Glacial Vermicular Ridge Features on Axel Heiberg Island, Nunavut, Canada
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Abstract. Vermicular Ridge Features (VRFs) comprise a series of ridges and troughs with a circular, sinuous, and anastomosing morphology composed of clast-rich sandy diamict. VRFs were first reported on the south coast of Devon Island, Nunavut, Canada, in the Dundas Harbour region. Here, we document the presence of VRFs near Mokka Fjord on Axel Heiberg Island, Nunavut, Canada. We utilize field observations, ultra high resolution LiDAR, and ground penetrating radar to characterize and compare the morphometry and sedimentology of VRFs near Mokka Fjord to other periglacial, paraglacial, and glacial landforms. VRFs near Mokka Fjord have a diameter ranging from 6 to 37 m and reach up to 1.5 m in height. They comprise clast-rich glaciofluvial sediment and till. A leading periglacial (i.e., segregation ice features/lithalsas) and glacial (i.e., ring-ridge moraines and kame/kettled terraces) origin are presented. We interpret Mokka Fjord VRFs to be an ice-marginal feature resulting from paraglacial ablation of buried glacial ice producing a hummocky ring-ridge moraine comprised of ice marginal and supra- and englacial debris. This formation mechanism would infer a largely polythermal glacial environment with limited water supply. Likely from occasional warm-based periods at the ice margins which may allow sediment output and ice burial from basal ice debris redistribution or the thinning and subsequent burial of snout ice from glaciofluvial outwash.
1 Introduction

The Canadian high arctic and has been subject to glacial and periglacial processes throughout the Quaternary Period. These processes can produce a wide variety of landforms, many of which are/were associated with massive ice. These landforms can often appear morphologically very similar and, thus, be difficult to differentiate. This has led to ongoing debate within the fields of periglacial and glacial geomorphology and is especially difficult considering the Canadian high arctic has only recently undergone deglaciation, making it paraglacial in nature experiencing the effects of both recent glaciation and periglacial modification.

Much of the Canadian high arctic lies in an environment favorable to polythermal and cold-based glaciers, which limits the glacial imprint on a landscape. Therefore, evidence of glaciation might be expected in the form of buried snout/ice-marginal glacial ice susceptible to glacial karst development, hummocky till veneers, glaciofluvial outwash, and kames (O’ Cofaigh et al., 2005). However, periglacial processes can lead to hummocky terrain in till and glaciofluvial outwash sediments and produce buried massive ice through ice segregation and injection (French and Harry, 1990), which can become susceptible to thermokarst degradation. For example, the topographic inversion of glacial sediments (Fairbridge, 1968) due to the ablation of underlying glacial ice is a common mechanism for the production of hummocky surfaces in deglaciated landscapes (e.g., Clayton, 1964; McKenzie, 1969; Embleton and King, 1975). This process usually forms a series of landforms characterized by mounds and depressions following the retreat of a glacier and has been observed to create conspicuous circular (e.g., Gravenor and Kupsch, 1959) to sinuous and anastomosing (e.g., Knudsen et al., 2006; Hibbard et al., 2021) morainic ridges.

Yet, the ablation of buried non-glacial ice can produce morphologically similar features (e.g., Mackay, 1974; Rampton, 1988; Mollard, 2000), the origin of which can still be a topic of debate (e.g., Watson and Watson, 1974; Ross et al., 2019).

While these features may appear similar in the field, the processes by which these features formed are very different. Both massive buried glacial ice and segregation ice are common across the Canadian high arctic (O’Neill et al., 2019) despite being situated in the continuous permafrost zone. Differentiating between massive ice origins and the associated landform origins are key to understanding the evolution of high arctic landscapes and reconstructing Quaternary environmental conditions. This is especially true in continuous permafrost zones, where the presence of massive segregation ice and periglacial landforms can inform us about climate during deglaciation and affects climate change has in high arctic environments.

We report here on a previously undocumented landform on the east coast of Axel Heiberg Island near Mokka Fjord in Nunavut, Canada, that appears remarkably similar to Vermicular Ridge Features (VRFs) recently identified at Dundas Harbour on Tallurutit (Devon Island) (Hibbard et al., 2021). VRFs comprise a series of ridges and troughs with a circular, sinuous, to anastomosing, and therefore “worm-like,” morphology. We refer to these features as Vermicular Ridge Features (VRFs) as a descriptive term without any genetic interpretation. We provide a comparison of Mokka Fjord VRF morphometrics, substrate
characteristics, and associated landforms and processes, to other morphologically similar glacial and periglacial landforms and present a working hypothesis for the formation of this landform and the implications it has on past climate conditions during the Holocene.

2 Geologic and Geomorphic Setting

Axel Heiberg Island (Umingmat Nunaat) is located in the Qikiqtani region of Nunavut of Inuit Nunangat in Canada (Fig. 1). It is also part of the Sverdrup Islands in the Queen Elizabeth Islands of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. Axel Heiberg Island lies within the thickest section (up to 13 km) of the Sverdrup Basin, which is predominantly composed of Carboniferous to Paleogene siliciclastics, evaporites, and carbonates (Balkwill, 1978; Russell et al., 2006; Embry and Beauchamp, 2008; Harrison and Jackson, 2014). Following the Pleistocene glaciations, Quaternary deposits (including stream, deltaic, glacial, and marine beach sediments) were deposited over bedrock geology, and occupy valley floors and raised beach sediments along the coasts (Thorsteinsson, 1971a, 1971b).

The island hosts two major ice caps, the Müller Ice Cap and Steacie Ice Cap (Fig. 1a), and a wide range of glacier types such as cirque, outlet, piedmont, and valley glaciers (Ommannney, 1969; Thomson et al., 2011). The thermal regime of glaciers presently on Axel Heiberg Island are cold and polythermal (Blatter, 1987; Ó Cofaigh et al., 1999) which is thought to have extended into the last glacial maximum with the exception of fjord glaciers interpreted to be warm-based glaciers and ice streams (Ó Cofaigh et al., 1999; England et al., 2006). Axel Heiberg Island was covered by the Innuitian Ice Sheet, which reached its last glacial maximum around 29 ka BP (Bednarski, 1998). Extensive deglaciation of the Innuitian Ice Sheet occurred predominantly from west to east between 16.5 and 11 ka BP and marine-based ice largely disappeared by 9 ka BP leaving mostly land-based ice on Axel Heiberg and other islands (England et al., 2006). Deglaciation of the island proceeded and freed most of its fjords of ice by 8 ka BP (England et al., 2006) until reaching contemporary conditions around 7.5 ka BP. The marine limit varies across the Axel Heiberg Island, but has been reported to range between 78 and 158 m asl (e.g., Bednarski, 1998; Pollard and Bell, 1998; Dyke et al., 2005).

The area of field study (Fig. 1b) lies within the Granite dispersal train (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2000; England et al., 2006) and is composed of Quaternary deposits (Thorsteinsson, 1971a, 1971b). Detailed surveying of VRFs was conducted at one main field site located on a terrace along a channel trending northwest-southeast feeding into Mokka Fiord. To our knowledge, this field site has not directly been analyzed for surficial geology and geomorphology in previous studies, but the coast of Nansen Sound and Flat Sound ~300 km to the northwest was surveyed by Bednarski (1998) who determined the area to be dominated by meltwater channels sourced from the western highlands, moraines and kame terraces, and marine sediments.
Present-day conditions represent a polar desert environment (Andersen et al., 2002). The nearest long-term climate station is Eureka A station located on the coast of Fosheim Peninsula on Mirnguqiqsivik (Ellesmere Island) ~ 300 km northeast of the field site. The Eureka A station reports a mean annual air temperature of −18.8°C and a mean annual precipitation of 79.1 mm (mostly in the form of snow—60.3 mm) between 1981 and 2010 (Environment Canada, 2021). Permafrost thickness has been measured to be 400 to 600 m at Mokka Fiord (Taylor and Judge, 1976; Pollard et al., 1999). Although the average climate equates to a polar desert, the Arctic is characterized by some of the most intense summertime climate variability resulting in wet precipitation and glacial/snow melting events (Constable et al., 2022) unlike a polar desert environment.

Figure 1: Axel Heiberg Island observed using World Imagery (Esri, 2018). (a) Axel Heiberg Island is located in Nunavut, Canada (outlined in red on top right). Nansen Sound runs along the east coast of much of Axel Heiberg Island. White box locates panel b. MIC and SIC represent Müller Ice Cap and Steacie Ice Cap, respectively. (b) Location of the field region (located within white box centered at 79.61589, -87.5556) is northeast of Mokka Fiord, which feeds into Eureka Sound. World Imagery Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community.
3. Methodology

Fieldwork was carried out ~4 km northwest of Mokka Fiord on Axel Heiberg Island in July 2019 (Fig. 1). Field reconnaissance was done on foot and by helicopter which led to the identification of VRFs across multiple terraces along one river channel that feeds Mokka Fiord. A terrace along the channel was selected for in-depth field analysis, including trenching, Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR), and Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) data collection to characterize the landforms.

AkhkaR4DW, a backpack mobile laser scanning system was used to kinematically collect high-precision 3D topographic data (Kukko et al., 2012; Liang et al., 2015; Kukko et al., 2017, 2020; Hyyppä et al., 2020). This system was developed by the Finnish Geospatial Research Institute to produce ultra-high resolution (1‒5 cm-scale) digital elevation models (DEMs). The positioning of the system relies on post-processed tightly coupled differential processing of data from a GNSS receiver (NovAtel Pwrpak7) observing GPS and GLONASS satellite constellations and an inertial measurement unit (GNSS-IMU, NovAtel ISA-100C). For more details, see Kukko et al., (2020).

The lidar point cloud LAS file was produced using RiProcess and TerraScan software to filter and reduce the raw point cloud data which had a total of 46,163,219 points covering an area of ~6.42 ha with an average density of 164.2 points/m². WhiteBox Geospatial Analysis ToolBox (GAT), an open-source geospatial data analysis software developed by Professor John Lindsay at the University of Guelph (Lindsay, 2014, 2016), was used to create a Bare Earth DEM and Hillshade. The Bare Earth DEM was created using an inverse-distance weighting (IDW) scheme. A search distance of 10 cm was used to interpolate the point cloud. The Power (p) exponent was set to the default value of 2. Points that exceeded a slope of 30° from the unmeasured point being calculated were considered an outlier/non-ground point and were not used in the output point-cloud. A grid resolution of 5 cm/pixel was used to provide a high-resolution DEM with reasonably short processing time. The Hillshade azimuth (direction of the sun), measured clockwise from North, was set to 315° (northwest). The altitude (angle of illumination), measured from the horizon to normal, was set to 30°. The Bare Earth DEM and Hillshade files were loaded into ArcGIS Desktop 10.8.1 using a WGS 1984 UTM 16N projection.

A Sensors and Software 250 NOGGIN SmartTow GPR system was used to investigate massive ice and deposit thickness; the instrument was equipped with a 250 MHz antenna. Three GPR lines were collected, three of which lie within the LiDAR data, ranging from 20 to 30 meters in length. Signal velocity was determined based on sedimentology, diffraction hyperbola fitting, and context from trenching in the field, which was determined to be 0.125 m/ns (frozen and unfrozen sand and gravel). Based on this signal velocity, GPR signals penetrated down to roughly 4 m before heavily attenuating. GPR data was collected on July 8, 2019, therefore, the thaw depth is representative of that day of the year, which was measured/estimated at 1–1.5 m.

GPR data was analyzed using Sensors and Software’s Ekko_Project_5 software. GPR data was dewowed and was amplified with a Spherical Exponential Calibrated Compensation (SEC2) gain and an Attenuation value of 8. Elevation data along each
GPR line was extracted from the LiDAR dataset and added to the GPR data file. This corrects for unreliable depths of key subsurface features, but slightly stretches the upper part of the cross-section image.

4 Observations and Results

4.1 Context and Setting of Mokka Fjord VRFs

We identified VRFs on seven terraces along a northwest-southeast trending meltwater channel flowing into Mokka Fiord (Figs. 2–4, and S1, Supp. files), five of which reside on the western side of the channel and two reside on the eastern side. The terraces occur at different elevations, with the uppermost terrace occurring at an average elevation of 166 m on the west side, and the lowermost terrace occurring at 114 m on the east side. An additional 5 VRF sites were identified up and down valley from the investigated terraces by helicopter (Figs. 2 and 3), one of which was located in the floodplain of the stream system (Fig. 3e). VRFs were also observed near Strand Fiord when returning from another field site (Fig. 3g).

The VRFs at Mokka Fjord occur in three surficial geologic units mapped by the Geological Survey of Canada (2022), including (1) terraced sediments (At), comprised of coarse surface sediments and patterned ground, (2) till, morainal sediments, undifferentiated (T.W) comprised of marine reworked glacial diamicton, and (3) colluvial deposits, undifferentiated (C.W) comprised of a heterogeneous mixture of source rocks and grain sizes that are products of mass waste and have patterned ground. Our field observations support these regional interpretations and indicate that all VRFs occur in coarse-grained diamicton that is glacially or glaciofluvially sourced.

Polygonally patterned ground and solifluction were observed across the field sites. Ponds of water (Fig. 3e), wet soil (Figs. 3c, d), and thaw slumps (not seen in the 2011 Maxar image (Fig. 2) of the World Imagery data) (Fig. 4) were also observed at many of the VRF sites indicating active thermokarst degradation.
Figure 2: Maxar (WV02) image of the field region at Mokka Fiord in World Imagery taken in 2011 (Esri, 2018). Seven terraces containing VRFs are outlined in white. Average elevation (in meters) of each terrace is numbered in white. White dots indicate figure locations with figure numbers labeled in white. White arrow points to the location of the riverbank in Figure 7a. Elevation contours are labeled every 50 m and obtained from ArcticDEM Release 7 (Porter et al., 2018). The asterisked elevation denotes the main field site for in-depth field analysis (i.e., GPR, LiDAR, and trenching). World Imagery Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community.
4.2 Morphologic Description of Mokka Fjord VRFs

VRFs found across the field region (Fig. 2) exhibit a circular, elongate, sinuous and/or anastomosing ridge and trough morphology in planform (Figs. 3 and 5). VRFs can create individual closed cells (i.e., ridges creating a closed loop encircling a central trough) that are circular (Figs. 3b, e, and 5), semi-circular (Figs. 3a, b, c, e, and 5) or elongated (Figs. 3c, f, and 5). VRFs range from being closely spaced and interconnected (Figs. 3a, b, d, g) to well-spaced and isolated (Fig. 3e), or somewhere in between (Figs. 3c, f, and 5). Minimal vegetation is found in the field region but can act as a distinguishing factor between the ridges and their surroundings (Figs. 3 and 5b).

One terrace was surveyed in detail (referred to as the main field site) to further investigate the VRFs (Figs. 2 and 5). VRFs at this site have raised convex ridges that stand above the rest of the deposit in which they reside and frequently encircle a central concave depression creating individual closed-cells (Fig. 5). Ridges can also be subdued, shallow and wide relative to the more prominent narrow convex ridges (Fig. 5). Small sharp-crested conical mounds (Fig. 3d) and rounded mounds (Fig. S1a,b, Supp. Files) can be found in the same deposit as VRFs. Terrain adjacent to the ridges and closed cells is referred to as the “mesh” which is the part of the deposit that interconnects VRFs (Fig. 6). The central depressions of closed-cell VRFs lie at the same elevation as or higher than the mesh with the ridges elevated above their adjacent terrain (Figs. 5 and 6). Topographic profiles (Fig. 6) of the VRFs show this mesh-ridge-trough sequence. The topographic lows (e.g., mesh and central depressions) at the main field site are poorly drained and host grasses and mosses (Fig. S1c, d, Supp. Files) compared to the dryer ridges that host lichens (Fig. S2, Supp. Files). A thin white salt crust can also be found across the VRF materials (i.e., the materials of which the ridges, troughs and mesh are composed) (Fig. 5a) generally found resting at the base of the ridges or in topographic lows.

The VRF materials at the main field site are cut by a stream exposing a ~6 m thick cliff that transitions into a ~12 m thick gentler sloping lobate material before connecting with the riverbed (Figs. 2 and 7a), suggesting the deposit has a minimum thickness of ~6 m at the river cutbank relative elevation. A pit was dug 89 cm into the mesh of the deposit without reaching the thaw depth (July 2019) (Fig. 7b). The deposit (observed at the cutbank and in the pit) is a gravelly diamicton composed of poorly sorted, clast-rich, sub-rounded to rounded silt, sand, pebbles and cobbles with minor evidence for a preferred flat orientation of large grains (Fig. 7b). Fewer cobbles were present below 70 cm in the pit. Small pits (~ 10 cm deep) were also dug in a ridge and central trough of a closed-cell VRF. No grain sorting was observed. A fabrics and grain size analysis were not done due to helicopter time constraints at the field site.
Figure 3: Examples of VRFs in the field as seen from a helicopter. Figure locations can be found in Figure 2. Green arrows show where VRFs are cross-cut by polygon troughs. (a) VRFs at the main field site near Mokka Fiord looking north. Mokka Fiord Diapir is in the background to the north. Cracks can be seen along or just off of the axial trace of some of the ridges (orange arrows). (b) VRFs on the terrace on the opposite side of the channel in the field region. (c) VRFs north of the field region, directly west of Mokka Fiord Diapir. (d) Sharp-crested mounds and VRFs south of the field site. (e) Light-toned VRFs north of the field region, west of Mokka Fiord Diapir. (f) Linear VRFs in a dark-toned deposit directly west of Mokka Fiord Diapir. (g) VRFs near Strand Fiord.

Ridges can reach up to 1.5 m in height when measured from the ridge apex to the adjacent low-lying terrain (i.e., mesh); although most do not exceed 1 m in height (Figs. 5 and 6). Closed-cell ridges (i.e., ridges that enclose a central depression) range in height between 0.2 and 0.6 m when measured from the lowest point in the central trough to the highest point on the ridge (Fig. 6). Ridge width ranges between 1.5 and 9 m but more commonly ranges between 3 and 4 m from the outer edges of the ridge (Fig. 5).

Figure 4: Thaw slumps in the field region. Figure locations can be found in Figure 2 by white dots. (a) West side of channel, south of field site. Possible massive ice exposed at thaw slump. Thaw slump exposure is around 10−15 m thick, including ~1−2 m of dry material above wet material. (b) East side of the channel, directly opposite the field site. A brown deposit with VRFs overlies a lighter-toned deposit. Overlying deposit thickness is around 10−15 m. (c) West side of channel, south of field site. Deposit with VRFs overlying lighter-toned sediments. Deposit thickness is roughly 10−15 m.
Thirty-two closed-cell VRFs with central troughs were mapped in the LiDAR area. The long axis of closed-cell ridges ranges between 5.8 and 36.8 m with an average of 15.8 m. The orientation of the long axes (north = 0°) range between 1.8° and 174.5° with an average of 95.7°. This orientation is near perpendicular to the roughly north-south running channel hosting the terraces (Fig. 2). The short axis of closed-cell ridges ranges between 4.3 and 12 m with an average of 8.2 m.

Cracks were found running along or just off-center from the axial trace of many ridges (Figs. 3a and S2, Supp. Files), and closed-cell ridges generally have a crack running off-center from the axial trace along the inner part of the cell (Fig. S2a, Supp. Files). Cracks present themselves as a thin and narrow cavity along the ridge (Figs. 6 and S2, Supp. Files), and slumping of the surrounding material may be present. Cracking also occurs along the center of polygon troughs and along the shoulders of polygons (Fig. S2c, Supp. Files), both of which tend to be much wider (≤ 30 cm) and deeper than the cracks observed on the ridges of VRFs.

Figure 5: Digital Elevation Model of VRFs at the main field site. (a) DEM is overlying hillshade with 315° azimuth. GPR transects 1‒3 are numbered and outlined in black. Topographic profiles and GPR transects can be found in Figure 6. Features of note include: a shallow and wide raised ridges cross-cut by polygon trough, b closed-cell VRF cross-cut by polygon trough, c secondary trough cross-cutting VRFs, d secondary trough cuts down through the middle of a closed-cell VRF, e secondary trough runs down the middle of a shallow sinuous VRF, f raised polygon shoulder, g perfectly circular individual closed-cell VRF. (b) Main field site LiDAR area in World Imagery (Esri, 2018). World Imagery Source: Esri, Maxar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AeroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community.
Figure 6: LiDAR Hillshade, LiDAR-derived topographic profiles and GPR transects of VRFs. Transect locations can be found in Figure 5. Units in meters. Maximum vertical relief on the y-axis of topographic profiles. Elevation is on the y-axis of GPR transects. (a) Line 1 (A-A’), (b) Line 2 (B-B’) and (c) Line 3 (C-C’) topographic profiles demonstrate a mesh-ridge-trough sequence. VRF troughs are pointed to bowl-shaped concave depressions. Yellow dashed line in GPR transects represents thaw depth (i.e., depth to permafrost in July 2019). Bright reflectors can be seen beneath closed cell VRF central troughs indicating the presence of ice. These reflectors demonstrate a wedge-shaped object (shaded in yellow) in Lines 1 and 2.

Well-developed polygons are also present at the main field site. Polygons range in diameter (long axis) between 115 and 167 m, and trough width averages around 3 m, but can reach up to nearly 6 m. Thin and narrow secondary troughs are present within the larger polygon centers (Fig. 5). Secondary troughs propagate from a major trough and often terminate within the polygon center (Fig. 5). Polygon troughs appear to typically cross-cut VRFs but can also merge with VRFs to create an anastomosing ensemble of ridges and troughs (Figs. 3 and 5).

VRF morphology varies laterally and with elevation among the terraces. The main field site is characterized by more mounds down valley (Fig. S1a, Supp. Files) and less mounds with high-center polygons exposing the VRF-containing deposit up valley (Fig. S1d, Supp. Files). Additionally, the uppermost terrace has a thin VRF-containing deposit that appears degraded (i.e., subtle hummocks, thin deposit, less pronounced morphology) (Fig. S1e, Supp. Files) relative to VRFs on neighboring, lower terraces that appear to reside in much thicker deposits (Fig. S1b,f, Supp. Files). Additionally, more vegetation is present at the main field site (Fig. S1c,d, Supp. Files) compared to the other terraces (e.g., Fig. S1a,b,e,f, Supp. Files).

Figure 7: Characteristics of VRF materials at river cutbank. (a) River cutbank exposing deposit thickness (Location identified in Figure 2). Polygons are visible at the top of the deposit. The surface to the white dashed line is characterized by a steep talus slope ~6 m thick. A gently sloped section of lobate material occurs between the white dashed line and the white dotted line. Below the white dotted line is a river sand bank. (b) Pit dug 89 cm into the main field terrace. White dashed line outlines flat-oriented gravel.
Three GPR transects were collected at the main field site. Lines 1, 2, and 3 cross over closed-cell VRFs (Figs. 5 and 6) and show a thaw depth (July 2019) ranging between 1 and 1.5 m that can be identified by a nearly continuous bright linear reflection below the surface (Fig. 6). Stacked bright radar reflections are observed below the central depression of a close-cell ridge at Lines 1 and 2 (Fig. 6). Line 3 shows bright reflections beneath two troughs adjacent to a closed-cell ridge. However, the center of the closed-cell ridge at Line 3 does not appear to have an obvious bright reflection. Deposit thickness is indeterminate in the GPR transects, suggesting the deposit thickness exceeds the signal penetration depth of approximately 4 m. VRFs were also observed directly on top of thaw slumps across the field region, which exposed deposits as thick as 10 to 15 m.

5 Discussion

We have documented an unusual landform, referred to here as Vermicular Ridge Features (VRFs), northwest of Mokka Fiord on Axel Heiberg Island, Nunavut, Canada. These features exhibit a circular, elongated, sinuous, and/or anastomosing series of ridges and troughs (Figs. 3 and 5). Below, we compare VRFs on Axel Heiberg Island to other morphologically similar periglacial and glacial landforms, namely lithalsas and morainic rim ridges, in order to better elucidate an origin (Table 1).

5.1 Periglacial Origins

Patterned ground is a common product of periglacial processes that can result in conspicuous morphologies such as circular, sinuous, and anastomosing ridges and troughs. Stone circles are a common periglacial feature that exhibit this morphology and can be found in high and low Arctic regions (Washburn, 1956; Schmertmann and Taylor, 1965; Washburn, 1973; Hallet and Prestrud, 1986; Hallet, 2013). Stone circles are characterized by their circular to labyrinthine coarse-grained ridges surrounding a central fine-grained domain. Ridge width typically ranges between 0.5–1 m and ridge height usually reaches up to 0.5 m, with circle diameters ranging between 2–5 m (Hallet and Prestrud, 1986; Kessler et al., 2001; Hallet, 2013). Stone circles also commonly exhibit cracks along the axial trace of circular ridges that form from frost heave and soil upwelling (Kääb et al., 2014). However, while the scale is similar, no grain sorting or evidence of soil upwelling was observed in the Mokka Fjord VRFs and the microtopography does not reflect that of stone circles. Thus, the properties of the Mokka Fjord VRFs are inconsistent with being stone circles.

Collapsed pingos, palsas, and lithalsas (Table 1), also referred to as circular ramparts or ramparted depressions, and other frost mounds and blisters are periglacial landforms that can also result in circular raised ridge features (Table 1) (Mackay, 1998 and references therein). Pingos are perennial ice-cored hills produced by injection of groundwater under artesian pressure (Holmes et al., 1968; Müller, 1962) or by pore-water expulsion resulting from permafrost aggradation in a water-saturated sandy sediment, such as a shallow lake (Mackay, 1998). Pingos are much larger in scale and typically occur singularly (Table 1) and, therefore, are not an explanation for the VRFs at Mokka Fjord.
Table 1: Periglacial and glacial ring ridge feature morphometrics compared to Mokka Fjord VRFs. Modified from Hibbard et al. (2021).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Age of Formation (in aBP)</th>
<th>Diameter (m)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Ridge Material</th>
<th>Formation Mechanism</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Venetian Ridge Features (VRFs)</td>
<td>Axel Heiberg Island, Nunavut, Canada</td>
<td>≤ 10</td>
<td>6–17 m</td>
<td>up to 1.5 m</td>
<td>clay-rich sandy glaciolacustrine and subaqueous-glaciolacustrine origin, dead ice lobes</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periglacial or Origin</td>
<td>northern Finland</td>
<td>&lt;8–10</td>
<td>30–100 m</td>
<td>0.1–4 m</td>
<td>unsaturated poorly drained sandy till</td>
<td>collapsed open-system polygon-like ice-rich features (e.g., isostasy)</td>
<td>Siegert, 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular lakes</td>
<td>northern Norway</td>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td>up to 40 m</td>
<td>up to 3 m</td>
<td>fine-grained glaciolacustrine deposits with peat lenses</td>
<td>collapsed multicomponent (related) features (e.g., lakes)</td>
<td>Stromness, 1840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground ice depressions</td>
<td>East Anglia, UK</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10–120 m</td>
<td>up to 3 m</td>
<td>fine clastic rubble and sand with thin organic lenses</td>
<td>collapsed from ground-based thawing processes</td>
<td>Sparks et al., 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipa-like mounds</td>
<td>Southeast Wales, UK</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60–160 m</td>
<td>&lt;1 m or &gt;5 m</td>
<td>clay and gravelly clay</td>
<td>collapsed open-system polygons</td>
<td>Watson and Watson, 1974 (some features described by Bass et al., 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm-leaf mounds</td>
<td>northern Sweden</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4–40 m</td>
<td>1.2 m</td>
<td>silty-clayey material with a dark black component to blocky mud</td>
<td>collapsed palm-like features</td>
<td>Alarcón and Malinen, 2006; Runge and Rudwick, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periglacial thermokarst depressions</td>
<td>South Bohemia, Czech Republic</td>
<td>14–15</td>
<td>up to 120 m</td>
<td>up to 6 m</td>
<td>sandy gravel</td>
<td>collapsed totally subsequently filled with lake basin sediments</td>
<td>Kralik et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uplifted tillite remains</td>
<td>eastern Kazakhstan</td>
<td>11–12</td>
<td>up to 200 m</td>
<td>≤1 m or &gt;5 m</td>
<td>clayey silt with polishes and peats</td>
<td>collapsed tills</td>
<td>Rust et al., 2002 and references therein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagode-like remains</td>
<td>southern Ireland</td>
<td>10–11</td>
<td>50–100 m</td>
<td>1–7 m</td>
<td>coarse sand and fine-grained pebbles</td>
<td>collapsed lobes</td>
<td>Coxon and O’Cailain, 1987; Peersen, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decayed lakes</td>
<td>Uniting, Nunavut, Northern Quebec</td>
<td>≤1.5</td>
<td>50 m</td>
<td>2–5 m</td>
<td>silty clay layers overlain sand and gravel layers</td>
<td>eroded pools, new lobes or lateral range of degradation collapse</td>
<td>Clague et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice-cored depressions</td>
<td>northern Netherlands</td>
<td>≤1–10</td>
<td>up to 30 m</td>
<td>3 m</td>
<td>sandy material</td>
<td>collapsed polygonal depressions</td>
<td>Kruus and Bredemars, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lillooet</td>
<td>Northwest Territories, Canada</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>10–120 m</td>
<td>0.2–4 m</td>
<td>silty clay and sand</td>
<td>degraded and collapsed lobes</td>
<td>Wolfe et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glacial or Origin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring ridge mounds</td>
<td>Devon Island, Nunavut, Canada</td>
<td>≤ 0</td>
<td>4–7 m</td>
<td>up to 2.5 m</td>
<td>clay-rich sandy till</td>
<td>subaqueous-glaciolacustrine origin, dead ice lobes</td>
<td>Hibbard et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hummocky terrain</td>
<td>north-central Alberta, Canada</td>
<td>≤13</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2–10 m</td>
<td>sandy silt or clay with 5–10% clay till</td>
<td>subglacial upwelling</td>
<td>Peulen and McCallum, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Mounds</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>9–10</td>
<td>50–100 m</td>
<td>≤1–2 m</td>
<td>clayey silt and clay till</td>
<td>subglacial upwelling</td>
<td>Kontula et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Ring</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50–100 m</td>
<td>2.5–10 m</td>
<td></td>
<td>clay-rich sandy till</td>
<td>subglacial origin, dead ice lobes</td>
<td>Herhold et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramped Depressions</td>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60–165 m</td>
<td>≤1 m or &gt;5 m</td>
<td>clayey till and associated clay, silty deposits and peat deposit</td>
<td>either subglacial or sublacustrine origin</td>
<td>Eze et al., 2018 (some features described by Watson and Watson, 1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipa Mounds</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>≤11–12</td>
<td>100 m or more</td>
<td>≤10 m</td>
<td>clay, silt, sand, gravel, sandy and pebbly-glaciolacustrine deposits</td>
<td>subglacial and sublacustrine origin</td>
<td>Ljungqvist, 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring Ridge Hummocky mounds</td>
<td>northern Finland</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20–100 m</td>
<td>≤0.3–4 m</td>
<td>sandy silt or clay with gravel and washed-out ridges overlain clay-rich till</td>
<td>subglacial origin, dead ice lobes</td>
<td>Arnóimo, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice-Cored Rings</td>
<td>Saskatchewan, Canada</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100 m or more</td>
<td>1.5–10 m</td>
<td>till, sand and gravel</td>
<td>subglacial origin, dead ice lobes</td>
<td>Forstner, 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pingo Mounds</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>up to 100 m</td>
<td>≤40 m</td>
<td>laminated silt and interbedded sorted sediments (till-like till and smectite till)</td>
<td>subglacial origin, dead ice lobes</td>
<td>Lundqvist, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular mound features (CMF)</td>
<td>northern Norway</td>
<td>11–15</td>
<td>20–170 m</td>
<td>0.5–10 m</td>
<td>materials</td>
<td>subglacial origin, dead ice lobes</td>
<td>Ektorp and Erlandson, 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lithalsas are smaller scale frost mounds that form by ice segregation in mineral-rich soil absent of peat and can be found on river terraces and along streams. They form through permafrost aggradation causing localized ice segregation as pore water migrates (Calmels et al., 2008). The formation of lithalsas requires specific environmental conditions in order to allow slow freezing times to promote cryosuction for ice lens growth. The limited examples of contemporary lithalsas appear to be restricted to the discontinuous permafrost zone with available groundwater supply and in frost susceptible fine-grained sediment as opposed to the coarser grained till present in our study area (Calmels et al., 2008; Wolfe et al., 2014); although others have proposed lithalsa remnants to be present in coarse-grained materials (e.g., Seppala, 1972; Akerman and Malmstrom, 1986; Rapp and Rudberg, 1960; Hosek et al., 2019; Coxen, 1986). More importantly, Mokka Fjord VRFs are located in the continuous permafrost zone and have been in a polythermal and cold glacial environment with little water supply since the retreat of the Innuitian Ice Sheet (Ó Cofaigh et al., 1999). Therefore, the strict environmental conditions necessary for lithalsa formation would not have been met at Mokka Fjord. Furthermore, VRFs at Mokka Fjord exhibit a much more complex morphology than has been observed in remnant and contemporary lithalsas (Fig. 3), which tend to be circular ramparts.

Other segregation ice landforms, such as the Involuted Hill sites located in Tuktoyaktuk, Northwest Territories, Canada, exhibit a similar, yet not identical, complex morphology as observed in Mokka Fjord VRFs. The Involuted Hills are clay till-mantled ice-cored hills with a series of ridges and troughs. The ridges there are approximately 10 to 40 m wide, several tens of meters in length, and up to 6 m in height, which is much larger than the Mokka Fjord VRFs (Mackay, 1963; Rampton, 1988; Mackay and Dallimore, 1992). Mackay and Dallimore (1992) suggest glacial meltwater and porewater expulsion are what led to the formation of the massive ice at Involuted Hill, and that differential degradation of that ice led to the series of ridges and troughs at the surface. While it may be possible that the Mokka Fjord VRFs formed as localized frost mounds or other segregation ice mounds, we consider it highly unlikely due to the limited water supply from polythermal glaciers.

Smaller pingo-like frost mounds and partially collapsed mounds have been documented in the Canadian High Arctic on Banks Island, Northwest Territories, and suggested to form from the freezing of fluvial taliks left over from previous lateral stream migration (Pissart and French, 1976, 1977). Additionally, pingos in Svalbard have been suggested to form from the infiltration and migration of polythermal glacial meltwater to taliks (Liestøl, 1977). Mokka Fjord VRFs occur within a fluvial/glacio-fluvial setting that has sediments conducive to the upward and lateral movement of groundwater. Therefore, Mokka Fjord VRFs could have formed from the freezing of glacial meltwater taliks in fluvial/glacio-fluvial sediments and/or till due to permafrost aggradation following glacial retreat. This would then be followed by differential ablation leading to the formation of a series of ridges and troughs.

Although we have not entirely ruled out periglacial origins, Axel Heiberg Island lies within a recently deglaciated landscape where large amounts of dead glacial ice are likely preserved in the continuous permafrost zone under the protection of surface
debris cover. Morphologically similar features can form from the ablation of buried glacial ice as well as from other glacial-related processes. Therefore, we consider possible glacial formation mechanisms and analogous landforms to compare to Mokka Fjord VRFs.

5.2 Glacial Origins

Ring ridge moraines (a general term used to encompass the variety of naming schemes used in the literature) are glacially derived circular to anastomosing raised ridge features found largely across northern Europe and North America (Table 1). Although ring ridge moraine origins remain debated, their formation is largely attributed to one of the following two main hypotheses: (1) supraglacial and englacial debris concentrations left over from the disintegration of stagnant proglacial/ice-marginal ice, including Circular Ridges in Norway (Knudsen et al., 2006), VRFs on Devon Island in Nunavut, Canada (Hibbard et al., 2021), Veiki Moraines in Sweden (Lagerbäck, 1988), Pulju Moraines in northern Finland (Aartolahti, 1974; Sutinen et al., 2014, 2018, 2019; Middleton et al., 2020), and Ice-Contact Rings in Saskatchewan, Canada (Lundqvist, 1989), or (2) subglacial diapirism and the filling of subglacial cavities with basal water-saturated till that leaves behind ring ridges after the ice has disintegrated, including Hummocky terrain in north-central Alberta, Canada (Paulen and McClennaghan, 2014), Pulju Moraines in Finland (Sutinen et al., 2014), Rogen Moraines in Sweden (Lundqvist, 1989), and Circular Moraine Features in northern Norway (Ebert and Kleman, 2004). More details regarding the various types ring ridge moraines and their specific differences are described in Johnson and Clayton (2005) and Hibbard et al. (2021).

There is considerable variation in the scale, landform association, and sedimentology of ring ridges (Table 1), yet many are found in farmland and vegetated regions, which likely leads to the preferential preservation of large-scale landforms, and only a handful are comprised of coarse-grained sediment (Table 1). Mokka Fjord VRFs are small-scale and occur in course-grained sediments. Additionally, the morphometry, affiliated ice sheet characteristics, and thermal regime (i.e., Inuitian, Laurentide, Celtic, and Fennoscandian Ice Sheets), and/or deposit age of ring-ridge moraines differ markedly from the Mokka Fjord VRFs (Table 1). Only the Dundas Harbour ring-ridge moraines (Hibbard et al., 2021) are comparable in both morphometry and sedimentology to Mokka Fjord VRFs among other coarse-grained glacially derived ring ridges (Table 1).

Ring ridges at Dundas Harbour (Hibbard et al., 2021) are identical in morphology and morphometry to the VRFs at Mokka Fjord. For example, individual circular closed-cell VRFs on Dundas Harbour (Devon Island) and Mokka Fjord (Axel Heiberg Island) exhibit an identical microtopography consisting of rounded convex ridges, a u-shaped concave central depression with an abrupt change in slope at the ridge-trough transition, miniature grooves where cracks along the ridge apex occur, and gradual outward-facing slopes leading to the mesh (Fig. 8). The sedimentology of ring ridges at Dundas Harbour was interpreted as a sandy clast-rich till based on grain size analyses (Hibbard et al., 2021). Although no grain size distribution was done on Mokka Fjord VRFs, extensive field observations indicate the material is composed of sub-rounded clast-rich sand and shows minor
evidence of preferred orientation and minimal stratification of sands and gravels in the pits dug and exposures observed in the field. The sedimentology at Mokka Fjord is most consistent with a glaciofluvial deposit rather than a glacial till.

Figure 8: Topographic profiles of circular closed cell VRFs at Mokka Fjord and Dundas Harbour. (a) Example of circular VRF at Mokka Fjord, Axel Heiberg Island, Nunavut, Canada (D-D’). LiDAR data on the left and topographic profile on the right. VRF displays rounded convex ridges with cracks running just off the axial trace of the ridge, a u-shaped central trough following an abrupt change of slope from the ridge cracks, and a gently sloping transition into the mesh. (b) Example of circular VRF at Dundas Harbor on Devon Island, Nunavut, Canada (E-E’). Aerial drone imagery on the left and topographic profile on the right. VRF displays the same microtopography observed in (a) with a larger diameter. Modified from Hibbard et al. (2021).

It is notable that thermal contraction crack polygons are observed at Mokka Fjord and clearly cross-cut the VRFs indicating post-depositional modification of the VRF materials (Figs. 3, 5, S1, Supp. Files). This relationship is also observed at Dundas Harbour (Hibbard et al., 2021). However, polygons at the main Mokka Fjord field site are much more developed and well defined compared to those at Dundas Harbour. For example, the polygon troughs are wider, have raised shoulders with cracks running parallel to the troughs, and have both primary and secondary troughs with ice wedges. In addition to ice-wedge polygons, Mokka Fjord has exposed ice at active thaw slumps; whereas no evidence for massive buried ice was observed at Dundas Harbour. This may be the product of more ice (and therefore more water) in the region and longer subaerial exposure at Mokka Fjord as the field site is much farther away from the ice caps compared to the ring ridges located at Dundas Harbour (Hibbard et al., 2021). Therefore, despite their identical morphology and morphometry, the depositional environment was different at Mokka Fjord and Dundas Harbour. Which begs the question, are ring ridges at Dundas Harbour the same as VRFs at Mokka Fjord or are their similarities a product of equifinality suggesting that different processes can lead to a similar geomorphic expression? And, if so, what are the processes involved?

Bednarski (1998) describes the Quaternary geomorphology and stratigraphy of northeastern Axel Heiberg Island, which is ~300 km northeast of our field site at Mokka Fjord and lies within the outwash plains emanating from the Princess Margaret
Range. The outwash plains described by Bednarski (1998) are reported to host extensive kettled outwash terraces (an ice-marginal or distal proglacial landform, and kame terraces (an ice-marginal glacial landform).

The kettled outwash/kame terraces described by Bednarski (1998) are reported to be composed of ice-contact glaciofluvial coarse gravel with kettles and kames that range from 30–50 m in relief, ice-contact ridges, and active slumping that indicates the presence of buried glacial ice. Kame terraces are also suggested to be present along the west coast of Flat Sound (Fig. 1) where a northwest-flowing trunk glacier in Nansen Sound was in contact with the eastward-flowing glaciers from the Axel highlands (Bednarski, 1998).

Land-based ice on Axel Heiberg Island deglaciated between 9 and 7.5 ka BP (England et al., 2006). If Mokka Fjord VRFs were to have formed from frost mounds, massive segregated ice near the coast, as well as the formation of terraces and the differential ablation of buried ice to form VRF ridges, would need to have formed in less than 1,500 years. Massive intrasedimental ice has been identified in northern Canada, as well as on Axel Heiberg Island, but is typically found in fine-grained marine or glacial lake sediments below the marine limit (e.g., Pollard, 2000; Roy et al., 2018) as a result of permafrost aggradation following glacio-isostatic emergence (Pollard and Bell 1998). Mokka Fjord VRFs are not in marine sediments and the field site is at the upper limit or above the Holocene marine limit range. The terraces are comprised of glaciofluvial deposits and landforms that resemble kames, dead ice disintegration features, and possible eskers, all of which would be expected in a glaciofluvial/ice-marginal setting (e.g., King and Buckley, 1969; McKenzie and Goodwin, 1987).

Therefore, we suggest that the Mokka Fjord VRFs most likely formed from the burial and eventual detachment of ice-marginal/snout glacial ice followed by differential ablation of ice leading to a series of ridges and troughs as was suggested for VRFs at Dundas Harbour (Hibbard et al., 2021).

### 6 Summary and Conclusions

Vermicular Ridge Features (VRFs) at Mokka Fjord exhibit a circular, elongated, sinuous, and/or anastomosing morphology as a series of ridges and troughs. They occur along terraces and within the floodplain of a glacial meltwater channel. Thaw slumps (Fig. 4), active lobate slumping (Fig. 7), and thermokarst degradation (Fig. 3e) found among the VRFs suggest the presence of buried massive ice underneath. However, the origin of this ice is not known.

The leading periglacial (i.e., segregation ice features/lithalsas) and glacial (i.e., ring-ridge moraines and kame/kettled terraces) origins discussed above for Mokka Fjord VRFs all involve buried massive ice and the differential ablation of that ice to form the resulting surface topography and morphology. As the ice melts, the overlying deposit redistributes to preferentially promote a hummocky surface, like the process described by Hibbard et al. (2021) for identical features on Devon Island. Hence, the process of debris-mantled ice disintegration can form morphologically similar features regardless of the exact mechanism of
debris transport and deposition needed prior to VRF formation, and regardless of the ice origin. This presents the ongoing difficulty in distinguishing periglacial and glacial landforms in ice-cored terrain that result in a near identical morphology (e.g., Rampton, 2001; Ross et al., 2019; Dyke and Evans, 2003), and, consequently, the concept of equifinality in geomorphology (e.g., Haines-Young and Petch, 1983; Möller and Dowling, 2018).

Based on our observations, we interpret Mokka Fjord VRFs to be an ice-marginal feature resulting from paraglacial ablation of buried glacial ice producing a hummocky ring-ridge moraine comprised of supra- and englacial debris. This formation mechanism would infer a largely polythermal glacial environment with limited water supply. Likely from occasional warm-based periods at the ice margins which may allow sediment output and ice burial from basal ice debris redistribution or the thinning and subsequent burial of snout ice from glaciofluvial outwash.

Competing Interests

The contact author has declared that none of the authors have any competing interests.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Inuit of the Qikiqtani Region (one of the three regions in the territory of Nunavut). Fieldwork was carried out on their land in Nunavut. We would like to thank the community of Qausuittuq (Resolute Bay) for welcoming us during our stay in the summer of 2019. Logistical support from the Polar Continental Shelf Program (NRCan) is gratefully acknowledged. Funding was provided by a Canadian Space Agency (CSA) Flights and Fieldwork for the Advancement of Science and Technology (FAST) grant and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant Northern Supplement to GRO. SH was supported by an appointment to the NASA Postdoctoral Program at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, administered by Oak Ridge Associated Universities under a contract with NASA (80HQTR21CA005). The research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NM0018D0004). Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not constitute or imply its endorsement by the United States Government or the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology. The authors would like to thank Academy of Finland (Coe-LaSR, MS-PLS 300066) and Strategic Research Council at the Academy of Finland project "Competence Based Growth Through Integrated Disruptive Technologies of 3D Digitalization, Robotics, Geospatial Information and Image Processing/Computing - Point Cloud Ecosystem (293389/314312).” Geospatial support for this work provided by the Polar Geospatial Center under NSF-OPP awards 1043681 and 1559691 allowed for the use of ArcticDEM data for this project. Figures throughout this article were created using ArcGIS® software by Esri. ArcGIS® and ArcMap™ are the intellectual property of Esri and are used herein under license.
References


