## Response to comments by referee #1 on the manuscript egusphere-2024-2348

We, the authors, thank the editor for handling the paper and the reviewer for their comments and suggestions. We value the careful feedback provided, and we believe this is important for improving the quality of our review paper. We provide a table with detailed responses to each separate comment. According to the editor's instructions, the revised manuscript should not be prepared at this stage. Therefore, we have not included the specific line(s) or page(s) where changes were made, nor the updated figures and tables. Instead, we have provided the edited or added paragraphs and sentences to demonstrate how we have addressed the reviewer's comments.

Sincerely,

Renata Moura da Veiga (on behalf of all co-authors)

Reviewer 1	
Comment	Authors' response
The question that the authors use to guide their review process is the following: "How compiling published material on fire emission in natural areas of the Cerrado can provide a better understanding of the placement of these emissions in the atmospheric carbon budget?". This question is not mentioned again in the manuscript and it is left unanswered in the Discussion. Additionally, its formulation is not in line with the main goals of the manuscript.	We have now mentioned the question again, and have answered the question more directly, in the discussion section. The following new paragraphs are meant to complement the information that was already in the manuscript: "Our research question is "How compiling published material on fire emissions in areas of the Cerrado that do not explicitly include anthropogenic land uses can provide a better understanding of the placement of these emissions in the global carbon budget?". Analyzing published papers on fire emissions in these areas in the Cerrado provides valuable insights into its role in the carbon balance. This includes understanding the parameters used to estimate emissions, quantifying the amount of carbon, especially CO <sub>2</sub> , released into the atmosphere by fires, and identifying important aspects of fire dynamics that are sources of uncertainty or are not considered in fire emission estimates. These are summarized in Table 3.

	Aiming at compiling literature on fire emissions in the Cerrado has led to a number of papers that do not explicitly estimate fire emissions itself, but rather discuss fire dynamics and parameters used to estimate emissions. This indicates that there is a gap in the literature regarding fire emissions estimates in the Cerrado. However, studies have indicated that fires in the Cerrado play an important role in the global carbon balance. For example, Van Der Werf et al. (2017) found that savanna fire emissions from the Southern Hemisphere South America region, which includes the Cerrado, averaged 0.14 PgC year <sup>-1</sup> over 20 years, accounting for more than 6% of global fire emissions per year. Similarly, and from a national perspective, da Silva Junior et al. (2020) have shown Cerrado fires contribute more than 32% of the Brazilian total fire emissions (about 0.13 PgC year <sup>-1</sup> over the 20 years)."
For instance, the question refers to "natural areas of the Cerrado". If this were to mean areas of intact native vegetation, the authors would need to provide a keyword for this, as the vast majority of papers that are mentioned do not focus on natural areas, and are often estimates for the entire biome or specific land cover types.	Regarding the "natural areas" limitation - this means that we have excluded papers that explicitly include anthropogenic land uses. We have made this clearer in the research question and when explaining the inclusionary criteria: "After establishing our research question as "How compiling published material on fire emissions in areas of the Cerrado that do not explicitly include anthropogenic land uses can provide a better understanding of the placement of these emissions in the global carbon budget?" "We applied four inclusionary criteria to identify relevant literature: papers had to be (1) published in peer-reviewed journals with an impact factor greater than 1; (2) encompass the Cerrado biome; (3) be published after 2003, for a two-decade period (2003-2022); and (4) be conducted in areas that do not explicitly include anthropogenic land uses, here referred to as "natural areas". We define natural areas as those covered by natural vegetation of the

	Cerrado, where anthropogenic land uses do not occur (e.g. pasture and agriculture). According to this criteria, 48.66% (965,783 km2) of the Cerrado is covered by natural vegetation (MapBiomas, 2022). Because papers found by this review often do not specify the land use of their study area, we have not included papers that explicitly document fire occurring in anthropized areas, as a proxy for documenting existing literature on natural areas of the Cerrado. To improve the assessment of our research question, we have also incorporated in our review papers that don't focus only on the Cerrado, but rather include it as part of the analysis."
I also believe that "global carbon budget" would be more appropriate than "atmospheric carbon budget".	We have replaced "atmospheric carbon budget" for "global carbon budget", including in the title.
This is a major concern, as the papers found through the PRISMA method are never listed. The authors refer to many papers throughout the text, but the reader does not know if these papers are those included in the literature review, or just part of a discussion. There is no list, even in Supplementary Material, of the papers, along with their respective topic (fire dynamics parameters, emission estimates, and fire management and policy) and study design (empirical, review, and perspective).	The 69 papers reviewed will be included as a table in the supplementary material. The columns included are: paper title, year of publication, authors, area of study, topic, methodological technique, study design.
Moreover, these classifications are explained in the Results section (e.g. lines 210-214) rather than in Methods. The authors also divide the papers according to study area (Global, Tropical region, South America, Brazil, Cerrado) which is never mentioned in Methods.	We have outlined what the study areas are in the Methods section: "We classified the reviewed papers based on (a) location range, from global to local scales: global, tropical region, South America, Brazil and Cerrado".
There are many papers, especially in the "fire dynamics parameters" category, that do not evaluate emissions. Although burned area and fire intensity are parameters used	The aim of our review is to provide a comprehensive view of the knowledge and gaps related to fire emissions in the Cerrado, specifically focusing on impacts on the carbon

to estimate emissions, discussing fire	cycle.
drivers should not be a main focus of this literature review.	To clarify, while we emphasize emissions, we view fire dynamics (such as burned area and fire intensity) as essential parameters that support our understanding of emissions. They represent important "tools" in our framework, helping us interpret the conditions under which emissions are generated and their variability due to climatic, ecological, and human influences. Additionally, fire emissions data are often limited, and the literature reflects more studies on patterns and drivers, which provides useful background to identify gaps.
	• The influence of fire parameters in estimating fire emissions is also stated in the following paragraph (specifically in the bold sentence) in the Results section:
	"Of the 69 papers reviewed, 37 relate to fire dynamics parameters used to estimate emissions, 40 report the amounts of fire emissions, and 7 report fire management and policy - the total does not round up to 69 because 15 papers are related to more than one topic. These numbers indicate that many papers are not related to reporting emissions but provide information to support the understanding and estimation of fire emissions, demonstrating a potential to expand the study of GHG emissions from fire in the Cerrado. For example, Santos et al. (2021) use satellite imagery to estimate emissions and parameters, such as burned area and fire intensity, to support the application of prescribed burning in the Cerrado, but actual emissions estimates are not included."
	• Further, we have added the following sentences in the discussion and in the conclusion section to emphasize this point:
	"Aiming at compiling literature on fire

emissions in the Cerrado has led to a number of papers that do not explicitly estimate fire emissions itself, but rather discuss fire dynamics and parameters used to estimate emissions. This indicates that there is a gap in the literature regarding fire emissions estimates in the Cerrado."
"This review demonstrates that papers fail to report on fire emissions itself, with fire dynamics and parameters used to estimate emissions in the Cerrado often being the focus of published literature."
• Additionally, we have done major revisions to the "Fire dynamics parameters to estimate fire emissions" section. It now contains introductory paragraphs to make this point clear, and it is further divided into subsections: Burned area and fuel characteristics; Combustion efficiency, combustion completeness and emission factor; Fire behavior and intensity.
The introductory paragraphs are:
"Papers on 'fire dynamic parameters' account for 44% of the studies reviewed, underscoring the importance of variables like burned area, fuel characteristics, combustion completeness, combustion efficiency and emission factor in fire emissions research. These parameters directly influence emission estimates, with their combination playing key roles in determining carbon emissions from fires. By examining these variables within the specific ecological and climatic context of the Cerrado, we gain insights into how fire behavior and emissions in this biome interact.
The area burned, typically measured via satellite or ground surveys, is one of the primary parameters for estimating emissions (Libonati et al., 2015; Mangeon et al., 2016; Silva et al. 2021). Coupled with the available biomass for burning and its characteristics —

which depend on vegetation type, density, moisture and seasonal growth patterns these elements set the stage for potential emissions. Fire intensity, driven by conditions such as drv weather, strong winds, and fuel accumulation, influences combustion efficiency. High-intensity fires tend to consume more fuel, resulting in higher combustion efficiency and more complete combustion. This reduces emissions of pollutants such as carbon monoxide and particulate matter but increases emissions of carbon dioxide. Combustion completeness further influences the amount of biomass converted to carbon and released into the atmosphere. In contrast, incomplete combustion results in higher emissions of pollutants such as particulate matter and carbon monoxide and produces pyrogenic carbon, which may persist in soils over long periods. Together, these parameters allow for the estimation of emissions based on the combination of burned area, fuel load, and combustion completeness.

The prevalence of studies on these fire dynamics parameters reflects both the accessibility of these variables and a gap in linking fire dynamics directly to emission. This focus on fire dynamics provides some of the most current information available, yet it suggests a need for more research to fill the gaps in understanding the chain from fire drivers to emissions. We further discuss the fire dynamics parameters found in the literature review process."

• We have also updated aims a and b of the paper to make this clearer: "Thus, this systematic literature review synthesizes published material on fire emissions in areas of the Cerrado that do not explicitly include anthropogenic land uses, with aims to: (a) outline current emissions estimates, specifically CO<sub>2</sub>, or fire dynamic factors that help support these estimates, in regions that

	encompass Cerrado or are limited to it; (b) understand how these estimates fit the carbon budget".
The authors also select burned area as the sole parameter to estimate fire emissions in Figure 5 (Section 3.1), to then explain how Fire Radiative Power (FRP) can also be used in the last paragraph of Section 3.2. This shows a lack of grasp of some of these concepts: for instance, the authors introduce FRP in Section 3.2 as if it was not the same parameter as "fire intensity" in Section 3.1; they also mention that "FRP considers () area affected by fire" and that it uses "MODIS active fires are inputs" which is, at best, vey badly worded.	<ul> <li>FRP was moved to the "Fire behavior and intensity" subsection.</li> <li>Figure 5 was adjusted to include a combustion completeness box beside the burned area box, coming from fire behavior and seasonality. Both burned area and combustion completeness result in fire emissions. This figure is also in the "Fire behavior and intensity" subsection.</li> </ul>
The Introduction fails to provide background to the importance of fire emissions in the Cerrado, both in the national and global context. The role of fire emissions in the global carbon cycle/budget should also be highlighted, along with the role of Brazil in the LULC emissions as the highest emitter (see the Global Carbon Budget 2023). Information on how carbon emissions are estimated worldwide should be included (e.g. what data and methods are usually employed), so that the reader can better understand results found in the literature review. Moreover, as a tropical savanna, the Introduction could also leverage on information from other tropical savannas worldwide.	Thank you for your comment. We have already provided extensive background on Cerrado's role in emissions nationally and globally in the Introduction, especially in the following paragraph: "From 1997-2016, savanna fires from Southern Hemisphere South America, which the Cerrado dominates, accounted for 6.36% of the global carbon from fires annually (Van Der Werf et al., 2017). This contribution is substantial, as it highlights the Cerrado's role as one of the world's major fire-emitting ecosystems. To put this into perspective, savanna fires from the Australia and New Zealand region, which refer to the Australian savanna, account for 4.55% of the global carbon from fire emissions emitted each year for the same period (Van Der Werf et al., 2017). Compared to the Cerrado, a relatively high number of fire studies are performed in Australia. Da Veiga and Nikolakis (2022) counted 64 papers from Australia and 29 from Brazil when documenting the interaction between fire management and carbon programs worldwide."

	response to this point, including the number for LULC emission for comparison:
	"The Cerrado's fires are potentially responsible for more than 30% (about 0.13 PgC year <sup>-1</sup> ) of Brazil's total fire emissions (da Silva Junior et al., 2020). As a comparison, the Cerrado accounts for about 14% of Brazil's emission from land use and land cover change (SEEG, 2023). Brazil is the highest emitter in the world in this category (Friedlingstein et al., 2023), contributing with up to 0.4 PgC year <sup>-1</sup> (Rosan et al., 2021). The Cerrado's role in Brazil's overall emissions profile is, therefore, critical, with fires contributing to a substantial share of the country's fire emissions, which has national implications for climate policies and international commitments (da Silva Junior et al., 2020; Pivello et al., 2021)."
	"Beyond immediate emissions, fire also influences carbon balance over time. For example, post-fire recovery critically shapes the Cerrado's long-term carbon balance (Burton et al. 2024; Gomes et al., 2020b; Hamilton et al., 2024). If vegetation fully regenerates to its pre- fire state, there is no net effect on atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> levels over time. However, even in this scenario, fires can influence other greenhouse gases and aerosols. Alternatively, if fire activity decreases and vegetation accumulates, the landscape may shift to a net carbon sink. Conversely, if fires reduce long-term vegetation cover, the Cerrado could become a sustained carbon source, as observed globally (Burton et al., 2024)."
As mentioned previously, the Methods section is missing key information (e.g. that the analysis only considers papers up to 2022, or how the trend line in Figure 2 is estimated and its significance level), and in the Results section is hard to distinguish between description of papers found through the review process and discussion	<ul> <li>We have added more details in the Methods section to address the reviewer's suggestions:</li> <li>Research question changed to: "How compiling published material on fire emissions in areas of the Cerrado that do not explicitly include anthropogenic land uses can provide a better understanding of</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>(e.g. lines 163-165, 272-278, 279-282, 330- 333, 375-379, 396-398, 402-405, 441-460).</li> <li>Inclusionary criteria updated: "We applie four inclusionary criteria to identify relev literature: papers had to be (1) publishe peer-reviewed journals with an impact for greater than 1; (2) encompass the Cerra biome; (3) be published after 2003, for a two-decade period (2003-2022); and (4) conducted in areas that do not explicitly include anthropogenic land uses, here referred to as "natural areas". We define natural areas as those covered by natur vegetation of the Cerrado, where anthropogenic land uses do not occur (6 pasture and agriculture). According to the criteria, 48.66% (965,783 km2) of the Cerrado is covered by natural vegetatio (MapBiomas, 2022). Because papers for by this review often do not specify the la use of their study area, we have not included papers that explicitly documen occurring in anthropized areas, as a pro for documenting existing literature on natural areas of the Cerrado. To improv assessment of our research question, w have also incorporated in our review pa that don't focus only on the Cerrado. To improv assessment of our research question, w have also incorporated in our review pa that don't focus only on the Cerrado. To improv assessment of our research question, w have also incorporated in our review pa that don't focus only on the Cerrado. To analysis: "We then conducted the searc a two-decade period, covering research from 2003 to 2022."</li> <li>Criteria for excluding papers updated: " criteria led to the initial screening of 90 papers. Although we used keywords to conduct our review, the searches still returned papers not in English, or that d not mention fire emissions. 21 papers w excluded due to being duplicates, not in English, not mentioning fire emissions. 21 papers we excluded due to being duplicates, not in English, not mentioning fire emissions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>criteria led to the initial screening of 90 papers. Although we used keywords to conduct our review, the searches still returned papers not in English, or that did not mention fire emissions. 21 papers were excluded due to being duplicates, not in English, not mentioning fire emissions, or explicitly analyzing fire in anthropized lands."</li> <li>Classification of papers updated: "We</li> </ul>
---	--

	<ul> <li>global, tropical region, South America, Brazil and Cerrado"</li> <li>We have also updated Figure 1 (the PRISMA diagram) to include the updates mentioned.</li> <li>Trendline removed from Figure 2.</li> </ul>
Lastly, the Discussion should include limitations on current methods and estimates, especially those found in the review process.	Substantial edits were also done to the Discussion section. It includes a Table, which was already in the text, with the parameters included in current studies and parameters to be considered for future fire emission estimates in the Cerrado, outlining limitations of current literature. To complement this Table, we have added paragraphs that discuss the limitations found while conducting this literature review. Examples are:
	"Analyzing published papers on fire emissions in these areas in the Cerrado provides valuable insights into its role in the carbon balance. This includes understanding the parameters used to estimate emissions, quantifying the amount of carbon, especially CO <sub>2</sub> , released into the atmosphere by fires, and identifying important aspects of fire dynamics that are sources of uncertainty or are not considered in fire emission estimates. These are summarized in Table 3."
	"Aiming at compiling literature on fire emissions in the Cerrado has led to a number of papers that do not explicitly estimate fire emissions itself, but rather discuss fire dynamics and parameters used to estimate emissions. This indicates that there is a gap in the literature regarding fire emissions estimates in the Cerrado. However, studies have indicated that fires in the Cerrado play an important role in the global carbon balance. For example, Van Der Werf et al. (2017) found that savanna fire emissions from the Southern Hemisphere South America region, which includes the Cerrado, averaged 0.14 PgC year <sup>-1</sup> over 20 years, accounting for more than 6% of global fire emissions per year. Similarly, and from a

	national perspective, da Silva Junior et al. (2020) have shown Cerrado fires contribute more than 32% of the Brazilian total fire emissions (about 0.13 PgC year <sup>-1</sup> over the 20 years).
	Our review also indicates that published literature fails to analyze fire emissions from a holistic approach in the Cerrado. Including the perspectives of fire culture, ecology and policy within emissions is essential given the importance of fire to the biome. However, studies that discuss these aspects often do not discuss it from an emissions perspective. Despite the difficulty in quantifying the social and cultural aspects of fire, the lack of inclusion of these in fire emission estimates could also be due to the shift towards recognizing fire as essential to the Cerrado being recent, especially when compared to other fire-prone settings. For example, the WALFA project in northern Australia became entirely active in 2005 (Russell-Smith et al., 2013), where traditional people, scientists and governmental institutions collaborate to reduce fire emissions from fire management activities (Russell-Smith et al., 2013). Meanwhile, the Pilot Integrated Fire Management project in the Cerrado started in 2014 (Schmidt et al., 2018)."
Most importantly, the authors fail to deliver on their main goal as it is not clear the importance of Cerrado's emissions to the global carbon budget. The authors also fail to conclude what is obvious for the reader: that there is barely any literature on fire emissions in the Cerrado, especially if comparing with other biomes worldwide	Thank you. We have made clearer in the manuscript by answering the research question more directly, and by explicitly stating the lack of published material on fire emissions in the Cerrado. These can be found in the paragraphs added to the Discussion section, and in the sentence added to the Conclusion section:
Companing with other biomes wondwide.	"Aiming at compiling literature on fire emissions in the Cerrado has led to a number of papers that do not explicitly estimate fire emissions itself, but rather discuss fire dynamics and parameters used to estimate emissions. This indicates that there is a gap in the literature regarding fire emissions estimates in the Cerrado. However, studies have indicated that

	fires in the Cerrado play an important role in the global carbon balance. For example, Van Der Werf et al. (2017) found that savanna fire emissions from the Southern Hemisphere South America region, which includes the Cerrado, averaged 0.14 PgC year <sup>-1</sup> over 20 years, accounting for more than 6% of global fire emissions per year. Similarly, and from a national perspective, da Silva Junior et al. (2020) have shown Cerrado fires contribute more than 32% of the Brazilian total fire emissions (about 0.13 PgC year <sup>-1</sup> over the 20 years).
	Our review also indicates that published literature fails to analyze fire emissions from a holistic approach in the Cerrado. Including the perspectives of fire culture, ecology and policy within emissions is essential given the importance of fire to the biome. However, studies that discuss these aspects often do not discuss it from an emissions perspective. Despite the difficulty in quantifying the social and cultural aspects of fire, the lack of inclusion of these in fire emission estimates could also be due to the shift towards recognizing fire as essential to the Cerrado being recent, especially when compared to other fire-prone settings. For example, the WALFA project in northern Australia became entirely active in 2005 (Russell-Smith et al., 2013), where traditional people, scientists and governmental institutions collaborate to reduce fire emissions from fire management activities (Russell-Smith et al., 2013). Meanwhile, the Pilot Integrated Fire Management project in the Cerrado started in 2014 (Schmidt et al., 2018)." "This review demonstrates that papers fail to report on fire emissions itself, with fire dynamics and parameters used to estimate emissions in the Cerrado often being the focus
They also do not discuss the mitigation	emissions in the Cerrado often being the focus of published literature."
potential for Brazil in LU emissions, and the	use, but rather land management in the context

impact and importance of such policy changes in keeping to the 1.5°C goal (see Roe et al., 2019 in Nature Climate Change).	of the potential of fire management in mitigating fire emissions in the Cerrado. With reference to fire management, we have added the impact and importance of fire policies in keeping to the 1.5oC goal in the Discussion section: Thus, this review indicates a critical need to develop interdisciplinary studies to bridge fire policies and fire emissions in the Cerrado. Understanding fire dynamics, including the opportunities for mitigating emissions from fire activities, is essential for recognizing fire's role in achieving global environmental and climate targets. For instance, Martin (2019) identifies United Nations Sustainable Development Goals that are related to fire and land management, including fire management, as goals 3 (good health and well-being), 13 (climate action), and 15 (life on land). These impact the 2015 Paris Agreement target to limit warming to 1.5 °C by 2100. The Paris Agreement outlines commitments for climate actions and acknowledges the importance of mitigation and removal actions, where fire management can play an important role. The 1.5 °C target is ambitious, yet achievable if great effort is put into mitigating emissions and removing carbon, with Brazil holding the highest mitigation potential in the land sector (Roe et al., 2019). Together with other countries, improved forest management – which includes fire management – in Brazil could be able to increase carbon removal by 40 GtCO <sub>2</sub> by 2050 (Roe et al., 2019). Climate change increasingly affects fires, and adaptation and mitigation activities are essential to limit these effects (Burton et al., 2024). Direct human impacts may offset the effects of climate change in fire worldwide (Burton et al., 2024), especially in fire-prone environments, and this is an opportunity to investigate the potential of fire management to mitigate emissions in the Cerrado, and to understand fire emissions in the biome. Pathwavs towards improving fire emissions in
	mitigate emissions in the Cerrado, and to understand fire emissions in the biome. Pathways towards improving fire emissions in the Cerrado include connecting observational

	information with modeling and a better assessment and quantification of the impact of qualitative aspects in fire estimates. Examples of how this can be achieved is by valuing prescribed burning emissions and including these in fire modeling, representing fire management in land surface models, using on- site observations to assess models' utility and as input data to modeling, and incorporating non-carbon aspects of fire in fire emission estimates, such as the ecological, social and cultural aspects. These could address uncertainty and improve models' accuracy, thus providing better accounting of fire emissions in the Cerrado and worldwide."
Line 441: I believe the authors are confusing emission factors with carbon emissions.	This sentence refers to the complexity in estimating fire emissions, reflected in the different values and units reported in Table 2. Emission factors are reported in Table 1, while table 2 summarizes fire emissions in the Cerrado found in the literature, which are estimated through different methods and thus result in different values.
Line 327: "low fuel moisture and low flammable biomass" if there is low fuel moisture, there should be high flammability. Please clarify.	Figure 4 was edited and this sentence was excluded from the legend.
Line 380: "GFED relies on the study done by () to quantify emissions worldwide" GFED doesn't rely on Van Der Werf et al. (2017). Its fourth version is described in that paper. Moreover, "small burned areas detection derived from MODIS" seems to entail that GFED did not rely on MODIS, which is incorrect. Please clarify how small burned areas were included in the GFED dataset (which also relies on active fire information).	Thank you. These were fixed in the text and replaced by "GFED quantifies fire emissions globally, and estimations are based on MODIS burned area products and on the Carnegie– Ames–Stanford Approach (CASA) model (Van Der Werf et al., 2017). Version 4s of GFED also includes small burned area detection to improve its results, and small burned area detection in GFED4s relies on MODIS burned area product, on active fire from MODIS, and on surface reflectance observations (Van Der Werf et al., 2017)."

Line 558-559: how are fire emissions a sink of CO2?	This was not phrased correctly and 'fire emissions' was replaced by 'fire dynamics'.
Standardize units throughout the manuscript (e.g. Pg year-1 or Pg per year)	The manuscript has been revised to standardize units to Pg year <sup>-1</sup> .
Please write biome in lowercase.	Biome is now in lowercase throughout the manuscript.
Line 502: "we found" should be "Van Der Werf et al. (2017) found"	"We found" replaced by "Van der Werf et al. (2017) found".
Authors' contribution is missing an author.	All authors were included in the sentence "All authors interpreted and analyzed data." To make this clearer, the sentence is now replaced by "RMV, CvR, CB, DIK, MC and FM interpreted and analyzed data".
Either "burnt area" or "burned area". Both are used in the manuscript.	"burnt area" replaced by "burned area" throughout the manuscript.
Figure 3 has 25 papers in the Cerrado, while the text mentions 26 (line 182).	The correct is 26 papers. This has been fixed in the new Figure 3.
Figure 3 and 4 could be merged into one.	Figures 3 and 4 were merged into the new Figure 3.
Figure captions need to be much more detailed.	<ul> <li>Figure captions were improved as follows:</li> <li>Figure 1: Adapted PRISMA flow diagram demonstrating the systematic literature review process divided into three steps: identification of potential papers through searched terms in the Google Scholar database, and exclusion of papers based in the four criteria established for this research; screening of the papers selected and exclusion of papers with the reported reasons; and inclusion of papers in this literature review.</li> <li>Figure 2: Number of papers published per year from the 69 papers included in this literature review, from 2003 to 2022.</li> </ul>

•	Figure 3: Number of papers per study design and per coverage of study area in
	both percentage (chart) and actual numbers (data table).
•	Figure 4 (new figure added in response to
	lead the papers selected for this review):
	Division of the institutions of the first authors from the papers reviews. The chart on the
	left indicates that 43 papers involve first
	authors from international (non-Brazilian)
	institutions, of which 10 are within the
	Cerrado region. The chart on the right
	papers, 14 involve authors from Brazilian
	institutions, while 29 do not.
•	Figure 5: Variables associated with estimating fire emissions in the Cerrado
	found in the literature. The Cerrados's
	physiognomies, separated into forests,
	fuel load and decrease in fuel moisture from
	forests to grasslands. Microclimatic
	conditions also change across the
	and air temperature, and decreasing
	relative humidity from forests to grasslands.
	wet and dry seasons. The wet season is
	characterized by high precipitation, lightning
	ignitions and accumulated biomass,
	low precipitation, anthropogenic ignitions
	and flammable biomass. Fuel
	conditions (circle boxes) and ignition
	(hexagon boxes) interact (dashed lines) to
	determine the Cerrado's fire behavior. Two aspects of fire behavior are presented
	(numbers 1 and 2): 1) fire spread increases
	from forests to grasslands; 2) fire intensity
	physiognomies, seasonality and fire
	behavior together drive the size of burned
	area, resulting in fire emissions (solid lines).

	<ul> <li>High-intensity fires typically consume more fuel, leading to higher combustion efficiency and more complete combustion.</li> <li>Combustion completeness then affects the proportion of biomass converted into carbon and released into the atmosphere, also resulting in fire emissions (solid lines). The image representing the Cerrado's physiognomies was adapted from the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (Embrapa n.d.).</li> <li>Figure 6: Treemap of the methodological techniques used across study areas in empirical papers. The numbers represent the number of studies of each methodological technique within each study area. The study areas Global, Tropical region, South America and Brazil are regions that include results for the Cerrado. Some papers combine different techniques and are double-counted.</li> </ul>
Line 489: dos Santos et al. (2021) found that fire management reduced LDS in 3 PAs of the Cerrado, not in "areas of the Cerrado", this should be clear.	This refers to the Schmidt et al. (2018) reference. The sentence was replaced by "Fire management has reduced LDS area burned by 40-57% in the three PAs encompassed in the Cerrado-Jalapão project during the first three years (2014-2016) of implementation (Schmidt et al., 2018)."