

## Authors' response

Dear Editor,

We would like to thank you and the reviewer for handling the manuscript and valuable comments. We have prepared a revised version of the manuscript that takes into account the reviewer's comments.

On behalf of all co-authors,

Michal Jeníček

## Review 2

The authors have done an excellent job responding to my comments and to the other reviewer. I have just found a few minor technical corrections that should be addressed before acceptance.

Comments:

Lines 69-80: There are at least three places (line 69, line 72, line 80) where the word “is” is used and the word “are” should be used instead. Please check the rest of the manuscript for similar grammar errors.

We checked the mentioned lines, and we believe the word “is” should be used in all cases as this verb is related to nouns in their singular forms (a lack of, effect, understanding). However, we checked the manuscript for any other potential grammar errors or typos.

Figure 1: Nice job on remaking this figure! Can you add to the caption what “CH” and “CZ” mean? The figure and caption should be stand alone and the reader should not have to go back to the text to find these abbreviations.

We modified the legend caption in the figure to avoid abbreviations. Instead of abbreviations, the full names of both countries are presented in the figure, which is also consistent with the figure caption.