Overview of changes

We would like to thank the reviewers for providing thorough and constructive reviews of our manuscript. We overwhelmingly agreed with their comments and have revised our manuscript accordingly.

In summary, our major revisions include:

(1) Revision of our conclusions regarding the potential future behaviour of the west AP glaciers
(2) More robust change point analysis that is less sensitive to seasonal variations in discharge, and improved clarity throughout the manuscript regarding which glaciers were included in the analysis
(3) A new boxplot figure to complement the information shown in map-form in Figure 4

In addition, we have made a number of minor or technical corrections.

Below, we have reproduced the comments from both reviewers in black, with our response in blue. We have also appended a copy of the manuscript with the proposed changes marked.

Responses to comments from Reviewer #1

Summary: The authors apply change point analysis to an existing ice discharge dataset (created by the lead author) and then compare the results to time series of terminus position and environmental change. ERA5 air temperatures and CTD time series from the Palmer LTER are used to construct records of environmental change. The authors find that discharge increased around 2018, coinciding with both anomalously warm ocean and air temperatures. However, there is variability in the timing and magnitude of discharge change, such that it is difficult to determine the exact cause of the discharge variability. Still, the authors suggest that the widespread increase in discharge that is observed in recent years is an indicator of ongoing and future sensitivity to climate change across the region.

The paper is well written and fairly easy to read, with the exception of a few minor points described below. I appreciate the use of example glaciers but particularly like the map figures for all glaciers in the region (Figure 4) since it clearly shows variability in discharge change that is difficult to decipher from the example glaciers. Most recommended revisions are minor in nature, with the exception of a few points regarding the way that the change in discharge is described and the discussion of the change with respect to environmental forcings.

We are grateful to the reviewer for their thorough and constructive review of our manuscript. We overwhelmingly agree with their comments, and we have revised our manuscript accordingly. In particular, we have (1) included new figures to illustrate the change in discharge trend along the west coast of the AP (box plots/histograms); (2) included a more robust analysis of trend change that quantifies the impact of aliasing seasonal discharge changes; this analysis affects the number of glaciers detected with significant trend changes, but does not affect our conclusions; (3) revised our wording regarding potential future changes in discharge, given the uncertainty in the forcing and the apparent affect of glacier specific factors that will evolve as individual glaciers retreat or advance in future; (4) included more discussion of the spatial and temporal variability in discharge change shown in Figure 4.

Major Comments:

1. I appreciate the use of change point analysis to identify changes in linear trends in discharge in the dataset because it minimizes user bias in the trend interpretation. That said, I think that the way the trends are discussed is a bit confusing/misleading at times. The time series seems quite short – 2017-2023 – yet the authors interpret the trends over as little as ~2 years to be indicative of longer-term changes. This might not be the authors' intention but presentation of trends over time as Gt/y/decade implicitly implies that the trends will persist for decadal time scales. Why not present the trends as Gt/y^2 as has been done elsewhere? Additionally, the authors point to the observed variability in discharge trends as an indicator of differences in sensitivity to environmental forcings that is at least partially due to differences in glacier geometry but then conclude that "discharge increases may continue and become more widespread". The observed variations in discharge change for individual glaciers and the apparent dependence on geometry means that the observed years-long changes in discharge will likely not persist on decadal time scales at individual glaciers or across the entire region in the coming decades. I recommend carefully revising wording to not make to many large leaps in interpretation of discharge change over the coming decades at the individual and regional scales.

   Good point regarding the trend unit. We included trends in Gt/yr/decade in the original manuscript because the trends in Gt/yr/yr are small (though still statistically significant), so presenting them in Gt/yr/decade made them more readable. We now present them as Mt/yr/yr, which is both readable and avoids implying longer term evolution.

   We agree with the reviewer's comment regarding the inconsistency and overzealousness of our interpretation regarding the future behaviour of these glaciers. We have revised our wording throughout the manuscript accordingly, instead focusing on the poorly understood spatial variability and temporal variability in glacier response, and therefore the need for further investigation, given that atmospheric and ocean warming are likely to continue.

2. I think the use of example glaciers is really helpful when including such a large sample size in an analysis. That said, upon careful reading of the methods, I started to wonder if the ten glaciers that you focus on are used for more than just demonstration. On lines 81-82 you say they were selected for detailed examination because they have the strong changes in discharge trends. Does that mean the interpretation of "regional" change throughout the rest of the manuscript is entirely based on analysis of those 10 glaciers? Or are those glaciers simply used to emphasize the potential for large change? If you only analyzed data for those ten glaciers, then that needs to be made much more clear throughout the paper because you are not really performing a regionally-representative analysis if you are focusing on glaciers with end-member change.

   We apologise for not making it clearer in the manuscript which glaciers were used to support our interpretation. Throughout the manuscript, all statistics draw on all glaciers shown in Figure 4, and we have revised the wording in the manuscript to make this clearer. The 10 example glaciers shown in Figure 2 are only used to illustrate what the trend change 'looks like' at the glaciers with the largest trend changes.

3. Cryohydrologic warming seems like an extremely unlikely cause for the observed changes in discharge. It is true that refreezing meltwater can increase deformation rates but I find it unlikely that it could cause 100s of meters of added deformation each year (inferred from Figure 2). I recommend that you either add estimates of cryohydrologic warming-enhanced flow for other locations from the literature and a comparison with changes in speed along the western AP, or you remove the mechanism as an explanation for enhanced discharge.

   We have removed cryohydrologic warming as a suggested mechanism contributing to the observed increase in glacier speed.

Minor Comments:

- I don't think you need to describe the discharge dataset in great detail because it is already described in a published paper, but a brief description should be included to make it easier for the reader to interpret this paper. This statement is particularly true given that the referenced paper is still in discussion. Some basic details like the locations of the gates, the number of study sites, and any bias estimates or corrections are needed here. For example, what does "all glaciers and basin definitions" on line 70 mean?

  We agree our description in the initial manuscript was too brief. We have revised this section so that it now includes a description of the number and location of flux gates, some more detail on the applied corrections and more description of the glacier basins used in this study.

- Is there a limit to the number of observations over which the trends in discharge can be calculated? Or did you only limit the time period over which you will accept change points (20 months cut from each end)? How/why did you decide to exclude 20 months in particular? Did you force the trendlines to include full years of data to prevent the trends from becoming amplified if fit to partial years (for example an austral summer minimum, across a full year, to an austral winter maximum)?

  The trends in discharge can be calculated over a maximum of 88 observations (leaving 20 or more observations at either end). We decided to set 20 months/observations as the minimum to reduce aliasing of seasonal signals (our study period ends after the austral summer and typical timing of peak summer velocity). However, to further reduce seasonal aliasing, we performed a sensitivity analysis by re-calculating the trend change for all glaciers after shifting the change point by +/- 3 months in increments of 1 month. We only retained glaciers that exhibited a >=50 % increase in discharge trend for all 7 possible change points, resulting in 42 glaciers identified as having a significant and sustained increase in grounding line discharge. We now also include some statistics and one figure to compare this set of glaciers with all other glaciers on the west AP. We have modified our wording throughout the manuscript to clarify which population of glaciers we are referring to.

- I really like Figure 4 but I’d also love to see histograms or box plots of the trends before and after the change point as well as the timing of the change point. Those figures would make it easier to determine synchronicity/uniformity in the data.

  This is a really helpful suggestion. We have included a new figure (Figure 5) in which the data in Figure 4 are presented as box plots. We think this illustrates how the 42 glaciers with significant change are distinct from most of the glaciers on the west AP and shows that the majority of those 42 glaciers accelerated around 2021.

Responses to comments from Reviewer #2

General comments

Davison et al. combined several datasets for glacier discharge, air temperature from ERA5, modeled runoff from RACMO, and manually-delineated terminus positions to identify and analyze a quasi-synchronous increase in discharge for glaciers on the West Antarctic Peninsula since 2018. They used change point analysis to identify the timing and relative magnitude of changes in discharge throughout the region.

Overall, the paper is well written and easy to follow. The authors provide a thoughtful discussion of mechanisms and uncertainties for attributing the regional speed up to ocean and air temperatures, and target this area for future bathymetric / bed mapping for better understanding, particularly related to submarine melt processes. I think this is a valuable contribution to the broader Antarctic and glaciological scientific communities. I have a few technical suggestions for improving the clarity of the text, noted below.

We thank the reviewer for their constructive feedback on the manuscript. We overwhelmingly agree with each of the comments and have implemented all of them (bar the last technical correction) in the revised version of the manuscript.

Technical corrections

- L18: “near-glacier circulation” - add “ocean” or “water” Done
- L61: “Ground line discharge is the mass…” Nitpicky note here: I suggest adding something to indicate the rate or flux of mass. It sounds more like a static variable in this sentence. Or simplify to e.g., “...the rate of mass flowing across the glacier grounding line towards the sea.” Yes, we tied ourselves in knots a bit there. We have rephrased as suggested.
- Figure 1. Nicely laid out figure. In panel (a), I suggest using a sequential, more colorblind friendly colormap for the Speed variable, particularly to better distinguish it from the Speed change colors in panel (b). We have changed this to the ‘thermal’ colormap in the cmocean collection of colormaps, which are all perceptually uniform.
- L74: “Change points are…” I find this sentence confusing, can you rephrase? I think by “discharge trend” you mean the linear trend or regression coefficient, but it would help to be more specific. We have rephrased this section in response to this comment and a similar comment from another reviewer.
- Figure 4: Really nice figure! This is very helpful for interpreting your results. Thanks!
- Figure 6: Consider a different basemap e.g., LIMA or Sentinel-2 images for the map view panels. REMA is very blurry esp. for Wiggins and Bussey glaciers and not particularly useful for interpretation. We have revised this figure and now use the 15x15 m LIMA product as a basemap.
- L210: “was” We think “were” is correct

Widespread increase in discharge from West Antarctic Peninsula glaciers since 2018

Benjamin J. Davison[1], Anna E. Hogg[1], Carlos Moffat[2], Michael P. Meredith[3], Benjamin, J. Wallis[1]
[1]School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom
[2]School of Marine Science and Policy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA
[3]British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Correspondence to: Benjamin J. Davison (b.davison@leeds.ac.uk)

Abstract. Many glaciers on the Antarctic Peninsula have retreated and accelerated in recent decades. Here we show that there was a widespread, quasi-synchronous and sustained increase in grounding line discharge from glaciers on the west coast of the Antarctic Peninsula since 2018. Overall, west Antarctic Peninsula discharge trends increased by over a factor of three, from ~~0.5 Gt/y/decade~~50 Mt yr^{-2} during 2017 to 2020 up to ~~1.6 Gt/y/decade~~160 Mt yr^{-2} in the years following, leading to a 7.4 % increase in grounding line discharge ~~increase of 7 Gt/y (7.4%)~~ since 2017. The acceleration in discharge was concentrated at glaciers connected to deep, cross-shelf troughs hosting warm ocean waters, and the acceleration occurred during a period of anomalously high subsurface water temperatures on the continental shelf. Given that many of the affected glaciers have retreated over the past several decades in response to ocean warming, thereby highlighting their sensitivity to ocean forcing, we argue that the recent period of anomalously warm water was likely a key driver of the observed acceleration. However, the acceleration also occurred during a time of anomalously high atmospheric temperatures and glacier surface runoff, which could have contributed to speed-up by directly increasing basal water pressure and, by invigorating near-glacier ocean circulation, increasing submarine melt rates. The spatial pattern of glacier acceleration therefore provides an indication of glaciers that are exposed to warm ocean water at depth and/or have active surface-to-bed hydrological connections; however, many stages in the chain of events leading to glacier acceleration, and how that response is affected by glacier-specific factors, remain ~~poorly~~insufficiently understood. Both atmospheric and ocean temperatures in this region and its surroundings are likely to increase further in the coming decades,~~, suggesting that discharge increases may continue and become more widespread.~~ therefore there is a pressing need to improve our understanding of recent changes in Antarctic Peninsula glacier dynamics in response ~~to recent changes in~~ atmospheric and oceanic ~~conditions~~changes in order to improve projections of their behaviour over the coming century.

1 Introduction

The Antarctic Peninsula (AP) hosts over 800 tidewater glaciers, which collectively hold an ice mass equivalent to 69±5 mm of global sea level rise (Huss and Farinotti, 2014). Substantial changes in glacier and ice shelf area have occurred across the AP since the mid-20th century (Cook and Vaughan, 2010; Doake and Vaughan, 1991; Rott et al., 1996). Many studies have focused on changes to AP ice shelves, including the retreat of Wordie Ice Shelf from 1966 to 1989 (Doake and Vaughan, 1991; Vaughan and Doake, 1996), Prince Gustav Ice Shelf during 1989 to 1995 (Cooper, 1997), Larsen-A in 1995 (Rott et al., 1996), Larsen-B in 2002 (Rack and Rott, 2004; Scambos et al., 2003) and Wilkins Ice Shelf in 2008 (Braun et al., 2009). These changes in ice shelf area have generally been attributed to rising surface air temperatures, leading to extensive melt ponding, hydrofracture and rapid successive calving of elongate icebergs parallel to the ice shelf edge (Scambos et al., 2009). Glacier acceleration and thinning has followed the collapse of these ice shelves due to loss of ice shelf buttressing – the Larsen-B tributary glaciers have become a heavily researched example of this response (Rignot et al., 2004; Scambos et al., 2004; Wuite et al., 2015; Rott et al., 2018; Seehaus et al., 2018). Although the well-documented initial acceleration and subsequent deceleration of those glaciers was substantial, measurements of AP mass change over recent decades remain uncertain because of very large uncertainties in bed elevation and surface mass balance (Rignot et al., 2019; Gardner et al., 2018; Hansen et al., 2021; Rott et al., 2018), though recent efforts to downscale regional climate model output has led to significant improvements (Noël et al., 2023).

Outside of ice shelf tributary glaciers, tidewater glaciers on the AP have received less research attention. The majority of such glaciers on the west coast have retreated since at least the 1980s (Cook et al., 2005; Cook and Vaughan, 2010; Cook et al., 2014), seemingly in response to increased flow of relatively warm (> 1°C) Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW) onto the continental shelf south of Bransfield Strait (Cook et al., 2016). Glaciers in the southwest AP draining into the George VI Ice Shelf and Bellingshausen Sea have accelerated (Hogg et al., 2017) and thinned (Wouters et al., 2015) since the late-2000s. In addition to these long-term changes in area, speed and thickness, many glaciers along the west AP coast appear to undergo seasonal changes in ice velocity (Wallis et al., 2023b; Boxall et al., 2022), which may be driven by changes in surface and upper-layer ocean temperature, surface-derived meltwater flow at the ice-bed interface, changes in sea ice coverage or some combination thereof. Pulses of meltwater supply to the ice-bed interface, caused by rapid supraglacial lake drainage or extreme melt events, may cause some glaciers on the AP to undergo rapid, short-lived accelerations (Tuckett et al., 2019) but, insofar as they do occur, they remain challenging to detect (Rott et al., 2020).

More recently, a large and sustained acceleration and retreat of Cadman Glacier on the west AP has been documented (Wallis et al., 2023a). This acceleration and retreat began in 2018 during a period of anomalously high subsurface ocean temperatures on the continental shelf, due to an incursion of warm CDW. Whilst the glaciers immediately adjacent to Cadman Glacier were protected from this incursion of warm CDW by shallow sills, many glaciers on the west AP will not have such protective sills, raising the possibility of a more widespread response of glaciers on the west AP. Identifying and attributing such a response is important because understanding drivers of grounded ice speed change is informative for interpreting present-day glacier mass changes and for reducing uncertainties in projections of future glacier mass change. In this study, we examine changes in ice speed, grounding line discharge, terminus positions and ocean temperature along a substantial section of the west AP (Figure 1) during this period of anomalously high atmospheric and subsurface ocean temperature.

2 Methods

2.1 Grounding line discharge

Grounding line discharge is the rate of mass ~~of ice crossing the point at which the glacier is last in contact with the underlying topography as it flows seawards~~flowing across the glacier grounding line towards the sea. In the case of tidewater glaciers with relatively stable termini, it approximates the calving flux. We use the ~~monthly grounding line discharge~~ dataset of Davison et al. (2023),~~;~~ which provides monthly-average grounding line discharge through 16 flux gates located between 3 and 6 km upstream of the MEaSUREs grounding line (Mouginot et al., 2017)~~ (Mouginot et al., 2017)~~; readers are referred to ~~that paper~~Davison et al. (2023) for full methodological details. For the purposes of this study, we use the 'FrankenBed' version of the discharge dataset, which uses a 100x100 m bedrock grid for the Antarctic Peninsula (Huss and Farinotti, 2014), removes firn air content using the Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research Utrecht Firn Densification Model ~~–~~(Veldhuijsen~~, Sanne~~ et al., 2022)~~ (Veldhuijsen et al., 2022)~~ and accounts for changes in surface elevation over time using time-dependent polynomial fits to observed surface elevation changes posted on a 5x5 km grid at quarterly intervals (Shepherd et al., 2019). The correction for firn air content affects the total grounding line discharge through each basin but has no impact on the trends in grounding line discharge. The correction for changes in surface elevation results in an overall 1 % decrease in grounding line discharge from 1996 to 2021, and thus is not expected to significantly affect grounding line discharge trends at the majority of glaciers examined here. Some glaciers on the west AP, such as Cadman Glacier, have undergone substantial thinning in recent years (Wallis et al., 2023a)~~ (Wallis et al., 2023)~~, and those changes are included in this dataset. During the study period (2017 to ~~-~~2023), all the discharge estimates are calculated using 100x100 m velocity estimates derived from intensity tracking of Sentinel-1 6- and 12-day image pairs, making them particularly suitable for resolving changes in speed on the relatively



Figure 1. Study area overview. (a) April 2014 to April 2024 mean ice speed and bathymetry (Morlighem et al., 2020) of the Antarctic Peninsula. Routinely repeated Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) stations from the Palmer Long-Term Ecological Research programme shown by numbered and coloured dots. The crossed dots indicate CTD stations acquired since 2009. Glacier drainage basins (Cook et al., 2014) are outlined in black and the inset shows basins Hp-I and West Graham Land outlined in red. (b) Ice speed change between the periods 2017/04/01 to 2020/09/01 and 2020/04/01 to 2023/09/01, as a percentage of the long-term average speed. DB in (b) indicates Darbel Bay.

narrow outlet glaciers of the AP. The discharge dataset includes ~~all glaciers and basin definitions~~discharge time-series for all glacier basins on the AP as defined by Cook et al. (2014)~~(2014)~~.~~; in~~ In this study, we restrict our analysis to 569 glaciers in the west AP, which we define as basins whose centre coordinate falls within West Graham Land or basin Hp-I, as defined by Mouginot et al. (2017) (Figure 1).

2.2 Discharge change point

For each tidewater glacier basin on the west AP, we used change point analysis to identify the single most substantial change in grounding line discharge linear trends since 2017. Change points ~~are~~ were defined as the time at which the linear discharge trends before and after the change point differ the most. To reduce aliasing seasonal discharge variability, we excluded change points falling within 20 months (25 %) of the beginning or end of the study period. For all basins, we calculated the linear discharge trend before and after the identified change point to highlight glaciers that underwent a trend acceleration or even a trend reversal. Although we calculate a change point for all glaciers, we note that not all glaciers underwent a significant change in discharge. To identify glaciers with a significant acceleration, we isolated basins where the discharge trend during the second period was positive, at least 50 % greater than during the first period and where the P-value of the trend during the second period was less than 0.1 – we chose not to restrict our analysis just to basins with more significant trends (e.g. $P < 0.05$) because of the short time periods over which trends were calculated. We then further tested for the sensitivity of the timing of the change point, by incrementing the change point in one-month intervals for three months either side of the initial change point. Only glaciers for which each of the above conditions were met using all seven change points were considered to have undergone a significant, sustained discharge trend change that was not sensitive to seasonal variability. ~~For all basins, we calculated the change in trend before and after the change point, in order to highlight glaciers that underwent a trend acceleration or even a trend reversal, from decelerating to accelerating. We excluded change points falling within 20 months~~



Figure 2. Speed change of selected glaciers between the periods 2017/04/01 to 2020/09/01 and 2020/04/01 to 2023/09/01. (a) Whitecloud, (b) Blanchard, (c) Montgolfier, (d) Moser, (e) Bolton, (f) Niepce, (g) Leay, (h) Wiggins, (i) Bussey, (j) Luke, (k) Comrie and, (l) Wilkinson Murphy. The background is the 15x15 m Landsat Image Mosaic of Antarctica (Bindschadler et al., 2008).

~~(25 %) of the beginning or end of the study period, to minimise aliasing of seasonal discharge variability. In this study~~Throughout this study, we present discharge trends and trend changes for all glaciers identified as having undergone a significant and sustained discharge trend change, focusing on the timing and spatial distribution of those changes with respect to changes in atmospheric and oceanic conditions. Furthermore, ten~~10~~ of those glaciers with the strongest changes in discharge trend (locations in Figure 1) were selected for detailed examination and for demonstration of the discharge trend changes.~~, being the ones with the strongest changes in discharge trend and hence the ones from which the relevant dynamics are most likely to be ascertainable (Figure 1).~~

2.3 Terminus positions

For each of the ten~~10~~ example glaciers~~ selected~~, we measured interannual changes in glacier terminus position by delineating termini in all available cloud-free Sentinel-2 imagery between February and May each year from 2016 to 2023. Higher frequency measurements show that there is seasonal terminus advance and retreat along the west AP, with the most advanced positions generally occurring at the end of the a~~A~~ustral winter and the most retreated positions occurring at the end of summer (Wallis et al., 2023b). By focusing on Sentinel-2 imagery from February to May, our measurements approximate the seasonally most retreated position whilst avoiding the difficulties posed by low radar backscatter during the melt events and by Digital Elevation Model artefacts that can affect Sentinel-1 Ground Range Detected imagery in this area of steep topography. We perform the terminus delineations in the Google Earth Engine Digitisation Tool (GEEDiT), and use the multi-centreline method in the Margin Change Quantification Tool (MaQiT) to calculate width-averaged terminus position change for each



Figure 3. Grounding line discharge change at selected glaciers. In each panel, grounding line discharge change (relative to the 2017 mean) and associated error are shown as black dots and grey shading. The timing of the change in discharge trend is shown by the dashed line with the date labelled. The linear trends before and after the change point are shown in magenta and green respectively. The red shading indicates the austral summer (December through February).

glacier (Lea, 2018). When calculating width-averaged terminus position change, we only include sections of the terminus delineated at every measurement epoch.

2.4 Atmospheric and ocean temperature change

We extract daily 2 m atmospheric temperatures over the west AP from 1979 through 2023 from ERA5 reanalyses (Hersbach et al., 2020) and calculate daily anomalies relative to the 1979-2008 daily climatology. We calculate ocean temperature anomalies along five Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) sections occupied during the Palmer Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) programme (Smith et al., 1995). The Palmer LTER CTD dataset provides quasi-annual snapshots of conservative ocean temperature, typically during January, along transects from beyond the continental shelf break to near the west AP coastline. For this study, we selected the five transects occupied most frequently (locations in Figure 1), each separated by approximately 100 km, extending from Marguerite Bay in the south to Palmer Basin in the north. In 2009, the Palmer-LTER programme extended its sampling grid latitudinally but reduced its cross-shore resolution (Figure 1). Here, we calculate conservative temperature anomalies during each cruise relative to the 1999-2008 mean for each transect, during which time the programme was still using the high-resolution grid. We also examine daily runoff time-series from 5x5 km resolution RACMO2.3p2 (van Wessem et al., 2018).

3 Results

3.1 Acceleration of grounding line discharge

We observe widespread changes in speed on the AP between the April 2017 to ~~-~~September 2020 and April 2020 to ~~-~~September 2023 periods (Figure 1b; Figure 2). ~~The majority of~~Many tidewater glaciers draining the west AP accelerated by 5 to ~~-~~20 %



Figure 4. Overview of discharge trend changes. (a) Linear trend in discharge from January 2017 to the change point for each basin on the west coast of the Antarctic Peninsula. (b) Linear trend in discharge from the change point to July 2023. (c) The change in discharge trend before and after the change point, with positive values indicating a trend increase. (d) The timing of the discharge trend change. Basins with significant trends (P<0.05; a,b) or significant trend increases (see text for details; c,d) are outlined in black.

since April 2017, leading to an overall 7 Gt yr^{-1} (7.4 %) increase in west AP grounding line discharge. This acceleration was most pronounced in the fast-flowing trunks of the larger outlet glaciers and was clearest at Montgolfier Glacier, Niepce Glacier, Luke Glacier, Comrie Glacier and Wilkinson Murphy Glacier, where speeds increased by over 20 % (Figure 2). At some glaciers, such as Blanchard Glacier and Montgolfier Glacier, we observe ~~slow-down~~deceleration in the shear margins and around high elevation ice falls (Figure 2b, c), which we hypothesise is due to shear margin ~~damage~~ weakening and dynamic thinning, respectively.

Throughout the observation period, grounding line discharge ~~has~~ increased at 177 basins on the west AP, such that it was significantly correlated with time (R^2 >= 0.5 and P < 0.05)~~at almost every glacier basin in the west AP~~, whilst 49 basins underwent an overall decrease in grounding line discharge. For some basins, the discharge increase is relatively steady and is part of a longer-term trend – these glaciers are not the focus of this study. ~~In this study, w~~We instead focus on glaciers that underwent a notable ~~change~~ increase in ~~grounding line~~linear discharge trends between 2018 and 2021 (Figures 3 to 5 ~~3~~). To illustrate these linear trend increases, grounding line discharge at Wilkinson Murphy Glacier remained steady at 2017 levels, with fluctuations of magnitude less than 5 % from 2017 to June 2020, after which discharge increased at a rate of 3.4 % yr^{-1} to a maximum around 10 % greater than 2017 levels (Figure 3j). Similarly, the positive trends in discharge at Montgolfier Glacier, Niepce Glacier and Luke Glacier all increased by more than a factor of five between May 2021 and January 2022 (Figure 3b, d, h). Some glaciers, such as Moser Glacier, Leay Glacier and Bussey Glacier transitioned from a period of weakly declining discharge to very strongly increasing discharge during this broad period of acceleration (Figure 3c, e, g).

These large increases in linear discharge trends are widespread along the west AP (Figures 4 and 5). Overall, 97 of the 569 glaciers on the west AP exhibited a 50 % or greater increase in linear discharge trend. Of those 97 glaciers, 42 were insensitive to the timing of the discharge change point within a 7-month period~~ and are therefore considered to have significant and sustained increases in grounding line discharge trends that were insensitive to seasonal discharge changes~~. In comparison, only 7 glaciers underwent a significant decrease in discharge trend when calculated using the same methods. There is a clear spatial pattern to these increases in linear discharge trends: t~~T~~he majority of glaciers north of Blanchard Glacier and south of



Figure 5. Boxplot overview of discharge trend changes. (a) Linear trend in discharge from January 2017 to the change point for each basin on the west coast of the Antarctic Peninsula. (b) Linear trend in discharge from the change point to July 2023. (c) The change in discharge trend before and after the change point, with positive values indicating a trend increase. (d) The timing of the discharge trend change. Basins with significant trend increases (see text for details) are labelled 'Sig'.

Wilkinson Murphy Glacier generally had little change in discharge trend since 2017. ~~However, the majority of glaciers~~The majority of glaciers that underwent a significant increase in discharge were located in the central west AP, between Blanchard and Wilkinson Murphy glaciers~~, exhibited a significant increase in discharge trend, with significance determined as per above~~. Within the central west AP. ~~T~~there appears to be some clustering to the discharge changes.~~– s~~Some areas, such as Darbel Bay (location in Figure 1), host several glaciers that appear to have little change in discharge. In the case of Darbel Bay, the bathymetry is shallow (<100 m based on BedMachine v3; Morlighem et al., 2020), limiting the transport of warm CDW to the coast. However, other 'low responders' do not always coincide with areas of shallow bathymetry and sometimes have responsive neighbouring glaciers. As in Wallis et al. (2023a), these cases may reflect the presence of shallow bathymetric sills not captured by BedMachine v3, which would act as barriers to incursions of warm water below the sill depth (Bao and Moffat, 2024).

There is broad consistency in the timing of discharge trend changes amongst west AP glaciers (Figures 4 to ~~-~~6~~& 5~~). A vast majority of glaciers with significant discharge trend increases began to accelerate ~~during~~ between the ~~austral summer of 2020/2021~~November 2020 and November 2021 (Figure 5d and 6), though there is spread around this period (Figures 3~~, &~~ 4d and 5d). Prior to the change point for each glacier, there was a range of discharge trends, with some glaciers decelerating, accelerating or remaining approximately steady with less discharge than in 2023 (Figures 5 and 6~~5~~). Since the ~~approximate time of that summer~~2020/2021 austral summer, however, there has been a widespread, quasi-synchronous acceleration of glaciers along a large section of the central west AP, leading to peak discharge at or near the end of our observations in 2023 (Figure 6~~5~~).

3.2 Terminus position change

We examined changes in terminus position at the end of the austral summer from 2016 to 2023 at our 10 example glaciers. Perhaps surprisingly, inter-annual terminus position changes at 7 of the 10 selected glaciers is negligible or not discernible from seasonal fluctuations in terminus position (not shown). Bussey Glacier exhibited modest but clear retreat of just 20 m on average and by 150 m on its true left margin (Figure 7~~6~~). Wiggins Glacier experienced slightly greater retreat of over 100 m averaged across the width of the terminus and by approximately 240 m at the most affected section (Figure 6). Wilkinson



Figure 6. Discharge change across the west Antarctic Peninsula. (a) Frequency-density of normalized discharge time-series. Only west AP basins with a trend increase of more than 50 % (N=97) were included to illustrate the synchronicity of the acceleration. (b) Grounding line discharge change (relative to the 2017 mean) of West Graham Land and associated error are shown as black dots and grey shading. The dashed line shows the timing of the change in discharge trend. The magenta and green lines show the linear trends before and after the change point. The red shading indicates the austral summer (December through February).

Murphy Glacier retreated by 1 km on average since 2017 and by over 1.5 km across much of its fast-flowing centre (Figure 7~~6~~). The timing of terminus position changes at these glaciers broadly coincides with the observed changes in grounding line discharge, with the majority of retreat occurring since 2019.

3.3 Ocean temperature change

The conservative temperature anomalies from the Palmer LTER CTD transects (locations in Figure 1) clearly show a warming trend on the west AP continental shelf below 100 m from 1993 to 2021, and a cooling trend above 100 m (Figures 8~~7~~ and~~&~~ 9~~8~~). The significant linear trends in water temperature across all transects range from 0.02 °C dec^{-1} to 0.21 °C dec^{-1}. Of particular relevance to this study, from 2018 to 2021 there was a positive temperature anomaly at 100 to ~~-~~200 m depth that built to a peak of over 1°C above the long-term average in December 2021, with an anomaly maximum around 100 m depth (Figures 8~~7~~ and~~&~~ 9~~8~~). There is variability superimposed on these trends; for example, there was a period of more rapid warming below 100 m during the 1990s. In addition, the summers of 2013 through to 2017 were generally cooler than the summers of 2007 through to 2009 along transect 200 (Figure 8~~7~~). These patterns are well-documented by several other publications (e.g. Cook et al., 2016; Martinson et al., 2008) and the warm periods are associated with sea ice coverage changes and wind-driven CDW warming and shoaling within the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (Moffat and Meredith, 2018; Schmidtko et al., 2014), allowing more and warmer CDW to access the continental shelf.

4. Discussion

Many glaciers on the west AP have been retreating over recent decades (Cook et al., 2005). This retreat appears to have a strong latitudinal pattern, with southern glaciers retreating faster, driven by a long-term increase in subsurface ocean temperatures (Cook et al., 2016; Meredith and King, 2005), caused in turn by warming, shoaling and greater penetration of CDW onto the continental shelf (Moffat and Meredith, 2018). In addition, many of the west AP glaciers are clearly responsive to shorter-term changes in ocean temperature and, possibly, surface melt supply, resulting in seasonal changes in ice velocity and terminus position (Wallis et al., 2023b; Boxall et al., 2022). Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the west AP glaciers could be responsive to multi-year anomalies in subsurface ocean temperature and/or meltwater supply. Our observations reveal a widespread, quasi-synchronous and sustained increase in grounding line discharge across the west AP, centred around the austral summer of 2021 (Figures 3 to ~~-~~6~~5~~). The response is concentrated in the central west AP, where warm CDW accesses the glaciers via deep, cross-shelf troughs in the continental shelf. The majority of glaciers further north, which are not exposed



Figure 7. Overview of terminus position changes at four of the selected glaciers. The left column (a, c, e) show width-averaged terminus position change relative to the first measurement. The right column (b, d, f) illustrates the location of the terminus at each measurement time, overlaid on the 15x15 m Landsat Image Mosaic of Antarctica (Bindschadler et al., 2008). The units in (b), (d) and (f) are 1000 km and the projection is South Polar Stereographic (EPSG 3031).

to CDW, exhibit muted or no change in grounding line discharge trends (Fig 4c). There is variability in the timing and magnitude of glacier response along the coast, which will be governed by individual glacier geometry (Seehaus et al., 2018), proximal fjord bathymetry (Wallis et al., 2023a; Bao and Moffat, 2024) as well as the competition between distinct processes (e.g. cross-shelf transport and modification of CDW vs transport of cold water from the Weddell Sea around the tip of the Peninsula) setting the subsurface ocean temperature (Moffat and Meredith, 2018; Venables et al., 2017). In places, this results in very different responses between neighbouring glaciers and, for some glaciers, a continuation of their longer-term discharge trends (Figure 4).

The widespread, quasi-synchronous and sustained nature of the discharge change points to a regional, sustained forcing. The hydrographic observations show that there was a widespread and coherent increase in subsurface ocean temperatures on the continental shelf from 2018 onwards, centred at 100 to ~~-~~200 m depth and extending to the ocean bed on the continental shelf (Figures 8~~7~~ and~~&~~ 9~~8~~). We do not have observations from the waters immediately adjacent to any of the west AP tidewater glaciers, so we do not have direct evidence that the anomalously warm waters came into contact with the tidewater glaciers and elevated submarine melt rates. However, the Palmer LTER data indicate that anomalously warm modified CDW was present across the continental shelf south of Bransfield Strait during the 2018 to ~~-~~2021 period, including in the deep, glacially-carved troughs that connect the shelf edge to the west AP glaciers (Cook et al., 2016; Arndt et al., 2013; Couto et al., 2017). In addition, diverse local CTD measurements along the west AP have documented the presence of CDW in immediate proximity to glacier termini in the same region (Meredith et al., 2022; Venables et al., 2023), demonstrating that CDW does penetrate to parts of the coast. It is therefore highly likely that the anomalously warm water present on the continental shelf from 2018 to at least 2021 came into widespread contact with the west AP glaciers south of Bransfield Strait.

Assuming that this contact did happen and that there was no commensurate drop in current velocity at the ice-ocean interface, we would expect terminus submarine melt rates to increase. Glacier terminus depths along the west AP are poorly mapped,



Figure 8. Conservative temperature anomalies relative to the 1999-2008 mean along transect 200. The vertical grey dashed lines indicate individual cast locations – note that the panel outlines obscure casts at the transect endpoints. The dark grey shading is topography from BedMachine v3 (Morlighem et al., 2020) and the Antarctic Peninsula coast is on the right.

but the available data indicate that many glaciers are several hundred metres thick at the terminus (Cook et al., 2016; Arndt et al., 2013). Glaciers with grounding lines deeper than 100 m would be exposed to the anomalously warm CDW during each a~~A~~ustral summer since 2018, likely leading to enhanced undercutting. The temperature anomalies were greatest around 100 to ~~-~~200 m depth; therefore, the enhancement of undercutting would lead to more pronounced quasi-linear or step-like undercuts for glaciers shallower than 200 m and parabolic undercuts for more deeply grounded glaciers. Comparable undercut profiles have been observed at glaciers in Greenland in the presence of similar vertical temperature profiles (Fried et al., 2015; Rignot et al., 2015).

The majority of theoretical and numerical perspectives (Slater et al., 2021; Ma and Bassis, 2019; Benn et al., 2017; Krug et al., 2015; O'Leary and Christoffersen, 2013) suggest that such profiles of undercutting can amplify calving, leading to retreat and glacier acceleration. We observe retreat at just three of our ten example glaciers, only one of which (Wilkinson Murphy Glacier) was very substantial. We do not have terminus position measurements at the tens of other west AP glaciers that accelerated since the a~~A~~ustral summer of 2020/2021. In the absence of terminus retreat, more rapid submarine melting must be balanced by faster ice velocities (Krug et al., 2015), such that the position of the calving front becomes a function of the velocity and thickness of the upstream ice, rather than the driver of upstream ice velocity changes (Benn et al., 2007).



Figure 9. Conservative temperature anomaly time-series. Each panel illustrates time-series of conservative temperature anomalies within the given depths for each transect. The robust linear trends in temperature for each transect are quoted and significant trends (P<0.05) are in bold.

If enhanced submarine melting were the primary driver of the glacier acceleration, then the spatial pattern of glacier acceleration provides information about the pathways by which the warm water accessed the west AP coastline. Most of the glaciers that accelerated were located between Adelaide Island and Anvers Island, where several deep troughs provide a direct pathway across the shelf along which CDW intrusions can access the central west AP (Cook et al., 2016; Arndt et al., 2013; Couto et al., 2017). Some glaciers, such as Blanchard Glacier, located further north, where CDW influence on deep water temperatures is at least seasonal (Wang et al., 2022), also accelerated. Such instances likely reflect the convoluted topographic routes that dissect the west AP shelf and the competition between CDW and Weddell Sea waters on deep water temperatures, among other processes. The majority of the northern-most glaciers along the West AP, which drain into Bransfield Strait and are not exposed to warm CDW, showed weak or no acceleration. In addition, we observe acceleration at some glaciers that, according to bathymetry products (Morlighem et al., 2020), are grounded in shallow water. For example, Luke Glacier and Comrie Glacier (locations in Figure 1) are essentially land-terminating in BedMachine v3 yet are several hundred metres thick in an independent thickness product (Huss and Farinotti, 2014). These and other similar sites may therefore indicate regions to target in future bathymetric mapping efforts, or at least for improvement in future bed topographic assimilation efforts.

At most depths along the central west AP continental shelf, the conservative temperature anomalies since 2018 were similar to, or slightly larger than, ~~during~~ other warm periods in the late-2000s (Figure 9~~8~~), so it is possible that ocean forcing alone was not sufficient to drive the observed acceleration. In addition to warming ocean waters, ERA5 atmospheric temperatures over the west AP have been anomalously high persistently since 2016 (Figure 10~~9~~a). There were record high atmospheric temperatures over the AP in February 2020 and 2022 (Gorodetskaya et al., 2023; Francelino et al., 2021). These heatwaves caused record-high levels of snowmelt and rainfall (Gorodetskaya et al., 2023) that in turn led to extreme melt ponding, for example on the George VI and Larsen-C ice shelves in 2020 (Banwell et al., 2021; Bevan et al., 2020). Output from RACMO2.3p2 (van Wessem et al., 2018) - a 5.5 km regional climate model - shows that there is a modest amount of runoff (i.e. snowmelt that does not refreeze in the firn) from the west AP (Figure 10~~9~~b. The presence of plumes along the west AP coastline (Rodrigo et al., 2016) provide strong evidence that at least some of this surface-derived meltwater and runoff does reach the ice-bed interface and is discharged at the grounding line. Theoretical perspectives (e.g. Jenkins, 2011; Slater et al., 2016) and numerous observational and modelling studies from other regions (e.g. Jackson et al., 2017; Sutherland et al., 2019; Straneo et al., 2011; Carroll et al., 2016) show that the turbulent mixing and entrainment induced by subglacial discharge-driven plumes increases glacier submarine melt rates. The RACMO2.3p2 runoff data indicate that runoff was much higher during February 2020 and 2021 than during the preceding years; this would drive more vigorous plumes and faster submarine melt rates, potentially amplifying the effect of the observed warmer subsurface waters (Slater and Straneo, 2022).



Figure 10. Atmospheric conditions over the west Antarctic Peninsula. (a) 2 m atmospheric temperature anomalies relative to the 1979-2008 daily climatology over the west AP from ERA5 reanalysis. The anomalies are smoothed with a 90-moving window. (b) Modelled runoff from a 5x5 km run of RACMO2.3p2, integrated over the west AP, from 2016 to 2023. Daily runoff is plotted grey and 30-day smoothed runoff in black. Panel (a) was plotted using the anomaly function in MATLAB (Greene, 2024).

In addition to modifying submarine melt rates, surface-to-bed meltwater injection could directly increase glacier speeds by increasing basal water storage and by transiently increasing basal water pressure and basal sliding rates. ~~through two mechanisms. If ice at some areas of the bed is below the pressure melting point, as some models indicate for the AP (Dawson et al., 2022), and the surface-derived meltwater refreezes at the bed, the resulting release of latent and sensible heat would raise the temperature of the ice – a process called cryohydrologic warming - thus causing the ice to deform more rapidly. This process has been inferred at high-elevation areas of the Greenland Ice Sheet and linked to persistent acceleration (Doyle et al., 2014). In addition, surface-to-bed meltwater injection to the bed can raise basal water pressure and transiently increase basal sliding rates.~~ There is some evidence from Sentinel-1 ice velocity estimates ~~of~~ supporting the relevance of this process~~es~~ on the AP over weekly to seasonal time-scales, based on the co-occurrence of periods of elevated speed with periods of meltwater availability inferred from regional climate model output (Tuckett et al., 2019; Wallis et al., 2023b; Boxall et al., 2022). However, care must be taken to avoid aliasing apparent velocity changes caused by melt-induced changes in radar penetration depth (Rott et al., 2020). There is ~~exhaustive~~ a large body of evidence that ~~such~~ meltwater-induced accelerations on other ice masses generally have little impact on annual ice displacement, because of meltwater-induced subglacial drainage mechanisms that result in compensatory periods of slower ice flow (e.g. Sole et al., 2013). On the AP, there are insufficient observations of meltwater-induced ice flow variations to determine whether similar compensatory subglacial drainage mechanisms also operate there~~That may also be the case on the AP; however, there are no direct observations of meltwater-induced changes in ice velocity on the AP to demonstrate that the same compensatory subglacial drainage mechanisms operate here.~~ It is possible that the combination of moderately thick, fast-flowing ice, low meltwater supply, thick snowpack and potentially extensive firn aquifers (Van Wessem et al., 2021) may result in qualitatively different meltwater-induced ice velocity changes compared to those observed elsewhere. In addition, the extreme meltwater production in 2020 and 2022 may have reduced firn pore space, allowing more surface-derived meltwater to penetrate to the ice-bed interface in subsequent, lower melt years. Further satellite observations and field-based studies are required to characterise the surface-to-bed hydrological drainage systems and the mechanisms through which they affect ice flow on the AP.

The widespread increase in grounding line discharge of the west AP presented in this study ~~observed here~~ has implications for glacier mass balance. Although the glaciers on the AP are small compared to their neighbours in ~~other~~ parts of West Antarctica, they are changing rapidly such that AP contributed 14 % of Antarctica's total mass loss from 1992 to 2020 (Otosaka et al., 2023). Previous work has linked warming subsurface ocean waters to widespread glacier retreat along the west AP (Cook et al., 2016) and more recent work has further shown an ocean-driven ice tongue collapse and acceleration of Cadman Glacier on the west AP (Wallis et al., 2023a). The observations presented in this study ~~develop~~ build on this understanding by showing a widespread, quasi-synchronous acceleration of grounding line discharge along the west AP linked to a period of anomalously high air and subsurface ocean temperatures. Unless surface mass balance increased commensurately, this recent acceleration of west AP glaciers will accelerate the rate of west AP mass loss, contributing to faster rates of sea level rise. In addition, the increase in grounding line discharge constitutes an increased solid freshwater input to the Bellingshausen Sea, which numerical modelling suggests can increase ocean heat transport to West Antarctic ice shelves, potentially leading to faster submarine melt rates (Flexas et al., 2022).

5. Conclusions

During the past half-century, tidewater glaciers on the west coast of the Antarctic Peninsula have retreated in response to rising subsurface ocean temperatures and they remain responsive to seasonal changes in atmospheric and ocean temperatures. This study identifies a widespread, quasi-synchronous and sustained increase in grounding line discharge of many glaciers along the west coast of the Antarctic Peninsula around the 2020/2021 austral summer. In many cases, grounding line discharge trends more than doubled and led to 5 to ~~-~~20 % increases in grounding line discharge over a 2.5 year period. The acceleration of grounding line discharge occurred at a time of anomalously high, though not exceptional, subsurface ocean temperatures on the continental shelf, which would have increased terminus submarine melt rates and could have driven the observed glacier acceleration. The co-occurrence of record-high air temperatures and surface melting may have contributed to the glacier acceleration by increasing surface-to-bed meltwater delivery, potentially amplifying submarine melt rates and directly increasing glacier sliding speeds. In the absence of *in-situ* observations on the glacier surface and in the waters immediately adjacent to glacier calving fronts, there remain many uncertainties regarding the chain of events leading to this period of glacier acceleration, but we are hopeful that future campaigns to improve seafloor mapping, acquire near-glacier hydrographic measurements and to measure glacier velocity *in-situ* will provide important new understanding of the processes driving changes in ice flow on the Antarctic Peninsula. Nevertheless, it is clear that the recent period of anomalous atmospheric and ocean temperatures have, together or in isolation, driven a widespread and sustained acceleration of many west AP glaciers. ~~We therefore speculate that, as the~~Given that the atmosphere and ocean in the region ~~continue to warm, we are likely to see further coherent increases in grounding line discharge along the west AP with worsening implications for glacier mass balance, sea level rise and ocean circulation.~~are projected to warm further in the coming decades, we recommend further research in this area to improve understanding of glacier response to changing environmental conditions across the Antarctic Peninsula.

Data availability. The grounding line discharge dataset are available on Zenodo (https://zenodo.org/records/10417864). The Palmer LTER dataset were compiled for a previous study and made available on Zenodo (https://zenodo.org/records/10009821).

Author contributions. BJD conceived the study, performed the analysis and wrote the manuscript. BJW and CM compiled the raw CTD data into a format more amenable for analysis. All authors discussed the results and implications, and contributed to the manuscript preparation.

Competing interests. The contact author has declared that none of the authors have any competing interests.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the creators of the open-access satellite imagery, datasets and tools used in this study, and to the crews of all cruises involved in producing the Palmer LTER dataset. We particularly thank Rich Iannuzzi and

Michael Cappola for the initial quality control of the LTER dataset. Data processing was undertaken on ARC3 and ARC4, part of the high-performance computing facilities at the University of Leeds, UK.

Financial support. BJD and AEH are supported by ESA through the Polar+ Ice Shelves project (ESA-IPL-POE-EF-cb-LE-2019-834) and the SO-ICE project (ESA AO/1-10461/20/I-NB), by NERC via the DeCAdeS project (NE/T012757/1) and by the UK EO Climate Information Service (NE/X019071/1). BJW is supported by the Panorama NERC Doctoral Training Partnership under grant NE/S007458/1. The Palmer LTER program is supported by NSF-OPP Grant #2026045.

References

Arndt, J. E., Schenke, H. W., Jakobsson, M., Nitsche, F. O., Buys, G., Goleby, B., Rebesco, M., Bohoyo, F., Hong, J., Black, J., Greku, R., Udintsev, G., Barrios, F., Reynoso-Peralta, W., Taisei, M., and Wigley, R.: The international bathymetric chart of the Southern Ocean (IBCSO) version 1.0-A new bathymetric compilation covering circum-Antarctic waters, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 3111–3117, https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50413, 2013.

Banwell, A. F., Tri Datta, R., Dell, R. L., Moussavi, M., Brucker, L., Picard, G., Shuman, C. A., and Stevens, L. A.: The 32-year record-high surface melt in 2019/2020 on the northern George VI Ice Shelf, Antarctic Peninsula, Cryosphere, 15, 909–925, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-909-2021, 2021.

Bao, W. and Moffat, C.: Impact of shallow sills on heat transport and stratification regimes in proglacial fjords, Cryosph., 18, 187–203, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-18-187-2024, 2024.

Benn, D. I., Warren, C. R., and Mottram, R. H.: Calving processes and the dynamics of calving glaciers, Earth-Science Rev., 82, 143–179, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2007.02.002, 2007.

Benn, D. I., Aström, J., Zwinger, T., Todd, J., Nick, F. M., Cook, S., Hulton, N. R. J., and Luckman, A.: Melt-under-cutting and buoyancy-driven calving from tidewater glaciers: New insights from discrete element and continuum model simulations, J. Glaciol., 63, 691–702, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2017.41, 2017.

Bevan, S., Luckman, A., Hendon, H., and Wang, G.: The 2020 Larsen C Ice Shelf surface melt is a 40-year record high, Cryosphere, 14, 3551–3564, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-3551-2020, 2020.

Bindschadler, R., Vornberger, P., Fleming, A., Fox, A., Mullins, J., Binnie, D., Paulsen, S. J., Granneman, B., and Gorodetzky, D.: The Landsat Image Mosaic of Antarctica, Remote Sens. Environ., 112, 4214–4226, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2008.07.006, 2008.

Boxall, K., Christie, F. D. W., Willis, I. C., Wuite, J., and Nagler, T.: Seasonal land-ice-flow variability in the Antarctic Peninsula, Cryosphere, 16, 3907–3932, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-3907-2022, 2022.

Braun, M., Humbert, A., and Moll, A.: Changes of Wilkins Ice Shelf over the past 15 years and inferences on its stability, Cryosphere, 3, 41–56, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-3-41-2009, 2009.

Carroll, D., Sutherland, D. A., Hudson, B., Moon, T., Catania, G. A., Shroyer, E. L., Nash, J. D., Bartholomaus, T. C., Felikson, D., Stearns, L. A., Noël, B. P. Y., and van den Broeke, M. R.: The impact of glacier geometry on meltwater plume structure and submarine melt in Greenland fjords, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 9739–9748, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070170, 2016.

Cook, A. J. and Vaughan, D. G.: Overview of areal changes of the ice shelves on the Antarctic Peninsula over the past 50 years, Cryosphere, 4, 77–98, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-4-77-2010, 2010.

Cook, A. J., Fox, A. J., Vaughan, D. G., and Ferrigno, J. G.: Retreating glacier fronts on the Antarctic Peninsula over the past half-century, Science (80-.)., 308, 541–544, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1104235, 2005.

Cook, A. J., Vaughan, D. G., Luckman, A. J., and Murray, T.: A new Antarctic Peninsula glacier basin inventory and observed area changes since the 1940s, Antarct. Sci., 26, 614–624, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102014000200, 2014.

Cook, A. J., Holland, P. R., Meredith, M. P., Murray, T., Luckman, A., and Vaughan, D. G.: Ocean forcing of glacier retreat in the western Antarctic Peninsula, Science (80-.)., 353, 283–286, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aae0017, 2016.

Cooper, A. P. R.: Historical observations of Prince Gustav ice shelf, Polar Rec. (Gr. Brit)., 33, 285–294, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0032247400025389, 1997.

Couto, N., Martinson, D. G., Kohut, J., and Schofield, O.: Distribution of Upper Circumpolar Deep Water on the warming continental shelf of the West Antarctic Peninsula, J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 122, 5306–5315, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JC012840, 2017.

Davison, B. J., Hogg, A. E., Slater, T., and Rigby, R.: Antarctic Ice Sheet grounding line discharge from 1996 through 2023, Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2023-448, 2023.

Doake, C. S. M. and Vaughan, D. G.: Rapid disintegration of the Wordie Ice Shelf in response to atmospheric warming, Nature, 350, 328–330, https://doi.org/10.1038/350328a0, 1991.

Flexas, M. M., Thompson, A. F., Schodlok, M. P., Zhang, H., and Speer, K.: Antarctic Peninsula warming triggers enhanced basal melt rates throughout West Antarctica, Sci. Adv., 8, 1–12, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abj9134, 2022.

Francelino, M. R., Schaefer, C., de Los Milagros Skansi, M., Colwell, S., Bromwich, D. H., Jones, P., King, J. C., Lazzara, M. A., Renwick, J., Solomon, S., Brunet, M., and Cerveny, R. S.: WMO evaluation of two extreme high temperatures occurring in February 2020 for the antarctic peninsula region, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 102, E2053–E2061, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-0040.1, 2021.

Fried, M. J., Catania, G. A., Bartholomaus, T. C., Duncan, D., Davis, M., Stearns, L. A., Nash, J., Shroyer, E., and Sutherland, D.: Distributed subglacial discharge drives significant submarine melt at a Greenland tidewater glacier, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 9328–9336, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL065806, 2015.

Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Scambos, T., Fahnstock, M., Ligtenberg, S., Van Den Broeke, M., and Nilsson, J.: Increased West Antarctic and unchanged East Antarctic ice discharge over the last 7 years, Cryosphere, 12, 521–547, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-521-2018, 2018.

Gorodetskaya, I. V, Durán-alarcón, C., González-herrero, S., Clem, K. R., Zou, X., Rowe, P., Imazio, P. R., Campos, D., Santos, C. L., Dutrievoz, N., and Wille, J. D.: Record-high Antarctic Peninsula temperatures and surface melt in February 2022: a compound event with an intense atmospheric river, npj Clim. Atmos. Sci., 6, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-023-00529-6, 2023.

Greene, C. A.: Chad Greene (2024). anomaly (https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/61327-anomaly), MATLAB Central File Exchange. Chad Greene (2024). anomaly (https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/61327-anomaly), MATLAB Central File Exchange., 2024.

Hansen, N., Langen, P. L., Boberg, F., Forsberg, R., Simonsen, S. B., Thejll, P., Vandecrux, B., and Mottram, R.: Downscaled surface mass balance in Antarctica: Impacts of subsurface processes and large-scale atmospheric circulation, Cryosphere, 15, 4315–4333, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-4315-2021, 2021.

Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J. N.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 146, 1999–2049, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.

Hogg, A. E., Shepherd, A., Cornford, S. L., Briggs, K. H., Gourmelen, N., Graham, J. A., Joughin, I., Mouginot, J., Nagler, T., Payne, A. J., Rignot, E., and Wuite, J.: Increased ice flow in Western Palmer Land linked to ocean melting, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 4159–4167, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072110, 2017.

Huss, M. and Farinotti, D.: A high-resolution bedrock map for the Antarctic Peninsula, Cryosphere, 8, 1261–1273, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1261-2014, 2014.

Jackson, R. H., Shroyer, E. L., Nash, J. D., Sutherland, D. A., Carroll, D., Fried, M. J., Catania, G. A., Bartholomaus, T. C., and Stearns, L. A.: Near-glacier surveying of a subglacial discharge plume: Implications for plume parameterizations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 6886–6894, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL073602, 2017.

Jenkins, A.: Convection-Driven Melting near the Grounding Lines of Ice Shelves and Tidewater Glaciers, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 41, 2279–2294, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-11-03.1, 2011.

Krug, J., Durand, G., Gagliardini, O., and Weiss, J.: Modelling the impact of submarine frontal melting and ice mélange on glacier dynamics, Cryosph. Discuss., 9, 183–221, https://doi.org/10.5194/tcd-9-183-2015, 2015.

Lea, J. M.: The Google Earth Engine Digitisation Tool (GEEDiT) and the Margin change Quantification Tool (MaQiT) – simple tools for the rapid mapping and quantification of changing Earth surface margins, Earth Surf. Dyn., 551–561, https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-6-551-2018, 2018.

Ma, Y. and Bassis, J. N.: The Effect of Submarine Melting on Calving From Marine Terminating Glaciers, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 124, 334–346, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JF004820, 2019.

Martinson, D. G., Stammerjohn, S. E., Iannuzzi, R. A., Smith, R. C., and Vernet, M.: Western Antarctic Peninsula physical oceanography and spatio-temporal variability, Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr., 55, 1964–1987, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.04.038, 2008.

Meredith, M. P. and King, J. C.: Rapid climate change in the ocean west of the Antarctic Peninsula during the second half of the 20th century, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, 1–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024042, 2005.

Meredith, M. P., Inall, M. E., Alexander Brearley, J., Ehmen, T., Sheen, K., Munday, D., Cook, A., Retallick, K., Van Landeghem, K., Gerrish, L., Annett, A., Carvalho, F., Jones, R., Naveira Garabato, A. C., Bull, C. Y. S., Wallis, B. J., Hogg, A. E., and Scourse, J.: Internal tsunamigenesis and ocean mixing driven by glacier calving in Antarctica, Sci. Adv., 8, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.add0720, 2022.

Moffat, C. and Meredith, M.: Shelf-ocean exchange and hydrography west of the Antarctic Peninsula: A review, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci., 376, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2017.0164, 2018.

Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., Binder, T., Blankenship, D., Drews, R., Eagles, G., Eisen, O., Ferraccioli, F., Forsberg, R., Fretwell, P., Goel, V., Greenbaum, J. S., Gudmundsson, H., Guo, J., Helm, V., Hofstede, C., Howat, I., Humbert, A., Jokat, W., Karlsson, N. B., Lee, W. S., Matsuoka, K., Millan, R., Mouginot, J., Paden, J., Pattyn, F., Roberts, J., Rosier, S., Ruppel, A., Seroussi, H., Smith, E. C., Steinhage, D., Sun, B., Broeke, M. R. va. den, Ommen, T. D. va., Wessem, M. van, and Young, D. A.: Deep glacial troughs and stabilizing ridges unveiled beneath the margins of the Antarctic ice sheet, Nat. Geosci., 13, 132–137, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0510-8, 2020.

Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., and Rignot, E.: MEaSUREs Antarctic Boundaries for IPY 2007-2009 from Satellite Radar, Version 2, Boulder, Color. USA. NASA Natl. Snow Ice Data Cent. Distrib. Act. Arch. Cent., https://doi.org/10.5067/AXE4121732AD, 2017.

Noël, B., van Wessem, J. M., Wouters, B., Trusel, L., Lhermitte, S., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Higher Antarctic ice sheet accumulation and surface melt rates revealed at 2 km resolution, Nat. Commun., 14, 7949, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43584-6, 2023.

O'Leary, M. and Christoffersen, P.: Calving on tidewater glaciers amplified by submarine frontal melting, Cryosphere, 7, 119–128, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-7-119-2013, 2013.

Otosaka, I. N., Shepherd, A., Ivins, E. R., Schlegel, N. J., Amory, C., Van Den Broeke, M. R., Horwath, M., Joughin, I., King, M. D., Krinner, G., Nowicki, S., Payne, A. J., Rignot, E., Scambos, T., Simon, K. M., Smith, B. E., Sørensen, L. S., Velicogna, I., Whitehouse, P. L., Geruo, A., Agosta, C., Ahlstrøm, A. P., Blazquez, A., Colgan, W., Engdahl, M. E., Fettweis, X., Forsberg, R., Gallée, H., Gardner, A., Gilbert, L., Gourmelen, N., Groh, A., Gunter, B. C., Harig, C., Helm, V., Khan, S. A., Kittel, C., Konrad, H., Langen, P. L., Lecavalier, B. S., Liang, C. C., Loomis, B. D., McMillan, M., Melini, D., Mernild, S. H., Mottram, R., Mouginot, J., Nilsson, J., Noël, B., Pattle, M. E., Peltier, W. R., Pie, N., Roca, M., Sasgen, I., Save, H. V., Seo, K. W., Scheuchl, B., Schrama, E. J. O., Schröder, L., Simonsen, S. B., Slater, T., Spada, G., Sutterley, T. C., Vishwakarma, B. D., Van Wessem, J. M., Wiese, D., Van Der Wal, W., and Wouters, B.: Mass balance of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets from 1992 to 2020, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 1597–1616, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-1597-2023, 2023.

Rack, W. and Rott, H.: Pattern of retreat and disintegration of the Larsen B ice shelf, Antarctic Peninsula, Ann. Glaciol., 39, 505–510, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756404781814005, 2004.

Rignot, E., Casassa, G., Gogineni, P., Krabill, W., Rivera, A., and Thomas, R.: Accelerated ice discharge from the Antarctic Peninsula following the collapse of Larsen B ice shelf, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, 2–5, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020697, 2004.

Rignot, E., Fenty, I., Xu, Y., Cai, C., and Kemp, C.: Undercutting of marine-terminating glaciers in West Greenland, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 5909–5917, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL064236, 2015.

Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., Van Den Broeke, M., Van Wessem, M. J., and Morlighem, M.: Four decades of Antarctic ice sheet mass balance from 1979–2017, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 116, 1095–1103, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812883116, 2019.

Rodrigo, C., Giglio, S., and Varas, A.: Glacier sediment plumes in small bays on the Danco Coast, Antarctic Peninsula, Antarct. Sci., 28, 395–404, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102016000237, 2016.

Rott, H., Skvarca, P., and Nagler, T.: Rapid collapse of northern Larsen Ice Shelf, Antarctica, Science (80-.)., 271, 788–792, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.271.5250.788, 1996.

Rott, H., Abdel Jaber, W., Wuite, J., Scheiblauer, S., Floricioiu, D., Van Wessem, J. M., Nagler, T., Miranda, N., and Van Den Broeke, M. R.: Changing pattern of ice flow and mass balance for glaciers discharging into the Larsen A and B embayments, Antarctic Peninsula, 2011 to 2016, Cryosphere, 12, 1273–1291, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1273-2018, 2018.

Rott, H., Wuite, J., De Rydt, J., Gudmundsson, G. H., Floricioiu, D., and Rack, W.: Impact of marine processes on flow dynamics of northern Antarctic Peninsula outlet glaciers, Nat. Commun., 11, 10–12, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16658-y, 2020.

Scambos, T., Fricker, H. A., Liu, C. C., Bohlander, J., Fastook, J., Sargent, A., Massom, R., and Wu, A. M.: Ice shelf disintegration by plate bending and hydro-fracture: Satellite observations and model results of the 2008 Wilkins ice shelf break-ups, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 280, 51–60, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.12.027, 2009.

Scambos, T. A., Hulbe, C., and Fahnestock, M.: Climate-induced ice shelf disintegration in the Antarctic Peninsula, in: Antarctic Peninsula Climate Variability: Historical and Paleoenvironmental Perspectives, vol. 79, 79–92, 2003.

Scambos, T. A., Bohlander, J. A., Shuman, C. A., and Skvarca, P.: Glacier acceleration and thinning after ice shelf collapse in the Larsen B embayment, Antarctica, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, 2001–2004, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020670, 2004.

Schmidtko, S., Heywood, K. J., Thompson, A. F., and Aoki, S.: Multidecadal warming of Antarctic waters, Science (80-.)., 346, 1227–1231, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1256117, 2014.

Seehaus, T., Cook, A., Silva, A. B., and Braun, M. H.: Changes in glacier dynamics at the northern Antarctic Peninsula since 1985, Cryosph., 12, 577–594, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-577-2018, 2018.

Shepherd, A., Gilbert, L., Muir, A. S., Konrad, H., McMillan, M., Slater, T., Briggs, K. H., Sundal, A. V., Hogg, A. E., and Engdahl, M. E.: Trends in Antarctic Ice Sheet Elevation and Mass, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 8174–8183, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082182, 2019.

Slater, D. A. and Straneo, F.: Submarine melting of glaciers in Greenland amplified by atmospheric warming, Nat. Geosci., 15, 794–799, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-022-01035-9, 2022.

Slater, D. A., Goldberg, D. N., Nienow, P. W., and Cowton, T. R.: Scalings for Submarine Melting at Tidewater Glaciers from Buoyant Plume Theory, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 46, 1839–1855, https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO-D-15-0132.1, 2016.

Slater, D. A., Benn, D. I., Cowton, T. R., Bassis, J. N., and Todd, J. A.: Calving Multiplier Effect Controlled by Melt Undercut Geometry, J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf., 126, 1–17, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006191, 2021.

Smith, R., Baker, K., Fraser, W., Hofmann, E., Karl, D., Klink, J., Quentin, L., Prezelin, B., Ross, R., Trivelpiece, W., and Vernet, M.: The Palmer LTER: A Long-Term Ecological Research Program at Palmer Station, Antarctica, Oceanography, 8, 77–86, https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.1995.01, 1995.

Sole, A., Nienow, P., Bartholomew, I., Mair, D., Cowton, T., Tedstone, A., and King, M. A.: Winter motion mediates dynamic response of the Greenland Ice Sheet to warmer summers, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 3940–3944, https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50764, 2013.

Straneo, F., Curry, R. G., Sutherland, D. a., Hamilton, G. S., Cenedese, C., Våge, K., and Stearns, L. a.: Impact of fjord dynamics and glacial runoff on the circulation near Helheim Glacier, Nat. Geosci., 4, 322–327, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1109, 2011.

Sutherland, D. A., Jackson, R. H., Kienholz, C., Amundson, J. M., Dryer, W. P., Duncan, D., Eidam, E. F., Motyka, R. J., and Nash, J. D.: Direct observations of submarine melt and subsurface geometry at a tidewater glacier, Science (80-.)., 365, 369–374, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax3528, 2019.

Tuckett, P. A., Ely, J. C., Sole, A. J., Livingstone, S. J., Davison, B. J., van Wessem, M. J., and Howard, J.: Rapid accelerations of Antarctic Peninsula outlet glaciers driven by surface melt, Nat. Commun., 10, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12039-2, 2019.

Vaughan, D. and Doake, C. S. M.: Recent retreat of ice shelves on the Antarctic Peninsula, Nature, 379, 328–331, 1996.

Veldhuijsen, Sanne, B. M., van de Berg, W. J., Brils, M., Munneke, P. K., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Characteristics of the contemporary Antarctic firn layer simulated with IMAU-FDM v1.2A (1979-2020), Cryosph. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2022-118, 2022.

Venables, H., Meredith, M. P., Hendry, K. R., ten Hoopen, P., Peat, H., Chapman, A., Beaumont, J., Piper, R., Miller, A. J., Mann, P., Rossetti, H., Massey, A., Souster, T., Reeves, S., Fenton, M., Heiser, S., Pountney, S., Reed, S., Waring, Z., Clark, M., Bolton, E., Mathews, R., London, H., Clement, A., Stuart, E., Reichardt, A., Brandon, M., Leng, M., Arrowsmith, C., Annett, A., Henley, S. F., and Clarke, A.: Sustained year-round oceanographic measurements from Rothera Research Station, Antarctica, 1997–2017, Sci. Data, 10, 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02172-5, 2023.

Venables, H. J., Meredith, M. P., and Brearley, J. A.: Modification of deep waters in Marguerite Bay, western Antarctic Peninsula, caused by topographic overflows, Deep. Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr., 139, 9–17, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2016.09.005, 2017.

Wallis, B. J., Hogg, A. E., Meredith, M. P., Close, R., Hardy, D., McMillan, M., Wuite, J., Nagler, T., and Moffat, C.: Ocean warming drives rapid dynamic activation of marine-terminating glacier on the west Antarctic Peninsula, Nat. Commun., 14, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42970-4, 2023a.

Wallis, B. J., Hogg, A. E., van Wessem, J. M., Davison, B. J., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Widespread seasonal speed-up of west Antarctic Peninsula glaciers from 2014 to 2021, Nat. Geosci., 16, 231–237, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01131-4, 2023b.

Wang, X., Moffat, C., Dinniman, M. S., Klinck, J. M., Sutherland, D. A., and Aguiar-González, B.: Variability and Dynamics of Along-Shore Exchange on the West Antarctic Peninsula (WAP) Continental Shelf, J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 127, e2021JC017645, https://doi.org/10.1029/e2021JC017645, 2022.

van Wessem, J. M., van de Berg, W. J., Noël, B. P. Y., van Meijgaard, E., Birnbaum, G., Jakobs, C. L., Krüger, K., Lenaerts, J. T. M., Lhermitte, S., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Medley, B., Reijmer, C. H., van Tricht, K., Trusel, L. D., van Ulft, L. H., Wouters, B., Wuite, J., and van den Broeke, M. R.: Modelling the climate and surface mass balance of polar ice sheets using RACMO2, part 2: Antarctica (1979-2016), Cryosph., 12, 1479–1498, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-1479-2018, 2018.

Van Wessem, J. M., Steger, C. R., Wever, N., and Van Den Broeke, M. R.: An exploratory modelling study of perennial firn aquifers in the Antarctic Peninsula for the period 1979-2016, Cryosphere, 15, 695–714, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-695-2021, 2021.

Wouters, B., Martin-Español, A., Helm, V., Flament, T., Van Wessem, J. M., Ligtenberg, S. R. M., Van Den Broeke, M. R., and Bamber, J. L.: Dynamic thinning of glaciers on the Southern Antarctic Peninsula, Science (80-.)., 348, 899–903, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa5727, 2015.

Wuite, J., Rott, H., Hetzenecker, M., Floricioiu, D., De Rydt, J., Gudmundsson, G. H., Nagler, T., and Kern, M.: Evolution of surface velocities and ice discharge of Larsen B outlet glaciers from 1995 to 2013, Cryosphere, 9, 957–969, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-9-957-2015, 2015.