



Potential of carbon uptake and local aerosol production in boreal and hemi-boreal ecosystems across Finland and in Estonia

Piaopiao Ke¹, Anna Lintunen^{1,2}, Pasi Kolari¹, Annalea Lohila^{1,3}, Santeri Tuovinen¹, Janne Lampilahti¹, Roseline Thakur¹, Maija Peltola¹, Otso Peräkylä¹, Tuomo Nieminen¹, Ekaterina

5 Ezhova¹, Mari Pihlatie^{4,5}, Asta Laasonen¹, Markku Koskinen^{4,5}, Helena Rautakoski³, Laura Heimsch³, Tom Kokkonen¹, Aki Vähä¹, Ivan Mammarella¹, Steffen Noe⁶, Jaana Bäck², Veli-Matti Kerminen¹, Markku Kulmala¹

¹ Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research (INAR) / Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 00014, Finland

- ² Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research (INAR) / Forest Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 00014, Finland
 ³ Finnish Meteorological Institute, Finland
 ⁴ Department of Agricultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00790, Finland
- ¹⁵ Department of Agricultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Helsinki, Helsinki 00790, Finland

⁶ Institute of Forestry and Engineering, Estonian University of Life Sciences, 51006 Tartu, Estonia

Correspondence: Markku.Kulmala (<u>markku.kulmala@helsinki.fi</u>) and Piaopiao Ke 20 (piaopiao.ke@helsinki.fi)





Abstract: Continental ecosystems play an important role in carbon dioxide (CO₂) uptake and aerosol production, which helps to mitigate climate change. The concept of 'CarbonSink+ potential' enables a direct comparison of CO_2 uptake and local aerosol production at ecosystem

- 25 scale. Following this concept, momentary net ecosystem exchange (NEE) and number concentration of negative intermediate ions at 2.0-2.3 nm (N_{neg}) were analysed for boreal and hemiboreal ecosystems across Finland and in Estonia. N_{neg} can tell us how effectively biogenic emissions from an ecosystem initiate the new particle formation. Four forests, three agricultural fields, an open peatland, an urban garden, and a coastal site were included focusing on summertime.
- 30 We compared the NEE and N_{neg} at each site to the Hyytiälä forest as it is the dominant ecosystem type in Finland. N_{neg} was highest at the urban garden and lowest at the coastal site. The agricultural fields had higher or similar net CO₂ uptake rate and higher N_{neg} than all studied forests. The median net CO₂ uptake rate of the open peatland was only 31% of that in Hyytiälä, while the median N_{neg} was 77% of that in Hyytiälä. The median net CO₂ uptake rate in the urban garden was 63% of that
- in Hyytiälä, implying the importance of urban green areas in CO₂ sequestration. The coastal site was a minor CO₂ source. Considering the combined effect of CO₂ uptake and aerosol formation and the large area of forests in Finland, the forests are the most important ecosystems helping to mitigate climate warming.

1. Introduction

- 40 Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is one of the most abundant greenhouse gases in the atmosphere and the most important cause of global warming (e.g. Jia et al., 2022). Terrestrial ecosystems have an essential role in the global CO₂ budget through carbon uptake from the atmosphere by photosynthesis and its consequent sequestration to various pools (Walker et al., 2021; Friedlingstein et al., 2022). Globally, the net terrestrial ecosystem uptake of CO₂ (i.e. the net
- 45 carbon sink) is 3.1 Gt C yr⁻¹, which accounts for 32% of CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion (Friedlingstein et al., 2022). Terrestrial carbon sequestration, i.e., the process of storing carbon in a carbon pool (IPCC 2022), takes place in both belowground carbon storages (Walker et al., 2021; and the reference therein). Belowground storage includes soil carbon pools, while aboveground storage is primarily in biomass. As a transition between land and open ocean, the coastal
- 50 environment is identified as an import carbon sink and estimated to uptake 0.4 Gt C yr⁻¹ (Regnier





et al., 2022). Large spatiotemporal variation of continental CO₂ uptake is assumed due to different ecosystem and land-use types, climatic conditions, and management pathways (Chang et al., 2021; Friedlingstein et al., 2022). The challenge of increasing the carbon sequestration of ecosystems has been attracting more and more attention with the global goal of reducing CO₂ concentrations

55 in the atmosphere.

Apart from acting as CO₂ sinks, terrestrial ecosystems can influence climate by contributing to the formation of new aerosol particles (Kulmala et al., 2004; Kulmala et al., 2014; Kulmala et al., 2020; Yli-Juuti et al., 2021; Junninen et al., 2022; Petäjä et al., 2022, Räty et al., 2023). Globally, aerosols have been reported to induce a net climate cooling effect. The bets estimate of the

- 60 effective radiative forcing is -1.06 W m⁻² (Jia et al., 2022). However, large uncertainties exist in the aerosol net radiative forcing estimation, which is tightly associated with the large spatiotemporal heterogeneity in their origin, number concentration and chemical properties. Atmospheric new particle formation (NPF) is an important source of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) (e.g. Gordon et al., 2017; Ren et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2023), which significantly
- 65 contributes to aerosol-cloud and aerosol-radiation interaction (Rosenfeld et al., 2014; Ezhova et al., 2018, Artaxo et al., 2022; Petäjä et al., 2022). NPF takes place frequently in many environments, such as forests, urban cities, and coastal areas (e.g. Kerminen et al., 2018; Nieminen et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2021). It has been reported that NPF is greatly enhanced due to the emission of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) in boreal forests and peatlands (Junninen et al., 2018).
- 70 2022; Petäjä et al., 2022). Notably, NPF events often take place regionally, extending over distances up to over 1000 kilometres (Kerminen et al., 2018). Multiple types of ecosystems may contribute to the NPF events in a region depending, for example, on the diversity of land use types. It remains unclear whether and how various ecosystems differ in their contributions to regional NPF, and what is the magnitude of such differences.
- 75 To overcome the challenge of analysing the role of local ecosystems in regional aerosol formation, the concept of 'CarbonSink+ potential' was recently established (Kulmala et al., 2024). The CarbonSink+ potential enables a direct, ecosystem-scale comparison of CO₂ uptake and the intensity of local intermediate ion formation (LIIF) in the atmosphere at the ecosystem scale. The LIIF can be approximated as the number concentration of negative intermediate ions in 2.0-2.3 nm
- size range (Tuovinen et al., 2024), to which the aerosol formation at 3-6 nm size range is proportional (Kulmala et al., 2024). The survival probability of small aerosol particles, which



85



describes the probability of a single particle growing to a certain size without being scavenged, is generally high for particles from 6 nm to CCN size in rural and remote environments (Kulmala et al., 2024; Stolzenburg et al., 2023). The local contributions of certain ecosystems to regional aerosol formation can thus be quantified by LIIF.

This study utilized long-term datasets of intermediate ion concentrations and CO₂ fluxes from various boreal and hemi-boreal ecosystems across Finland and in Estonia. In summary, four forests, one open peatland, three agricultural fields, one urban garden, and one coastal site were investigated. The negative intermediate ion concentrations and CO₂ fluxes for these ecosystems

90 were compared in different seasons with a focus on the summer. Based on the CarbonSink+ potential concept (Kulmala et al., 2024), the potential of these ecosystems to mitigate climate warming regarding CO₂ uptake and aerosol production is discussed.

2. Method

2.1 Site description

- 95 In this study, various ecosystem types, including forests, open peatland, agricultural fields, coastal area, and an urban garden were studied (Figure 1; Table 1). All stations are utilizing the SMEAR (Station for Measuring Ecosystem-Atmosphere Relations; Hari and Kulmala, 2005) concept. The detailed location, ecosystem type, meteorological characteristics and soil type for each site are presented in Table 1. The SMEAR I in Värriö in northern Finland and SMEAR II in Hyytiälä in
- 100 southern Finland are forest sites both dominated by Scots pine (Kulmala et al., 2019; Kolari et al., 2022), while the forests in Ränskälänkorpi and SMEAR Estonia at Järvselja are mixtures of coniferous and broadleaf trees (Table 1). While Hyytiälä and Värriö are upland forests, i.e., growing on mineral soil, Ränskälänkorpi is a drained-peatland forest (Laurila et al., 2021) and Järvselja has a mosaic of drained swamp, drained peat, and leached gleyic pseudo-podzols (Kangur
- 105 et.al., 2021; Noe et al., 2015). Two of the agricultural (SMEAR-Agri) sites, i.e. Haltiala, a cereal cropland and Viikki, a managed grassland which was renewed in 2023 with a cereal crop (Pihlatie et al., in preparation), are located in Helsinki. The third agricultural site, Qvidja, is a managed grassland located in southwest Finland (Heimsch et al., 2021). The SMEAR II site at Siikaneva is an open, pristine peatland site ~5 km southwest from the Hyytiälä forest site (Rinne et al., 2018).
- 110 The SMEAR III at Kumpula, Helsinki is an urban background site and the University of Helsinki





botanical garden, and the city of Helsinki allotment garden are located in the southwest of SMEAR III station with high fraction of vegetation (Järvi et al., 2012). The coastal site is in Tvärminne Zoological Station (TZS). TZS is a 600-ha nature reserve at the Gulf of Finland entrance (northern Baltic Sea), southwest Finland (Virtasalo et al., 2023; Vähä et al., 2024). During the measurement period, the annual mean temperature for these sites ranged between 0.4 and 7.2°C, while the annual

115 period, the annual mean temperature for these sites ranged between 0.4 and 7.2°C, while the annual precipitation ranged between 500 and 750 mm (Table 1). SMEAR Estonia, Tvärminne, and Qvidja belong to hemi-boreal climate, while the other ecosystems are characterized by boreal climate.



120 Figure 1. Land type distribution across Finland (Copernicus Land Monitoring Service 2018) and the studied sites with their ecosystem type shown.





Stations		Location	Selected period	Mean air tempera- ture (°C)	Rainfall (mm/yr)	Dominant plant species	Peak LAI	Soil type
	Hyytiälä, SMEAR II	61°51'N, 24°17'E	11/2009- 12/2022	4.8	709 ¹	Scots pine and Norway spruce	4.6	Haplic podzol
Forest	Värriö, SMEAR I	67°46'N, 29°35' E	3/2019- 12/2022	0.4	601 ²	Scots pine	3.2	Haplic podzol
	Ränskälän- korpi	61°10'N, 25°16'E	4/2021- 12/2022	5.4	600 ³	Norway spruce, Scots pine, downy birch		Drained peat
	Järvselja	58°16'N, 27°16'E	10/2016- 12/2020	6.8	500-750 ⁴	Birch spe- cies, Scots pine, Nor- way spruce	6	Pseudo podzolic
Agricultural fields	Haltiala, SMEAR Agri	60°16'N, 24°57'E	6/2021- 12/2022	6.5	700 ⁵	Oat	5.5	Silty clay
	Qvidja	60°18'N, 22°24'E	12/2018- 8/2022	7.0	679 ⁶	Timothy, meadow fes- cue	6.2	Clay loam
	Viikki, SMEAR Agri	60°13'N, 25°01'E	7/2022- 6/2023	6.5	792 ⁵	Timothy (2022), Bar- ley (2023)	5.2	Clay loam
Peatland	Siikaneva, SMEAR II	61°50'N, 24°12'E	11/2019- 12/2022	5.0	710 ⁷	Moss and sedges	0.6	Peat
Urban garden	Kumpula, SMEAR III	60°12'N, 24°58'E	5/2016- 12/2022	6.3 ⁵	7315	Mixed		
Coastal area	Tvärminne	59°51'N, 23°15'E	6/2022- 8/2023	7.25	639 ⁵	Seagrass and seaweed		Sedi- ments

Table 1. Meteorological and other main characteristics of the studied sites.

¹Neefjes et al. (2022); ²Kulmala et al. (2019); ³ Laurila et al. (2021); ⁴Noe et al. (2015); ⁵Finnish Meterology Institute,
 only data at the same calendar year of selected period and same or nearby stations as NAIS and eddy covariance measurements were applied; ⁶ Heimsch et al. (2021); ⁷Rinne et al. (2018); ---- data not available.





2.2 Atmospheric measurements: intermediate ions, CO2 flux, and meteorological parameters

The number concentration of ions and particles and net ecosystem exchange of CO2 (NEE) were

- 130 measured using a Neutral cluster and air ion spectrometer (NAIS, Airel Ltd; Mirme and Mirme, 2013) and eddy covariance method (Aubinet et al., 1999), respectively. The meteorological data, e.g., air temperature, air humidity, and photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD), were measured simultaneously at same heights with the eddy covariance setup. If the meteorological measurement at the same height was not available, it was replaced by the one from the nearest height. The types
- 135 of analysers and detectors at each site are listed in Table S1. The NAIS is capable of continuous monitoring of ion and total particle concentrations and size distributions over the diameter range of 0.8-42 nm. The ions can be divided into three different size ranges, namely small ions (also named as cluster ions) in sub-2 nm size range, intermediate ions (2-7 nm), and large ions (>7 nm; Tammet et al., 2014). The time resolution was set to five
- 140 minutes to optimize signal-to-noise ratio (Mirme and Mirme, 2013). The data were cleaned and quality-checked, considering e.g. the potential interference of rainfall and snow events on the measurements (Manninen et al., 2016). The ion and total particle concentration were further averaged over half an hour.

In this study, we identified the concentration of negative intermediate ions, specifically within the

- range of 2.0-2.3 nm (N_{neg}), as an indicator of the local intermediate ion formation (LIIF). It is important to note that the intensity of LIIF can serve as an estimate of the local contribution to the regional NPF (Kulmala et al., 2024). It has been observed that N_{neg} displays distinct difference between new particle formation and non-formation periods of intermediate ions (2-7 nm; Tuovinen et al., 2024), thereby making N_{neg} a reliable indicator of LIIF. Moreover, the measurement of
- 150 negative intermediate ions between 2.0 and 2.3 nm by NAIS provides a relatively high degree of accuracy, and their footprints are constrained within the ecosystem scale (sub-1 km; Tuovinen et al., 2024; Kulmala et al., 2024). Moreover, the median values of N_{neg} between 00:00 and 06:00, i.e. outside the active hours of the ecosystem, were taken as the background concentration at each site. The background value of N_{neg} was calculated separately for each season. A narrower time
- 155 window for background concentration compared to the one proposed by Aliaga et al. (2023), 21:00-06:00, was applied due to the more northern site Värriö with longer day length in the summer in this study. We then calculated the changes of N_{neg} (ΔN_{neg}) by subtracting the background concentration in each season from N_{neg} . The diurnal variation of median ΔN_{neg} were





presented together with N_{neg} (Section 3). The use of ΔN_{neg} was assumed to eliminate the influence of background clustering at different sites, so that it reflects the intensity of negative intermediate ion production from the specific ecosystem.

The eddy covariance measurement of CO_2 fluxes is based on the turbulence theory, i.e. assumption that the turbulent flux remains relatively stable in a constant flux layer above the canopy (Lee and Hu, 2002), and it is equal to the covariance of vertical wind speed and ambient CO_2 concentration

- 165 in flat and horizontally homogeneous surface (Aubinet et al., 1999). The measurement system requires a fast-response analyser of the CO₂ concentration (10 Hz) and 3-D sonic anemometer. The raw eddy covariance 10 Hz-data were pre-processed with standard steps, including despiking, detrending, dilution correction and 2-D coordinate rotation (Aubinet et al., 1999). The fluxes were further lag-time adjusted and corrected for spectral loss (Aubinet et al., 1999). Either EddyUH
- 170 (Mammarella et al., 2016) or EddyPro (Fratini and Mauder, 2014), or the program introduced by Heimsch et al. (2021) were applied for the pre-processing for one site. The processed fluxes were accepted only if they met the stationarity and developed turbulence criterion (Foken and Wichura, 1996) exceeding the site-specific friction velocity thresholds (Table S1). The quality-checked CO₂ fluxes at the forest sites were further partitioned into gross primary production (GPP) and
- ecosystem respiration (R) using site-specific dependence of R on the air and/or soil temperature and GPP on the PPFD and air and/or soil temperature (Kulmala et al., 2019).

2.3 Data selection criteria

In this study, the analyses were restricted to periods when both negative intermediate ion concentration and NEE were available (Table 1). Therefore, different time periods were applied

- 180 for each of different sites. For Hyytiälä, Värriö, Järvselja, Qvidja, Siikaneva, and Kumpula sites, the long-term data were available for more than 3 years. At Hyytiälä, 12 years of continuous observations were used. For the sites with recently established atmospheric measurement, Tvärminne, Ränskälänkorpi, Haltiala and Viikki data were available for approximately one to one and a half years. In total, 35 site-years of data were utilized in this study. As we focused on
- 185 the potential of the ecosystem to uptake CO₂ and form intermediate ions, the inter-annual variation at the sites was not discussed in this study (Kulmala et al., 2019; Alekseychik et al., 2021; Heimsch et al., 2021).



205



Due to the thinning of Hyytiälä forest in the beginning of year 2020, when 30% of tree basal area was removed (Aalto et al., 2023), data from that year were discarded from the analyses to exclude

- 190 the immediate thinning effect on the studied variables. In the Ränskälänkorpi forest, the western part of the site was selectively harvested (~60% of basal area removed) and the eastern part of the site was clear-cut in the spring and summer of 2021 with control site left in the middle. The NAIS equipment was located in the border between the control and clear-out. The location was ~230 m east from the eddy covariance tower located in the border between control and selective harvested
- 195 sites. In this study, only data with wind blowing from the selective harvested site from the west (WD>180°) and wind speed higher than 2 m s⁻¹, were considered. Note that carbon removed from the site in harvested tree biomass is not accounted in the measured flux of CO₂. At Kumpula site, data from the garden area, i.e., 180°-320°, were utilized (Järvi et al., 2012).
- At the agricultural sites, the management activity is relatively intense and can distinctly influence the CO₂ fluxes (Heimsch et al., 2021). Note that the carbon removed in harvested crop biomass and the carbon added to the site in fertilizers do not directly contribute to the measured net flux of CO₂. For the Qvidja site, only measurements from wind direction between 0° and 30° or 140° and 360° were included to avoid interference from the nearby experimental areas. Similarly, at the Viikki site, only measurements from wind direction between 145° and 245° were included in the
- The open peatland at Siikaneva is surrounded by forests. By applying a footprint model (Kljun et al., 2015), 90% of the CO₂ flux footprint is within \sim 200 m from the measurement tower, i.e., constrained within the peatland. At the coastal Tvärminne site, the NAIS instrument trailer is on the shore, and the eddy covariance mast is on an island, \sim 110 m east of the shore. Only data with
- 210 wind direction from 95° to 165° and from 205° to 240°, i.e., from the coastal water without being disturbed by trees on nearby islands, were included in the analysis at this site.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Comparison of momentary NEE in different ecosystems

analysis to avoid data from other nearby fields.

The diurnal variation of NEE between the studied forests, urban garden area, agricultural fields, open peatland, and coastal site in spring (MAM) and summer (JJA) are presented in Figures 2-4.





The corresponding comparison in the autumn (SON) and winter (DJF) are presented in Figures S1-S3.

For the forest sites, the hemi-boreal Järvselja site tended to have the highest net CO_2 uptake rate (absolute values of NEE when it is negative) at midday (10:00-14:00) in both spring and summer.

- 220 The median net CO₂ uptake rate at midday in Järvselja forest reached 12.2 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in summer. The lowest net CO₂ uptake rate at midday was found in the northern Värriö site, with the median being 4.69 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. This difference may be due to the higher air temperature in the hemiboreal Estonian site and lower temperature at Värriö (Figure S4), as the ecosystem productivity at high latitudes in Europe is typically temperature limited (Yi et al., 2010).
- In summer, the net CO₂ uptake rate in the urban garden area at Kumpula was comparable with the drained peatland forest in Ränskälänkorpi. In the other seasons, the urban garden area was a net source of CO₂ most of the time, similar to the results previously reported for the years 2006-2010 from the same site (Järvi et al., 2012).





230



Figure 2. The 50th percentile (a), 25th percentile (b), and mean values (c) of NEE at each hour for the forest sites and urban garden in spring (MAM) and the corresponding 50th percentile, 25th percentile, and mean values in summer (JJA), (d), (e), (f), respectively.

In the case of agricultural fields in summer (Figure 3), the Haltiala site had higher momentary net CO₂ uptake than the other two agricultural sites. Notably, in spring, the croplands in Viikki and Haltiala were net sources of CO₂, while the grassland in Qvidja was a CO₂ sink during daytime with a similar uptake rate to the Hyytiälä forest. The different plant species (Table 1) and management activities between the agricultural fields likely caused the differences in their seasonal CO₂ fluxes. The upper quartile of the momentary net CO₂ uptake, i.e., absolute values of 25th percentile NEE, was also about two times higher in Haltiala cropland than in Hyytiälä forest

in summer. The midday momentary net CO₂ uptake rate in Viikki cropland was slightly higher than that in Hyytiälä forest, while that in Qvidja agricultural grassland was slightly lower than in

Hyytiälä. It is also important to note that the harvests of plant biomass decreased local carbon



250



storage which was not accounted for in the measured CO_2 fluxes. Qvidja and Viikki agricultural sites were harvested twice in summer and the harvest in Haltiala cropland was done only at the end of the growing season, whereas the typical rotation length in managed boreal are 60-100 years in Southern Finland.



Figure 3. The 50th percentile (a), 25th percentile (b), and mean values (c) of NEE at each hour for
the agricultural fields in spring (MAM) and the corresponding 50th percentile, 25th percentile, and mean values, (d), (e), (f), in summer (JJA), respectively.

The CO_2 uptake rate and respiration rate (nighttime CO_2 fluxes) in the open peatland and coastal area (Figure 4) were much lower than those in the agricultural fields and forests during spring and

260 summer. Still, the Siikaneva peatland remained a weak net sink of CO₂ during daytimes in all the seasons except in winter. The midday NEE at Tvärminne were -0.26 and 0.01 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ in spring and summer, respectively. Hence, net CO₂ uptake possibly appears in spring in this Baltic coastal area under certain conditions, i.e., when the partial pressure of CO₂ in the water is lower





than that in the air (Roth et al., 2023). This may be induced by phytoplankton and submerged vegetation CO₂ uptake in the spring (Roth et al., 2023).



Figure 4. The 50th percentile(a), 25th percentile (b), and mean values (c) of NEE at each hour for
the peatland and coastal area in spring (MAM) and the corresponding 50th percentile, 25th percentile, and mean values in summer (JJA), respectively.

Additionally, the Ränskälänkorpi and Järvselja forests turned into a CO₂ source 1-2 hours earlier in the late afternoon of summer than the other two forests (Figure 2). Note that the soil at
Ränskälänkorpi and Järvselja is mainly drained peatland and water-logged soil (Table 1), respectively, which is indicated by high organic carbon content (Laurila et al., 2021; Noe et al., 2015). The higher air temperature and soil organic carbon content may drive higher respiration at the two sites, which is reflected in the nighttime fluxes (Figure 2). Hence, even though the GPP at Järvselja and Ränskälänkorpi in the late afternoon were close to that at Hyytiälä forest (Figure 5),





280 net emissions of CO₂, i.e., positive NEE values, were observed at these two forest sites in the earlier and later hours of the day.



Figure 5. The 50th percentile (a), 75th percentiles (b), and mean values (c) of GPP at each hour for the forest sites in spring (MAM) and the corresponding 50th percentile, 75th percentile, and mean values in summer (JJA), (d), (e), (f), respectively.

3.2 Comparison of negative intermediate ion concentrations across different ecosystems

- 290 The comparison of N_{neg} between different ecosystems in spring and summer are presented in Figures 6-8. It was assumed that negative intermediate ions at 2.0-2.3 nm can describe how efficiently the ecosystem can produce new aerosol particles (Kulmala et al., 2024; Tuovinen et al., 2024). The corresponding values of N_{neg} in autumn and winter were much lower than those in spring and summer (Figures S5-S7). The median values of N_{neg} in the daytime in spring were
- 295 higher than those in the Haltiala and Viikki croplands, Siikaneva peatland, and Kumpula urban





garden area. At the other sites, summer median values were higher. In contrast, the difference between 75th and 50th percentile of N_{neg} in spring was higher than that in summer in all the studied sites. The larger upper quartile deviation of N_{neg} in spring implied that the LFII were either more frequent or stronger in spring than in summer at all the sites (Dal Maso et al., 2005; Dada et al.,

300 2018; Nieminen et al., 2018). For all the sites, the diurnal variation of negative intermediate ions in spring and summer was clear, i.e., a distinct peak in the daytime. In the winter, the diurnal cycle of N_{neg} was not visible in any of the studied sites (Figures S6-S8). This agrees with the observation that the global radiation and air temperature are observed to correlate positively with concentration of negative intermediate ions at 2-4 nm in the Hyytiälä boreal forest (Neefjes et al., 2022).

305



Figure 6. The 50th percentile (a) and 75th percentile (b) of negative intermediate ions (N_{neg}) at 2.02.3 nm (N_{neg}) at each hour and the daily fluctuations of N_{neg} (c) for the forests and urban garden in spring (MAM) and the corresponding 50th percentile, 75th percentile, and normalized concentration for median values in summer (JJA), (d), (e), (f), respectively.







Figure 7. The 50th and 75th percentile (b) of negative intermediate ions (N_{neg}) at 2.0-2.3 nm at each hour and the daily fluctuations of N_{neg} (c) for the agricultural fields in spring (MAM) and the corresponding 50th percentile, 75th percentile and normalized concentration for median values, (d), (e), (f), in summer (JJA), respectively.





320



Figure 8. The 50th percentile (a) and 75th percentile (b) of negative intermediate ions (N_{neg}) at 2.0-2.3 nm at each hour and the daily fluctuations of N_{neg} (c) for the peatland and coastal area in spring (MAM) and the corresponding 50th percentile, 75th percentile, and normalized concentration for 325 median values in summer (JJA), (d), (e), (f), respectively.

The daily fluctuations of N_{neg} (ΔN_{neg}) were calculated by subtracting the background concentration from N_{neg} in each season (Section 2.2). In spring, median ΔN_{neg} in the midday for the forests ranged

- between 0.8 and 2.0 cm⁻³ (Table S2), with the lowest value in Järvselja and the highest value in 330 Hyytiälä forest. The midday mean ΔN_{neg} at the Kumpula urban garden area was 4.9 cm⁻³, which was higher than in any of the studied forests. The presence of more abundant nucleation precursors at the Kumpula urban area may facilitate the ion formation (Nieminen et al., 2018). In summer, ΔN_{neg} decreased compared to spring at all the sites except Siikaneva peatland and Tvärminne coastal areas. Seasonal changes in the clustering precursors and their dependence on air
- 335





It is notable that all the agricultural sites had higher midday ΔN_{neg} than the forest sites in spring, varying between 2.3 and 7.7 cm⁻³. The application of fertilizers in agricultural fields is known to remarkably increase the atmospheric concentration of ammonia (NH₃) (Olin et al., 2022). NH₃ can

- 340 stabilize the critical clusters in the nucleation process driven by sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) (Kulmala et al., 2013). H₂SO₄ in the air is majorly formed by oxidation of sulphur dioxide, which can be transported from a longer range than the intermediate ions. However, the frequency of NPF events was found not to increase after the fertilization in Qvidja grasslands (Dada et al., 2023). Similarly, the frequency of daytime NPF events did not correlate with agriculture activities in a cropland in
- 345 France (Kammer et al., 2023). Dada et al. (2023) observed that NH₃, H₂SO₄, and low volatile organic compounds originating from BVOC oxidation play a synergistic role in clustering in Qvidja, resulting in a higher formation rate and number concentration of particles than in Hyytiälä forest. Note that since the Haltiala and Viikki croplands are located in Helsinki, the nucleation precursors and thereby the nucleation rate may be enhanced by anthropogenic pollution in the city.
- 350 The exact reasons why there were higher N_{neg} and ΔN_{neg} at these agricultural sites require more measurement of the clustering precursors.

Furthermore, in spring and summer, the night-time N_{neg} increased again at around 20:00 for all the sites, suggesting a ubiquitous nighttime clustering in warm seasons (Mazon et al., 2016). Moreover, in summer, the 75th percentile of nighttime N_{neg} at Viikki was comparable with the daytime N_{neg} .

The decreased boundary layer height (Chen et al., 2016; Neefjes et al., 2022), especially in clear nights, may also facilitate the accumulation of formed clusters and eventually lead to the nighttime peak.

3.3 Potential of different ecosystems to contribute to CO₂ uptake and negative intermediate ion production

- 360 Since we aimed to compare the potential of ecosystems for net CO_2 uptake and local production of negative intermediate ions (LIIF), the most active periods for the ecosystem plants are discussed in detail in this section, i.e., midday in summertime. The potential of the studied ecosystems for net CO_2 uptake and LIIF at midday during summertime are listed in Table 2. For median values in summer, N_{neg} was found to be highest in the urban garden, followed by the agricultural fields
- 365 (Figure 9). The agricultural fields generally had higher N_{neg} than the studied forests. The open peatland had lower N_{neg} than Hyytiälä forest but higher than the other forests. The N_{neg} at the





coastal area was the lowest. The momentary net CO_2 uptake rate at midday in summer was highest in agricultural fields, followed by the forests. The urban garden in this study displayed distinct net CO_2 uptake, lower than the forests and higher than the open peatland. The coastal area at midday

- 370 in summer was a very weak CO₂ sink. In the urban garden area in Kumpula, median N_{neg} was 2.2 times of that in Hyytiälä forest, while the median NEE only reached 63% of that in Hyytiälä forest. The variation of momentary NEE and N_{neg} were distinct even between a similar type of ecosystem in a similar latitude, e.g., within forests and agricultural fields. For forests, the most southern Järvselja had the highest net CO₂ uptake rate, while the median N_{neg} in the midday in summer was
- 375 similar to Ränskälänkorpi and 53% of that in Hyytiälä forest. Hyytiälä forest had higher N_{neg} than the other forests. For agricultural sites, the net CO₂ uptake rate at Qvidja and Viikki were close to that in Hyytiälä forest, while it was much higher in Haltiala croplands than in Hyytiälä forest. On the contrary, the N_{neg} were highest in Qvidja between the three agricultural sites, and median N_{neg} in the other two sites were slightly smaller than in Hyytiälä forest.
- Another potent greenhouse gas, methane (CH₄) can be emitted through microbial activities in anoxic conditions, e.g., peatlands and coastal areas (Mathijssen et al., 2022; Roth et al., 2023). Considering that CH₄ has a sustained-flux global warming potential 45 times of CO₂ over 100 years (Roth et al., 2023; and the reference therein), the net CO₂ equivalent emission of CH₄ is estimated 2.5-8.6 times of CO₂ uptake in Siikaneva peatland (Mathijssen et al., 2022). CH₄
- emissions may largely compensate the CO₂ uptake in open and non-ditched peatlands. Similarly, the emission of CH₄ from coastal environment around Baltic Sea may offset 28% of the CO₂ sink in macroalgae-dominated coastal area (Roth et al., 2023). For ions, the summertime midday median N_{neg} at the peatland in Siikaneva was 77% of that in Hyytiälä forests (Table 2). As the open peatland is surrounded by forest within 1 km, the negative ion at 2.0-2.3 nm may be influenced by
- nearby forests. Also, the terpene emissions from the peatlands can initiate stronger NPF than in the Hyytiälä boreal forest (Junninen et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2024). However, these events were majorly reported to occur at late evening.
 The CarbonSink+ potential, especially CO₂ uptake, may largely vary within agricultural fields in

Finland. Agricultural fields may be highly productive in local formation of negative intermediate

ions, affected by their vegetation and management practises. However, considering the much larger area of forests in Finland than that of agricultural fields (Table 2), boreal forests in Finland





in total are likely the largest contributor of climate cooling when considering the CO_2 uptake and local new particle formation.





Figure 9. Comparison of NEE and negative intermediate ions at 2.0-2.3 nm at midday in summer between the sites. The error bars for x axis are 10th and 25th percentile for NEE, while they are 75th and 90th percentile of the negative intermediate ions at each site for y axis.





- 404 Table 2. Comparison of NEE and negative intermediate ions at 2.0-2.3 nm size range across
- 405 the hemi-boreal and boreal ecosystems at midday (10:00-14:00) in summer.

Ecosystem	Site	Area in Finland (ha)	Median N _{neg} (1/cm ³)	Median N _{neg} /median N _{neg, Hyytiälä}	75^{th} percentile $N_{\text{ncg}}/75^{\text{th}}$ percentile N_{ncg} , Hyytiälä	Midday NEE (μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Median NEE/ median NEE _{Hyytiälä}	25 th percentile NEE/25 th percentile NEE _{Hyytiälä}
Forest	Hyytiälä	20.3 million ^a	3.27	1	1	-11.84	1	1
	Värriö		2.18	0.67	0.87	-4.69	0.4	0.52
	Järvselja		1.72	0.53	0.58	-12.23	1.03	1.15
Drained peatland forest	Ränskälänk orpi	4.2 million ^a	1.74	0.53	0.57	-6.35	0.54	0.61
Agricultural field	Haltiala	2.3 millionª	3.08	0.94	1.06	-19.69	1.66	1.88
	Qvidja		3.32	1.01	1.17	-8.37	0.71	0.86
	Viikki		2.88	0.88	0.97	-13.52	1.14	1.13
Open peatland	Siikaneva	0.21 million ^c	2.51	0.77	0.85	-3.65	0.31	0.31
Urban garden area	Kumpula		7.33	2.24	2.86	-7.44	0.63	0.73
Coastal area	Tvärminne		1.46	0.45	0.53	0.01	0.00	0.01

406 ^a Natural Resources Institute Finland 2022; ^b The area of oligotrophic open fens (Turunen and Valpola 2020);

407 ----- data not available

408

409 4. Conclusions

The CarbonSink+ potential concept was established recently and provides a direct comparison of local contribution to CO_2 uptake and aerosol formation at ecosystem scale. The value of negative intermediate ion concentration at 2.0-2.3 nm size range (N_{neg}) was applied as an indicator of the corresponding contribution of each ecosystem to produce new aerosol particles which, after their subsequent growth to larger sizes, are able to cool the atmosphere in a regional scale. Following this concept, net ecosystem CO_2 exchange fluxes (NEE) and N_{neg} were analysed in ten hemi-boreal and boreal ecosystems in Finland and Estonia. The boreal





- 417 forest in Hyytiälä was chosen as a reference site, to which the values of NEE and N_{neg} at all
- 418 other sites were all compared.
- The results showed that the agricultural fields had similar or even higher CO₂ uptake potential 419 420 compared to Hyytiälä forest during the summer. Note that the decreased carbon storage due to 421 harvest in the fields was not taken into account in this study. A distinct CO2 uptake in the urban garden at midday in summer was observed, lower than that in Hyytiälä forest but higher than 422 observed in the open peatland. The coastal area considered in this study remained a very small 423 424 CO_2 source during summertime. The differences in N_{neg} between the studied sites were not as 425 large as those in NEE. Ubiquitous nighttime clustering was observed across the ecosystems. At midday in summer, N_{neg} was highest in the urban garden, followed by the agricultural fields. 426 427 The coastal area had the lowest N_{neg} . The forest sites generally had lower N_{neg} than the 428 agricultural sites. The Nneg in the open peatland was lower than Hyytiälä forest but higher than 429 other studied forests. Note that the urban garden and agricultural sites in Helsinki might be more influenced by air pollution compared to the forests and open peatland that were 430 background sites. Overall, considering the large area of forests in Finland and Estonia, the 431 432 forests in total have the largest potential of climate cooling when considering the CO₂ uptake 433 and local new particle formation.

434 Data availability

435 Measurement data at the sites, including ions data, eddy covariance data and meteorological
436 data, are available upon request from the corresponding author before the relevant databases
437 are open to the public.

438 Author contributions

ST, JL, and RT were responsible for the ion measurements. PS, AL, MP, AL, MK, HR, LH,
AV, IM, and SN were responsible for the eddy covariance measurement and analysed the raw
data. MK designed the study. PKe, AL, PKo, TN, OP, EE, TK, JB, VMK, and MK analysed
the data and interpreted the results. PKe prepared the firs-draft paper. All authors contributed
to discussion of the results and provided input for the paper.





444 Competing interests

445 The authors declare no competing interests.

446 Acknowledgement

We acknowledge the following projects: ACCC Flagship funded by the Academy of Finland grant 447 448 number 337549 (UH) and 337552 (FMI), Academy professorship funded by the Academy of Finland 449 (grant no. 302958), Academy of Finland projects no. 1325656, 311932, 334792, 316114, 325647, 450 325681, 339489, the Strategic Research Council (SRC) at the Academy of Finland (352431), Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation, "Gigacity" project funded by Wihuri foundation, European Research Council 451 (ERC) project ATM-GTP (742206), and European Union via Non-CO2 Forcers and their Climate, 452 453 Weather, Air Quality and Health Impacts (FOCI). This project has received funding from the European 454 Union - NextGenerationEU instrument and is funded by the Research Council of Finland under grant 455 number 347782. University of Helsinki support via ACTRIS-HY is acknowledged. Support of the technical and scientific staff in all sites are acknowledged. For SMEAR Estonia we acknowledge the 456 457 Estonian Research Council Grant PRG 1674, the Estonian Environmental Investment Centre (KIK) 458 project number 18392 and the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme 459 (grant agreement no. 871115) ACTRIS IMP. INAR research infrastructure (RI), ICOS RI, ACTRIS RI 460 and eLTER RI are gratefully acknowledged for the continuous ecosystem-atmosphere measurements used in this study. 461

462 **Reference**

Aalto, J., Anttila, V., Kolari, P., Korpela, I., Isotalo, A., Levula, J., Schiestl-Aalto, P., and Bäck, J.,
Hyytiälä SMEAR II Forest year 2020 thinning tree and carbon inventory data [dataset].
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7639833.

Aliaga, D., Tuovinen, S., Zhang, T., Lampilahti, J., Li, X., Ahonen, L., Kokkonen, T., Nieminen, T.,
Hakala, S., Paasonen, P., Bianchi, F., Worsnop, D., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Nanoparticle

Hakala, S., Paasonen, P., Bianchi, F., Worsnop, D., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Nanoparticle
ranking analysis: determining new particle formation (NPF) event occurrence and intensity based on

- the concentration spectrum of formed (sub-5 nm) particles, Aerosol. Res, 1, 81-92,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/ar-1-81-2023, 2023.
- 471 Artaxo, P., Hansson, H.-C., Andreae, M. O., Bäck, J., Alves, E. G., Barbosa, H. M. J., Bender, F.,
- 472 Bourtsoukidis, E., Carbone, S., Chi, J., Decesari, S., Després, V. R., Ditas, F., Ezhova, E., Fuzzi, S.,
- 473 Hasselquist, N. J., Heintzenberg, J., Holanda, B. A., Guenther, A., Hakola, H., Heikkinen, L., Kerminen,
- 474 V.-M., Kontkanen, J., Krejci, R., Kulmala, M., Lavric, J. V., De Leeuw, G., Lehtipalo, K., Machado, L.





- 475 A. T., McFiggans, G., Franco, M. A. M., Meller, B. B., Morais, F. G., Mohr, C., Morgan, W., Nilsson,
- 476 M. B., Peichl, M., Petäjä, T., Praß, M., Pöhlker, C., Pöhlker, M. L., Pöschl, U., Von Randow, C.,
- 477 Riipinen, I., Rinne, J., Rizzo, L. V., Rosenfeld, D., Silva Dias, M. A. F., Sogacheva, L., Stier, P.,
- 478 Swietlicki, E., Sörgel, M., Tunved, P., Virkkula, A., Wang, J., Weber, B., Yáñez-Serrano, A. M., Zieger,
- 479 P., Mikhailov, E., Smith, J. N., and Kesselmeier, J.: Tropical and Boreal Forest Atmosphere
- Interactions: A Review, Tellus B Chem. Phys. Meteorol, 74, 24, https://doi.org/10.16993/tellusb.34,
 2022.
- 482 Aubinet, M., Grelle, A., Ibrom, A., Rannik, Ü., Moncrieff, J., Foken, T., Kowalski, A. S., Martin, P. H.,
- 483 Berbigier, P., Bernhofer, C., Clement, R., Elbers, J., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Morgenstern, K.,
- 484 Pilegaard, K., Rebmann, C., Snijders, W., Valentini, R., and Vesala, T., 1999. Estimates of the Annual
- 485 Net Carbon and Water Exchange of Forests: The EUROFLUX Methodology, in: Advances in
- 486 Ecological Research, edited by: Fitter, A. H., and Raffaelli, D. G., Academic Press, 113-175,
- 487 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504(08)60018-5, 1999.
- Bao, X. X., Zhou, W. Q., Xu, L. L., and Zheng, Z.: A meta-analysis on plant volatile organic compound
 emissions of different plant species and responses to environmental stress, Environ. Pollut, 318,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.120886, 2023.
- 491 Chang, J. F., Ciais, P., Gasser, T., Smith, P., Herrero, M., Havlik, P., Obersteiner, M., Guenet, B., Goll,
- 492 D. S., Li, W., Naipal, V., Peng, S. S., Qiu, C. J., Tian, H. Q., Viovy, N., Yue, C., and Zhu, D.: Climate
- 493 warming from managed grasslands cancels the cooling effect of carbon sinks in sparsely grazed and
- 494 natural grasslands, Nat. Commun, 12, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20406-7, 2021.
- 495 Chen, X., Kerminen, V.-M., Paatero, J., Paasonen, P., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Petäjä, T., and
- 496 Kulmala, M.: How do air ions reflect variations in ionising radiation in the lower atmosphere in a boreal
- 497 forest?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 14297-14315, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-14297-2016, 2016.
- 498 Dada, L., Chellapermal, R., Buenrostro Mazon, S., Paasonen, P., Lampilahti, J., Manninen, H. E.,
- 499 Junninen, H., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: Refined classification and characterization
- 500 of atmospheric new-particle formation events using air ions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17883-17893,
- 501 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17883-2018, 2018.
- 502 Dada, L., Okuljar, M., Shen, J., Olin, M., Heimsch, L., Wu, Y., Baalbaki, R., Lampimäki, M.,
- 503 Kankaanrinta, S., Herlin, I., Kalliokoski, J., Lohila, A., Petäjä, T., Dal Maso, M., Duplissy, J., Kerminen,
- 504 V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Synergistic role of sulfuric acid, ammonia and organics in particle formation
- 505 over an agricultural land, Environ. Sci. Atmos, https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ea00065f, 2023.
- 506 Dal Maso, M., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Wagner, R., Hussein, T., Aalto, P. P., and Lehtinen, K.:
- 507 Formation and growth of fresh atmospheric aerosols: eight years of aerosol size distribution data from
- 508 SMEAR II, Hyytiälä, Finland, Boreal Environ. Res., 10, 323-336, 2005.





- 509 Ezhova, E., Ylivinkka, I., Kuusk, J., Komsaare, K., Vana, M., Krasnova, A., Noe, S., Arshinov, M.,
- 510 Belan, B., Park, S.-B., Lavrič, J. V., Heimann, M., Petäjä, T., Vesala, T., Mammarella, I., Kolari, P.,
- 511 Bäck, J., Rannik, Ü., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Direct effect of aerosols on solar radiation
- 512 and gross primary production in boreal and hemiboreal forests, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17863–17881,
- 513 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17863-2018, 2018.
- 514 Finnish Meteorological Institute. Download observations. Available at:
 515 https://en.ilmatieteenlaitos.fi/download-observations (Accessed: [2024-02-26]).
- 516 Foken, T. and Wichura, B.: Tools for quality assessment of surface-based flux measurements, Agric.
- 517 For. Meteorol., 78, 83-105, https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1923(95)02248-1, 1996.
- 518 Fratini, G. and Mauder, M.: Towards a consistent eddy-covariance processing: an intercomparison of
- 519 EddyPro and TK3, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 2273-2281, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-2273-2014, 2014.
- 520 Friedlingstein, P., O'Sullivan, M., Jones, M. W., Andrew, R. M., Gregor, L., Hauck, J., Le Quéré, C.,
- 521 Luijkx, I. T., Olsen, A., Peters, G. P., Peters, W., Pongratz, J., Schwingshackl, C., Sitch, S., Canadell,
- 522 J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Alin, S. R., Alkama, R., Arneth, A., Arora, V. K., Bates, N. R., Becker,
- 523 M., Bellouin, N., Bittig, H. C., Bopp, L., Chevallier, F., Chini, L. P., Cronin, M., Evans, W., Falk, S.,
- 524 Feely, R. A., Gasser, T., Gehlen, M., Gkritzalis, T., Gloege, L., Grassi, G., Gruber, N., Gürses, Ö.,
- 525 Harris, I., Hefner, M., Houghton, R. A., Hurtt, G. C., Iida, Y., Ilyina, T., Jain, A. K., Jersild, A., Kadono,
- 526 K., Kato, E., Kennedy, D., Klein Goldewijk, K., Knauer, J., Korsbakken, J. I., Landschützer, P., Lefèvre,
- 527 N., Lindsay, K., Liu, J., Liu, Z., Marland, G., Mayot, N., McGrath, M. J., Metzl, N., Monacci, N. M.,
- 528 Munro, D. R., Nakaoka, S.-I., Niwa, Y., O'Brien, K., Ono, T., Palmer, P. I., Pan, N., Pierrot, D., Pocock,
- 529 K., Poulter, B., Resplandy, L., Robertson, E., Rödenbeck, C., Rodriguez, C., Rosan, T. M., Schwinger,
- 530 J., Séférian, R., Shutler, J. D., Skjelvan, I., Steinhoff, T., Sun, Q., Sutton, A. J., Sweeney, C., Takao, S.,
- 531 Tanhua, T., Tans, P. P., Tian, X., Tian, H., Tilbrook, B., Tsujino, H., Tubiello, F., Van Der Werf, G. R.,
- 532 Walker, A. P., Wanninkhof, R., Whitehead, C., Willstrand Wranne, A., Wright, R., Yuan, W., Yue, C.,
- 533 Yue, X., Zaehle, S., Zeng, J., and Zheng, B.: Global Carbon Budget 2022, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 14,
- 534 4811-4900, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-4811-2022, 2022.
- 535 Gordon, H., Kirkby, J., Baltensperger, U., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Curtius, J., Dias, A.,
- 536 Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., Dunne, E. M., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Frege, C., Fuchs,
- 537 C., Hansel, A., Hoyle, C. R., Kulmala, M., Kürten, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Molteni, U.,
- 538 Rissanen, M. P., Stozkhov, Y., Tröstl, J., Tsagkogeorgas, G., Wagner, R., Williamson, C., Wimmer, D.,
- 539 Winkler, P. M., Yan, C., and Carslaw, K. S.: Causes and importance of new particle formation in the
- present-day and preindustrial atmospheres, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 8739-8760,
 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026844, 2017.
- 542 Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang,
- 543 X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended





- and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 1471-1492,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.
- 546 Heimsch, L., Lohila, A., Tuovinen, J.-P., Vekuri, H., Heinonsalo, J., Nevalainen, O., Korkiakoski, M.,
- Liski, J., Laurila, T., and Kulmala, L.: Carbon dioxide fluxes and carbon balance of an agricultural
 grassland in southern Finland, Biogeosciences, 18, 3467-3483, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-34672021, 2021.
- 550 Huang, W., Junninen, H., Garmash, O., Lehtipalo, K., Stolzenburg, D., Lampilahti, J., Ezhova, E.,
- 551 Schallhart, S., Rantala, P., Aliaga, D., Ahonen, L., Sulo, J., Quéléver, L. L. J., Cai, R., Alekseychik, P.,
- 552 Mazon, S. B., Yao, L., M. Blichner, S., Zha, Q., Mammarella, I., Kirkby, J., Kerminen, V.-M., Worsnop,
- 553 D. R., Kulmala, M., and Bianchi, F.: Potential pre-industrial-like new particle formation induced by
- pure biogenic organic vapors in Finnish peatland, Sci. Adv., 10, eadm9191,
 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adm9191,2024.
- IPCC, 2022. Annex I: Glossary, in: Global Warming of 1.5°C: IPCC Special Report on Impacts of
 Global Warming of 1.5°C above Pre-industrial Levels in Context of Strengthening Response to Climate
 Change, Sustainable Development, and Efforts to Eradicate Poverty, edited by: Intergovernmental
 Panel on Climate, C., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 541-562, https://doi.org/
 10.1017/9781009157940.008, 2022.
- 561 Järvi, L., Nordbo, A., Junninen, H., Riikonen, A., Moilanen, J., Nikinmaa, E., and Vesala, T.: Seasonal
- and annual variation of carbon dioxide surface fluxes in Helsinki, Finland, in 2006–2010, Atmos. Chem.
- 563 Phys., 12, 8475-8489, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-8475-2012, 2012.
- Jia, G., Shevliakova, E., Artaxo, P., Noblet-Ducoudré, N. D., Houghton, R., House, J., Kitajima, K., C.
 Lennard, Popp, A., A. Sirin, Sukumar, R., and Verchot, L., 2022. Land–climate interactions, in: Climate
 Change and Land: IPCC Special Report on Climate Change, Desertification, Land Degradation,
 Sustainable Land Management, Food Security, and Greenhouse Gas Fluxes in Terrestrial Ecosystems,
 edited by: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate, C., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 131-248,
 https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157988.004, 2022.
- 570 Junninen, H., Ahonen, L., Bianchi, F., Quéléver, L., Schallhart, S., Dada, L., Manninen, H. E., Leino,
- 571 K., Lampilahti, J., Buenrostro Mazon, S., Rantala, P., Räty, M., Kontkanen, J., Negri, S., Aliaga, D.,
- 572 Garmash, O., Alekseychik, P., Lipp, H., Tamme, K., Levula, J., Sipilä, M., Ehn, M., Worsnop, D.,
- 573 Zilitinkevich, S., Mammarella, I., Rinne, J., Vesala, T., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.:
- 574 Terpene emissions from boreal wetlands can initiate stronger atmospheric new particle formation than
- 575 boreal forests, Commun. Earth Environ., 3, https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00406-9, 2022.
- 576 Kammer, J., Simon, L., Ciuraru, R., Petit, J.-E., Lafouge, F., Buysse, P., Bsaibes, S., Henderson, B.,
- 577 Cristescu, S. M., Durand, B., Fanucci, O., Truong, F., Gros, V., and Loubet, B.: New particle formation





- 578 at a peri-urban agricultural site, Sci. Total Environ., 857, 159370,
 579 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159370, 2023.
- 580 Kangur, A., Nigul, K., Padari, A., Kiviste, A., Korjus, H., Laarmann, D., Põldveer, E., Mitt, R., Frelich,
- 581 L., Jõgiste, K., Stanturf, J., Paluots, T., Kängsepp, V., Jürgenson, H., Noe, S., Sims, A., and Metslaid,
- 582 M.: Composition of live, dead and downed trees in Järvselja old-growth forest, Forestry Studies /
- 583 Metsanduslikud Uurimused, 75, 15-40, https://doi.org/10.2478/fsmu-2021-0009, 2021.
- 584 Kerminen, V.-M., Chen, X., Vakkari, V., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., and Bianchi, F.: Atmospheric new
- 585 particle formation and growth: review of field observations, Environ. Res. Lett., 13, 103003,
- 586 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aadf3c, 2018.
- 587 Kirkby, J., Duplissy, J., Sengupta, K., Frege, C., Gordon, H., Williamson, C., Heinritzi, M., Simon, M.,
- 588 Yan, C., Almeida, J., Tröstl, J., Nieminen, T., Ortega, I. K., Wagner, R., Adamov, A., Amorim, A.,
- 589 Bernhammer, A.-K., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Chen, X., Craven, J., Dias, A., Ehrhart,
- 590 S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Fuchs, C., Guida, R., Hakala, J., Hoyle, C. R., Jokinen, T., Junninen,
- 591 H., Kangasluoma, J., Kim, J., Krapf, M., Kürten, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V.,
- 592 Mathot, S., Molteni, U., Onnela, A., Peräkylä, O., Piel, F., Petäjä, T., Praplan, A. P., Pringle, K., Rap,
- 593 A., Richards, N. A. D., Riipinen, I., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, L., Sarnela, N., Schobesberger, S., Scott,
- 594 C. E., Seinfeld, J. H., Sipilä, M., Steiner, G., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tomé, A., Virtanen, A., Vogel,
- 595 A. L., Wagner, A. C., Wagner, P. E., Weingartner, E., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P. M., Ye, P., Zhang, X.,
- 596 Hansel, A., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., Kulmala, M., Carslaw,
- 597 K. S., and Curtius, J.: Ion-induced nucleation of pure biogenic particles, Nature, 533, 521-526,
- 598 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17953, 2016.
- 599 Kljun, N., Calanca, P., Rotach, M. W., and Schmid, H. P.: A simple two-dimensional parameterisation
- 600 for Flux Footprint Prediction (FFP), Geosci. Model Dev., 8, 3695-3713, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-
- 601 8-3695-2015, 2015.
- 602 Kulmala, M., Ezhova, E., Kalliokoski, T., Noe, S., Vesala, T., Lohila, A., Liski, J., Makkonen, R., Bäck,
- J., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kerminen, P.: CarbonSink+ -Accounting for multiple climate
 feedbacks from forests, Boreal Environ. Res., 25, 145-159, 2020.
- 605 Kulmala, M., Ke, P., Lintunen, A., Peräkylä, O., Lohtander, A., Tuovinen, S., Lampilahti, J., Kolari, P.,
- 606 Schiestl-Aalto, P., Kokkonen, T., Nieminen, T., Dada, L., Ylivinkka, I., Petäjä, T., Bäck, J., Lohila, A.,
- 607 Heimsch, L., Ezhova, E., and Kerminen, V.-M.: A novel concept for assessing the potential of different
- 608 boreal ecosystems to mitigate climate change (CarbonSink+ Potential), Boreal Environ. Res., 29, 2024.
- 609 Kulmala, M., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Petäjä, T.,
- 610 Sipilä, M., Schobesberger, S., Rantala, P., Franchin, A., Jokinen, T., Järvinen, E., Äijälä, M.,
- 611 Kangasluoma, J., Hakala, J., Aalto, P. P., Paasonen, P., Mikkilä, J., Vanhanen, J., Aalto, J., Hakola, H.,





- 612 Makkonen, U., Ruuskanen, T., Mauldin, R. L., Duplissy, J., Vehkamäki, H., Bäck, J., Kortelainen, A.,
- 613 Riipinen, I., Kurtén, T., Johnston, M. V., Smith, J. N., Ehn, M., Mentel, T. F., Lehtinen, K. E. J.,
- 614 Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V.-M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Direct Observations of Atmospheric Aerosol
- 615 Nucleation, Science, 339, 943-946, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1227385, 2013.
- 616 Kulmala, M., Nieminen, T., Nikandrova, A., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H., Kajos, M., Kolari, P., Lauri,
- 617 A., Petaja, T., Krejci, R., Hansson, H.-C., Swietlicki, E., Lindroth, A., Christensen, T. R., Arneth, A.,
- 618 Hari, P., Back, J., Vesala, T., and Kerminen, V.-M.: CO2-induced terrestrial climate feedback
- 619 mechanism: from carbon sink to aerosol source and back, Boreal Environ. Res., 19, 122-131, 2014.
- 620 Kulmala, L., Pumpanen, J., Kolari, P., Dengel, S., Berninger, F., Köster, K., Matkala, L., Vanhatalo,
- 621 A., Vesala, T., and Bäck, J.: Inter- and intra-annual dynamics of photosynthesis differ between forest
- 622 floor vegetation and tree canopy in a subarctic Scots pine stand, Agric. For. Meteorol., 271, 1-11,
- 623 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.02.029, 2019.
- 624 Kulmala, M., Suni, T., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Dal Maso, M., Boy, M., Reissell, A., Rannik, Ü., Aalto, P.,
- 625 Keronen, P., Hakola, H., Bäck, J., Hoffmann, T., Vesala, T., and Hari, P.: A new feedback mechanism
- 626 linking forests, aerosols, and climate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 557-562, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-
- **627** 557-2004, 2004.
- 628 Laurila, T., Aurela, M., Hatakka, J., Hotanen, J.-P., Jauhiainen, J., Korkiakoski, M., Korpela, L.,
- 629 Koskinen, M., Laiho, R., Lehtonen, A., Alder, K., Linkosalmi, M., Salmon, A., Minkkinen, K., Mäkelä,
- 630 T., Mäkiranta, P., Nieminen, M., Ojanen, P., Peltoniemi, M., Penttilä, T., Rainne, J., Rautakoski, H.,
- 631 Saarinen, M., Salovaara, P., Sarkkola, S., and Mäkipää, R. : Set-up and instrumentation of the
- 632 greenhouse gas (GHG) measurements on experimental sites of continuous cover forestry, Natural
- 633 Resources and Bioeconomy Studies, 26, 2021.
- 634 Lee, X. and Hu, X.: Forest-air fluxes of carbon, water and energy over non-flat terrain, Bound.-Layer
- 635 Meteorol., 103, 277-301, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014508928693, 2002.
- 636 Lehtipalo, K., Yan, C., Dada, L., Bianchi, F., Xiao, M., Wagner, R., Stolzenburg, D., Ahonen, L. R.,
- 637 Amorim, A., Baccarini, A., Bauer, P. S., Baumgartner, B., Bergen, A., Bernhammer, A.-K.,
- 638 Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Buchholz, A., Mazon, S. B., Chen, D., Chen, X., Dias, A., Dommen, J.,
- 639 Draper, D. C., Duplissy, J., Ehn, M., Finkenzeller, H., Fischer, L., Frege, C., Fuchs, C., Garmash, O.,
- 640 Gordon, H., Hakala, J., He, X., Heikkinen, L., Heinritzi, M., Helm, J. C., Hofbauer, V., Hoyle, C. R.,
- 641 Jokinen, T., Kangasluoma, J., Kerminen, V.-M., Kim, C., Kirkby, J., Kontkanen, J., Kürten, A., Lawler,
- 642 M. J., Mai, H., Mathot, S., Mauldin, R. L., Molteni, U., Nichman, L., Nie, W., Nieminen, T., Ojdanic,
- 643 A., Onnela, A., Passananti, M., Petäjä, T., Piel, F., Pospisilova, V., Quéléver, L. L. J., Rissanen, M. P.,
- 644 Rose, C., Sarnela, N., Schallhart, S., Schuchmann, S., Sengupta, K., Simon, M., Sipilä, M., Tauber, C.,
- 545 Tomé, A., Tröstl, J., Väisänen, O., Vogel, A. L., Volkamer, R., Wagner, A. C., Wang, M., Weitz, L.,
- 646 Wimmer, D., Ye, P., Ylisirniö, A., Zha, Q., Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., Donahue, N. M., Flagan, R. C.,





- 647 Hansel, A., Riipinen, I., Virtanen, A., Winkler, P. M., Baltensperger, U., Kulmala, M., and Worsnop,
- 648 D. R.: Multicomponent new particle formation from sulfuric acid, ammonia, and biogenic vapors, Sci.
- 649 Adv., 4, eaau5363, https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau5363, 2018.
- 650 Mammarella, I., Peltola, O., Nordbo, A., Järvi, L., and Rannik, Ü.: Quantifying the uncertainty of eddy
- 651 covariance fluxes due to the use of different software packages and combinations of processing steps
- in two contrasting ecosystems, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 4915-4933, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-49152016, 2016.
- 654 Manninen, H. E., Mirme, S., Mirme, A., Petäjä, T., and Kulmala, M.: How to reliably detect molecular
- 655 clusters and nucleation mode particles with Neutral cluster and Air Ion Spectrometer (NAIS), Atmos.
- 656 Meas. Tech., 9, 3577-3605, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-3577-2016, 2016.
- 657 Mathijssen, P. J. H., Tuovinen, J. P., Lohila, A., Väliranta, M., and Tuittila, E. S.: Identifying main
- 658 uncertainties in estimating past and present radiative forcing of peatlands, Glob. Chang. Biol., 28, 4069-
- 659 4084, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16189, 2022.
- 660 Mazon, S. B., Kontkanen, J., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: A
- 661 long-term comparison of nighttime cluster events and daytime ion formation in a boreal forest, Boreal
- 662 Environ. Res., 21, 242-261, 2016.
- 663 Mirme S. and Mirme A.: The mathematical principles and design of the NAIS a spectrometer for the
- measurement of cluster ion and nanometer aerosol size distributions. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 6: 1061-1071,
- **665** 2013.
- 666 Natural Resources Institute Finland 2022. https://www.luke.fi/en/statistics, last access: 2023, 07.
- 667 Neefjes, I., Laapas, M., Médus, E., Meittunen, E., Ahonen, L., Quéléver, L., Aaltio, J., Bäck, J.,
- 668 Kerminen, V.-M., Lampilahti, J., Luoma, K., Maki, M., Marmmarella, I., Petäjä, T., Räty, M., Sarnela,
- 669 N., Ylivinkka, I., Hakala, S., Kulmala, M., and Liu, Y.: 25 years of atmospheric and ecosystem
- 670 measurements in a boreal forest Seasonal variation and responses to warm and dry years, Boreal
- 671 Environ. Res., 27, 1-31, 2022.
- 672 Nieminen, T., Kerminen, V.-M., Petäjä, T., Aalto, P. P., Arshinov, M., Asmi, E., Baltensperger, U.,
- 673 Beddows, D. C. S., Beukes, J. P., Collins, D., Ding, A., Harrison, R. M., Henzing, B., Hooda, R., Hu,
- 674 M., Hörrak, U., Kivekäs, N., Komsaare, K., Krejci, R., Kristensson, A., Laakso, L., Laaksonen, A.,
- 675 Leaitch, W. R., Lihavainen, H., Mihalopoulos, N., Németh, Z., Nie, W., O'Dowd, C., Salma, I., Sellegri,
- 676 K., Svenningsson, B., Swietlicki, E., Tunved, P., Ulevicius, V., Vakkari, V., Vana, M., Wiedensohler,
- 677 A., Wu, Z., Virtanen, A., and Kulmala, M.: Global analysis of continental boundary layer new particle
- 678 formation based on long-term measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 14737-14756,
- 679 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14737-2018, 2018.





- 680 Noe, S., Niinemets, Ü., Krasnova, A., Krasnov, D., Motallebi, A., Kängsepp, V., Jõgiste, K., Hõrrak,
- 681 U., Komsaare, K., Mirme, S., Vana, M., Tammet, H., Bäck, J., Vesala, T., Kulmala, M., Petäjä, T., and
- 682 Kangur, A.: SMEAR Estonia: Perspectives of a large-scale forest ecosystem- Atmosphere research
- 683 infrastructure, For. Stud., 63, 56-84, https://doi.org/10.1515/fsmu-2015-0009, 2015.
- 684 Olin, M., Okuljar, M., Rissanen, M. P., Kalliokoski, J., Shen, J., Dada, L., Lampimäki, M., Wu, Y.,
- 685 Lohila, A., Duplissy, J., Sipilä, M., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., and Dal Maso, M.: Measurement report:
- 686 Atmospheric new particle formation in a coastal agricultural site explained with binPMF analysis of
- 687 nitrate CI-APi-TOF spectra, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 8097-8115, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-8097-
- **688** 2022, 2022.
- 689 Petäjä, T., Tabakova, K., Manninen, A., Ezhova, E., O'Connor, E., Moisseev, D., Sinclair, V. A.,
- 690 Backman, J., Levula, J., Luoma, K., Virkkula, A., Paramonov, M., Räty, M., Äijälä, M., Heikkinen, L.,
- 691 Ehn, M., Sipilä, M., Yli-Juuti, T., Virtanen, A., Ritsche, M., Hickmon, N., Pulik, G., Rosenfeld, D.,
- 692 Worsnop, D. R., Bäck, J., Kulmala, M., and Kerminen, V. M.: Influence of biogenic emissions from
- boreal forests on aerosol-cloud interactions, Nat. Geosci., 15, 42-47, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-
- **694** 021-00876-0, 2022.
- Regnier, P., Resplandy, L., Najjar, R. G., and Ciais, P.: The land-to-ocean loops of the global carbon
 cycle, Nature, 603, 401-410, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04339-9, 2022.
- 697 Rinne, J., Tuittila, E.-S., Peltola, O., Li, X., Raivonen, M., Alekseychik, P., Haapanala, S., Pihlatie, M.,
- 698 Aurela, M., Mammarella, I., and Vesala, T.: Temporal Variation of Ecosystem Scale Methane Emission
- 699 From a Boreal Fen in Relation to Temperature, Water Table Position, and Carbon Dioxide Fluxes,
- 700 Global Biogeochem Cy., 32, 1087-1106, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017gb005747, 2018.
- 701 Rosenfeld, D., Andreae, M. O., Asmi, A., Chin, M., De Leeuw, G., Donovan, D. P., Kahn, R., Kinne,
- 702 S., Kivekäs, N., Kulmala, M., Lau, W., Schmidt, K. S., Suni, T., Wagner, T., Wild, M., and Quaas, J.:
- 703 Global observations of aerosol-cloud-precipitation-climate interactions, Rev. Geophys., 52, 750-808,
- 704 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013rg000441, 2014.
- 705 Roth, F., Broman, E., Sun, X., Bonaglia, S., Nascimento, F., Prytherch, J., Brüchert, V., Lundevall Zara,
- 706 M., Brunberg, M., Geibel, M. C., Humborg, C., and Norkko, A.: Methane emissions offset atmospheric
- 707 carbon dioxide uptake in coastal macroalgae, mixed vegetation and sediment ecosystems, Nat. Commun,
- 708 14, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35673-9, 2023.
- 709 Stolzenburg, D., Cai, R., Blichner, S. M., Kontkanen, J., Zhou, P., Makkonen, R., Kerminen, V.-M.,
- 710 Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., and Kangasluoma, J.: Atmospheric nanoparticle growth, Rev. Mod. Phys.,
- 711 95, 045002, https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.95.045002, 2023.
- 712 Tammet, H., Komsaare, K., and Hörrak, U.: Intermediate ions in the atmosphere, Atmos. Res., 135-136,
- 713 263-273, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.09.009, 2014.





- 714 Turunen, J., Valpola, S.: The influence of anthropogenic land use on Finnish peatland area and carbon
- 715 stores 1950-2015, Mires and Peat, 26, 26, 27pp, https://doi.org/10.19189/MaP.2019.GDC.StA.1870,
- 716 2022. (Online: http://www.mires-and-peat.net/pages/volumes/map26/map2626.php).
- 717 Vähä, A., Vesala, T., Guseva, S., Lindroth, A., Lorke, A., Macintyre, S., and Mammarella, I.: Temporal
- 718 dynamics and environmental controls of carbon dioxide and methane fluxes measured by the eddy
- 719 covariance method over a boreal river, 2024. https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-1644,
- 720 Virtasalo, J. J., Österholm, P., and Asmala, E.: Estuarine flocculation dynamics of organic carbon and
- metals from boreal acid sulfate soils. Biogeosciences, 20(14), 2883-2901. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-</u>
 20-2883-2023, 2023.
- Tuovinen, S., Lampilahti, J., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Intermediate ions as indicator for
 local new particle formation, Aerosol. Res. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/ar-2024-4, in review,
 2024.
- 726 Walker, A. P., De Kauwe, M. G., Bastos, A., Belmecheri, S., Georgiou, K., Keeling, R. F., McMahon, 727 S. M., Medlyn, B. E., Moore, D. J. P., Norby, R. J., Zaehle, S., Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., Battipaglia, 728 G., Brienen, R. J. W., Cabugao, K. G., Cailleret, M., Campbell, E., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Craig, M. 729 E., Ellsworth, D. S., Farquhar, G. D., Fatichi, S., Fisher, J. B., Frank, D. C., Graven, H., Gu, L., Haverd, 730 V., Heilman, K., Heimann, M., Hungate, B. A., Iversen, C. M., Joos, F., Jiang, M., Keenan, T. F., Knauer, J., Körner, C., Leshyk, V. O., Leuzinger, S., Liu, Y., Macbean, N., Malhi, Y., McVicar, T. R., 731 732 Penuelas, J., Pongratz, J., Powell, A. S., Riutta, T., Sabot, M. E. B., Schleucher, J., Sitch, S., Smith, W. 733 K., Sulman, B., Taylor, B., Terrer, C., Torn, M. S., Treseder, K. K., Trugman, A. T., Trumbore, S. E., 734 Van Mantgem, P. J., Voelker, S. L., Whelan, M. E., and Zuidema, P. A.: Integrating the evidence for a 735 terrestrial carbon sink caused by increasing atmospheric CO₂, New Phytol., 229, 2413-2445, 736 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16866, 2021. 737 Yi, C. X., Ricciuto, D., Li, R., Wolbeck, J., Xu, X. Y., Nilsson, M., Aires, L., Albertson, J. D., Ammann,
- 738 C., Arain, M. A., de Araujo, A. C., Aubinet, M., Aurela, M., Barcza, Z., Barr, A., Berbigier, P., Beringer,
- 739 J., Bernhofer, C., Black, A. T., Bolstad, P. V., Bosveld, F. C., Broadmeadow, M. S. J., Buchmann, N.,
- 740 Burns, S. P., Cellier, P., Chen, J. M., Chen, J. Q., Ciais, P., Clement, R., Cook, B. D., Curtis, P. S., Dail,
- 741 D. B., Dellwik, E., Delpierre, N., Desai, A. R., Dore, S., Dragoni, D., Drake, B. G., Dufrene, E., Dunn,
- 742 A., Elbers, J., Eugster, W., Falk, M., Feigenwinter, C., Flanagan, L. B., Foken, T., Frank, J., Fuhrer, J.,
- 743 Gianelle, D., Goldstein, A., Goulden, M., Granier, A., Grünwald, T., Gu, L., Guo, H. Q., Hammerle,
- A., Han, S. J., Hanan, N. P., Haszpra, L., Heinesch, B., Helfter, C., Hendriks, D., Hutley, L. B., Ibrom,
- $\label{eq:constraint} \textbf{A., Jacobs, C., Johansson, T., Jongen, M., Katul, G., Kiely, G., Klumpp, K., Knohl, A., Kolb, T., Kutsch, Kolb,$
- 746 W. L., Lafleur, P., Laurila, T., Leuning, R., Lindroth, A., Liu, H. P., Loubet, B., Manca, G., Marek, M.,
- 747 Margolis, H. A., Martin, T. A., Massman, W. J., Matamala, R., Matteucci, G., McCaughey, H., Merbold,
- 748 L., Meyers, T., Migliavacca, M., Miglietta, F., Misson, L., Moelder, M., Moncrieff, J., Monson, R. K.,





- 749 Montagnani, L., Montes-Helu, M., Moors, E., Moureaux, C., Mukelabai, M. M., Munger, J. W.,
- 750 Myklebust, M., Nagy, Z., Noormets, A., Oechel, W., Oren, R., Pallardy, S. G., Kyaw, T. P. U., Pereira,
- J. S., Pilegaard, K., Pintér, K., Pio, C., Pita, G., Powell, T. L., Rambal, S., Randerson, J. T., von Randow,
- 752 C., Rebmann, C., Rinne, J., Rossi, F., Roulet, N., Ryel, R. J., Sagerfors, J., Saigusa, N., Sanz, M. J.,
- 753 Mugnozza, G. S., Schmid, H. P., Seufert, G., Siqueira, M., Soussana, J. F., Starr, G., Sutton, M. A.,
- 754 Tenhunen, J., Tuba, Z., Tuovinen, J. P., Valentini, R., Vogel, C. S., Wang, J. X., Wang, S. Q., Wang,
- 755 W. G., Welp, L. R., Wen, X. F., Wharton, S., Wilkinson, M., Williams, C. A., Wohlfahrt, G., Yamamoto,
- 756 S., Yu, G. R., Zampedri, R., Zhao, B., and Zhao, X. Q.: Climate control of terrestrial carbon exchange
- across biomes and continents, Environ. Res. Lett., 5, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/5/3/034007,
- **758** 2010.
- 759 Yli-Juuti, T., Mielonen, T., Heikkinen, L., Arola, A., Ehn, M., Isokääntä, S., Keskinen, H.-M., Kulmala,
- 760 M., Laakso, A., Lipponen, A., Luoma, K., Mikkonen, S., Nieminen, T., Paasonen, P., Petäjä, T.,
- 761 Romakkaniemi, S., Tonttila, J., Kokkola, H., and Virtanen, A.: Significance of the organic aerosol
- 762 driven climate feedback in the boreal area, Nat. Commun, 12, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-
- 763 <u>25850-7</u>, 2021.
- 764 Zhang, C., Hai, S., Gao, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, S., Sheng, L., Zhao, B., Wang, S., Jiang, J., Huang, X.,
- 765 Shen, X., Sun, J., Lupascu, A., Shrivastava, M., Fast, J. D., Cheng, W., Guo, X., Chu, M., Ma, N., Hong,
- 766 J., Wang, Q., Yao, X., and Gao, H.: Substantially positive contributions of new particle formation to
- cloud condensation nuclei under low supersaturation in China based on numerical model improvements,
- 768 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 10713-10730, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-10713-2023, 2023.
- 769 Zheng, G., Wang, Y., Wood, R., Jensen, M. P., Kuang, C., McCoy, I. L., Matthews, A., Mei, F.,
- 770 Tomlinson, J. M., Shilling, J. E., Zawadowicz, M. A., Crosbie, E., Moore, R., Ziemba, L., Andreae, M.
- 771 O., and Wang, J.: New particle formation in the remote marine boundary layer, Nat. Commun, 12,
- 772 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20773-1</u>, 2021.
- 773