- 1. Line 25. Expression "timing and magnitude further modulated" should be corrected, possibly by adding "are" after "magnitude".
- 2. Lines 30 & 31. Sentence "Concurrently, the effective connectivity between the stream channel and adjacent hillslopes increases in the vertical dimension" is very unclear to me.
- 3. Line 31. Expression "At higher GWL thresholds" is unclear: non threshold has been mentioned so far.
- 4. Line 36. Is "volume" the right word? May be, "discharge"?
- 5. Lines 58 & 59. Remark "a delayed peak only occurred when watershed storage reached a critical threshold of 113 mm" is given here as a result of general validity everywhere. I am afraid that it refers to a specific study area.
- 6. Line 112. Word "at" should be erased, shouldn't it?
- 7. Line 113. Measurement units "m" should be added after "676".
- 8. Lines 127 & 132. The same measurement units for hydraulic conductivity should be used throughout the paper. I would prefer the use of SI units, so m/s.
- 9. Line 139. Measurement units "m" should be added after "5".
- 10. Lines 140 & 141. "2.3 Meteorological and streamflow 140 data collection" is the title of the next subsection.
- 11. Line 142. Expression "spanning 2013-2023" should be rephrased, possibly as "spanning eleven years, from 2013 to 2023,".
- 12. Line 143. Measurement units "m" should be added after "700", "900", and "1000".
- 13. Lines 145 & 155. Which kind of average? Space or time average? In the latter case, which time interval has been considered for the averaging?
- 14. Lines 161 & 162. Sentence "Groundwater levels were normalized following the method described by Detty and McGuire 161 (2010)" repeats what was written at lines 158 & 159.
- 15. Lines 162 & 163. If I understood correctly, "the lowest recordable depth of the instrument" depends on the sensor position, so it is a factor related to the experimental setup, not a physical property of the subsurface.
- 16. Lines 172 & 173. The definition of "event" should be better rephrased. In particular, the rainwater intensity is considered as the hourly averaged value or the value measured at the sampling period (10 minutes)?
- 17. Line 177. ", HESS" should be erased.
- 18. Line 181. "&" should be substituted with "and" to be consistent throughout the whole paper.
- 19. Lines 184 & 185. Erase these lines, they repeat lines 180 & 181.
- 20. Lines 182 & 183, 186 & 187. These sentences basically repeat the same concepts and should be merged.
- 21. Line 187.
- 22. Line 189. Is "was" correct? May be, "were"?
- 23. Line 191. Lowercase should be used for "the".
- 24. Lines 253 & 254. A standard deviation of 0.01158, for 14 data, corresponds to a standard error on the average value of 0.01158/14 $^{1/2}$ =0.004. Therefore, it would be better to write "a mean value of 0.197 ± 0.004 and...".
- 25. Line 257. The caption should explain what is represented by the blue strip.
- 26. Line 288. Pronoun "that" should be added before "represents".
- 27. Line 289. "Analysis revealed that" can be erased.

- 28. Line 335. "0.13-0.26" should be substituted as "from 0.13 to 0.26".
- 29. Lines 349 to 351. Expressions "0-2 h" and similar should be substituted, possibly as "less than 2 hours".
- 30. Lines 364 & 365. Expression "a markedly faster rates (0.03 to 0.98/day, mean: 0.38/day) compared to the second peak 364 (0.01 to 0.31/day, mean: 0.07/day)" should be rephrased, possibly as "a markedly faster rate (from 0.03 d<sup>-1</sup> to 0.98 d<sup>-1</sup>, with a mean of 0.38 d<sup>-1</sup>) than the second peak (from 0.01 d<sup>-1</sup> to 0.31 d<sup>-1</sup>, with a mean of 0.07 d<sup>-1</sup>)."
- 31. Figure 11. The notation of the measurement units of the principal vertical axis should be corrected (see previous comment).
- 32. Line 379. "pace. pressure-driven" should be corrected. May be, "Pressure" should start with uppercase.
- 33. Lines 398 & 399. Expression "of 10-30 meters" should be substituted with "varying from 10 m to 30 m".
- 34. Figure 12. Figure caption must be rephrased in a more clear way. Why is this figure drawn with the  $I_{G}$  axis increasing downwards? I found this choice confusing, when I was reading the comments about what happens for high and low values of  $I_{G}$ .
- 35. Lines 453 & 455. Isn't it better to give values in hours rather than in days?