Appendix E: Pressure levels for atmospheric variables

The pressure levels defined in the CMIP6 Data Request and brought into the ESM-BCV list are given below:

- 19 pressure levels (plev19) – 100 000, 92 500, 85 000, 70 000, 60 000, 50 000, 40 000, 30 000, 25 000, 20 000, 15 000, 10 000, 7000, 5000, 3000, 2000, 1000, 500, and 100 hPa; and

- 8 pressure levels (plev8) – 100 000, 85 000, 70 000, 50 000, 25 000, 10 000, 5000, and 1000 hPa.

The usage and the range of levels may be modified in CMIP7 following detailed discussion of scientific requirements, led by the atmosphere theme of the CMIP AR7 Fast Track Data Request (see https://wcrp-cmip.org/cmip7/cmip7-data-request/public-consultation/, last access: 4 December 2024).

Code and data availability. The python script used to harvest information from the ESGF for Fig. 4 is available in Juckes (2025a) (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15190399). The prioritisation data are available as an Excel workbook in Juckes (2025b) (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14701274).

Author contributions. Conceptualisation: MJ. Funding acquisition: not applicable. Methodology: MJ, BT, and EO. Project administration: BT, EO, and BD. Writing and original draft preparation: all. Revision and verification of ESGF download statistics: FA and AN. Writing, review, and editing: all.

Competing interests. At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of *Geoscientific Model Development*. The peer-review process was guided by an independent editor, and the authors also have no other competing interests to declare.

ther geographical representation in this paper. While Copernicus Publications makes every effort to include appropriate place names, the final responsibility lies with the authors.

Acknowledgements. The work developing the baseline variables was coordinated and led by Martin Juckes (UKRI-STFC). Its implementation was supported by the CMIP International Project Office hosted by the European Space Agency. All the figures have been commissioned by the CMIP International Project Office and are under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International licence. The ESGF download statistics are provided by the Euro-Mediterranean Center on Climate Change of the CMCC Foundation. Thanks go to John Dunne for chairing the discussions leading to the final consensus on the published list. We gratefully acknowledge the valuable feedback provided in reviews by Claire Macintosh and Young Ho Kim and in comments by Anne Marie Treguier, Isla Simpson, Alistair Adcroft, Baylor Fox-Kemper, Nathan Gillett, Christopher Danek, Gaëlle Rigoudy, and Gavin A. Schmidt.

Financial support. The work developing the baseline variables has been made possible by funding from IS-ENES3 as part of the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant no. 824084. The work of Paul J. Durack and Karl E. Taylor was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) under contract no. DE-AC52-07NA27344. It is a contribution to the science portfolio of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Earth and Environmental Systems Sciences Division, Regional and Global Model Analysis Program (LLNL release no. LLNL-JNRL-853910). Hyungjun Kim was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the South Korean government (MSIT) (grant no. 2021H1D3A2A03097768). Martin Juckes was supported by UK Research and Innovation (grant no. NE/Y001729/1).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Tatiana Egorova and reviewed by Claire Macintosh and Young Ho Kim.

References

Buontempo, C., Burgess, S. N., Dee, D., Pinty, B., Thépaut, J.-N., Rixen, M., Almond, S., Armstrong, D., Brookshaw, A., López-Alos, A., Bell, B., Bergeron, C., Cagnazzo, C., Comyn-Platt, E., Damasio-Da-Costa, E., Guillory, A., Hersbach, H., Horányi, A., Nicolas, J., Obregon, A., Ramos, E. P., Raoult, B., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Suttie, M., Vamborg, F., Varndell, J., Vermoote, S., Yang, X., and Garcés de Marcilla, J.: The Copernicus Climate Change Service: Climate Science in Action, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 103, E2669–E2687, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-0315.1, 2022.

Craig, M. T., Wohland, J., Stoop, L. P., Kies, A., Pickering, B., Bloomfield, H. C., Browell, J., De Felice, M., Dent, C. J., Deroubaix, A.,nFrischmuth, F., Gonzalez, P. L., Grochowicz, A., Gruber, K., Härtel, P., Kittel, M., Kotzur, L., Labuhn, I., Lundquist, J. K., Pflugradt, N., van der Wiel, K., Zeyringer, M., and Brayshaw, D. J.: Overcoming the disconnect between energy system and climate modeling, Joule, 6, 1405–1417, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2022.05.010, 2022.

Dubus, L., Brayshaw, D. J., Huertas-Hernando, D., Radu, D., Sharp, J., Zappa, W., and Stoop, L. P.: Towards a future-proof climate database for European energy system studies, Environ. Res. Lett., 17, 121001, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aca1d3, 2022.

Durack, P. J., Taylor, K. E., Mizielinski, M., Doutriaux, C., Nadeau, D., and Juckes, M.: WCRP-CMIP/CMIP6_CVs: 6.2.58.68, Zenodo [code], https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12197151, 2024.

Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., and Taylor, K. E.: Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimen-