Feasibility of robust estimates of ozone production rates using a synergy of satellite observations, ground-based remote sensing, and models

4

5 Amir H. Souri^{1,2}*, Gonzalo González Abad³, Glenn M. Wolfe¹, Tijl Verhoelst⁴, Corinne Vigouroux⁴,

6 Gaia Pinardi⁴, Steven Compernolle⁴, Bavo Langerock⁴, Bryan N. Duncan¹, Matthew S. Johnson⁵

7

¹Atmospheric Chemistry and Dynamics Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD,
USA

10 ²GESTAR II, Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD, USA

³Atomic and Molecular Physics (AMP) Division, Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian,
 Cambridge, MA, USA

13 ⁴Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB), Ringlaan 3, 1180 Uccle, Belgium

14 ⁵Earth Science Division, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, USA

15 * Corresponding author: <u>a.souri@nasa.gov</u>

16

17 Abstract.

18 Ozone pollution is secondarily produced through a complex, non-linear chemical process. Our 19 understanding of the spatiotemporal variations in photochemically produced ozone (i.e., PO₃) is limited to 20 sparse aircraft campaigns and chemical transport models, which often carry significant biases. Hence, we 21 present a novel satellite-derived PO₃ product informed by bias-corrected TROPOMI HCHO, NO₂, surface 22 albedo data, and various models. These data are integrated into a parameterization that relies on HCHO, 23 NO₂, HCHO/NO₂, jNO₂, and jO¹D. Despite its simplicity, it can reproduce \sim 90% of the variance in 24 observationally constrained PO₃ with minimal biases in moderately to highly polluted regions. We map PO₃ across various regions in July 2019 at a 0.1°×0.1° spatial resolution, revealing accelerated values (>8 25 ppbv/hr) in numerous cities throughout Asia and the Middle East, resulting from the elevated ozone 26 27 precursors and enhanced photochemistry. In Europe and the United States, such high levels are only 28 detected over Benelux, Los Angeles, and New York City. PO3 maxima are seen in various seasons, attributed 29 to changes in photolysis rates, non-linear ozone chemistry, and fluctuations in HCHO and NO₂. Satellite errors result in moderate errors (10-20%) of PO₃ estimates over cities on a monthly average, while these 30 31 errors exceed 50% in clean areas and under low light conditions. Using the current algorithm, we have demonstrated that satellite data can provide valuable information for robust PO₃ estimation. This capability 32 33 expands future research through the application of data to address significant scientific questions about the locally-produced PO₃ hotspots, seasonality, and long-term trends. 34

35 1. Introduction

36 Tropospheric ozone (O_3) is a secondary pollutant formed through complex photochemical reactions 37 involving various precursors, including nitrogen oxides (NO_x = NO + NO₂), volatile organic compounds

1

38 (VOCs), aerosols, and halogens (Kleinman et al., 2002, Simpson et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019). Ozone not 39 only poses significant risks to human health (Fleming et al., 2018) and agricultural productivity (Mills et al., 2018) but also influences the radiation budget, thereby affecting the climate (Gaudel et al., 2018). To 40 mitigate the problem of elevated locally-produced ozone, it is crucial to understand the spatiotemporal 41 variability in ozone production rates (PO₃), defined as the number of ozone molecules generated through 42 secondary chemical pathways in the atmosphere. Comprehensive studies of ozone chemistry, informed by 43 44 observations, are typically confined to observationally-rich air quality campaigns (e.g., Cazorla et al., 2012; 45 Ren et al., 2013; Mazzuca et al.; 2016; Souri et al., 2020a; Schroeder et al., 2020; Brune et al., 2022; Wolfe 46 et al., 2022; Souri et al., 2023), which are sparse in time and space.

47 Significant advancements have been achieved in using various measurable ozone indicators to simplify the non-linear relationship between PO_3 and NO_x and VOCs into linear forms (Sillman and He, 48 49 2002). These forms include NO_x -sensitive (where PO_3 is sensitive to NO_x), VOC-sensitive (where PO_3 is sensitive to VOCs), and the transitional regimes (where PO₃ is sensitive to both NO_x and VOCs). Among 50 51 the numerous proposed indicators, the ratio of formaldehyde (HCHO) to nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) (known as 52 FNR) has gained popularity (Tonnesen and Dennis, 2000a,b), despite its less effective performance compared to the H_2O_2/HNO_3 ratio in fully explaining the HO_x -RO_x cycle (Silman and He, 2002; Souri et 53 54 al., 2023). The preference for FNR stems from the fact that both quantities can be informed by UV-Vis 55 radiance data, such as those provided by the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) and the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) (Martin et al., 2005; Duncan et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2012; Choi and 56 Souri, 2015a, b; Jin and Holloway, 2015; Jin et al., 2017; Schroeder et al., 2017; Souri et al., 2017; Jeon et 57 al., 2018; Tao et al., 2022). Several limitations associated with the application of satellite-based FNR have 58 been identified such as i) the inherent limitation of understanding the radical termination in the RO_x-HO_x 59 cycle (Souri et al., 2020a; Souri et al., 2023), ii) the challenges associated with converting the column 60 61 vertical density to the near-surface concentrations (Jin et al., 2017; Schroeder et al., 2017; Souri et al., 62 2023), iii) spatial representativity associated with large satellite pixels (Souri et al., 2020a, 2023; Johnson et al., 2023), and iv) the retrieval errors (Souri et al., 2023; Johnson et al., 2023). Souri et al. (2023) 63 concluded that the retrieval errors make up the largest portion of total errors associated with FNR. These 64 65 errors are becoming smaller with better sensor designs, retrieval algorithms, and calibration over time.

66 While the characterization of ozone regimes offers valuable insights for regulators to prioritize 67 effective emission control strategies, it does not provide information about the magnitude of PO₃ or the absolute quantities of PO₃ derivatives relative to its precursors. Consequently, chemical transport models 68 under various emission scenarios are typically employed (e.g., Pan et al., 2019). These models allow for 69 the execution of process-based scenarios to elucidate the response of PO_3 to different emissions and can 70 71 simulate four-dimensional PO₃ data. However, the results of these simulations are based on various assumptions and inputs, which carry significant uncertainties. Therefore, it is essential to optimize some of 72 73 the models' prognostic inputs using observations through inverse modeling/data assimilation. The primary 74 advantage of inverse modeling/data assimilation using satellite observations is its ability to account for 75 satellite errors and eliminate the influence of the a priori profile, thereby carrying only radiance information into the emission estimation. Numerous studies have utilized satellite observations to constrain NO_x and 76 77 VOC emissions for various applications (e.g., Stavrakou et al., 2016; Souri et al., 2016; Miyazaki et al., 78 2017; Souri et al., 2017; Souri et al., 2020b; Souri et al., 2021; Choi et al., 2022; DiMaria et al., 2023). 79 Souri et al. (2020b) made an early attempt to simultaneously optimize both NO_x and VOC emissions over 80 East Asia for a more accurate representation of PO_3 . Their joint-inversion was able to account for the intertwined relationship between HCHO-NO_x and NO₂-VOC. However, the execution of chemical transport 81 models optimized by multiple satellite observations remains prohibitively expensive, particularly for high-82 83 resolution domains demanded by regulatory agencies.

B4 Data-driven methods for estimating PO₃ can become as a more cost-effective alternative to physics based methods. While using constrained chemical transport models provides a relatively robust framework
 grounded in some explicit governing equations, they require extensive computation resources and expertise.

87 Conversely, data-driven algorithms make use of large datasets to identify patterns and make predictions with much reduced computational expenses. However, it is important to recognize that data-driven 88 89 algorithms lack the ability to provide solid physical interpretability and generalizability. Despite this 90 fundamental limitation, they are sensible tools for applications where rapid analysis over a wide spatial coverage is prioritized. Data-driven parameterizations for several components of atmospheric chemistry 91 such as OH (Anderson et al., 2022) and dry deposition (Silva et al., 2019) have been crafted for this reason. 92 93 However, to our best knowledge, Chatfield et al. (2010) and Souri et al. (2023) are the only studies that 94 attempted to empirically parameterize PO₃ using the information of HCHO and NO₂ mixing ratios.

95 Inspired by those works, we developed a novel product using TROPOMI observations in 96 conjunction with ground-based remote sensing and atmospheric models to estimate PO_3 and associated 97 errors within the planetary boundary layer (PBL) across the globe. This enabled us to map PO_3 across 98 various regions at fine scales (i.e., $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$) for the first time.

99 2. Data

100 2.1. Aircraft

101 To study PO₃, we use various aircraft observations from several National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) atmospheric 102 composition campaigns. We have selected three sets of aircraft campaigns for the purpose of PO₃ 103 104 estimation, targeting: i) urban/suburban air quality, including Deriving Information on Surface Conditions 105 from Column and Vertically Resolved Observations Relevant to Air Quality (DISCOVER-AQ) Baltimore-Washington (2011), DISCOVER-AQ Houston-Texas (2013), DISCOVER-AQ Colorado (2014), and the 106 107 Korea United States Air Quality Study (KORUS-AQ) (2016) (Crawford et al., 2021); ii) remote areas 108 including Atmospheric Tomography Mission (ATOM) (Thompson et al., 2022) and Intercontinental 109 Chemical Transport Experiment (INTEX) phase B (Singh et al., 2009); iii) a mixture of isoprene-rich 110 environment and large emitters, including SENEX (Southeast Nexus) (Warneke et al., 2016). Figure 1 shows the location of these campaigns. Inspired by the study of Miller and Brune (2022), we list their 111 112 "when, where, why" characteristics in Table S1.

113 For aircraft campaigns targeting polluted areas, including DISCOVERs, KORUS-AO, SENEX, and SEAC4RS, we use 10-sec merged data, whereas, for other measurements taken in relatively remote 114 areas, such as INTEX-B and ATOMs, we used 30-sec merged data. A more detailed description of the 115 116 measurements is provided in Section 3.2. We exclude times with no measurements of NO, NO₂, or HCHO. The concentrations of OH and HO₂ were only measured during INTEX-B, ATOMs, and KORUS-AQ. 117 118 Likewise, we void any data points lacking either HO₂ or OH measurements. There are frequent gaps in some measurements, especially for VOCs, because of instrument issues or measurement techniques. 119 120 Following Souri et al. (2020a), Miller and Brune (2020), Souri et al. (2023), and Bottorff et al. (2023), we 121 fill the gaps in measurements using a linear interpolation method with no extrapolation allowed beyond 15 minutes. We drop any remaining gaps from the analysis. To better capture the rapid fluctuation of VOCs, 122 123 we pick the PTR-TOF-MS instrument with high temporal resolution over the whole air sampler (WAS) when both instruments have measured the same quantity. Regarding the INTEX-B campaign, we drop 124 isoprene observation due to infrequent samples downgrading the performance of our box model. 125



126



128 2.2. TROPOMI NO₂ and HCHO

129 We use the recently reprocessed daily level-2 (L2) TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ and total HCHO columns (v2.4) derived from UV-visible radiances onboard the European Space Agency's (ESA's) Sentinel-130 5 Precursor (S5P) spacecraft (~328-496 nm) (Veefkind et al., 2012, De Smedt et al. 2021; van Geffen et al., 131 2022). This sensor has been operational since May 2018, providing global coverage of NO₂ and HCHO at 132 ~1:30 local standard time at the Equator. Since NO₂ and HCHO are optically thin absorbers in the UV-133 Visible, meaning their concentrations do not substantially affect the sensitivity of the radiance to the optical 134 135 thickness of the absorber, the retrieval follows the conventional two-step algorithm involving spectral fitting 136 for Slant Column Density (SCD) retrieval and Air Mass Factor (AMF) calculations for SCD to Vertical Column Density (VCD) conversion. The product has a spatial resolution of 7.2 km (5.6 km as of August 137 2019) by 3.6 km at nadir. To remove unfit measurements, we use the provided quality flag (q value) and 138 139 choose only those above 0.75 for NO_2 and 0.5 for HCHO. As the L2 product does not come in a regular grid, we use a mass-conserved regridding technique based on barycentric linear interpolation to map out 140 141 the data onto a $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ regular grid.

142 van Geffen et al. (2022) demonstrated that the reprocessed TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ columns 143 exhibit a good level of correspondence with those obtained from ground-based MAX-DOAS sky 144 spectrometers, with a correlation of 0.88 and a median bias of -23%, improving on the older product 145 versions which were biased low by about 30% with respect to ground-based measurements at polluted sites 146 (Verhoelst et al., 2021). More information about new modifications and their impacts on the retrieval can 147 be found in van Geffen et al. (2022).

148 The studies of Vigouroux et al. (2020) and De Smedt et al. (2021) validated the reprocessed 149 monthly-mean TROPOMI HCHO columns against FTIR and MAX-DOAS observations and found a good 150 correlation above 0.8 with a negative bias of 20-30% for polluted sites. The bias tends to be slightly positive 151 or neutral over clean sites.

152 2.2.1. Error characterization of TROPOMI NO₂ and HCHO using ground-based retrievals

To propagate TROPOMI retrieval errors to the PO_3 product and to remove potential biases, we assume three origins for errors: i) random errors resulting from instrument noise, ii) a fixed additive

- component that is magnitude-independent (i.e., a uniform offset persisting over all pixels), and iii) unresolved systematic biases that are multiplicative and irreducible by oversampling. The first component is derived from the column precision variable provided along with the L2 product. In the spatial domain, we interpolate the squares of this error the same of way we map the irregular L2 pixels into the $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ regular grid. Moreover, we average the random errors over a month to reduce random noise by the squared number of pixels available at the same location (Eq. 3). Two other errors are determined by comparing FTIR (for HCHO) and MAX-DOAS (for tropospheric NO₂) with TROPOMI data (Section 4.3.3). Detailed
- 162 explanation of how these datasets are paired can be found in Vigouroux et al. (2020) and Verhoelst et al.163 (2021). Both datasets cover the period of 2018-2023.

To achieve an optimal linear fit $(y = ax + b + \varepsilon)$ between the paired observations, where a and b 164 are slope and offset to be determined, we follow a Monte-Carlo Chi-squares minimization such that $\chi^2 =$ 165 $\sum \frac{[y-f(x_i,a,b)]^2}{\sigma_y^2 + a^2 \sigma_x^2}$ is minimized. In this equation, σ_y^2 and σ_x^2 are the variances of y (TROPOMI) and x (the 166 benchmark, here FTIR or MAX-DOAS), respectively; i is the subscript refers to i-th observation point, and 167 f is the proposed linear fit subject to optimization. In terms of TROPOMI NO₂ and HCHO, the errors are 168 populated based on the L2 information. According to Verhoelst et al. (2021), a fixed error of 30% is assumed 169 for MAX-DOAS NO₂ observations whose values are above 1.4×10^{15} molec/cm². Because of the detection 170 limit of MAX-DOAS NO₂, we set errors for values below that threshold to 1.4×10¹⁵molec/cm². The FTIR 171 retrieval errors described in Vigouroux et al. (2020) were used to populate the errors associated with this 172 173 benchmark. The minimization is performed 10000 times, each with a set of random perturbations of x and y within their respective prescribed errors. This approach allows us to assess the robustness of the estimates 174 175 across the range of errors associated with each data point.

The offset (a uniform additive term) and the slope (multiplicative error) drawn from the ground validation are used to correct the biases associated with TROPOMI via:

$$VCD_{bias-corrected} = \frac{VCD_{original} - offset}{slope} \tag{1}$$

178 Since there are errors associated with this adjustment resulting from instrument and representation errors, 179 we augment errors of the slope and offset to the total error and label them constant errors (e_{const}) via:

$$e_{const}^2 = e_{offset}^2 + e_{slope}^2 \times VCD_{bias-corrected}^2$$
⁽²⁾

180 where e_{offset}^2 and e_{slope}^2 are squares of errors of offset and slope calculated from the linear regression (Eq. 1). Ultimately, the sum of all three errors constitutes the total errors given:

$$e^{2} = e_{const}^{2} + \frac{1}{m^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} e_{random,i}^{2}$$
(3)

182 where *m* is the number of samples for a given grid and timeframe and e_{random}^2 is squares of random errors.

183 2.3. TROPOMI Surface Albedo

To account for the effect of surface albedo on photolysis rates (Section 2.5), we use a newly developed algorithm based on the directionally dependent Lambertian-equivalent reflectivity (DLER) UV surface albedo climatology made from TROPOMI radiance (Tilstra et al., 2024). This new database leverages 60 months of TROPOMI reprocessed radiance and is produced at the grid resolution of $0.125^{\circ} \times 0.125^{\circ}$. The product has outperformed traditional LER products such as OMI when both were compared to MODIS surface the bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) results (Tilstra et al., 2024).

191 2.4. MERRA2-GMI

192 To convert vertical column densities of HCHO and NO₂ from TROPOMI to their volume mixing the MERRA2-GMI (M2GMI) model 193 in the PBL region, we use (https://acdratios ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/Projects/GEOSCCM/MERRA2GMI/, last access: 10 Sep 2023). This model is NASA's 194 195 Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) Chemistry-Climate Model (CCM) run spanning for the period of 1980-2019, exploiting MERRA2 (Modern Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications) to 196 constrain meteorological fields (Orbe et al., 2017). The model uses the Global Modeling Initiative (GMI) 197 chemical mechanism (Duncan et al., 2007; Strahan et al., 2007), which involves over 120 species and 400 198 reactions. It has a resolution of approximately 0.625° longitude by 0.5° latitude with 72 vertical layers 199 200 stretching from the surface up to 0.1 hPa. Additional information about the configuration of this model can 201 be found in Strode et al. (2019). To carry out the conversion, we apply the following conversion factor (γ) to the TROPOMI VCDs: 202

$$\gamma = \frac{\overline{q}_{PBLH}}{\frac{NA}{g \times Mair} \sum q dp}$$
(4)

where \bar{q}_{PBLH} is the average of the target trace gas mixing ratios in the PBLH, *g* is the acceleration of the gravity (assumed 9.81 m/s²), *NA* is the Avogadro constant, *Mair* is the air molecular weight (assumed 28.96 g/mol), *q* is the target trace gas mixing ratio at a given altitude, and *dp* is the thickness of each model vertical grid box in hPa. The denominator in Eq. 4 represents the modeled VCD. We integrate modeled partial VCDs up to top of the atmosphere for HCHO, and up to the tropopause pressure layer for NO₂.

209 2.5. TUV NCAR Photolysis Rates Look-up Table

210 To estimate photolysis rates, JNO₂ (NO₂+hv) and JO¹D (O₃+hv), we use a comprehensive look-up 211 table provided by the F0AM model (Section 3.2) created for clear-sky conditions. This look-up table is based on the calculation of more than 20,064 solar spectra over a wide range of solar zenith angle (SZA) 212 (the range [0, 90] in steps of 5°), altitude (the range [0, 15] in steps of 1 km), overhead total ozone column 213 214 (the range [100, 600] in steps of 50 DU), and surface UV albedo (the range [0, 1] in steps of 0.2) using NCAR's Tropospheric Ultraviolent and Visible radiation model (TUV v5.2) and cross sections and quantum 215 yields from IUPAC and JPL (Wolfe et al., 2016). The L2 TROPOMI granule information populates SZA, 216 217 surface elevation, and surface UV albedo, while overhead total ozone columns are obtained from MERRA2-GMI (Section 2.4) which is found to agree well with satellite observations (Souri et al., 2024). Any values 218 219 between these tables are bilinearly interpolated for a smoother result.

220 **3. Methods**

In this section, we begin by discussing a robust regression model specifically developed for feature selection in the parameterization of PO₃. We then describe the training dataset created for this purpose. Following that, we introduce a clustering technique utilized to organize the training data, which enables us to identify the key drivers of PO₃ variability. Finally, we provide a comprehensive overview of the PO₃ estimates algorithm by integrating data from the TROPOMI retrievals, ground-based remote sensing, and various models.

227 *3.1. LASSO*

Through the use of multi-linear regression models, it is possible to establish a simple but robust relationship between multiple variables and a target. However, when dealing with a large number of variables, there is a chance of introducing overfitting issues. This can lead to predictions that are either overly optimistic or unrealistic for values outside of the training dataset. To avoid this, it is recommended to simplify the model by removing variables that are loosely connected with the target or highly correlated
with others. This process is known as "model shrinkage" and can narrow down the number of possible
solutions (i.e., variance) at the cost of increasing the biases between the observed target and predictions.
Ideally, we want a model that minimizes the sum of the bias and the variance. To achieve this, we can use
LASSO (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) (Tibshirani, 1996). They consider a regression,

$$Y = X\beta + \alpha + \varepsilon \tag{5}$$

237 with response $Y = (y_1, ..., y_n)^T$, $n \times p$ explanatory variables X, coefficients $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_p)^T$, an intercept α ,

and noise variables $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n)^T$. *n* is the number of data points, and *p* is the number of explanatory variables. We can label the regression model sparse when many of β values are zero, and we can label it high dimensional when $p \gg n$. LASSO attempts to select variables such that the following cost function is

240 might dimensional 241 minimized:

$$(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = \arg\min\left\{ \|Y - X\beta - \alpha\|_2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^p |\beta_i| \right\}$$
(6)

where $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are optimized intercept and coefficients, λ is a non-negative regularization factor subject to 242 243 tuning, *i* is the subscript of the *i*-th explanatory variable, and $\|.\|_2$ is the L2-norm operator. The first term on the right side of Eq.6 minimizes the squares of the residuals, whereas the second term reduces the sum 244 245 of absolute value of coefficients resulting in a simpler model with fewer parameters. Without the second 246 term, the regression model becomes an ordinary least-squares estimation. The most critical element here is 247 λ . A large λ results in more aggressive regularization leading to more model shrinkage, whereas a small 248 value preserves a high dimensional model. To optimize this value, we discretize λ in 100 values between 10⁻⁴ up to 10¹, divide the training dataset into 10 folds (i.e., spliting the dataset into equal size segments), 249 determine the average of cross-validated error prediction among all folds, and find λ that yields the smallest 250 251 error. The final solution ensures a balanced model with respect to model parsimony and bias. All 252 explanatory variables are standardized during the regularization procedure such that their mean becomes 253 zero and their standard deviation one.

254 3.2. Photochemical box modeling

To produce training data sets for LASSO-based PO₃ estimation, we use the Framework for 0-D Atmospheric Modeling (F0AM) v4 box model (Wolfe et al., 2016), constrained by a wide range of observations. These observations ensure that the model achieves a realistic range of values found in the atmosphere. We follow past setups which apply the Carbon Bond 6 (CB06, r2) chemical mechanism in F0AM (Souri et al., 2020a; Souri et al., 2023). The model is constrained by aircraft data, including meteorology, photolysis rates, and trace gas concentrations. The model configuration and observations used are listed in Table S2.

262 Once the model is initialized and held constant with respect to a wide range of constraining quantities, it runs at 30 minutes integration time cycling for five days to approach a steady-state 263 264 environment. Several key compounds including OH, HO₂, HCHO, PAN, NO, and NO₂ are initialized with aircraft observations but they are left free to cycle with incoming solar radiation variability. These 265 266 compounds play a crucial role in validating the efficacy of model performance as well as the adequacy of 267 observations used as constraints. In particular, allowing HCHO to vary freely enables us to assess whether 268 our mechanism for VOC treatment, steady-state, and the number of measured VOCs suffice to reproduce its concentrations reasonably. Although the individual concentration of NO₂ and NO are not constrained, 269 270 we constrain total NO_x (NO+NO₂). Not all aircraft campaigns measured all photolysis rates included in the 271 chemical mechanism. We first initialize the photolysis rates included in CB06 using the look-up-tables 272 described in Section 2.5. If any photolysis reaction rates in CB06 were measured, we replace the initial

guess with the observed values. For those reactions with photolysis rates not been measured, we apply a scaling factor made of the average of the ratio of the observed J-values to the modeled J-values. This approach is a sensible choice for accounting for large particles such as clouds, as their extinction coefficient is somewhat non-selective in the UV-Vis range; however, applying a wavelength-independent scaling factor may introduce some biases for optically complex environments introduced by aerosols.

278 It is essential to acknowledge the inherent limitations of a box model in our research. The model 279 does not consider the diverse physical loss pathways that trace gases may undergo, including deposition 280 and transport. As a result, we have simplified the physical loss by employing a first-order dilution rate set 281 to 1/86400 s⁻¹, equivalent to a lifetime of 24 hours. This approach ensures that unconstrained trace gases 282 that take longer to break down do not accumulate over time. Exact knowledge of dilution factors requires knowing molecular and turbulent diffusion, entrainment and detrainment, and deposition rates, all of which 283 284 are unknown at the micro-scale level of aircraft observations. Nonetheless, studies of Brune et al. (2022) and Souri et al. (2023) showed that HO₂, OH, NO_x, and HCHO are relatively immune to the choice of the 285 286 dilution factor, whereas RO₂ mixing ratios can depart introducing some biases in PO₃ estimates.

287 We determine simulated PO_3 by:

$$PO_3 = FO_3 - LO_3 \tag{7}$$

where LO_3 is all possible chemical loss pathways of ozone (negative stoichiometric multiplier matrix) and FO₃ is all possible chemical pathways producing ozone molecules (positive stoichiometric multiplier matrix). This calculation is theoretically equivalent to a value obtained from a chemical solver quantifying the number of ozone molecules produced/lost for each model timestep. The adoption of Eq.7 facilitates the direct comparison of PO₃ estimations with those derived from other models, including CTM-based results (see Figure 10 in Souri et al., 2021). Furthermore, it allows for a seamless integration of these estimates into Lagrangian transport models for ozone forecasting purposes.

295 3.3. Clustering

296 The aim of using a classifier to group the large quantity and types of aircraft data into similar 297 features is to allow us to study the primary contributors to PO₃ under different chemical, solar, and meteorological conditions. Additionally, this approach will help us understand the range of atmospheric 298 conditions included in the training dataset. To accomplish this, we employ a widely-used technique known 299 300 as k-means, which has been used in a variety of applications (e.g., Beddows et al., 2009; Souri et al., 2016b; Govender and Sivakumar, 2020). In this approach, centroids are distributed randomly throughout a multi-301 302 dimensional dataset, with each centroid representing a distinct class. The algorithm proceeds to assign a 303 label to each data point by identifying its closest Euclidean distance to the centroids. Following the labeling 304 of all data points, the algorithm updates the centroids based on the means of the newly-labeled group. This process continues iteratively until there is minimal change in the location of the centroids. It is worth noting 305 that k-means does not guarantee an optimal solution, so we reinitialize the classification 1000 times with a 306 307 new set of initial centroids. We select the result with the lowest value for the sum of the Euclidean distance 308 among data points and centroids to ensure the outcomes are not influenced by random seeding.

Redundant features in the input can significantly compromise the effectiveness of the classification, so we apply principal component analysis (PCA) to the matrix of datasets (*Z*) with *n* data points and *p* features to reduce the dimension to a PCA-transformed matrix of *Z* (*Z*) with the dimension $n \times q$, where q<p. Despite this reduction in dimension, *Z* preserves a significant variance in *Z*, helping us to overcome the issues of dimensionality or overfitting.

We select 11 features simulated by the F0AM model, many of which are set to the observed values,
or their precursors are observationally-constrained. These features are SZA, HCHO/NO₂, HCHO×NO₂,
HCHO, NO₂, pressure, temperature, jNO₂, jO¹D, H₂O, and NO₂/NO_y (NO_y=NO+NO₂+PAN+HNO₃+alkyl

317 nitrate $+N_2O_5$). There are indeed correlations among these features such as SZA and jNO₂, or HCHO and 318 HCHO×NO₂; nonetheless, we have used PCA to eliminate the possibility of these correlated factors causing 319 overfitting issues.

3.4. The estimation of PO₃ 320

321 In order to predict PO₃, we have developed empirical equations using LASSO to link PO₃ with various relevant prognostic candidates related to ozone chemistry. A schematic presentation on how this 322 323 estimation can be done to provide daily PO₃ maps at the TROPOMI revisit time across the globe is shown in Figure 2. It is important to note that relying solely on linear regressions for a non-linear problem is not a 324 viable approach. To address this, we have divided the data points into four distinct groups based on FNR 325 326 values, meaning we divide a non-linear realm into smaller linear segments (i.e., an empirical linearization). 327 In a study by Souri et al. (2023), a wide range of aircraft observations and box model results were used to 328 determine that FNR~1.7 was a universal threshold for separating NOx-sensitive from VOC-sensitive regimes. We have found that by breaking down the datapoints into slightly weaker or stronger variations of 329 the regimes, we can improve the accuracy of our results. As a result, we have established four distinct 330 groups: VOC-sensitive (FNR<1.5), transitions (1.5<FNR<2.5 and 2.5<FNR<3.5), and NOx-sensitive 331 332 (FNR>3.5). The coefficients and intercepts based on the LASSO regressions for each group were computed 333 separately. From a long list of explanatory parameters, we selected SZA, temperature, pressure, H₂O, jNO₂, 334 jO¹D, HCHO, and NO₂ as the most sensible candidates. The reasoning behind this selection will be 335 discussed in Section 4.2.

336 Once the LASSO parameters are determined, we apply the linear functions to variables modeled/observed in the PBL region. We show that the LASSO method votes for dropping SZA, 337 temperature, water vapor, and pressure as they do not provide significant information on PO₃ compared to 338 339 the rest. As for jNO₂ and jO¹D, we use the TUV NCAR's LUT described in Section 2.5. HCHO and NO₂ are derived by converting the bias-corrected TROPOMI VCDs into PBL mixing ratios using MERRA2-340 341 GMI described in Section 2.4. To carry out the conversion, we multiply the satellite VCDs by the ratio of 342 averaged modeled mixing ratios of a target gas (i.e., NO₂ or HCHO) in the PBL region divided by modeled 343 VCDs (Section 2.4). The PBL field also comes from MERRA2-GMI.



Input Candidates from Aircraft

Figure 2. Schematic illustration of daily PO₃ estimation calculated in this study. This process consists of
 two major steps: formulating PO₃ as a function of various prognostic inputs derived from the box model
 results, and predicting PO₃ based on optimized features/coefficients suggested by LASSO and using
 information obtained from TROPOMI, TUV, and M2GMI.

349 4. Results and Discussion

350 *4.1. Box Model Validation*

In order to assess the accuracy of the assumptions used in the box model's setup, which involves factors such as chemical mechanism, dilution rate, and photolysis rate correction, we will compare the simulated values of HCHO, NO₂, NO, PAN, HO₂, and OH with their actual measured values. This comparison will help us determine if our model falls within an acceptable range of errors as seen in other reputable photochemical box modeling studies. This comparison is represented in Figure 3, which displays a scatterplot of the data collected from all seven aircraft campaigns. A discussion on each parameter follows:

357 HCHO – The box model is proficient in capturing over 77% of variance in observations with less 358 than 15% absolute bias. While many box modeling studies prefer to have this compound constrained to potentially enhance the representation of HOx, it comes with the trade-off of hindering us from validating 359 the number/quality of observed HCHO precursors and/or the VOC treatment. Besides the study of Souri et 360 al. (2023), Marvin et al. (2017) is one of the few studies that did not constrain this compound to verify the 361 362 efficacy of different pathways involved in HCHO formation and loss simulated by various chemical 363 mechanisms. Marvin et al. (2017) reproduced HCHO formation during the SENEX campaign using the CB06 mechanism with a R²=0.66 and a bias of 32% at 1-min averaged samples. Compared to that study, 364 we recreate 86% variance in observed HCHO during the same campaign with a bias of 23% (Figure S1) at 365 366 10-sec averaged samples. The remaining unresolved variance can be attributed to an incomplete list of VOC 367 measurements for several campaigns including DISCOVER-AQs and errors of VOCs measurements. It is unlikely for the chemical mechanism to be reason for this, as Marvin et al. (2017) did not observe substantial 368 differences in R² values among various chemical mechanisms including the near-explicit MCM. A mild 369 underestimation of HCHO could be likely due to the steady-state assumption, fixed arbitrary dilution factor, 370 371 or uncertain isoprene chemistry (Archibald et al., 2000; Wolfe et al., 2016; Marvin et al., 2017).

372 NO_2 and NO – Comparisons for both species demonstrate a high degree of correspondence for 373 values above 0.1 ppby. Nonetheless, we have noted a substantial amount of fluctuation in the simulations 374 in clean regions, particularly for NO. While we cannot rule out the possibility of chemical mechanism uncertainty contributing to this deviation, the reported measurement errors for NO₂ and NO are usually 375 376 ± 0.05 ppbv and ± 0.1 ppbv, respectively. Consequently, it is likely that the measurements error resulted in more spread in comparison. In particular, Shah et al. (2023) found that these measurements could be 377 378 contaminated by various reactive nitrogen species in remote regions precluding a robust validation of 379 atmospheric models.

380 PAN – Our model reproduced 61% of the variance observed in PAN with a marginal absolute bias. 381 According to Xu et al. (2021), the presence of oxygenated VOCs, particularly acetaldehyde, and the NO/NO₂ ratio are key factors controlling PAN levels. While we have constrained acetaldehyde, variations 382 in the NO/NO₂ ratio in heavily polluted regions (where NO_x levels exceed 1 ppby) could potentially lead 383 384 to biases in PAN simulations. Furthermore, our model's dilution factor has been arbitrarily set, and it is possible that any bias caused by this factor has been canceled out by other effects, leading to seemingly 385 bias-free performance. However, Souri et al. (2023) showed that an incorrect dilution factor can 386 significantly impact PAN performance, causing a sharp decline in R² resulting in a value below 30%. 387 Therefore, the fact that our box model has performed well with respect to PAN could be an indication that 388 389 our choice of the dilution factor is reasonable.

390 HO₂ and OH – Based on our analysis of HO₂ and OH simulations during KORUS-AO, INTEX-B, and ATOMs, we have found a reasonable level of correspondence (R^{2} >0.6) with the performance in 391 previous studies conducted by Souri et al. (2020), Brune et al. (2022), Miller and Brune (2022), and Souri 392 et al. (2023) that focused on some of these campaigns. Although the box model OH simulations reported in 393 Brune et al. (2019) during ATOMs seemed to be better than ours ($R^2 \sim 0.8$ vs $R^2 \sim 0.6$), it is important to 394 consider that their observations were averaged over 1-minute intervals as opposed to our 30-second 395 396 intervals. It should also be noted that there can be large errors in ATHOS HO_x measurements of up to $\pm 40\%$ 397 (Miller et al., 2022), so recreating the exact variance in the observations should not be the main objective. Nonetheless, the performance of our simulations in terms of HO_x compared to observations suggests that 398 399 the number of measured compounds and chemical mechanisms used in the model was effective. Our 400 model's performance with respect to HO_x is comparable to more sophisticated mechanisms that encompass 401 a larger number of measured species (Brune et al., 2022; Miller and Brune, 2022).

402 Overall, while there are inevitably some differences between the box model results and 403 observations, they are consistent with what other studies have found in similar aircraft campaigns. Our 404 extensive box model results, which consider a variety of meteorological, chemical, and photolysis rates, 405 demonstrate satisfactory results for unconstrained compounds across a wide range of atmospheric 406 conditions. This suggests that our training dataset from the box model is a reliable source for understanding 407 local PO₃.

It is important to note that even if a simulated data point does not match up perfectly with actual observations, it still plays a role in establishing PO₃ and other explanatory variables. Hypothetically, one can generate synthetic training data points by running the box model under random numbers for the inputs; but only a fraction of those can be truly observed in nature. Therefore, a mild outlier in our training dataset should be viewed as less likely to occur in nature (presuming that these campaigns could represent all conditions happening in nature), but still a valuable data point drawn from a physical model that can be used to bridge PO₃ with explanatory variables.



41

Figure 3. The scatterplot comparison of simulations with observed concentrations for six unconstrained species. More than \sim 133,000 observations are used for HCHO, NO₂, NO, and PAN. HO_x data points are limited to \sim 55,000 observations. Heat maps show the density of the data. Linear fits are calculated using the ordinary least squares method.

420 4.2. Classification of aircraft data

Following the method described in Section 3.3, we cluster the cloud of aircraft data (~ 133k points) into seven distinct classes. We describe them using three categories: pollution level, altitude, and SZA. Figure 4 illustrates the violin plot of these classes for various chemical, solar, and meteorological conditions. Figure 5 shows their corresponding violin plot of simulated PO₃. A discussion of each class and their relationship to PO₃ follows:

426 C1 (clean, high altitude, high SZA) – Characterized by high altitude flights, cold ambient temperature, and 427 negligible water vapor content, this class consists of observations that were typically taken during relatively 428 high SZA with a median of 50°. While high altitude observations in clear-sky conditions often should have large photolysis rates due to reduced overhead ozone, the relatively high SZA of this class leads to low 429 430 photolysis rates. FNRs tend to be large in this class due to a higher amount of HCHO over NO₂, and FNP 431 (HCHO \times NO₂) and NO₂/NO_y ratios are low due to the pristine conditions. The lack of sufficient ozone 432 precursors and reduced photochemistry make this class undergo the lowest PO₃ rates with a median of 0.11 433 ppbv/hr.

C2 (clean, high altitude, low SZA) - This category represents samples collected in low SZA conditions,
resulting in the highest photolysis rates among all classes. The mass of ozone precursors and the ozone
sensitivity condition are similar to those in C1. However, C2 PO₃ rates are approximately 60% higher than
C1 due to increased photochemistry.

- 438 C3 (moderately clean, medium altitude, high SZA) - This class is characterized by observations collected
- 439 in mid-altitudes and high SZA. Airsheds in C3 experienced relatively more polluted air compared to C1 440
- and C2 due to being closer to the surface. Photolysis rates are smaller than C1 possibly because of higher ozone overhead, although we cannot rule out the varying surface albedo between the classes. Despite the
- 441 lower photolysis rates, C3 PO₃ (0.28 ppbv/hr) is larger than that of C2 and C1, indicating that pollution 442
- levels can have a more significant impact than favorable conditions for photochemistry. 443
- 444 C4 (moderately clean, medium altitude, low SZA) - This category is distinct from C3 in terms of lower
- 445 SZA (resulting in more photochemistry) and a slightly smaller number of ozone precursors. As a result of
- 446 the lower ozone precursor concentration, not only is C4 PO₃ (0.19 ppbv/hr) lower than C3, but also is not different from C2. This again implies that the amount of ozone precursors is more important than the
- 447
- photochemistry for these conditions. 448
- 449 C5 (extremely polluted, low altitude, low SZA) - This class features the highest amount of ozone precursors
- 450 (median FNP $\sim 58 \text{ ppbv}^2$) among all classes. Furthermore, it is characterized by low photolysis rates due to 451 its proximity to the surface, and high NO₂/NO_v indicative of localized polluted airshed. Unlike the previous
- classes, this class has the lowest FNR, indicating that it is mainly located in the VOC-sensitive regime. C5 452
- 453 PO₃ values are much higher than the previous classes, with a value of 3.0 ppbv/hr.
 - 454 C6 (polluted, low altitude, low SZA) - While this class shares similar features with C5 in terms of altitude, photolysis rates, and meteorology, it experiences a lower FNP (median of 8 ppbv²). Despite the lower FNP, 455 456 C6 has the highest amount of PO₃ (5.2 ppbv/hr) among all classes. This is a result of reduced non-linearities, 457 as this class does not often fall into an extreme VOC-sensitive regime (median FNR ~ 1.0) where nitrogen oxides (NO_x) can hamper ozone production. This tendency coincides with Souri et al. (2023) which also 458
 - 459 found that the highest amount of PO₃, lied between the transitional regimes, gravitated towards VOC-
- 460 sensitive because of abundant ozone precursors and reduced negative chemical feedback of NO_x .
- 461 C7 (moderately polluted, low altitude, high SZA) - C7 is characterized by aged air close to the surface with slightly higher photolysis rates than C5 and C6. C7 PO₃ is 2.5 ppbv/hr, only slightly smaller than C5 despite 462 much lower FNP (median of 0.9 ppbv^2). This could be caused by the combined effect of higher photolysis 463 464 rates and reduced non-linear ozone chemistry.
- 465 The analysis of aircraft data has revealed that the levels of HCHO and NO₂, as well as the rates of 466 jNO₂ and jO¹D photolysis, play an important role in influencing PO₃. Additionally, FNRs can offer insights into the sensitivity of PO₃ to its main precursors. These findings align with numerous other studies that 467 have examined the factors driving PO₃ (e.g., Duncan and Chameides, 1998; Thornton et al., 2002; Kleiman 468 469 et al., 2002; Gerasopoulos et al., 2006; Chatfield et al., 2010; Baylon et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020; Souri et al., 2023). Consequently, our PO₃ estimates will incorporate HCHO, NO₂, jNO₂, jO¹D, and FNR. While 470 471 the cluster analysis did not definitively indicate whether meteorological conditions impact PO₃, we will 472 also include ambient temperature, water vapor, pressure, and SZA to determine if they provide any additional insights into PO₃ estimates. 473





Figure 4. The violin plots of six different parameters coming from the box model clustered into seven distinct categories. Each cluster is described by three labels: air pollution levels (C: clean, M: moderately clean, P: moderately polluted, P+: polluted, P++: extremely polluted), altitude (H: high, M: medium, L: low), and SZA (H: high, L: low). The white dot is the median and the bars explain the 75th and 25th percentiles. Both FNR and FNP are scaled using the logarithmic function to enable the simultaneous visualization of low and high values within a single plot.



Figure 5. The corresponding violin plots of simulated PO₃ for the seven clusters described in Figure 4.
The lowest PO₃ is seen in remote regions (C-M) where ozone precursors are minimal. The highest PO₃
does not happen in the most polluted region (P++) resulting from the non-linear ozone chemistry.

485 *4.3. Estimates of PO*₃

486 *4.3.1. LASSO coefficients*

Armed with a procedure that finds the important features in a linear model (Section 3.1), we now 487 explore using LASSO for PO3 estimation. We make use of all data points generated by the observationally-488 constrained box model from various atmospheric composition campaigns. Among the selected variables 489 shown in Figure 2, the LASSO algorithm assigns zero coefficients to SZA, pressure, temperature, and water 490 491 vapor, indicating that they offer less valuable information compared to other variables. This decision was 492 made by systematically adjusting the regularization factor within a 10-fold cross-validation framework to identify the optimal factor that strikes a balance between solution variance and prediction bias. As a result, 493 the LASSO algorithm suggests that HCHO, NO₂, jNO₂, and jO¹D contain sufficient information to 494 495 accurately predict PO_3 for the most part.

496 Table 1 provides the intercepts and the corresponding coefficients for four different regions 497 separated by FNR. While we do not expect for a statistical model to fully single out the "cause and effect" relationship between explanatory variables and the target, we note that it has some basic understanding of 498 499 ozone chemistry; the HCHO coefficients increase as moving towards smaller FNRs (i.e., more VOC-500 sensitive). The same tendency is evident with respect to NO₂ and larger FNRs (i.e., more NO_x-sensitive). The negative coefficient of NO₂ in regions having FNR≤1.5, implies some levels of non-linear feedback 501 502 embedded in this parameterization. Both jNO_2 and JO^1D have positive coefficients throughout the chemical 503 conditions, suggesting that higher photolysis rates accelerate PO₃. JO¹D has a smaller effect than jNO₂ on 504 PO_3 over remote regions (FNR \geq 3.5) perhaps because of redundant information available compared to jNO₂.

505 **Table 1.** Calibrated coefficients derived from the LASSO estimator using seven atmospheric 506 composition aircraft campaigns.

Group	Criteria for FNR	Intercept	HCHO [ppbv]	NO ₂ [ppbv]	jNO ₂ ×10 ³ [s ⁻¹]	jO ¹ D×10 ⁶ [s ⁻¹]
1	FNR≤1.5	-1.98	1.85	-0.14	0.12	0.09
2	1.5 <fnr<2.5< th=""><th>-3.38</th><th>1.79</th><th>0.98</th><th>0.19</th><th>0.07</th></fnr<2.5<>	-3.38	1.79	0.98	0.19	0.07
3	2.5 <fnr<3.5< th=""><th>-3.27</th><th>1.07</th><th>3.48</th><th>0.21</th><th>0.03</th></fnr<3.5<>	-3.27	1.07	3.48	0.21	0.03
4	FNR≥3.5	-1.63	0.41	6.54	0.11	0.01

507

508 *4.3.2.* Validation of PO₃ predictions

The validation of PO₃ prediction against the box model results is performed in threefold with an increasing stringency order: i) using all data points used in the LASSO algorithm, ii) by randomly dropping data points, and iii) by dropping each air quality campaign from the LASSO estimation and using its data as benchmark.

Figure 6a shows the scatterplot of predicted PO₃ against the box model for all data points used to 513 estimate the coefficients described in Section 4.3.1. Despite the algorithm's simplicity, we can recreate more 514 than 88% of the variance in PO₃ with negligible absolute bias. This has an important indication that our 515 scientific problem is not overly complex. There is less than 30% bias with respect to the mean absolute bias 516 517 of the prediction. The positive offset and a slope smaller than one indicate a mild underestimation (overestimation) of PO₃ in polluted (clean) regions. Figure 6b shows the same analysis for 20,000 randomly 518 chosen data points (~15% of the total) that we purposefully dropped from the LASSO estimation to gauge 519 if the predictor model can replicate numbers for points not used during the training. We find almost identical 520 statistics for these points, suggesting that the prediction stays robust for points outside the training data set. 521

However, the most stringent method is to drop each campaign data set entirely to understand where theprediction model struggles most.



524

Figure 6. Scatterplots comparing observationally-constrained F0AM model PO₃ and the predictions based
 on the proposed algorithm for (a) all data points and (b) 20,000 randomly-dropped data points as
 benchmarks. Despite the simplicity of the algorithm, we can reproduce a large variance in PO₃ using only
 four explanatory variables.

529 Figure 7 shows several subplots pertaining to dropped campaigns from the analysis. Immediately 530 evident is that our PO₃ estimation has considerable skills at capturing PO₃ for most polluted cases, including 531 DISCOVER-AQs, KORUS-AQ, and SENEX without using their individual datasets. This provides convincing evidence about a high degree of generalizability of the predictor. However, the model has a 532 reduction in performance in INTEX-B for $PO_3 < 1$ ppbv/h. Moreover, the model prediction power is 533 534 consistently poor for ATOMs where a significant fraction of airsheds were samples in pristine areas. We see 535 such poor performance for PO₃<1 ppbv/hr for other campaigns such as KORUS-AQ. Therefore, it is 536 difficult to have confidence in the predictive power of the model in remote regions, which may be caused 537 by the lack of inclusion of HOx, halogens, and H₂O in the fit, as they can become an important sink for tropospheric ozone in those areas (Simpson et al., 2015). Nonetheless, while our predictive accuracy 538 539 remains poor for this specific subset of the data, the practical utility and significance of this specific region 540 (i.e., pristine areas) for air quality applications are notably limited. Given these results, we limit our 541 predictions to PO₃>1 ppbv/hr for the subsequent analyses.



Figure 7. Same as Figure 6b, but each campaign is dropped from the LASSO estimation and subsequently
used as an independent benchmark. The designed algorithm has shown a high degree of skill at predicting
PO₃ in polluted regions; however, it performs poorly in pristine areas.

546 4.3.3. TROPOMI NO₂ and HCHO validation

To build confidence in our quantitative application of TROPOMI data for PO_3 estimates, we validate the daily tropospheric NO_2 and total HCHO columns against MAX-DOAS and FTIR observations based upon the validation framework outlined in Vigouroux et al. (2020) and Verheolst et al. (2021). Both paired datasets have been expanded to late 2023 showing a fuller picture of TROPOMI error characterization compared to former studies. Figure 8 shows the comparison of daily TROPOMI, the benchmarks and the optimal fit associated with their errors for the period of 2018-2023.

553 In the context of tropospheric NO₂ comparison, we observe a slope smaller than one (~ 0.66) with a positive offset (0.32×10^{15} molec/cm²). This tendency has been repeatedly documented in various studies 554 for various satellites or benchmarks (e.g., Griffin et al., 2019; Choi et al., 2020; Verhoelst et al. 2021; van 555 Geffen et al., 2022). A slope smaller than one, originating from unresolved systematic biases, implies that 556 557 TROPOMI is biased-low in polluted regions. A slight positive offset suggests that TROPOMI NO₂ is 558 biased-high in remote regions. The errors of slope and the offset are relatively small, evidence of the robustness of the optimal fit against the dataset variance. Nonetheless, we will incorporate them into Eqs 2 559 560 and 3 to take the adjustment error into consideration.

561 Despite the inherent difficulty in obtaining HCHO observations from the UV-Vis imagery 562 (González Abad et al., 2019), the HCHO comparison exhibits a good alignment with benchmarks. Like the 563 previous comparison, the slope is smaller than one (\sim 0.59) and the offset is positive (\sim 0.9 ×10¹⁵molec/cm²) 564 agreeing within 10% with studies done by Vigouroux et al. (2020) and De Smedt et al. (2021). 565 Consequently, we will consider the fit errors and adjust all VCDs based on the slope and the offset obtained 566 from this comparison.



567

Figure 8. The comparison of TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ and MAX-DOAS (left) and TROPOMI HCHO
and FTIR (right). The data points cover the period of 2018-2023. Both errors of in-situ measurements and
TROPOMI are considered in the fit. The data curation procedure has been discussed in Verhoelst et al.
(2021) and Vigouroux et al. (2020). The slope smaller than one suggests that both HCHO and NO₂ retrievals
are underestimated in polluted regions.

573 *4.3.4.* Maps of PO₃ across various regions and qualitative description

574 Taking advantage of the wealth of bias-corrected TROPOMI observations, we present the first-ever reported PO₃ maps at 0.1×0.1 degrees in the PBL in July 2019 across various geographic regions. Moreover, 575 because of the explicit nature of our algorithm, it is straightforward to break down the contributors of PO_3 576 577 to gather insights into how each driver has shaped the distribution of PO_3 . Therefore, in addition to PO_3 578 maps, we will show the magnitudes of various drivers of PO₃ including NO₂, HCHO, and FNR concentrations in the PBL region, the sum of scaled jO¹D and jNO₂ values, along with their contributions 579 580 to PO_3 . It is worth noting that these maps are only a snapshot of PO_3 whose precursors can have large 581 interannual and interdecadal variability caused by meteorology, chemistry, and emissions. A discussion on 582 each region follows:

583 Africa and the Middle East – Figure 9 illustrates the accelerated rates of PO_3 over the region, particularly concentrated over major cities such as Tehran (Iran), Cairo (Egypt), Riyadh (Saudi), Baghdad (Iraq), Algiers 584 (Algeria), and Johannesburg (South Africa). These urban areas consistently experience poor air quality 585 586 episodes (e.g., Chaichan et al., 2016; Belhout et al., 2018; Yousefian et al., 2020; Thompson et al., 2014; Boraiy et al., 2023; Choi and Souri et al. 2015a). The biomass burning activities in Africa (see Figure 1 in 587 Roberts et al., 2009) significantly contribute to the high rates of PO_3 . Moreover, we see accelerated PO_3 588 over the Persian Gulf, a region housing oil and gas production facilities, leading to high PO₃ in the region 589 590 (Lelieveld et al., 2009; Choi and Souri et al. 2015a). Figure 10 shows NO₂ and HCHO concentrations are highly correlated in the Middle East (r=0.82) due to co-emitted NO_x and VOC emissions, predominantly 591 592 from anthropogenic sources. Over the whole region, HCHO and NO₂ concentrations are only moderately 593 correlated (r=0.61). This is because there is strong spatial heterogeneity associated with NO_x and VOC 594 emissions over Africa that are not spatially correlated. One possible explanation for this could be the 595 emission dependence on the type of fire combustion in Africa (van der Velde et al., 2021) and the location

of biogenic isoprene emissions (Marais et al., 2014). For the most part, FNRs tend to fall in ranges above 596 597 >3.5 (LASSO group 4, highly NO_x-sensitive). However, lower FNRs are prevalent in the core of cities due 598 to elevated NO_x emissions. The contributions of HCHO to PO₃ occur predominantly over areas with low 599 FNRs. These results suggest that NO_x emissions dictate the location of maximum VOC contributions to PO_3 . The contribution of NO_2 to PO_3 behaves non-linearly with negative values at the core of cities such as 600 Johannesburg and Tehran (Figure S2). Photolysis rates are high over low SZA and bright surface albedo 601 602 (i.e., arid land). Accordingly, photolysis rates exhibit a latitudinal gradient in response to changes in SZA. 603 Greater contributions of photolysis rates to PO_3 are observed in areas with low FNRs, as determined by the 604 LASSO estimator (Table 1).



605

- **Figure 9.** The spatial distribution of PO_3 within the PBL region averaged over July 2019 in Africa and the Middle East. $PO_3 < 1$ ppbv/hr is masked due to the algorithm deficiencies. Accelerated PO_3 can be seen over
- 608 major cities and biomass burning activities in Africa.



609

Figure 10. (first row) PBL concentrations of HCHO, NO₂, FNR and sum of scaled jO¹D and jNO₂ derived
 from TROPOMI and models in July 2019; (second row) the contributions of HCHO, NO₂, and photolysis
 rates to PO₃, along with the defined LASSO ozone production sensitivity regimes for PO₃ estimates.

Contiguous United States (CONUS) - New York City, Los Angeles (LA), the San Francisco Bay area, and 613 614 Lake Michigan areas all experience accelerated PO₃ in July 2019, as shown in Figure 11. All the regions 615 fall into non-attainment regions (marginal to extreme) with respect to ozone standards and have been 616 immensely studied (Wu et al., 2024; Kim et al., 2022; Stainer et al., 2021). A robust relationship between 617 PO₃ and ozone concentrations can only be established by factoring in physical processes such as horizontal and vertical transport, dry deposition rates, and background values. In regions with high background ozone 618 619 concentrations, for example in mountainous areas, even a moderate level of PO₃ can elevate ozone 620 concentration to unhealthy levels. Conversely, if there is a strong correlation between PO₃ and frequent 621 ozone exceedances, such as those observed in the mentioned U.S. cities, it indicates that locally produced ozone through chemical reactions is the primary factor contributing to those events. Except for LA, the vast 622 623 majority of CONUS fall into large FNRs (>3.5), meaning NO₂ levels largely shape the spatial distribution of PO₃ (Figure 12). HCHO levels are found to be relatively large over LA, causing PO₃ to increase due to 624 625 its greater sensitivity to VOCs. In addition to high levels of HCHO and NO₂ in several Californian regions, accelerated photochemistry caused by the bright surface albedo enhances PO₃. 626

CONUS



627

628 Figure 11. Same as Figure 9 but for CONUS. Elevated PO₃ prevails over various areas such as New York

629 City, Los Angeles, San Francisco Bay area, and Lake Michigan.



Figure 12. Same as Figure 10 but for CONUS.

632 *East and Southeast Asia* – Figure 13 shows extremely accelerated PO₃ values over East Asia, particularly over North China Plain, Yangtze River Delta, Pearl River Delta, and Seoul. These regions have experienced 633 634 severely degraded air quality with respect to ozone (Souri et al., 2020a,b; Li et al., 2019; Colombi et al., 2023; Schroeder et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2007). In southeast Asia, Hanoi (Vietnam), 635 636 Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), and Jakarta (Indonesia), undergoing heightened PO₃ as well, have received less attention in literature (Ahamad et al., 2020; Kusumaningtyas et al., 2024; Sakamoto et al., 2018). Figure 14 637 suggests that the chemical condition of many regions in China and South Korea, falling within the 638 639 transitional regimes (LASSO group 2 and 3, 1.5<FNR<3.5), has made them susceptible to high PO₃ levels 640 due to concurrent high concentrations of HCHO and NO2. Moreover, photochemistry appears to be active 641 throughout the region.



Figure 13. Same as Figure 9 but for east and southeast Asia. Because of heightened amount of
photochemistry, NO₂, and HCHO, we observe accelerated PO₃ throughout the majority of the cities in East
and Southeast Asia.



647 Figure 14. Same as Figure 10 but for east and southeast Asia.

Europe – Figure 15 reveals high PO₃ over Benelux (Belgium, The Netherlands, and Luxembourg), Po 648 649 Valley (Italy), and several major cities such as Barcelona (Spain) and Rome (Italy). Benelux has the largest hotspot of PO3 in the region (e.g., Zara et al., 2021). A significant portion of England, Benelux, fall into 650 651 VOC-sensitive, or the transitional regime (FNR<2.5) shown in Figure 16. Because of diminished photochemistry in these high latitude regions, we do not see significant PBL concentrations of HCHO in 652 order for PO₃ to be as high as the previous areas; moreover, the non-linear NO_x feedback has led to negative 653 contributions of NO₂ to PO₃ in several cities such as London. In general, low photolysis rates compared to 654 the previous regions have made most of Europe less prone to elevated PO₃. 655



656

Figure 15. Same as Figure 9 but for Europe. Because of reduced photochemistry, PO₃ values tend to be smaller than the previous cases. Benelux has experienced the highest PO₃ in this region.



660 Figure 16. Same as Figure 10 but for Europe.

661 *4.3.5. Seasonality of PO*₃ over the Middle East

It is attractive to study the seasonal variations in the contributors to PO₃ over several major cities because the PO₃ drivers' seasonality can vary from location to location. We decide to focus on several Middle Eastern countries that have experienced rapid growth and degraded air quality: Cairo (Egypt), Ghaza (Palestine), Baghdad (Iraq), Riyadh (Saudi Arabia), Tehran (Iran), and the Persian Gulf region. We illustrate the seasonality of four major contributors to PO₃ including NO₂, HCHO, jNO₂, and jO¹D in 2019 in Figure 17.

668 The levels of HCHO (a proxy for VOCs) consistently have the greatest impact on PO₃ throughout 669 the year in these regions. Specifically, both Baghdad and Tehran experience high levels of HCHO even during colder months, which can be observed using TROPOMI. This suggests that regulations targeting the 670 reduction of man-made VOC emissions should be prioritized in this region. PO3 levels over Cairo, Gaza, 671 672 Baghdad, and the Persian Gulf peak during summertime, while Tehran experiences a comparable peak in the autumn due to increased VOC emissions. Additionally, we notice a decrease in PO3 levels over the 673 674 Persian Gulf and Riyadh in July, possibly due to a decline in HCHO contributions caused by meteorological factors. Even though NO₂ concentrations decline in summertime due to shorter lifetime against OH, the 675 676 higher amount of HCHO makes PO₃ more sensitive to NO₂ in this season. Ghaza shows the least seasonal variation among these regions, likely due to consistently active photochemistry throughout the year. 677



Figure 17. The contributions of NO_2 , HCHO, jNO_2 , and jO^1D to the PBL PO₃ for several major regions in the Middle East. These estimates are based on the proposed algorithm integrating TROPOMI, ground-based remote sensing, and atmospheric models, to estimate PO₃ based upon a statistical approach. PO₃ tends to spike around the summer due to increased HCHO, higher sensitivity of PO₃ to NO_x , and enhanced photochemistry. However, Tehran shows a second peak in autumn due to unusual high values of HCHO.

684 *4.3.6.* The effect of satellite errors on PO₃

Satellite retrieval errors have been identified as the primary obstacle to achieving a robust 685 686 understanding of ozone chemistry using HCHO and NO₂ data (Souri et al., 2023; Johnson et al., 2023); therefore, generating uncertainty maps is crucial for informing the scientific community about the 687 credibility of our PO₃ estimates. In this study, we utilize the equations outlined in Section 2.2.1 to propagate 688 the errors of HCHO and NO₂ retrievals to the final PO₃ estimates. We achieve this by recalculating the PO₃ 689 690 value for a given pixel 10,000 times, with each recalculation based on a sample drawn from a normal 691 distribution with a standard deviation equal to the satellite total error. The standard deviation of these 692 samples offers a good approximation of the impact of satellite errors on PO₃ estimates.

Figure 18 illustrates the maps of PO_3 absolute and relative errors over the targeted regions in the course of the month of July. The errors of PO_3 estimates tend to be high (> 50%) in remote regions where the trace gas signals are small. However, the PO_3 errors are within 10-20% in polluted regions where the signals are larger. Currently, the absence of absolute measurements of PO_3 at this vast spatial coverage makes it challenging to judge the severity of these errors for PO_3 applications. Nonetheless, any application based on this product should be recalculated within the reported errors through a Monte-Carlo to gauge the significance of the outcome.



Figure 18. The influence of the satellite errors on PO₃ estimates (absolute and relative) over four major
 regions tackled in this work. The errors are based on monthly-averaged TROPOMI errors. The errors tend
 to be mild over polluted regions (10-20%) but they can exceed above 50% over pristine ones.

704 **5.** Conclusion

705 Providing data-driven and integrated maps of ozone production rates (PO₃) using a synergy of 706 satellite retrievals, ground-based remote sensing, and atmospheric models enabled us to generate the first satellite-informed product of this kind, offering extensive spatial coverage with important applications in 707 708 atmospheric chemistry. These data have indeed extended the use of formaldehyde (HCHO) over nitrogen 709 dioxide (NO_2) ratios (FNR) beyond their current role. Through this product, we can shed light on the effects 710 of emission regulations, wildfires, widespread lockdown, wars, and economic recessions on PO₃ levels. 711 Furthermore, given the long-term records of satellite observations (e.g., OMI since 2005 and TROPOMI 712 since 2018), this product can inform emission regulators about locally-produced ozone hotspots, and 713 ultimately, enhance our understanding of the spatiotemporal variability of ozone formation for over two 714 decades.

715 In this study, we generated PO_3 maps within the planetary boundary layer (PBL), constrained by 716 bias-corrected TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) observations, using a piecewise 717 regularized regression model. This model was calibrated using a blend of data from a comprehensive suite of aircraft observations and a well-characterized box model. These maps, produced for various regions, 718 719 allowed us to identify hotspots of locally-produced ozone pollution with unprecedented resolution. Our 720 findings indicated that numerous urban areas in the Middle East, East Asia, and Southeast Asia exhibit accelerated PO₃ rates (>8 ppbv/hr), attributed to high levels of anthropogenic nitrogen oxides (NO_x = NO 721 722 + NO₂), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and active photochemistry. In contrast, such elevated PO₃ 723 levels were less prevalent in the United States and Europe, with exceptions including Los Angeles, New

York City, and the entire region of the Benelux. Additionally, biomass burning activities in Africa contributed to high PO₃ rates across extensive areas. Seasonality of PO₃ peaked around the summer for several regions in the Middle East because of active photochemistry and concurrent large HCHO and NO₂ levels; however, Tehran experienced elevated PO₃ in the autumn due to large HCHO values possibly produced from anthropogenic emissions.

729 The production of these maps relied heavily on a robust training dataset. To this end, we 730 incorporated an extensive array of aircraft observations from multiple atmospheric composition campaigns, including DISCOVER-AQ, KORUS-AQ, INTEX-B, ATOM, and SENEX, into the Framework for 0-D 731 732 Atmospheric Modeling (F0AM) photochemical box model. The box model demonstrated a high level of 733 correspondence ($R^2 > 0.6$, with minimal biases) between several unconstrained compounds (e.g., HCHO, 734 OH, HO₂, PAN, NO, and NO₂) and their observed counterparts, indicating its effectiveness in understanding 735 local ozone chemistry. Utilizing a classification algorithm applied to the data obtained from the constrained 736 box model, we identified HCHO, NO₂, their ratio (known as FNR), photolysis rates, and, to some extent, 737 meteorological factors as good candidates for reproducing PO₃ variability and magnitudes.

738 Subsequently, we employed a piecewise linear model known as LASSO, which is capable of 739 feature selection by eliminating unimportant inputs, to parameterize PO₃. A key component of this 740 parameterization was the use of FNR to empirically linearize the non-linear ozone chemistry. The LASSO 741 algorithm indicated that more than 88% of the variance in PO_3 could be reproduced with low bias using 742 only five parameters: FNR, HCHO, NO₂, jNO₂ (photolysis rates for NO₂ + hv), and jO¹D (photolysis rates for $O_3 + hv$). This parameterization demonstrated remarkable performance for the majority of air parcels 743 744 collected in moderately to extremely polluted regions ($PO_3 > 1$ ppbv/hr). However, it performed poorly in 745 pristine regions due to the exclusion of certain ozone loss pathways, such as HO_x (OH+HO₂), which are 746 more challenging to predict.

Fortunately, TROPOMI provided critical data to enhance the representation of FNR, HCHO, NO₂, jNO₂, and jO¹D. We utilized TROPOMI's viewing geometry, UV surface albedo, and total ozone overhead from a model to predict jNO₂ and jO¹D using look-up tables derived from NCAR's TUV model. To convert TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ and HCHO columns to their PBL mixing ratios, we employed the MERRA2GMI global transport model, extensively used in various studies. However, the coarse resolution of this model might have introduced underrepresentation issues, which could be mitigated by using higher spatial resolution models in future research.

To address the biases associated with TROPOMI observations, we updated comparisons from Verhoelst et al. (2021) and Vigouroux et al. (2020) with a larger dataset of paired TROPOMI and FTIR/MAX-DOAS measurements. TROPOMI retrievals significantly underestimated HCHO and NO₂ magnitudes in polluted regions (slope ~0.6 - 0.7) and moderately overestimated them in pristine areas. These biases were corrected using regression lines, enabling a relatively unbiased application of the data.

To build confidence in our product, we propagated TROPOMI HCHO and NO_2 errors to PO_3 estimates using a Monte Carlo approach. Results indicated that PO_3 estimates were uncertain (>50%) in clean regions due to a low trace gas signal in TROPOMI retrievals. However, in polluted regions, the errors were more moderate (10-20%) due to the stronger signal.

763 Over the years, extensive efforts have been devoted to measuring various critical atmospheric 764 compounds globally, developing robust atmospheric models, and enhancing satellite retrievals along with 765 their benchmarks. These advancements have enabled us to estimate PO_3 maps within the PBL. Nonetheless, 766 it is crucial to acknowledge some limitations of our work, many of which are the focus of ongoing research 767 within our team: i) The direct measurement of PO₃ using specialized instruments (Cazorla and Brune, 2010;
Sadanaga et al., 2017; Sklaveniti et al., 2018) is lacking in most atmospheric composition datasets, limiting
our ability to fully understand the effects of assumptions (such as the exclusion of heterogeneous chemistry)
made in the box model on PO₃.

ii) There is potential for improvement in the parameterization process by employing more sophisticated algorithms, such as neural networks, which could increase the variance explained in the predicted PO_3 .

iii) The conversion of satellite column data to PBL mixing ratios requires error characterization and the use of finer-resolution models that are comparable in size to the PO_3 grid boxes.

iv) Partially cloudy pixels and aerosols can affect photolysis rates, which should be considered infuture parameterization efforts.

779 It is important to recognize that PO_3 maps are just one piece of the puzzle when it comes to 780 determining ozone concentrations. Several studies have indicated that accurately representing surface ozone 781 is challenging due to difficulties in representing background ozone, transport, and dry deposition rates. 782 Therefore, we advise against directly linking high PO₃ rates from our product to increased unhealthy ozone exposure. However, our product does provide indications as to whether heightened ozone concentrations 783 784 are associated with chemistry contributions as opposed to other processes (e.g., meteorology or dry 785 deposition rates). Further investigation using additional tools/data is necessary to gather a full picture of 786 these processes.

Despite these limitations, our novel product offers an asset to the atmospheric science community.
It provides a more comprehensive understanding of the complexities associated with spatiotemporal
variability associated with the non-linear ozone chemistry at a large domain and enhances confidence in
high-resolution maps of chemically-produced ozone hotspots.

791 **Financial Support**

792 This study is funded by NASA's ACMAP/Aura project (grant no. 80NSSC23K1250). The measurements at Paramaribo have been supported by the BMBF (German Ministry of Education and Research) in project 793 794 ROMIC-II's subproject TroStra (01LG1904A). The NDACC FTIR stations Bremen, Garmisch, Izaña, Ny-795 Ålesund, Paramaribo, and Karlsruhe have been supported by the German Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft 796 und Energie (BMWi) via DLR5 under grants 50EE1711A, B, and D. The measurements and data analysis 797 at Bremen are supported by the Senate of Bremen. The NCAR FTS observation programs at Thule, GR, 798 Boulder, CO, and Mauna Loa, HI, are supported under contract by the National Aeronautics and Space 799 Administration (NASA). The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National 800 Science Foundation. The Thule effort is also supported by the NSF Office of Polar Programs (OPP). Operations at the Rikubetsu and Tsukuba FTIR sites are supported in part by the GOSAT series project. The 801 802 Paris TCCON site has received funding from Sorbonne Université, the French research center CNRS, and the French space agency CNES. The Jungfraujoch FTIR data are primarily available thanks to the support 803 provided by the F.R.S. FNRS (Brussels), the GAW-CH program of MeteoSwiss (Zürich), and the HFSJG.ch 804 805 Foundation (Bern). IUP-Bremen ground-based measurements are funded by DLR-Bonn and received through project 50EE1709A. KNMI ground-based measurements in De Bilt and Cabauw are partly 806 807 supported by the Ruisdael Observatory project, Dutch Research Council (NWO) contract 184.034.015, by 808 the Netherlands Space Office (NSO) for Sentinel-5p/TROPOMI validation, and by ESA via the EU CAMS 809 project.

810 Data Availability

811 TROPOMI satellite data are derived from copernicus Sentinel-5P (processed by ESA), 2021, TROPOMI Level 2 Nitrogen Dioxide total column products. Version 02. European Space Agency. 812 https://doi.org/10.5270/S5P-9bnp8q8, and copernicus Sentinel-5P (processed by ESA), 2020, TROPOMI 813 814 Level 2 Formaldehyde Total Column products. Version 02. European Space Agency. https://doi.org/10.5270/S5P-vg1i7t0. The FTIR and MAX-DOAS observations were partly obtained from 815 the Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change (NDACC) and are available through 816 the NDACC website at http://www.ndacc.org. The box model can be obtained from 817 https://github.com/AirChem/F0AM (last access: 10 Nov, 2024). The TROPOMI UV DLER can be obtained 818 819 from https://www.temis.nl/surface/albedo/tropomi ler.php (last access: 10 Nov 2024).

820 **Competing interests**

821 Bryan N. Duncan is a member of the editorial board of Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics

822 Acknowledgements

We thank all principal investigators, pilots, and managers who collected the aircraft data used in our 823 824 research and made them publicly available. We thank the FTIR HCHO measurement team of Thomas 825 Blumenstock, Martine De Mazière, Michel Grutter, James W. Hannigan, Nicholas Jones, Rigel Kivi, Erik Lutsch, Emmanuel Mahieu, Maria Makarova, Isamu Morino, Isao Murata, Tomoo Nagahama, Justus 826 Notholt, Ivan Ortega, Mathias Palm, Amelie Röhling, Matthias Schneider, Dan Smale, Wolfgang Stremme, 827 828 Kim String, Youwen Sun, Ralf Sussmann, Yao Té, and Pucai Wang. We thank the Meteorological Service Suriname and Cornelis Becker for their support. The MAX-DOAS data used in this publication were 829 830 obtained from Alkis Bais, John Burrows, Ka Lok Chan, Michel Grutter, Cheng Liu, Hitoshi Irie, Vinod 831 Kumar, Yugo Kanaya, Ankie Piters, Claudia Rivera-Cárdenas, Andreas Richter, Michel Van Roozendael, Robert Ryan, Vinayak Sinha, and Thomas Wagner. Fast delivery of MAX-DOAS data tailored to the S5P 832 validation was organized through S5PVT AO project NID-FORVAL. We thank the IISER Mohali 833 834 atmospheric chemistry facility for supporting the MAX-DOAS measurements at Mohali, India. We thank 835 Julie M. Nicely for providing merged ATOMs observations.

836 Authors' contributions

AHS designed and implemented the research idea, analyzed the data, made all figures, and wrote the
manuscript. TV, CV, GP, SC, and BL provided the paired TROPOMI and benchmark data. Other authors
helped with the analysis, the model setup, and interpretation.

840 **References:**

- Ahamad, F., Griffiths, P. T., Latif, M. T., Juneng, L., and Xiang, C. J.: Ozone Trends from Two Decades of
 Ground Level Observation in Malaysia, Atmosphere, 11, 755,
- 843 https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11070755, 2020.
- Anderson, D. C., Follette-Cook, M. B., Strode, S. A., Nicely, J. M., Liu, J., Ivatt, P. D., and Duncan, B.
 N.: A machine learning methodology for the generation of a parameterization of the hydroxyl radical, Geosci. Model Dev., 15, 6341–6358, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-6341-2022, 2022.
- Archibald, A. T., Jenkin, M. E., and Shallcross, D. E.: An isoprene mechanism intercomparison, Atmos.
 Environ., 44, 5356–5364, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.09.016, 2010.
- Baylon, P., Jaffe, D. A., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Alvarado, M. J., and Lefer, B. L.: Impact of Biomass
 Burning Plumes on Photolysis Rates and Ozone Formation at the Mount Bachelor Observatory, J.
 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123, 2272–2284, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027341, 2018.

- Beddows, D. C. S., Dall'Osto, M., and Harrison, R. M.: Cluster Analysis of Rural, Urban, and Curbside
 Atmospheric Particle Size Data, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 4694–4700,
 https://doi.org/10.1021/es803121t, 2009.
- Belhout, D., Kerbachi, R., Relvas, H., and Miranda, A. I.: Air quality assessment in Algiers city, Air.
 Qual. Atmos. Health., 11, 897–906, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-018-0589-x, 2018.
- Boraiy, M., El-Metwally, M., Wheida, A., El-Nazer, M., Hassan, S. K., El-Sanabary, F. F., Alfaro, S. C.,
 Abdelwahab, M., and Borbon, A.: Statistical analysis of the variability of reactive trace gases (SO2,
 NO2 and ozone) in Greater Cairo during dust storm events, J. Atmos. Chem., 80, 227–250,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-023-09449-4, 2023.
- Bottorff, B., Lew, M. M., Woo, Y., Rickly, P., Rollings, M. D., Deming, B., Anderson, D. C., Wood, E.,
 Alwe, H. D., Millet, D. B., Weinheimer, A., Tyndall, G., Ortega, J., Dusanter, S., Leonardis, T., Flynn,
 J., Erickson, M., Alvarez, S., Rivera-Rios, J. C., Shutter, J. D., Keutsch, F., Helmig, D., Wang, W.,
 Allen, H. M., Slade, J. H., Shepson, P. B., Bertman, S., and Stevens, P. S.: OH, HO2, and RO2 radical
 chemistry in a rural forest environment: measurements, model comparisons, and evidence of a
 missing radical sink, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 10287–10311, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-102872023, 2023.
- Brune, W. H., Miller, D. O., Thames, A. B., Allen, H. M., Apel, E. C., Blake, D. R., Bui, T. P., Commane,
 R., Crounse, J. D., Daube, B. C., Diskin, G. S., DiGangi, J. P., Elkins, J. W., Hall, S. R., Hanisco, T.
 F., Hannun, R. A., Hintsa, E. J., Hornbrook, R. S., Kim, M. J., McKain, K., Moore, F. L., Neuman, J.
 A., Nicely, J. M., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., St. Clair, J. M., Sweeney, C., Teng, A. P., Thompson, C.,
 Ullmann, K., Veres, P. R., Wennberg, P. O., and Wolfe, G. M.: Exploring Oxidation in the Remote
 Free Troposphere: Insights From Atmospheric Tomography (ATom), J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 125,
 e2019JD031685, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031685, 2020.
- Brune, W. H., Miller, D. O., Thames, A. B., Brosius, A. L., Barletta, B., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Chen,
 G., Choi, Y., Crawford, J. H., Digangi, J. P., Diskin, G., Fried, A., Hall, S. R., Hanisco, T. F., Huey, G.
 L., Hughes, S. C., Kim, M., Meinardi, S., Montzka, D. D., Pusede, S. E., Schroeder, J. R., Teng, A.,
 Tanner, D. J., Ullmann, K., Walega, J., Weinheimer, A., Wisthaler, A., and Wennberg, P. O.:
 Observations of atmospheric oxidation and ozone production in South Korea, Atmos. Environ., 269,
 118854, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118854, 2022.
- Cazorla, M. and Brune, W. H.: Measurement of Ozone Production Sensor, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 545–
 555, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-545-2010, 2010.
- Cazorla, M., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., and Lefer, B.: Direct measurement of ozone production rates in
 Houston in 2009 and comparison with two estimation methods, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1203–1212,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1203-2012, 2012.
- Chaichan, M. T., Kazem, H. A., and Abed, T. A.: Traffic and outdoor air pollution levels near highways in
 Baghdad, Iraq, Environ. Dev. Sustain., 20, 589–603, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-016-9900-x,
 2018.
- Chatfield, R. B., Ren, X., Brune, W., and Schwab, J.: Controls on urban ozone production rate as
 indicated by formaldehyde oxidation rate and nitric oxide, Atmos. Environ., 44, 5395–5406,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.08.056, 2010.
- Choi, J., Henze, D. K., Cao, H., Nowlan, C. R., González Abad, G., Kwon, H.-A., Lee, H.-M., Oak, Y. J.,
 Park, R. J., Bates, K. H., Maasakkers, J. D., Wisthaler, A., and Weinheimer, A. J.: An Inversion
 Framework for Optimizing Non-Methane VOC Emissions Using Remote Sensing and Airborne

- Observations in Northeast Asia During the KORUS-AQ Field Campaign, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
 127, e2021JD035844, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035844, 2022.
- Choi, S., Lamsal, L. N., Follette-Cook, M., Joiner, J., Krotkov, N. A., Swartz, W. H., Pickering, K. E.,
 Loughner, C. P., Appel, W., Pfister, G., Saide, P. E., Cohen, R. C., Weinheimer, A. J., and Herman, J.
 R.: Assessment of NO2 observations during DISCOVER-AQ and KORUS-AQ field campaigns,
- 900 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 2523–2546, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-2523-2020, 2020.
- 901 Choi, Y. and Souri, A. H.: Chemical condition and surface ozone in large cities of Texas during the last
 902 decade: Observational evidence from OMI, CAMS, and model analysis, Remote Sens. Environ., 168,
 903 90–101, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.06.026, 2015a.
- 904 Choi, Y. and Souri, A. H.: Seasonal behavior and long-term trends of tropospheric ozone, its precursors
 905 and chemical conditions over Iran: A view from space, Atmos. Environ., 106, 232–240,
 906 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.02.012, 2015b.
- 907 Choi, Y., Kim, H., Tong, D., and Lee, P.: Summertime weekly cycles of observed and modeled NOx and
 908 O3 concentrations as a function of satellite-derived ozone production sensitivity and land use types
 909 over the Continental United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 6291–6307, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp910 12-6291-2012, 2012.
- Colombi, N. K., Jacob, D. J., Yang, L. H., Zhai, S., Shah, V., Grange, S. K., Yantosca, R. M., Kim, S., and
 Liao, H.: Why is ozone in South Korea and the Seoul metropolitan area so high and increasing?,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 4031–4044, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-4031-2023, 2023.
- Crawford, J. H., Ahn, J.-Y., Al-Saadi, J., Chang, L., Emmons, L. K., Kim, J., Lee, G., Park, J.-H., Park, R.
 J., Woo, J. H., Song, C.-K., Hong, J.-H., Hong, Y.-D., Lefer, B. L., Lee, M., Lee, T., Kim, S., Min, K.E., Yum, S. S., Shin, H. J., Kim, Y.-W., Choi, J.-S., Park, J.-S., Szykman, J. J., Long, R. W., Jordan, C.
 E., Simpson, I. J., Fried, A., Dibb, J. E., Cho, S., and Kim, Y. P.: The Korea–United States Air Quality
 (KORUS-AQ) field study, Elem. Sci. Anth., 9, 00163, https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.2020.00163,
 2021.
- De Smedt, I., Pinardi, G., Vigouroux, C., Compernolle, S., Bais, A., Benavent, N., Boersma, F., Chan, K.L., Donner, S., Eichmann, K.-U., Hedelt, P., Hendrick, F., Irie, H., Kumar, V., Lambert, J.-C.,
 Langerock, B., Lerot, C., Liu, C., Loyola, D., Piters, A., Richter, A., Rivera Cárdenas, C., Romahn, F.,
 Ryan, R. G., Sinha, V., Theys, N., Vlietinck, J., Wagner, T., Wang, T., Yu, H., and Van Roozendael,
 M.: Comparative assessment of TROPOMI and OMI formaldehyde observations and validation
 against MAX-DOAS network column measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 12561–12593,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-12561-2021, 2021.
- DiMaria, C. A., Jones, D. B. A., Worden, H., Bloom, A. A., Bowman, K., Stavrakou, T., Miyazaki, K.,
 Worden, J., Guenther, A., Sarkar, C., Seco, R., Park, J.-H., Tota, J., Alves, E. G., and Ferracci, V.:
 Optimizing the Isoprene Emission Model MEGAN With Satellite and Ground-Based Observational
 Constraints, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 128, e2022JD037822, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD037822,
 2023.
- Duncan, B. N. and Chameides, W. L.: Effects of urban emission control strategies on the export of ozone and ozone precursors from the urban atmosphere to the troposphere, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 103, 28159–28179, https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD02145, 1998.
- Duncan, B. N., Strahan, S. E., Yoshida, Y., Steenrod, S. D., and Livesey, N.: Model study of the crosstropopause transport of biomass burning pollution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3713–3736,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-3713-2007, 2007.

- Duncan, B. N., Yoshida, Y., Olson, J. R., Sillman, S., Martin, R. V., Lamsal, L., Hu, Y., Pickering, K. E.,
 Retscher, C., Allen, D. J., and Crawford, J. H.: Application of OMI observations to a space-based
 indicator of NOx and VOC controls on surface ozone formation, Atmos. Environ., 44, 2213–2223,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.03.010, 2010.
- Fleming, Z. L., Doherty, R. M., von Schneidemesser, E., Malley, C. S., Cooper, O. R., Pinto, J. P., Colette,
 A., Xu, X., Simpson, D., Schultz, M. G., Lefohn, A. S., Hamad, S., Moolla, R., Solberg, S., and Feng,
 Z.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Present-day ozone distribution and trends relevant to
 human health, Elem. Sci. Anth., 6, 12, https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.273, 2018.
- Gaudel, A., Cooper, O. R., Ancellet, G., Barret, B., Boynard, A., Burrows, J. P., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-946 947 F., Cuesta, J., Cuevas, E., Doniki, S., Dufour, G., Ebojie, F., Foret, G., Garcia, O., Granados-Muñoz, 948 M. J., Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F., Hassler, B., Huang, G., Hurtmans, D., Jaffe, D., Jones, N., Kalabokas, P., Kerridge, B., Kulawik, S., Latter, B., Leblanc, T., Le Flochmoën, E., Lin, W., Liu, J., 949 950 Liu, X., Mahieu, E., McClure-Begley, A., Neu, J. L., Osman, M., Palm, M., Petetin, H., 951 Petropavlovskikh, I., Querel, R., Rahpoe, N., Rozanov, A., Schultz, M. G., Schwab, J., Siddans, R., Smale, D., Steinbacher, M., Tanimoto, H., Tarasick, D. W., Thouret, V., Thompson, A. M., Trickl, T., 952 953 Weatherhead, E., Wespes, C., Worden, H. M., Vigouroux, C., Xu, X., Zeng, G., and Ziemke, J.: 954 Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Present-day distribution and trends of tropospheric ozone 955 relevant to climate and global atmospheric chemistry model evaluation, Elem. Sci. Anth., 6, 39, 956 https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.291, 2018.
- Gerasopoulos, E., Kouvarakis, G., Vrekoussis, M., Donoussis, C., Mihalopoulos, N., and Kanakidou, M.:
 Photochemical ozone production in the Eastern Mediterranean, Atmos. Environ., 40, 3057–3069, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.12.061, 2006.
- Govender, P. and Sivakumar, V.: Application of k-means and hierarchical clustering techniques for
 analysis of air pollution: A review (1980–2019), Atmos. Pollut. Res., 11, 40–56,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2019.09.009, 2020.
- Jeon, W., Choi, Y., Souri, A. H., Roy, A., Diao, L., Pan, S., Lee, H. W., and Lee, S.-H.: Identification of
 chemical fingerprints in long-range transport of burning induced upper tropospheric ozone from
 Colorado to the North Atlantic Ocean, Sci. Total Environ., 613–614, 820–828,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.177, 2018.
- Jin, X., Fiore, A. M., Murray, L. T., Valin, L. C., Lamsal, L. N., Duncan, B., Folkert Boersma, K., De
 Smedt, I., Abad, G. G., Chance, K., and Tonnesen, G. S.: Evaluating a Space-Based Indicator of
 Surface Ozone-NOx-VOC Sensitivity Over Midlatitude Source Regions and Application to Decadal
 Trends, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 10,439-10,461, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026720, 2017.
- Johnson, M. S., Souri, A. H., Philip, S., Kumar, R., Naeger, A., Geddes, J., Judd, L., Janz, S., Chong, H.,
 and Sullivan, J.: Satellite remote-sensing capability to assess tropospheric-column ratios of
 formaldehyde and nitrogen dioxide: case study during the Long Island Sound Tropospheric Ozone
 Study 2018 (LISTOS 2018) field campaign, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 16, 2431–2454,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-16-2431-2023, 2023.
- Kim, S.-W., McDonald, B. C., Seo, S., Kim, K.-M., and Trainer, M.: Understanding the Paths of Surface
 Ozone Abatement in the Los Angeles Basin, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 127, e2021JD035606,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035606, 2022.
- Kleinman, L. I., Daum, P. H., Imre, D., Lee, Y.-N., Nunnermacker, L. J., Springston, S. R., WeinsteinLloyd, J., and Rudolph, J.: Ozone production rate and hydrocarbon reactivity in 5 urban areas: A
 cause of high ozone concentration in Houston, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 105-1-105–4,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL014569, 2002.

- Kusumaningtyas, S. D. A., Tonokura, K., Muharsyah, R., Gunawan, D., Sopaheluwakan, A., Iriana, W.,
 Lestari, P., Permadi, D. A., Rahmawati, R., and Samputra, N. A. R.: Comprehensive analysis of longterm trends, meteorological influences, and ozone formation sensitivity in the Jakarta Greater Area,
 Sci. Rep., 14, 9605, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-60374-2, 2024.
- Lelieveld, J., Hoor, P., Jöckel, P., Pozzer, A., Hadjinicolaou, P., Cammas, J.-P., and Beirle, S.: Severe
 ozone air pollution in the Persian Gulf region, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1393–1406,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-1393-2009, 2009.
- Li, K., Jacob, D. J., Liao, H., Shen, L., Zhang, Q., and Bates, K. H.: Anthropogenic drivers of 2013–2017
 trends in summer surface ozone in China, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 116, 422–427, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812168116, 2019.
- Marais, E. A., Jacob, D. J., Guenther, A., Chance, K., Kurosu, T. P., Murphy, J. G., Reeves, C. E., and
 Pye, H. O. T.: Improved model of isoprene emissions in Africa using Ozone Monitoring Instrument
 (OMI) satellite observations of formaldehyde: implications for oxidants and particulate matter,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 7693–7703, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-7693-2014, 2014.
- Martin, R. V., Fiore, A. M., and Van Donkelaar, A.: Space-based diagnosis of surface ozone sensitivity to
 anthropogenic emissions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019416, 2004.
- Marvin, M. R., Wolfe, G. M., Salawitch, R. J., Canty, T. P., Roberts, S. J., Travis, K. R., Aikin, K. C., de
 Gouw, J. A., Graus, M., Hanisco, T. F., Holloway, J. S., Hübler, G., Kaiser, J., Keutsch, F. N., Peischl,
 J., Pollack, I. B., Roberts, J. M., Ryerson, T. B., Veres, P. R., and Warneke, C.: Impact of evolving
 isoprene mechanisms on simulated formaldehyde: An inter-comparison supported by in situ
 observations from SENEX, Atmos. Environ., 164, 325–336,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.05.049, 2017.
- Mazzuca, G. M., Ren, X., Loughner, C. P., Estes, M., Crawford, J. H., Pickering, K. E., Weinheimer, A. J.,
 and Dickerson, R. R.: Ozone production and its sensitivity to NOx and VOCs: results from the
 DISCOVER-AQ field experiment, Houston 2013, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 14463–14474,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-14463-2016, 2016.
- Miller, D. O. and Brune, W. H.: Investigating the Understanding of Oxidation Chemistry Using 20 Years
 of Airborne OH and HO2 Observations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 127, e2021JD035368,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD035368, 2022.
- Mills, G., Pleijel, H., Malley, C. S., Sinha, B., Cooper, O. R., Schultz, M. G., Neufeld, H. S., Simpson, D.,
 Sharps, K., Feng, Z., Gerosa, G., Harmens, H., Kobayashi, K., Saxena, P., Paoletti, E., Sinha, V., and
 Xu, X.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Present-day tropospheric ozone distribution and
 trends relevant to vegetation, Elem. Sci. Anth., 6, 47, <u>https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.302</u>, 2018.
- Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H., Sudo, K., Boersma, K. F., Bowman, K., and Kanaya, Y.: Decadal changes in
 global surface NOx emissions from multi-constituent satellite data assimilation, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 17, 807–837, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-807-2017, 2017.
- Orbe, C., Oman, L. D., Strahan, S. E., Waugh, D. W., Pawson, S., Takacs, L. L., and Molod, A. M.: LargeScale Atmospheric Transport in GEOS Replay Simulations, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 9, 2545–2560,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017MS001053, 2017.
- Pan, S., Roy, A., Choi, Y., Eslami, E., Thomas, S., Jiang, X., and Gao, H. O.: Potential impacts of electric vehicles on air quality and health endpoints in the Greater Houston Area in 2040, Atmos. Environ., 207, 38–51, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.03.022, 2019.

- Ren, X., van Duin, D., Cazorla, M., Chen, S., Mao, J., Zhang, L., Brune, W. H., Flynn, J. H., Grossberg,
 N., Lefer, B. L., Rappenglück, B., Wong, K. W., Tsai, C., Stutz, J., Dibb, J. E., Thomas Jobson, B.,
 Luke, W. T., and Kelley, P.: Atmospheric oxidation chemistry and ozone production: Results from
 SHARP 2009 in Houston, Texas, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 5770–5780,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50342, 2013.
- Roberts, G., Wooster, M. J., and Lagoudakis, E.: Annual and diurnal african biomass burning temporal dynamics, Biogeosciences, 6, 849–866, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-849-2009, 2009.
- Sadanaga, Y., Kawasaki, S., Tanaka, Y., Kajii, Y., and Bandow, H.: New System for Measuring the
 Photochemical Ozone Production Rate in the Atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 2871–2878,
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b04639, 2017.
- Sakamoto, Y., Shoji, K., Bui, M. T., Phạm, T. H., Vu, T. A., Ly, B. T., and Kajii, Y.: Air quality study in
 Hanoi, Vietnam in 2015–2016 based on a one-year observation of NOx, O3, CO and a one-week
 observation of VOCs, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 9, 544–551, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2017.12.001,
 2018.
- Schroeder, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Ahn, J.-Y., Chang, L., Fried, A., Walega, J., Weinheimer, A., Montzka,
 D. D., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Chen, G., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J.,
 Hughes, S. C., Meinardi, S., Diskin, G., Digangi, J. P., Choi, Y., Pusede, S. E., Huey, G. L., Tanner, D.
 J., Kim, M., and Wennberg, P.: Observation-based modeling of ozone chemistry in the Seoul
 metropolitan area during the Korea-United States Air Quality Study (KORUS-AQ), Elem. Sci. Anth.,
 8, 3, https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.400, 2020.
- Schroeder, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Fried, A., Walega, J., Weinheimer, A., Wisthaler, A., Müller, M.,
 Mikoviny, T., Chen, G., Shook, M., Blake, D. R., and Tonnesen, G. S.: New insights into the column
 CH2O/NO2 ratio as an indicator of near-surface ozone sensitivity, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122,
 8885–8907, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026781, 2017.
- Sillman, S. and He, D.: Some theoretical results concerning O3-NOx-VOC chemistry and NOx-VOC indicators, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, ACH 26-1-ACH 26-15, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001123, 2002.
- Silva, S. J., Heald, C. L., Ravela, S., Mammarella, I., and Munger, J. W.: A Deep Learning
 Parameterization for Ozone Dry Deposition Velocities, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 983–989,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081049, 2019.
- Simpson, W. R., Brown, S. S., Saiz-Lopez, A., Thornton, J. A., and von Glasow, R.: Tropospheric
 Halogen Chemistry: Sources, Cycling, and Impacts, Chem. Rev., 115, 4035–4062,
 https://doi.org/10.1021/cr5006638, 2015.
- Singh, H. B., Brune, W. H., Crawford, J. H., Flocke, F., and Jacob, D. J.: Chemistry and transport of
 pollution over the Gulf of Mexico and the Pacific: spring 2006 INTEX-B campaign overview and
 first results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2301–2318, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-2301-2009, 2009.
- 1061 Sklaveniti, S., Locoge, N., Stevens, P. S., Wood, E., Kundu, S., and Dusanter, S.: Development of an
 1062 instrument for direct ozone production rate measurements: measurement reliability and current
 1063 limitations, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 741–761, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-741-2018, 2018.
- Souri, A. H., Chance, K., Bak, J., Nowlan, C. R., González Abad, G., Jung, Y., Wong, D. C., Mao, J., and Liu, X.: Unraveling pathways of elevated ozone induced by the 2020 lockdown in Europe by an observationally constrained regional model using TROPOMI, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 18227–18245, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-18227-2021, 2021.

- Souri, A. H., Choi, Y., Jeon, W., Li, X., Pan, S., Diao, L., and Westenbarger, D. A.: Constraining NOx
 emissions using satellite NO2 measurements during 2013 DISCOVER-AQ Texas campaign, Atmos.
 Environ., 131, 371–381, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.02.020, 2016a.
- Souri, A. H., Choi, Y., Li, X., Kotsakis, A., and Jiang, X.: A 15-year climatology of wind pattern impacts on surface ozone in Houston, Texas, Atmos. Res., 174–175, 124–134, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.02.007, 2016b.
- Souri, A. H., Duncan, B. N., Strode, S. A., Anderson, D. C., Manyin, M. E., Liu, J., Oman, L. D., Zhang,
 Z., and Weir, B.: Enhancing Long-Term Trend Simulation of Global Tropospheric OH and Its Drivers
 from 2005-2019: A Synergistic Integration of Model Simulations and Satellite Observations,
 EGUsphere, 1–37, https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-410, 2024.
- Souri, A. H., Johnson, M. S., Wolfe, G. M., Crawford, J. H., Fried, A., Wisthaler, A., Brune, W. H., Blake,
 D. R., Weinheimer, A. J., Verhoelst, T., Compernolle, S., Pinardi, G., Vigouroux, C., Langerock, B.,
 Choi, S., Lamsal, L., Zhu, L., Sun, S., Cohen, R. C., Min, K.-E., Cho, C., Philip, S., Liu, X., and
 Chance, K.: Characterization of errors in satellite-based HCHO/NO2 tropospheric column ratios with
 respect to chemistry, column-to-PBL translation, spatial representation, and retrieval uncertainties,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 1963–1986, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-1963-2023, 2023.
- Souri, A. H., Nowlan, C. R., González Abad, G., Zhu, L., Blake, D. R., Fried, A., Weinheimer, A. J.,
 Wisthaler, A., Woo, J.-H., Zhang, Q., Chan Miller, C. E., Liu, X., and Chance, K.: An inversion of
 NOx and non-methane volatile organic compound (NMVOC) emissions using satellite observations
 during the KORUS-AQ campaign and implications for surface ozone over East Asia, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 20, 9837–9854, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-9837-2020, 2020b.
- Souri, A. H., Nowlan, C. R., Wolfe, G. M., Lamsal, L. N., Chan Miller, C. E., Abad, G. G., Janz, S. J.,
 Fried, A., Blake, D. R., Weinheimer, A. J., Diskin, G. S., Liu, X., and Chance, K.: Revisiting the
 effectiveness of HCHO/NO2 ratios for inferring ozone sensitivity to its precursors using high
 resolution airborne remote sensing observations in a high ozone episode during the KORUS-AQ
 campaign, Atmos. Environ., 224, 117341, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117341, 2020a.
- Stanier, C. O., Pierce, R. B., Abdi-Oskouei, M., Adelman, Z. E., Al-Saadi, J., Alwe, H. D., Bertram, T. H.,
 Carmichael, G. R., Christiansen, M. B., Cleary, P. A., Czarnetzki, A. C., Dickens, A. F., Fuoco, M. A.,
 Hughes, D. D., Hupy, J. P., Janz, S. J., Judd, L. M., Kenski, D., Kowalewski, M. G., Long, R. W.,
 Millet, D. B., Novak, G., Roozitalab, B., Shaw, S. L., Stone, E. A., Szykman, J., Valin, L., Vermeuel,
 M., Wagner, T. J., Whitehill, A. R., and Williams, D. J.: Overview of the Lake Michigan Ozone Study
 2017, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 102, E2207–E2225, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0061.1,
 2021.
- Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., Bauwens, M., De Smedt, I., Lerot, C., Van Roozendael, M., Coheur, P.-F.,
 Clerbaux, C., Boersma, K. F., van der A, R., and Song, Y.: Substantial Underestimation of PostHarvest Burning Emissions in the North China Plain Revealed by Multi-Species Space Observations,
 Sci. Rep., 6, 32307, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep32307, 2016.
- Strode, S. A., Ziemke, J. R., Oman, L. D., Lamsal, L. N., Olsen, M. A., and Liu, J.: Global changes in the diurnal cycle of surface ozone, Atmos. Environ., 199, 323–333, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.11.028, 2019.
- Tao, M., Fiore, A. M., Jin, X., Schiferl, L. D., Commane, R., Judd, L. M., Janz, S., Sullivan, J. T., Miller,
 P. J., Karambelas, A., Davis, S., Tzortziou, M., Valin, L., Whitehill, A., Civerolo, K., and Tian, Y.:
 Investigating Changes in Ozone Formation Chemistry during Summertime Pollution Events over the
- 1111 Northeastern United States, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 15312–15327,
- 1112 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c02972, 2022.

- Thompson, A. M., Balashov, N. V., Witte, J. C., Coetzee, J. G. R., Thouret, V., and Posny, F.:
 Tropospheric ozone increases over the southern Africa region: bellwether for rapid growth in
- 1115Southern Hemisphere pollution?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 9855–9869, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-111614-9855-2014, 2014.
- Thompson, C. R., Wofsy, S. C., Prather, M. J., Newman, P. A., Hanisco, T. F., Ryerson, T. B., Fahey, D.
 W., Apel, E. C., Brock, C. A., Brune, W. H., Froyd, K., Katich, J. M., Nicely, J. M., Peischl, J., Ray,
- 1119 E., Veres, P. R., Wang, S., Allen, H. M., Asher, E., Bian, H., Blake, D., Bourgeois, I., Budney, J., Bui,
- T. P., Butler, A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Chang, C., Chin, M., Commane, R., Correa, G., Crounse, J. D.,
 Daube, B., Dibb, J. E., DiGangi, J. P., Diskin, G. S., Dollner, M., Elkins, J. W., Fiore, A. M., Flynn, C.
- M., Guo, H., Hall, S. R., Hannun, R. A., Hills, A., Hintsa, E. J., Hodzic, A., Hornbrook, R. S., Huey,
- 1123 L. G., Jimenez, J. L., Keeling, R. F., Kim, M. J., Kupc, A., Lacey, F., Lait, L. R., Lamarque, J.-F., Liu,
- 1124 J., McKain, K., Meinardi, S., Miller, D. O., Montzka, S. A., Moore, F. L., Morgan, E. J., Murphy, D.
- 1125 M., Murray, L. T., Nault, B. A., Neuman, J. A., Nguyen, L., González, Y., Rollins, A., Rosenlof, K.,
- 1126 Sargent, M., Schill, G., Schwarz, J. P., Clair, J. M. S., Steenrod, S. D., Stephens, B. B., Strahan, S. E., 1127 Strade S. A. Swaaraw, C. Thawar, A. D. Lillware, K. Wasser, N. Walter, D. Walter, J. D.
- Strode, S. A., Sweeney, C., Thames, A. B., Ullmann, K., Wagner, N., Weber, R., Weinzierl, B.,
 Wennberg, P. O., Williamson, C. J., Wolfe, G. M., and Zeng, L.: The NASA Atmospheric
- 1120 weiniberg, r. O., wiinanison, C. J., wolle, G. Mi, and Zeng, L.: The NASA Atmospheric 120 Tomography (ATom) Mission: Imaging the Chemistry of the Clobal Atmosphere Dull Area
- 1129 Tomography (ATom) Mission: Imaging the Chemistry of the Global Atmosphere, Bull. Am. Meteorol.
- 1130 Soc., 103, E761–E790, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0315.1, 2022.
- Thornton, J. A., Wooldridge, P. J., Cohen, R. C., Martinez, M., Harder, H., Brune, W. H., Williams, E. J.,
 Roberts, J. M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Hall, S. R., Shetter, R. E., Wert, B. P., and Fried, A.: Ozone
 production rates as a function of NOx abundances and HOx production rates in the Nashville urban
 plume, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107, ACH 7-1-ACH 7-17, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000932,
 2002.
- Tibshirani, R.: Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso, J. R. Stat. B (Methodological), 58, 267–
 288, 1996.
- Tilstra, L. G., de Graaf, M., Trees, V., Litvinov, P., Dubovik, O., and Stammes, P.: A directional surface
 reflectance climatology determined from TROPOMI observations, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discussions,
 1–29, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2023-222, 2023.
- Tonnesen, G. S. and Dennis, R. L.: Analysis of radical propagation efficiency to assess ozone sensitivity
 to hydrocarbons and NO x : 1. Local indicators of instantaneous odd oxygen production sensitivity, J.
 Geophys. Res., 105, 9213–9225, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900371, 2000a.
- Tonnesen, G. S. and Dennis, R. L.: Analysis of radical propagation efficiency to assess ozone sensitivity
 to hydrocarbons and NO x : 2. Long-lived species as indicators of ozone concentration sensitivity, J.
 Geophys. Res., 105, 9227–9241, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900372, 2000b.
- van der Velde, I. R., van der Werf, G. R., Houweling, S., Eskes, H. J., Veefkind, J. P., Borsdorff, T., and
 Aben, I.: Biomass burning combustion efficiency observed from space using measurements of CO
 and NO2 by the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 597–
 616, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-597-2021, 2021.
- van Geffen, J., Eskes, H., Compernolle, S., Pinardi, G., Verhoelst, T., Lambert, J.-C., Sneep, M., ter
 Linden, M., Ludewig, A., Boersma, K. F., and Veefkind, J. P.: Sentinel-5P TROPOMI NO2 retrieval:
 impact of version v2.2 improvements and comparisons with OMI and ground-based data, Atmos.
 Meas. Tech., 15, 2037–2060, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-15-2037-2022, 2022.
- Veefkind, J. P., Aben, I., McMullan, K., Förster, H., de Vries, J., Otter, G., Claas, J., Eskes, H. J., de Haan,
 J. F., Kleipool, Q., van Weele, M., Hasekamp, O., Hoogeveen, R., Landgraf, J., Snel, R., Tol, P.,
 Ingmann, P., Voors, R., Kruizinga, B., Vink, R., Visser, H., and Levelt, P. F.: TROPOMI on the ESA

- Sentinel-5 Precursor: A GMES mission for global observations of the atmospheric composition for climate, air quality and ozone layer applications, Remote Sens. Environ., 120, 70–83, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.09.027, 2012.
- Verhoelst, T., Compernolle, S., Pinardi, G., Lambert, J.-C., Eskes, H. J., Eichmann, K.-U., Fjæraa, A. M.,
 Granville, J., Niemeijer, S., Cede, A., Tiefengraber, M., Hendrick, F., Pazmiño, A., Bais, A.,
- 1163 Bazureau, A., Boersma, K. F., Bognar, K., Dehn, A., Donner, S., Elokhov, A., Gebetsberger, M.,
- 1164 Goutail, F., Grutter de la Mora, M., Gruzdev, A., Gratsea, M., Hansen, G. H., Irie, H., Jepsen, N.,
- 1165 Kanaya, Y., Karagkiozidis, D., Kivi, R., Kreher, K., Levelt, P. F., Liu, C., Müller, M., Navarro Comas,
- M., Piters, A. J. M., Pommereau, J.-P., Portafaix, T., Prados-Roman, C., Puentedura, O., Querel, R.,
 Remmers, J., Richter, A., Rimmer, J., Rivera Cárdenas, C., Saavedra de Miguel, L., Sinyakov, V. P.,
- 1167 Remmers, J., Richter, A., Rimmer, J., Rivera Cárdenas, C., Saavedra de Miguel, L., Sinyakov, V. P.
 1168 Stremme, W., Strong, K., Van Roozendael, M., Veefkind, J. P., Wagner, T., Wittrock, F., Yela
- 1169 González, M., and Zehner, C.: Ground-based validation of the Copernicus Sentinel-5P TROPOMI
- 1170 NO2 measurements with the NDACC ZSL-DOAS, MAX-DOAS and Pandonia global networks, 1171 Atmos Meas Tech 14 481 510 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt 14.481 2021 2021
- 1171 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 14, 481–510, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-481-2021, 2021.
- Vigouroux, C., Langerock, B., Bauer Aquino, C. A., Blumenstock, T., Cheng, Z., De Mazière, M., De
 Smedt, I., Grutter, M., Hannigan, J. W., Jones, N., Kivi, R., Loyola, D., Lutsch, E., Mahieu, E.,
 Makarova, M., Metzger, J.-M., Morino, I., Murata, I., Nagahama, T., Notholt, J., Ortega, I., Palm, M.,
 Pinardi, G., Röhling, A., Smale, D., Stremme, W., Strong, K., Sussmann, R., Té, Y., van Roozendael,
 M., Wang, P., and Winkler, H.: TROPOMI–Sentinel-5 Precursor formaldehyde validation using an
 extensive network of ground-based Fourier-transform infrared stations, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13,
 3751–3767, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-3751-2020, 2020.
- Wang, T., Xue, L., Brimblecombe, P., Lam, Y. F., Li, L., and Zhang, L.: Ozone pollution in China: A
 review of concentrations, meteorological influences, chemical precursors, and effects, Sci. Total
 Environ., 575, 1582–1596, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.081, 2017.
- Wang, W., Parrish, D. D., Li, X., Shao, M., Liu, Y., Mo, Z., Lu, S., Hu, M., Fang, X., Wu, Y., Zeng, L.,
 and Zhang, Y.: Exploring the drivers of the increased ozone production in Beijing in summertime
 during 2005–2016, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 15617–15633, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-156172020, 2020.
- 1186 Warneke, C., Trainer, M., de Gouw, J. A., Parrish, D. D., Fahey, D. W., Ravishankara, A. R., Middlebrook, A. M., Brock, C. A., Roberts, J. M., Brown, S. S., Neuman, J. A., Lerner, B. M., Lack, D., Law, D., 1187 Hübler, G., Pollack, I., Sjostedt, S., Ryerson, T. B., Gilman, J. B., Liao, J., Holloway, J., Peischl, J., 1188 1189 Nowak, J. B., Aikin, K. C., Min, K.-E., Washenfelder, R. A., Graus, M. G., Richardson, M., Markovic, M. Z., Wagner, N. L., Welti, A., Veres, P. R., Edwards, P., Schwarz, J. P., Gordon, T., Dube, 1190 1191 W. P., McKeen, S. A., Brioude, J., Ahmadov, R., Bougiatioti, A., Lin, J. J., Nenes, A., Wolfe, G. M., Hanisco, T. F., Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Thornton, J. A., Keutsch, F. N., Kaiser, J., Mao, J., 1192 and Hatch, C. D.: Instrumentation and measurement strategy for the NOAA SENEX aircraft 1193
- campaign as part of the Southeast Atmosphere Study 2013, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 3063–3093,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-3063-2016, 2016.
- Wolfe, G. M., Hanisco, T. F., Arkinson, H. L., Blake, D. R., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Ryerson, T. B.,
 Pollack, I., Peischl, J., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., St. Clair, J. M., Teng, A., Huey, L. G., Liu, X.,
 Fried, A., Weibring, P., Richter, D., Walega, J., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Jimenez, J. L., CampuzanoJost, P., Bui, T. P., Diskin, G., Podolske, J. R., Sachse, G., and Cohen, R. C.: Photochemical evolution
 of the 2013 California Rim Fire: synergistic impacts of reactive hydrocarbons and enhanced oxidants,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 4253–4275, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-4253-2022, 2022.

- Wolfe, G. M., Marvin, M. R., Roberts, S. J., Travis, K. R., and Liao, J.: The Framework for 0-D
 Atmospheric Modeling (F0AM) v3.1, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 3309–3319,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-3309-2016, 2016.
- Wu, Y., Zhao, K., Ren, X., Dickerson, R. R., Huang, J., Schwab, M. J., Stratton, P. R., Daley, H., Li, D.,
 and Moshary, F.: Ozone pollution episodes and PBL height variation in the NYC urban and coastal
 areas during LISTOS 2019, Atmos. Environ., 320, 120317,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120317, 2024.
- Xu, W., Zhang, G., Wang, Y., Tong, S., Zhang, W., Ma, Z., Lin, W., Kuang, Y., Yin, L., and Xu, X.:
 Aerosol Promotes Peroxyacetyl Nitrate Formation During Winter in the North China Plain, Environ.
 Sci. Technol., 55, 3568–3581, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c08157, 2021.
- Yousefian, F., Faridi, S., Azimi, F., Aghaei, M., Shamsipour, M., Yaghmaeian, K., and Hassanvand, M. S.:
 Temporal variations of ambient air pollutants and meteorological influences on their concentrations in
 Tehran during 2012–2017, Sci. Rep., 10, 292, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56578-6, 2020.
- Zara, M., Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H., Denier van der Gon, H., Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, J., Krol, M., van der Swaluw, E., Schuch, W., and Velders, G. J. M.: Reductions in nitrogen oxides over the Netherlands between 2005 and 2018 observed from space and on the ground: Decreasing emissions and increasing O3 indicate changing NOx chemistry, Atmos. Environ.: X, 9, 100104,
- 1219 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeaoa.2021.100104, 2021.
- 1220 Zhang, J., Wang, T., Chameides, W. L., Cardelino, C., Kwok, J., Blake, D. R., Ding, A., and So, K. L.:
- Ozone production and hydrocarbon reactivity in Hong Kong, Southern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7,
 557–573, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-557-2007, 2007.