estimates. Moreover, considering biospheric flux variations separately under day and night conditions can improve simulation accuracy by 20-70%. With appropriate representations of uncertainty components and a sufficient number of satellite tracks, our constructed system can be used to quantify and constrain urban ffCO2 emissions effectively.

## **1 Introduction**

 More than 170 countries have signed the Paris Agreement, vowing to keep the global average temperature increase within 2 degrees Celsius in this century. Accurate carbon accounting is the basis for any

- 
- mitigation measures. Over 70% of the anthropogenic CO2 emissions are from urban areas (Birol, 2010).
- It is thus critical to develop effective means to estimate urban CO2 emissions accurately. "bottom-up"
- (inventory) approaches have shown good performances in developed countries such as U.S.A and E.U













emissions has been witnessed (Hakkarainen, Ialongo, & Tamminen, 2016), it is by no means a forgone











 plume forms due to these conditions (Ye et al., 2020). Building on these simulations, we conduct OSSEs to assess the potential of using XCO2 data from multiple DQ-1 orbits to track urban emissions regularly. Leveraging DQ-1's unique day-night revisit capability, we also evaluate uncertainties arising from local biosphere flux variations in Beijing. Unlike previous inversion studies using OCO-2/3, which primarily sample during daytime, DQ-1's day-night orbit allows for more evenly distributed temporal sampling. Furthermore, combining DQ-1's day-night revisit capability, we introduce for the first time an analysis of how biosphere flux variations between day and night affect emission estimates using forward simulations and Bayesian inversion. Lastly, we summarize the significance of future satellite observations in monitoring urban emissions.

#### **2 Data and method**

#### **2.1 ACDL XCO2 products**

 In order to design a device similar to the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) onboard the CALIPSO satellite, the design of DQ-1 was initially proposed in 2012. It was officially approved in 2017. Distinct from other environmental monitoring satellites, a notable and innovative highlight of DQ-1 is the integration of a lidar payload for space-based top-down CO2 detection, known as ACDL. In subsequent developments, ACDL underwent a series of laboratory prototype developments (Zhu et al., 2019) and airborne prototype testing missions (Q. Wang et al., 2021; Xiang et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2020). Finally, ACDL was launched into a near-Earth sun-synchronous orbit at an altitude of approximately 705 kilometers on April 18, 2022. ACDL began data collection in late May 2022 and officially commenced operations. This study primarily utilizes data from June 2022 to April 2023 for further research.

 ACDL employs standard IPDA lidar technology, using differential absorption methods to acquire column concentrations of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2). A detailed description of the XCO2 detection algorithms and products is in preparation. In this paper, we briefly introduce its detection principles. ACDL emits a pair of nearly simultaneous observation signals, one with a wavelength located at the strong absorption position of the R16 line in the CO2 spectrum (on-line wavelength) and the other at a weak absorption position of the same line (off-line wavelength). The on-line and off-line wavelengths





- are stabilized at 6361.225 cm-1 and 6360.981 cm-1, corresponding to 1572.024 nm and 1572.085 nm,
- respectively. This slight wavelength difference enables ACDL to counteract interference from aerosols
- and other molecules, excluding water vapor, through the differential process of the reflected signals. The
- detection of XCO2 by ACDL is calculated based on specific algorithms (see Section 2.4.1).



#### **Figure 1**:**the schematic diagram for DQ-1's detection principle**

 Figure 1 illustrates the detection principle of DQ-1. The XCO2 products generated by ACDL are similar to those of GOSAT, adopting a point sampling mode. The lidar operates in nadir observation mode, with approximately one 70-meter footprint observed every 350 meters along the track. According to Equation 1, we calculate XCO2 by directly using the normalized weighting function (IWF). Significant differences in XCO2 measurements can be observed between ACDL and OCO-2/3. Currently, passive remote sensing satellites like OCO-2/3 and GOSAT estimate XCO2 by measuring the solar spectrum and using a priori information guided by optimal estimation theory to derive xco2(p), ultimately obtaining XCO2 (J. B. Miller, P. P. Tans, & M. J. N. G. Gloor, 2014). In contrast to these traditional passive optical remote sensing satellites, ACDL does not 'estimate' xco2(p) but directly 'calculates' the weighted average column concentration (Zhang et al., 2024). During the integration phase of ACDL's development, we evaluated the WF shapes of various on-line wavelengths and selected one that responds strongly near the surface and weakly at higher altitudes (Han et al., 2017b). This design allows changes in surface CO2 concentration, driven by surface CO2 fluxes, to be more prominently reflected in the





- column concentration. Therefore, this WF enhances the ability to identify surface CO2 variations and
- provides more information for subsequent CO2 flux inversion.

# **2.2 Study Area**

 Considering the available orbital tracks for DQ-1 inversion, vegetation coverage, and the complexity of meteorological conditions, this paper selects three cities and regions to highlight the different sources of uncertainty in emission inversion and the inversion capability of DQ-1. The selected cities share the following characteristics: 1) high fossil fuel emissions; 2) typical "plume cities," characterized by ffXCO2 enhancements distributed in plume forms (Deng et al., 2017). Riyadh, with a population of 8 million, and Cairo, with a population of 20 million, have significantly weaker biosphere contributions compared to Beijing. In subsequent research, it is considered that the spatial gradient of biosphere CO2 flux can be ignored compared to local fossil fuel emissions. To assess the impact of biosphere flux uncertainty on the inversion process and separately evaluate the impact of daytime and nighttime biosphere flux on the simulated local XCO2 enhancement, we selected Beijing, the capital city of China, with a population of approximately 21.5 million. Beijing is not only

 the political center of China but also one of the most populous cities. Compared to its surrounding areas, Beijing has relatively less vegetation. Surrounding cities might have better-preserved natural ecological environments and more abundant vegetation cover due to less industrialization and urbanization. For instance, the mountainous and suburban areas around Beijing may have more forests, grasslands, and farmlands, whereas green spaces within Beijing are often limited to parks, green belts, and a few nature reserves. As a city with high fossil fuel emissions and active biosphere exchange, Beijing is well-suited 188 for studying the impact of biosphere flux uncertainty on emission estimates.

#### **2.3 Atmosphere Mode Setting**

# **2.3.1 WRF-STILT**

 The spatial heterogeneity of emissions and dense point sources (such as power plants) lead to a complex spatial structure of urban emissions, resulting in intricate ffCO2 plumes combined with local atmospheric dynamics. To explore fine-scale urban emission patterns, this study employs the WRF-STILT model (WRF: Weather Research and Forecasting, STILT: Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport). The





- STILT Lagrangian model driven by WRF meteorological fields is characterized by a realistic treatment of convective fluxes and mass conservation properties, which are crucial for accurate top-down estimates of CO2 emissions. In this study's application of STILT, hourly outputs from version 4.0 of WRF are used to provide high- resolution meteorological fields, with the model grid configured to 51 vertical (eta) layers. The 6-hourly NCEP FNL (Final) global operational analysis data with a resolution of 1° are used as initial and boundary conditions for meteorological and land surface fields to provide the initial and boundary conditions for WRF runs. The simulations run for 30 hours, but only the 7th to 30th hours of each simulation are used to avoid spin-up effects in the first 6 hours. Each city uses the same one-way WRF nesting at 27 km, 9 km, and 3 km resolutions, with Riyadh ( 23.7625 N,45.7625 E - 25.4375 N,27.4375 E), Cairo (29.1625 N,30.4125 E - 30.8375 N,32.0875 E), and Beijing ( 39.4° N,115.5° E - 41.075° N,117.175° E) having their innermost regions used to filter DQ-1's orbital data. The study area for STILT is set to be smaller than the innermost WRF region to eliminate the marginal effects of WRF. Footprints quantitatively describe the contribution of surface fluxes from upwind areas to the total mixing ratio at specific measurement locations, with units of mixing ratio per unit flux. The footprint used in lidar satellite inversions is different from that used in general optical satellites, as detailed in Section 2.4.1. STILT is configured to release 500 particles per receptor each time, with forward dispersion over 24 hours. The particle release heights for STILT are set within the range of 50-1000 m, with releases every 50 m, and 1000-2000 m, with releases every 100 m. Generally, as MAXAGL increases from 1 km to 2 km, the urban enhancement increases and then stabilizes(Wu et al., 2021).
- 

# **2.3.2 Inventory of Fossil Fuel Emissions**

 This article uses The Open-source Data Inventory for Anthropogenic CO2 (ODIAC) which is a global high-resolution fossil fuel carbon dioxide emissions (ffco2) data product (Tomohiro Oda, 2015). The 2023 version of ODIAC (ODIAC2023, 2000-2022) is based on the Appalachian State University's Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (CDIAC) team's (Gilfillan & Marland, 2021; Hefner, Marland, Oda, & Change, 2024) most recent national ffco2 estimates (2000-2020). The ODIAC 222 emissions inventory provides  $k/m \times k/m$  global monthly average ffCO2. The spatial decomposition of





 emissions is accomplished using a variety of spatial proxy data, such as the geographic location of point sources, satellite observations of night lights, and airplane and ship tracks. Seasonality of emissions was 225 obtained from the CDIAC monthly gridded data product (Andres et al., 2011)and supplemented using the Carbon Monitor product (2020-2022, https://carbonmonitor.org/). In this paper, monthly data from ODIAC are time-allocated, and neither the subsequent modeling nor the pseudo-data take into account the daily and weekly time-variation of the ODIAC product.

#### **2.3.3 Background XCO2**

 To extract the XCO2 enhancement for DQ-1 inversion, we define XCO2 enhancement as entirely driven by fossil fuel emissions. A classic method for extracting orbital background concentrations involves selecting another "clean" orbit (minimally influenced by fossil fuel emissions) that is spatially and temporally close, and using averaging or linear regression to approximate a background concentration for the orbit under study. In this study, due to the fine-scale urban area emissions inversion, the study area is small, making it challenging to find another clean orbit for calculating the background concentration.

 Previous studies have used inversion methods to derive background concentrations for orbits (Pei et al., 2022), but these typically yield a background concentration for a region. These methods usually produce a value unaffected by geographic location within a small area. However, for each orbit we study, a single, constant background concentration is clearly unreasonable. Therefore, based on previous research, we designed a simple and quick method to extract background concentrations, generating a background line for each orbit of interest.

First, we perform a wavelet transform on DQ-1's XCO2 data:  $XCO2^{Lidar}$  =  $DWT(XCO2^{Lidar})$ . Here,  $DWT$  represents the discrete wavelet transform. The discrete wavelet transform can compress the DQ-1 data, retaining the larger XCO2 enhancements caused by fossil fuel emissions while attenuating enhancements due to other factors. After the discrete wavelet transform, we assume that data exceeding a certain threshold mean(XCO2<sup>11da</sup>)+0.5 $\sigma(XCO2_{\text{DWT}}^{10\text{ day}})$  is due to fossil fuel emissions and do not include these in the background line calculation. We then perform a linear regression on the remaining data to extract the background line.





# **2.3.4 Biological Flux**

- We specifically considered the influence of biogenic flux on the emission constraints in urban areas for
- DQ-1. Two open-source NEE datasets were utilized in our study. The first dataset is derived from the
- Carnegie-Ames-Stanford Approach-Global Fire Emissions Database Version 3 (CASA-GFED3) model
- (Van der Werf et al., 2010), which provides 3-hourly average net ecosystem exchange (NEE) of carbon.
- This dataset incorporates biogenic fluxes as well as fluxes associated with biomass burning emissions,
- offering a global coverage of 3-hourly average NEE.
- Additionally, we considered the ODIAC dataset, which provides advanced data-driven products on
- global primary production, net ecosystem exchange, and ecosystem respiration (Jiye, 2020). The ODIAC
- dataset offers 10-day average global NEE data and utilizes extensive ecosystem indices from MODIS
- and ERA5 to deliver more precise data.
- According to the study by (Ye et al., 2020), to better describe the diurnal variations and spatial distribution
- of biogenic fluxes, the MODIS green vegetation fraction (GVF) was used to downscale the 3-hourly NEE
- 263 from the original grid resolutions  $(0.5^\circ \times 0.625^\circ$  and  $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ)$  to the WRF domain resolutions (27, 9,
- and 3 km). This method assumes a linear relationship between carbon uptake and release and the vegetation canopy coverage.
- Our application of these datasets and downscaling methods enables a more accurate representation of biogenic flux contributions to urban carbon emissions. By integrating high-resolution biogenic flux data, we can improve the precision of emission inventories and enhance our understanding of urban carbon dynamics. This approach allows us to better inform urban planning and policy-making aimed at reducing carbon footprints and mitigating climate change impacts.

#### **2.4 Emission Optimization Method**

### **2.4.1 Lidar Measurements as a Function of Flux**:**XSTILT-Lidar**

 Unlike the XCO2 products from passive satellites such as OCO-2/3, the XCO2 product from DQ-1 (hereafter referred to as  $XCO2^{Lidar}$  to distinguish it from passive satellite XCO2 products) is derived using the differential between on-wavelength (strong CO2 absorption) and off-wavelength (weak CO2 absorption) measurements. In this context, *XCO*<sup>Lidar</sup> is obtained through the differential of the lidar 





- 277 signals and integration weighting functions described in Section 2.1. Here,  $WF(p)$  represents the lidar
- 278 signal and *p* represents the pressure:

*p toa*

$$
279 \quad XCO2^{Lidar} = \frac{2 \cdot \ln(\frac{V_{off} \cdot V_{on-0}}{V_{on} \cdot V_{off-0}})}{\int_{p\_surface}^{p\_toa} WF(p) dp}
$$

280 Here,  $V_{\text{on}}$  and  $V_{\text{off}}$  represent the reflected signal energies at the on-wavelength and off-wavelength, 281 respectively, while  $V_{\text{on}-0}$  and  $V_{\text{off}-0}$  denote the transmitted signal energies. *p\_surface* indicates the 282 atmospheric pressure at the laser ground point, and  $p_{top}$  represents the pressure at the top of the 283 atmosphere. The denominator of Equation 1 represents the integration weighting function, as detailed in 284 the study by (Refaat et al., 2016):

$$
285 \tWF(p) = \Delta \sigma_{wf} (\lambda_{on}, \lambda_{off}, p) \cdot N_{dry}(p)
$$

Here,  $\Delta \sigma_{\rm wf}(\lambda_{\rm om}, \lambda_{\rm off}, \mathbf{p})$  denote the CO2 differential absorption cross-sections at the on-wavelength and 286 287 off-wavelength, respectively.  $N_{dy}$  represents the number of dry air molecules per unit area in the pressure layer. This formula allows for the construction of the relationship between *XCO2<sup>Lidar</sup>* and the CO2 profile 288 289  $CO2(p)$ :

290 
$$
XCO2^{Lidar} = \frac{\int_{p\_surface}^{p\_load} XCO2(p)WF(p)}{\int_{p\_surface}^{p\_load} WF(p)dp} = \frac{WF(p_1)}{IWF} \cdot CO2(p_1) + \frac{WF(p_2)}{IWF} \cdot CO2(p_2) + \cdots
$$
3

291 Thus, the simulated enhancement in CO2 emissions due to fossil fuels, 292  $\Delta CO2_{\text{fCO2}}(p) \approx \text{ffCO2}, \text{foot(h)}$ , can be interpolated from the modeling results of CO2 fluxes and

293 tracer-tagged footprints. Therefore, a relationship between CO2 fluxes and 
$$
XCO2^{Lidar}
$$
 is established:  
\n294  $XCO2^{Lidar} - XCO2^{Lidar}$   
\n
$$
= \frac{WF(p_1)}{IWF} \cdot \langle ffCO2, foot(h_1) \rangle + \frac{WF(p_2)}{IWF} \cdot \langle ffCO2, foot(h_2) \rangle + \cdots
$$

Here,  $XCO2^{Lidor}$  =  $XCO2^{Lidor}$  -  $XCO2^{Lidor}$  represents the XCO2 enhancement extracted from DQ-1 295 296 observational data, and  $XCO2^{Lidar}_{background}$  represents the background concentration selected from the DQ-1 297 orbit (detailed in Section 2.3.3). The symbol <, > denotes the inner product operator, *ffCO*2 is the prior emission flux, and  $\text{foot}(h_n)$  represents the simulated footprints at different altitude layers. This formula 298 299 establishes the mathematical foundation for inversion.

300 By integrating footprints from different release heights (Section 2.3.1 explains the selection of STILT





- 301 release heights), we further simplify the above equation. Here, we define  $XCO2_{\text{ff}^{star}}^{24\text{star}}$  as the XCO2
- 302 enhancement simulated by the atmospheric transport model.

$$
303 \quad XCO2^{Lidar}_{\text{fCO2,a}} \approx XSTILT^{Liad} \cdot f\text{f}CO2 > 5
$$

$$
304 \quad \quad \text{XSTILT}^{\text{Lidar}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{WF(p_i)}{IWF} \cdot foot(h_i) \tag{6}
$$

Here, we define *XSTILT*<sup>Lidar</sup> as the column-averaged footprint, corresponding to the column-averaged 305 CO2 concentration. The inner product of the column-averaged footprint and the prior emission flux yields the simulated XCO2 enhancement. Thus, we can optimize the fossil fuel CO2 (ffCO2) emission parameters using the simulated and observed XCO2 enhancements to achieve the best consistency between the model and observed increments. By achieving this optimization, we ensure that the model accurately reflects the observed data, providing a reliable basis for further studies and policy-making.



311

312 **Figure 2: Schematic diagram of XSTILT, Fig. (a) represents the simulated footprints at each horizontal**  313 **altitude level we set (one footprint per 50m below 1000m, one footprint per 100m from 1000m-2000m, where**  314 **MAXAGL represents the highest atmospheric altitude we simulate, which is 2000m) and the column average** 

315 **footprints obtained by integrating using the normalized integration function in Fig. (b). Figure (c).**

# 316 **2.4.2 Optimization of Emission Constraint Factors**

- 317 We adopted a Bayesian inversion method similar to that used by (Ye et al., 2020), which utilizes OCO-2
- 318 observational data to constrain ffXCO2, aiming to achieve correlation between the model and observed
- 319 ffXCO2 increments. Unlike the inversion of individual emission grids, we optimize emissions by





13 321 individually. The observational data along the DQ-1 orbit across all regions of interest serve as constraints 322 for the inversion, which can be expressed as:  $y_p = y_a \cdot \lambda + \varepsilon_p$  7 323 Here,  $y_p$  and  $y_a$  represent the observed and simulated ffXCO2 enhancements, respectively. The term  $\varepsilon_p$ 324 325 denotes the observational error, which consists of DQ-1 measurement error, model error, and model 326 parameter error, defined as follows: 2 **c** time 2  $\left(\int_{\text{time}^2}^{\text{time}^2} f f X C O 2_p dt\right), \qquad y_a = \text{mean}(\int_{\text{time}^1}^{\text{time}^2} f f X C O 2_a dt\right)$ 327  $y_p = mean(\int_{time}^{time^2} f f X CO2_p dt),$   $y_a = mean(\int_{time^2}^{time^2} f f X CO2_a dt)$  8 Here,  $\frac{f}{KCO2_p}$  represents the DQ-1 XCO2 enhancement after removing the background concentration. 328 *ffXCO*<sub>2</sub> represents the simulated XCO<sub>2</sub> enhancement, obtained from the convolution of the fossil fuel 329 330 emission inventory and the footprint. We averaged the DQ-1 data over one-second intervals (3.35 km) along the orbit to obtain  $\iint XCO2_p$  and corresponding simulated data  $\iint XCO2_a$ . 331 332 According to the Bayesian inversion method, we transform the state vector into a scaling factor  $(\lambda)$ , which 333 represents the constraint ability of pseudo-observations on regional emissions. The Jacobian matrix is 334 given by the simulated XCO2 enhancement  $y_a$ . The observation error variance  $\sigma_{\text{measurement}}^2$  and model 335 transport error variance  $\sigma_{\text{mod}}^2$  are considered. We assume that DQ-1 observations are unbiased with respect 336 to the true values. Random errors were added to the observations, following a Gaussian distribution with 337 a standard deviation of 0.5 ppm, representing the lower limit of observational errors. The transport model 338 error was obtained by perturbing wind speed and wind direction errors; more wind observations help 339 reduce atmospheric transport uncertainties. For example, data assimilation systems have proven useful 340 in reducing atmospheric transport errors in data-rich areas like Los Angeles (Lauvaux et al., 2016). 341 Besides systematic wind direction errors, some areas exhibit positive/negative wind direction biases (Ye 342 et al., 2020). The X-STILT model proposed by Wu et al(Wu et al., 2021). can correct wind biases by 343 rotating model trajectories. the transport model error propagates by transforming the model ffXCO2 344 plumes with added random wind speed and wind direction errors (by rotating ffXCO2 plumes). To 345 estimate transport model uncertainty in the model ffXCO2, we performed multiple  $(10<sup>4</sup>$  times) random 346 wind speed and direction perturbations on the model plume and extracted the uncertainty distribution of 347 ffXCO2 using the 25th and 75th percentiles. We establish the loss function  $J(x)$  to calculate the posterior

320 adjusting a scaling factor  $(\lambda)$  for the entire city's prior emissions without modifying each grid's flux

348 scaling factor:







349  $J(\lambda) = (y_p - y_a \lambda)^T S_p^{-1} (y_p - y_a \lambda) + (\lambda - \lambda_a)^2 \sigma_a^{-2}$ 

By minimizing the loss function, we obtain the posterior scaling factor  $\hat{\lambda}$  and posterior uncertainty  $\hat{\sigma}$ : 372

373 
$$
\hat{\lambda} = \lambda_a + \sigma_a^2 y_a^T (y_a S_p y_a^T + S_p)^{-1} (y_p - y_a \lambda_a)
$$

374 
$$
\hat{\sigma}^2 = (\mathbf{y}_a^T \mathbf{S}_p^{-1} \mathbf{y}_a + \sigma_a^{-2})^{-1}
$$





To evaluate the performance of the scaling factor, we define the mean kernel ( $AK = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}$ ): 

376 
$$
AK = (y_a^T S_p^{-1} y_a + \sigma_a^{-2})^{-1} (y_a^T S_p^{-1} y_a)
$$

The value of *AK* closer to 1 indicates a more accurate estimation of the scaling factor.

### **2.5 OSSEs: Optimization of Emissions using Different DQ-1 Tracks**

 Given the limited number of DQ-1 overpass tracks and the impact of atmospheric conditions during overpasses on emission optimization, we implemented Observing System Simulation Experiments (OSSEs). These experiments were conducted using multiple DQ-1 tracks to constrain urban fossil fuel emissions repeatedly and to statistically evaluate DQ-1's potential in constraining urban fossil fuel emissions. Specifically, we initially screened all DQ-1 overpass tracks, selecting those located downwind of major fossil fuel emission areas to better utilize DQ-1 data for constraining overall regional fossil fuel emissions. For each city's overpass track, we extracted pseudo-observation data and modeling data. DQ-1's advantage over other passive remote sensing satellites lies in its capability for nighttime observations, which are largely unaffected by clouds and aerosols. Therefore, we studied the relationship between daytime and nighttime observations and emission estimation uncertainties, as well as the impact of different tracks and the number of tracks on emission estimates. We used the ODIAC fossil fuel emission inventory as the prior emissions for the OSSEs, assuming that the prior emissions are the true emissions and that emissions remain stable over a short period.

 Pseudo-observation data and modeling data for each city were derived using the same method. Pseudo- observation data were obtained by averaging the 1-second detection range of the selected DQ-1 overpass tracks, with adjacent pseudo-observation data separated by 3.35 km (1 second). This method helps eliminate some of the background noise and wind speed impacts on emission optimization. We assumed that DQ-1 observations are unbiased with respect to the true values and added random errors to each DQ- 1 observation, with the error following a Gaussian distribution and a standard deviation of 0.5 ppm. Pseudo-observation data are also unbiased relative to the true values, with random errors accumulated

over time for each observation data:  $\sigma$ (Is) =  $\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i, p}^2}{n!}}$  $s) = \sqrt{\frac{h}{n} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{d}{dx}}$ σ  $\sigma(1s) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i, pQ-i}^2}{n^2}}$ 399 over time for each observation data:  $\sigma(\text{ls}) = \sqrt{\frac{\text{ls}}{\text{ls}}}}$  Here, *N* represents the random error of each pseudo-observation data. Modeling data were obtained by convolving the emission inventory of the area with the tracer contributions corresponding to the geographic locations.

*N*





- By using multiple DQ-1 overpass tracks to repeatedly constrain urban fossil fuel emissions and analyzing
- the results statistically, we assessed the potential of DQ-1 in constraining fossil fuel emissions in urban
- areas. This approach allowed us to examine the effectiveness of daytime and nighttime observations, the
- influence of different overpass tracks, and the impact of track quantity on emission estimates.

#### **3 Results**

# **3.1 Fossil Fuel Enhancement in Urban Areas**

 In this section, we summarize the prior ffXCO2 emissions for each study area. The total monthly emissions for Beijing, Riyadh, and Cairo during the selected months are approximately 2.4-3.5 Mt C/month, 2.3-3.3 Mt C/month, and 1.9-2.4 Mt C/month, respectively. We constrain emissions by comparing observed and simulated ffXCO2 enhancements. Here, ffXCO2 enhancement is defined as the increment in XCO2 concentration caused by local fossil fuel emissions relative to the background XCO2 level. The prior ffXCO2 enhancement is simulated using the ODIAC prior emission inventory and the STILT footprint convolution. The observed ffXCO2 enhancement from DQ-1 is obtained by subtracting the background concentration from the observational data (as detailed in Section 2.3.3 and shown in Figure 3). By comparing the prior ffXCO2 enhancement with the observed ffXCO2 enhancement, we evaluate the trends in ffXCO2 changes along the tracks and explore the sources and detection capabilities of the ffXCO2 signal.









 **Figure 3: Comparison of the simulated and observed ffXCO2 enhancements from DQ-1 data over Riyadh on March 02, 2023 and June 20, 2022 around 11:00 UTC. Figures (a) and (b) show the DQ-1 XCO2 (black dots and blue triangles) and the simulated XCO2 (red solid line, sum of simulated ffXCO2 and background concentrations) along the two orbits, averaged over 1 s. The black dots represent the background concentrations involved in deriving the background. The black dots represent the data involved in the derivation of the background concentration (black solid line), which are linearly regressed against latitude after a discrete wavelet transform. Figures (c) and (d) show the simulated ffXCO2 and the observed ffXCO2 obtained from the DQ-1 data. background XCO2 concentrations have been subtracted. Vectors represent 10 m wind speeds and reference vectors represent 10 m/s wind speeds.**

- Figure 3 presents the results of two DQ-1 overpasses over Riyadh on March 2, 2023, and June 20, 2022,
- at 11:00 AM. Figures 3a and 3b show the simulated and observed ffXCO2 enhancements as a function
- of latitude for these two overpasses. The maximum ffXCO2 enhancements observed along the two tracks
- were 8 ppm and 5 ppm, respectively.
- In the overpass on March 2, significant ffXCO2 enhancements were observed by DQ-1 between 24.8°N
- and 25.3°N, with the simulated ffXCO2 also responding to this enhancement. Although the peak
- observed values were narrower than the simulated values, both were of similar magnitudes, with only
- slight differences, and their trends were largely consistent. However, the simulated ffXCO2 did not
- respond to the observed enhancement in the 24.1°N to 24.3°N range, which may be due to the sensitivity
- of the STILT footprint to wind direction.
- In the overpass on June 20, the agreement between the simulated and observed values was better than in
- 440 the March 2 overpass. The observed peak and the simulated peak were both within the 23.8°N to 24.6°N
- range, with a difference of less than 1 ppm. The differences between the results of the two tracks may be





- because the March 2 track passed through the city's main emission area and intersected the simulated
- plume (Figure 3c). In this case, ffXCO2 fluctuations were minimal, with values remaining high relative
- to the background concentration, making it difficult to detect significant enhancements. In contrast, the
- June 20 track was downwind of the main emission area, making it more sensitive to the city's fossil fuel
- emissions and resulting in better agreement between the simulated and observed values.



# **Figure 4: Similar to Fig. 3, but for the trajectories of DQ-1 over Cairo on June 26 (a and d), August 02 (b and e), August 16 (c and f) at 11:00 UTC, November 08 (g and j), and November 15 (h and k) at about 23:00 UTC in 2022.**

- For Cairo, we examined ffXCO2 enhancements using six DQ-1 overpasses on July 26, August 2, August
- 16, November 8, November 15, and November 22, 2022 (Figure 4). Compared to Riyadh, the simulated
- ffXCO2 enhancements over Cairo were mostly below 2 ppm, indicating lower overall emissions in Cairo
- than in Riyadh. The simulated ffXCO2 enhancements over Cairo were more dispersed, showing a multi-
- point distribution rather than the concentrated enhancements observed over Riyadh.
- The observed ffXCO2 enhancements over Cairo were generally higher and narrower than the simulated
- ones, which were smoother. Despite these differences, the trends in ffXCO2 enhancements between the
- simulations and observations were similar and of the same magnitude, except for the July 26 simulation,
- which overlooked some observed enhancements between 30.2°N and 30.4°N, and the November 8
- overpass, where a spatial shift of approximately 0.2° was observed between the simulated and observed
- ffXCO2 enhancements.
- Overall, the comparison between DQ-1 observations and WRF-STILT-based simulations suggests that





- the DQ-1 satellite is well-suited for fine-scale urban emission optimization. This indicates that DQ-1 can
- effectively be used for detailed monitoring and analysis of urban emissions.



# **3.2 Comparison of DQ-1 and OCO-2 Restraint Capabilities**

 **Figure 5: (a) and (b) show the position and XCO2 data of two pairs of OCO-2 and DQ-1 orbits that we selected for transit to Beijing at 05:00 on December 01, 2022 and 05:00 on April 08, 2023, respectively**

 Considering previous studies that used OCO-2/3 and GOSAT for inversion (Patra et al., 2021; Roten et al., 2022; H. Wang et al., 2019), we selected one of these inversion methods (Ye et al., 2020) for comparison with DQ-1 inversions and validation using TCCON site data. The posterior scaling factor was applied to the ODIAC inventory flux to simulate XCO2 at TCCON site locations, and these simulations were compared with TCCON data, assumed to be the true XCO2 at those locations. The simulated XCO2 for TCCON was obtained using an integration method provided by TCCON, with 51 altitude levels corresponding to the input levels of our STILT model. The footprints from these 51 altitude levels were integrated using the integration operator integration\_operator\_x2019 and the averaging kernel ak\_xco2 to obtain the simulated XCO2.

 To better compare the inversion results from OCO-2 and DQ-1, we selected tracks that were spatially and temporally close and located downwind of major urban emission areas. Figure 5 shows two pairs of OCO-2 and DQ-1 tracks over Beijing on December 1, 2022, and April 8, 2023, both at 05:00, passing through the major emission downwind area of the city. The figure shows ffXCO2 enhancements and wind fields at the time of the satellite overpasses. The results clearly indicate significant ffXCO2 enhancements, exceeding 2 ppm in April, demonstrating that DQ-1 can observe notable ffXCO2 enhancements from space.





- Figures 5e-h show that the ffXCO2 enhancements simulated from DQ-1 and OCO-2 overpasses are of similar magnitude and spatial distribution, with strong spatial consistency across different times due to stable local emissions and wind fields. Beijing's topography, with high elevations in the northwest and low-lying plains in the southeast, influences the prevailing west-to-east winds, and the flat terrain of the main urban area means the simulated ffXCO2 is minimally affected by topography. The smaller ffXCO2
- enhancements observed on December 1 compared to April 8 are primarily due to wind directions
- affecting the track within the 40.2°-41° range, making it difficult to simulate emissions.
- This comparison highlights the capability of DQ-1 to effectively observe and simulate urban ffXCO2
- enhancements, supporting its application in fine-scale emission optimization.







 **Figure 6: Similar to Fig. 3, (a)-(d) show the simulated ffXCO2 and measured ffXCO2 for the DQ-1 and OCO- 2 orbits transiting Beijing at 05:00 UTC 01 December 2022 and 05:00 UTC 08 April 2023, and (e)-(h) represent the comparison of the simulated ffXCO2 (colored shadows) with the observed ffXCO2 enhancement (colored dots, minus background concentrations) from DQ-1 data collected over Beijing at ~05:00 UTC. Each panel is labeled with the date of observation. Vectors represent 10 m wind speeds and reference vectors represent 10 m/s wind speeds.**

Figure 6 (a-d) illustrates the simulated and observed XCO2 for two pairs of DQ-1 and OCO-2 tracks.













**Figure 7: TCCON site simulations received ffXCO2 (blue columns represent simulations using a priori** 

- **ODIAC lists, bright green columns represent simulations using a posteriori lists estimated with DQ-1, orange**
- **columns represent simulations using a posteriori lists estimated with OCO-2, and dark green columns**
- **represent ffXCO2 observed by TCCON). The black lines on the columns represent uncertainties.**







## **3.3 Impact of DQ-1 in Estimating Biotic Fluxes using Daytime vs. Nighttime Tracks**

 **Figure 8: Orbital simulation results for a pair of diurnal observations of the transit of Beijing on January 09, 2023 at about 23:00 (night) and January 10, 2023 at about 11:00 (day) UTC.**

 Both biosphere carbon flux and fossil fuel emissions influence XCO2 variations. This section examines the impact of biosphere flux on emission estimates. When ffXCO2 significantly exceeds biosphere carbon flux, the biosphere's contribution to XCO2 changes can be negligible (e.g., in Cairo and Riyadh, where the spatial gradient of NEE is much smaller than fossil fuel emissions). This study attributes biosphere carbon flux to vegetation production and human emissions. This part of carbon emissions varies with the day-night cycle. During the day, vegetation absorbs CO2 through photosynthesis, which significantly outweighs CO2 release through respiration. At night, vegetation only undergoes respiration, releasing CO2. As the world's first lidar satellite capable of observing XCO2 at night, DQ-1 offers groundbreaking

 potential in studying diurnal variations in urban emissions. This section leverages this feature to observe the impact of vegetation rhythm and human activities on XCO2 changes. We compare global three-hourly CASA data and ten-day average NEE data from ODIAC. ODIAC's ten-day average data cannot separate diurnal NEE variations, while the higher temporal resolution of CASA can effectively capture the time gradient of NEE within the same day. We will illustrate the impact of NEE on inversion and how this





- impact changes between day and night. Previous satellite-based urban flux inversions lacked night-time data, preventing day-night comparisons and separation of nocturnal and diurnal CO2 emissions. For this study, we selected two tracks on January 9, 2023, at 23:00 and January 10, 2023, at 11:00 (UTC). Given the close timing of these tracks, we assume the total fossil fuel emissions are the same for both. The January 9 track is approximately 0.5° (about 50 km) downwind from the main urban emissions, with an average wind speed greater than 3 m/s. Thus, the emissions detected by this track are considered to originate from the previous five hours. The January 10 track passes through the main urban emission area, capturing emissions effectively. We simulate forward eight-hour gas diffusion (sunset on January 9 at 09:00 and sunrise on January 10 at 15:35 UTC). The simulated enhancement for the January 9 track is assumed to come entirely from night-time emissions, while the January 10 enhancement comes from daytime emissions. Comparing the simulation results with observations, both are of the same magnitude, indicating that the forward eight-hour simulation effectively captures the observed ffXCO2 enhancement. To explore the impact of diurnal biosphere carbon flux on XCO2 enhancement, we couple prior emissions from ODIAC with spatially scaled NEE data as the new prior emissions, then simulate the track XCO2. Using constant boundary conditions, latitude changes do not need to be considered for background concentration. Therefore, local XCO2 enhancement is defined as the total XCO2 minus the minimum XCO2 value in the track. The XCO2 enhancement measured by DQ-1 is derived using methods outlined in previous sections. This approach allows us to accurately account for both daytime and nighttime variations in XCO2 due
- to biosphere activity, providing a comprehensive view of the urban carbon flux.









 **Figure 9: (a)-(d) represent the contribution of orbital XCO2 enhancement and biospheric fluxes to the local XCO2 enhancement for two pairs of diurnal observations on 09 and 10 January 2023 and 19 and 20 June 2022, the black dots represent the 1-second averaged observations (subtracted from the background values) on each orbit, the red solid line represents the simulated ffXCO2, and the green and blue solid lines represent the simulated ΔXCO2 (fossil fuel and biosphere fluxes) using different NEE data for simulated ΔXCO2 (fossil fuel and biogenic fluxes), where the green line uses ten-day averaged ODIAC NEE data and the blue line uses CASA three-hourly NEE data.**

 Figure 9 presents a comparison of simulated and observed XCO2 enhancements for two pairs of day and night overpass tracks over Beijing on January 9, 2023, at 23:00, January 10 at 05:00, June 19, 2022, at 23:00, and June 20 at 05:00. Overall, the simulated XCO2 enhancements (including the biosphere XCO2 signal) align more closely with the observed ΔXCO2 (black dots) than the simulated ffXCO2 alone (red line).

 The figure shows that the XCO2 enhancements using CASA's diurnal NEE data differ significantly from those using ODIAC's ten-day average NEE data. The simulation for the June 19 track at 23:00 indicates that using CASA's night-time NEE data (blue line) can accurately simulate the observed XCO2 enhancement, coming closer to the observed XCO2 enhancement than the ffXCO2 simulation alone. In contrast, the simulation using ODIAC's ten-day average NEE data (green line) shows a notable CO2 absorption phenomenon in the 40.2°-41° range, starkly different from the CASA results and the observed XCO2 enhancement. This discrepancy arises because ODIAC's ten-day average NEE data are insensitive to short-term temporal variations and cannot reflect diurnal changes within a day. Moreover, this period is Beijing's summer, with vigorous daytime vegetation activity leading to CO2 absorption and a





- 594 consequent drop in XCO2 (as seen in Figure 9d, where the daytime simulated XCO2 enhancement is 595 much lower than ffXCO2). According to the June 19 simulation results, biosphere flux-induced XCO2 596 changes account for 21.2% (CASA) and -54.3% (ODIAC) of the observed XCO2 enhancement. 597 For the January 9 track at 23:00, both CASA and ODIAC data show significant XCO2 enhancements. 598 However, the CASA simulation aligns more closely with the observations. This difference may be 599 because ODIAC's ten-day average data, influenced by daytime data, diminish its accuracy in night-time 600 scenarios. The simulation results for the January 9 track show that biosphere flux-induced local XCO2 601 enhancements account for 13.37% (CASA) and 7.73% (ODIAC) of the observed comprehensive XCO2 602 enhancement. 603 Overall, the biosphere flux's impact on XCO2 enhancement varies significantly between day and night. 604 In urban-scale inversions, DQ-1's ability to rapidly revisit both day and night can further optimize the 605 influence of biosphere flux on inversion accuracy. This capability highlights DQ-1's potential to provide
- 606 more precise urban-scale fossil fuel emission constraints, especially by distinguishing diurnal variations
- 607 in biosphere activity.

#### 608 **3.4 Emission Estimates and a Posteriori Uncertainties**

# 609 **Table 1 Results of inversion of urban emission scaling factors for selected cities using DQ-1 XCO2 data**









610 **Notes. Scaling factors and their a posteriori uncertainties are shown for each orbit, as well as integrated** 

611 **information for all selected orbits. Uncertainty components are listed for each orbit, including the a** 

612 **priori uncertainty in the scaling factor and the measurement and transport uncertainty in the integral** 

# 613 **ffXCO2 (some selected orbital data inverted using OCO-2 data are bolded).**

 In this section, we present the inversion estimation results for emissions from Riyadh, Cairo, and Beijing using the DQ-1 tracks shown in Section 3.1. The inversion process considers uncertainties arising from both measurement and transport. The inversion yields a scaling factor for the total emissions for each selected city. Specifically, for Beijing, we compare the inversion results with the simultaneously passing OCO-2 tracks.

 Each selected track underwent inversion. The table below shows the posterior emission scaling factors for each track, along with the uncertainties in the measured and simulated ffXCO2. These uncertainties were determined using the methods described in Section 2.4. Notably, the prior uncertainty in the emission scaling factors for Beijing was set at 25%, compared to Riyadh and Cairo, reflecting better knowledge of emissions from such a world-class megacity (see Section 2.4.2).

 For the selected tracks over Riyadh, Cairo, and Beijing, the posterior scaling factors were 0.75-0.86, 0.98-1.21, and 0.53-1.06, respectively (Table 1). The posterior emission scaling factors exhibit significant temporal variability, influenced by background conditions. As described in the previous section, the emissions detected by the track depend on its distance from the major emission regions and the domain- averaged wind speed at the time. The domain-averaged wind speed for the selected tracks was consistently above 3 m/s. Based on meteorological conditions, the posterior values represent estimates of city emissions for the hours preceding the overpass time. The posterior uncertainty in the emission scaling factors was 0.16-0.20 for Riyadh, 0.11-0.20 for Cairo, and 0.11-0.16 for Beijing. Compared to

632 Beijing, the posterior scaling factor uncertainties were generally higher for Riyadh and Cairo.







### **4 Discussion**

# **4.1 Atmospheric Transport Model Errors**

 Systematic errors in model transport and erroneous statistical assumptions can significantly diminish the improvements in land-based uncertainty by approximately a factor of two (J. Wang et al., 2014). Hence, it is essential to control systematic errors and inaccuracies in transport models while minimizing random errors in DQ-1 observations. In Observing System Simulation Experiments (OSSEs), we assess the potential impacts of observational and transport errors on the entire inversion process. Transport errors of tracers in the atmosphere can lead to inaccuracies in flux estimates derived from concentration





- observations. Typically, "inversion" methods either ignore transport errors or only provide a rough evaluation of their impact (J. Lin & Gerbig, 2005). This section focuses on how uncertainties in atmospheric transport model outputs influence CO2 flux inversion. In our experiments, we set the prior flux uncertainty to 25%-40% based on the emission characteristics of different cities. The uncertainty in DQ-1 XCO2 observations was fixed at 0.5 ppm, representing the lower limit of observational error. We examined the effects of wind speed and direction errors on the performance of the inversion method. The errors in the transport model were propagated by treating them as conversions of model ffXCO2 plumes. Notably, for the cities studied, errors were assumed to be unbiased. Wind direction errors were analyzed by rotating the plumes around the emission center and incorporating random wind speed errors. We illustrate these concepts using six tracks over Cairo. The overall ffXCO2 distribution was generated 671 by applying random positive and negative wind direction biases  $(>=10^{\circ}, \le 10^{\circ})$  to each track's STILT 672 footprint, rotating it 10<sup>4</sup> times, and adding positive/negative wind speed biases ( $>1$  m/s,  $\leq 1$  m/s). Overall, the temporal variability in the posterior emission scaling factors and uncertainties can be attributed to transport model errors. The transport model error significantly influenced the observed ffXCO2 distribution. Specifically, the track on November 15 was most affected by transport model errors, likely due to its passage through the plume boundary. In contrast, the track on August 16 experienced minimal transport model errors, as it was further from the simulated ffXCO2 plume, making it less sensitive to
- small wind direction and speed errors.







 **Figure 10: Box plots of the modeled integral ffXCO2 enhancement (**∑**ffXCO2, m) for selected OCO-2 orbits over Cairo at the date labeled on the x-axis (2022). For each box, the center line indicates the median (q2), and the bottom and top edges of the box indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles (q1 and q3), respectively. The whiskers extend to the maximum and minimum values. The numbers are the ratio of the interquartile spacing (q3 - q1) to the median (q2).**

### **4.2 The Challenge of Separating Biological Fluxes in Day and Night Orbits**

 In Section 3.3, we detailed how DQ-1's short-term day-night revisit capability allows for the consideration of diurnal and nocturnal biogenic fluxes in emission inversions. Typically, large-scale inversions do not account for uncertainties in fossil fuel emission inventories and treat biogenic fluxes as uncertainties in prior fluxes (J. Wang et al., 2014). Studies focused on urban-scale inversions that do not utilize nocturnal tracks, while directly considering biogenic flux impacts, have not accounted for the diurnal variation of biogenic fluxes (Ye et al., 2020). In this study, we leveraged DQ-1's nocturnal observations to provide a method for separately considering biogenic flux effects during day and night. Our results indicate that using daytime average NEE data and nighttime NEE data can result in differences of up to 70% in inversion outcomes. However, this approach has limitations in large-scale inversions. Separating daytime and nighttime

- emissions necessitates a limited transport time due to the constraints of the transport model, which means
- that simulated particles cannot travel long distances under limited wind speed and time conditions. To
- address this, more frequent overpass tracks, including those from geostationary carbon cycle observation





- satellites such as GeoCarb (Moore III et al., 2018), Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON)(Toon et al., 2009), and MicroCARB, could enhance large-scale day-night cross-observations and support separate daytime and nighttime inversions. Currently, the number of DQ-1 tracks does not support large-scale separate day-night inversions. In large-scale flux inversions, biogenic fluxes are typically used as prior uncertainty over weekly or monthly periods. Such long-term and wide-scale data assimilation reduces the impact of diurnal biogenic flux variations on inversion results. Unlike other satellite measurements that are restricted to daytime clear-sky conditions, DQ-1's XCO2 measurements provide uniform temporal sampling, thus allowing effective quantification of diurnal variations in emissions.
- Accurate downscaling methods for biogenic fluxes, such as the Solar-Induced Fluorescence Model (SMUrF) (Wu et al., 2021), and advanced vegetation models, like the Vegetation Photosynthesis and Respiration Model (VPRM) (Luo et al., 2022; Mahadevan et al., 2008) are crucial for precise biogenic flux calculations. Radiocarbon and land surface solar-induced fluorescence (SIF) data aid in distinguishing between fossil fuel CO2 and biogenic CO2 (Fischer et al., 2017). Recent research indicates that SIF serves as a better indicator or proxy for gross or net primary production compared to other vegetation indices.

## **4.3 Insights From Results of the OSSEs**

 In the emission inversion process, prior emissions are considered as fully distributed, optimizing regional emissions for an entire city using a scaling factor, in contrast to grid-specific inversions. As noted by previous research, using a single scaling factor for the entire city limits the flexibility to capture true spatial variations in fluxes compared to grid-specific inversions. Estimating prior emission uncertainties at the grid scale is challenging because grid-scale emission uncertainties are typically much larger than 721 those using scaling factors (Andres et al., 2012).

 Apart from uncertainties in the transport model, DQ-1 measurements, and biogenic fluxes, several additional error sources may introduce biases in the inversion results. DQ-1 data's measurement errors are assumed to be spatially uncorrelated due to the lack of high-resolution correlation data. Additionally, random components of nonlinear and interference errors in retrievals may introduce significant errors in the inversions (Connor et al., 2016). In our OSSE, measurement uncertainty is assessed at its lower bound.





 Simulation results for Riyadh and Beijing indicate that the enhancement of ffXCO2 generally exceeds 1.5 ppm and can reach up to approximately 5 ppm, surpassing the uncertainties in land-based observations (around 1 ppm) (Annmarie Eldering et al., 2017). In contrast, Cairo's ffXCO2 values are mostly below 2.0 ppm, with some hotspots near high-emission industries such as power plants. Detecting CO2 plumes in smaller cities is challenging due to limited detectability of fossil fuel-derived CO2 plumes. Factors limiting detectability include: 1) The number and location of overpass tracks. 2) Overlap enhancements from nearby cities or point sources. 3) Low ffCO2 emissions. To improve the detection of city plumes, more ground-based in situ measurements and high-altitude satellites with enhanced detection capabilities are necessary.

#### **5 Conclusions**

 This study presents the use of DQ-1's XCO2 observation data to constrain fossil fuel emissions in various urban regions and evaluates its capabilities. By coupling WRF and STILT, a high-resolution forward transport model was developed to simulate and illustrate the structure and details of urban-scale fossil fuel XCO2 plumes and assess the relationship between simulated and observed XCO2. Throughout the inversion process, we considered DQ-1's observational errors, transport model errors, and the impact of DQ-1's day-night observation capability on assessing the temporal variation of biosphere fluxes in urban emissions. Employing a Bayesian inversion approach, we optimized CO2 emissions from fossil fuels in Beijing, Riyadh, and Cairo using DQ-1 data collected from March to December 2022, focusing on downwind tracks in major urban emission areas where significant XCO2 enhancements were detected. Pseudo-data experiments, based on high-resolution forward simulations from real cases, were conducted to evaluate the potential of using multiple DQ-1 tracks while considering measurement and transport model errors. Our results showed that the posterior scaling factors for the three cities ranged from 0.53 to 1.06, 0.75 to 0.86, and 0.98 to 1.21, respectively, with Riyadh exhibiting the highest posterior uncertainty. Notably, some simulations revealed that posterior scaling factor uncertainties are influenced by the relative position of tracks to plumes and positive or negative wind direction biases in the region. Our assessment of spatial and temporal gradients in biosphere fluxes revealed that, at certain times in Beijing, despite significant ffCO2 emissions, a notable portion of the local XCO2 enhancement (20% and 13%, respectively) was attributable to local biosphere fluxes. This could lead to an overestimation







previous emission errors, which were not included in this inversion.

 For applying these methods to larger-scale flux inversions, advanced satellites with shorter revisit cycles and denser ground-based stations are essential. Additionally, optimizing city emission scaling factors requires more information on prior emission uncertainties to better understand spatial and temporal characteristics of urban-scale emissions. The appropriate number of constraints for urban emissions will depend on the spatial and temporal resolution of target city emissions and the precision required to support policy decisions. Our results demonstrate that DQ-1 or similar missions have significant potential to constrain overall emissions from cities with intensified fossil fuel emissions, and utilizing DQ-1's unique day-night crossing capability, we can establish frameworks for rapid day-night flux inversions at the urban scale. This will further elucidate the spatial and temporal structure of biosphere flux contributions to urban emissions and provide valuable insights for policy-making. We anticipate that DQ- 1 data will effectively enhance the accuracy and precision of urban fossil fuel carbon flux estimates, in conjunction with observations from other platforms to support emission reduction strategies.

# **Competing interests**

The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests





# **References**



























https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-2495 Preprint. Discussion started: 9 August 2024  $\circledcirc$  Author(s) 2024. CC BY 4.0 License.<br>  $\circledcirc$   $\circledcirc$ 





