Review of the article:

egusphere-2024-1954

A climate suitability index for species distribution modelling applied to terrestrial arthropods in the Mediterranean Region

James M. Ciarlo`, Monique Borg Inguanez, Erika Coppola, Aaron Micallef, and David Mifsud

The authors have adequately addressed the comments made during the first round of peer review, and the manuscript has been substantially improved, so I would recommend that it be accepted after some minor corrections, mostly related to the ensemble mean and the use of the EURO-CORDEX hindcast simulations, which I think is important to clarify for other studies.

Minor comments:

The author claimed that the ensemble was constructed only from simulations that provided the parameters necessary to construct the indices described in Table 2. However, to my knowledge, the EURO-CORDEX data are consistent in the variables they provide and their spatial resolution (0.11°), which means that all simulations participating in the hindcast variables experiment provide all the listed http://is-enes-data.github.io/CORDEX variables requirement table.pdf from which the authors could calculate the indices. Also with a quick search on the Earth System Grid Federation https://esgf-metagrid.cloud.dkrz.de/search I could not find the RegCM4-6 data. I think it's very important to clarify what criterion was used for a model to be included as a member in the ensemble. Finally, I don't understand why there were two models, the CNRM-ALADIN63 and the ICTP-RegCM4-6, that needed interpolation, since all EURO-CORDEX hindcast data are provided at the same spatial resolution as mentioned above. It seems that the later models are not driven by ERA-interim, but by general circulation models, and if this is the case, then the ensemble model should be rebuilt using only hindcast simulations.

#1: line 159: "The observations are the 30-year (1980-2010)" Please change to 31-year everywhere in the text.