The 1538 eruption at Campi Flegrei resurgent caldera: implications for future unrest and eruptive scenarios

Giuseppe Rolandi[1], Claudia Troise[2], Marco Sacchi[3], Massimo di Lascio[4], Giuseppe De Natale[2]

[1] Retired Professor at Università di Napoli Federico II, Dept. Earth Sciences, Naples (I)

[2] Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Osservatorio Vesuviano, Naples (I)

[3] ISMAR-CNR, Naples (I)

[4] Free Lance Geologist, Naples (I)

Corresponding author: Giuseppe De Natale, giuseppe.denatale@ingv.it

Abstract

The recent unrest in the Campi Flegrei caldera which began several decades ago, poses a high risk to a densely populated area, due to significant uplift, very shallow earthquakes of intermediate magnitude and the potential for an eruption. Given the high population density, it is crucial, especially for civil defense purposes, to consider realistic scenarios for the evolution of these phenomena, particularly seismicity and potential eruptions. The eruption of 1538, the only historical eruption in the area, provides a valuable basis for understanding how unrest episodes in this caldera may evolve toward an eruption. In this paper, we provide a new historical reconstruction of the precursory phenomena of the 1538 eruption, analyzed considering recent volcanological observations and results obtained in the last few decades. This allows us to build a coherent picture of the mechanism and possible evolution of the present unrest, including expected seismicity, ground uplift and eruptions. Our work identifies two main alternative scenarios, providing a robust guideline for civil protection measures, and facilitating the development of effective emergency plans in this highly risky area.

1. Introduction

The Campi Flegrei area has been a benchmark of modern geology and volcanology since the middle XVIII century, due to the clear evidence of significant ground movements, associated with both uplift and subsidence, imprinted on the columns of the ancient Roman Market (Macellum; hereafter also called SerapeoSerapeum or Serapis Temple) in the town of Pozzuoli. These movements were famously depicted on the cover of Charles Lyell's seminal book, 'Principles of Geology'. By the XIX century, it became evident that the impressive relative movements between sea level and ground were due to ground uplift and subsidence. Consequently, numerous efforts have been made to reconstruct the timeline of these movements, during the centuries. One of the most convincing reconstructions was proposed by Parascandola (1947), later modified by Dvorak and Mastrolorenzo (1991), Morhange et al. (2006), Bellucci et al. (2006) and, mostmore recently, Di Vito et al. (2016). These However, all these reconstructions, however, differ from each other. The exhibit evident discrepancies, and do not rely on the full body of historical evidence, as we will demonstrate. These significant ground movements have predominantly involved a long-term trend of subsidence, punctuated by occasional episodes of rapid ground uplift, culminating in the volcano's only historical eruption, occurred in historical times, in 1538 (Di Vito et al., 2016). After the 1538 eruption, a new period of subsidence began, which was interrupted in 1950, when a new series of uplift episodes commenced (Del Gaudio et al., 2010). Two major uplift episodes occurred between 1969-1972 and 1982-1984, characterized by significant and rapid uplift (with a cumulative uplift of about 3.5 m) accompanied by intense seismicity: small in the first period, intense in the second one (with M_{max}=4.0).. These events led to the evacuation of 3000 residents from the oldest part of Pozzuoli town (Rione Terra), in 1970, and the entire town of Pozzuoli comprising 40.000 people, in 1984 (Barberi et al., 1984). After approximately 20 years of subsidence, a new uplift phase began in 2005-2006, with a much lower uplift rate (less than 0.01 meters per month on average, compared to about 0.06 meters per month in the 1970s and 1980s), but longerlong-lasting and still continuing at the time of writing.ongoing. This new unrest has been accompanied by progressively increasing seismicity, which has substantially intensified, both in frequency and maximum magnitude (Troise et al., 2019; Kilburn et al., 2023; Iervolino et al., 2024). The maximum magnitude reached M=4.6 on March 13, 2025. The major increase in seismicity began when 4 on May 20, 2024, once the maximum ground level attained at the end of 1984 was reached (in July 2022) and surpassed. The progressively increasing seismicity confirms the predictions of Kilburn et al. (2017) and Troise et al. (2019), who based their forecast on the correspondence of the ground level with stress levels at depth. This seismic activity represents a significant and continuous hazard for the edifices in such a densely populated area, given the very shallow depth of the earthquakes (about 2-3 km). Furthermore, the current crisis poses an even higher threat as it could potentially be a precursor to a future eruption in the area.

The present study primarilyis aimed to reconstruct and interpret the events before and after the 1538 eruption. This analysis follows three main paths: i) the accurate reconstruction, of the ground movements in this area since early historical times, using historical testimonies and documentation; ii) the accurate reconstruction of the uplift movements that evolved from 1430 to 1538, accompanied and followed by significant seismic events; iii) the analysis of stratigraphic and geophysical parameters, which, although collected in the recent era, provide important elements for the reconstruction and interpretation of the unrest related to the 1538 eruption.

Finally, the interpretation of the events preceding , accompanying and following the 1538 eruption is used to provide insight into possible evolution scenarios for the present unrest, which started in 1950 and is still in progress (Troise et al., 2019; Scarpa et al., 2022)

2. Caldera formation and post-caldera volcanic activity 14 ka - 3.7 ka

Campi Flegrei is an active caldera to the west of Naples in southern Italy. About 12-14 km across, its southern third is submerged beneath the Bay of Pozzuoli. Following the most recent, and likely only (Rolandi et al., 2020a; 2020b; De Natale et al., 2016), episode of caldera formation, i.e. the Neapolitan Yellow Tuff eruption 15 ka, some 70 eruptions (linked to 35 visible vents) have occurred across the caldera floor, ranging from the effusion of lava domes to explosive hydro-magmatic eruptions (Di Vito et al., 1999; Smith et al., 2011; Isaia et al., 2015). The most recent eruption occurred in 1538, producing the cone of Monte Nuovo (Di Vito et al., 1987; 2016). The caldera collapse resulted in many new fractures, which gradually became eruptive vents. Through these vents, the eruptions continued, exhibiting the characteristics of a volcanic field (Druitt and Sparks, 1984), resulting in the so-called post-caldera activity. Dome-shaped uplift of NYT occurred after the caldera formation in the central zone of Campi Flegrei, with uplift up to hundreds of meters on the caldera floor (Rolandi et al., 2020b). The significant uplift involved a large intra-calderic NYT block, making Campi Flegrei a typical example of resurgent caldera (Luongo et al., 1991; Orsi et al., 1996; 1999; Acocella (2010); Rolandi et al., 2020b). The post-caldera activity gave rise to numerous craters, predominantly tuff cones and tuff rings (Fig. 1a,b), displaying the typical characters of monogenic volcanoes (Marti et al., 2016). Within Campi Flegrei, 35 small eruptive centers have been identified, since the NYT eruption (Di Vito et al., 1999; Smith et al., 2012), producing about 70 eruptions. The magmas associated with these eruptions are typically trachytes and alkali trachytes, with smaller amounts of latite and phonolite (Di Girolamo et al., 1984; Rosi and Sbrana, 1987; D'Antonio et al., 1999). The post-caldera eruptions can be then classified in two periods, occurring between 14 ka and 8.2 ka BP and 5.8 and 3.7 ka BP., respectively, with an interval of significant subsidence without eruptions from 8.2 to 5.8 ka BP (Rolandi et al., 2020b).



Fig. 1 – *Top*: Location map of the study area with indication of relevant toponyms and major volcano-tectonic and morpho-structural lineaments associated with the Campi Flegrei caldera. *Bottom*: Map of Campi Flegrei caldera. Red circles indicate the craters of the first post-caldera volcanic phase, blue triangles indicate the craters of the second phase. The red hatched area represents the resurgent block of NYT extended in the Pozzuoli Bay.

The second post-caldera eruptive phase was preceded by the uplift of 30m, above sea level, of La Starza marine terrace (Cinque et al., 1983; Rolandi et al., 2020b). The distribution of eruptive centers reveals that, during the first post-caldera phase, they were distributed around the resurgent block. In the second phase, among thirteen volcanic edifices, seven occurred within the resurgent area (Fig. 1).

It seems likely that the second post-caldera phase (5.8 - 3.7 ka) can be considered the primary reference for defining possible future eruptive scenarios, following the eruption of 1538 AD.

3. Subsidence and uplift evolution before the 1538 eruption

3.1 Previous interpretations

Modern research on ground movements at Campi Flegrei caldera started with the detailed studies by Parascandola (1943; 1947), the latter drawing mainly on earlier work by Niccolini (1846). The 1943 study primarily focused on historical documents describing the subsidence of the ancient Greek-Roman road known as 'Via Herculea', which was located near the Averno volcano, and contributed to the formation of Lake Lucrino (Fig. 2).~~.~~

The Via Herculea, in use since Greek times (beginning in the 8th century BC) and remaining important throughout the Roman times, serves as fundamental historical marker for assessing ground movements west of Pozzuoli. The detailed history of this road, reconstructed from numerous historical sources and included in the supplementary material, provides insights into its subsidence over the centuries.

The road ran along a narrow strip of land, probably~~likely~~ formed by coastal aggradation of volcaniclastic sandy deposits (Parascandola, 1943) primarily from the 5 ka and 3.7 ka eruptions of the Averno and Capo Miseno volcanoes (Insinga et al., 2006; Di Vito et al., 2011; Sacchi et al., 2014; Di Girolamo et al., 1984). The deposits~~), which~~ eventually created a lake, namely Lucrino (Fig. 2a). Given its elevation just a few meters above sea level, subsidence significantly affected its usability, with frequent disruptions documented in historical records. These records provide crucial evidence of the evolution of ground subsidence in this area over the centuries.

The Greeks arriving from Euboea in the 8th century BC~~,~~ initially settled on the island of Ischia (Pithecusa), before founding the 'polis'~~polis~~ of Cuma, the first Greek colony in Magna Graecia and the entire western Mediterranean. Since these times~~From this time~~ the narrow land strip served as a road known as the Via Herculea, providing access to the cultivated countryside around Pozzuoli (Fig. 2b).

Parascandola (1943) emphasized the continuous subsidence of the Via Herculea, using historical accounts from Petrarca (1341) and Boccaccio (1355-1373) to establish that the road had already sunk below sea level by their time. He also noted that Via Herculea did not re-emerge during the uplift accompanying the 1538 eruption, suggesting that the ground uplift in this area was insufficient to compensate for the secular subsidence.

In his later work, Parascandola (1947) presented a detailed reconstruction of ground movements in Pozzuoli, which has provided a common starting point~~based on evidence fundamental reference~~ for subsequent studies on this subject. According to Parascandola (1947) the maximum subsidence occurred during the IX century.

The first paper to propose an alternative model for ground movements at Campi Flegrei was published by Dvorak and Mastrolorenzo (1991). They propose simplified and constant rates of subsidence and uplift, suggesting that the maximum subsidence occurred at the end of 15th century.

Morhange et al. (1999; 2006), based on radiocarbon dating of bivalve~~lithodome~~ shells, identified an additional episode of ground uplift between 650 and 800 AD. Bellucci et al. (2006) later integrated the ground deformation model of Dvorak and Mastrolorenzo (1991) with the findings of Morhange et al. (1999; 2006) into a unified framework.

More recently, Di Vito et al. (2016) proposed a new reconstruction of ground movements, which will be discussed in more detail below.~~in the following paragraphs.~~ Their model suggests that the maximum subsidence occurred in 1251 AD. They also proposed~~hypothesized~~ that subsidence at Campi Flegrei began around 35 BC, and that the ground at the Monte Nuovo vent uplifted by approximately 19 meters immediately before the 1538 eruption. The main reason of such different interpretations was the use of only partial data sets.

3.2 **Reconstructing the ground movements with the whole available data set**

The inclusion of new historical~~As inferred from historical chronicles, as well as from studies on the incrustations and traces of bioerosion on the Pozzuoli Serapeum marble columns (Parascandola 1947; Bellucci et al. 2006), after the two post-caldera phases previously defined, large ground uplift and subsidence in the order of tens of meters occurred. Historical~~ documents allowed us to precisely reconstruct ~~such~~ ground movements in Pozzuoli area (central part of the caldera) and in the Averno area (3 km west of Pozzuoli, close to the area where the 1538 eruption occurred. The reconstruction reported here, based on all the reliable historical documents, hence allows to tightly constrain ~~is the most complete and rigorous, allowing to put strong constraints on the reconstruction of~~ past ground movements, so resolving the differences in previous interpretations~~whose interpretation is presently very unconstrained and hence variable among the different authors~~.

~~3.2.1 Ground movements at Averno~~

The first evidence of subsidence in the Campi Flegrei area dates back Greek times, as reported by Diodoro Siculo (VIII century BC) and is related to the area in front of the Averno Lake, close to the vent~~and~~ of the 1538 eruption~~,~~ which generated the Monte Nuovo cone. We will start to describe the historical documents to shed light on the ground movements in this area, then we will reconstruct ground movements in the most deformed, central Pozzuoli area.

3.2.1 Ground movements at Averno

A fundamental historical marker for inferring the ground movements west of Pozzuoli, as already mentioned, is the Via Herculea. Diodoro Siculo (see Appendix 1) reported that, already at the times of first Greek settlements, –i.e. 8th century BC, continuous subsidence affected this area, thus generating problems to the practicability of Via Herculea.

In Roman times, since the beginning of the 1st century BC, the body of water enclosed by the Via Herculea, purchased by Sergio Orata, played an important role in fish-farming since 90 BC, taking the name of Lucrino (from the latin term 'lucrum' for profit),~~,~~ much larger than the present-day Lake Lucrino. After his death, ~~due to~~ continuous subsidence ~~which~~ menaced both the practicability of the Via Herculea and the fish farming activities. The~~, the~~ new owners around 50 BC turned to the Roman Senate calling for appropriate interventions. For this purpose, in the period 48-44 BC Julius Caesar was commissioned, then building a barrier (*Opus Pilarum*) and special shutters to protect the road and the Lucrino Lake from sea ingression (see Appendix 1). Towards the end of the same century, for military purposes, in 37 BC Agrippa cut both the Via Herculea and the barrier with the crater of Avernus. Having understood, unlike Julius Caesar, the continuous subsidence of the Via Herculea, which at the end of the century was only few meters above sea level (Fig. 2c), Agrippa also ***increased its height*** (Strabo, 1st century BC). About four centuries later, Theodoric (King of the Ostrogoths), upon request for the protection of fish farming, restored the dam by increasing again the height of via Herculea with respect to the sea level (Parascandola, 1943).

The~~Due to continuous subsidence, the~~ Via Herculea finally sank below the sea level between 6th - 7th century A.D, when the sea penetrated the crater of Averno, the Lake Lucrino having disappeared (Fig. 2d). Proof of the disappearance of the Via Herculea and of the Lucrino Lake was also testified by Boccaccio, who lived in the Naples area from 1327 to 1341 AD and described the Averno area in its geographical book ‘De montibus’ (*…to Avernus, connected in ancient times with the nearby lake Lucrino where it recalls the waters of portus Iulius*).



Fig. 2 - a,b,c,d) position and shape of the via Herculea, Lucrino and Averno lakes,along 33 centuries. The red star indicates the central point around which the volcanic edifice of 1538 was formed.

Via Herculea never rose above the sea level again, despite the large uplift phase occurred before and during the 1538 eruption (see Fig. 2d).

OurThe tentative reconstruction of the level of Via Herculea, approximately shown in Fig. 2 as briefly described above, is shown in detail in Fig. 3, where each point of the curve refers to a specific documented historical period, starting from the Greek age (8^{th} century tillBC), through the Roman era and the late Middle Ages, until the eruptive event of 1538, is shown in Figs. 2 (see Table 1, and 3. AtAppendix 1). Note that on the Via Herculea, at the end of the 1st century BC and at the end of the 4th century AD, works were carried out to increase theits height of the route above sea level due to the incipient submersion. Due to these works, the submersion of the routestructure was delayed from aboutca. the 3rd, 4th century AD, untilBC, up to the 7th century AD (Fig. 3). AThe date of submersion around 6-7th century is also consistent with the observations reported by Parascandola (1943)), indicating that the land strip of Via Herculea wasstill emerged above sea level for much of the 6th century.

TheIt is fundamental to note that Via Herculea has remained submerged ever since (never emerged again, not even immediately before and during the eruptive phase of 1538 eruption: (Parascandola, 1943), and relics can be seen today about 4.5 meters bsl (Fig. 4).

The submerged relicts of the Via Herculea are still visible today, located at about 4.5 meters bsl, as shown in the high-resolution bathymetry (Fig.4:) recently obtained by Somma et al., . (2016).



Fig. 3 – Diagram showing the trend of ground movements at the Via Herculea, as referred to sea level, along 33 centuries. Each point~~Numbers~~ on the curve refers to a specific documented historical period, whose number indicates~~indicate~~ the reference~~times of references~~ for the inferred level. References~~: they~~ are synthetically reported in Table 1 and extensively explained in Appendix 1. Dashed lines represent hypothesized subsidences: the first one connecting to the likely initial elevation, the second one showing the likely subsidence path in absence of the restoration works (points 4 and 6), the third one showing the likely uplift linked to 1538 eruption.

Number	Time	Event	Reference source	Reported by
1	3.7 ka and after	Formation of the coastal bar	This paper	
2	8th century BC	Subsidence of the via Herculea	Diodorus Siculus (Book IV)	Parascandola, 1943
3	60 BC	Sergio Orata, owner of the 'Lucrino' lake fish farm, asked the Senate to have via Herculea repaired, because at around 2 m asl. Cesare repaired it	Parascandola, 1943	
4	37 BC	Agrippa raised the level of via Herculea	Strabone	Parascandola, 1943
5	12 BC	Abandonment of Portus Julius and Lucrino fish farming, because of accelerated subsidence of via Herculea	Aucelli, 2020	
6	496 AD	Theodoric, King of Gotes, repaired and raised level of via Herculea	Cassiodorus, Varia Book I	Parascandola, 1943
7-8	556 AD	Failed attempts to restore fish farming in the Lucrino lake: the level of Dam was too low	Parascandola, 1943	
9	1341-1348	Petrarca and Boccaccio writings indicate via Herculea was about 5-6 m bsl	Boccaccio, 1355-1373	Parascandola, 1943
10	15th century	Uplift starts, but Lucrino lake however disappeared and via Herculea never re-emerged	Several chroniclers of the time	Parascandola, 1943

Table 1 - Sinthetic sketch of the main historical sources used to reconstruct the ground deformations shown in Fig.3 (see Appendix 1 for more details).



Fig. 4 – Shaded reflief map of the coastal area of the Pozzuoli Bay based on high resolution multibeam bathymetry (Somma et al., 2016). Arrows indicate the submerged remains of the breakwater pilae of the via Herculea.

3.2.2 Ground movements at Pozzuoli

While~~Meanwhile~~ Via Herculea records the most ancient subsidence in the whole Campèi Flegrei area, the best evidence for subsidence in the Pozzuoli area, where maximum ground movements have been~~are~~ recorded, comes from Roman Market place, Serapeo,~~the historical-archaeological elements linked to the Serapis Temple (Macellum),~~ although subsidence in the Pozzuoli area is also testified since Greek times (Gauthier, 1912).

Recent ~~Recently, Amato and Gialanella (2013) discovered, by~~ drilling has revealed~~into Serapeum area,~~ four successively superimposed floors, ranging from the Augustan age (31 BC-14 AD) to that of the Severi (193-235 AD), ~~thus~~ indicating a~~the~~ progressive subsidence ~~of the manufact~~ (Fig. 5: ~~).~~ ~~The most elevated 4^{th} floor was built in the Severi Age, indicating at that time the previously built three floors where all below the sea level, and~~ from Amato and Gialanella, 2013). Fig. 6 shows the~~this epoch we will follow the historical traces of further subsidence and subsequent uplift. The resulting~~ time evolution of the approximate level of the uppermost, 4^{th} floor. It ~~4^{th} floor of the Serapeum is reported in Fig. 6. Also in this figure, as for the Fig. 3, each number refers to a given historical document supporting that level (see Table 2, and Appendix 2). From historical information we know that the 4^{th} floor~~ subsided below ~~the~~ sea level in the 5th century (~~, i.e.,~~ about 200 years after its construction during the Severi Age). By~~. When~~ the time it had~~4th floor~~ reached ~~a level of~~ 3.6 m bsl (~~,~~around the 7th century AD), the sediments had covered the base of~~, the~~ columns ~~(were wrapped by layers of sedimentary materials,~~ which formed the so-called "fill": " ~~(~~Parascandola, 1947).

Lithodomes colonized those parts of the columns near sea level (~~Then, due to the impact of the relative sea-level change on the coastal area, colonies of lithodomes attached the part of column at the mean sea level,~~ between 3.6 and 6.30 bsl: ~~water depth (~~see the two red arrows in Fig. 7c), creating~~) and created a~~ pitted bands about 2.7 m thick~~band~~ above the sedimentary layers.~~materials, for a thickness of 2.70m.~~ This process occurred until the 9th century AD, when the fourth floor was located to a depth of 6.3 m below sea level.~~levelSuch a depthwas considered by some authors (Parascandola 1947, Amato and Gialanella, 2013) to be the maximum submersion,.~~ In the same period, ~~however, the~~ ground subsidence caused ~~the flooding, by~~ thermal and rain waters to flood~~, of~~ the Agnano plain, ~~an area located to~~ east of Pozzuoli, where they formed a new~~and resulted in the formation of a~~ lake (Annecchino, 1931). This indicates~~event indicated~~ a general persistence of subsidence in the Pozzuoli area (Fig. ~~, which was in fact confirmed very clearly even in the following centuries, as highlighted by numerous historical documents, resumed here (Fig.~~ 7a;~~) and reported in detail in~~ Appendix 2), contradicting~~. Such data also contradict~~ the conclusion~~conclusions~~ by Morhange et al. (1999; 2006), that an ~~who hypothesized a significant~~ uplift, of several meters, occurred in the period 7^{th}-8^{th} century.





Fig. 5 – Floors underlying columns of Serapeo (redrawn from Amato and Gialanella, 2013). The dotted part of the column indicates the boring due to colonies of *Lithodomus Litophagus*.

Evidence for persistent subsidence comes from~~In the 11th century~~ the Arab geographer Idrisi (11th~~and other historians of 12th~~ century), from ~~(~~Benjamin ben Yonah de Tudela (12th~~) and 13th~~ century) and ~~(~~Nicolò Jamsilla (13th century), which describe~~), clearly highlighted~~ the morphology of Rione Terra as a medieval castle surrounded by the sea on three sides~~, due to the continuation of the subsidence, which was still underway at that time~~ (Costa et al., 2022: ~~) (~~see points 6 and 7 in Appendix 2). ~~Moreover, in 14th century there is the account of~~ Boccaccio (1355-1373) also~~), as~~ reported ~~by Parascandola (1943), who wrote~~ that the fisherman's wharf in the Bay of Pozzuoli had become~~became~~ completely submerged (Parascandola, 1947: point 8 in Table 2 and Appendix 2).

As already discussed by Bellucci et al. (2006), we ~~We~~ can obtain~~prove again the subsidence continued further in the following century, since it is possible to get~~ a more precise estimate of the depth below sea level reached by the Serapeo's 4th floor in~~of~~ the 15th century~~Serapeum~~, by observing the painting "Bagno del Cantariello" (Fig. 7a), part of the famous Balneis Puteolanis of the Edinburgh Codex of 1430 AD (Di Bonito and Giamminelli, 1992). The painting depicts the Rione Terra encircled by vertical yellow tuff walls, from which the beach of Marina Della Postierla extends (towards the observer) to the base of the S. Francesco hill, the source of the thermal spring Cantariello (foreground) near the coast northeast of the submerged Serapeo~~Serapeum~~. Behind the visitors of the thermal spring, the painting clearly shows the upper part of the three marble columns of ~~Serapeum emerging from~~

the Serapeo above sea level. People~~. Also depicted~~ are also shown~~people~~ fishing directly from the shore (Fig. 7b). From this painting we can make a rough estimate of the portion of columns below the sea level at that time, taking into account that a significant part of the columns is submerged. Historical records from the 1750 excavations, ~~(see further)~~ indicate that the buried part of the columns amounted to about 10 m (see Parascandola, 1947). The uppermost~~); the shallowest~~ 2 meters consisted of~~of the excavations were formed by~~ pyroclastic ~~flow~~ deposits of the 1538 eruption (see further paragraphs).





Fig. 6 – Diagram of the level of first (until the building of the fourth floor) and fourth floor of the Serapeum The arrows indicate the limits of the submersion corresponding to the part of the columns bored by lithodomes. Each point ~~Numbers~~ on the curve refers to a specific documented historical period, whose number indicates the reference~~indicate the times of references~~ for the inferred level. References~~: they~~ are synthetically reported in Table 2 and extensively explained in the Appendix 2. Dates marked on the right indicate the times of occurrence of major earthquakes.

Number	Time	Event	Reference source
1	230 AD	The third floor of Serapeum was at a level of only about 1 m asl, often invaded by water: it was then built the fourth floor, located at 2 m asl	Amato and Gialanella, 2013
2	394 AD	The fourth floor is invaded by the sea. Important works to restore the banks and protect them by coastal embankments	Camodeca, 1987; Caruso, 2004
3	VI-VII century	Puteoli almost depopulated. People	Varriale, 2004

		refuged in a fortified citadel, surrounded by sea: the Acropolis of Rione Terra	
4	VIII-X century	Due to continuous subsidence, Agnano Plain was invaded by water, transforming into a lake	Annecchino, 1931
5	XI century	The sea increasily surrounded Rione Terra, which appeared like a castle. The Arab geographer ***Idrisi*** in his ***Opus Geographicum***, describing Pozzuoli as a ***"castle"***	Varriale, 2004
6	XII century	Subsidence continues: Benjamin ben Yonah de Tudela, passing through Pozzuoli, described: ***turres et fora in acqua demersa quae in media quondam fuerant***	Russo Mailer C., 1979; Caruso, 2004
7	XIII century	Subsidence continues: Niccolò Jamsilla (***Historia de rebus gestis Frederici II imperatoris ejeusque filorum Corradiet Manfredi Apuliaeet Siciliae regnum)*** describes the places between Agnano and Pozzuoli as follows: …***videlicet Putheolum mari mantibusque inaccessibilius circumquaque conclusum…***	Fuiano, 1951
8	1327-1341	Boccaccio reported descriptions as the lower part of Puteoli being completely submerged	Mancusi, 1987
9	1430	The 1430 gouache 'Bagno del Cantariello' shows the Serapeum columns submerged for about 10 meters. A	Di Bonito and Giamminelli, 1992

10	1441	A description indicates that 'the sea covered the littoral plain, today called Starza'	De Jorio, 1820

Table 2: Sinthetic sketch of the main historical sources used to reconstruct the ground deformations shown in Fig.6 (see Historical Appendix 2 for more details).

Before the eruption, therefore~~This observation constitutes an indication that during the time of the painting (1430), in the absence of 1538 products~~, the buried part of the columns must~~should then~~ have been approximately 8 meters. The ~~Moreover, the~~ presence of trawling fishermen ~~in the scene~~ (Fig. 7b) suggests a~~that sea~~ depth of the sea of~~there did~~ not more than~~exceed~~ 2 m (the maximum water depth for this type of fishing not far from the beach). Given that the total height of the columns is 12.7 m, we estimate that the emerged part of the column in 1430 was around 2.0-3.0 m (Fig. 7a,c), as already computed in ~~7a,c~~Bellucci et al. (2006).

a)



Fig. 7 – Gouache of de' Balneis Puteolanum from 1430: a) Stumps of the Serapeum columns that protrude from the sea to a height of 2-3m, b) Fishing from the shore, highlighted in the box, indicates a draft depth of approximately 2m of sea, c) Reconstruction of the submerged, emerging and buried parts of the columns (see text for complete explaination).



We therefore~~Consequently, we~~ infer that in 1430 AD the floor was about 10 m (+/-1 m) below sea level (Fig. 6), and it is consistent~~6).~~

~~Such deduction, derived from the context represented in Fig.7a, can be explained in even greater detail~~ with a ~~the help of the~~ topographic map of the Pozzuoli area in Roman times (Fig. 8a: Soricelli, 2007).~~)~~ ~~(Fig. 8a).~~

Fig. 8 – a) Map of Pozzuoli from the Roman era (III-IV century). The map shows the lower part of the emporium which extends along the Puteolana bank (RP), until reaching the base of the hill, the so-called Starza plain (P) and the upper part of the Rione Terra cliff (RT) which, in turn, connects with the upper hilly part of the Starza terrace area (TS). b) Part of the previous map, limited to the Emporium Area, in the Middle Age (after Aucelli et al., 2020, and Taravera, 2021). c) the same area shown in b around 1430, during which the hill areas (TS, RT) were surrounded at the base by the sea, according to a description of the lower area of Pozzuoli from 1441 "*the sea covered the littoral plain, today called Starza*" (after De Jorio, 1820; Dvorak and Mastrolorenzo, 1991). d) sketch of the profile A-B shown in c: the sea extended behind the Serapeum on the plain of La Starza hill, intersecting the columns at a height of 10m (also shown).

The map (contour lines of 5m), shows that in the period of greatest development the city included the Greek Acropolis (the ancient Dicearchia nowadays called Rione Terra), with a maximum height of 40 m asl, the lower part of the city, i.e. the western area overlooking the Serapeo~~ancient emporium and the Serapeum (Roman macellum) placed near the bay area~~, and the upper city on the Starza terrace, with elevation between 30-50 m asl. The latter was the site of major public works, such as an amphiteatre~~the ancient monumental edifices (amphitheatre~~, stadium, forum and~~,~~ necropolis.~~, etc.).~~ From this map, ~~considering only the area of the Emporium (lower part) and amphitheater (upper part),~~ a sketch of topographical relief above the sea level (in Roman times, Fig. 8b) and underlying sea level (in 1430 AD, Fig. 8c) has been obtained ~~and described~~ as follows:

- from profile A-B ~~(of~~ Fig. 8c,d~~) as reported in Fig. 8d,~~ the 4th floor of the Serapeo~~Serapeunm~~ can be located at ~~a depth of~~ 10m b.s.l.,~~,~~ packed in the sediments that form the Ripa Puteolana (RP), with the columns protruding from the same sediments for 4.5m, of which approximately 2m are sea water. Sea~~It is indicated, ultimately, that the sea~~ level intersects the columns ~~of the Serapeum~~ at a height of approximately 10 m, connecting with the contour line of 10 m~~,~~ on the La Starza Plain (P) (Fig. 8c,d). ~~-~~Fig. 8c also highlights~~allows us to highlight~~ the morphological conditions of the Rione Terra, which, as we have already observed, has been described by the chroniclers who visited this place from the

11th to the 13th century as "*an unapproachable mountain completely surrounded by the sea"* (Fuiano, 1951; Varriale, 2004, in Appendix 2).

The historical data presented here indicate several differences from previous reconstructions of highlight an evolution of the ground movements in the area very different fromhypotheses appeared in previous literature. One ofThey mainly confute resultspublished in the most recent workswork on such an argument (Di Vito et al 2016), for instance, who made the following claims:

1) the subsidence in the area started in 35 BC;

2) the local uplift in the area of the 1538 vent, from 1536 to 1538, amounted to about 19 m.;

3) the maximum subsidence was reached in 1251.

The first claim is in contrast with at least two strong evidences, coming from historical evidencedocuments: that Via Herculea showed signs of subsidence already at the times of Greek colonization (end of 8th century BC:) the Via Herculea used by Greeks, showed signs of subsidence (see Diodoro Siculo in Appendix 1) (Fig. 2); in addition2); limiting ourselves to the documents of 1st century BC, it is sufficient to observe that, due to the subsidence of this dam, Giulio Cesare himself was sent by the Roman Senate in 48 BC, to fix the problem, which was provisionally best resolved more constructively by Agrippa in 37 BC, raising the surface of the Via Herculea with respect to the sea level (see again detailed explanation in Appendix 1).

The second claim is unrealisticClaim 2) can be easily demonstrated to be not realistic, because anin case of uplift in the Monte Nuovo area higher than few meters would have raised, the Via Herculea would have risen back above the sea level (Fig.3d), which did not occur.).

Finally, claimClaim 3), finally, is not confirmed by the testimonies collected until 1430, which instead indicate tha subsidence continued beyond 1251, until 1430 at least the continuation of this phenomenon (Di Bonito and Giamminelli, 1992; Bellucci et al., 2006).



Fig. 9 – The uprise of the land (marked by the two arrows on the sides) was observed and described by Loffredo Ferrante in 1530: *"the sea was very close to the plain which was at the foot*

***of the Starza hill"*. In this context, the 4th floor of the Serapeum had reached a height of approximately 4 m above sea level.**

~~From our reconstruction, based on reliable historical documentation, we demonstrate that the hypothesis that maximum submergence depth of the 4th floor of the Serapeum was reached in the 9-10th century, proposed by Parascandola (1947) and Amato and Gialanella (2013), is not realistic. Nor it is the hypothesis by Di Vito et al. (2016), who place the date of the transition between subsidence and uplift in the 13th century and precisely in 1251.~~

Our findings date~~, dating~~ the starting phase of uplift to around 1430 consistent with the interpretations of Dvorak and Mastrolorenzo (1991) and Bellucci et al. (2006). They are~~, are also~~ supported by the documented occurrence of the first ~~documented~~ powerful earthquake documented in 1448 (Colletta, 1988: see also next paragraph), which induced King Ferdinand I of Aragon to suspend the so-called "fuocatico" (a mediaeval tax collected for each fire lit by a family unit; see Colletta, 1988). We know ~~in fact~~, from recent unrest~~unrests~~, that earthquakes only occur during ~~the~~ uplift~~ phases~~ at Campi Flegrei (Troise et al., 2019). It is also well known that, between 1503 and 1511, the municipality of Pozzuoli granted to citizens the new land~~lands~~ that emerged~~,~~ as a result of the increasingly “drying up sea” (Fig. ~~9), expanding the available land, to citizens requesting them (Parascandola, 1947). Bellucci et al.~~ 9) (Parascandola, 1947).~~(2006) and Dvorak and Mastrolorenzo (1991), however, also reported a date around 1430 or later for the beginning of the uplift phase; so, the data presented here (partly already used by Bellucci et al., 2006 and Troise et al., 2007), support their interpretation, although making it more precise and robust by the addition of new data.~~

The next important question is then: was the 4th floor of the Serapeum above sea level as early as at the beginning of 16th century? Parascandola (1947) answered this question through a sentence found in an account by Loffredo Ferrante from 1580*: In 1503 the sea was very close to the plain which was at the foot of the Starza hill* (Fig. 8). So, it can be deduced that the floor of the Serapeo~~Serapeum in the 1503~~ was just above sea level in 1503, that is~~,~~ it had risen about 10m in about 73 years, with a rate of 136 mm/y. There is clear evidence that the uplift phase continued until 1538, when the eruption occurred. The maximum uplift occurred in the Pozzuoli area, close to the Rione Terra cliff, and had reached ~~that~~ up to ~~the 1538 eruption reached an elevation in the order of~~ 5-6 m asl by 1538 (Fig. 6). At ~~In the nearby area facing~~ Averno, to the west, ~~the~~ uplift~~, as already said,~~ was unable to raise~~cause emersion of the~~ Via Herculea above sea level. At ~~. So, the vent area could be affected by an additional uplift, occurred just before the eruption, however such that the total uplift since 1430 resulted lower than about 7m. In the eastern sector of the caldera, at~~ Nisida island, to the east of Pozzuoli, the pier did not emerge above sea level (Parascandola 1947). Hence it~~It~~ is~~ then very~~ likely that the uplift phase had a bell-shaped trend, very similar to what we have seen~~see~~ in the recent unrests. Local large~~, except for a marked additional~~ uplift occurred at the future~~vent~~ site of Monte Nuovo just before eruption (around 48 hours ~~, just~~ before), indicating the rising of ~~the eruption (Parascandola, 1943), hovever limited to a total of about 7 m maximum, possibly due to upward migration of the~~ dyke, feeding the eruption. However, the total uplift there could have not been larger than about 7 m (the approximate depth bsl of the Via Herculea at the time).

1. **Ground movements after the 1538 eruption**

The period between the end of the 16th century and the beginning of the 17th century lacks ~~any~~ written documentation about~~historical document testifying the~~ ground movements at Pozzuoli. It is likely that subsidence started after the eruption. Contemporary ~~1538 eruption a subsidence phase started, probably after the last, post-eruption, seismic phase ended in 1580, as it will be shown in the following paragraphs. We can anyway learn something from some~~ paintings provide constraints on when subsidence begin. The earliest,~~, the oldest one~~ by Cartaro in~~, dated~~ 1584 (Fig. 10a), shows~~which highlights~~ the Rione Terra in the foreground, with the Neronian pier ~~which emerges~~ almost completely above sea level, which means for about 5-6 m.



Fig. 10 – a) Engraving by Cartaro (1584) showing the Neronian pier at the base of the Rione Terra, emerging from the sea for 5-6m, showing 10 of the 15 piles of which it was made up in roman epoch;~~,~~ b) The remains of the pier piles, without the upper arches, highlighted in an engraving of 1750;~~from the mid-18th century,~~ c) Detail of the same piles highlighted in another

engraving from the same period (mid-18th century),, where the height of the 1-2m piles is observed in more detail, subject to marked erosion

The pierIt also appears still partially complete, with about half pylons still connected with arches (*Opus Pilarum*). In comparison, paintings from the middle XVIII century (Fig. 10b,c) showreport the pier completely destroyed, and clearly almost completely submerged. The; the painting inof Fig. 10c showsrepresents the pylons in more detail, allowing theirto estimate the height to be estimated atof the emerging part asl around 1-2 m asl... Fig. 11, from shows another famous painting of 1776, by Hamilton (1776),, which shows similarthe ruins forof the Neronian pier: Hence it appears that the pier subsided of 5-6 from 1580 to 1776. Fig. 11 also indicates that the floor of the Serapeo was almost the same way than in Fig. 10b,c and, in addition, shows the columns of Serapis Temple, with its floor almost at the same level than the Neronian pier in 1776.

Fig. 11 – a) View of the Gulf of Pozzuoli and the Cape Miseno peninsula (Hamilton 1776). Both the remains of the Neronian pier and the newly excavated Serapeo are also visible

Fig. 12 – Illustration of Serapeum, as excavated in the three-year period 1750-1753. It can be noted that the height of the lighter parts of the columns, including the pitted band of the lithodomes, is preserved by oxidation, because packed by the just removed sediments. The darker upper part, oxidized since staying outside the cover, has a height of approximately 2.50m, estimated on the same figure. This leads us to consider that the pack of sediments removed had a thickness of approximately 10m, that is, the height of the hill where the *vineyard of the three columns* was located before the excavation (Niccolini, 1842).

Its ~~From the comparison of Fig. 10a with10b and 10c it can be deduced t~~hat the Roman opus pilarum ~~underwent a subsidence of about 4-5 m. from 1580 to 1750.~~

~~Since the floor of the Serapis Temple appears to be at the same level than the pier, its~~ level in 1538 can therefore be estimated at~~as~~ 5 – 6 m. above sea level (Fig. 6), and~~while in 1750 it should be at~~ about 1m above sea level in 1750, with an estimated subsidence in 1580-1750 of about 4-5 m. This estimate is consistent with ~~This approximate estimation is however confirmed by Parascandola (1947), who reports some~~ measurements by Niccolini (1846, reported by Parascandola, 1947), who found the 4th floor of Serapeo to have a height above sea level varying in the range 0.9 - 0.6m throughout the 18th century. During~~It can then be deduced that during the three years of~~ the excavations of 1750 (Fig. 12) the floor could have hence been approximately at 0.7 m above sea level.

Since the Serapeo floor is at the same level of the Neronian pier~~Finally, we want to highlight, in agreement with Parascandola (1947),~~ (Fig. 11), elevated 5-6 m above the sea level in 1584 (Fig.10a), recalling it was 10 m below sea level in 1430 and the total uplift was 16 m, we deduce that significant subsidence did not start before 1580-1584. Parascandola (1947), hypothesized that the subsidence of 4 - 5 m, started after 1580, could have evolved at higher initial rate, in such a way that, around the middle of the 17th century, it already had a value of 2 -3 m, and then slowed down towards the end of the century, until the 1750. This likely hypothesis has been taken into account in the reconstruction of Fig.13.

It is also interesting to compare the average subsidence rate before 1430 with that observed between~~after~~ 1538 and~~till~~ 1950. The overall rate of subsidence after 1538 is more than~~about~~ 2 cm/year, almost double with respect to that observed before 1430. Actually, also ~~However, when~~ excluding a likely~~the~~ first phase of sharp subsidence occurred just after the 1580~~1538 eruption~~, the subsidence rate observed before 1950 remains significantly higher than~~becomes very similar to~~ that observed since the roman era until 1430.

Since the 1850s, survey data have recorded ~~We are hence able to describe in more detail the whole evolution of~~ ground movements at Campi Flegrei with increasing~~the Pozzuoli area since Roman times, including the period following the 1538 eruption and until today. Such a reconstruction is shown in Fig. 13c. In particular, regarding the post-1538 subsidence phase, the data shown, starting from the 17th century, have been combined with those obtained by the most significant measurements carried out by numerous researchers who dealt with this phenomenon during the 1800s, as reported by Parascandola (1947), who suggested the reconstruction shown in Fig. 13a. High~~ precision. The~~,~~ ~~frequent measurements started to be collected since 1905, initially based on leveling surveys carried out by the~~ Military Geographic Institute (IGM), in particular, started frequent high precision levelling surveys in 1950.~~).~~ Data from the levelling surveys were still provided also during the occurrence of the most recent unrest phases, i.e. in 1950 - 52, 1969 – 72, 1982 – 84 and until 2001. Since 2001, continuous measurements have been~~are~~ provided by GPS stations, including station ~~(~~RITE~~, see Fig. 13b,c)~~ installed at Rione Terra (Del Gaudio et al 2010; see Fig.13).



M. Nuovo eruption (1538)
a
0m slm
b
1982-84
> 2006
1969-72
1950-52
2006
c
IV floor
Height relative to the sea level
Time (Years AC)



Fig. 13 a) Reconstruction of the ground level of the Serapeum floor, with respect to the mean sea level (blue line), as proposed by Parascandola (1947); b) Reconstruction of the Serapeum floor ground level, recently proposed by Di Vito et al. (2016); c) Reconstruction of the ground level of the Serapeum IV floor, since III century A.D. to present, inferred by this study. Each

point in the diagram corresponds to an appropriate historical indication reported in Table 1 and in the Appendix 2.

2. Schematic model for the preparatory phases of the 1538 eruption

2.1 Dynamics of the resurgent block in response to temperature and pressure perturbations

Ground~~The ground~~ deformation at Campi Flegrei, before~~during the phases preceding~~ and afer~~following~~ the 1538 eruption, appears to have~~has~~ been ~~likely very~~ concentrated in a small area, a ~~of~~ few km in~~of~~ radius, around Pozzuoli, similar to that observed~~just as~~ during unrest since 1970~~the recent unrests~~ (De Natale et al., 2001; 2006; 2019). Such a concentration agrees with the presence of a resurgent block.

Evidence for ~~the involvement in the Campi Flegrei unrest episodes of a~~ resurgent block movement during unrest was ~~comes from the~~ first highlighted ~~observations and modeling~~ by De Natale and Pingue (1993), who~~). These authors~~ pointed out that the concentration of the uplift in a small area, the high uplift values, and the invariance of the uplift and subsidence shape, as well as of the seismic area, was consistent with~~indicated~~ the up and down movement of a ~~resurgent~~ block~~,~~ bordered by ring faults ~~(focusing the occurrence of earthquakes (~~see also De Natale et al., 1997; Beauducel et al., 2004; Troise et al., 2003; Folch and Gottsmann, 2006). A~~Some authors proposed that ground deformations could be explained also without any effect of bordering faults (Berrino et al., 1984; Bianchi et al., 1987; Amoruso et al., 2008, 2014; Woo & Kilburn, 2010); however, most of these models required some 'ad hoc' distribution of rock rigidity, sometimes not realistic (see De Natale et al., 1991), or required an unrealistic constancy of the source geometry able to explain the remarkable constancy, during several decades or centuries, of the shape of deformation during both uplift and subsidence (see De Natale et al., 2006). All of these models, in addition, do not explain the peculiar shape of the seismic area, being almost elliptical around the most uplifted area. In recent times, new evidence has been collected about the location and limits of the~~ resurgent block, mostly detached from the external caldera rocks, would also favour the almost constant, highly concentrated shape of ground displacement, during both uplift and subsidence. Active ~~(Rolandi et al. 2020b). Furthermore, active~~ high-resolution reflection seismic surveys have ~~pointed out and~~ imaged the presence, in the Gulf of Pozzuoli, of an inner resurgent antiformal structure or "block" bounded by a 1-2 km wide inward-dipping ring fault system associated with the caldera border, whose limits have been also documented by the survey (Sacchi et al., 2014 Steinmann et al, 2016; Sacchi et al., 2020a). Further constraints for the extent on-land of the resurgent block come from stratigraphic evidence. In particular, the old well

CF-23, drilled in the Agnano area, presents about 900 m of NYT deposits, topped by only 100 m of more recent deposits (Rolandi et al. 2020b). The presence of uplifted, thick layers of NYT, characterizes the stratigraphy of all the wells contained in the resurgent block (Fig.14a,b,e), thus allowing to map its extent on-land, although only the CF-23, by far the deepest one, clarifies the whole thickness of the NYT deposits in the resurgent area (Fig. 14a,c,d).

The extent of the resurgent block on-land appears also reasonably well defined by a clear relative gravimetric maximum (Capuano et al., 2013). ~~It is crucial to emphasize that the differential movement of the resurgent block, mostly detached from the external caldera rocks, is responsible for the almost constant, highly concentrated shape of ground displacement, during both uplift and subsidence.~~ The resurgent structure is also associated with distinct seismicity along the bordering ring fault zone (see also Troise et al., 2003). Fig. 15a-c shows how the resurgent block is well shown~~evidenced~~ by passive seismic data (Fig. 15b, c) and by earthquake locations (Fig. 15a; see Troise et al., 2003~~15a~~).

The presence of the central, resurgent block significantly affects the dynamical behavior in response to temperature and pressure perturbations. This is particularly evident in the central, most deformed and seismic area, where the shallow crust involves approximately 1.5 km of lithoid tuff. This contradicts substructure models proposed by various authors (Rosi and Sbrana, 1987; Vanorio et al., 2002; Lima et al., 2021; Kilburn et al., 2023), which often assume a thick shallow layer of loose pyroclastics from recent eruptions, typically represented by the stratigraphy of well SV1 (see Fig. 14e). We stress that deposits from recent eruptions are not lithoid in character because almost all of them, except very few, did not experience zeolithization, which only occurs with high temperature and high water content (REFERENCE?).

The physical state of the shallow structure within the resurgent block can be inferred by seismic tomography analyses presented by several authors (e.g. Aster and Mayer, 1998; Vanorio et al., 2005; Vinciguerra et al., 2006; Battaglia et al., 2008; Calò and Tramelli, 2018). These analyses consistently indicate a high Vp/Vs ratio centered below Pozzuoli town down to 1-2 km, interpreted as highly water saturated tuff.



Fig. 14 - a) Location of the wells explored within the resurgent tuff block, as reported in literature; b) Stratigraphy of the CF23 (S10) well, within the resurgent block; c) Stratigraphy of the SV-1 well, outside the resurgent block, which highlights a stratigraphy where the NYT tuff blocks are not present with significant thicknesses; d-e) Profiles in the resurgent block which highlight the shallow depth of NYT because of the resurgence.

Of particular significance is the work by Vinciguerra et al. (2006) which compared the results of seismic tomography with laboratory tests. They demonstrated that the tuffs present in the central area of the Campi Flegrei caldera can be either water or gas saturated, and that inelastic pore collapse and cracking produced by mechanical and thermal stress can significantly alter the velocity properties of Campi Flegrei tuffs at depth. The effect on velocities becomes significant when the temperature rises sufficiently to induce physical changes, such as volume change and the generation of free water associated with the dehydration of zeolite phases. This can lead to thermal crack damage (see also Chiodini et al., 2015; Moretti et al., 2018), further affecting the dynamic behavior of the area. At higher depths, the well CF-23 indicates the presence of pyroclastic deposits from a depth of approximately 1.5 km to at least 1.8 km, where a temperature of 300°C was measured (Fig. 14b). Likely, at even greater depths of about 3km, marine silt and clay layers induce silica mineralization and the formation of low-permeability horizons. Due to the high temperatures, estimated to be at least 400°C, these layers undergo thermal alteration, forming a thermo-metamorphosed layer (Fournier, 1999; Lima et al., 2021; Cannatelli et al., 2020).

In addition, ~~Is important to note that~~ Battaglia et al. (2008) interpreted a low Vp/Vs body, a imaged~~extending~~ to a depth of about 3–4 km ~~of depth~~, as due to the presence of fractured, overpressured gas-bearing formations, confirming the data of Vanorio et al. (2005). This depth range of 3-4 km likely represents a primary accumulation zone for shallow intruded magma,





Fig. 15 – a) Campi Flegrei map showing the approximate limits of the resurgent block (area in the yellow ellipse), which concentrates ground deformation and seismicity. b) The N-S and c) W-E profiles of the high-resolution seismic survey, showing the offshore signature of the NYT ring fault system and resurgent structure (from Sacchi et al., 2014, 2020a, 2020b; Steinmann et al., 2016).

~~for shallow intruded magma,~~ which is unable to reach the surface and instead forms magma sills (Woo and Kilburn, 2010; Di Vito et al., 2016; Troise et al., 2019; Kilburn et al., 2023). The magma at this depth could~~is likely to~~ be in a mush state, i.e. solidified but still at temperature high enough to be remobilized by the inflow of new magma or hot magmatic fluids (De Natale et al., 2004).

At even greater depths, approximately between 7 - 8 km, the main magma chamber is located. This chamber contains both liquid magma and residual mush from past eruptions (~~Judenhere and~~ Zollo et al., 2008~~, 2004~~).

5.2 The preparatory phases of the 1538 eruption

A tentative model can be now constructed for the preparatory phases of the 1538 eruption, which accounts for all available data. It is shown in Fig. 16, and can be summarized as follows:

the Pozzuoli area experienced a long period of subsidence, beginning at the end of the second phase of post-caldera volcanism (3.7 ka B.P.) and lasting until 1430 AD. This subsidence was likely triggered by the collapse of the upper and middle crustal blocks into the underlying magma chamber, situated deep within the limestone basement at depths of 7-8 km (~~Judenhere and~~ Zollo et al., 2008). Any~~, 2004).~~ ~~The~~ viscoelastic behaviour~~behavior~~ of the shell encasing the magma chamber may have also contributed to the subsidence, along with the decrease in magma volume due to cooling and crystallization (Fig. 16a).

Since the end of the second phase of post-caldera volcanism, approximately 3.7 ky ago, the primary magma chamber, located at 7-8 km of depth, likely contains a mixture of liquid magma and mush. It's important to note that mush refers to a non-eruptible phase of trachytic magma, composed of 25%–55% volume by crystals (Marsh, 1996; Bachmann and Huber, 2016; Cashman et al., 2017; Edmonds et al., 2019). When heated by several tens of degrees, typically through the injection of hotter magma, mush can revert to a liquid state, thereby regaining the ability to trigger a volcanic eruption (e.g. De Natale et al., 2004; Caricchi et al., 2014). However, the way the mush is rejuvenated by intrusion plays a fundamental role in this mechanism (Parmigiani et al., 2014). One plausible scenario is that the new magma from the deeper crustal levels forms sills at the base of the mush, revitalizing it through the supply of heat, but not of magmatic mass, i.e. only exsolution occurs (Bachmann and Bergantz, 2006; Bergantz, 1989; Burgisser and Bergantz, 2011; Huber et al., 2011; Bachmann and Huber, 2016; Cashman et al., 2017; Carrara et al., 2020). The~~To explain the~~ rapid uplift observed in the interval between 1430 and 1538, could be explained by the temperature contrast between the two layers ~~could play a fundamental role~~: the mafic melt positioned at the base, being hotter than the overlaying layer, undergoes cooling and crystallization, leading to an increase in the volatile content (primarily H2O and CO2) of the residual melt (Fig. 16b). Lower ductile rocks tend to deform gradually, allowing magmatic gases to permeate into the brittle zone above, thereby inducing a thermo-metamorphic separation layer.

A seismic anomaly displaying low Vp/Vs at about 3-~~approximately~~ 4 km depth (Battaglia et al., 2008) indicates the presence of supercritical fluids. Earthquakes are clustered above such a depth, because rock rheology is ductile at supercritical temperature, also suggesting the presence of both fractured rocks and~~rich in~~ overpressured gas. This condition likely results in triggering additional earthquakes (Fig. 16a): a similar condition has been often hypothesized to occur in the Yellowstone volcano

(Shelly and Hurwitz, 2022), and is explained in the following. Intense degassing from the main magma chamber would lead to increased pressure in the shallow aquifers forming the large hydrothermal system, just as hypothesized for recent unrest (Moretti et al., 2017; 2018); moreover, the rise in temperature would cause the water contained in the tuffs' zeolites to convert into steam, generating additional overpressure. Such a situation is shown by the CF-23 well, where its stratigraphy indicates the presence of a magmatic layer approximately 30 m thick beneath the overlying tuff blocks, which are approximately 1.5 km thick (Fig. 14b).

It is noteworthy, when considering the correct stratigraphy of the resurgent block as represented by the CF-23 well, that some previous models suggesting the presence of two low-permeability layers at depth (Vanorio and Kanitpanyacharoen, 2015; Kilburn et al., 2023), inferred from the SV1 well (which is situated outside of the resurgent block) (Fig. 14a), can be questioned. ~~Therefore, magmatic gases may not necessarily be restricted to below the thermo-metamorphic horizon (Kilburn et al., 2023), but may instead accumulate at shallower levels beneath the "summit" magma intrusion at a depth of about 2.5-3.0 km.". Consequently, at the base of the magma body, conditions of high temperature and pressure result in widespread brittle deformation of this layer due to uplift, making it highly permeable by fracturing (Fig. 16b).~~

Finally, super-compressed magmatic gases were likely contained within an approximately 2.5 km thick fragile zone (from about 1.5-2.0 to 4 km of depth),~~,~~ while a limited release of the increased pressure occurred directly through the fractures connecting the intermediate depth area with the Solfatara and Pisciarelli areas, resulting in the escape of CO2-rich vapour~~vapor~~. A similar mechanism has been evidenced in the recent unrest, by the reported increase in fumarolic activity and in the CO_2/H_2O ratio (Chiodini et al. 2021).

Following this hypothesis, it is noteworthy that, at a depth of 1.8 km, the CF23 drill-hole indicates a very high temperature of 300°C, not far from the supercritical temperature. It is plausible that, if the temperature significantly increases, due to the supply of deeper, hot magmatic fluids, the water contained in the basal part of the tuff block could reach supercritical conditions, leading to thermal fracturing within the tuff block (Vinciguerra et al., 2006), over a certain thickness (Fig. 16b).

As previously mentioned, the increase of pressure resulting from such intense heating caused by deeper magmatic fluids should be attributed to both the overpressure of shallow aquifers and the vaporization of water contained in the zeolites, likely in the form of superheated steam.

The pressure increase in the main magma chamber, resulting from the input of new magma and/or magmatic fluids as explained, can also trigger the formation of magma dykes (Troise et al., 2019). The progressive intrusion of several magma dykes likely leads to the ascent of magma towards the surface. This process may be further facilitated by phreatic explosions caused by the heating of shallow aquifers, resulting in depressurization pulses. Intruding magma may encounter layers that are more resistant to penetration at certain depths. In this case further magma intrusion may be inhibited and lateral expansion, to form sills, may occur (Gretener, 1969). Previous studies of recent unrests have indicated that depths between 2.5 and 4 km, close to the upper limit of the ductile zone, are locations where magma intrusions can halt (Woo and Kilburn, 2010; Troise et al., 2019). Before the 1538 eruption, a small plumbing system, in the form of flattened intrusions near the contact between a lower ductile zone and an upper brittle zone in a high-pressure environment, was hypothesized (Fig. 16b) (Pasquarè et al., 1988). From such a shallower magma chamber, magma can further progress upward towards the surface. A dynamic in which early intrusions in the shallow crust create small plumbing systems (i.e. stalled intrusions), from which a dyke later propagates, bringing a small quantity of magma to the surface, is typical of monogenic volcanoes (Marti et al., 2016). The ability of intruded magma sills to erupt at surface is also influenced by the relatively short timescale of sill solidification, typically in the order of few tens of years (Troise et al., 2019).

Shallow ~~solidified~~ magma sills, in the form of mush, can be remobilized due to the arrival of new magma and/or of hot deeper magma fluids. The significant uplift preceding the 1538 eruption, amounting to more than 16 meters in the initial phase involving the entire resurgent block, if interpreted solely in terms of magma intrusion, would suggest a total intruded volume, in the shallow plumbing system, on the order of some cubic kilometers of magma (Bellucci et al., 2006).



Fig. 16 – Schematic cross sections of the hydrothermal and magmatic systems underlying the Campi Flegrei resurgent block in the 1538 AD, showing:

a) Process of gas sparging according to Bachmann and Bergantz (2006) model, related to the transfer of hot gas from a mafic intrusion underplating the trachytic mush and the hypothesized relation with earthquake swarms of the exsolved fluids, accumulated at lithostatic pressures in the ductile region and episodically injected into the brittle crust at very high strain rates. The sudden increase of fluid pressure, in the brittle region, can trigger earthquake swarms in the 2-4 km depth range.

b) Remobilization of mush by mafic magmas then occurs, so the magma remobilized from the mush accumulates at the top, fueling its rise upward to accumulate, in a sill-like shape, along the ductile-brittle transition surface. Eruption from the magma sill is then likely to occur at the faulted borders of the resurgent block.

However, despite such a large uplift, suggesting however high volumes of shallow intruded magma, the eruption of 1538 only produced about 0.03 km^3 of pyroclastic deposits (see next section). This discrepancy likely suggests that multiple sill intrusions occurred over more than one century, with most of them solidifying without contributing to the eventual eruption. Only the most recent intrusion events, and/or some portion of magma mush from prior intrusions remobilized by subsequent heating, would have fed the eruption.

Also interesting is to note that, after the 1538 eruption, ground subsidence recovered only 8 meters, i.e. one half of the former total ground uplift. This means that about one half of the total uplift may have been caused by thermally pressurized gas and water (shallow aquifers), perturbed by hot fluids coming from the deeper (7-8 km) magma chamber; the remaining, unrecovered uplift, should have been caused by shallow magma intrusion. It is the same process hypothesized for recent unrests: in particular, the 1982-1984 uplift showed a subsequent subsidence about one half than the former uplift, interpreted as the deflation of formerly pressurized water and gas (Troise et al., 2019).

Another characteristic of eruptions from small monogenic volcanoes is their difficulty to be forecasted, as they occur at unexpected locations (Marti et al., 2016). Both distinctive traits were evident in the eruption of Monte Nuovo, which represents a prototype of a small monogenic volcano in the Campi Flegrei. Despite the relatively small volume of magma (0.03 km^3), the eruption occurred at a considerable distance, approximately three km westward, from the area of maximum uplift. The position of the 1538 vent is approximately on the border of the resurgent block: such a border, marked by ring faults, clearly represents a weak zone, where magma can more easily intrude.

5.3 The eruption of 1538

The week preceding the eruption was marked by a series of seismic events (Guidoboni and Ciuccarelli, 2011). The shoreline gradually retreated 200 steps (ca. 370m) seaward, because of an occasional uplift occurred on the eastern shore of Lake Averno (see Fig. 2d) and during the 36 hours preceding the eruption, the ground level reached 7 meters of total uplift~~totaluplift~~ (Parascandola, 1943; Costa et al., 2022). The local uplift rapidly attenuated as a function of distance (Rolandi et al., 1985) (Fig. 6). The uplift, involving a local marine regression, was accompanied by strong rumbles on the night between 28 and 29 September, culminated in a further explosion, at 2 am on the following night, which marked the vent opening and the start of the eruption. The early eruptive column, initially white in colour, ejected muddy ashes and lithic and scoriaceous lapilli upwards. The presence of wet ash on the slopes of the gradually growing volcanic cone led Parascandola (1943) to hypothesize that it was a mud eruption. This description, present in the chronicles of the time (Parascandola 1943), indicates that the first eruptive phase was phreatomagmatic in character, although it evolved with a peculiar characteristic, because the volcanic cone was formed by massive pyroclastic units, made up of loose and wet deposits, ascribable to pyroclastic flows products with a prevalent sandy matrix, incorporating lithic and scoriaceous clasts. In Fig. 17a we recognize three main flow units, each of them made up of sub-units. These sub-units are mostly evident in the finest basal part (a), while in the intermediate part (b), showing abundance of scoriaceous clasts, an inverse gradation is observed. Finally, the hydromagmatic activity, lasted about 12 hours, built a small tuff cone, formed by successive waves of pyroclastic flow units, whose deposits reached a height of approximately 120 m. This particular type of hydromagmatic deposit implies an eruption in which the magma-water interaction process is characterized by a low efficiency, considering the thermal energy of the magma and the mechanical energy generating the eruption. In the classic Wohletz experimental diagram (Wohletz, 1983), besides the fields 1 and 3 which include, respectively, eruptions with zero or



Fig. 17 – a) Flow units in the phreatomagmatic Pyroclastic flows, b) Deposit of the final scoria flow (F) in the western depression of the phreatomagmatic Tuff cone (T).

low magma/water ratio (0 – 0.1) and those with extremely high ratios (100-1000), field 2 includes hydro-magmatic explosive eruptions with an interaction ratio between 0.1 – 10, indicative of a greater value of mechanical efficiency (Fig. 18). It is evident, however, that even in field 2 there is a differentiation in efficiency, due to the condition characterizing the expansion of the water vapor that develops during the magma-water interaction process, that is:

1) If the magma/water ratio is around the value of 0.3, the maximum efficiency is achieved. The quantity of water is optimal and expands entirely as superheated steam, that is, the maximum volume that can be generated is obtained without dispersing heat. Under this condition, the so-called Base Surges are formed;

2) If the water content increases, the efficiency drops because not all water is vaporized, and, as a result steam saturated with water is formed. Under this condition, Pyroclastic flows are formed.
This last type of flow is therefore associated with the collapsing eruptive column that developed in the night between 29 and 30 September, to be ascribed to a phreatomagmatic eruption with a high magma-water ratio, which gave rise to the non-welded ignimbrites described in typology 2 and located in the diagram of Fig.18a, at point a. Such particular condition for the flow, besides forming the new cone, also formed pyroclastic flows directed towards Pozzuoli. This kind of flow deposit, 5 m thick, is recognized in the tunnel of the new road to Arco Felice, located about 1 km from the cone (Fig.18c). These deposits, never described before, also easily explain the two meters of M. Nuovo eruption deposits described at Serapis Temple of Pozzuoli during the excavations (Parascandola, 1947), and formerly ascribed to fall products (fig.18b). This implied that in the initial phase of the eruption the magma absorbed a considerable quantity of sea water present above the eruptive vent, so in these conditions, the collapsing eruptive columns which gave rise to the pyroclastic flows on the night between the 29th and 30th September, reached a maximum height of less than 3 km, (Parascandola, 1943), depositing in a radius of approximately 3 km, as follows:
- with thickness of 5-10m, in sections obtained by cutting the slope in the area around the volcano (Fig. 17a);
- in a depression on the SE sector of the volcano. The materials of the Tuff Cone of Monte Nuovo (T) are present, together with the products of the scoria flow (F) deposited in the SE depression (Fig. 17b).



Effusive, Hawaiian, or Strombolian
Taalian-Surtseyan
Submarine
100
50
0
Efficiency %
Tuff Rings and Tuff Cones
Cinder Cones
Pillow Lavas
Effusive
a
b
1
2
3
0.01
0.1
0.3
1.0
10.0
100.0
1000.0
Magma/water ratio
a)
2 – 3 m
2 m
8.2 m
Pyrocastic flow
Volcanic materials deposited by leaching
3rd filled
Vulcanites and limestone incrustations
2nd filled
Vulcanites and limestone incrustations
1st filled
b)
c)

Fig. 18 – a) Wohletz (1983) diagram for the evaluation of the mechanical efficiency of the products emitted in the form of Pyroclastic flows and fall/flow from Strombolian eruption column collapse; b) products emitted by the 1538 eruption in the first eruptive phase as wet pyroclastic flow, which buried the upper part of the Serapeum columns (above 8.2 m of height); c) deposits of pyroclastic flow directed towards Pozzuoli, showing a thickness of about 5 m, in the tunnel of the new road to Arco Felice

According to the chronicles, on October 6th there was a new eruptive phase and 24 incautious visitors died, surprised by the resumption of eruptive activity, which revealed itself with different characteristics, mainly magmatic, that is, with a low water-magma interaction ratio (point b in Fig. 18a). In the hydromagmatic-magmatic transition, the eruptive cloud took the characteristic 'cauliflower' shape of Strombolian eruptions, with a height of about 4 km, which, driven by winds from the NW and then from the N, distributed the scoriaceous products towards the SE in the direction of Nisida and the Neapolitan coast, then towards the S, in the direction of Bacoli and Capo Miseno (Parascandola, 1943). The scoriaceous products of the second Strombolian magmatic eruptive phase uniformly covered the basal units that formed the volcanic edifice during the first phase, with an average thickness of about 0.5 m. The final phase of the eruption occurred with the collapse of the Strombolian eruption column, which deposited a scoria flow in a depression on the eastern side of the underlying cone of materials formed by phreatomagmatic pyroclastic flow units (Fig.17b). Overall, the eruptive event of 1538, with the emission of 0.03 km^3 of pyroclastic material, can be classified with a VEI = 2.

~~3.~~ 6. The seismicity before and after the 1538 eruption

The main precursors of the eruption, as reported by chronicles, were the earthquakes. Earthquake sequences preceded, accompanied and followed the 1538 event. In this context, seismic precursors may depend on the occurrence of stress perturbation, determined by the arrival of magmatic gases, as well as directly by magma intruded at shallow crustal levels (typically at depth of 3-4 km), originating from the main reservoir located at about 7.5-8.0 km depth.
We analyze here the earthquake sequences that occurred before the eruption. Earthquake magnitudes, from inferred intensities of these earthquakes, have been computed as described in the Appendix 3. We can then compare past earthquakes with those occurred during the recent unrests.

6.1 The seismic phases that accompanied the ground uplift and the eruption

We can classify the ~~precursory~~ earthquake sequences into ~~three categories:~~ long-term, medium-term and short-term precursors.

- The ~~phase of~~ ***long-term seismic precursors*** started in 1448. Intense seismicity in ~~and was well documented since~~ 1468 - 1470~~, when a paroxysmal seismic phase occurred~~ (Io = VII, Mercalli scale) (Guidoboni and Ciuccarelli, 2011; Francisconi et al., 2019) (Fig. 19a – interval A), ~~resulting from a progressive increase in fracturing. This~~ culminated with vigorous ~~into intense~~ fumarolic-hydrothermal activity ~~recorded~~ at ~~the~~ Solfatara, 2 km NE of Pozzuoli, that caused ~~volcano. The historical chronicles report~~ widespread damage to the vegetation~~, both spontaneous and cultivated,~~ in ~~all the areas~~ surrounding areas. This may indicate ~~the volcano. This appears to be an important piece of information, indicating~~ a broadening of the area affected by intense degassing~~,~~ (Francisconi et al., 2019). Another ~~In 1475, another~~ seismic phase was reported in 1475 (Guidoboni, 2020), with maximum intensity Io = IV –~~-~~ V, followed by accelerating~~. Over the following twenty years,~~ ground uplift for the next 20 years.~~continued at an accelerated rate.~~ This period ended~~culminated~~ with a strong seismic phase ~~occurring~~ in October 1498, reaching a~~considerable~~ maximum intensity ~~(~~Io = VII. Low~~). A low~~-intensity seismicity~~seismic phase~~ then followed from ~~during the period~~ 1499 to~~-~~ 1503 (maximum intensity Io = V) (Fig. 19a – interval A). Such a long-term precursory phase can~~could likely~~ be attributed~~interpreted as~~ mainly ~~due~~ to ~~intense~~ degassing~~, coming~~ from the deep magma chamber ~~and progressively~~ increasing pressure in the shallow layers of the geothermal system, without requiring a significant contribution from ~~direct~~ magma intrusion at shallow depth.

Medium~~- After this first initial long-term precursory phase, a new phase of *medium*~~*-term precursors* emerged with ~~followed. This phase was characterized by stronger~~ seismic events in 1505 and 1508, ~~which were~~ of higher intensity than before~~with respect to the previous ones~~ (maximum intensity Io = VIII) (Guidoboni and Ciuccarelli, 2011). Faster~~Additionally, there was a faster~~ ground uplift resulted ~~during this period, resulting~~ in serious damage to buildings and caused several casualties. This seismic phase could have been caused by either a higher stress associated with increasing pressure and ~~increased~~ uplift ~~level~~, or magma intrusion~~,~~ from the deep magma chamber into shallower levels. This intrusion could have produced higher stress resulting in seismic activity of greater intensity. Although it is obviously difficult to identify, from historic sources alone, the respective roles of the deep degassing into the hydrothermal system versus shallow magma intrusion, we believe that the reported evidence of vegetation damage and increased degassing in the first phase, and the increase of earthquake intensity in the second phase, indicate respectively a main contribution of degassing perturbing the hydrothermal system, in the first phase, and of shallow magma intrusion in the second phase. This phase ended in 1520, with a medium intensity earthquake (Io = V-VI) (Fig. 19a – interval B)..





I0
Md
A'
VIII
VII
VI
V
5
4
3
2
1
1950 1960 1970 1983 1984 1985 2022 2023 2024

b

a



Md
I0
A
B
C
D
ERUPTION
5.
4.2
3.9
3.5
3.1
VIII
VII
VI
V
IV
MCS Intensity
1440 1450 1460 1470 1480 1490 1500 1510 1520 1530 1540 1550 1560 1570 1580 1590 1600



I0
Md
A'
VIII
VII
VI
V
5
4
3
2
1
1950 1960 1970 1983 1984 1985 2022 2023 2024

b

Fig. 19 – a) Reported earthquakes occurred before and after the 1538 eruption (after Guidoboni and Ciuccarelli, 2011). The computed intensities of these earthquakes have been converted in magnitudes using the considerations made in the appendix 3. b) Highest magnitude earthquakes (M≥3.5) occurred since 1950 to present.

After 16 years of relative seismic quiescence, possibly~~likely~~ characterized by low-intensity earthquakes not reported in chronicles, a short-term precursory phase began in 1536. It started with continuous seismicity, without major damage (Io = III -IV), continuing with similar features until the early 1537. It is possible that this last seismic phase, characterized by relatively low magnitude, was caused by low-frequency seismicity, resulting from magma oscillations during the fractures opening (see Chouet, 1996). This seismicity became more frequent just before the eruption. In February of the same year, the seismic activity peaked with stronger events (Io = VI - VII), accompanied by an increase in the fumarolic activity at Solfatara. This provides evidence that this seismicity could be again related to perturbations in the hydrothermal system. A final increase in seismic activity (Io = VIII), began in mid-June 1538, accompanied by a localized, significant additional ground uplift at the eruption site, located 3 km away from the center of previous maximum uplift (Fig. 19a – interval C) (Parascandola, 1943, Rolandi et al., 1986; Guidoboni and Ciuccarelli, 2011; Guidoboni, 2020).

6.2 The post-eruption seismicity

We will now consider the seismic phase following the eruption just described, which we will indicate as the ***aftereffect of the 1538 eruption***.~~. This phase was likely triggered by continuing degassing from the deep magma chamber, and/or by new episodes of shallow magma intrusion not reaching the surface to erupt.~~ It began in 1564 with earthquakes of medium intensity (Io = V - VI), followed by a phase of lower intensity 2 years later. In 1570 seismic intensity increased (Io = VI - VII), causing damage to the buildings of the city of Pozzuoli. Between 1575 and 1580 a new phase of low seismic intensity began, culminating, in 1582, with two earthquakes, respectively of intensity Io = VII – VIII. These earthquakes caused partial collapses in several houses and serious damage to churches and buildings, as well as numerous casualties (Parascandola, 1943; Guidoboni e Cucciarelli, 2010; Guidoboni, 2020).

~~4.~~1. Comparison of precursory phases of 1538 eruption with current unrest

Our reconstruction of historical ~~This study is mainly aimed at understanding how the evolution of the~~ ground movement and seismicity has identified~~phases linked to the 1538 eruption can help build~~

~~realistic scenarios for the evolution of the same recent phases at the Campi Flegrei caldera. Common~~ features common to~~between~~ the medieval and present~~-day~~ unrest. ~~-phases are described in the following:~~

The main similarity is that the seismicity, ~~in the past and in the recent unrest,~~ has been clearly correlated both with the total uplift and the uplift rate and~~;~~ it is practically absent in periods of subsidence (Dvorak and Gasparini, 1991; Kilburn et al., 2017; Troise et al., 2019).

We found, in particular, that seismicity of period 1950-2024 is on the same order than the period 1430-1503, whereas the latter, as we have previously observed, was the first phase of preparation before~~of~~ the 1538 eruption. Although the ca. 10 m of total amount of uplift in the period 1430-1503 ~~, about 10 m,~~ was more than double than the total uplift recorded since 1950-2024~~2023~~, of about 4.3~~1~~ m., the seismicity in the two periods has been remarkably comparable. The maximum magnitude, M=4.6~~4~~ recently occurred on March 13th, 2025~~May 20th, 2024~~, is in fact very similar to the maximum magnitude reconstructed for the period 1430-1503 (Fig.19a interval A and Fig.19b interval A').

It is also interesting to compare the average uplift rate before the 1538 eruption with that observed since 1950 to present. In particular, we can compare the average uplift rate occurred in the first 70-73 years, since 1430 to 1503, with that observed since 1950 till now. In the period 1430-1503 maximum ground uplift was about 10 m, thus implying an average uplift rate of about 13.5 cm/year; actually, the average ground uplift since 1950 has been less than half, at 6.1 cm/year, although since1983 To 1984 it has been increasing to~~: 6.1 cm/year. It is anyway interesting to note that, in the last years, the continuous uplift period still ongoing is characterized by an average uplift rate of~~ about 12-20 cm/year.

Another common feature is that both seismic phases, as well as ground uplift, can be likely~~mostly~~ ascribed to the effect of pressurized hydrothermal fluids (Moretti et al., 2017; 2018; Troise et al., 2019). So, till now there is a close analogy between the 'long term precursory phase' preceding the 1538 eruption and the recent unrest 1950-2023; the only clear difference is, as we already noted, the much lower cumulative uplift (and consequently average uplift rate) of the recent unrest.

Such observations led us to consider two possible scenarios for the evolution of the present unrest.

7.1 First scenario

The first scenario would imply that the present unrest progresses towards a new eruption. Although there is, presently, no evidence for shallow magma intrusions occurring during the present unrest since 2006 (see Moretti et al., 2017, 2018; Troise et al., 2019; Lima et al., 2024)~~),~~ a new shallow magma intrusion, in the near future, cannot be ruled out. Another possibility is that the mush, which should be present at low depth, could be re-mobilised by hot fluids coming from the main magma chamber, the way we explained in the previous paragraphs. Troise et al. (2019), showed in fact evidence for a likely shallow magma intrusion occurred at about 3 km of depth, during the 1982-1984 unrest, with a volume of about 0.03 km^3, i.e. the same order of magnitude of the erupted volume in the 1538 event. The same authors calculated, in agreement with other authors (Woo and Kilburn, 2010; Moretti et al., 2013; Moretti et al., 2018), that such a sill intrusion should have become likesolidified, in form of mush, after about 20 years, i.e. around 2003. If the actual unrest will progress towards an eruption, it is also very likely that seismicity will increase, in frequency and magnitude, possibly reaching magnitudes around 5 or even higher. Earthquakes of magnitude 5, in this area, would occur at very shallow depths (not higher than about 3 km), so producing high intensities (higher than VIII MCS, see Fig. 19). Finally, from a civil protection perspective, we must also take into account the possible onset of a post-eruptive seismic phase, which after the 1538 eruption lasted more than about 40 years. In conjunction with the prefigured scenario, the problem of forecasting the position of a new eruptive vent is also extremely relevant because, in principle, it could be opening in any sector of the caldera. We believe Despite the indications contained in several probabilistic studies on the subject (Alberico et al., 2002; Selva et al., 2011), we must consider they are biased by the assumption of stationary conditions, which is implied in any probability computation based on the frequency of past events; they just rely on the most frequent vent locations of the past. As the most evident example that asuch probabilistic determinations have a poor reliability, it is enough to note that, on the basis of similar calculations, the site of the 1538 Monte Nuovo eruption would have never been predicted. A more reliable indication of the most likely future vent could come from the most seismic areas, because they reflect the areas of maximum shear stress. In this perspective, the Solfatara-Agnano area (see Fig. 15a), which is by far the most seismically active one, could be the most probable site for future vent opening. This area, which is also located on the main ring faults bordering the resurgent block, is however also indicated as one of the most likely by probabilistic studies (Alberico et al., 202; Selva et al., 2011). AnywayHowever, the most effective way to address this problem would be the prompt determination of localized uplift in addition to the usual bell-shaped one centered on Pozzuoli harbor. Although some recent eruptions (e.g. at Hekla volcano: Wonderman, 2000) show that the rise of magma from several km to the surface can be so fast to be practically useless for civil protection purposes, localized and considerable ground uplift at the future eruptive vent was actually observed before the 1538 eruption, making it likely that this precursor will be observed before a future eruption in the area.

We must however consider the possibility that, even without new shallow magma intrusions, and/or in absence of mobilized mush eruption, the increase of pressure for aquifer heating above the critical threshold could produce a phreatic eruption. Phreatic eruptions are in general very difficult to forecast, and also to detect from the past geological record. However, there is some robust indication for at least one phreatic eruption occurred in the area, in 1198 (Scandone et al., 2010); it is also realistic that most of the phreatomagmatic eruptions in the area started as phreatic eruptions, as explained in previous paragraphs. The phreatic scenario deserves maximum attention for the current evolution of the CF unrest, because of its serious implications for civil defense purposes, and for the even higher difficulty to be forecasted, with respect to a magmatic eruption.

7.2 Second scenario

As an alternative scenario, we should consider the one which stops sometimes without evolving towards an eruption. Despite the similarity of the recent unrest with the first phase leading to the 1538 eruption, we could in fact consider the notable difference in the cumulative uplift between the past and present unrests, in the first 73 years: 10 m from 1430 to 1503,~~.,~~ as compared with less than 4.5 m from 1950 to present.~~,~~ The level of ground uplift is critical, because it indicates the level of stress accumulated underground. As pointed out by Kilburn et al. (2017), when the level of stress reaches a critical value, the medium rheology becomes totally fragile and any small amount of incremental stress can cause the collapse (i.e. the catastrophic fracturing) of the shallow crust, thus producing the eruption. Actually, we don't know the critical stress level for the shallow crust at Campi Flegrei. ~~Kilburn et al. (2023) claimed, from the observation of the trend of cumulative number of earthquakes as a function of cumulative uplift, that such critical value would have been reached and overcome in 2015. Besides any speculation on their interpretations, it is clear that, if the internal stress had really overcome the critical level in 2015, considering the large additional uplift cumulated since then (about 0.90 m.), and hence the considerable incremental stress, the system would have already collapsed, and an eruption occurred.~~ The very high deformation occurred before the 1538, namely 16 m plus the localized uplift occurred just at the vent site before the eruption, seems to indicate that the critical stress level, at that time, may have been~~was~~ much higher than the one presently reached. So, if it could be assumed the medium strength today is similar, there is a possibility that the progression towards eruption conditions is too gradual to culminate in an actual eruption, and the unrest may cease before reaching that point; or, however, that the time to reach the critical stage will be much longer (200-250 years, instead of about 100).

~~5.~~2.Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a detailed reconstruction of the ground deformation, and a comprehensive analysis of the main observations characterizing the events before, during and after the 1538 Monte Nuovo eruption, the only eruption occurred at Campi Flegrei caldera in historical times.

This reconstruction, based on clear historical evidence, has allowed us to correct some widely diffused but questionable reconstructions, found in the past and recent literature.. Specifically, we demonstrated that subsidence in the area began, at least, during the Greek colonization (VIII century BC) and persisted through Roman times, with documentation dating back to 90 BC. Additionally, we reconstructed the evolution of ground deformation at Pozzuoli harbor during the Middle Age, demonstrating that maximum subsidence occurred around 1430. We also tracked the ground level from 1430 until the first half of the 19^{th} century, using historical data on the height of the Serapeum floor relative to sea level.

Furthermore, by reconstructing the subsidence and uplift of the Via Herculea, based on ancient chronicles, we provided clear evidence indicating that the local uplift preceding the eruption at the Monte Nuovo site, situated near Via Herculea, did not exceed 5-7 meters, since Via Herculea never re-emerged from sea before and during the eruption. This evidence disproves claims in recent literature (Di Vito et al., 2016), that suggested local uplift around M. Nuovo reached elevations as high as 19 m immediately before the eruption.

Our reconstruction of geophysical anomalies (mainly ground displacement and seismicity) preceding and following the 1538 eruption has been tentatively interpreted in comparison with observations and data collected during the recent unrests. This approach enables the formulation of two possible scenarios for the evolution of the present unrest, which, so far, has shown notable similarities to the long-term precursors of the 1538 eruption.

The first scenario involves the progression of phenomena towards an eruption, suggesting that, in the near future, earthquakes with magnitude up to 5 or slightly higher may occur, both preceding the eruption and persisting for several decades afterward. Conversely, the alternative scenario, implies that the unrest may cease before an eruption occurs. This possibility is supported by the fact that ground uplift observed from 1950 to 2024, compared with the uplift occurred over an equivalent period from 1430 to 1503, is significantly lower (4.3 m as compared to 10 m). Since the overpressure in the system is somewhat proportional to the amount of uplift, it is plausible that the recent unrest has not reached the critical value for catastrophic fracture of shallow rocks. In addition, if cumulative stress increases too slowly, a substantial amount of previous stress can be cleared depending on viscoelastic relaxation and its characteristic times. While the exact critical threshold and viscoelastic relaxation time remain unknown, they can be tentatively inferred from the maximum deformation observed before the 1538 eruption. The bell-shaped cumulative vertical displacement centered at Pozzuoli, before the 1538 eruption, was much larger, reaching 16 m., compared to the about 4.5 m recorded from 1950 to 2024. This substantial difference, assuming the rheology and strength of shallow rocks in the 0-3 km depth range remain unchanged, would suggest that we are currently far from reaching the critical stress threshold necessary for an eruption.

The main result, very ~~A further,~~ important for its civil protection implications, this work underline is ~~consideration, coming from~~ the strict similitude between~~observation that pyroclastic flows from 1538 reached~~ the pre-eruptive scenario leading to the 1538 eruption and the present unrest, started in 1950 and still in progress. So, we should expect increasing seismic activity with seismic magnitudes up to M=5, and a non-negligible probability~~centre~~ of eruption in the next years or decades. In addition, we have also shown that, as it occurred during 1538 eruption,~~Pozzuoli, is that~~ even ~~a very~~ small eruptions, down to VEI=2,~~eruption (as the 1538 one)~~ can generate~~produce~~ pyroclastic flows travelling several~~some~~ km on flat terrain. ~~ground.~~

Finally, we want further to stress the possibility~~this work put in evidence that the most critical events, with civil defense implications, we could reasonably expect in case~~ of a ~~future eruption, are the following:~~

~~1) increasing seismic activity and M 5 events~~

~~2)~~ phreatic eruption, which could likely be the starting phase of a

~~3) phreatic eruption followed by a~~ phreato-magmatic one

~~3) pyroclastic flows travelling more than 3 km, inside the caldera, even in case of a small, VEI=2 eruption like the 1538 one~~.

Data availability

All raw data can be provided by the corresponding authors upon request.

Author contributions

GR, GDN and CT analyzed historical and volcanological data; GDN and CT analyzed earthquake intensity/magnitude data; MS analyzed seismic data; GR, MS and MDL wrote the manuscript draft and prepared the figures; GDN, CT and MS reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors want to thank Prof. Marina Petrone who helped to recover some important Middle Age references on Campi Flegrei.

References

Acocella V., 2010. Evaluating fracture patterns within a resurgent caldera: Campi Flegrei. Italy. Bull. Volcanol., 72, 623-638.

Acocella V., 2019. Bridging the gap from caldera unrest to resurgence. Front. Earth Science, 7, 173. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00173.

AGIP, 1987. Geologia e geofisica del sistema geotermico dei Campi Flegrei. Servizi Centrali per l'Esplorazione, SERG-MMESG, San Donato

Alberico, I., Petrosino, P., and Lirer, L., 2011. Volcanic hazard and risk assessment in a multi-source volcanic area: the example of Napoli city (Southern Italy), Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 11, 1057–1070, https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-11-1057-2011, 2011.

Altaner, S., Demosthenous, C., Pozzuoli, A., Rolandi, G., 2013. Alteration history of Mount Epomeo Green Tuff and a related polymictic breccia, Ischia Island, Italy: Evidence for debris avalanche. Bulletin of Volcanology 75, 5, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00445-013-0718-1

Amato, L. and Gialanella, C., 2013. New evidences on the Phlegraean bradyseism in the area of Puteolis harbour. Conference: Geotechnical Engineering for the Preservation of Monuments and Historic Sites. https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.2326.0482

Amoruso, A., Crescentini, L., Berrino, G., 2008. Simultaneous inversion of deformation and gravity changes in a horizontally layered half-space: Evidences for magma intrusion during the 1982–1984 unrest at Campi Flegrei caldera (Italy). Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 272, 181–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.04.040.

Amoruso, A., Crescentini, L., Sabbetta, I., 2014. Paired deformation sources of the Campi Flegrei caldera (Italy) required by recent (1980–2010) deformation history. J. Geophys. Res., 119, 858–879. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JB010392.

Annecchino, R., 1931. Agnano, l'origine del nome e del lago. Bollettino Flegreo, 5.

Aster, R. and Meyer, R., 1988. Three-dimensional velocity structure and hypocenter distribution in the Campi Flegrei caldera, Italy. Tectonophysics, 149, 195–218

Aucelli, P.C. et al., 2020. Ancient Coastal Changes Due to Ground Movements and Human Interventions in the Roman Portus Julius (Pozzuoli Gulf, Italy): Results from Photogrammetric and Direct Surveys. Water, 12, 658. https://doi.org/10.3390/w1203065

Bachmann, O., Bergantz, G.W., 2006. Gas percolation in upper-crustal silicic crystal mushes as a mechanism for upward heat advection and rejuvenation of near-solidus magma bodies. Journ. Volcanol. and Geoth. Res., 149, 85-102

Bachmann, O., Huber, C., 2016. Silicic mushes reservoirs in the Earth's crust. American Mineralogist, 101, 11, 2377–2404. https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2016-5675

Barberi, F., Corrado, G., Innocenti, F., Luongo, G., 1984. Phlegraean Fields 1982–1984: Brief Chronicle of a Volcano Emergency in a Densely Populated Area. Bull. Volcanol., 47-2, 175-185.

Bergantz, G.W., 1989. Underplating and partial melting: implications for melt generation and extraction. Science https://doi.org/10.1126/science.245.4922.1093

Berrino, G., Corrado, G., Luongo, G., Toro, B., 1984. Ground deformation and gravity changes accompanying the 1982 Pozzuoli uplift. Bull. Volcanol., 44-2, 187–200.

Bianchi, R., Coradini, A., Federico, C., Giberti, G., Lanciano, P., Pozzi, J.P., Sartoris, G., Scandone, R., 1987. Modeling of surface deformation in volcanic areas: The 1970–1972 and 1982–1984 crises of Campi Flegrei, Italy. J. Geophys. Res., 92, B13, 14139–14150.Brahm, R., Parada, M.A., Morgado, E.E., Contreras, C., 2015. Pre-eruptive rejuvenations of crystalline mush by reservoir heating: the case of trachy-dacitic lavas of Quetrupillán Volcanic Complex, Chile (39º30' lat. S). American Geophysical Union, Fall Meeting 2015, abstract id. V43B-3122, Bibcode: 2015AGUFM.V43B3122B

Burgisser, A., Bergantz, G.W., 201. A rapid mechanism to remobilize and homogenize crystalline magma bodies. Nature 471(7337):212-5, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09799

Battaglia, J., Zollo, A. Virieux, J., Dello Iacono, D., 2008. Merging active and passive data sets in traveltime tomography: The case study of Campi Flegrei caldera (Southern Italy) Geophysical Prospecting, 56, 555–573 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2478.2007.00687.x

Bellucci, F., Woo, J., Kilburn, C. R. J. & Rolandi, G., 2006. In Mechanisms of Activity and Unrest at Large Calderas Vol. 269 (eds. Troise C., De Natale, G. & Kilburn, C.R.J.) The Geological Society of London Special Publication, 141–158.

Beauducel, F., De Natale, G., Obrizzo, F., Pingue, F., 2004. 3-D modelling of Campi Flegrei ground deformations: role of caldera boundary discontinuities. Pure Appl. Geophys., 161.

Boccaccio, G., 1355-1373. De Montibus.

Bodnar, R. J., Cannatelli, C., de Vivo, B., Lima, A., Belkin, H.E., Milia, A., 2007. Quantitative model for magma degassing and ground deformation (bradyseism) at Campi Flegrei, Italy: implications for future eruptions, Geology, 35, 9, pp. 791–794.

Calò, M., Tramelli, A., 2018. Anatomy of the Campi Flegrei caldera using enhanced seismic tomography models, Scientific Reports, 8, 1, 16254.

Camodeca, G., 1987. Le antichità di Pozzuoli, la Ripa Puteolana e i resti sommersi del Porto Giulio, G. Macchiaroli Editore, Napoli.

Cannatelli, C., Spera, F.J., Bodnar, R.J., Lima, A., De Vivo, B., 2020. Ground movement (bradysesim) in the Campi Flegrei volcanic area: a review. In: "Vesuvius, Campi Flegrei, and Campanian volcanism", In: De Vivo B., Belkin H. E & Rolandi G., Eds, Elsevier, 15, 407-433. ISBN: 978-0-128-16454-9.

Cappelletti, P., Petrosino, P., De Gennaro, M., Colella, A., Graziano, S.F., D'Amore, M., Mercurio, M., Cerri, G., De Gennaro, R., Rapisardo, G., Langella, A., 2015. The "Tufo Giallo della Via Tiberina" (Sabatini Volcanic District, Central Italy): a complex system of lithification in a pyroclastic current deposit. Mineralogy and Petrology, 109 (1) 85-101 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00710-014-0357-z

Carrara, A., Burgisser, A., Bergantz, G.W., 2020. The architecture of intrusions in magmatic mush. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 549, 1, 116539.

Caricchi, L., Annen ,C., Blundy, J.D., Simpson, G., Pinel, V., 2014. Frequency and magnitude of volcanic eruptions controlled by magma injection and buoyancy. Nature Geoscience, 7, 126–130. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2041.

Caruso, M., 2004. Il territorio puteolano fra età romana e alto Medioevo. Bollettino Flegreo, Terza serie, N°17

Cashman, K.V., Sparks, R.S.J., Blundy, J., 2017. Vertically extensive and unstable crystals mushes: a unifying view of igneous processes associated with volcanoes. Science 355, 6331, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag3055

Charlton, D., Kilburn, C., Edwards, S., 2020.Volcanic unrest scenarios and impact assessment at Campi Flegrei caldera, Southern Italy. Journal of Applied Volcanology, 9, 7~~. (DOI).~~

Chiodini, G., Vandemeulebrouck, J., Caliro, S., D'Auria, L., De Martino, P., Mangiacapra, A., Petrillo, Z., 2015. Evidence of thermal driven processes triggering the 2005-2014 unrest at Campi Flegrei caldera. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 414, 58-67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.01.012~~https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.01.012~~.

Chiodini, G., Caliro, S., Avino, R.et al., 2021. Hydrothermal pressure-temperature control on CO2 emissions and seismicity at Campi Flegrei (Italy)," Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 414, 107245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2021.107245.

Chouet, B. A. (1996). Long-period volcano seismicity: its source and use in eruption forecasting. Nature, 380, 6572, 309-316. https://doi.org/10.1038/380309a0

Cinque, A., Rolandi, G., Zamparelli, V., 1983. L'estensione dei depositi marini olocenici nei Campi Flegrei in relazione alla vulcanotettonica. Boll. Soc. Geol.It, 104,327e348

Colletta, T., 1988. Pozzuoli, città fortificata in epoca vicereale - Storia dell'Urbanistica/Campania 1-Pozzuoli. Pubblicazione semestrale diretta da E. Guidoni. Supplemento Luglio-Dicembre

Costa, A., Di Vito, M.A, Ricciardi, G.P., Smith, V. C., Talamo, P., 2022. The long and intertwined record of humans and the Campi Flegrei volcano (Italy). Bulletin of Volcanology, 84, 5. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-021-01503-

D'Antonio, M., Civetta, L., Orsi, G., Pappalardo, L., Piochi, M., Carandente, A., De Vita, S., Di Vito, M.A., Isaia, R., 1999. The present state of the magmatic system of the Campi Flegrei caldera based on a reconstruction of its behavior in the past 12 ka. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 91, 2-4, 247-268.

De Jorio, A., 1820. Ricerche sul Tempio de Serapide in Pozzuoli, Monumenti inediti di Antichità e Belle Arti, Napoli.

Del Gaudio, C., Aquino, I., Ricciardi, G.P., Ricco, C., Scandone, R., 2010. Unrest episodes at Campi Flegrei: A reconstruction of vertical ground movements during 1905–2009. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research 195, 1, 48-56. https://doi.10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2010.05.014

De Natale, G., Zollo, A., 1986. Statistical analysis and clustering features of the Phlegraean Fields earthquake sequence (May 1983-May 1984). Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 76, 3, 801–814. https://doi.org/10.1785/BSSA0760030801

De Natale, G., Pingue, F., Allard, P. and Zollo, A., 1991. Geophysical and geochemical modeling of the Campi Flegrei caldera. In *'Campi flegrei'* (G. Luongo R. Scandone eds.), J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 48, 199–222.

De Natale, G., Pingue, F., 1993. Ground deformations in collapsed caldera structures. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 57, 1-2, 19-38.

De Natale, G., Petrazzuoli, S.M., Pingue, F., 1997. The effect of collapse structures on ground deformations in calderas. Geophysical Research Letters, 24, 1555–1558.

De Natale, G., Troise, C., Pingue, F., 2001. A mechanical fluid-dynamical model for ground movements at Campi Flegrei caldera. J. Geodyn., 32, 487-517.

De Natale, G., Kuznetov, I., Krondrod, T., Peresan, A., Sarao, A., Troise, C., Panza, G.F., 2004. Three decades of seismic activity at Mt. Vesuvius: 1972-2000. Pure Appl. Geophys., 161, 1, 123-144. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-003-2430-0

De Natale, G., Troise, C., Pingue, F., Mastrolorenzo, G., Pappalardo, L., Battaglia, M., Boschi, E., 2006b. The Campi Flegrei caldera: Unrest mechanisms and hazards. (London: Geological Society) Geol. Soc. London Spec. pub., 269, 1. https://doi.10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.269.01.03.

De Natale, G., Troise, C., Mark, D., Mormone, A., Piochi, M., Di Vito, M.A., Isaia, R., Carlino, S., Barra., D., Somma, R., 2016. The Campi Flegrei Deep Drilling Project (CFDDP): New insight on caldera structure, evolution and hazard implications for the Naples area (Southern Italy). Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystem, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GC00618341.

De Natale, G., Petrazzuoli, S., Romanelli, F., Troise, C., Vaccari, F., Somma, R., Peresan, A., Panza, G.F., 2019. Seismic risk mitigation at Ischia island (Naples, Southern Italy): an innovative approach to mitigate catastrophic scenarios. Eng. Geol., 261, 105285.

Di Bonito, R., Giamminelli, R., 1992. Le Terme dei Campi Flegrei, Topografia Storica. Jandi Sapi Editori, Milano-Roma.

Di Girolamo, P., Ghiara, M.R., Lirer, L., Munno, R., Rolandi, G., Stanzione, D., 1984. Vulcanologia e petrologia dei Campi Flegrei. Boll. Soc. Geol. Ital., 103.

Di Vito, M.A., Lirer, L., Mastrolorenzo, G., Rolandi G., 1987. The Monte Nuovo eruption (Campi Flegrei, Italy). Bulletin of Volcanology 49, 608–615.

Di Vito, M.A., Isaia, R., Orsi, G., Southon, J., De Vita, S., D'Antonio, M., Pappalardo, L., Piochi, M., 1999. Volcanism and deformations since 12.000 years at Campi Flegrei caldera

Di Vito, M.A., Arienzo, I., Braia, G., Civetta, L., D'Antonio, M., Di Renzo, V., Orsi, G., 2011. The Averno 2 fssure eruption: a recent small-size explosive event at the Campi Flegrei caldera (Italy). Bull. Volcanol 73:295–320. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-010-0417

Di Vito, M.A., Acocella, V., Aiello, G., Barra, D., Battaglia, M., Carandente, A., Del Gaudio, C., S. de Vita, S., GP Ricciardi, G.P., Ricco, C., Scandone, R., Terrasi, F., 2016. Scientific Reports, 6, Article number: 32245. http://www.nature.com/articles/srep32245

Dvorak, J.J. and Gasparini, P., 1991. History of earthquakes and vertical ground movement in Campi Flegrei caldera, Southern Italy: comparison of precursory events to the A.D. 1538 eruption of Monte Nuovo and of activity since 1968. Journ. Volc. Geoth. Res., 48, 1-2.

Dvorak, J.J., Mastrolorenzo, G., 1991. The mechanism of recent movements in Campi Flegrei caldera, Southern Italy. Geologic Society of America special paper, 263.

Druitt, T.H., Sparks, R.S.J., 1984. On the formation of calderas during ignimbrite eruptions. Nature, 310, 679-681.

Edmonds, M., Cashman, K. V., Holness, M., Jackson, M., 2019. Architecture and dynamics of magma reservoirs. Phil. Trans. Royal Soc., Mat. Phis. and engeener. Sci., 377, 2139. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2018.0298

Folch, A., Gottsmann, J., 2006. Faults and ground uplift at active calderas, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 269, 109–120.

Fournier, R.O., 1999. Hydrothermal processes related to movement of fluid from plastic into brittle rock in the magmatic epithermal environment, Econ. Geol., 94, 8, 1193-1211.

Francisconi, G., Todesco, M., Ciuccarelli, C., 2019. Storia del Monte Nuovo. L'ultima eruzione dei Campi Flegrei. INGV Vulcani.

Franco, E., 1974. La zeolitizzazione naturale: in zeoliti e zeolitizzazione. Atti Convegni Licei, 33-60

Fuiano, M., 1951. Niccolò Jamsilla. Atti dell'Accademia Pontaniana. Nuova serie, Volume 3 – Anno Accademico 1949 -50 – Napoli - Stabilimento tipografico Giannini

Gaeta, F.S., Peluso, F., Milano, G., Arienzo, I., 2002. A Physical Appraisal of A New Aspect of Bradyseism: The Mini-uplifts. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres 108(B8) https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JB001913

Gianfrotta, P.A., 1993. Puteoli sommersa, in F. Zevi (a cura di), Puteoli: 115-124. Napoli, Banco di Napoli.

Gudmundsson, A., 2012. Magma chambers: Formation, local stresses, excess pressures, and compartments. Jour. Volcanol. Geoth. Res., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.05.015

Guidoboni, E., Ciuccarelli, C., 2011. The Campi Flegrei caldera: historical revision and new data on seismic crises, bradyseisms, the Monte Nuovo eruption and ensuing earthquakes (twelfth century 1582 AD), Bulletin of Volcanology, 73, 6, pp. 655-677, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-010-0430-3

Guidoboni, E., 2020. Pozzuoli - terremoti e fenomeni vulcanici nel lungo periodo. a cura di AISI-Associazione Italiana di Storia dell'Ingegneria - VIII Convegno di Storia dell'Ingegneria, Napoli, Volume I

Gretener, P.E., 1969. On the mechanics of the intrusion of sills. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 6, 6.

Gauthier, V., 1912. Il Bradisisma Flegreo all'epoca ellenica. Rend. Real Accad. Sci, Fis. e Mat. Napoli, Serie III, Vol. XVIII, Anno LI, 91-94.

Iervolino, I., Cito, P., De Falco, M. *et al.*, 2024. Seismic risk mitigation at Campi Flegrei in volcanic unrest. Nat. Commun. 15, 10474. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-55023-1

Isaia, R., Vitale, S., Di Giuseppe, M.G., Iannuzzi, E., D'Assisi Tramparulo, F., Troiano, A., 2015. Stratigraphy, structure, and volcano-tectonic evolution of Solfatara maar-diatreme (Campi Flegrei, Italy). GSA Bulletin, 127, 9-10, 1485–1504. https://doi.org/10.1130/B31183.1

Johnson, E.R., Wallace, P.J., Cashman, K.V., Granados, H.D., Kent, A.J.R., 2008. Magmatic volatile contents and degassing-induced crystallization at Volcán Jorullo, Mexico: Implications for melt evolution and the plumbing systems of monogenetic volcanoes. Earth Plan. Sci. Lett., 269, 477

Kilburn, C.R.J., De Natale, G., Carlino, S., 2017. Progressive approach to eruption at Campi Flegrei caldera in southern Italy. Nature Communications, 8, 15312

Kilburn, C.R.J., Carlino, S., Danesi, S., Pino, N.A., 2023. Potential for rupture before eruption at Campi Flegrei caldera, Southern Italy. Commun. Earth Environ., 4, 190. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-00842-1

Lanzarin, O., 2021. Trugli dei bagni di Pozzuoli. Immagine e fortuna di due edifici termali antichi. https://doi.org/10.17401/lexicon.33.2021-i

Lima, A., De Vivo, B., Spera, F.J. et al., Bodnar, M., Milia, A., Nunziata, C., Belkin, H., Cannatelli, C., 2009. Thermodynamic model for uplift and deflation episodes (bradyseism) associated with magmatic-hydrothermal activity at the Campi Flegrei (Italy). Earth Sci. Rev., 97, 1-4, 44–58.

Lima, A. Bodnar, R.J., De Vivo, B., Spera, F. J., Belkin, H.E., 2021. Interpretation of Recent Unrest Events (Bradyseism) at Campi Flegrei, Napoli (Italy): Comparison of Models Based on Cyclical Hydrothermal Events versus Shallow Magmatic Intrusive Events. Geofluids—, 2000255. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/2000255.

Lima, A., Bodnar, R.J., De Vivo, B., Spera, F.J., Belkin, H.E., 2024. The “breathing” Earth at Solfatara-Pisciarelli, Campi Flegrei, southern Italy (2005–2024): Nature’s attenuation of the effects of bradyseism. *American Mineralogist* , 110 (5): 820–825. doi: https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2024-9516

Luongo, G., Cubellis, E., Obrizzo, F., Petrazzuoli, S.M., 1991. The mechanics of the Campi Flegrei resurgent caldera: a model. Journ. Volcan. Geotherm. Res., 45, 3–4, 161-172.

Mancusi, F., 1987. Campi Flegrei. Sergio Civita Editore, Napoli.

Marti, J., Lopez, C., Bartolini, S., Becerrill, L., 2016. Stress control of monogenic volcanism: A rewiew. Front. Earth Sci., Sec. Volcanology, 4

Marturano, A., Esposito, E., Porfido, S., Luongo, G., 1988. Il terremoto del 4 Ottobre 1983 (Pozzuoli): Attenuazione dell’intensità con la distanza e relazione magnitudo-Intensità, zonazione della città di Napoli. Mem. Soc, Geol. It., 41, 941-948

Marsh, B.D., 1989. Magma chambers. Ann. Rev. Earth Planet Sci. 17, 439–474. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.ea.17.050189.002255

Milana, G., De Sortis, A., Rovelli, A., 2010. Contenuto in bassa frequenza nei terremoti vulcanici del Monte Etna e danneggiamento degli edifici. Fascicolo N.2: Progettazione Sismica, Sezione Articoli

Moretti, R., Orsi, G., Civetta, L., Arienzo, I., Papale, P., 2013. Multiple magma degassing sources at an explosive volcano. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 367, 95-104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.02.013.

Moretti, R., De Natale, G., Troise, C., 2017. A geochemical and geophysical reappraisal to the significance of the recent unrest at Campi Flegrei caldera (Southern Italy). Geochemestry, Geophysics, Geosystems, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006569

Moretti, R., Troise, C., Sarno, F., De Natale, G., 2018. Caldera unrest driven by CO2-induced drying of the deep hydrothermal system, Scientific Reports G., 8, 1, 8309.

Morhange, C., Marriner, N., Laborel, J., Todesco, M., & Oberlin, C., 2006. Rapid sea-level movements and noneruptive crustal deformations in the Phlegrean fields caldera, Italy. *Geology*, *34*(2), 93–96. https://doi.org/10.1130/G21894.1

Nespoli, F. et al., 2023. A reduced-turbulence regime in the Large Helical Device upon injection of low-Z materials powders. Nucl. Fusion, 63 076001. https://doi.org/10.1088/174-4326/acd465

Niccolini, A., 1846. La gran terma puteolana. Napoli

Orsi, G., De Vita, S., Di Vito, M., 1996. The restless, resurgent Campi Flegrei nested caldera (Italy): constraints on its evolution and configuration. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 74, 3, 179–214.

Orsi, G., Civetta, L., Del Gaudio, C., de Vita, S., Di Vito, M. A., Isaia, R., Petrazzuoli, S.M., Ricciardi, G.P., Ricco, C., 1999. Short-term ground deformations and seismicity in the resurgent Campi Flegrei caldera (Italy): an example of active blockresurgence in a densely populated area. Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, 91, 2, 415–451.

Osservatorio Vesuviano, 2022. Bollettino Mensile Campi Flegrei 2022 06 (In Italian). https://www.ov.ingv.it/index.php/monitoraggio-e-infrastrutture/bollettini-tutti/mensili-dei-vulcani-della-campania/flegrei/anno-2022-2/1114-bollettino-mensile-campi-flegrei-2022-06/file

Parascandola, A., 1943. Il Monte Nuovo ed il Lago Lucrino, in Bollettino della Società dei Naturalisti in Napoli, Volumi 1944–1946, 55, 151-312. Stab. tip. G. Genovese

Parascandola, A., 1947. I Fenomeni Bradisismici del Serapeo di Pozzuoli. Stabilimento Tipografico G. Genovese, Napoli.

Parmigiani, A., Huber, C., Bachmann O., 2014. Mush microphysics and the reactivation of crystal-rich magma reservoirs. JGR, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JB011124

Pasquarè, G., Poli, S., Venzolli L., Zanchi A., 1988. Continental arc volcanism and tectonic setting in central Anatolia. Tectonophisycs, 146, 217-230

Rolandi, G., D’Alessio, G., Di Vito, M. (1985). Il sollevamento del suolo durante la fase preeruttiva del Monte Nuovo (Campi Flegrei). Rend. Acc., Sc. Fis. e Mat. in Napoli, 4, 52, 15 - 34

Rolandi, G., Bellucci, F., Heitzler, M.T., Belkin, H.E., De Vivo, B., 2003. Tectonic controls on the genesis of the ignimbrites from the Campanian volcanic zone, southern Italy. In ‘Ignimbrites of the

Campanian Plain' Spec. Issue, B. De Vivo and R. Scandone Eds., Mineralogy and Petrology, 79, 3–31

Rolandi, G., De Natale G., Kilburn, C.R.J. et al., 2020a. The 39 ka Campanian Ignimbrite eruption: new data on source area in the Campanian Plain," in Vesuvius, Campi Flegrei, and Campanian volcanism, Chapt. 8, B. Vivo, H. E. Belkin, and G. Rolandi, Eds., pp. 175–205, Elsevier

Rolandi, G., Di Lascio, M., Rolandi, R., 2020b. The Neapolitan Yellow Tuff eruption as the source of the Campi Flegrei caldera. in Vesuvius, Campi Flegrei, and Campanian volcanism, Chapt. 11, B. Vivo, H. E. Belkin, and G. Rolandi, Eds., pp. 273–296, Elsevier.

Rosi, M., Sbrana, A., (Eds.) 1987. Phlegrean fields (Vol. 9). Consiglio nazionale delle ricerche.

Russo Mailer, C., 1979. La tradizione Medioevale dei bagni flegrei. Puteoli, studi di storia antica, III, 141-153

Sabetta, F., Paciello, A., 1995. Valutazione della pericolosità sismica. La geologia di Roma- Memorie descrittive della carta geologica d'Italia.

Sacchi, M., Pepe, F., Corradino, M., Insinga, D.D., Molisso, F., Lubritto C., 2014. The Neapolitan Yellow Tuff caldera offshore the Campi Flegrei: stratal architecture and kinematic reconstruction during the last 15 ky. Mar. Geol. 354, 5-33

Sacchi, M., Passaro, S., Molisso, F., Matano, F., Steinmann, L., Spiess, V., Pepe, F., Corradino, M., Caccavale, M., Tamburrino, S., Esposito, G., Vallefuoco, M., Ventura, G., 2020a. The Holocene marine record of unrest, volcanism, and hydrothermal activity of Campi Flegrei and Somma Vesuvius. In: B. De Vivo, H.E. Belkin and G. Rolandi (Eds.) Vesuvius, Campi Flegrei, and Campanian Volcanism, Elsevier Inc., Amsterdam, 435-469; https://doi.10.1144/GSL.SP.2006.269.01.0310.1016/B978-0-12-816454-9.00016-X.

Sacchi, M., Matano, F., Molisso, F., Passaro, S., Caccavale, M., Di Martino, G., Guarino, A., Innangi, S., Tamburrino, S., Tonielli, R., Vallefuoco, M., 2020b. Geological framework of the Bagnoli–Coroglio coastal zone and continental shelf, Pozzuoli (Napoli) Bay. Chem. Ecol., 36, 529–549.

Scandone, R., D'Amato, J., Giacomelli, L., 2010. The relevance of the 1198 eruption of Solfatara in the Phlegraean Fields (Campi Flegrei) as revealed by medieval manuscripts and historical sources. Journ. Volcanol. Geoth. Res., 189, 1–2, 202-206.

Scarpa, R., Bianco, F., Capuano, P., Castellano, M., D'Auria, L., Di Lieto, B., Romano, P., 2022. Historic unrest of the Campi Flegrei caldera. In *Campi Flegrei. A Restless Caldera in A Densely Populated Area* (eds Orsi,G., D'Antonio, M. & Civetta, L.), 257–282.

Selva, J., Orsi, G., Di Vito, M., Marzocchi, W., Sandri, L., 2011. Probability hazard map for future vent opening at the Campi Flegrei caldera, Italy. Bull.Volcanol., https://doi.org/10.1007/s00445-011-0528-2 1-0528-2.

Somma, R., Iuliano, S., Matano, F., Molisso, F., Passaro, S., Sacchi M., Troise C., De Natale, G., 2016. High-resolution morphobathymetry of Pozzuoli Bay, southern Italy. Journ. Maps, 12, 222–230, https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2014.1001800.

Soricelli, G., 2007. Comunità orientali a Puteoli. Press. Univers., Rennes, 129-144, https://doi.org/10.4000/books.pur.6714

Sparks, S.R.J., Sigurdsson, H., Wilson, L., 1977. Magma mixing: a mechanism for triggering acid explosive eruptions. Nature, 267, 315–318

Shelly, D., Hurwitz, S., 2022. Yellowstone caldera chronicles, September 5. Yellowstone Volcano Observatory, USGS (https://www.usgs.gov/observatories/yvo/news/water-released-crystallizing-magma-can-trigger-earthquakes-yellowstone)

Smith, V.C., Isaia, R., Pearce, N.J.G., 2011.Tephrostratigraphy and glass compositions of post-15 kyr Campi Flegrei eruptions: implications for eruption history and chronostratigraphic markers. Quat. Sci. Rev. 30, 3638–3660

Steinmann, L., Spiess, V., Sacchi, M., 2016. The Campi Flegrei caldera (Italy): Formation and evolution in interplay with sea-level variations since the Campanian Ignimbrite eruption at 39 ka. Journ. Volcanol. Geoth. Res., 327, 361-374

Strabone, 1 century BC - 1 century AD. Rerum Geogr., book V, cap. 4-5

Talavera Montes, A.J., 2021. Eruzioni, sismi e bradisismo nei Campi Flegrei in epoca romana tra fonti storiche ed evidenze archeologiche e geologiche. In: Living with Seismic Phenomena in the Mediterranean and Beyond between Antiquity and the Middle Ages, Proceedings of Cascia (25-26 October, 2019) and Le Mans (2-3 June, 2021) Conferences. Edited by Compatangelo Soussignan R.

Troise, C., Pingue, F., De Natale, G., 2003. Coulomb stress changes at calderas: modeling the seismicity of Campi Flegrei (Southern Italy). J. Geophys. Res., 108, B6, 2292, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JB002006.

Troise, C., De Natale, G., Pingue, F., Obrizzo, F., De Martino, P., Tammaro, U., Boschi, E., 2007. Renewed ground uplift at Campi Flegrei caldera (Italy): New insight on magmatic processes and forecast, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L03301, doi:10.1029/2006GL028545.

Troise, C., De Natale, G., Schiavone, R., Somma, R., Moretti, R., 2019. The Campi Flegrei caldera unrest: Discriminating magma intrusions from hydrothermal effects and implications for possible evolution. Earth Sci. Rev., 188, 108-122, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2018.11.007.

Vanorio, T., Prasad, M., Patella, D., Nur, A., 2002. Ultrasonic velocity measurements in volcanic rocks: Correlation with microtexture. Geophys. Journ. Intern., 149, 1, 22-36, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0956-540x.2001.01580.x

Vanorio, T., Virieux, J., Capuano, P., Russo, G., 2005. Threedimensional seismic tomography from P wave and S wave microearthquake travel times and rock physics characterization of the Campi Flegrei Caldera. J. Geophys. Res., 110, 1-14

Vanorio, T., Kanitpanyacharoen, W., 2015. Rock physics of fibrous rocks akin to Roman concrete explains uplifts at Campi Flegrei. Science, 349, 617–621

Varriale, I., 2004. Costa Flegrea ed attività bradisismica dall’antichità ad oggi. In Rotte e Porti del Mediterraneo dopo la caduta dell’Impero Romano d’Occidente. IV seminario, Genova 18-19 Luglio. De Maria L. and Turchetti R. Eds.

Vinciguerra, S., Trovato, C., Meredith, P.G, Benson, P.M., Troise, C., De Natale, G., 2006. Understanding the Seismic Velocity Structure of Campi Flegrei Caldera (Italy): From the Laboratory to the Field Scale. Pure appl. geophys., 163, 2205–2221, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-006-0118-y

Wohletz, K.H., Zimanowski, B., Büttner, B.R., 2013. Magma-water interactions. in Modeling Volcanic Processes: The Physics and Mathematics of Volcanism (Fagents, S.A., Gregg, T.K.P., Lopes, R.M.C. eds.) 230–257. Cambridge University Press.

Woods, W., Cowan, A., 2009. Magma mixing triggered during volcanic eruption. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 288, 1–2, 30, 132-137

Woo, J.Y.L., Kilburn, C.R.J., 2010. Intrusion and deformation at Campi Flegrei, southern Italy: Sills, dikes, and regional extension. J. Geophys. Res., 115, B12210

Wunderman, R. ed., 2000. Global volcanism Program. Report on Hekla (Iceland). Bullettin of global volcanism network, 25:2. Smithsonian Institution. https:// doi org/10.5470/si.

Zollo, A., Maercklin, N., Vassallo, M., Dello Iacono, D., Virieux, J., Gasparini, P., 2008. Seismic reflections reveal a massive melt layer feeding Campi Flegrei caldera, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L12306, doi:10.1029/2008GL034242.

Appendix 1 - *Evolution of the vertical movements involving the Via Herculea*

The following notes refer to the diagram represented in Fig. 3, reporting at each point the historical information related to ground deformation in the Averno area:

1- The shoreline between the cities of Baia and Pozzuoli took on a new conformation with the natural building of a sandy coastal bar after the eruptions of Averno and Capo Miseno (5 - 3.7 ka), the last of the second post-calderic cycle. We remember that the name ***Averno*** derived from the Greek ***Aornon,*** that is ***place without birds***, in reference to the presence of post-volcanic sulphurous fumes that caused the death of the birds that flew over the waters. The dark and gloomy appearance of the landscape led the ancients to consider it the entrance to Hades, as reported by Virgil (Aeneid, VI, vv 350).
We do not know precisely the time of formation of the bar structure; we can only hypothesize that it was probably positioned between the 18th and 17th centuries BC in the coastal stretch between the cities of Baia

and Pozzuoli, with a height of about 6 m, like the other coastal bars formed more recently in nearby areas, where the seabed has a depth of about 6-7 m. The formation of the sea barrier blocked a portion of the sea inside the inlet, which took the shape of a lake (Fig. 2a and Fig. 4).

2- This point can be traced back, from a historical and chronological point of view to the 8th century BC. In the diagram it is positioned at approximately 5 m above sea level, suggesting a subsidence of the coastal bar of about 2 m from the previous point. In fact, from a writing by Diodorus Siculus (Book IV) we know that::**..** ***this dam was continually invaded and ruined by the stormy sea, which often made it impassable…***It is known from coastal dynamics studies that waves breaking against a dam, placed above a seabed 7 m deep, reach a height equal to 3/4 of the depth of the same seabed, in this case approximately 5 m, i.e., a height equal to the barrier above the sea level. Therefore, the via Herculea, hit by violent waves, constituted an impassable road for the inhabitants of Cuma to reach the lands they cultivated in the surroundings of Pozzuoli, which, starting from the 8th century, took the name of Via Herculea (Fig. 2b and Fig. 4). Finally, the hypothesis of a height of 5 m, as resulting from submersion started since the 17th century BC, seems likely.

3- 4 - The body of water formed by the coastal bar, in the 1st century BC, was owned by Sergio Orata. The lake, making generous profits from fish farming, was named "*Lucrino*", derived from the Latin Lucrum (profit) (Fig. 2c). The owner, around 60 BC, to protect his interests turned directly to the Roman Senate to have the Via Herculea repaired, because at that time, being at a height of about 2 m above sea level, it had almost been destroyed by the waves that crossed it, preventing him from practicing his lucrative fish farming business (point 3). The Senate appointed Julius Caesar, who in 59 BC built a breakwater barrier, located outside the dam towards the open sea (Opus Pilarum). He also ordered the installation of canals closed by opening platforms (Claustre). Julius Caesar's project defended the Via Herculea essentially from the horizontal force exerted by violent wave motion, not understanding the effect of subsidence. In 37 BC, general Agrippa, by order of Octavian, engaged in the naval war against Pompeo Sextus, chose the coastal sector between the lakes Lucrino and Avernus for the construction of a new military port system, called *Portus Julius*. A new main entrance was built, consisting of a canal with two long banks in 'opus pilarum', cutting and equipping the Via Herculea with a mobile bridge, to access its interior, while at the same time widening the narrow opening that connected the Averno and Lucrino lakes to allow access of large ships in the shipyard (Fig. 2c). Furthermore, Agrippa reinforced the Via Herculea and added piers, supported by orthogonal pillars and having also sensed a problem of subsidence,... ***raised its level (Strabone, 1 century BC-1 century AD)*** (point 4).

5- 6 - The abandonment of Portus Julius by the Roman fleet, starting from 12 BC, as well as of the remaining part of Lake Lucrino, due to the impossibility of continuing fish farming, was the result of the continuing subsidence, which, according to Aucelli et al. (2020), between 37 BC and the beginning of the 1st century AD further accelerated.

In the 5th century AD the dam, few meters above sea level (point 5), was also damaged by a violent sea storm. An attempt to restore the dam again was made by Theodoric, regent of the Ostrogothic kingdom in Italy from 493 AD, who decided, in 496 AD, to repair the damage and probably also raised its level (***Cassiodorus, Varia, Book 1***) (point 6). This can be also deduced from the fact that Lake Lucrino was still well identified in 522 AD (G.C. Capaccio - Puteolana historia, in Parascandola 1943).

7-8 - Around the second half of the 6th century (556 AD), some fishermen attempted to reactivate fish farming in Lake Lucrino, but the dam soon could not guarantee an adequate yield, because it had reached a height of just a few meters above sea level (point 7), not allowing fish farming (Parascandola, 1943).
As we will show in Appendix-2, historical documents indicate that, at the lower city around Pozzuoli, the famous Serapeo (Macellum) began the phase of submersion below sea level in the 4th-5th century AD. At the area facing the Avernus, the above historical documents indicate that the submersion most likely occurred between the 6th and 7th centuries AD. This could be related to either height increasing interventions and /or to a lower speed of subsidence at the site of Via Herculea, as compared to the Serapeo.

9 – In the 14th century we have evidence of the submersion through the writings of Petrarca and Boccaccio. Below we will report some sentences from the two poets, giving indications on the subsidence in this period (Parascandola 1943):
- Petrarca, who lived in Naples in 1341, visited the coastal area of Avernus, ***(…I then saw the places of Avernus and Lucrino........ and the superb road of Gaius Caligula now swallowed up by the waves….. Note that Opus Pilarum mistakenly believed to be the road of Caligula).*** From this observation we deduce that Opus Pilarum was submerged in the 14th century (Fig. A1). From the same observation it further seems likely that, since the 4-5 m high pylons, submerged for a couple of metres, are not visible, and given the pylons were higher than Via Herculea of about 3 meters, the already submerged Via Herculea should have been submerged at that time for about 5-6 m**.**
- Boccaccio came to Naples in 1348 and, after visiting the Averno area, he clearly expressed the concept, although indirectly, that Lake Lucrino was not recognized as it was invaded by the sea, mixing with the waters of Avernus (…***to Avernus, connected in ancient times with the nearby lake Lucrino where it recalls the waters of portus Iulius:*** Boccaccio, 1355-1373).
Boccaccio noted that, since there was no barrier on the Via Herculea which formed the Lucrino, the rough sea even broke into Lake Averno. Therefore, we can undoubtedly say that in the 14th century via Herculea was completely submerged and Lake Lucrino disappeared because it was invaded by the sea.

10 - As we will demonstrate later, in the 15th century the ground movements of the Campi Flegrei area changed from subsidence to uplift. The uplift began, the actual amount of which in the Averno area can be only given in an approximate but equally significant way, because it is ascertained, from the writings of all the chroniclers of the time (see Parascandola, 1943) that the Via Herculea did not re-emerge in this period (fig 2d). What is reported by the historian San Felice is almost common to all the chroniclers: ***The sea had taken possession of Lucrino, so that the name could no longer be given to the ancient lake.***



Fig. A1 - The remains of the Via Herculea currently located at 4-5m bsl, with the columns of Opus Pilarum approximately 300m away in the open sea. An enlargement of the structure of Opus Pilarum is also reported

Shortly before the eruption, the general caldera uplift was also accompanied by a localized uplift of the area where Monte Nuovo would have risen shortly after, in 1538, located in close contact with the Lucrino basin (Fig. 2d). Such a local uplift was estimated at about 7 m (Parascandola, 1943), so the Via Herculea would certainly have emerged if it had been close to the sea surface at the end of the 15th century. A significantly larger uplift, of 19 m as hypothesized by Di Vito et al (2016), can be certainly ruled out from the observation that Via Herculea did not reemerge.

The topic of the local uplift before eruption is relevant, so we insist on other aspects linked to the entire area buried by the products of 1538 Monte Nuovo eruption. Until a short time before the eruptive event, two small tuff hills, called *Montagnella* and *Monticello del Pericolo* (Parascandola, 1936), overlooked the Averno Bay, above which the *village of Tripergole* extended. This village, thanks to the Angevins, developed with the construction of a hospital with 30 beds, to access the numerous springs and thermal facilities available to the hospitalized patients, with an adjoining pharmacy. Ancient buildings used for thermal baths (*Trugli*) present in the Tripergole area were highly compromised between the end of the 15th century and the beginning of the 16th, when the Pozzuoli area was hit by major earthquaks. The earthquakes caused extensive damage to the thermal health and ecclesiastical buildings of Tripergole, but not so devasting than expected if a ground uplift about 20 m high would have occurred. Also the so-called ***Temple of Apollo,*** still present along the north-eastern bank of the Averno lake (Fig. A2), testifies against a so large and sudden uplift. The structure is an imposing building identified as a grandiose thermal room, covered by a dome, now partly collapsed, which measured approximately 38 metres in diameter, built in the 1st century AD to exploit a series of hydrothermal springs along the eastern side of Avernus, then expanded with the large octagonal hall (the one that is still visible) in the following century. This structure was identified by Biondo da Forlì as the bathroom of Cicero (Lanzarin, 2021), that, due to its particular location protected by the Averno crater belt, was not involved in the burial of the *Monticello del Pericolo*, the *Montagnella* and the village of *Tripergole*, with its renowned thermal baths.

Fig. A2 – The so-called Temple of Apollo on the east bank of the Avernus. You can see the remains of a circular building with a "cap" vault, which later collapsed, typical of a "Truglio", i.e. a spa building (internet source)

Appendix 2 - *Evolution of the ground movements involving the Pozzuoli area*

Phases of submersion during the Greek age have been detected in the Pozzuoli area by Gauthier (1912), specifically in the eastern sector of Agnano. The author discovered Greek walls beneath the ruins of Roman baths which were restored in the 6th century AD. These, in turn, underlie lacustrine sediments that filled an ancient lake originally existing within the Agnano crater. However, the most evident subsidence phases have been recorded since Roman times, by the structures of the so-called Temple of Serapis in Pozzuoli. Built in the 2nd century AD and restored and completed in the 3rd century AD, during the Severan era, this structure exhibits the typical architecture of a Roman market ("Macellum").

To determine whether the construction preceding the 2nd century AD had a connection with a temple, we must go back to 105 BC, when a contract was stipulated between the municipality of Pozzuoli and a college of builders for repairs of public buildings (lex parieti faciundo). Among these was the Ades Serapis (Parascandola 1947), indicating that a temple dedicated to Serapis, (an Alexandrian deity often regarded as protector of merchants and sailors) existed during this period. By the end of the 2nd century BC, the cult of Serapis had spread throughout the Mediterranean and its sanctuaries, as well as those of other Egyptian deities, were frequented by Roman-Italics. It is probable, therefore, that the introduction of the cult of Serapis in Puteoli is related to the presence of an Egyptian community in the Puteolan port (Soricelli 2007). It is important to try to establish the relationships between this building and the Macellum built later, specifically whether the Ades Serapis could have an ancestral link with a more recent cult building, that was then transformed into a typical Roman market. This relationship is suggested by the discovery of a statue of Jupiter Serapis during the excavations of the Macellum in 1750 (see below). However, data reconstructed by Amato and Gialanella (2013; Fig.3), indicate that the first floor present in the substrate below the Macellum dates from the Flavian period (69 -96 AD). The finds in the reworked pyroclastic materials which are 4 meters thick below the first floor indicate a chronological interval between the end of the Republic and the beginning of the Empire (44 BC - 14 AD). This suggests that the Ades Serapis was likely built in a different position from the macellum, with which it therefore has no ties. The architectural elements of Macellum are part of the restoration works carried out on the Serapeo during the Severan Age (194 - 235 AD), with the installation of the 4th floor around 230 AD, located approximately 2 m above the 3rd floor. The existing structure (Fig. 6), still present in the same area today, provides important evidence for reconstructing the ground movements. These movements can be identified in:

⁎The marble floor of the macellum (4th floor; see also Fig. A3b);

⁎ The height of the three columns of the pronaos (12.70 m high, with the first 6.2 m displaying a 2.70m band perforated by lithophagus colonies (Fig. A3).

The historical information about the ground movements, is schematized in Fig. 6 of the main text, as follows:

1 - In the 2nd century AD the 3rd floor of the Serapeum reached approximately 1m above sea level. It was sporadically invaded by the sea, to the point that, it was considered appropriate to build a 4th floor in 230 AD, located at 2m above sea level.

2 - The flooding progressively affected the coast, leading to the transfer of ships from the port of Puteoli to Constantinople in 325-330 AD (Gianfrotta 1993). It is important to highlight that the 4th floor was invaded by the sea in 394 AD. The bank was restored on the left side and the right side of the macellum, in the area where structures functional to the port and the emporium were located, and to protect it from the sea waves with the construction of coastal embankments. These important works were supervised by the Campanian Consul Valerius Hermonius Maximus (Camodeca 1987, Caruso 2004).



Fig. A3 – a) Macellum showing pronao columns, b) Floors underlying columns

3 - In the 6th-7th century, the citizens who had completely depopulated the lower part of Pozzuoli felt the need to take refuge in a sort of fortified citadel (castrum), equipped with a drawbridge, giving rise to the Acropolis of the Rione Terra (Varriale, 2004).

4 - In the 9-10th century, according to Parascandola (1947), the maximum submersion of the 4th floor of the Serapeum occurred. Due to the subsidence of the Pozzuoli area, between the 8th and 10th centuries, the Agnano Plain, immediately east of Pozzuoli, was invaded by water for the stagnation of thermal and rainwater, transforming it into a lake (Annecchino, 1931).

5 -7 - In such a context, the most critical periods of the submersion phase occurred. The sea increasingly surrounded the Rione Terra, that appeared like a medieval village, with a drawbridge at the entrance to the cliff. The same context was depicted in the 11th century by the Arab geographer ***Idrisi*** in his ***Opus Geographicum***, describing Pozzuoli as a ***"castle"*** (Varriale, 2004).

In the 12th century subsidence was still active. A writing deriving from an account of Benjamin ben Yonah de Tudela who, visiting the Jewish communities of the Mediterranean, passing through Pozzuoli, described: ***turres et fora in acqua demersa quae in media quondam fuerant*** (Russo Mailer C. 1979, Caruso 2004). The Pozzuoli district continued to subside in the 13th century, as can be deduced from an account written in 1251 by the historian Niccolò Jamsilla (***Historia de rebus gestis Frederici II imperatoris ejeusque filorum Corradiet Manfredi Apuliaeet Siciliae regnum)*** describes the places between Agnano and Pozzuoli as follows: …***videlicet Putheolum mari mantibusque inaccessibilius circumquaque conclusum…***(Fuiano 1951).

In essence, what was observed by the Arab geographer Idris in the 11th century, was also written by the historian Jamsilla in 1251, confirming that Rione Terra "was ***an unapproachable mountain completely***

surrounded by the sea". This highlights that, over more than 3 centuries, the sea level rose due to subsidence of the tuffaceous walls of the Rione Terra.

8 – Further eyewitness accounts from by Boccacio, who lived in Naples between 1327 and 1341, reported that a fisherman's wharf in the Bay of Pozzuoli became completely submerged (Mancusi, 1987). This document supports the description of the lower part of the city being completely submerged.

9-10 – A gouache from 1430, known as ***Bagno del Cantariello***, part of the famous Balneis Puteolanis of the Edinburgh Codex (Di Bonito & Giamminelli, 1992) indicates the complete submergence of the 4th floor of the Serapeum by at least 10 meters. (Fig. 7). This context is supported by a description from 1441 indicating that in 1441 "*the sea covered the littoral plain, today called Starza"* (De Jorio, 1820; Dvorak and Mastrolorenzo, 1991) (see Fig. 8).
For a more precise description of this morphological context, it is useful to refer to the excavation of the Serapeum carried out in 1750, when this monument was freed from the blanket of sediments that buried it (see Fig. 12), made up of approximately 8 m of filling sediments, plus two meters of deposits from the pyroclastic flow of the M. Nuovo eruption. By replacing the latter materials with the approximately 2 m blade of sea water in the 1430 scenario (Fig. 7c), we arrive at the landscape picture in Fig. 7a, exemplified in Fig. 8d.

11 -13 – These points on the curve were obtained by determining the extent of subsidence from 1580 to 1753, that is, respectively, the date on which the seismic phase after the 1538 eruption ended, and the date on which the excavation work on the Serapeum ended. The subsidence was inferred by comparing the engraving of 1584 by Cartaro, representing the Caligoliano pier (Fig.10a), and the engraving of the two testimonies: a) that of the Caligoliano pier reproduced in the Cartaro engraving of 1584 (fig. 10a) compared with its remains represented in an engraving from 1750 (fig. 10b), and with the same remains reported, more in detail, in another engraving of the middle 18th century (Fig. 10c): both the engraving dates were reported by Maiuri (1934). A further constraint about the extent of subsidence in the mentioned period comes from the level of the 4th floor of the Serapeum, which was found at 0.7 m asl during the excavations of 1750-1753 (point 13) and was raised above sea level by 6-7m until 1580. The subsidence was then estimated at 5m.

14 – measurement by Niccolini at the end of 18th century (0.3 m asl).

15 - 18 – precise measurements of the height of the 4th floor, repeated by Lyell, Babbage and others until the end of the century (Parascandola, 1947).

The following points in the diagram, from the beginning of 1900 to 1950, were detected with precision instruments from the Military Geographic Institute (IGM), while the more recent ones (since 2000) were measured using GPS methodology.

Appendix 3 - Comparing past and recent earthquakes: from intensity to magnitude

To better compare the past **earthquakes** with the recent and present-day seismicity recorded at Campi Flegrei we must convert intensities in magnitude. In Fig. 19, we present a tentative correlation between the epicentral intensity (Io) and the magnitude (ML). Choosing the correct relation between Io and ML is not straightforward, particularly in this case involving peculiar volcano-tectonic earthquakes. Nonetheless, it is important to establish such a relation to compare the seismicity observed during the 1430-1582 period, as inferred by Guidoboni and Cucciarelli (2011), with the seismicity experienced during the recent unrests. To determine the Io-M_L relation, we are confident that, despite the availability of several formulas in the literature, the best approach is to consider a precise geographical and seismotectonic context, especially in a volcanic setting. Different features allow to discriminate between volcanic and tectonic earthquakes, which suggests caution in using correlations derived from tectonic areas for volcanic earthquakes, and vice versa (Milana et al., 2010). In order to build a realistic relation between seismic intensity and magnitude in this area, we utilized the computed intensities of two earthquakes that occurred in the Campi Flegrei region in 1983 (Branno et al., 1984; Marturano et al., 1988; Milana et al., 2010; Charlton et al., 2020), during the previous unrest of 1982-1984 (Troise et al., 2019). Additionally, we considered a M=5.0 earthquake that occurred in the similar volcanic area of Colli Albani (Sabetta and Paciello, 1995). The M=4.0 earthquake occurred on October 4, 1983, at Campi Flegrei, was found to have a maximum intensity Io=VII (Branno et al., 1984; Marturano et al., 1988). An earthquake of magnitude M=3.5, which occurred in the same swarm on October 4, 1983, was found to have a maximum intensity Io=V (Fig. 19: Marturano et al., 1988). Furthermore, Sabetta and Pugliese (1995) reported an earthquake of M=5.0, with a maximum magnitude Io=VIII.

These correlations between intensity and magnitude were utilized to assign realistic magnitude values to the macroseismic intensities deduced from the analysis of historical seismicity (Guidoboni and Cucciarelli, 2011), as shown in Fig. 19. They were also used to transform the magnitude of earthquakes associated with recent unrest phases into macroseismic intensities, as we will discuss later.

1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866