

RESPONSE TO EDITOR AND REVIEWERS

Manuscript: Projecting the Response of Greenland's Peripheral Glaciers to Future Climate Change

Authors: Muhammad Shafeeque et al.

MS No: egusphere-2024-2184

Following responses represent the implementation of revisions previously outlined to the editor prior to being invited to submit the revised manuscript. We provide concise, point-by-point replies to all comments. All revisions are visible in the track-changes version of the manuscript. The supplementary material has been updated accordingly.

Notes:

1. *Line numbers refer to the tracked-changes version of the revised manuscript.*
2. *This document is a condensed version of the comprehensive responses previously provided to both reviewers.*

We thank the editor and reviewers for their thorough evaluation and constructive feedback. Below we respond to each comment in the order received.

EDITOR COMMENTS

Comment 1: Catchment-scale approach not possible (agreed), but clarify your glacier-centric approach.

Response: We have clarified our glacier-centric "fixed-gauge" approach in the methodology section.

Where corrected: Section 2.3.4

Changes made:

- Explained that our approach tracks runoff from initial glacier boundaries as glaciers retreat
- Justified why this is standard in glacier hydrology, including relevant literature
- Clarified that OGGM is designed for glacier-centric modeling and cannot handle complex multi-glacier catchments
- Distinguished "glacier peak water" from "catchment peak water"

Comment 2: Need more justification on precipitation scaling factor ($f_p = 1.6$), including validation against station data or high-resolution modeling.

Response: We have added comprehensive precipitation validation analysis against high-resolution modeling data.

Where corrected: Section 2.3.2; Supplementary Information

Changes made:

- Added validation against WRF high-resolution data (2014-2018) for FIIC region
 - Created **Supplementary Table S1** with statistical metrics (mean bias: 6.4 mm/month, correlation $r = 0.57$)
 - Created **Supplementary Figure S1** with validation analysis showing agreement
 - Explained that $f_p = 1.6$ was adopted from OGGM v1.4 framework (Maussion et al., 2019, Cross-Validation Dataset)
 - Clarified that glacier-specific calibration of μ compensates for residual precipitation biases
 - Created **Supplementary Table S2** listing all model parameters, values, and calibration methods
-

REVIEWER 1

MAJOR COMMENTS

1. Multi-model comparison request

Reviewer Comment: Add comparison with global models and statistical comparison.

Final Response:

We thank the reviewer for this suggestion. We added a multi-model comparison **Table 2** and relevant discussion in **Section 4.1** (approx. lines 558-585) and in **Supplementary Figures S19 and S20**.

A summary table and statistical metrics (Mean Absolute Difference, Coefficient of Variation, and uncertainty-range overlap) are provided in **Supplementary Table S3**.

2. Section 2 restructuring and clearer distinction of data, model, calibration

Reviewer Comment: Improve organization of Section 2.

Final Response:

Section 2 has been restructured exactly as suggested.

The new layout appears in **Section 2** (lines 93–345).

The precipitation factor f_p explanation is added in **Section 2.3.2** (lines 237-254) and detailed validation analysis results are provided in Table S1 and Figure S1 in **supplementary information**.

3. Reproducibility and OGGM version

Reviewer Comment: Specify OGGM version.

Final Response:

We now specify in **Section 2.3** (approx. line 197-198) that we use **OGGM v1.5.3** with the enhanced frontal ablation implementation following **Malles et al. (2023)**.

This is also included in the **Data and Code Availability** statement.

4. Missing discussion of oceanic forcing implications

Reviewer Comment: Discuss consequences of lacking oceanic forcing.

Final Response:

We added a dedicated discussion of missing oceanic forcing in **Section 4.4** (approx. lines 845-864), describing potential underestimation of solid ice discharge, regional impacts, and how ocean thermal forcing may modify projections.

5. Regional variability analysis

Reviewer Comment: Expand regional analysis.

Final Response:

We expanded regional interpretation in **Section 3 and 4**.

Additional subregional characteristics, climate drivers, and glacier geometry explanations are added.

Supplementary figures S2–S18 now support this analysis.

6. Figure quality

Reviewer Comment: Clarify representation, revise palettes, unify axes.

Final Response:

All the Figures including 3 and 4 have been updated.

Clarifications added to captions:

- Glaciers shown as polygons from RGI
 - Axis ranges unified
 - Colorblind-safe palettes applied
 - Smoothing method specified
-

7. Precipitation factor fp calibration

Reviewer Comment: Explain fp and include parameter table.

Final Response:

We clarify that $fp = 1.6$ is a global OGGM value and not calibrated in this study. We have added comprehensive precipitation validation analysis against high-resolution modeling data (**Supplementary Table S1 and Figure S1**).

Explanation added in **Section 2.3.2**.

A complete parameter table is included in **Supplementary Table S2**.

MINOR COMMENTS

8. Abstract clarification

Final Response:

Clarified in **Abstract** (lines 17–18) that values represent ensemble mean ± 1 SD across 10 GCMs for SSP126 to SSP585.

9. Fig. 1 MT definition and symbol clarity

Final Response:

"Marine-terminating" is defined in **Figure 1 caption**.
Instead of Triangles, we used dots with reduced in size and transparency increased.

10. Fig. 1c wording

Final Response:

Changed to "Number of glaciers".

11. Delta method explanation (L125)

Final Response:

Expanded description added to **Section 2.2.1** (approx. lines 148–162).

12. Clarify statistical test choices (L234)

Final Response:

Clarified in **Section 2.4** why different tests are applied based on data distribution (lines 344–345).

13. Figure 3 smoothing and shared y-axis

Final Response:

Caption updated to state LOESS smoothing applied to mean and confidence intervals.

Shared y-axis implemented.

Changes at **Figure 3 caption**.

14. Section 3.2 temporal and spatial comparison

Final Response:

Expanded comparisons in **Section 3** and **4**.

15. Reference format consistency

Final Response:

All references standardized to journal guidelines.

16. Zenodo data level

Final Response:

Clarified in **Data Availability** section (end of manuscript) that data include glacier-ID-level outputs and subregional aggregates.

REVIEWER 2

MAJOR COMMENTS

1. Hydrological catchments vs glacier outlines

Final Response:

We retain the glacier-centric fixed-gauge approach due to OGGM design constraints. Explanation added in **Section 2.3.4** (approx. lines 278-319).

2. Regional variability and deeper analysis

Final Response:

Expanded regional analysis added in **Sections 3 and 4**.

3. Figure formatting and consistency

Final Response:

All major figures reformatted for consistency.

Updates applied to **Figures 1–8**, with captions revised.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

L57 “significant mass loss process”

Response:

Quantification added in **Section 1** (approx. lines 56–61).

L70 cold-based regime explanation

Response:

Brief explanation added in **Section 1** (approx. lines 73–77).

L74ff add key dataset/method details

Response:

Paragraph expanded in **Section 1** (approx. lines 82–85).

L92 FIIC subdivision

Response:

Clarified in **Section 2.1** (approx. lines 100–106).

Original RGI outline added to **Figure 1b**.

L94 active vs inactive calving basins

Response:

Definition clarified in **Section 2.1** (approx. lines 104–106).

L105 precipitation correction

Response:

Clarified in **Section 2.3.2** (approx. lines 239–254).

L113ff GCM selection justification**Response:**

Explanation added in **Section 2.2.1** (approx. lines 125–145).

L125 bias correction and interpolation**Response:**

Detailed clarification added in **Section 2.2.1** (approx. lines 153–162).

L147 resolution suitable for glacier size**Response:**

OGGM grid resolution formula added in **Section 2.3.1** (approx. lines 200–206).

L161ff lapse rate specification**Response:**

Moved climate description to **Section 2.2.1** and clarified lapse rate (constant -6.5 K km^{-1}) at approx. **lines 160–162**.

L171 precipitation correction confusion**Response:**

Sequence clarified in revised **Section 2.2.1–2.3.5**.

L197ff runoff terminology**Response:**

Clarified fixed-gauge definition in **Section 2.3.4** (approx. lines 278–316).

L204ff peak water definition consistency**Response:**

Clarified glacier peak water definition in **Section 2.3.4**.

L222 subsection title

Response:

Changed to "Statistical Analysis" in **Section 2.4**.

Fig. 3 FIIC area stability

Response:

Explanation added in **Section 4.1 and 4.2**.

L253 add Central-West volume loss

Response:

Added in **Section 3.1**. (line 373)

L256 ANOVA numbers removed

Response:

Defined $p < 0.05$ in **Section 2.4**.

Removed detailed ANOVA outputs from **Section 3**.

L290ff remove redundant sentence

Response:

Sentence removed in **Section 3.1**.

L303 runoff dominance statement

Response:

Added summary paragraph in **Section 3.2** (approx. lines 431-432 & 483-489).

L312ff predictable off-glacier increase

Response:

Clarified rationale in **Section 4.2** (approx. lines 717-721).

Fig. 7 off-glacier rain missing

Response:

Updated with decimal precision. Added in **Figure 7**.

Fig. 8 non-monotonic peak water in SE

Response:

Brief explanation added in **Section 3.3** (approx. lines 531-537).

L345 domain consistency

Response:

Clarified in **Section 4.1** (approx. lines 551-553).

L357ff NE and FIIC resilience

Response:

Added detailed but concise explanation at different locations in **Section 4**.

L376 calving-dominated wording

Response:

Updated in **Section 3.2** (approx. line 490-491).

L384 MT glacier ratios

Response:

Statistics added in **Section 3.2** (approx. lines 447–459).

Time-evolving MT number and area percentages added in Figure 6b.

L385 ocean forcing reference removed

Response:

Corrected in **Section 3.2** (approx. line 477-482).

L394 regional runoff contribution

Response:

Expanded in **Section 3.2** and 4.2 (approx. lines 490-498 & 664-711).

L403 melt season description

Response:

Rephrased in **Section 4.2** (line approx. 721-723).

L413 equilibrium statement**Response:**

Clarified in **Section 4.2** (approx. lines 736-742).

L422 ocean feedback removed**Response:**

Corrected in **Section 4.2** (approx. line 747-749).

L459 regional impacts**Response:**

Expanded in **Section 4.3** (approx. lines 780–794).

Section 4.4 restructuring**Response:**

Restructured exactly as suggested.

Revised **Section 4.4** now lines approx. **805–897**.

L537 add methods summary at start of conclusion**Response:**

Two-sentence summary added to **Conclusion** (approx. lines 899–904).

L540f catchment remark**Response:**

Clarified glacier-centric scope in **Conclusion** (lines 909-914).

L544–545 equilibrium vs exhaustion**Response:**

Clarified in **Conclusion** (approx. lines 929-935).

L551ff expand emission-scenario differences

Response:

Added to **Conclusion** (approx. lines 905-945).

TECHNICAL COMMENTS

All technical corrections implemented exactly as suggested:

- consistent decimals (line 33)
 - smaller MT symbols (revised **Figure 1**)
 - remove duplicate greys (updated **Figure 1**)
 - consistent capitalization of FIIC (**revised**)
 - Table 1 font uniform (revised **Table 1**)
 - SIA usage standardized (**revised**)
 - grammar corrections (**revised multiple locations as suggested**)
 - rephrased lines (rephrased **multiple locations as suggested**)
 - consistent units (**mm/yr, %/yr**) across text and figures
 - Figure 3 and 4 colormaps replaced with sequential uniform colormap
 - legend cleanup and regional label alignment for Figures 3–8 (revised all)
 - axis consistency (y=0 start where relevant)
 - explanatory note on mm/yr^2 added (**Section 3.1 line 403-405**)
-